

Antimalarial drugs: modes of action and mechanisms of parasite resistance

Ingrid B Müller¹ & John E Hyde[†]

¹Department of Biochemistry, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Hamburg, Germany

[†]Author for correspondence: Manchester Interdisciplinary Biocentre, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester, 131 Princess Street, Manchester, M1 7DN, UK ■ Tel.: +44 161 306 4185 ■ Fax: +44 161 306 5201

■ john.hyde@manchester.ac.uk

Malaria represents one of the most serious threats to human health worldwide, and preventing and curing this parasitic disease still depends predominantly on the administration of a small number of drugs whose efficacy is continually threatened and eroded by the emergence of drug-resistant parasite populations. This has an enormous impact on the mortality and morbidity resulting from malaria infection, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, where the lethal human parasite species *Plasmodium falciparum* accounts for approximately 90% of deaths recorded globally. Successful treatment of uncomplicated malaria is now highly dependent on artemisinin-based combination therapies. However, the first cases of artemisinin-resistant field isolates have been reported recently and potential replacement antimalarials are only in the developmental stages. Here, we summarize recent progress in tackling the problem of parasite resistance and discuss the underlying molecular mechanisms that confer resistance to current antimalarial agents as far as they are known, understanding of which should assist in the rational development of new drugs and the more effective deployment of older ones.

Despite being a disease that is both preventable and curable, malaria still has an enormous impact on human health throughout the tropical and subtropical ranges of the many *Anopheles* mosquito species that transmit the pathogens responsible. Malaria is caused by protozoan parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*, five species of which are now known to infect humans, *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. malariae*, *P. ovale* and *P. knowlesi*. *P. ovale* was recently proposed on persuasive molecular evidence to comprise two subspecies [1] and *P. knowlesi* is a parasite of primates able to infect humans via zoonosis [2,3]. Of these five, *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* are numerically by far the most important, with the latter conservatively estimated to account for the deaths of some 1 million people per year and morbidity affecting approximately 250 million [4,201]. These statistics have profound implications for social and healthcare systems as well as economic capacity.

Malaria parasites exhibit complex lifecycles in both of their hosts, with clinical symptoms arising from cycles of erythrocytic invasion, growth and division, followed by cell lysis and reinvasion. *P. falciparum* is especially dangerous as this species is able to remodel the erythrocyte to enable infected cells to adhere to endothelial surfaces, thus progressively blocking microcapillaries in the major organs, including the

brain. In this way, the parasite reduces passage of infected erythrocytes through the spleen, which is able to detect and destroy such compromised cells. *P. falciparum* is the principal parasite in sub-Saharan Africa and accounts for approximately 90% of all deaths worldwide from malaria [5]. Most fatal cases affect children aged under 5 years, where levels of acquired immunity to the parasite are often insufficient to allow survival beyond infancy.

Intense efforts have been underway for decades to produce vaccines that can enhance levels of protective immunity and at long last, encouraging results are emerging from large-scale field trials of the hitherto most promising vaccine formulation, known as RTS,S [6,7], which it is hoped will reach the stage of licensing by 2012. Protection from mosquito bites has also been stepped up in recent years in the form of a more widespread and systematic distribution of insecticide-impregnated bednets [201]. However, chemoprophylaxis and chemotherapy still play the central role in combating malaria infections [8] and will continue to do so for the foreseeable future. This role is, however, compromised by two major factors: the quite modest number of antimalarial types currently licensed for use or proceeding to large-scale clinical trials [9] and the inexorable emergence and spread of parasite strains that are resistant to the drugs that have

Keywords

■ antifolate ■ artemisinin
■ atovaquone ■ gene
polymorphism ■ parasite drug
resistance ■ quinoline

been deployed. Such resistance can arise rapidly from a combination of circumstances that, in the host population, include overuse of drugs for prophylaxis and incomplete therapeutic treatments of infected patients, either by lack of compliance or the unwitting use of counterfeit drugs deficient in the stated dose, and in the parasite population, include genetic and metabolic flexibility together with prodigious rates of reproduction and dispersal of resistance genes via sexual recombination in the mosquito. The molecular methodologies developed in recent years, together with enormously accelerated genome sequencing capabilities, are facilitating rapid increases in our analyses and understanding of the genetic and biochemical phenomena underlying drug resistance [10–13], but each of the antimalarial drug types has a different history, a different impact when compromised and different molecular mechanisms underlying parasite resistance to them, although, as will be seen, there are certainly areas of commonality. We set out here to give an overview and update on what is understood regarding such mechanisms and how parasite resistance relates to the situation in the field. We concentrate on the most dangerous of the human parasites, *P. falciparum*, the only species for which extensive data relating to drug resistance exist, both at the molecular and epidemiological levels.

Quinolines & related compounds: blocking parasite detoxification of heme

Quinine (QN), extracted from the bark of the *Cinchona* tree, takes its name from the Inca word for this substance (quina-quina; bark of barks). Its structure is built upon the quinoline ring system, as are those of the major synthetic derivatives chloroquine (CQ), amodiaquine (ADQ), primaquine, piperazine (PIP) and mefloquine (MQ). Two other important antimalarials, more loosely related to QN structurally, are halofantrine (HF) and lumefantrine (LMF; benflumetol), based on phenanthrene and fluorene ring systems, respectively (see TABLE 1 for a full list of abbreviations). All of these compounds, with the exception of primaquine (used primarily to combat *P. vivax* infections), are thought to share a common target: the formation of the parasite-specific substance hemozoin, described below, although additional targets may also be involved in some cases [14]. It is appropriate to begin with the 4-aminoquinoline CQ, which became clinically available in 1947 as a less toxic and more readily produced substitute for QN, and is one of the longest-serving of the synthetic

antimalarials that appeared over the course of the last century. CQ is also rightly considered to be one of the most successful drugs ever produced, being a safe and cheap compound that has saved countless millions of lives. However, its use against *P. falciparum* is now confined to limited areas of North Africa, Central America and the Caribbean region, where it retains its efficacy. Resistance to CQ was first reported from the Thai–Cambodian border regions in 1957 and on the Colombian–Venezuelan border shortly afterwards, and over the late 1970s and 1980s has spread to all of sub-Saharan Africa with profound consequences [15,16].

Chloroquine acts by interfering with the sequestration of toxic heme (ferriprotoporphyryn IX) moieties produced when hemoglobin is digested by the intra-erythrocytic parasite to obtain a major portion of its amino acid requirements. The heme, in the form of β -hematin dimers, is normally complexed by a process of biomineralization into hemozoin, which is deposited in a crystalline form in the lysosome-like acidic food (or digestive) vacuole ($\text{pH} \leq 5.5$), into which the hemoglobin is initially imported by endocytosis [17,18]. CQ and related drugs bind to heme, preventing the detoxification process of dimerization and crystallization, and producing complexes that are detrimental to both membranes and enzymes [19]. These are ultimately lethal to the parasite [14], although the precise molecular composition of the complexes depends both on the drug in question and the physiological conditions prevailing within the food vacuole [20]. The accepted view over several decades has been that the much greater accumulation of CQ in the vacuole in sensitive parasites compared with resistant strains can adequately explain CQ resistance [21], although this has recently been challenged as an oversimplification [22]. The arduous search for the genetic basis of CQ resistance and the discovery of the key gene, *pfert* (for ‘chloroquine resistance transporter’ [CRT]) in 2000 [23], has been well documented (e.g., [16,24]). This gene, located on chromosome 7, is highly polymorphic with at least 20 variable codon positions reported to date, generating over 20 known unique protein sequences [25]. Certain underlying nucleotide substitutions show tight linkage to the CQ-resistance phenotype in most laboratory-adapted and field strains of *P. falciparum* [23] and the key amino-acid change required to allow resistance to emerge has been identified as K76T. Its importance was definitively confirmed by transfecting the relevant region of mutant

Table 1. *Plasmodium falciparum* proteins with a proven or likely role in resistance to clinical antimalarial drugs.

| Protein | Function | Location | Principal drugs affected [†] | Comments | Polymorphisms |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| ATP6 (SERCA), putative | Membrane-bound Ca ²⁺ -transporting ATPase | Endoplasmic reticulum | ART | Putative determinant | L263E (not yet seen in field isolates) |
| CRT | Transporter | Membrane of food vacuole | CQ, ADQ | Major determinant | C72S, M74I, N75D/E, K76T [‡] , A220S/H, Q271E/V, N326S/D, I356T/L, I371R |
| | | | MQ, HF, LMF, ART, QN, PIP | Minor determinant | |
| CYT b | Subunit of complex III (cytochrome bc ₁ complex) electron transport chain | Mitochondrion | ATV | Administered as synergistic combination of ATV and PG | Y268S/N/C |
| DHFR | Folate pathway enzyme | Cytoplasm (principally) | PYR, PG, chlorproguanil | DHPS and DHFR targeted simultaneously in synergistic combinations of antifolates | N51I, C59R, S108N [‡] , I164L or C50R, N51I, S108N [‡] , I164L for PYR; A16V, S108T [‡] or N51I, C59R, S108N [‡] , I164L for PG |
| DHPS | Folate pathway enzyme | Cytoplasm (principally) | SDX, dapsone | DHPS and DHFR targeted simultaneously in synergistic combinations of antifolates | S436A/F, A437G [‡] , K540E, A581G, A613S/T |
| MDR1 or PGH1 | Transporter | Membrane of food vacuole | MQ, HF, LMF, QN | Major determinant | N86Y [‡] , Y184F, S1034C, N1042D, D1246Y; also copy number important |
| | | | CQ, ADQ, ART | Minor determinant | |
| MRP1 | Transporter | Membrane-bound vesicles on parasite surface membrane | CQ, QN | Possibly also LMF, ART and antifolates | Possibly H191Y, S436A, I876V, R1466K |
| NHE1 | Transporter | Parasite surface membrane/membrane of food vacuole (putatively) | QN | Limited corroboration in field studies to date | Copy numbers of repeat motifs DNNND and NHNDNHNNDD affect susceptibility levels |
| TCTP, putative | Ortholog of human histamine-releasing factor | Not yet established | ART | 2.5-fold overexpression of TCTP in resistant rodent parasites; possible influence in host histamine synthesis | Not yet known |

[†]Only drugs discussed in the review are listed here.

[‡]Key polymorphisms.

