Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 49 (2008) 760-773

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ympev

Nuclear and mitochondrial phylogeography of the Atlantic forest endemic *Xiphorhynchus fuscus* (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae): Biogeography and systematics implications

Gustavo S. Cabanne^{a,*}, Fernando M. d'Horta^a, Eloisa H.R. Sari^{b,c}, Fabrício R. Santos^b, Cristina Y. Miyaki^a

^a Departamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277, 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil ^b Departamento de Biologia Geral, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos 6627, 31270-901 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil ^c Department of Biology, University of Missouri-St. Louis, 223 Research Building, Saint Louis, MO 63121-4499, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 January 2008 Revised 5 July 2008 Accepted 19 September 2008 Available online 30 September 2008

Keywords: Atlantic Forest Caatinga Phylogeography Nuclear markers Woodcreepers Areas of endemism Xiphorhynchus

ABSTRACT

We studied the intraspecific evolutionary history of the South American Atlantic forest endemic Xiphorhynchus fuscus (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae) to address questions such as: Was the diversification of this bird's populations associated to areas of avian endemism? Which models of speciation (i.e., refuges, river as barriers or geotectonism) explain the diversification within X. fuscus? Does the genetic data support subspecies as independent evolutionary units (species)? We used mitochondrial (n = 34) and nuclear (n = 68) DNA sequences of X. fuscus to study temporal and spatial relationships within and between populations. We described four main monophyletic lineages that diverged during the Pleistocene. The subspecies taxonomy did not match all the evolutionary lineages; subspecies atlanticus was the only one that represented a monophyletic and isolated lineage. The distribution of these lineages coincided with some areas of endemism for passerines, suggesting that those areas could be regions of biotic differentiation. The ancestor of X. fuscus diverged \sim 3 million years ago from Amazonian taxa and the phylogeographic pattern suggested that X. fuscus radiated from northeastern Brazil. Neither the riverine nor the geotectonic vicariance models are supported as the primary cause for diversification of geographic lineages, but rainforest contractions and expansions (ecological vicariance) can explain most of the spatial divergence observed in this species. Finally, analyses of gene flow and divergence time estimates suggest that the endangered subspecies atlanticus (from northeastern Brazil) can be considered a full species under the general lineage species concept.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Atlantic forest (AF) (Fig. 1A) is the second richest Neotropical biome and one of the most endangered in the world (Galindo Leal and Câmara, 2003; Myers et al., 2000; Tabarelli et al., 2005). According to a model of forest stability during the last 21,000 years (Carnaval and Moritz, 2008) and to geological and palinological studies (i.e., Behling, 2002; Behling and Negrelle, 2001; Brown, 2005; Brown and Ab'Saber, 1979; Ledru et al., 2005, 1998), three historically different regions can be defined in the AF (Fig. 1B): (i) northern AF (NAF), with high forest stability in the eastern coastal region and short periods of rainforest expansions in areas currently covered by a type of dry forest (caatingas) (Veloso, 1991); (ii) central region (CAF), characterized by stability in the east and short periods of instability in the west and (iii) southern AF (SAF), distinguished by strong instability (regression and fragmentation and expansion at the mid and late Holocene).

* Corresponding author. Fax: +55 11 3091 7553.

E-mail address: gscabanne@yahoo.com (G.S. Cabanne).

According to the ecological vicariance model of diversification instability of forests can be important for speciation (reviewed in Marroig and Cerqueira, 1997; Moritz et al., 2000). Rainforest taxa may evolve in allopatry within rainforest relicts (refuges) that are caused and isolated by expansion of open areas (i.e., grasslands, savannas or dry forest). Predominant evolutionary forces are drift and divergent selection. In the Neotropics, this model was initially known as the theory of the Pleistocene forest refuges (Brown and Ab'Saber, 1979; Haffer, 1969; Haffer and Prance, 2001; Vanzolini and Williams, 1970). Specifically, the refuges' theory considers that speciation was driven by forest fragmentation that occurred during the maximum of the global Pleistocene glaciations. However, the ecological vicariance model may also be considered for interglacial periods, such as the Holocene. For example, caatingas currently cover most of northeastern Brazil, from Ceará to northern Minas Gerais (Veloso, 1991), and rainforest organisms are restricted to small rainforest relicts (brejos), or to coastal forests, which are likely to be remnants of a past continuous rainforest that was fragmented with the advance of caatingas (Ab'Saber, 1977; Carnaval and Bates, 2007; Carnaval and Moritz, 2008; Oliveira et al., 1999;

^{1055-7903/\$ -} see front matter @ 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2008.09.013



Fig. 1. Distribution of the Atlantic forest (AF), endemic bird areas, sampling locations and distribution of *Xiphorhynchus fuscus* and its subspecies. (A) Distribution of AF biome. NAF, northern AF; CAF, central AF; SAF, southern AF. (B) Historically stable areas for the AF during the last 21,000 years. Modified from Carnaval and Moritz (2008). The darker the area the higher the forest stability; i.e., black areas present the maximum likelihood to have maintained forest. The circle indicates the area of highest forest stability (Bahia refuge). (C) Areas of endemism of birds in the AF. SMC, Serra do Mar Center; PRC, Paraná Center; AAP, Atlantic slope of Alagoas and Pernambuco; DB, deciduous forest of Biaha; DMGG, deciduous forest of Minas Gerais and Goiás; AL, AF lowlands; AM, AF Mountains; Pe, Pernambuco; CB, Central Bahia; CoB, Coastal Bahia; SM, Serra do Mar. (D) Approximate distribution of *X. fuscus* (gray line) and approximate core distribution of subspecies shown by gray areas (Ridgely and Tudor, 1996; Marantz et al., 2003; Cabanne unpublished). Collection localities indicated by numbers (see Appendix B for details). States, PI, Piaui; CE, Ceará; RN, Rio Grande do Norte; PA, Paraiba; AL, Alagoas; PE, Pernambuco; BA, Bahia; ES, Espírito Santo; MG, Minas Gerais; RJ, Rio de Janeiro; SP, São Paulo; PR, Paraná; SC, Santa Catarina; RS, Rio Grande do Sul; Mi, Misiones (Argentina).

Wang et al., 2004). Therefore, these *brejos* are today's forest refuges and isolation by dry landscapes could have contributed to the evolution of the noteworthy endemic biota that characterizes the NAF (Silva and Casteleti, 2003).

The riverine barriers model of diversification (reviewed in Marroig and Cerqueira, 1997; Moritz et al., 2000) has also received attention to explain AF evolution. Some AF rivers limit regional faunas and therefore they may represent gene flow barriers and be important to model the biota distribution. This is the case of Doce river, which limits the range of many birds (Silva et al., 2004), mammals (Costa et al., 2000) and butterflies (Brown, 2005). Also, Lacerda et al. (2007) presented genetic data that suggests a role of the Jequitinhonha river for separating populations of the passerine *Thamnophilus ambiguus*. Finally, Pellegrino et al. (2005) found that some phylogroups of the gecko *Gymnodactylus darwinii* are endemic to specific inter basins and proposed that rivers played an important role in the diversification of the AF biota.

The late Tertiary and early Pleistocene geotectonic activity associated to the formation and remodeling of geological landmarks of SAF (i.e., Serra do Mar mountain range) (Petri and Fulfaro, 1983; Riccomini et al., 1989) was suggested to be important for the evolution of the AF biota (Grazziotin et al., 2006; Lara and Patton, 2000; Leite, 2003; Mustrangi and Patton, 1997; Silva and Straube, 1996). For example, Silva and Straube (1996) observed that the range of some passerines were apparently limited by the geologic valley of the Paraíba do Sul river (Fig. 1) and proposed that the tectonic activity that opened the valley was important to split populations. However, our previous study of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) of the passerine *Xiphorhynchus fuscus* did not support this geotectonic valley as a primary barrier (Cabanne et al., 2007).

The aforementioned hypotheses try to explain the origin of a highly diverse and heterogeneous AF (Silva and Casteleti, 2003). AF birds are good models for addressing these hypotheses given their high level of endemicity (199 endemics, Stotz et al., 1996) and also because three different sets of endemic bird areas have been proposed (Fig. 1C). Areas of endemism are important to generate hypotheses about the history of geographical units and their biotas (Cracraft, 1985). These areas may be common regions of biotic differentiation (historical entities of a biome, Cracraft, 1985; Haffer, 1985), representing areas with past stability (refuges), regions isolated by rivers or geotectonic events, areas with different types of forest that define divergent selection, etc. The three groups of AF endemic bird areas are not totally congruent (Fig. 1C) and it is not known which one could best represent the AF biogeographic history. Regardless the process that lead to diversification of diagnostic taxa of each area of endemism, if areas of endemism were common regions of biotic differentiation, monophyletic populations from widespread and humid forest taxa are expected to be associated to those areas. And, phylogenetic relationship among these populations can help to understand the evolution of the areas' biotas. Alternatively, if areas of endemism only represent fortuitous assemblages of taxa without any historical significance, monophyletic lineages of widespread rainforest taxa should not be associated to these areas.

761



Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) tree based on 2471 bp of the cytb, ND2 and ND3 (mtDNA, dataset 1). Model: Tamura and Nei (1993) + I (I = 0.6036) + G ($\alpha = 2.1493$). Estimated base frequencies: A = 0.32, C = 0.33, G = 0.11, T = 0.24. Bootstrap values (percentage of 500 replicates) at the nodes: ML/maximum parsimony. The maximum parsimony analysis resulted in eight most parsimonious trees with 1807 steps.

Xiphorhynchus fuscus (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae) is a good model for biogeographic studies because it occurs in well preserved forest of most of the AF's range, from sea level up to 1200 m a.s.l. (Ridgely and Tudor, 1996) (Fig. 1D). Four subspecies (atlanticus, tenuirostris, brevirostris and fuscus) are described according to plumage variation and overall body size (Fig. 1D) (reviewed in Marantz et al., 2003). It is not clear if these subspecies represent real natural entities as no obvious diagnosis exist when series of specimens were compared, except for atlanticus (Cabanne, unpublished; Marantz et al., 2003). Subspecies atlanticus inhabits the most endangered forests of the biome (Galindo Leal and Câmara, 2003): coastal NAF, north to the São Francisco river and some of the brejos of Ceará (Baturité and Ibiapaba) (Fig. 1D). Those forests are naturally isolated from southern portions of the biome by caatingas and therefore we hypothesize that *atlanticus* is genetically isolated. The subspecies atlanticus is diagnosed by plumage, overall size and song (Cabanne, unpublished; Marantz et al., 2003) and since it is endangered (IBAMA, 2003), a genetic analysis is a priority study to help defining its evolutionary and taxonomic status.

We have previously described the mtDNA phylogeographic structure of X. fuscus at SAF (Cabanne et al., 2007). Now, our main goal is to study X. fuscus populations in the entire AF to address the following questions: (i) is the mtDNA phylogeographic pattern congruent with the nuclear DNA pattern? (ii) Was the intraspecific diversification of X. fuscus associated to any area of avian endemism? Which are those areas? (iii) Does the genetic structure of X. fuscus support the forest stability model of Fig. 1B? (iv) Which models of speciation (i.e., refuges, river as barrier, etc) can explain the diversification within X. fuscus? and (v) Does the genetic data support subspecies as independent evolutionary units (species)? In order to achieve these goals and to test predictions for some models of diversification (reviewed in Lara et al., 2005; Marroig and Cerqueira, 1997; Moritz et al., 2000; Patton and da Silva, 2005), we first analyzed the monophyly of X. fuscus based on mtDNA and then addressed evolutionary and spatial relationships

among the X. fuscus' lineages by using mtDNA and nuclear sequences.