ADQ: Amodiaquine; ART: Artemisinin; ATV: Atovaquone; CQ: Chloroquine; CRT: Chloroquine resistance transporter; CYT: Cytochrome; DHFR: Dihydrofolate reductase; DHPS: Dihydropteroate synthetase; HF: Halofantrine; LMF: Lumefantrine; MDR: Multidrug resistance; MQ: Mefloquine; MRP: Multidrug resistance-associated protein; NHE: Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger; PG: Proguanil; PGH: P-glycoprotein homolog; PIP: Piperaquine; PQ: Primaquine; PYR: Pyrimethamine; QN: Quinine; SDX: Sulfadoxine; SERCA: Sarco/endoplasmic reticulum calcium-dependent ATPase ortholog; TCTP: Translationally controlled tumor protein ortholog.

pfert genes into different sensitive parasite lines and observing the expected change in phenotype [26]. The K76T mutation is invariably found in association with a minimum of three other residue changes, some of which at least are thought likely to compensate for unfavorable effects of K76T on the normal function of the CRT transporter [25,27], which belongs to a recently identified subgroup of proteins belonging to a superfamily of drug and metabolite transporters (DMT superfamily) [28,29]. Interestingly, there is no correlation between mutations in

the *P. vivax* ortholog of CRT and observed CQ resistance [30]. Similarly, in rodent parasite systems, *P. chabaudi* CRT was found to be unaltered in a series of CQ-resistant strains [31] and *P. berghei* CRT also appears not to be involved in this phenotype [32]. These studies serve as a more general caveat that for a particular drug, there may be more than one independent mechanism by which parasite resistance can develop.

Since the importance of *P. falciparum* CRT (PfCRT) was elucidated, numerous field studies in different regions of the world (e.g. [33–36])

have found that nearly all CQ-resistant strains examined carry the K76T alteration, confirming its key role in determining clinical outcome. Moreover, resistant parasites manipulated to reverse the K76T mutation revert to a sensitive phenotype [37]. The most recent transfection studies have, however, shown conclusively that the observed range of CQ resistance responses is strongly dependent upon the precise genetic background of the parasite lines in question [38] and that in certain backgrounds, K76T does not necessarily lead to clinical failure, but still increases tolerance to CQ, such that recrudescences are likely to occur. Various models have emerged as to how the K76T change might mediate drug resistance, particularly in relation to CQ flux [39]. One of the more widely cited is the 'charged drug leak' hypothesis, in which the positive charge of K76 in wild-type strains greatly limits efflux of CQ, a weak diprotic base of which almost 100% will carry two positive charges in the acidic conditions of the vacuole. If the charge on lysine is replaced by an uncharged side-chain, as in K76T, then CQ^{2+} can exit down its concentration gradient via the CRT. However, mutations elsewhere in the protein can restore a positive charge to the pore, thus compensating for K76T and reversing the resistance phenotype, as in an apparently unusual sensitive strain from South-East Asia, where an S163R alteration (in the fourth transmembrane domain) is found along with K76T [40,41]. Similarly, a number of compounds, such as verapamil, that are able to reverse CQ resistance often exhibit both lipophilic and positively charged regions that would allow them to effectively compete with CQ for binding to PfCRT, and hence retard the exit of the drug from the food vacuole [27,42,43].

This qualitative model, while providing a simple explanation for how CQ can escape the vacuole of resistant parasites, does not account for several quantitative measurements, including the very high levels of drug accumulation (in mM concentrations) seen in the wild-type vacuole. It is now realized that other factors need to be considered in more refined models, including the degree and nature of the binding of CQ to heme inside the food vacuole, the proton gradient prevailing across the vacuolar membrane, the differing energy dependence of efflux from sensitive and resistant lines and the kinetics of influx and efflux [41,44,45]. The issue of proton gradients, the precise vacuolar pH values in CQ-sensitive and CQ-resistant parasite lines, and how these quantitatively affect the level of drug accumulation in the vacuole have

been a subject of some contention, stemming at least in part from the challenging technical problems of measuring pH changes accurately within this organelle [46,47]. However, consistent with the basic model above, direct measurements of proton loss from the vacuole compared in transfected parasites that differ only in their *crt* alleles show that a CQ-associated proton leak can only be detected in the resistant forms [48]. Moreover, an important proof necessary to support any model in which PfCRT is proposed as a mediator of drug efflux is to show directly that the protein can specifically bind drug [49], and further that the K76T mutant form of PfCRT is indeed the agent through which diprotonated CQ is transported. Such experiments are extremely difficult in the parasite itself, so for the latter, advantage was taken of the versatile *Xenopus* oocyte system, permitting measurements of drug transport across the oocyte plasma membrane before and after heterologous expression of PfCRT at its surface [21]. Wild-type PfCRT was unable to transport CQ^{2+} , in contrast to the mutant type from resistant parasites. Interestingly, wild-type PfCRT modified solely at the K76T position was also impermeable to the charged drug, demonstrating that one or more of the additional mutations found in all field isolates are also critical for this function, i.e., K76T is a necessary but not sufficient alteration. Conversely, introduction of T76K (or S163R) into a normally resistant form (i.e., reintroducing a positive charge into either transmembrane domain 1 or 4) once again prevented transport, consistent with earlier studies implicating domains 1, 4 and 9 as major components in the recognition of different quinoline drugs and their translocation [50]. Indeed, PfCRT appears to play a significant role in the resistance phenotype against drugs other than CQ, as described below, but other transporters must also be considered to provide a more complete picture.

Other transporters involved in resistance to quinoline drugs

Other genes have been identified that encode transporter proteins putatively or definitively located on the food vacuole membrane or the plasma membrane, such as the *P. falciparum* multidrug resistance (PfMDR)1 protein, also known as P-glycoprotein homolog (PfPGH)1, Na^+/H^+ exchanger (PfNHE)1 and multidrug resistance-associated protein (PfMRP)1 (TABLE 1), and these too have been implicated in drug resistance mechanisms [43,51–54]. Of these,

the ATP-binding cassette-type transporter, PfMDR1, encoded on chromosome 5, has been investigated the most intensively. This is thought to be involved in importing solutes into the food vacuole [55] and is also capable of ATP-dependent export of hydrophobic compounds from the vacuole, including drugs such as MQ, HF and the unrelated artemisinin (ART) derivatives (see below) [19,42]. Direct evidence for this has also been obtained by expression of wild-type and mutant forms of PfMDR1 in the *Xenopus* oocyte system, where, for example, the single amino acid substitution of N86Y resulted in the loss of ability to transport QN and CQ but acquisition of a HF-transporting capability, while a N1042D change was also associated with loss of QN transport [56]. Further investigation of these effects will be aided by the recent development of a heterologous expression system for PfMDR1 in the yeast *Pichia pastoris* coupled with a photo-labeled CQ probe to monitor interactions of the quinolines with this protein [57].

Depending upon the precise genetic background of the strain in question, mutations in the *pfmdr1* gene can also modulate levels of CQ resistance in parasites, as would be predicted from the study above [56], but only if *pfert* is already mutated to a resistant form [58,59]. Patterns are complex however; for instance, in resistant South-American strains, the relevant *pfert* haplotype is commonly associated with mutations in *pfmdr1* that yield S1034C, N1042D and D1246Y, whereas in Africa and Asia, a different *pfert*-resistant haplotype is often found with the N86Y change in PfMDR1 [60]. The varying combinations of *pfert* and *pfmdr1* haplotypes found in different continental locations have been ascribed to different histories of drug usage [61], but even within a single country (Thailand) eight different combinations of the two genes were identified in a relatively small study [36]. Moreover, even in strains with identical *pfert* and *pfmdr1* haplotypes, there is still significant variation in measured levels of CQ resistance, indicating that additional genes can make a contribution to the precise phenotype [62]. A further complication is that mutations in *pfert* alone have also been shown to correlate with changes in the expression levels of at least 45 other genes with a range of functions, relative to wild-type [63]. At the metabolic level, among the differences recorded between CQ-sensitive and CQ-resistant parasites is an alteration of glutathione (GSH) metabolism, and experimental depletion of GSH has been shown to increase

susceptibility to the drug. This has been rationalized by the fact that GSH is important in degrading heme that has escaped sequestration into hemozoin and is free to generate damaging reactive oxygen species (ROS) via redox reactions involving the iron moiety. Up-regulation of GSH levels could therefore also contribute to resistance levels by counteracting the increase of unsequestered heme resulting from exposure to CQ [64]. It is thus becoming clear that the spectrum of observed resistance phenotypes arises from a complex interplay of various molecular mechanisms, despite the predominant role of the *pfert* status in CQ resistance.

Although point mutations in *pfmdr1* in the codons above affect susceptibility to a range of drugs targeting the food vacuole [51,58,59], this is not the only mechanism by which *pfmdr1* can contribute to drug sensitivity in the field. Amplification of the *pfmdr1* locus to copy numbers of 2–5, common in South-East Asian samples, is another important contributor to resistance against MF, HF, LMF and QN in particular (but not CQ) [65–67], albeit with a probable cost to parasite fitness [68]. These studies highlight the important role that expression levels of the transporter in question can play, further evidence for which also comes from *in vitro* studies of PfCRT and CQ resistance [69].

Many strains of parasite display both CQ and QN resistance, and mutations in PfCRT are able to enhance QN efflux from the food vacuole [70]. However, such cross-resistance is by no means universal and although quantitative trait locus mapping experiments on QN-resistant strains have confirmed that both *pfert* and *pfmdr1* are involved, they have also focused attention on another membrane protein whose gene locates to chromosome 13, a putative Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger, PfNHE1 [54]. Reduced expression of this protein in transfectants led to greater sensitivity to QN (but not to MQ and LMF) in two different genetic backgrounds in this study, but not in a third [54], indicative of variable interactions among these three gene loci. In other studies, elevated PfNHE1 activity [71] and specific patterns of microsatellite repeats at locus ms4760, encoding single or multiple copies of DNNND and NHNDNHNNDDDD motifs in the cytoplasmic domain of the protein [52,53], were associated with increased QN resistance. However, thus far correlations in the field between these polymorphisms in the *pfnhe1* gene and QN resistance are variable. In a survey of parasites from various African countries and Madagascar, the association was found to be weak [72], more

convincing in samples from one area of South-Eastern Kenya [73] and strongest in samples from the China–Myanmar border [74], reflecting again the importance in QN resistance of the inter-linked factors of genetic background, variable contributions from other genes and regional histories of drug usage.

PfMRP1, encoded on chromosome 1, is another active transporter implicated in drug resistance [43] that is found at the plasma membrane of the parasite rather than that of the food vacuole [75]. Gene knockout studies show that, although its absence is not lethal to asexual parasites, they nevertheless accumulate greater amounts of CQ and QN and become significantly more sensitive to these and other drugs, which are thought to be pumped to varying degrees by PfMRP1 to the outside of the parasite [76]. Moreover, in clinical trials, selection of an I876V polymorphism in PfMRP1 was found in recurrent infections after artemether–LMF treatment, ascribed from modeling studies to variation in residue 876 affecting the ATP hydrolysis cycle of this transporter [77].