Fig. 3. Median joining networks based on (A) mitochondrial DNA of *X. fuscus* (2499 bp of concatenated cyt*b*, ND2 and ND3, dataset 2, n = 34 sequences) and on (B) FIB5 of *X. fuscus* (547 bp, dataset 2, n = 68 sequences). Number of aminoacidic changes are shown. See Table 1 for summary statistics of all the mtDNA and FIB5 dataset.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples and sequences for the monophyly study (dataset 1)

We followed the taxonomic arrangement of Marantz et al. (2003). We tested the monophyly of *X. fuscus* using 29 terminals: 10 *X. fuscus*, 16 other taxa of the genus *Xiphorhynchus*, one *Lepidocolaptes angustirostris*, one *Campiloramphus trochilirosstris* and, to root the phylogeny, *Sittasomus griseicapillus*. The sequences for this dataset were: ~1000 bp of cytochrome *b* (cytb), ~1000 bp of NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (ND2) and ~450 bp of NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3 (ND3). Some sequences other than of *X. fuscus* were obtained from GenBank (Aleixo, 2002). See Appendices A and B for details of the origin of sequences.

2.2. Samples and sequences for the intraspecific study (dataset 2)

For the intraspecific phylogeny we used 34 samples of *X. fuscus* collected in 22 localities from all over the species' range (Fig. 1D and Appendix B) and six samples of closely related outgroups (*X. spixii, X. ocellatus* and *X. pardalotus*). *X. guttatus* was used to root the intraspecific tree. This group of samples, without the outgroup taxa, was also used to obtain the sequence networks and for the population genetic analyses (Section 2.6). The DNA sequences obtained were: mtDNA (same genes used for dataset 1) and 547 bp of the intron 5 of the β -fibrinogen gene (FIB5). See Appendices A and B for details on origin of the samples, type of tissue, GenBank access, etc. From some specimens of *X. fuscus* we only collected blood because the species was either locally not abundant or endangered (i.e., subspecies *atlanticus*).

2.3. DNA sequencing, neutrality tests and recombination

Total DNA was obtained from blood or muscle following Bruford et al. (1992). The following primers were used for amplification and sequencing: for cyt*b*-primers L14841 and H16065 (Lougheed et al., 2000), for ND2-primers LMET (Ribas et al., 2005) and H6313 (Sorenson et al., 1999), for ND3-primers L10755 and H11151 (Chesser, 1999) and for FIB5-primers FIB5 and FIB6 (Marini and Hackett, 2002). The sequences were obtained in sequencers ABI Prism 377 (Applied Biosystems) or MegaBACE 1000 (Amersham-Biosciences).

To identify haplotypes of heterozygous we used a Bayesian approach implemented in the program PHASE 2.0 (Stephens and Donnelly, 2003; Stephens et al., 2001). Heterozygous nucleotide positions were identified by double peaks in the electropherograms. Heterozygous indels positions were identified by an abrupt transition in the electropherogram from clean to unintelligible or a series of double peaks. All resolved positions received a posterior probability of one in the phasing analyses.

We used the PHI test in the program SPLITSTREE (Bruen et al., 2006; Huson and Bryant, 2006) to check for recombination in the FIB5. The PHI test is powerful for detecting recombination and has been shown to be less sensitive to recurrent mutation and homoplasy than other traditional tests (i.e., Hudson and Kaplan, 1985). The neutrality tests of Tajima (1989) and of Mc Donald and Kreitman (1991) (MK test) were performed in DNASP 4.0. The MK test used *X. spixii* as outgroup.

2.4. Phylogenetic and genealogical analyses

Two different phylogenetic analyses were performed using maximum parsimony (MP) and maximum likelihood (ML) approaches. Firstly, we evaluated whether *X. fuscus* was monophyletic using mtDNA sequences (dataset 1). Secondly, we studied relationships among the intraspecific *X. fuscus*' lineages using a to-

tal evidence approach (concatenated dataset 2, mtDNA plus FIB5). Only for the second phylogeny, polymorphic positions in FIB5 were coded using the IUPAC ambiguity codes.

MP heuristic searches were performed in PAUP^{*} 4.0 b10 (Swofford, 2001) with 100 replicates of random stepwise addition and a limit of 1,000,000 rearrangements of TBR branch swapping per replicate. We summarized MP results in a majority rule consensus tree. ML heuristic searches were performed in PHYML 2.4.4. (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003). The likelihood-ratio test was used as implemented in MODELTEST 3.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998) to select the best-fit model of molecular evolution to be used in ML analyses. We did 500 MP bootstrap replicates in PAUP^{*} and 500 ML bootstrap replicates in PHYML. The partition homogeneity test (Farris et al., 1994) was performed in PAUP^{*} using 1000 replicates and tested for incongruence between mtDNA and FIB5 data.

We also explored relationships among haplotypes of dataset 2 using median joining networks (Bandelt et al., 1999) obtained in NETWORK 4.1.0.8 (http://www.fluxus-engineering.com). These analyses included all *X. fuscus* (n = 34) and one outgroup (*X. spixii*). Summary statistics for sequences were obtained using ARLEQUIN 3.1 (Excoffier et al., 2006).

2.5. Shimodaira and Hasegawa (1999) test

We used the Shimodaira and Hasegawa (1999) test (SH test) to assess whether a specific phylogenetic tree obtained in a constrained heuristic search and a non-constrained tree are equally good explanations of the data (Goldman et al., 2000). The constraints for the heuristic searches were derived from the three set of areas of endemism for AF's birds (Fig. 1C). If areas of endemism were historical units in the evolution of X. fuscus (i.e., refuges), we predicted to find reciprocally monophyletic populations in each of them. Thus, constraints forced monophyly (backbone option) of samples associated to each area of endemism depicted in Fig. 1C. Constraints did not force any specific relationship among areas, except in one specific case for the areas of Silva et al. (2004) [-(PE, ((CB, SM), COB))- see areas in Fig. 1]. Backbones only included samples collected in localities mapped within areas of endemism. Appendix B shows the assignment of samples to corresponding areas. Because X. fuscus atlanticus is relatively differentiated from the other subspecies, we also used the SH analysis to test the null hypothesis of paraphyly of X. fuscus, with atlanticus forced to group with other species of Xiphorhynchus. SH tests were performed in PAUP^{*} 4.0 b10 using the intraspecific phylogeny of X. fuscus obtained with dataset 2 (see Fig. 4), and applying the resampling estimated log-likelihood (RELL) option and 1000 replicates of non-parametric bootstrap to obtain the distribution of the statistics of the test.

2.6. Population analyses, divergence times and gene flow

We used the likelihood-ratio test, as implemented in MODEL-TEST, to test the null hypothesis of constancy of evolutionary rates. This was done by comparing the log-likelihood values of maximum likelihood trees with and without enforcing a molecular clock.

Rates of nucleotide change (sensu Ho et al., 2005) show variation depending on the date used to calibrate it (age of calibration); the relationship is not linear because rates tend to be faster when calibration points are recent. Ho et al. (2005) analyzed several calibrations of mtDNA molecular clock rates and developed a mathematical approach to directly relate DNA sequence distances to divergence dates. To obtain divergence dates (in million years, MYr) from mtDNA distances, we used the model for protein-coding sequences of the avian mtDNA and resolved numerically the Eq. (7) of the Ho et al.'s paper. To approximate a mtDNA rate of change to be used for the isolation migration analyses, we transformed the

Author's personal copy





Fig. 4. Phylogenetic relationships, genetic diversity and demography of intraspecific lineages of *X fuscus*. (A) Maximum likelihood (ML) tree based on a total evidence analysis of mtDNA and FIB5 (dataset 2, total alignment of 3046 bp, cytb, ND2, ND3 and FIB5). Model of evolution: Tamura and Nei (1993) + *I* (*I* = 0.501) + *G* (α = 0.8132). Estimated base frequencies: *A* = 0.31, *C* = 0.29, *G* = 0.13, *T* = 0.27. Bootstrap values (percentage of 500 replicates) at the nodes: ML/maximum parsimony. The maximum parsimony tree had 639 steps. Numbers within parentheses indicate sampling locality (see Fig. 1 and Appendix B). (B) Distribution of the main lineages of *X*. *fuscus*. Based on the present work and in Cabanne et al. (2007). (C) Estimation of genetic diversity (Θ) and demographic signature (g) of samples of *X*. *fuscus*.

observed mtDNA divergence (*p*-distance) to absolute time by the aforementioned method and then related both distance and time to obtain a rate of change. The mtDNA calibrations that resulted after applying this method were 2.46% of divergence per MYr for the study of the divergence between AF and the Amazon forest (dataset 1) and 4–4.6% per MYr for the population study (dataset 2). The substitution rate adopted for FIB5 was 0.72% divergence/MYr, according to a specific calibration for other intron in chicken (see Axelsson et al., 2004).

We used the isolation–migration (IM) model (Hey and Nielsen, 2004; Nielsen and Wakeley, 2001), implemented in the program IM (ver. April 21, 2008), to estimate gene flow rates and final divergence times between lineages of *X. fuscus*. IM estimates the effective population size parameters of two populations (θ_1 and θ_2 , theta per marker) that diverged from an ancestral population (θ_a) *t* time ago in the presence of gene flow (*m*). Units of analysis (Table 1) were selected according to specific phylogenetic clades with geographic and or morphological correspondence, and not strictly following the subspecies taxonomy. For all analyses we used the complete se-

quences of mtDNA and FIB5 together (dataset 2). We used an inheritance scale of 0.25 for the mtDNA, the HKY model of evolution and assumed $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_a$ and $m_1 = m_2$. For testing whether a model of isolation without gene flow fitted to the data better than a model with gene flow, we repeated the former analyses constraining m = 0 and used the Akaike information criterion to compare models according to Nielsen and Wakeley (2001). For each analysis, we measured the distribution of the average time of migration events. Inspecting the posterior distribution of migration times allows a qualitative evaluation of the gene flow scenario. A high concentration of migration events near the present is consistent with secondary contact and posterior gene flow after allopatric divergence, while a broad distribution of migration times is consistent with recurrent gene flow since the time of population splitting (Niemiller et al., 2008; Won and Hey, 2005). We made preliminary runs to adjust priors and at least five subsequent analyses with different starting points to check for parameter convergence. We used 500,000 iterations for burn-in and analyses were stopped when the smallest effective sample sizes (ESS) were higher than 50 and parameter

Table 1

Summary statistics of the mtDNA (concatenated cytb, ND2 and ND3) and FIB5 sequences of the complete dataset and intraspecific lineages of X. fuscus

	X. fuscus, complete dataset 2		Lineages (geographic region)									
			atlanticus (NAF)		brevirostris/tenuirostris (CAF)		fuscus N (SAF)		fuscus S (SAF)			
	mtDNA (2499 bp)	FIB5 (547 bp)	mtDNA	FIB5	mtDNA	FIB5	mtDNA	FIB5	mtDNA	FIB5		
Ν	34	68	10	20	11	22	8	16	5	10		
Κ	23	28	6	8	8	15	6	7	3	3		
π% D	1.51 1.019 ^{NS}	0.693 -1.249 ^{NS}	0.0961 -0.856 ^{NS}	0.612 0.841 ^{NS}	0.2778 -0.541 ^{NS}	0.735 -0.977 ^{NS}	0.0837 -0.632 ^{NS}	0.61 0.039 ^{NS}	0.1436 -0.807 ^{NS}	0.4233 0.370 ^{NS}		

N, number of sequences; *K*, number of haplotypes; π%, nucleotide diversity (Nei and Kumar, 2000) in percentage based on *p*-distance; *D*, Tajima's D (1989). NS, non-significant (*P* > 0.05). NAF, northern AF; CAF, central AF; SAF, southern AF.