Although cross-resistance among different members of the quinolines might be expected and is often observed, for example, between CQ and QN or CQ and ADQ, the inverse phenomenon also occurs, with important implications for drug deployment policies. Thus, in the analysis of strains transfected with one of the mutant forms of *pfprt* referred to earlier [38], introduction of this allele, while increasing levels of CQ resistance, brought about a concomitant increase in susceptibility to LMF, PIP and ART. This is consistent with complementary observations in Tanzania, where treatment with the ART–LMF combination selected for the wild-type *pfprt* K76 allele and to a lesser extent, the wild-type *pfmdr1* N86 allele in recrudescence infections [78]. The extent to which passage of any given quinoline or related drug is enhanced or retarded by mutations in the transporters mentioned is thus clearly a net result of factors such as precise conformation, degree of hydrophobicity and charge status. Moreover, it can be safely assumed that other, as yet poorly characterized transporters are likely to play some kind of additional role in parasite resistance to at least some of the drugs in current use [75,79,80]. A more detailed analysis of parasite resistance to the quinoline-type drugs can be found in [20].

Antifolates: exploiting antimetabolites

The principal antifolate drugs used against malaria are pyrimethamine (PYR), proguanil (PG; metabolized *in vivo* to the active form

cycloguanil [CG]) and the sulfa drugs, the most important of which are the sulfonamide, sulfadoxine (SDX), and the sulfone, dapsone. Following detailed studies of bacterial systems from the 1940s onwards, it was established that PYR and CG target the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) activity of the parasite's bifunctional DHFR-thymidylate synthetase (TS) protein, whereas the sulfa drugs affect the dihydropteroate synthetase (DHPS) activity of the bifunctional hydroxymethylpterin pyrophosphokinase (HPPK)-DHPS protein, all of these drugs acting as competitive inhibitors of the natural substrates. DHPS is found only in the parasite, participating in the *de novo* synthesis of essential folate coenzymes. DHFR, however, is present in both host and parasite, as it is required in essentially all organisms to maintain a constant supply of fully reduced (tetrahydro) forms of folate for essential one-carbon transfer reactions, including the provision of nucleotides for DNA synthesis, whether the folate is made endogenously or salvaged from the diet/environment. The safety and efficacy of the drugs that target this enzyme therefore depend on a several hundred-fold differential in their binding to the parasite and human orthologs. Resistance appeared rapidly when these drugs were initially deployed as monotherapy, but synergistic combinations with sulfa drugs, such as the highly successful SDX-PYR combination (SP; Fansidar™), first introduced in the late 1960s, have proved to be of long-term utility, especially as a cheap alternative to combat the CQ-resistant parasites that spread across Africa from the late 1970s onwards [81]. As artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT; see below) has taken over in recent years as the first-line drug regimen of choice in many areas of the world [82], the importance of the antimalarial antifolates as the standby for treating CQ-resistant infections has diminished. However, this class of drugs still plays a key role in intermittent preventative treatment (IPT) in areas of high transmission, where curative doses of SP are administered at regular time points to the most vulnerable, high-risk groups (pregnant women and infants) regardless of their infection status, amid safety concerns regarding administering ACT to these particular groups [83]. SP is also still widely used therapeutically when supplies of ACT fail, are considered too expensive, or are otherwise unavailable [84].

The cloning and analysis of the *dhfr-ts* and *hppk-dhps* genes from many strains of *P. falciparum* begun some 20 years ago has established the basic repertoire of point mutations that

compromise the antifolates. Serious resistance to PYR predominantly arises from the accumulation of mutations in the *dhfr* domain of *pfldhfr-ts* that bring about the amino-acid alterations N51I, C59R and S108N, while a combination of A16V and S108T results in CG resistance. Similarly, resistance to SDX most commonly involves the changes A437G, K540E and A581G in the DHPS enzyme [85,86]. The most frequent resistant combination in DHPS currently prevalent in Africa is the double-mutant form A437G, K540E [87,88], which, when found together with the triple-mutant form of DHFR, yields the so-called quintuple mutant, a pattern resulting in parasites that are highly resistant to SP [89] and a strong predictor of clinical failure. This pattern is becoming increasingly common, with frequencies of 70% or higher now reported in some areas of East Africa [90,91].

In South-East Asia and South America, an additional DHFR mutation, I164L, has for many years often been found in combination with the above changes [92,93] resulting in parasites that are highly resistant to SP [94]. Inevitably perhaps, these quadruple-DHFR mutant types have very recently been detected in regions of East Africa, including for example Kenya [95,96] and Rwanda [97], although the need for rigorous protocols to eliminate false-positives has been clearly illustrated [98]. However, even if such observations are confirmed and expanded, it does appear that I164L is much less rapidly selected in Africa than it has been in South-East Asia and South America. A possible reason for this is that in the quadruple mutant, there is thought to be a highly unfavorable interaction between L164 and I51 that compromises the DHFR activity and hence the fitness of this haplotype relative to wild-type or to lesser mutated strains in the absence of drug pressure [99,100], although other kinetic studies on recombinant DHFR-TS appear not to support this view [101]. Nevertheless, a strong correlation in Thai strains between this haplotype and a marked copy-number expansion (up to 11) of the first enzyme in the folate biosynthesis pathway, GTP cyclohydrolase I, is suggestive of an important and necessary compensatory mechanism [102,103]. As the *gtpc* gene resides on a different chromosome (12) to *dhfr-ts* (4), any linkage between the two loci will be more rapidly lost in areas of high transmission, as found in Africa, compared with areas of low transmission, as in South-East Asia, where recombination frequencies amongst strains with different genotypes are much lower [104]. Initial concerns regarding the potentially dire consequences of

its arrival in Africa [94] may thus be tempered by this observation, but clearly vigilance must be maintained in tracking the spread of the DHFR quadruple mutant on this continent, as other compensatory changes may occur that facilitate its survival and propagation.

Although many thousands of samples from across the world have been typed to date for DHPS and DHFR since the mutations were first characterized, they have mainly been assayed in PCR and/or restriction enzyme-based tests targeted at the known repertoire established by the earliest sequencing studies [105–110]. However, as DNA sequencing has become enormously quicker and cheaper, ever more samples are being subjected to complete sequencing rather than such targeted assays, thus revealing novel mutations, such as the I431V change in DHPS seen in Nigeria [111] and the K540N change in DHPS that appeared on Car Nicobar island (India) after the 2004 tsunami [112]. Interestingly, the latter coincided with heavy use of the trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole antifolate combination to combat other infections, as well as of SP for malaria. The significance of such changes with respect to quantitative resistance levels to the antimalarials will of course need to be established by the types of experiment used to causally link the original mutations to resistance, ideally by the types of transfection experiment described earlier.

A major milestone in understanding the role of the observed mutations in PYR resistance was the determination of the crystal structures of DHFR-TS from both PYR-sensitive and PYR-resistant parasites, in complexes with anti-DHFR drugs [113,114]. This has greatly accelerated the search for new compounds inhibitory to this activity [115] and increased the reliability and potential of computer-aided drug design approaches [116,117]. Similar analyses for the HPPK-DHPS enzyme still await successful crystallization, although homology modeling can provide some insight into the likely effect of the key resistance mutations on drug binding [118].

Whereas the amino-acid alterations summarized above are undoubtedly the key factors in parasite antifolate resistance, it has recently become more apparent that other factors may play a role in the levels of clinical failure after SP treatment. Apart from different degrees of host immunological responses to the parasite and variable metabolic processing of the administered drugs, common to all regimens, compounds such as PYR and SDX are folate or folate-component analogues and therefore it is

not surprising to find that higher serum folate concentrations, for example, as a result of dietary folate supplementation, are significantly associated with SP failure in both children [119] and pregnant women [120]. This would be consistent with molecular studies that have established the importance of folate salvage to the parasite, despite its proven ability to synthesize folate *de novo* [121,122]. Higher folate concentrations in the parasite may also be linked to the selection of a mutant form (R1466K) of the transporter PfMRP1 in recrudescence infections after treatment with SP [123]. It is proposed that this MRP1 haplotype is less active in acting as a folate efflux mediator and that the resulting raised endogenous folate pools in parasites of this type will more effectively compete with antifolate drugs at enzyme binding sites. Interestingly, PfMRP1 represents the first known protein that could putatively influence levels of resistance to both the quinolines and the antifolates, as well as to the ARTs [77], which are considered below.

Artemisinins: covalently modifying multiple targets

In 1979 qinghaosu, an extract from the plant qinghao (*Artemisia annua*; sweet wormwood), was reported for the first time to cure malarious patients in China by anonymous authors in the *Chinese Medical Journal*. In fact the antimalarial effect of this plant extract was already well known in traditional Chinese medicine [82,124] and is thus most probably the oldest chemotherapeutic agent against malaria. Although the mode of action of qinghaosu (later named ART) is not yet fully deciphered, this drug became increasingly relevant as treatment failures using CQ, SP and ADQ, and consequently morbidity and mortality, reached unacceptable levels. According to the WHO, current treatment of uncomplicated malaria depends almost exclusively on ACT [83]. However, after the extraction of ART, a sesquiterpene lactone endoperoxide, modification is required to improve its pharmacological properties, which makes production expensive [125]. Nowadays three ART derivatives are utilized in ACT, artemether, artesunate and dihydroartemisinin (DHA). The second drug of ACT is either: LMF in combination with artemether; MQ, ADQ or SP in combination with artesunate; or, PIP in combination with DHA.

Which ACT is applied where depends on the resistance prevalence and the partner drug should ideally have cure rates of at least 80% as monotherapy [83]. In addition, a new ACT consisting of pyronaridine, an acridine-type Mannich base,

and artesunate successfully finished a Phase III clinical trial recently and will soon be available as a licensed antimalarial [126]. Although ADQ is quite closely related structurally to CQ, marked resistance to this drug has now been strongly linked to the 72-SVMNT-76 motif in PfCRT, whereas the predominant haplotype in CQ-resistant parasites across Africa is 72-CVIET-76, which has a much lower effect on ADQ susceptibility [127]. PIP is a bisquinoline that is highly effective against CQ-resistant strains [128] and parasite susceptibilities to this drug appear to be only moderately [38] or not at all affected [129] by the known mutations in *pfert*, nor those in *pfmdr1*, *pfmrp1* and *pfhsl1* [129]. However, doubts have arisen about the stability and quality of DHA in PIP–DHA [130], which may affect the future deployment of this combination.