764

trend lines stabilized after four to 30 million iterations. For parameters in which the complete posterior distribution was completely estimated, we presented the highest posterior density interval. We transformed the time parameter *t* into time in years using the formula t = t/u, where *u* is the geometric mean of mutation rates per marker and generation (Hey and Nielsen, 2004), and the migration parameter *m* into the effective number of diploid individuals migrants per generation using the formula $M = (\partial m)/4$. We assumed a generation time of 1 year for *X. fuscus*.

To estimate migration rates and genetic diversity (Θ , theta per site) for each independent marker (mtDNA and FIB5) and to further evaluate recombination at the FIB5 we used the maximum likelihood coalescent method implemented in LAMARC 2.1.2b (Kuhner, 2006). Unlike IM, LAMARC assumes migration equilibrium. In order to compare mtDNA and nuclear (FIB5) migration, we corrected the difference between the markers' effective size by applying an mtDNA effective size scalar equal to 4. We also used LAMARC to evaluate the demographic signature of X. fuscus' lineages by estimating the exponential population growth rate g ($\Theta_t = \Theta_{now} e^{-gt}$, where Θ_{now} is the current Θ and Θ_t is the value of the parameter t time ago) using mtDNA and FIB5 together. Positive g-values indicate population growth and negative values, population decline. All final LAMARC analyses used the F84 model of sequence evolution with empirical base frequencies and transition/transversion ratios and ran 10 short chains (500 sampled trees every 50 iterations and burn-in of 1000 trees) followed by two long chains (20,000 sampled trees every 50 iterations and burn-in of 1000 trees).

Finally, we compared the migration rates obtained by IM and LAMARC with those obtained by assuming the island model of Wright (1940). Global Φ st and its 95% bootstrap confidence interval (c.i. 20,000 replicates) for each marker were obtained by AMOVA (Excoffier et al., 1992) in ARLEQUIN and gene flow estimations were obtained using the formula $M = (1 - \Phi st)/2\Phi st$ (Hedrick, 2000).

3. Results

3.1. Molecular variation

A mtDNA sequence matrix of 2471 bp [dataset 1: 785 variable positions, 522 (66.5%) informative for parsimony] was obtained for the X. fuscus monophyly study. The alignment for the total evidence intraspecific study resulted in 3047 bp [dataset 2: 2499 bp of mtDNA: 445 variable positions, 303 (68%) informative for parsimony and 547 bp of FIB5: 37 variable positions, 23 (62.1%) informative for parsimony]. Summary statistics for all the X. fuscus' sequences (dataset 2) are presented in Table 1. The MK test for the X. fuscus' mtDNA (2499 bp, n = 34) was marginally significant (*G*-test with William's correction, P = 0.046) due to the existence of an excess of non-synonymous polymorphism. This result is expected for mtDNA (Nachman, 1998) and since Tajima's D-test (Tajima, 1989) was non-significant (Table 1) we interpreted this pattern as a result of demographic history. FIB5 sequences of X. fuscus did not present evidence of recombination, according to the PHI test (P = 0.848) and LAMARC (recombination parameter *r* << 0.0001).

3.2. Phylogenetic and genealogical analyses

The topologies obtained by MP and ML were similar, and only ML trees are shown. *X. fuscus* samples grouped in a well supported monophyletic clade in the mtDNA analysis (Fig. 2). Subspecies *atlanticus* was monophyletic, and the other three subspecies formed another monophyletic clade, with *tenuirostris* and *brevirostris* grouped in one well supported lineage, and *fuscus* forming two separate lineages. The median joining network of *X. fuscus* mtDNA (Fig. 3A) resulted in four main lineages congruent with the phylogenetic analysis of Fig. 2 and confirmed that *atlanticus* is monophy-

letic and the most divergent lineage. FIB5 network did not corroborate all the mtDNA lineages (Fig. 3B). The total evidence topology (mtDNA + FIB5, Fig. 4A) did not differ from the one obtained with mtDNA alone (Fig. 2) and was in accordance with the mtDNA network (Fig. 3). The null hypothesis of X. fuscus paraphyly was rejected by the SH test (Table 2, line 1). The incongruence between mtDNA and FIB5 suggested by the networks (Fig. 3) was confirmed by the partition homogeneity test (P = 0.005). This result was expected because the dataset have a high number of sequences from the same species, and it is not surprising to find incongruence between loci at the intraspecific level, especially when one of the markers (FIB5) presents higher effective size and lower evolutionary rate. This was confirmed when the number of X. fuscus sequences in dataset 2 was reduced and the partition homogeneity test was not significant (P > 0.01), indicating congruence between mtDNA and FIB5 among the species of Xiphorhynchus analyzed (data not shown).

The SH tests showed that monophyletic intraspecific lineages of *X. fuscus* were only associated to some of the areas endemism of Silva et al. (2004) (P > 0.01, Table 2), rejecting the hypotheses that the other areas of endemism would represent centers of diversification.

In summary, four well supported main lineages with geographic correspondence were revealed by both mtDNA only (dataset 1, Fig. 2) and combined markers (dataset 2, Fig. 4): *atlanticus*, the clade of *tenuirostris* and *brevirostris*, and the two lineages of *fuscus* (N and S, for north and south). These four lineages, or subsamples of them, were used as units of analysis for the population genetic studies (Table 1).

3.3. Mitochondrial genetic distances

The null assumption of constancy of molecular evolution rates for the mtDNA phylogeny data (dataset 1) was not rejected (P > 0.05). The mtDNA corrected genetic distance between X. fuscus and its sister clade containing the Amazonian X. spixii, X. elegans, X. ocellatus and X. pardalotus (Fig. 2), was 0.0836 (SD = 0.006) and according to the method of Ho et al. (2005) the distance corresponded to 3.07 (SD = 0.13) MYr of divergence. Table 3 presents uncorrected mtDNA distances between intraspecific lineages of X. fuscus (dataset 2).

3.4. Population divergence, gene flow and demography

Divergence among *X. fuscus*' lineages obtained by IM ranged from 0.4 to 0.8 MYr (Table 4). The Akaike information test rejected the model of divergence without gene flow for all the comparisons (data not shown). Migration rates obtained by IM were slightly lower than those obtained by LAMARC. However, both methods showed a similar scenario. In general, migration rates between *atlanticus* and any other lineage, and between Ceará and Alagoas, were the lowest. Distribution of migration events obtained by IM peaked between 0.3 and 0.15 MYr and suggested that migration

Table 2

Results of Shimodaira and Hasegawa (1999) test based on a total evidence tree (Fig. 4) to evaluate the monophyly of *X. fuscus* and to test if monophyletic clades are associated to Atlantic forest endemic bird areas

Hypothesis	-lnL constrained tree	delta –ln L	Р
X. fuscus paraphyletic	7701.48898	52.53429	0.000
Cracraft (1985)	7696.28494	47.33024	0.000
Stattersfield et al. (1998)	7770.7244	113.26381	0.000
Silva et al. (2004)	7657.45863	8.50392	0.085
Silva et al. (2004) with hierarchy*	7684.90740	27.44877	0.018

-lnL of the unconstrained tree is 7648.95470.

* (PE, ((CB, SM), COB)), see areas in Fig. 1.

Table 3

Uncorrected genetic distances between intraspecific lineages of *X. fuscus* based on 2499 bp of the mtDNA (concatenated cyt*b*, ND2 and ND3) above the diagonal and standard errors below the diagonal

	atlanticus (NAF)	brevirostris/ tenuirostris (CAF)	fuscus N (SAF)	fuscus S (SAF)
atlanticus (NAF)		0.026	0.025	0.026
brevirostris/ tenuirostris (CAF)	0.003		0.011	0.013
fuscus N (SAF)	0.003	0.002		0.012
fuscus S (SAF)	0.003	0.002	0.002	

Geographic regions indicated between parentheses.

NAF, northern Atlantic Forest; CAF, central Atlantic forest; SAF, southern Atlantic forest.

was not concentrated at the end of the divergence as would be expected in a model of complete allopatric divergence followed by a secondary contact, except for the pair *atlanticus* \times *tenuirostris/brev-irostris*, which also presented recent gene flow (Fig. 5).

The nuclear marker presented higher migration rates than the mitochondrial marker in all the comparisons with LAMARC (Table 4). However, when mtDNA migration estimations considered the difference between markers' effective size, the confiability intervals overlapped and therefore the disparities were not important. The population genetic structure among the four lineages of *X. fuscus* was smaller with the FIB5 than with the mtDNA (Φ st_{FIB5} = 0.147, c.i.: 0.08–0.215, *P* < 0.01 and Φ st_{mtDNA} = 0.916, c.i.: 0.88–0.93, *P* < 0.01). Based on the island model of Wright (1940), the overall *M*_{FIB5} was 2.9 (c.i.: 1.82–5.75) and the *M*_{mtDNA} was 0.045 (c.i.: 0.037–0.07). Migration rates obtained by the Wright (1940) island model are slightly higher from those obtained by the IM model (average *M* = 0.39, *n* = 6) but similar to those obtained for the FIB5 by LAMARC (average *M* = 2.92, *n* = 6).

The highest levels of genetic diversity (Θ -values) obtained in LAMARC were found at CAF, while Θ -values at NAF and SAF were similar (Fig. 4C). The exponential growth rates (g) indicated signatures of demographic growth in all the samples other than Ceará, which showed decline (Fig. 4C). Notwithstanding some confiability intervals of g included stability (g = 0), all the demographic tendencies were clear as stability was a very marginal situation.



Fig. 5. Distributions of migration events for each pairwise comparison between geographically contiguous lineages of *X. fuscus* obtained from the IM program (Won and Hey, 2005), averaged across markers.

4. Discussion

The analyses showed that *X. fuscus* is monophyletic (Figs. 2 and 4). Even though FIB5 and mtDNA sequences were congruent at the level of the genus *Xiphorhynchus*, FIB5 sequences did not evidence a strong phylogeographic structure as shown by the mtDNA (Fig. 3). This result is not contradictory because nuclear markers are less variable and have longer coalescence times than the mtDNA. Thus, and also because mtDNA patterns are robust indicators of population history (Zink and Barrowclough, 2008), we consider our results unbiased and reliable.