Although ART derivatives are currently the only fully effective antimalarials [131] their mode of action is still under debate. Most studies agree that their activity is based on the endoperoxide bridge, which interacts with reduced iron in the heme moiety deriving mainly from hemoglobin digestion in the food vacuole [132]. Heme alkylation was observed *in vitro* and *in vivo* in *P. vinckei*-infected mice [133]. Further studies reported the alkylation of specific proteins, including transporters, iron-sulfur proteins and the translationally controlled tumor protein (TCTP) homolog [134,135]. By using synthetic peroxides in comparison with the inactive derivative deoxyartemisinin, where the endoperoxide bridge is lacking, the plasmodial mitochondrion came into focus as a target. The inhibitory impact is proposed to result from the observed depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane and a concomitant marked increase of ROS [136]. Interestingly and somewhat paradoxically, however, the plant *Artemisia annua* itself reacts by increasing production of ART or its precursor dihydroartemisinic acid when faced with elevated levels of ROS [137].

On the biochemical level the controversy surrounding the mode of action has been considered in detail by O'Neill *et al.* [138]. They come to the conclusion that it is not only the heme but also free intracellular reduced iron species that can lead to the bioactivation of ART, a prerequisite for the drug to become covalently bound to macromolecules throughout the whole parasite. Furthermore, the addition of holotransferrin as an exogenous iron source dramatically enhances the effect of DHA and thus corroborates their hypothesis of free iron being responsible for ART activation

[138]. On the cellular level ART was reported to interact not only with specific proteins but also with phospholipids, thereby causing oxidative membrane damage [139]. Sesquiterpene lactones are known potent anti-inflammation and anticancer drugs, except that here the downstream effects of their chemical properties are observed in the form of DNA damage, targeting of apoptotic signaling and influencing immune modulation [140,141]. An initially promising study revealed that ART inhibits PfATP6, the only sarco/endoplasmic reticulum calcium-dependent ATPase (SERCA) ortholog in *P. falciparum*, which consequently impedes the crucial Ca^{2+} homeostasis of the parasite [142]. *In vitro* assays revealed a mutation at the amino acid position L263 in PfATP6 that inhibits the effect of ART [143]. However, if PfATP6 was the main target of ART, one would expect a resistant phenotype contingent on such a mutation in the *pfatp6* gene. To date though, no such alteration has been found in any ART-resistant plasmodial strains [144]. A recent publication comes to a similar conclusion by using transgenic *P. falciparum* strains carrying a point mutation in the *pfatp6* allele that gives rise to L263E. Although the IC_{50} values for ART, DHA and artesunate remained in the same range as for the wild-type, with differences lacking statistical significance, cluster analysis did reveal a trend in these modified parasites for a decreased responsiveness to ART and DHA, but not to artesunate [145].

It is interesting to note that over the centuries there has been no documented ART resistance in the treatment of malaria. Recently, however, reduced parasite susceptibility in artesunate and DHA-treated patients was observed on the Thai–Cambodian border [146,147]. There has been some doubt regarding whether the increased treatment failure was in fact associated with artesunate itself and speculation that it might rather be based on resistance to MQ, the partner drug of the ACT used in this region. However, another possibility, alluded to earlier, lies in the widespread use of fake antimalarials and self-medication of poor quality (e.g., ACT containing only traces of ART) which can kill sensitive parasites but at the same time encourage selection of resistant forms [148]. Indeed, there is now strong evidence to suggest that the reduced susceptibility is based on parasite resistance to ART rather than on host or pharmacokinetic factors [149]. However, recent detailed sequence comparisons of putative resistance

genes from ART-resistant *P. falciparum* strains from western Cambodia and sensitive strains from northwestern Thailand did not show any linkage to the *pfert*, *pfmdr1* or *pfatp6* genes [150], leaving open the question as to the genetic basis of altered drug susceptibility. Historically, it is known that CQ-resistant parasites also evolved in this area and subsequently spread out rapidly to Africa [151]. It is therefore not too speculative to presume that a similar scenario might happen with ART. The idea of ACT is to prevent resistance towards ART or its partner drug, and, despite the disturbing results above emerging from the Thai–Cambodian border regions, this appears to have been the case in south west Vietnam, where *in vivo* and *in vitro* monitoring over the last decade of ACT treatment shows as yet no evidence of reduced parasite susceptibility [152]. However, administration of ACT is not always correctly followed in the field. In Burkina Faso, where the ACT is based on ADQ and artesunate as separate pills, patients tend not to take the ADQ, since this drug is associated with unpleasant side-effects, resulting in an ART monotherapy [153]. It is therefore only a question of time before the first ART treatment failures occur in Africa.

Beside the PfATP6 mutation engineered *in vitro* [143], Walker *et al.* reported that there is less incorporation of radiolabeled DHA in a resistant murine plasmodial strain *in vivo* and a 2.5-fold overexpression of TCTP in a rodent malaria model [154]. TCTP homology to the mammalian histamine-releasing factor might predict an involvement in host histamine synthesis [155]. However, selective *in vivo* pressure was also shown to be associated with MDR1 overexpression in *P. yoelii* [156]. In the *P. chabaudi* mouse model, cross-resistance was found between ART and artesunate but no alteration was found in either ATP6, TCTP or MDR1 [144]. As indicated above, it is likely though that one or more transporters will eventually emerge as significant contributors to ART resistance phenotypes. In conclusion, although the ART derivatives are currently the pillars of antimalarial treatment, their mode of action and the resistance mechanism(s) that would explain increasing treatment failures are still poorly understood.

Atovaquone: rational targeting of the mitochondrion

The antimalarial activity of atovaquone (ATV) was identified during a study specifically designed to exploit differences in the respiratory chain-linked

electron transport between *Plasmodium* and the human host, using menaquinone, a ubiquinone antagonist, as lead compound [157]. As such it is one of the first antimalarials deriving from rational drug design. ATV was initially tested against murine infections with *Pneumocystis carinii*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Leishmania donovani* before it was introduced as a chemotherapeutic agent against malaria. Accordingly, it was described as a broad spectrum antiparasitic drug that collapses the mitochondrial membrane potential [158]. Today it is administered as chemoprophylaxis and stand-by treatment in combination with the antifolate PG under the brand name Malarone™ [83]. ATV is a substituted hydroxynaphthoquinone that acts as a structural analogue of coenzyme Q in the respiratory chain. The inhibition of electron transfer in the mitochondrion not only results in a loss of the membrane potential but also impedes pyrimidine biosynthesis, two essential processes whose inhibition leads to parasite death. The fact that the human respiratory chain is not a target is based on the structural differences between the human and plasmodial coenzyme [159]. The detailed mode of action of ATV is the inhibition of the movement of the iron-sulfur protein subunit of cytochrome b (CYT b) in complex III, which is necessary for the transfer of electrons to CYT c1 from ubihydroquinone bound to CYT b [160].

Targeting the mitochondrion is in fact a promising approach. Many antibiotics such as the tetracyclines, which target the 30S ribosome in the mitochondrion, are often applied effectively against malaria, especially against CQ-resistant parasites [161]. Nonetheless, targeting only one protein soon leads to the development of resistance; indeed sometimes only a single point mutation is enough to completely negate the drug's potency, as happened when ATV was used as monotherapy. The treatment failure of ATV, resulting in increased mortality, has been linked to the appearance of mutations in the *cyt b* gene of the parasite [162,163], following *in vitro* studies where resistance mutations had already been found in the ATV-binding domain of CYT b [164]. The mutations were also analyzed *in vitro* using the yeast bc1 complex as a model to study the molecular mode of ATV resistance [159]. In order to prevent further resistance emerging, combination therapy with PG was subsequently deployed. PG promotes a synergistic effect in combination with ATV [165], which is most probably not due to its role as an antifolate but rather by its exerting a direct

impact on the mitochondrial membrane potential [166]. Nevertheless, even such combination therapy has not entirely prevented further treatment failure and resistance mutations affecting position Y268 have been found in field isolates [162,167,168]. The mutations that emerged *in vivo* under ATV pressure in rodent malaria are consistent with the Y268N/S alterations of CYT b described in such field isolates, which makes the murine malaria a good model to investigate the mode of ATV resistance [32,169]. However, a recent study on ATV-PG treatment failures of travelers from the UK identified a Y268C rather than a Y268N/S mutation, which often goes undetected by current restriction fragment length polymorphism methods used to monitor the *cyt b* gene [170].

To conclude, ATV-PG is an expensive anti-malarial that is mainly used as chemoprophylaxis for western travelers. Within only a few years of application in the field ATV resistance was observed, manifested as point mutations in the *cyt b* gene. It may well be that, even as a chemoprophylactic, it will have a relatively limited lifetime. However, targeting of the mitochondrion remains an important strategy in the development of new antimalarials.

Conclusion & future perspective

Although drug resistance mechanisms in *P. falciparum* are turning out to be more complex than perhaps originally envisaged, a reasonably comprehensive picture of the main factors involved has now emerged for all of the major antimalarial drugs, with the notable exception of ART and its derivatives, summarized in TABLE 1. Knowledge of the relevant genes and the mutations therein has been a key aspect of the molecular analysis of drug resistance, and their utility in predicting the effectiveness of different drugs in different regions has been evaluated and validated by comprehensive meta-analyses of the numerous studies into associations between clinical outcome and molecular markers [171,172].

Compelling empirical evidence as well as theoretical considerations will dictate that monotherapy with any drug will be (officially) avoided in the future and combinations, such as those described above, will continue to be formulated in attempts to retard the onset of resistance as long as possible [173]. At one end of the spectrum, such formulations will simultaneously target different biochemical pathways, as in the case of artemether-LMF for example, although worryingly, a recent

study of artesunate–SP usage in Mozambique concluded that introduction of the artesunate component did not retard the spread of (albeit already common) SP-resistant parasites [91]. Interestingly, instead of coformulating the individual drugs, novel hybrid molecules have also been synthesized, such as an ART–QN derivative that has higher activity than either drug individually or a simple 1:1 mix thereof [174,175]. However, another approach is to combine drugs that target the same molecule, but which would select on their own mutually incompatible combinations of mutations [176]. This possibility has now been explored for both *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* [177,178], where mutations that confer high-level resistance to PYR were found to render DHFR-TS more sensitive to the powerful (nonclinical) antifolate, WR99210, and *vice versa*.

As well as studying drug-resistance mechanisms as a means of developing novel drugs, another important consideration is whether such resistance can be reversed, either by direct chemical intervention, as exemplified by the use of compounds such as verapamil, alluded to earlier, or by cessation of usage for significant periods. For example, after the withdrawal of CQ in Malawi in 1993, prevalence of the resistant form of *pfprt* decreased from approximately 85% in 1992 to an undetectable level in 2001 [179], although a similar decline in Kenya appears to be proceeding at a much slower rate [180]. Similarly, the wild-type allele of *pfdhfr-ts* increased in frequency following reduced usage of SP in an area of Tanzania [181]. However, parasites mutant in *dhfr* and *pfprt* are still prevalent in parts of South-East Asia and South America [61,182], despite low usage of antifolates and CQ in these areas for prolonged periods, suggesting that in some

contexts, the mutant parasites retain a small but significant selective advantage, possibly in the face of related antibacterial antifolates, such as trimethoprim [183]. There is also evidence from South America that antifolate-resistant forms of the parasite are transmitted more readily to mosquitoes via their gametocytes than the wild-type, further enhancing their spread [184].