The differences between migration values obtained by LAMARC and IM were not unexpected. IM does not consider migration equilibrium and is able to distinguish between shared polymorphism originated by gene flow and incomplete lineage sorting (Nielsen and Wakeley, 2001; Pinho et al., 2008). On the other hand, both LAM-ARC and the *F*_{ST}-based methods may retrieve higher migration val-

Table 4

Population divergence times and migration between populations of *X. fuscus* based on the isolation-migration model of Hey and Nielsen (2004) and the model of LAMARC 2.0 (Kuhner, 2006)

Estimation	Divergence with gene	e flow, IM (90% c.i.)		Migration per marker, LAMARC (95% c.i.)			
	θ	t (MYr)	Μ	M _{FIB5}	M _{mtDNA}	M _{mtDNA-4Ne}	
Inter lineages (AF region)							
1 [atlanticus] × [brevirostris/ tenuirostris] (NAF × CAF)	12.31 (8.62–18.28)	0.47 (>0.25) [‡]	0.15 (0.01–0.65)	1.25 (0.16-6)	0.08 (0.00-0.89)	0.33 (0.01–3)	
2 [atlanticus] × [fuscus N] (NAF × SAF)	7.11 (4.64–11.37)	0.79 (>0.45)‡	0.08 (0.00-0.39)	0.64 (0.06-3.0)	0.06 (0.00-0.75)	0.23 (0.01-3.57)	
3 [atlanticus] × [fuscus S] (NAF × SAF)	6.58 (3.96-10.42)	0.79 (>0.44) [‡]	0.03 (0.00-0.32)	0.46 (0.01-3.66)	0.06 (0.00-0.69)	0.24 (0.01–2.66)	
4 [brevirostris/tenuirostris] × [fuscus N] (CAF × SAF)	11.55 (8.17–17.52)	0.43 (0.08-1.66)	0.65 (0.11-3.12)	6.18 (1.48-10.71)	0.14 (0.01–0.70)	0.58 (0.02-6.12)	
5 [brevirostris/tenuirostris] × [fuscus S] (CAF × SAF)	12.77 (8.62–19.25)	0.44 (0.13–1.34)	0.30 (0.03-1.88)	4.44 (1.35–7.98)	0.14 (0.00-1.50)	0.55 (0.02-6)	
6 [fuscus N] \times [fuscus S] (SAF)	5.53 (3.30-8.94)	0.39 (>0.25)‡	0.40 (0.04-2.58)	4.59 (0.8–16.8)	0.10 (0.00-0.91)	0.39 (0.01-5.09)	
Intra lineages*							
7 atlanticus [CE] × [AL] 8 brevirosris/tenuirostris [CH] × [COB]	2.73 (1.31–5.16) 9.53 (5.96–16.22)	0.11 (>0.04) [‡] 0.13 (0.05–0.61)	0.21 (0.00–1.78) 0.36 (0.00–5.67)	0.56 (0.02–5.25) 3.09 (0.57–16.2)	0.38 (0.01-5.40) 0.49 (0.02-6)	1.52 (0.02–21.6) 2 (0.08–24)	

Confiability intervals (c.i.) are shown between parentheses. Divergence times (t) in million years (MYr) and migration (M) in effective number of individuals per generation. θ , theta value for all the markers in the IM model.

M, *M*_{FIB5}, *M*_{mtDNA}, migration rates for all the markers in the IM model, and for FIB5 and mtDNA in LAMARC, respectively. *M*_{mtDNA-4Ne}, migration value obtained with the mtDNA and forcing a fourfold effective size.

* CE and AL, populations of *atlanticus* of Ceará and Alagoas, respectively (see Fig. 1D). CH and COB, populations of *brevirostris/tenuirostris* of the Chapada Diamantina range (see Fig. 1A) and of the coastal region of Bahia plus northeastern Minas Gerais, respectively. NAF, northern AF; CAF, central AF; SAF, southern AF.

 st Maximum value of the interval not presented because the likelihood distribution was flat at the end.

766

ues because they interpret shared polymorphism as gene flow; thus we considered M-values obtained by those approaches as overestimations. A mtDNA phylogeographic pattern more structured than the FIB5 pattern, as observed in *X. fuscus*, may be caused by diminished female gene flow. However, LAMARC gene flow analyses did not support this scenario and therefore the incongruence between markers (Fig. 3) at the population level could be explained as consequence of the difference between the markers' effective sizes.

4.1. Congruence between genetic structure and subspecies

The subspecies taxonomy did not match all the evolutionary lineages of X. fuscus (Figs. 3 and 4). X. f. atlanticus was the only subspecies that represented a monophyletic and isolated lineage. A completely resolved relationship among all X. fuscus' lineages was not obtained, possibly due to a rapid and recent diversification (Fig. 4). IM analyses indicated divergence times greater than zero between all the lineages (Table 4). These results, the geographic partition of lineages and the congruence of genetic and morphological differences between atlanticus and all the other lineages indicated altogether that the results evidenced organismal lineages rather than clades, which are consequence of stochastic coalescence of sequences (Dolman and Moritz, 2006; Irwin, 2002). Since the subspecies other than atlanticus lack an obvious diagnosis (genetic or morphological), a detailed study is needed to reevaluate the geographic variation of external characters and to understand the correspondence of such variation with the genetic pattern.

4.2. Contact between the Amazon and the Atlantic forest

Several evidences support a historical contact between the Amazon and the Atlantic forest (i.e., Bates et al., 1998; Behling et al., 2000; Costa, 2003; Oliveira et al., 1999; Silva, 1996; Wang et al., 2004) and our results provided additional data to better understand about this contact. The ancestral *X. fuscus* is likely to be Amazonian, as almost all species of *Xiphorhynchus* are restricted to this region or to Central America. The oldest split within *X. fuscus* separated NAF from other regions (Fig. 4 and Table 4) and the most basal FIB5 haplotype is from NAF (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the ancestor of *X. fuscus* arrived in the AF at northeastern Brazil, perhaps through extinct rainforests in the coast or in the currently dry inland. A southern dispersion scenario, for example through the Paraguay river basin (Nores, 1992), is less parsimonious as it implies a long distance dispersion from SAF towards NAF before the first intraspecific divergence occurred.

Few studies addressed the timing of the connection between the two forests. Most of the palinological and geological studies only cover the last 200,000 years and evidence several short periods of forest expansion that could have linked the two biomes (i.e., Behling et al., 2000; Oliveira et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2004). The divergence between *X. fuscus* and its Amazonian sister clade (~3 MYr) also suggests older connections (Pliocene), which is in accordance with other studies (i.e., Costa, 2003; Ribas and Miyaki, 2004). The radiation of the clade of *X. fuscus*, compared to its Amazonian sister clade, showed shorter branches (Fig. 2) and lower genetic distances among terminals (data not shown). This pattern suggests lower diversification rates in AF, and could be product of higher speciation rates in Amazon forest or higher extinction rates in AF (Ricklefs, 2007). More studies are necessary to address this issue.

4.3. Areas of endemism

Areas of endemism are important to generate hypotheses about the history of geographical units and their biotas. The areas of endemism for AF passerines described by Silva et al. (2004) were not rejected as regions of differentiation for *X. fuscus* (Table 2). However, test significances were low because two of the propositions of Silva et al. (2004) were not supported: (i) coastal Bahia plus northern Espírito Santo as an independent area from inland Bahia plus part of northeastern Minas Gerais (Figs. 1C and 4) and (ii) the region south to the Doce river (area SM) as a homogeneous biogeographic unit. Since organisms can react idiosyncratically to specific biogeographic events (Prum, 1988) other patterns could emerge from other organisms. Notwithstanding our results are among the first steps for understanding the AF evolution, they suggest that the areas of Silva et al. (2004) may represent a good hypothesis about biogeographical units of the biome. However, only corroboration of our results with other taxa would show if these areas have a general biogeographic significance.

4.4. Intraspecific pattern of X. fuscus and its evolution: geotectonism and rivers

The subspecies *X. fuscus atlanticus* is differentiated and isolated in the NAF while the other lineages are connected by moderate migration rates. Interestingly, even though the ancestral *X. fuscus* seems to be pre-Pleistocenic, the current lineages only diverged in a relatively short period at the mid-late Pleistocene (Table 4).

The proposition of Silva and Straube (1996) about the primary biogeographic role of the valley of the Paraíba do Sul river (Fig. 1D) was not supported for X. fuscus in our previous study (Cabanne et al., 2007). In that study we only analyzed mtDNA data and discussed whether the tectonic formation of the valley initiated the divergence of lineages fuscus N and S, which get into contact near the valley (Fig. 1D). Even though divergence times obtained in the present study (Table 4) are slightly older than the first estimates (probably because migration equilibrium was not assumed now) they confirm previous conclusions. The geotectonic valley is not supported as a primary barrier for the divergence between X. fuscus lineages because the valley's age (>15 MYr; Souza et al., 2005) does not match the divergence between lineages (~0.4 MYr) and because the lineages' contact is outside and perpendicular to the valley (Fig. 4). See Cabanne et al. (2007) for a detailed discussion on this issue.

Rivers and their valleys can be primary or secondary barriers to gene flow (Moritz et al., 2000; Patton and da Silva, 2005). A primary barrier is one that imposed itself on an existing taxon range and separated populations that became sister clades. A secondary barrier is the encounter point of clades that evolved elsewhere. A river can also act as a barrier when episodes of overwater dispersal occurred, which can be recognized when haplotypes from each bank present a paraphyletic relationship (see Patton and da Silva, 2005). Lineages brevirostris/tenuirostris and fuscus N meet at the lower portion of the Doce river in Espírito Santo (Fig. 4), thus the river could have been a significant barrier for gene flow. Assuming that the divergence time between X. fuscus and its Amazonian sister clade (Pliocene) is the earliest date of arrival of the ancestral X. fuscus at the AF and considering that the current fluvial system of eastern Brazil was already established at that period (Dominguez et al., 1987; Lundberg et al., 1998; Potter, 1997; Ribeiro, 2006), the Doce river appears to be a secondary barrier. The relationships among the three lineages at eastern Brazil are not well resolved (Fig. 4), possibly as a consequence of rapid diversification. This lack of resolution did not allow us to distinguish between a secondary contact and overwater dispersion (Patton and da Silva, 2005). However, the signal of population expansion for the lineages (Fig. 4C) that meet at the Doce river is congruent with a secondary barrier (Cheviron et al., 2005). An alternative view related to a sort of primary barrier effect of the Doce river proposes that birds could have dispersed along the river from its headwaters in the Espinhaço mountain range in central Minas Gerais and reached the mouth of the stream. Later, downstream populations in each

margin may have diverged due to the isolation by the river. This model is compatible with the population expansion signals that we have found (this work and Cabanne et al., 2007). However, it implies that the headwaters of the Doce river are not an effective barrier and we would expect to find at the springs intermediary haplotypes between the two lineages currently separated by the river. This prediction was not corroborated by the mtDNA data since the lineage *fuscus* N is found at the two margins of the river in its springs (Fig. 4 and Cabanne et al., 2007).

The São Francisco is the largest river in the study area and could have isolated populations of *X. fuscus.* However, this river runs mostly in the middle of a dry landscape (Caatinga) unsuitable for rainforest birds. Thus, it is difficult to detach the effect of the river from the effect of caatingas in the isolation of forest organisms. Also, the São Francisco river is ancient and runs over the tectonically stable Brazilian shield (Lundberg et al., 1998; Potter, 1997; Ribeiro, 2006), thus if it acted as barrier it should be secondary.

4.5. Evolution of the intraspecific pattern of X. fuscus: ecological vicariance

Part of the controversy related to the evolution in refuges lies on whether past climatic cycles fragmented forests significantly (i.e., Colinvaux et al., 2000). Main predictions for the hypothesis of refuges are demographic expansions and secondary contacts of forest taxa (Moritz et al., 2000). According to these predictions and to the model of Fig. 1B, the following general pattern is expected: (i) low genetic diversity and signatures of stability and or demographic decline in NAF, especially in interior regions where rainforest regressed during the Holocene; (ii) high genetic diversity and signature of stability or weak expansion in CAF (high forest stability) and (iii) low genetic diversity and strong signatures of demographic expansion in populations of SAF (low forest stability).