Whatever the success of the various approaches described, it is a safe prediction that an ongoing supply of new drugs will be required to combat and control malaria for the foreseeable future. With the recent publication of the results of high-throughput chemical screening programs on up to 2 million compounds [185,186], there is now optimism that clinical inhibitors based on a number of chemical structures completely different from the current families of antimalarials will emerge in the medium term. Moreover, the biochemistry of the parasite is being unraveled in ever-increasing detail, and just as the unique biology of the parasite in the form of hemoglobin utilization has been so successfully exploited by the quinoline drugs, so other novel features, such as the indispensable parasite-specific organelle, the apicoplast [187,188], should provide fertile ground for new drug targets in this most pernicious of human pathogens.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Executive summary

- Malaria remains one of the most severe health problems across tropical and subtropical regions, but the inventory of antimalarial drugs currently licensed for clinical use is limited and heavily compromised by the development of parasite resistance.
- In the case of the quinoline, antifolate and naphthoquinone drugs, the characterization of genes underlying resistance and the polymorphisms found among sensitive and resistant strains has provided robust and detailed insights into the molecular mechanisms involved and how new generation drugs might be designed.
- Such polymorphisms also permit rapid and accurate diagnostic PCR-based assays for monitoring the incidence and spread of resistant parasites in field populations.
- Clinical resistance to the latest, highly efficacious family of antimalarials, the artemisinin derivatives, has not yet developed, but prolonged parasite clearance times are now being observed in parts of south-east Asia. The current lack of a clear understanding of how these drugs work and the likely mechanism(s) of resistance to them is of particular concern.
- The latest methods of whole genome analysis of multiple parasite strains driven by cheap, rapid sequencing technologies permit the discovery of new genes involved in resistance to a particular drug type and their relative contribution to observed phenotypes.
- The development of drugs targeting alternative areas of metabolism needs to be accelerated to avoid a possible hiatus when the artemisinins eventually lose potency. Recent high-throughput screens of very large chemical libraries should lead to new inhibitors based on chemical structures completely different from the current families.

Bibliography

Papers of special note have been highlighted as:

▪ of interest

▪▪ of considerable interest

1. Sutherland CJ, Tanomsing N, Nolder D *et al.*: Two nonrecombining sympatric forms of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium ovale* occur globally. *J. Inf. Dis.* 201(10), 1544–1550 (2010).
2. White NJ: *Plasmodium knowlesi*: the fifth human malaria parasite. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 46(2), 172–173 (2008).
3. Cox-Singh J: Malaria: what can apes teach humans? *Future Microbiol.* 5(8), 1157–1160 (2010).
4. Greenwood BM, Fidock DA, Kyle DE *et al.*: Malaria: progress, perils, and prospects for eradication. *J. Clin. Inv.* 118(4), 1266–1276 (2008).
5. Hay SI, Guerra CA, Tatem AJ, Noor AM, Snow RW: The global distribution and population at risk of malaria: past, present, and future. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 4(6), 327–336 (2004).
6. Ballou RW: The development of the RTS,S malaria vaccine candidate: challenges and lessons. *Parasite Immunol.* 31(9), 492–500 (2009).
7. Casares S, Brumeanu TD, Richie TL: The RTS,S malaria vaccine. *Vaccine* 28(31), 4880–4894 (2010).
8. D'Alessandro U: Existing antimalarial agents and malaria-treatment strategies. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 10(8), 1291–1306 (2009).
9. Olliaro P, Wells TNC: The global portfolio of new antimalarial medicines under development. *Clin. Pharmacol. Therap.* 85(6), 584–595 (2009).
- **An authoritative and comprehensive survey of potential new antimalarials in the development pipeline.**
10. Eklund EH, Fidock DA: Advances in understanding the genetic basis of antimalarial drug resistance. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 10(4), 363–370 (2007).
11. Hayton K, Su XZ: Drug resistance and genetic mapping in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Curr. Genet.* 54(5), 223–239 (2008).
12. Dharia NV, Sidhu ABS, Cassera MB *et al.*: Use of high-density tiling microarrays to identify mutations globally and elucidate mechanisms of drug resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Genome Biol.* 10(2), R21 (2009).
- **Demonstrates clearly the power of the new pan-genome analysis technologies across multiple parasite strains for uncovering the basis of resistance (and other) phenotypes.**
13. Mu JB, Myers RA, Jiang HY *et al.*: *Plasmodium falciparum* genome-wide scans for positive selection, recombination hot spots and resistance to antimalarial drugs. *Nature Genet.* 42(3), 268–271 (2010).
- **Demonstrates clearly the power of the new pan-genome analysis technologies across multiple parasite strains for uncovering the basis of resistance (and other) phenotypes.**
14. Fitch CD: Ferroprotoporphyrin IX, phospholipids, and the antimalarial actions of quinoline drugs. *Life Sci.* 74(16), 1957–1972 (2004).
15. Payne D: Spread of chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Parasitol. Today* 3(8), 241–246 (1987).
16. Wellems TE, Plowe CV: Chloroquine-resistant malaria. *J. Inf. Dis.* 184(6), 770–776 (2001).
17. Kuhn Y, Rohrbach P, Lanzer M: Quantitative pH measurements in *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected erythrocytes using pHluorin. *Cell. Microbiol.* 9(4), 1004–1013 (2007).
18. Klonis N, Dilanian R, Hanssen E *et al.*: Hematin-hematin self-association states involved in the formation and reactivity of the malaria parasite pigment, hemozoin. *Biochemistry* 49(31), 6804–6811 (2010).
19. Warhurst DC: Understanding resistance to antimalarial 4-aminoquinolines, cinchona alkaloids and the highly hydrophobic arylaminoalcohols. *Curr. Science* 92(11), 1556–1560 (2007).
20. Roepe PD: Molecular and physiologic basis of quinoline drug resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Future Microbiol.* 4(4), 441–455 (2009).
21. Martin RE, Marchetti RV, Cowan AI, Howitt SM, Broer S, Kirk K: Chloroquine transport via the malaria parasite's chloroquine resistance transporter. *Science* 325(5948), 1680–1682 (2009).
- **First direct demonstration that the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter (PfCRT) in its resistant form, but not its sensitive form, is able to conduct diprotonated chloroquine out of a compartment.**
22. Cabrera M, Paguio MF, Xie CA, Roepe PD: Reduced digestive vacuolar accumulation of chloroquine is not linked to resistance to chloroquine toxicity. *Biochemistry* 48(47), 11152–11154 (2009).
23. Fidock DA, Nomura T, Talley AK *et al.*: Mutations in the *P. falciparum* digestive vacuole transmembrane protein PfCRT and evidence for their role in chloroquine resistance. *Mol. Cell* 6, 861–871 (2000).
- **Definitive evidence that amino-acid changes in the CRT protein underlie resistance to chloroquine in *P. falciparum*.**
24. Wellems TE, Walker-Jonah A, Panton LJ: Genetic mapping of the chloroquine-resistance locus on *Plasmodium falciparum* chromosome 7. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 88(8), 3382–3386 (1991).
25. Cooper RA, Hartwig CL, Ferdig MT: *pfert* is more than the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance gene: a functional and evolutionary perspective. *Acta Trop.* 94(3), 170–180 (2005).
26. Sidhu ABS, Verdier-Pinard D, Fidock DA: Chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites conferred by *pfert* mutations. *Science* 298(5591), 210–213 (2002).
27. Bray PG, Martin RE, Tilley L, Ward SA, Kirk K, Fidock DA: Defining the role of PfCRT in *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance. *Mol. Microbiol.* 56(2), 323–333 (2005).
28. Martin RE, Kirk K: The malaria parasite's chloroquine resistance transporter is a member of the drug/metabolite transporter superfamily. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 21(10), 1938–1949 (2004).
29. Tran CV, Saier MH: The principal chloroquine resistance protein of *Plasmodium falciparum* is a member of the drug/metabolite transporter superfamily. *Microbiology* 150(1), 1–3 (2004).
30. Nomura T, Carlton JMR, Baird JK *et al.*: Evidence for different mechanisms of chloroquine resistance in 2 plasmodium species that cause human malaria. *J. Inf. Dis.* 183(11), 1653–1661 (2001).
31. Hunt P, Cravo PVL, Donleavy P, Carlton JMR, Walliker D: Chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium chabaudi*: are chloroquine-resistance transporter (*crt*) and multi-drug resistance (*mdr1*) orthologues involved? *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 133(1), 27–35 (2004).
32. Witkowski B, Berry A, Benoit-Vical F: Resistance to antimalarial compounds: methods and applications. *Drug Resist. Updates* 12(1–2), 42–50 (2009).
33. Djimde A, Doumbo OK, Cortese JF *et al.*: A molecular marker for chloroquine-resistant falciparum malaria. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 344(4), 257–263 (2001).
34. Contreras CE, Cortese JF, Caraballo A, Plowe CV: Genetics of drug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in the Venezuelan state of Bolivar. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 67(4), 400–405 (2002).