Overall genetic diversity levels are in accordance with the predictions mentioned (Fig. 4C). For both markers, the highest genetic diversity was found in CAF, suggesting that this region maintained a larger population of X. fuscus than the other regions (NAF and SAF). Despite the fact that SAF presents today (before human impact) a similar or even larger range of rainforest than the CAF (Fig. 1A), the difference in genetic diversity levels between both regions suggest that SAF has had smaller populations of X. fuscus over the long term. This comparison showed the impact of the forest history on the genetic diversity of organisms. The demographic signatures suggest population growth for all the samples, except for Ceará where decline was evidenced (Fig. 4C). The decline at Ceará is compatible with the existence of a past large rainforest in the interior of the current Caatinga and thus supported the model depicted in Fig. 1B. The signature of expansion in Alagoas, the other sample from NAF, contrasts with the one of Ceará and with our expectations. Even though the coastal region of Alagoas and Pernambuco, known as the Pernambuco refugia (Carnaval and Moritz, 2008), is likely to have maintained forest over the long term (Fig. 1B), the results suggest that this refugia was smaller than the current distribution of rain forest in the region. A signature of demographic growth in CAF similar to the ones observed at SAF (lineages fuscus N and fuscus S) was not expected (Fig. 4C). However, even though sample sizes of each lineage other than fuscus S are relatively similar (Table 1), the confiability interval of the CAF g-value is smaller than the other intervals. This result may indicate a violation of the LAM-ARC model (constant growth) in regions other than CAF (M. Kuhner personal communication), which would be compatible with the notion of high forest instability at those regions. Fluctuation in the forest range and connectivity related to global glacial cycles could have alternated short periods of growth with periods of stability or population decline in NAF and in SAF, producing the observed demographic patterns. Since CAF forest are likely to have been more stable during the late Pleistocene climatic cycles (Carnaval and Moritz, 2008), any demographic trend could have been maintained longer than in NAF and SAF. However, as the behavior of methods to study historical demography (e.g., LAMARC or the ones based on summary statistics, Ramos-Onsins and Rozas, 2002) are not well understood when the constant population trend is violated (M. Kuhner personal communication), our comparative discussion of the demography of *X. fuscus* should be interpreted with caution.

Secondary contacts also evidence demographic fluctuations compatible with refuges. Our previous study of X. fuscus mtDNA describes a secondary contact between lineages fuscus N and S along no evident barrier to gene flow in central São Paulo (Cabanne et al., 2007). However, the migration-time graphic did not evidence recent gene flow between these lineages (Fig. 5), perhaps because the contact is very recent or the analysis lacked statistical power. Interestingly, phylogeographic gaps in other vertebrates also occur in central and eastern São Paulo (Grazziotin et al., 2006; Mustrangi and Patton, 1997; Pessoa et al., 2006), supporting the idea that common events affected a great proportion of the biota, as could have happened during past global climatic fluctuations. Event though populations are currently isolated, the migration-time graph showed recent gene flow for the pair atlanticus-tenruirostris/brevirostris (Fig. 5). Gene flow is also suggested by the FIB5 network (Fig. 3), which showed one common haplotype shared between interior CAF (brevirostris) and NAF, a pattern likely to be originated by population contact (Omland et al., 2006). These results support the model of Fig. 1B, where short rainforest expansions connected in the past NAF with CAF, and suggested a stronger biogeographic link between interior CAF and NAF than between coastal CAF and NAF.

NAF and CAF populations of *X. fuscus* diverged ~0.5 MYr ago while Ceará and Alagoas populations diverged more than 0.1 MYr ago (Table 4). Even though rainforests are likely to have expanded historically in the interior of he continent and to have survived at the NAF coastal region during the last 21,000 years (Fig 1B), our results suggest that NAF has not been totally covered by rainforest during the recent past (i.e., the last global glaciation). We believe that this spatial and temporal isolation may be responsible for the extraordinary endemism observed at NAF (Galindo Leal and Câmara, 2003). For example, the southern range border of *atlanticus* coincides with a strip of caatingas along the northern basin of the São Francisco river (Fig. 1D) and this region could have acted as a gene flow barrier and isolated the ancestral of *atlanticus*.

Additionally, divergence at NAF may be currently ongoing. This appears to be evidenced by the sample from Ceará that presented only one mtDNA haplotype, but regular diversity at the nuclear marker, different from the ones at Alagoas (Figs. 3 and 4). This genetic diversity pattern was not observed in any other sample of comparable size (i.e., atlanticus from Alagoas or fuscus S) and may have been caused by strong genetic drift, a major factor occurring in allopatric divergence and expected to be strong in small and isolated populations such as the one at Ceará (Serra de Baturité, \sim 125 km²). Our study agrees with the report of Carnaval and Bates (2007) on the phylogeography of two frogs from northeastern Brazil. They found monophyletic populations with low genetic diversity at brejos of Ceará that diverged from coastal Pernambuco and Alagoas more than 0.1 MYr ago. Furthermore, our results and those from others (Carnaval and Bates, 2007; Leite, 2003) support the view that the formation of northernmost forest enclaves (i.e., Serra de Baturité, Fig. 1D) could have been a consequence of the early expansion of caatingas (mid Pleistocene) (Ab'Saber, 1977) and that, in opposition to southern relicts of Ceará (i.e., Serra de Araripe), where X. fuscus does not occur, were not extinct at the end of the Pleistocene.

Contrarily to NAF, isolation by caatingas did not result in a phylogeographic gap between the Chapada Diamantina rainforest relict and coastal rainforest in CAF (Fig. 1D), possibly because the relict is large and recent (Table 4). Samples from the Chapada Diamantina grouped with birds from coastal Bahia and Espírito Santo (Fig. 4), a pattern that supports a recent forest connection between both regions and is in accordance with the model of Fig 1B. Our results show the magnitude of the dynamism of the ecotone rainforest-caatinga (Oliveira et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2004). In some regions, such as interior Bahia (CAF), this dynamism allowed sporadic connection of forests and prevented significant divergence, but in other regions, such as NAF, this dynamism did not allow reconnection of forests and homogenization in the short term.

Our genetic diversity and demographic results (Fig. 4C) matched the general predictions of the model of Carnaval and Moritz (2008). However, the existence of X. fuscus' lineages, as well of other taxa or phylogroups (Brown, 2005; Costa et al., 2000; Grazziotin et al., 2006; Pessoa et al., 2006; Silva et al., 2004), endemic to SAF suggests that the model failed to predict large areas with stable rainforest at SAF. It might be proposed that those X. fuscus' lineages (fuscus N and S) dispersed from the stable CAF after forests expanded in SAF. Under this scenario, the SAF lineages should be derived phylogenetically from the CAF lineage or be present in CAF, but none of these predictions are supported (Fig. 4). Perhaps a small range of stable forest, as predicted for the western SAF (Fig. 1B), might explain the X. fuscus lineages endemic to SAF. However, the high number of other taxa endemic to the eastern SAF (i.e., in Serra do Mar at eastern São Paulo, Paraná and Santa Catarina, Costa et al., 2000; Silva et al., 2004) cannot be explained by these small forests. We believe that the persistence of forest blocks has been essential for the evolution of endemic taxa and phylogroups at eastern SAF.

The IM analyses and the Akaike information criteria (Nielsen and Wakeley, 2001) ruled out fully allopatric divergence. Allopatric models are often used to explain biogeographic patterns, especially those with reciprocal monophyly. However, isolation with gene flow can also result in monophyletic clades (Hey and Nielsen, 2004; Nielsen and Wakeley, 2001; Nosil, 2008). If isolation-migration models were more frequently considered, minor geographic features that are traditionally considered inefficient barriers would become important to explain biogeographic patterns. From this standpoint, climatic changes could be central since they would modulate the effect of gene flow barriers. For example, a river may have a stable course but changes in its water flow induced by climatic alterations could modify its effect as a barrier, or a mountain range could be geologically stable but an alteration of its vegetation cover could also modulate its effect as a barrier. The transition of X. fuscus' lineages at eastern São Paulo occurs in proximity of the Paraíba do Sul valley and the mountain ranges of Serra da Mantiqueira and Serra do Mar (Fig. 4B) (Cabanne et al., 2007). This region is topographically and phytogeographically complex. There are steep altitudinal (>1000 m) and climatic transitions and the vegetation types are various, such as tropical evergreen forest, semideciduous forests, enclaves of Cerrado (South American Savanna, Veloso, 1991) and grasslands at mountains' tops. Besides, palynological studies suggest the existence during the late Pleistocene and early Holocene of a larger range of cerrados and grasslands in southeastern Brazil (Behling, 1998); therefore indicating that local past landscapes were complex as well. Thus, these landscape characteristics may present a partial barrier effect for the gene flow of forest organisms that could have been modulated by climatic oscillations. Hot and dry periods could have expanded cerrados and cold periods could have narrowed forest corridors at hillsides by modifying the grassland-forest line at mountains. This partial barrier effect may be considered together with more widespread changes in the forest distribution during glaciations (Fig. 1B) to explain divergence and transitions of lineages in SAF (Fig. 4).

4.6. Systematics and conservation of X. fuscus atlanticus

Based on our results and on the distinctiveness of the subspecies (Cabanne, unpublished; Marantz et al., 2003), we followed the general lineage species concept (de Queiroz, 1998) and propose to recognize X. fuscus atlanticus as a full species. The mtDNA p-distance between atlanticus and any other genetic lineage (>2.6%, Table 3) is compatible with mtDNA divergences found between well recognized species of the genus Xiphorhynchus (Aleixo, 2002). Gene flow between atlanticus and other lineages was generally low (M < 1) and likely not enough to avoid allele fixation and strong divergence (Hedrick, 2000; Wang, 2004; Wright, 1931). This gene flow level supports the condition of independent evolutionary lineage for atlanticus. Besides, atlanticus is diagnosed by five aminoacidic changes at the mtDNA, whereas the other lineages are only characterized by synonymous or at most one non-synonymous change (Fig. 3). X. fuscus atlanticus is monophyletic at the mtDNA, but it shares one FIB5 haplotype with interior Bahia birds (Figs. 2 and 3). This condition is not unexpected for a nuclear marker and should not be overvalued for taxonomical discussions. Particularly in this case, the specific population is isolated, diagnosable by external characters and monophyletic at mtDNA. All isolated populations will eventually acquire reciprocal monophyly, as stated by the coalescence theory (Hudson, 1990). However, as not all genetic markers fixate new mutations at the same rate, it is expected to find intermediate states of polyphyly in recently isolated populations (Baker et al., 2003; Omland et al., 2006; Zink and Barrowclough, 2008). Our data did not support the other three lineages (tenuirostris/brevirostris, fuscus N and fuscus S) as independent evolutionary entities. Those lineages are differentiated at the mtDNA, but gene flow among populations was relatively high (M > 1) and diagnosis by external characters is not obvious.

If *atlanticus* is recognized as a species it will add a new globally threatened taxon to the region, since the population is already locally endangered. Notwithstanding the shallow genetic divergence (i.e., mtDNA *p*-distance 0.0012), our results indicated that the two populations of *atlanticus* studied (Alagoas and Ceará), should be considered different evolutionary units (Moritz, 1995) and treated as different management units for conservation purposes. Gene flow between Ceará and Alagoas was very low (Table 4), which indicated low genetic exchangeability between them (Crandall et al., 2000). This result was expected as the two regions are isolated by c. 500 km of caatinga and no stepping stone populations are known.

4.7. Conclusions

Some monophyletic lineages of *X. fuscus* were associated to the areas of endemic passerines described by Silva et al. (2004). Since the genetic data of *X. fuscus* supported in some degree the palaeobotanic model of the AF (Fig. 1B), those endemic bird areas appear to be reflecting zones with long term forest stability (se also Carnaval and Moritz, 2008).

According to its biological composition and late Pleistocene and Holocene history, the AF can be divided in at least three areas: NAF and SAF, which are instable in terms of range and connectivity, and the more stable CAF. Perhaps, the stability is one of the reasons why CAF shares a considerable amount of taxa with lowland Amazon forest (i.e., Bates et al., 1998; Ridgely and Tudor, 1996) that appears to be more stable in the long term (Colinvaux et al., 2000). The main forces driving instability at AF are apparently the climatic alterations related to global glacial cycles (Carnaval and Moritz, 2008). A traditional view about diversification driven by glacial cycles is that it occurs in pulses and creates topologically symmetric phylogenies (Marroig and Cerqueira, 1997). However, there would not be such pulses of diversification in the AF as a whole because

instability at NAF and at SAF are not completely synchronic. Forest fragmentation at NAF is maximum during interglacial periods (i.e., Holocene), while fragmentation at SAF is maximum during the peaks of glaciations. Therefore, if ecological vicariance is important for the diversification of the AF biota, these asynchronic cycles of fragmentation would create a constant rhythm of diversification and phylogenies that may not be symmetric. To support this hypothesis, we would expect to find more signatures of population decline and divergence in isolated forest at NAF and additional secondary contact regions at SAF.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Martensen, A. Uezu, J.P. Metzger, L.F. Silveira, M. Francisco, M. Maldonado-Coelho, A. Aleixo, M. Marini and the Field

Museum of Natural History (J. Bates and D. Willard) for providing us with some tissue samples. We also thank IBAMA, Inst. Florestal (SP, Brazil), Inst. Estadual de Florestas (MG, Brazil), Min. de Ecología de Misiones (Argentina), and G. Patané for permits to collect samples. We are also grateful to AQUASIS (W. Silva, C. Albano and A. Campos), J. Albuquerque, R. Pessoa, I. Roesler, S. Seipke, F. Nodari, T. Matsumoto and E. Krauczuk for assistance during field work. D. Meyer, R. Pessoa, M. Kuhner, L. Smith and E. Rynes provided useful comments. We also thank the Associate Editor R. Tashian and two anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the article. This study was funded by FAPESP, FAPEMIG, CNPq, CAPES, PELD/MCT/ CNPq and WWF-US. Part of this work was carried out by using the resources of the Computational Biology Service Unit from Cornell University which is partially funded by Microsoft Corporation.

Appendix A

GenBank accession numbers of sequences other than those of *Xiphorhynchus fuscus* used for phylogenetic analyses (see Appendix B for *X. fuscus* sequences)

Taxon	cytb	ND2	ND3	FIB5	Reference [sample ID]
Sittasomus griseicapillus	<u>AY089796</u>	<u>AY089834</u>	<u>AY089894</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
Lepidocolaptes angustirostris	<u>AY089811</u>	<u>AY089838</u>	<u>AY089881</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
Campyloramphus trochilirostris	<u>AY089822</u>	<u>AY089857</u>	<u>AY089906</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
Xiphorhynchus flavigaster	<u>AY089828</u>	<u>AY089849</u>	<u>AY089896</u>	-	Aleixo (2002)
flavigaster					
X. guttatus guttatus	<u>AY089808</u>	<u>AY089869</u>	<u>AY089908</u>	EF190698	Aleixo (2002), this work [LGEMA P238 = LSU35582] ^{*1}
X. g. eytoni	<u>AY089794</u>	<u>AY089845</u>	<u>AY089884</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. g. guttatoides	<u>AY089791</u>	<u>AY089866</u>	<u>AY089882</u>	—	Aleixo (2002)
X. obsoletus obsoletus	<u>AY089823</u>	<u>AY089868</u>	<u>AY089913</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. chunchotambo chunchotambo	<u>AY089815</u>	<u>AY089844</u>	<u>AY089915</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. ch. Brevirostris	<u>AY089793</u>	<u>AY089846</u>	<u>AY089885</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. ocellatus ocellatus	<u>AY089804</u>	<u>AY089861</u>	<u>AY089909</u>	EF190699	Aleixo (2002), this work [LGEMA
					$P249 = LSUB35600]^{*1}$
X. o. weddellii	<u>AY089820</u>	<u>AY089859</u>	<u>AY089878</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. pardalotus pardalotus	<u>AY089831</u>	<u>AY089848</u>	<u>AY089910</u>	EF190701	Aleixo (2002), this work [LGEMA
					$P265 = LSUB35635]^{*1}$
X. p. pardalotus	EF190607	EF190632	EF190669	EF190700	This work [LGEMA P264 = LSUB35634] ^{*1}
X. elegans elegans	<u>AY089805</u>	<u>AY089852</u>	<u>AY089899</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. e. juruanus	AY089824	<u>AY089874</u>	<u>AY089883</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. e. ornatus	<u>AY089812</u>	<u>AY089841</u>	<u>AY089889</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. susurrans	<u>AY089800</u>	<u>AY089863</u>	<u>AY089914</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. triangularis bangsi	<u>AY089826</u>	<u>AY089864</u>	<u>AY089918</u>	_	Aleixo (2002)
X. spixii	EF190605	EF190630	EF190667	EF190696	This work [FMNH 391312]
X. spixii	EF190606	EF190631	EF190668	EF190697	This work [FMNH 391313]

Underlined accession numbers indicate sequences obtained from GenBank. All samples are muscle.

Cytb, cytochrome b; ND2, NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2; ND3, NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3; FIB5, intron 5 of the β-fibrinogen gene. *1, sample deposited in two institutions. Tissue collections: LGEMA–Laboratório de Genética e Evolução Molecular de Aves, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo; LSU–Louisiana State University Museum of Natural Science, Baton Rouge; FMNH–Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.

Appendix **B**

Collection localities (all in Brazil, except when indicated) of *Xiphorhynchus fuscus* and areas of endemism (Cracraft, 1985; Stattersfield et al., 1998; Silva et al., 2004) to which samples are associated, tissue identification and GenBank accession numbers

Locality	Sub- species	Ν	Cracraft (1985) [*]	Statters field et al. (1998) [*]	-Silva et al. (2004)	Sample ID ^{TISSUE}	cytb	ND2	ND3	FIB5
1 Mulungú, Serra de Baturité, Ceará (CE), 4°17'45'S, 38°55'W, 724 m	atlanticus	3	- - -	- - -	- - -	LGEMA10391 ^B LGEMA10392 ^B LGEMA10395 ^B	EU073025 EU073026 EU073027	EU073030 EU073031 EU073032	EU073035 EU073036 EU073037	EU073040 EU073041 EU073042 EU073043

A	ppendix B (continued)										
L	ocality	Sub- species	Ν	Cracraft (1985) [*]	Statters field et al. (1998) [*]	-Silva et al. (2004)	Sample ID ^{TISSUE}	cytb	ND2	ND3	FIB5
2	Guaramiranga, Serra de Baturité, CE, 4°14'S, 38°56'W, 724 m	atlanticus	2		_	-	LGEMA10406 ^B LGEMA10417 ^B	EU073028 EU073029	EU073033 EU073034	EU073038 EU073039	EU073044 EU073045
3	Ibateguara, Alagoas, 8°59'S, 35°52'W, 200 m	atlanticus	5	SMC	AAP	Pe	FMNH399195 ^N	^A EF190601	EF190608	EF190645	EF190670 EF190683
							FMNH399196 ^N	^A EF190583	EF190609	EF190646	EF190670 EF190683
							FMNH399197 ^N	^A EF190584	EF190610	EF190647	EF190671
							FMNH399198 ^N	⁴ EF190585	EF190611	EF190648	EF190684
							FMNH399199 ^N	^A EF190586	EF190612	EF190649	EF190672
4	Bonito, Bahia (BA). 11°54'S, 41°12'W 945 m	brevirostri	s 1	_	DB	СВ	LGEMAP2267 ^M	¹ EF190597	EF190623	EF190660	EF190685 EF190678
5	Lencois, BA. 12°25′S.	brevirostri	s3	_	DB	CB	LGEMAP2245 ^M	¹ EF190594	EF190620	EF190657	EF190689
	41°21′W, 520 m						LGEMAP2249 ^M	¹ EF190595	EF190621	EF190658	EF190671
							LGEMAP2258 ^M	¹ EF190596	EF190622	EF190659	EF190686
								*			EF190687
6	Porto Seguro, BA. 17°22'S,	tenuirostri	s1	SMC	AL	CoB	LGEMAP330 ^M	AY089819	¹ AY089851	¹ AY089904	¹ EF190692
	40°17′W, 50 m						P				EF190693
7	Salto Divisa, Minas Gerais	tenuirostri	s2	SMC	AL	CoB	B0822 ^B	EF190577	EF190633	EF190639	EF190675
	(MG). 16°5′S, 40°2′W, 100 m						B0918 ^b	EF190582	EF190638	EF190644	EF190673
Q	Jeguitinhonha (Mata Escura)	Δf	r	SMC	R	CR	R0012 ^B	FE100581	FF100627	EE1006/13	EF190679
0	MC $16^{\circ}20$ /S $41^{\circ}0$ /W 900 m	ni. hrevirostri	∠ د	Sivic	DB	CD	00915	LI 190381	LI 190057	LI 190045	FF190694
	WG. 10 20 5, 41 0 W, 500 III	DICVIIOSTI	3				B0911 ^B	EF190580	EF190636	EF190642	EF190678
9	Caratinga, MG. 20°50'S,	fuscus	1	_	_	_	B0908 ^B	EF190578	EF190634	EF190640	EF190674
	42°5′W, 55 m	J									
1	0Nova Lima, MG. 19°59'S, 43°49' W, 900 m	fuscus	1	-	_	-	B0909 ^B	EF190579	EF190635	EF190641	EF190692
1	1Sooretama, Espírito Santo (ES). 19°3'S, 39°55'W, 50 m	fuscus	2	SMC	AL	СоВ	LGEMAP2876 ^N LGEMAP2877 ^N	¹ EF190602 ¹ EF190603	EF190629 EF190627	EF190664 EF190665	EF190695 EF190689
							P				EF190691
1	2Santa Teresa, ES. 19°56'S,	fuscus	1	SMC	AL	SM	LGEMAP1644 ^b	EF190592	EF190618	EF190655	EF190676
1	40°34′W, 650 m 2Cantagalo, Bio do Janoiro (BI)	fuscus	1	SMC	ΔI	CN/		1 EE100604	EE100620	EE100666	EF190680
1	22° SO(W/ 42° 33(W/ 400 m	juscus	1	SIVIC	AL	21/1	LGEINIAF2070	EF190004	EF 190020	EF190000	EF190089
1	4Itatiaia, RJ. 22°24′S, 44°38′W, 800 m	fuscus	1	SMC	AM	SM	LGEMAP1321 ^B	EF190589	EF190615	EF190652	EF190681
1	5Bananal, São Paulo (SP). 22°41'S, 44°19'W, 500 m	fuscus	1	SMC	AL	SM	LGEMAP1171 ^M	¹ EF190588	EF190614	EF190651	EF190692
1	6Barreiro Rico, SP. 22°38'S, 48°13'W, 550 m	fuscus	1	_	AL	SM	LGEMAP1544 ^M	¹ EF190591	EF190617	EF190654	EF190678
1	7São Roque, SP. 23°34'S, 47°09'W, 960 m	fuscus	1	SMC	AM	SM	LGEMAP1024 ^B	EF190587	EF190613	EF190650	EF190692
1	8Morro Grande, SP. 23°42′S, 46°59′W, 800 m	fuscus	1	SMC	AM	SM	LGEMAP652 ^B	EF190598	EF190624	EF190661	EF190692
1	9Morro do Diabo State Park, SP. 22°30'S, 52°18'W, 300 m	fuscus	1	_	-	-	LGEMAP1379 ^B	EF190590	EF190616	EF190653	EF190688
1	UWenceslau Braz, Paraná (PR). 22°51′S, 49°47′W, 800 m	fuscus	1	PRC	AM	SM	LGEMAP937 ^M	EF190599	EF190625	EF190662	EF190681
2	1Campo San Juan, Misiones, Argentina. 27°22'S, 55°39'W, 150 m	fuscus	1	PRC	AM	-	LGEMAP994 ^B	EF190600	EF190626	EF190663	EF190692
2	2Rancho Queimado, Santa Catarina, Br. 27°41'S, 49°2'W, 850 m	fuscus	1	PRC	AM	SM	LGEMAP1771 [™]	¹ EF190593	EF190619	EF190656	EF190692

FIB5 heterozygous individuals present two GenBank accesses, one for each chromosome.