35. Durrand V, Berry A, Sem R, Glaziou P, Beaudou J, Fandeur T: Variations in the sequence and expression of the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter (PfCRT) and their relationship to chloroquine resistance *in vitro*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 136(2), 273–285 (2004).
36. Rungsihirunrat K, Chaijareonkul W, Seugorn A, Na-Bangchang K, Thaithong S: Association between chloroquine resistance phenotypes and point mutations in *pfprt* and *pfmdr1* in *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from Thailand. *Acta Trop.* 109(1), 37–40 (2009).
37. Lakshmanan V, Bray PG, Verdier-Pinard D *et al.*: A critical role for PfCRT K76T in *Plasmodium falciparum* verapamil-reversible chloroquine resistance. *EMBO J.* 24(13), 2294–2305 (2005).
38. Valderramos SG, Valderramos J-C, Musset L *et al.*: Identification of a mutant PfCRT-mediated chloroquine tolerance phenotype in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *PLoS Path.* 6(5), E1000887 (2010).
39. Valderramos SG, Fidock DA: Transporters involved in resistance to antimalarial drugs. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 27(11), 594–601 (2006).
40. Johnson DJ, Fidock DA, Mungthin M *et al.*: Evidence for a central role for PfCRT in conferring *Plasmodium falciparum* resistance to diverse antimalarial agents. *Mol. Cell* 15(6), 867–877 (2004).
41. Bray PG, Mungthin M, Hastings IM *et al.*: PfCRT and the trans-vacuolar proton electrochemical gradient: regulating the access of chloroquine to ferriporphyrin IX. *Mol. Microbiol.* 62(1), 238–251 (2006).
42. van Schalkwyk DA, Egan TJ: Quinoline-resistance reversing agents for the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Drug Resist. Updates* 9(4–5), 211–226 (2006).
43. Henry M, Alibert S, Rogier C, Barbe J, Pradines B: Inhibition of efflux of quinolines as new therapeutic strategy in malaria. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 8(7), 563–578 (2008).
44. Paguio MF, Cabrera M, Roepe PD: Chloroquine transport in *Plasmodium falciparum*. 2. Analysis of *pfprt*-mediated drug transport using proteoliposomes and a fluorescent chloroquine probe. *Biochemistry* 48(40), 9482–9491 (2009).
45. Cabrera M, Natarajan J, Paguio MF, Wolf C, Urbach JS, Roepe PD: Chloroquine transport in *Plasmodium falciparum*. 1. Influx and efflux kinetics for live trophozoite parasites using a novel fluorescent chloroquine probe. *Biochemistry* 48(40), 9471–9481 (2009).
46. Hayward R, Saliba KJ, Kirk K: The pH of the digestive vacuole of *Plasmodium falciparum* is not associated with chloroquine resistance. *J. Cell Sci.* 119(6), 1016–1025 (2006).
47. Gligorijevic B, Bennett T, McAllister R, Urbach JS, Roepe PD: Spinning disk confocal microscopy of live, intraerythrocytic malarial parasites. 2. Altered vacuolar volume regulation in drug resistant malaria. *Biochemistry* 45(41), 12411–12423 (2006).
48. Lehane AM, Kirk K: Chloroquine resistance-conferring mutations in *pfprt* give rise to a chloroquine-associated H⁺ leak from the malaria parasite's digestive vacuole. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 52(12), 4374–4380 (2008).
49. Zhang HB, Paguio M, Roepe PD: The antimalarial drug resistance protein *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter binds chloroquine. *Biochemistry* 43(26), 8290–8296 (2004).
50. Cooper RA, Lane KD, Deng BB *et al.*: Mutations in transmembrane domains 1, 4 and 9 of the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter alter susceptibility to chloroquine, quinine and quinidine. *Mol. Microbiol.* 63(1), 270–282 (2007).
51. Duraisingh MT, Cowman AF: Contribution of the *pfmdr1* gene to antimalarial drug resistance. *Acta Trop.* 94(3), 181–190 (2005).
52. Ferdig MT, Cooper RA, Mu JB *et al.*: Dissecting the loci of low-level quinine resistance in malaria parasites. *Mol. Microbiol.* 52(4), 985–997 (2004).
53. Henry M, Briolant S, Zettor A *et al.*: *Plasmodium falciparum* Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger 1 transporter is involved in reduced susceptibility to quinine. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 53(5), 1926–1930 (2009).
54. Nkrumah LJ, Riegelhaupt PM, Moura P *et al.*: Probing the multifactorial basis of *Plasmodium falciparum* quinine resistance: Evidence for a strain-specific contribution of the sodium-proton exchanger PfNHE. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 165(2), 122–131 (2009).
55. Rohrbach P, Sanchez CP, Hayton K *et al.*: Genetic linkage of *pfmdr1* with food vacuolar solute import in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *EMBO J.* 25(13), 3000–3011 (2006).
56. Sanchez CP, Rotmann A, Stein WD, Lanzer M: Polymorphisms within PfMDR1 alter the substrate specificity for anti-malarial drugs in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 70(4), 786–798 (2008).
- **Detailed analysis of the effect of different mutations in *P. falciparum* multi-drug resistance (PfMDR)1 protein on the transport properties of several antimalarial drugs.**
57. Pleeter P, Lekostaj JK, Roepe PD: Purified *Plasmodium falciparum* multi-drug resistance protein (PfMDR 1) binds a high affinity chloroquine analogue. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 173(2), 158–161 (2010).
58. Reed MB, Saliba KJ, Caruana SR, Kirk K, Cowman AF: Pgh1 modulates sensitivity and resistance to multiple antimalarials in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* 403(6772), 906–909 (2000).
59. Sidhu ABS, Valderramos SG, Fidock DA: *pfmdr1* mutations contribute to quinine resistance and enhance mefloquine and artemisinin sensitivity in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 57(4), 913–926 (2005).
60. Mehlotra RK, Matterna G, Bockarie MJ *et al.*: Discordant patterns of genetic variation at two chloroquine resistance loci in worldwide populations of the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 52(6), 2212–2222 (2008).
61. Sa JM, Twu O, Hayton K *et al.*: Geographic patterns of *Plasmodium falciparum* drug resistance distinguished by differential responses to amodiaquine and chloroquine. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(45), 18883–18889 (2009).
62. Chen NH, Russell B, Fowler E, Peters J, Cheng Q: Levels of chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* are determined by loci other than *pfprt* and *pfmdr1*. *J. Inf. Dis.* 185(3), 405–406 (2002).
63. Jiang HY, Patel JJ, Yi M *et al.*: Genome-wide compensatory changes accompany drug-selected mutations in the *Plasmodium falciparum crt* gene. *PLoS One* 3(6), E2484 (2008).
64. Müller S: Redox and antioxidant systems of the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 53(5), 1291–1305 (2004).
65. Price RN, Uhlemann AC, Brockman A *et al.*: Mefloquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* and increased *pfmdr1* gene copy number. *Lancet* 364(9432), 438–447 (2004).
66. Sidhu ABS, Uhlemann AC, Valderramos SG, Valderramos JC, Krishna S, Fidock DA: Decreasing *pfmdr1* copy number in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria heightens susceptibility to mefloquine, lumefantrine, halofantrine, quinine, and artemisinin. *J. Inf. Dis.* 194(4), 528–535 (2006).
67. Alker AP, Lim P, Sem R *et al.*: PFMDR1 and *in vivo* resistance to artesunate-mefloquine in falciparum malaria on the Cambodian–Thai border. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 76(4), 641–647 (2007).
68. Uhlemann AC, McGready R, Ashley EA *et al.*: Intra-host selection of *Plasmodium falciparum pfmdr1* alleles after antimalarial treatment on the northwestern border of Thailand. *J. Inf. Dis.* 195(1), 134–141 (2007).

69. Waller KL, Muhle RA, Ursos LM *et al.*: Chloroquine resistance modulated *in vitro* by expression levels of the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278(35), 33593–33601 (2003).
70. Sanchez CP, Stein WD, Lanzer M: Dissecting the components of quinine accumulation in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 67(5), 1081–1093 (2008).
71. Bennett TN, Patel J, Ferdig MT, Roepe PD: *Plasmodium falciparum* Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger activity and quinine resistance. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 153(1), 48–58 (2007).
72. Andriantsoanirina V, Menard D, Rabearimanana S *et al.*: Association of microsatellite variations of *Plasmodium falciparum* Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger (*pfhbe-1*) gene with reduced *in vitro* susceptibility to quinine: lack of confirmation in clinical isolates from Africa. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 82(5), 782–787 (2010).
73. Okombo J, Kiara SM, Rono J *et al.*: *In vitro* activities of quinine and other antimalarials and *pfhbe* polymorphisms in *Plasmodium* isolates from Kenya. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 54(8), 3302–3307 (2010).
74. Meng H, Zhang R, Yang H *et al.*: *In vitro* Sensitivity of *Plasmodium falciparum* clinical isolates from the China–Myanmar border area to quinine and association with polymorphism in the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54(10), 4306–4313 (2010).
75. Kavishe RA, van den Heuvel JMW, van de Vegte-Bolmer M, Luty AJF, Russel FGM, Koenderink JB: Localization of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transport proteins PfMRP1, PfMRP2, and PfMDR5 at the *Plasmodium falciparum* plasma membrane. *Malaria J.* 8, 205 (2009).
76. Raj DK, Mu JB, Jiang HY *et al.*: Disruption of a *Plasmodium falciparum* multidrug resistance-associated protein (PfMRP) alters its fitness and transport of antimalarial drugs and glutathione. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284(12), 7687–7696 (2009).
- **Clear demonstration by gene knockout studies of the effect of the *P. falciparum* multidrug resistance-associated protein (PfMRP)1 transporter on quinoline drug accumulation.**
77. Dahlstrom S, Ferreira PE, Veiga MI *et al.*: *Plasmodium falciparum* multidrug resistance protein 1 and artemisinin-based combination therapy in Africa. *J. Inf. Dis.* 200(9), 1456–1464 (2009).
78. Sisowath C, Petersen I, Veiga MI *et al.*: *In vivo* selection of *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites carrying the chloroquine-susceptible *pfprt* K76 allele after treatment with artemether-lumefantrine in Africa. *J. Inf. Dis.* 199(5), 750–757 (2009).
79. Mu JB, Ferdig MT, Feng XR *et al.*: Multiple transporters associated with malaria parasite responses to chloroquine and quinine. *Mol. Microbiol.* 49(4), 977–989 (2003).
80. Koenderink JB, Kavishe RA, Rijpma SR, Russel FGM: The ABCs of multidrug resistance in malaria. *Trends Parasitol.* 26(9), 440–446 (2010).
81. Hyde JE: Drug-resistant malaria – an insight. *FEBS J.* 274(18), 4688–4698 (2007).
82. White NJ: Qinghaosu (Artemisinin): the price of success. *Science* 320(5874), 330–334 (2008).
- **Clear discussion of the application of the latest artemisinin-based antimalarial strategies and associated problems.**
83. Warsame M, Olumese P, Mendis K: Role of medicines in malaria control and elimination. *Drug Deveopl. Res.* 71(1), 4–11 (2010).
- **Up-to-date review that summarises very clearly current antimalarial medication strategies.**
84. Mkulama MAP, Chishimba S, Sikalima J, Rouse P, Thuma PE, Mharakurwa S: Escalating *Plasmodium falciparum* antifolate drug resistance mutations in Macha, rural Zambia. *Malaria J.* 7, 87 (2008).
85. Hyde JE: Mechanisms of resistance of *Plasmodium falciparum* to antimalarial drugs. *Microbes Infect.* 4(2), 165–174 (2002).
86. Gregson A, Plowe CV: Mechanisms of resistance of malaria parasites to antifolates. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 57(1), 117–145 (2005).
- **Comprehensive summary and discussion of parasite resistance to drugs of the antifolate class.**
87. Pearce RJ, Pota H, Evehe MSB *et al.*: Multiple origins and regional dispersal of resistant *dhps* in African *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *PLoS Med.* 6(4), E1000055 (2009).
- **Detailed, continent-wide mapping of the origins and spread of alleles of *dhps* resistant to sulfadoxine using gene and adjacent microsatellite sequence analysis on over 5000 African samples.**
88. Naidoo I, Roper C: Following the path of most resistance: *dhps* K540E dispersal in African *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Trends Parasitol.* 26(9), 447–456 (2010).
89. Kublin JG, Dzinjalama FK, Kamwendo DD *et al.*: Molecular markers for failure of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and chlorproguanil-dapsone treatment of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *J. Inf. Dis.* 185(3), 380–388 (2002).
90. Malamba S, Sandison T, Lule J *et al.*: *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthase mutations and the use of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis among persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 82(5), 766–771 (2010).
91. Raman J, Little F, Roper C *et al.*: Five years of large-scale *dhfr* and *dhps* mutation surveillance following the phased implementation of artesunate plus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in Maputo province, southern Mozambique. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 82(5), 788–794 (2010).
92. Nzila A, Ochong E, Nduati E *et al.*: Why has the dihydrofolate reductase 164 mutation not consistently been found in Africa yet? *Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 99(5), 341–346 (2005).
93. Hyde JE: Antifolate resistance in Africa and the 164-dollar question. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 102(4), 301–303 (2008).
94. Sibley CH, Hyde JE, Sims PFG *et al.*: Pyrimethamine-sulfadoxine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*: what next? *Trends Parasitol.* 17(12), 582–588 (2001).
95. McCollum AM, Poe AC, Hamel M *et al.*: Antifolate resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*: multiple origins and identification of novel *dhfr* alleles. *J. Inf. Dis.* 194(2), 189–197 (2006).
96. Kiara SM, Okombo J, Masseno V *et al.*: *In vitro* activity of antifolate and polymorphism in dihydrofolate reductase of *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from the Kenyan coast: emergence of parasites with Ile-64-Leu mutation. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 53(9), 3793–3798 (2009).
97. Karema C, Imwong M, Fanello CI *et al.*: Molecular correlates of high-level antifolate resistance in Rwandan children with *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 54(1), 477–483 (2010).
98. Ochong E, Bell DJ, Johnson DJ *et al.*: *Plasmodium falciparum* strains harboring dihydrofolate reductase with the I164L mutation are absent in Malawi and Zambia even under antifolate drug pressure. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 52(11), 3883–3888 (2008).
99. Cortese JF, Plowe CV: Antifolate resistance due to new and known *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase mutations expressed in yeast. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 94(2), 205–214 (1998).
100. Lozovsky ER, Chookajorn T, Brown KM *et al.*: Stepwise acquisition of pyrimethamine resistance in the malaria parasite. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106(29), 12025–12030 (2009).