Cytb, cytochrome b; ND2, NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2; ND3, NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3; FIB5, intron 5 of the β-fibrinogen gene.

*SMC, Serra do Mar Center; PRC, Paraná Center; AAP, Atlantic slope of Alagoas and Pernambuco; DB, deciduous forest of Bahia; AL, AF lowland; AM, AF Mountains. Pe, Pernambuco; CB, Central Bahia; CoB, Coastal Bahia; SM, Serra do Mar. Ba, Bahia; RJ, Rio de Janeiro; SC, Santa Catarina. —, not present in any area of endemism. TISSUE, tissue type, M, muscle; B, blood. ¹, from Aleixo (2002). Tissue collections: LGEMA–Laboratório de Genética e Evolução Molecular de Aves, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo. FMNH–Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago. B–Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte.

Author's personal copy

772

G.S. Cabanne et al./Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 49 (2008) 760-773

References

- Ab'Saber, A.N., 1977. Os domínios morfoclimáticos da América do Sul. Primeira aproximação. Geomorfologia 52, 1-21.
- Aleixo, A., 2002. Molecular systematics and the role of the "varzea"-"terra-firme" ecotone in the diversification of *Xiphorhynchus* woodcreepers (Aves : Dendrocolaptidae). Auk 119, 621–640. Axelsson, E., Smith, N.G.C., Sundstrom, H., Berlin, S., Ellegren, H., 2004. Male-biased
- mutation rate and divergence in autosomal, Z-linked and W-linked introns of chicken and turkey. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21, 1538-1547.
- Baker, J.M., Lopez-Medrano, E., Navarro-Siguenza, A.G., Rojas-Soto, O.R., Omland, K.E., 2003. Recent speciation in the orchard oriole group: Divergence of Icterus spurius spurius and Icterus spurius fuertesi. Auk 120, 848-859. Bandelt, H.J., Forster, P., Rohl, A., 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring
- intraspecific phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 37-48.
- Bates, J.M., Hackett, S.J., Cracraft, J., 1998. Area-relationships in the Neotropical lowlands: an hypothesis based on raw distributions of Passerine birds. J. Biogeogr. 25, 783-793.
- Behling, H., 1998. Late Quaternary vegetational and climatic changes in Brazil. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol. 99, 143-156.
- Behling, H., 2002. South and southeast Brazilian grasslands during late Quaternary times: a synthesis. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 177, 19-27.
- Behling, H., Arz, H.W., Patzold, J., Wefer, G., 2000. Late Quaternary vegetational and climate dynamics in northeastern Brazil, inferences from marine core GeoB 3104-1. Quat. Sci. Rev. 19, 981-994.
- Behling, H., Negrelle, R.R.B., 2001. Tropical rain forest and climate dynamics of the Atlantic lowland, southern Brazil, during the late Quaternary. Quat. Res. 56, 383-389.
- Brown, K.S., 2005. Geological, evolutionary, and ecological bases of the diversification of Neotropical butterflies: implications for conservation. In: Berminhgam, E., Dick, C.W., Moritz, C. (Eds.), Tropical Rainforest: Past, Present and Future. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 166–201. Brown, K.S., Ab'Saber, A.N., 1979. Ice-age forest refuges and evolution in Neotropics:
- correlation of paleoclimatological, geomorphological and pedological data with biological endemism. Paleoclimas 5, 1–30.
- Bruen, T.C., Philippe, H., Bryant, D., 2006. A simple and robust statistical test for
- detecting the presence of recombination. Genetics 172, 2665–2681. Bruford, M.W., Hanotte, O., Brookfield, J.F.Y., Burke, T., 1992. Single-locus and multilocus DNA fingerprinting. In: Hoelzel, A.R. (Ed.), Molecular Genetic Analysis of Populations—A Practical Approach. IRL Press, New York, pp. 287–336.
- Cabanne, G.S., Santos, F.R., Miyaki, C.Y., 2007. Phylogeography of Xiphorhynchus fuscus (Passeriformes, Dendrocolaptidae): vicariance and recent demographic expansion in southern Atlantic forest. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 91, 73-84.
- Carnaval, A.C., Bates, J.M., 2007. Amphibian DNA shows marked genetic structure and tracks Pleistocene climate change in northeastern Brazil. Evolution 61, 2942-2957
- Carnaval, A.C., Moritz, C., 2008. Historical climate modelling predicts patterns of current biodiversity in the Brazilian Atlantic forest. J. Biogeogr. 35, 1187-1201.
- Chesser, R.T., 1999. Molecular systematics of the rhinocryptid genus Pteroptochos. Condor 101, 439-446.
- Cheviron, Z.A., Hackett, S.J., Capparella, A.P., 2005. Complex evolutionary history of a Neotropical lowland forest bird (Lepidothrix coronata) and its implications for historical hypotheses of the origin of Neotropical avian diversity. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 36, 338-357.
- Colinvaux, P.A., De Oliveira, P.E., Bush, M.B., 2000. Amazonian and Neotropical plant communities on glacial time-scales: the failure of the aridity and refuge hypotheses. Quat. Sci. Rev. 19, 141-169.
- Costa, L.P., 2003. The historical bridge between the Amazon and the Atlantic forest of Brazil: a study of molecular phylogeography with small mammals. J. Biogeogr. 30, 71-86.
- Costa, L.P., Leite, Y.L.R., da Fonseca, G.A.B., da Fonseca, M.T., 2000. Biogeography of South American forest mammals: endemism and diversity in the Atlantic forest. Biotropica 32, 872-881.
- Cracraft, J., 1985. Historical biogeography and patterns of differentiation within the South American avifauna: areas of endemism. Ornithol. Monogr. 36, 49-84.
- Crandall, K.A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Mace, G.M., Wayne, R.K., 2000. Considering evolutionary processes in conservation biology: an alternative to 'evolutionary significant units'. Trends Ecol. Evol. 15, 290-295.
- de Queiroz, K., 1998. The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process of speciation: a conceptual unification and terminological recommendations. In: Howard, D., Berlocher, S.H. (Eds.), Endless Forms: Species and Speciation. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 57–75.
- Dolman, G., Moritz, C., 2006. A multilocus perspective on refugial isolation and divergence in rainforest skinks (Carlia). Evolution 60, 573-582.
- Dominguez, J.M.L., Martin, L., Bittencourt, A.C.S.P., 1987. Sea-level history and Quaternary evolution of river mouth-associated beach-ridge plains along the east-southeast Brazilian coast: a summary. In: Nummedal, D., Pilkey, O.H., Howard, J.D. (Eds.), Sea-level Fluctuations and Coastal Evolution. Society for Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Oklahoma, pp. 115-127.
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S., 2006. Arlequin ver. 3.1. An Integrated Software Package for Population Genetics Data Analysis. Computational and Molecular Population Genetics Lab (CMPG), Institute of Zoology, University of Berne.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E., Quattro, J.M., 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes-application to human mitochondrial-DNA restriction data. Genetics 131, 479-491.