101. Sandefur CI, Wooden JM, Quaye IK, Sirawaraporn W, Sibley CH: Pyrimethamine-resistant dihydrofolate reductase enzymes of *Plasmodium falciparum* are not enzymatically compromised *in vitro*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 154(1), 1–5 (2007).
102. Kidgell C, Volkman SK, Daily J *et al.*: A systematic map of genetic variation in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2(6), 562–577 (2006).
103. Nair S, Miller B, Barends M *et al.*: Adaptive copy number evolution in malaria parasites. *PLoS Genet.* 4(10), E1000243 (2008).
- **Demonstrates an important correlation between the I164L mutation in *P. falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase (PfDHFR) and increased copy number of the *gtpc* gene, indicative of a role for the latter in fitness compensation for a compromised step in folate metabolism.**
104. Hastings IM: Malaria control and the evolution of drug resistance: an intriguing link. *Trends Parasitol.* 19(2), 70–73 (2003).
105. Peterson DS, Milhous WK, Wellems TE: Molecular basis of differential resistance to cycloguanil and pyrimethamine in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87(8), 3018–3022 (1990).
106. Foote SJ, Galatis D, Cowman AF: Amino acids in the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum* involved in cycloguanil resistance differ from those involved in pyrimethamine resistance. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87(8), 3014–3017 (1990).
107. Brooks DR, Wang P, Read M, Watkins WM, Sims PFG, Hyde JE: Sequence variation of the hydroxymethyldihydropterin pyrophosphokinase – dihydropteroate synthase gene in lines of the human malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum*, with differing resistance to sulfadoxine. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 224(2), 397–405 (1994).
108. Triglia T, Cowman AF: Primary structure and expression of the dihydropteroate synthetase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 91(15), 7149–7153 (1994).
109. Triglia T, Menting JGT, Wilson C, Cowman AF: Mutations in dihydropteroate synthase are responsible for sulfone and sulfonamide resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94(25), 13944–13949 (1997).
110. Wang P, Lee CS, Bayoumi R *et al.*: Resistance to antifolates in *Plasmodium falciparum* monitored by sequence analysis of dihydropteroate synthetase and dihydrofolate reductase alleles in a large number of field samples of diverse origins. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 89(2), 161–177 (1997).
111. Sutherland CJ, Fifer H, Pearce RJ *et al.*: Novel *pfdhps* haplotypes among imported cases of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in the United Kingdom. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 53(8), 3405–3410 (2009).
112. Lumb V, Das MK, Mittra P *et al.*: Emergence of an unusual sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance pattern and a novel K540N mutation in dihydropteroate synthetase in *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates obtained from Car Nicobar island, India, after the 2004 tsunami. *J. Inf. Dis.* 199(7), 1064–1073 (2009).
113. Yuvaniyama J, Chitnumsub P, Kamchonwongpaisan S *et al.*: Insights into antifolate resistance from malarial DHFR-TS structures. *Nature Struct. Biol.* 10(5), 357–365 (2003).
- **Breakthrough crystallography paper revealing the structure of drug-sensitive and drug-resistant PfDHFR-thymidylate synthetase.**
114. Dasgupta T, Chitnumsub P, Kamchonwongpaisan S *et al.*: Exploiting structural analysis, *in silico* screening, and serendipity to identify novel inhibitors of drug-resistant falciparum malaria. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 4(1), 29–40 (2009).
115. Yuthavong Y, Kamchonwongpaisan S, Leartsakulpanich U, Chitnumsub P: Folate metabolism as a source of molecular targets for antimalarials. *Future Microbiol.* 1(1), 113–125 (2006).
116. Calzada JE, Samudio F, Bayard V, Obaldia N, de Mosca IB, Pascale JM: Revising antimalarial drug policy in Central America: experience in Panama. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 102(7), 694–698 (2008).
117. Adane L, Patel DS, Bharatam PV: Shape- and chemical feature-based 3D-pharmacophore model generation and virtual screening: identification of potential leads for *P. falciparum* DHFR enzyme inhibition. *Chem. Biol. Drug Design* 75(1), 115–126 (2010).
118. de Beer TAP, Louw AI, Joubert F: Elucidation of sulfadoxine resistance with structural models of the bifunctional *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydropterin pyrophosphokinase-dihydropteroate synthase. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 14(13), 4433–4443 (2006).
119. Dzinjalimala FK, Macheso A, Kublin JG *et al.*: Blood folate concentrations and *in vivo* sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine failure in Malawian children with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 72(3), 267–272 (2005).
120. van Eijk AM, Ouma PO, Williamson J *et al.*: Plasma folate level and high-dose folate supplementation predict sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine treatment failure in pregnant women in western Kenya who have uncomplicated malaria. *J. Inf. Dis.* 198(10), 1550–1553 (2008).
121. Wang P, Brobey RKB, Horii T, Sims PFG, Hyde JE: Utilization of exogenous folate in the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* and its critical role in antifolate drug synergy. *Mol. Microbiol.* 32(6), 1254–1262 (1999).
122. Wang P, Wang Q, Sims PFG, Hyde JE: Characterisation of exogenous folate transport in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 154(1), 40–51 (2007).
123. Dahlstrom S, Veiga MI, Martensson A, Bjorkman A, Gil JP: Polymorphism in *PfMMP1* (*Plasmodium falciparum* multidrug resistance protein 1) amino acid 1466 associated with resistance to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine treatment. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 53(6), 2553–2556 (2009).
124. Cui LW, Su XZ: Discovery, mechanisms of action and combination therapy of artemisinin. *Expert Rev. Anti-Inf. Ther.* 7(8), 999–1013 (2009).
125. Eastman RT, Fidock DA: Artemisinin-based combination therapies: a vital tool in efforts to eliminate malaria. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* 7(12), 864–874 (2009).
126. Tshetu AK, Gaye O, Kayentao K *et al.*: Efficacy and safety of a fixed-dose oral combination of pyronaridine-artesunate compared with artemether-lumefantrine in children and adults with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria: a randomised non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 375(9724), 1457–1467 (2010).
127. Beshir K, Sutherland CJ, Merinopoulos I *et al.*: Amodiaquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Afghanistan is associated with the *pfprt* SVMNT allele at codons 72 to 76. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 54(9), 3714–3716 (2010).
128. Nosten F, White NJ: Artemisinin-based combination treatment of falciparum malaria. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 77(6), 181–192 (2007).
129. Briolant S, Henry M, Oeuvray C *et al.*: Absence of association between piperazine *in vitro* responses and polymorphisms in the *pfprt*, *pfmdr1*, *pfmrp*, and *pfube* genes in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 54(9), 3537–3544 (2010).
130. Jansen FH: The pharmaceutical death-ride of dihydroartemisinin. *Malaria J.* 9, 212 (2010).