- Farris, J.S., Kallersjo, M., Kluge, A.G., Bult, C., 1994. Testing significance of incongruence. Cladistics 10, 315-319. Galindo Leal, C., Câmara, I.d.G., 2003. The Atlantic Forest of South America:
- Biodiversity Status, Threats, and Outlook. Island Press, Washington.
- Goldman, N., Anderson, J.P., Rodrigo, A.G., 2000. Likelihood-based tests of topologies in phylogenetics. Syst. Biol. 49, 652-670.
- Grazziotin, F.G., Monzel, M., Echeverrigaray, S., Bonatto, S.L., 2006. Phylogeography of the Bothrops jararaca complex (Serpentes : Viperidae): past fragmentation and island colonization in the Brazilian Atlantic forest, Mol. Ecol. 15, 3969-3982.
- Guindon, S., Gascuel, O., 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 52, 696-704.
- Haffer, J., 1969. Speciation in Amazonian Forest Birds. Science 165, 131-137.
- Haffer, J., 1985. Avian zoogeography of th2 Neotropical lowlands. Ornithol. Monogr. 36. 113-146.
- Haffer, J., Prance, G.T., 2001. Climatic forcing of evolution in Amazonia during the Cenozoic: on the refuge theory of biotic differentiation. Amazoniana 16, 579-605
- Hedrick, P.W., 2000. Genetics of Populations. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston.
- Hey, J., Nielsen, R., 2004. Multilocus methods for estimating population sizes, migration rates and divergence time, with applications to the divergence of Drosophila pseudoobscura and D. persimilis. Genetics 167, 747–760.
- Ho, S.Y.W., Phillips, M.J., Cooper, A., Drummond, A.J., 2005. Time dependency of molecular rate estimates and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22, 1561-1568.
- Hudson, R.R., 1990. Gene genealogies and the coalescence process. Oxf. Surv. Evol. Biol. 7, 1-44.
- Hudson, R.R., Kaplan, N.L., 1985. Statistical properties of the number of recombination events in the history of a sample of DNA-sequences. Genetics 111, 147-164.
- Huson, D.H., Bryant, D., 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 23, 254-267.
- IBAMA, 2003. Lista das Espécies Ameaçadas de Extinção. IBAMA, Brasilia. Irwin, D.E., 2002. Phylogeographic breaks without geographic barriers to gene flow.
- Evolution 56, 2383-2394. Kuhner, M.K., 2006. LAMARC 2.0: maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimation of population parameters. Bioinformatics 22, 768-770.
- Lacerda, D.R., Marini, M.A., Santos, F.R., 2007. Mitochondrial DNA corroborates the species distinctiveness of the Planalto (Thamnophilus pelzelni Hellmayr, 1924), the Sooretama (*T. ambiguus* Swainson, 1825) Slaty-antshrikes (Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae). Braz. J. Biol. 67, 873–882.
- Lara, M.C., Geise, L., Schneider, C.J., 2005. Diversification of small mammals in the Atlantic forest of Brazil: testing the alternatives. UC Publ. Zool. 133, 311-334.
- Lara, M.C., Patton, J.L., 2000. Evolutionary diversification of spiny rats (genus Trinomys, Rodentia : Echimyidae) in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 130, 661-686.
- Ledru, M.P., Rousseau, D.D., Cruz, F.W., Riccomini, C., Karmann, I., Martin, L., 2005. Paleoclimate changes during the last 100,000 yr from a record in the Brazilian Atlantic rainforest region and interhemispheric comparison. Quat. Res. 64, 444-450.
- Ledru, M.P., Salgado-Labouriau, M.L., Lorscheitter, M.L., 1998. Vegetation dynamics in southern and central Brazil during the last 10,000 yr BP. Rev. Palaeobot. Palvnol. 99, 131-142.
- Leite, Y.L.R., 2003. Evolution and systematics of the Atlantic tree rats, genus Phyllomys (Rodentia, Echimyidae), with description of two new species. UC Publ. Zool. 132, 1-118.
- Lougheed, S.C., Freeland, J.R., Handford, P., Boag, P.T., 2000. A molecular phylogeny of warbling-finches (*Poospiza*): paraphyly in a Neotropical emberizid genus. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 17, 367–378. Lundberg, J.G., Marshal, L.G., Guerrero, J., Horton, B., Malabarba, M.S.L., Wessenligh,
- F., 1998. The stage for Neotropical fish diversification: a history of tropical South America rivers. In: Malabarba, L.R., Reis, E.R., Vari, R.P., Lucena, Z.M., Lucena, C.A.S. (Eds.), Phylogeny and Classification of Neotropical Fishes. EDIPUCRS, Porto Alegre, pp. 13-48.
- Marantz, C.A., Aleixo, A., Bevier, L.R., Patten, M.A., 2003. Family Dendrocolaptidae (woodcreepes). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliot, A., Christie, D. (Eds.), Handbook of the Birds of the World, vol. 8. Broadbills to Tapaculos. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Marini, M.A., Hackett, S.J., 2002. A multifaceted approach to the characterization of an intergeneric hybrid manakin (Pipridae) from Brazil. Auk 119, 1114-1120.
- Marroig, G., Cerqueira, R., 1997. Plio-Pleistocene South American history and the Amazon lagoon hypothesis: a piece in the puzzle of Amazonian diversification. J. Comp. Biol. 2, 103–119.
- Mc Donald, J.H., Kreitman, M., 1991. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in Drosophila. Nature 351, 652-654.
- Moritz, C., 1995. Uses of molecular phylogenies for conservation. Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B 349, 113-118.
- Moritz, C., Patton, J.L., Schneider, C.J., Smith, T.B., 2000. Diversification of rainforest faunas: an integrated molecular approach. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 31, 533-563.
- Mustrangi, M.A., Patton, J.L., 1997. Phylogeography and systematics of the slender mouse opossum Marmosops (Marsupialia: Didelphidae). UC Publ. Zool. 130, 1-86.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Kent, J., 2000.
- Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403, 853–858. Nachman, M.W., 1998. Deleterious mutations in animal mitochondrial DNA. Genetica 103, 61–69.

- Nei, M., Kumar, S., 2000. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York.
- Nielsen, R., Wakeley, J., 2001. Distinguishing migration from isolation: a Markov chain Monte Carlo approach. Genetics 158, 885–896.
- Niemiller, M.L., Fitzpatrick, B.M., Miller, B.T., 2008. Recent divergence with gene flow in Tennessee cave salamanders (Plethodontidae: *Gyrinophilus*) inferred from gene genealogies. Mol. Ecol. 17, 2258–2275.
- Nores, M., 1992. Bird speciation in subtropical South-America in relation to forest expansion and retraction. Auk 109, 346–357.
- Nosil, P., 2008. Speciation with gene flow could be common. Mol. Ecol. 17, 2103– 2106.
- Oliveira, P.E., Barreto, A.M.F., Suguio, K., 1999. Late Pleistocene/Holocene climatic and vegetational history of the Brazilian caatinga: the fossil dunes of the middle São Francisco River. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 152, 319–337.
 Omland, K.E., Baker, J.M., Peters, J.L., 2006. Genetic signatures of intermediate
- Omland, K.E., Baker, J.M., Peters, J.L., 2006. Genetic signatures of intermediate divergence. population history of Old and New World Holarctic ravens (*Corvus corax*). Mol. Ecol. 15, 795–808.
- Patton, J.L., da Silva, M.N.F., 2005. The history of Amazonian mammals: mechanisms and timing of diversification. In: Berminhgam, E., Dick, C.W., Moritz, C. (Eds.), Tropical Rainforest: Past, Present, and Future. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 107–126.Pellegrino, K.C.M., Rodrigues, M.I., Waite, A.N., Morando, M., Yassuda, Y.Y., Sites,
- Pellegrino, K.C.M., Rodrigues, M.I., Waite, A.N., Morando, M., Yassuda, Y.Y., Sites, J.W., 2005. Phylogeography and species limits in the *Gymnodactylus darwinii* complex (Gekkonidae, Squamata): genetic structure coincides with river systems in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 85, 13–26.
- Pessoa, R.O., Cabanne, G.S., Sari, E.H., Santos, F.R., Miyaki, C.Y., 2006. Comparative phylogeography of the Rufous Gnateater (Conopophagidae) and lesser woodcreeper (Dendrocolaptidae): congruent history of two passerines from the south American Atlantic forest. J. Ornithol. 147, 227–228.
- Petri, S., Fulfaro, V.J., 1983. Geologia do Brasil—Fanerozoico. Editora da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Pinho, C., Harris, D.J., Ferrand, N., 2008. Non-equilibrium estimates of gene flow inferred from nuclear genealogies suggest that Iberian and North African wall lizards (*Podarcis* spp.) are an assemblage of incipient species. BMC Evol. Biol. 8, 63.
- Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14, 817–818.
- Potter, P.E., 1997. The Mesozoic and Cenozoic palaeodrainages of South America: a natural history. J. South Am. Earth Sci. 10, 331–344.
- Prum, R.O., 1988. Historical relationships among avian forest areas of endemism in the Neotropics. XIX International Congress of Ornithology, pp. 2562–2572.
- Ramos-Onsins, S.E., Rozas, J., 2002. Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against population growth. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19, 2092–2100.
- Ribas, C.C., Gaban-Lima, R., Miyaki, C.Y., Cracraft, J., 2005. Historical biogeography and diversification within the Neotropical parrot genus *Pionopsitta* (Aves : Psittacidae). J. Biogeogr. 32, 1409–1427.
 Ribas, C.C., Miyaki, C.Y., 2004. Molecular systematics in *Aratinga* parakeets: species
- Ribas, C.C., Miyaki, C.Y., 2004. Molecular systematics in Aratinga parakeets: species limits and historical biogeography in the 'solstitialis' group, and the systematic position of Nandayus nenday. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 30, 663–675.
- Ribeiro, A.C., 2006. Tectonic history and the biogeography of the freshwater fishes from the coastal drainages of eastern Brazil: an example of faunal evolution associated with a divergent continental margin. Neotron, Ichthyol. 4, 225–246.
- associated with a divergent continental margin. Neotrop. Ichthyol. 4, 225–246. Riccomini, C., Peloggia, A.U.G., Salón, J.C.L., Kohner, M.W., Figueira, R.M., 1989. Neotectonic activity in the Serra do Mar rift system (southeastern Brazil). J. South Am. Earth Sci. 2, 191–197.
- Ricklefs, R.E., 2007. Estimating diversification rates from phylogenetic information. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 601–610.
- Ridgely, R.S., Tudor, G., 1996. The birds of South America: the Suboscine Passerines. University of Texas Press, Austin.

- Shimodaira, H., Hasegawa, M., 1999. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 1114– 1116.
- Silva, J.M.C., 1996. Distribution of Amazonian and Atlantic birds in gallery forest of the cerrado region. Ornitol. Neotrop. 7, 1–18.
- Silva, J.M.C., Casteleti, C.H.M., 2003. Status of the biodiversity of the Atlantic forest of Brazil. In: Galindo Leal, C., Câmara, I.d.G. (Eds.), The Atlantic Forest of South America: Biodiversity Status, Threats, and Outlook. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Silva, J.M.C., De Sousa, M.C., Castelleti, C.H.M., 2004. Areas of endemism for passerine birds in Atlantic Forest, South America. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 13, 85– 93.
- Silva, J.M.C., Straube, F.C., 1996. Systematics and biogeography of scaled woodcreepers (Aves: Dendrocolpatidae). Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ. 31, 3– 10.
- Sorenson, M.D., Ast, J.C., Dimcheff, D.E., Yuri, T., Mindell, D.P., 1999. Primers for a PCR-based approach to mitochondrial genome sequencing in birds and other vertebrates. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 12, 105–114.
- Souza, G.C.R., Suguio, K., Santos, S.A., Oliveira, P.A., 2005. Quaternário do Brasil. Holos Editora, Ribeirão Preto.
- Stattersfield, A.J., Crosby, M.J., Long, A.J., Wege, D.C., 1998. Endemic Bird Areas of the World: Priorities for Biodiversity Conservation. BirdLife International, Cambridge, UK.
- Stephens, M., Donnelly, P., 2003. A comparison of Bayesian methods for haplotype reconstruction from population genotype data. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 73, 1162– 1169.
- Stephens, M., Smith, N.J., Donnelly, P., 2001. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 68, 978– 989.
- Stotz, D.F., Fitzpatrick, J.W., Parker III, T.A., Moskovits, D.K., 1996. Neotropical Birds: Ecology and Conservation. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Swofford, D., 2001. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (* and other methods), Beta Version 4.0.B10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA. Tabarelli, M., Pinto, L.P., Silva, J.M.C., Hirota, M., Bede, L., 2005. Challenges and
- Tabarelli, M., Pinto, L.P., Silva, J.M.C., Hirota, M., Bede, L., 2005. Challenges and opportunities for Biodiversity conservation in the Brazilian Atlantic forest. Conserv. Biol. 19, 695–700.
- Tajima, F., 1989. Statistical-method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123, 585–595.
- Tamura, K., Nei, M., 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial-DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 10, 512–526.
- Vanzolini, P.E., Williams, E.E., 1970. South American anoles: the geographic differentiation and evolution of the Anolis chrysolepis species group (Sauria: Iguanidae). Arquiv. zool. (S. Paulo) 19, 1–298.
- Veloso, H.P., 1991. Classificação da Vegetação Brasileira, Adaptada a um Sistema Universal. Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, Rio de Janeiro.
- Wang, J.L., 2004. Application of the one-migrant-per-generation rule to conservation and management. Conserv. Biol. 18, 332–343.
- Wang, X.F., Auler, A.S., Edwards, R.L., Cheng, H., Cristalli, P.S., Smart, P.L., Richards, D.A., Shen, C.C., 2004. Wet periods in northeastern Brazil over the past 210 kyr linked to distant climate anomalies. Nature 432, 740–743.
- Won, Y.J., Hey, J., 2005. Divergence population genetics of chimpanzees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22, 297–307.
- Wright, S., 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics 16, 97–159.
- Wright, S., 1940. Breeding structure of populations in relation to speciation. Am. Nat. 47, 232–248.
- Zink, R.M., Barrowclough, G.F., 2008. Mitochondrial DNA under siege in avian phylogeography. Mol. Ecol. 17, 2107–2121.