131. Fidock DA: Drug discovery: priming the antimalarial pipeline. *Nature* 465(7296), 297–298 (2010).
132. Golenser J, Waknine JH, Krugliak M, Hunt NH, Grau GE: Current perspectives on the mechanism of action of artemisinins. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 36(14), 1427–1441 (2006).
133. Robert A, Benoit-Vical F, Claparols C, Meunier B: The antimalarial drug artemisinin alkylates heme in infected mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 102(38), 13676–13680 (2005).
134. Asawamahaskda W, Ittarat I, Pu YM, Ziffer H, Meshnick SR: Reaction of antimalarial endoperoxides with specific parasite proteins. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 38(8), 1854–1858 (1994).
135. Bhisutthibhan J, Pan XQ, Hossler PA *et al.*: The *Plasmodium falciparum* translationally controlled tumor protein homolog and its reaction with the antimalarial drug artemisinin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273(26), 16192–16198 (1998).
136. Wang J, Huang L, Li J *et al.*: Artemisinin directly targets malarial mitochondria through its specific mitochondrial activation. *PLoS One* 5(3), E9582 (2010).
137. Mannan A, Liu CZ, Arsenault PR *et al.*: DMSO triggers the generation of ROS leading to an increase in artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid in *Artemisia annua* shoot cultures. *Plant Cell Rep.* 29(2), 143–152 (2010).
138. O'Neill PM, Barton VE, Ward SA: The molecular mechanism of action of artemisinin – the debate continues. *Molecules* 15(3), 1705–1721 (2010).
- **Impressive and detailed analysis of the modes of action of artemisinin.**
139. Hartwig CL, Rosenthal AS, D'Angelo J, Griffin CE, Posner GH, Cooper RA: Accumulation of artemisinin trioxane derivatives within neutral lipids of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites is endoperoxide-dependent. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 77(3), 322–336 (2009).
140. Garcia-Pineros AJ, Castro V, Mora G *et al.*: Cysteine 38 in p65/NF-κB plays a crucial role in DNA binding inhibition by sesquiterpene lactones. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276(43), 39713–39720 (2001).
141. Ghantous A, Gali-Muhtasib H, Vuorela H, Saliba NA, Darwiche N: What made sesquiterpene lactones reach cancer clinical trials? *Drug Discov. Today* 15(15–16), 668–678 (2010).
142. Eckstein-Ludwig U, Webb RJ, Van Goethem ID *et al.*: Artemisinins target the SERCA of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* 424(6951), 957–961 (2003).
143. Uhlemann AC, Cameron A, Eckstein-Ludwig U *et al.*: A single amino acid residue can determine the sensitivity of SERCAs to artemisinins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12(7), 628–629 (2005).
144. Afonso A, Hunt P, Cheesman S *et al.*: Malaria parasites can develop stable resistance to artemisinin but lack mutations in candidate genes *atp6* (encoding the sarcoplasmic and endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ ATPase), *tctp*, *mdr1*, and *cg10*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50(2), 480–489 (2006).
145. Valderramos SG, Scandfield D, Uhlemann AC, Fidock DA, Krishna S: Investigations into the role of the *Plasmodium falciparum* SERCA (PfATP6) L263E mutation in artemisinin action and resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54(9), 3842–3852 (2010).
146. Dondorp AM, Nosten F, Yi P *et al.*: Artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 361(5), 455–467 (2009).
147. Noedl H, Se Y, Schaefer K, Smith BL, Socheat D, Fukuda MM: Evidence of artemisinin-resistant malaria in western Cambodia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 359(24), 2619–2620 (2008).
148. Samarasekera U: Countries race to contain resistance to key antimalarial. *Lancet* 374(9686), 277–280 (2009).
149. Anderson TJC, Nair S, Nkhoma S *et al.*: High heritability of malaria parasite clearance rate indicates a genetic basis for artemisinin resistance in western Cambodia. *J. Inf. Dis.* 201(9), 1326–1330 (2010).
150. Imwong M, Dondorp AM, Nosten F *et al.*: Exploring the contribution of candidate genes to artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54(7), 2886–2892 (2010).
151. Wootton JC, Feng XR, Ferdig MT *et al.*: Genetic diversity and chloroquine selective sweeps in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* 418(6895), 320–323 (2002).
152. Thanh NV, Toan TQ, Cowman AF *et al.*: Monitoring for *Plasmodium falciparum* drug resistance to artemisinin and artesunate in Binh Phuoc Province, Vietnam: 1998–2009. *Malaria J.* 9, 181 (2010).
153. Müller O, Sie A, Meissner P, Schirmer RH, Kouyate B: Artemisinin resistance on the Thai-Cambodian border. *Lancet* 374(9699), 1419 (2009).
154. Walker DJ, Pitsch JL, Peng MM *et al.*: Mechanisms of artemisinin resistance in the rodent malaria pathogen *Plasmodium yoelii*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44(2), 344–347 (2000).
155. MacDonald SM, Bhisutthibhan J, Shapiro TA *et al.*: Immune mimicry in malaria: *Plasmodium falciparum* secretes a functional histamine-releasing factor homolog *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98(19), 10829–10832 (2001).
156. Ferrer-Rodriguez I, Perez-Rosado J, Gervais GW, Peters W, Robinson BL, Serrano AE: *Plasmodium yoelii*: identification and partial characterization of an MDR1 gene in an artemisinin-resistant line. *J. Parasitol.* 90(1), 152–160 (2004).
157. Gutteridge WE: Antimalarial drugs currently in development. *J. Roy. Soc. Med.* 82(Suppl. 17), 63–66 (1989).
158. Srivastava IK, Rottenberg H, Vaidya AB: Atovaquone, a broad spectrum antiparasitic drug, collapses mitochondrial membrane potential in a malarial parasite. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272(7), 3961–3966 (1997).
- **A solid study of the mode of action of atovaquone.**
159. Kessl JJ, Ha KH, Merritt AK *et al.*: Cytochrome b mutations that modify the ubiquinol-binding pocket of the cytochrome bc1 complex and confer anti-malarial drug resistance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280(17), 17142–17148 (2005).
160. Mather MW, Darrouzet E, Valkova-Valchanova M *et al.*: Uncovering the molecular mode of action of the antimalarial drug atovaquone using a bacterial system. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280(29), 27458–27465 (2005).
161. Clyde DF: Treatment of drug-resistant malaria in man. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 50(3–4), 243–249 (1974).
162. Korsinczyk M, Chen NH, Kotecka B, Saul A, Rieckmann K, Cheng Q: Mutations in *Plasmodium falciparum* cytochrome b that are associated with atovaquone resistance are located at a putative drug-binding site. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44(8), 2100–2108 (2000).
163. Berry A, Senescou A, Lelievre J *et al.*: Prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum* cytochrome b gene mutations in isolates imported from Africa, and implications for atovaquone resistance. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 100(10), 986–988 (2006).
164. Srivastava IK, Morrissey JM, Darrouzet E, Daldal F, Vaidya AB: Resistance mutations reveal the atovaquone-binding domain of cytochrome b in malaria parasites. *Mol. Microbiol.* 33(4), 704–711 (1999).
165. Srivastava IK, Vaidya AB: A mechanism for the synergistic antimalarial action of atovaquone and proguanil. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 43(6), 1334–1339 (1999).

166. Painter HJ, Morrisey JM, Mather MW, Vaidya AB: Specific role of mitochondrial electron transport in blood-stage *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* 446(7131), 88–91 (2007).
167. Wichmann O, Muehlberger N, Jelinek T *et al.*: Screening for mutations related to atovaquone/proguanil resistance in treatment failures and other imported isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Europe. *J. Inf. Dis.* 190(9), 1541–1546 (2004).
168. Happi CT, Gbotosho GO, Folarin OA *et al.*: Confirmation of emergence of mutations associated with atovaquone-proguanil resistance in unexposed *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from Africa. *Malaria J.* 5, 82 (2006).
169. Afonso A, Neto Z, Castro H *et al.*: *Plasmodium chabaudi chabaudi* malaria parasites can develop stable resistance to atovaquone with a mutation in the cytochrome b gene. *Malaria J.* 9, 135 (2010).
170. Sutherland CJ, Laundry M, Price N *et al.*: Mutations in the *Plasmodium falciparum* cytochrome b gene are associated with delayed parasite recrudescence in malaria patients treated with atovaquone-proguanil. *Malaria J.* 7, 240 (2008).
171. Picot S, Olliaro P, de Monbrison F, Bienvenu AL, Price RN, Ringwald P: A systematic review and meta-analysis of evidence for correlation between molecular markers of parasite resistance and treatment outcome in falciparum malaria. *Malaria J.* 8, 89 (2009).
- **The most comprehensive meta-analysis so far of correlations between parasite genotypes and clinical outcomes from antifolate and quinoline treatments.**
172. Sridaran S, McClintock SK, Syphard LM, Herman KM, Barnwell JW, Udhayakumar V: Anti-folate drug resistance in Africa: meta-analysis of reported dihydrofolate reductase (dhfr) and dihydropteroate synthase (dhps) mutant genotype frequencies in African *Plasmodium falciparum* parasite populations. *Malaria J.* 9, 247 (2010).
173. Edwards G, Biagini GA: Resisting resistance: dealing with the irrepressible problem of malaria. *Brit. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 61(6), 690–693 (2006).
174. Walsh JJ, Coughlana D, Heneghan N, Gaynor C, Bell A: A novel artemisinin-quinine hybrid with potent antimalarial activity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 17(13), 3599–3602 (2007).
175. Walsh JJ, Bell A: Hybrid drugs for malaria. *Curr. Pharmaceut. Design* 15(25), 2970–2985 (2009).
176. Sirawaraporn W, Sathitkul T, Sirawaraporn R, Yuthavong Y, Santi DV: Antifolate-resistant mutants of *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94(4), 1124–1129 (1997).
177. Hastings MD, Sibley CH: Pyrimethamine and WR99210 exert opposing selection on dihydrofolate reductase from *Plasmodium vivax*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99(20), 13137–13141 (2002).
178. Japrun D, Leartsakulpanich U, Chusacultanchai S, Yuthavong Y: Conflicting requirements of *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase mutations conferring resistance to pyrimethamine-WR99210 combination. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 51(12), 4356–4360 (2007).
179. Laufer MK, Thesing PC, Eddington ND *et al.*: Return of chloroquine antimalarial efficacy in Malawi. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 355(19), 1959–1966 (2006).
180. Mwai L, Ochong E, Abdirahman A *et al.*: Chloroquine resistance before and after its withdrawal in Kenya. *Malaria J.* 8, 106 (2009).
181. Alifrangis M, Lemnge MM, Ronn AM *et al.*: Increasing prevalence of wildtypes in the dihydrofolate reductase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum* in an area with high levels of sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine resistance after introduction of treated bed nets. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 69(3), 238–243 (2003).
182. McCollum AM, Mueller K, Villegas L, Udhayakumar V, Escalante AA: Common origin and fixation of *Plasmodium falciparum* dhfr and dhps mutations associated with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance in a low-transmission area in South America. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 51(6), 2085–2091 (2007).
183. Marks F, Evans J, Meyer CG *et al.*: High prevalence of markers for sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* in the absence of drug pressure in the Ashanti Region of Ghana. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 49(3), 1101–1105 (2005).
184. Mendez F, Herrera S, Murrain B *et al.*: Selection of antifolate-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* by sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine treatment and infectivity to Anopheles mosquitoes. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 77(3), 438–443 (2007).
185. Gamo FJ, Sanz LM, Vidal J *et al.*: Thousands of chemical starting points for antimalarial lead identification. *Nature* 465(7296), 305–310 (2010).
- **Demonstrates the power of massive high-throughput screening to identify new chemical families as promising leads for next-generation antimalarials.**
186. Guiguemde WA, Shelat AA, Bouck D *et al.*: Chemical genetics of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* 465(7296), 311–315 (2010).
- **Demonstrates the power of massive high-throughput screening to identify new chemical families as promising leads for next-generation antimalarials.**
187. McFadden GI, Roos DS: Apicomplexan plastids as drug targets. *Trends Microbiol.* 7(8), 328–333 (1999).
188. Dahl EL, Rosenthal PJ: Apicoplast translation, transcription and genome replication: targets for antimalarial antibiotics. *Trends Parasitol.* 24(6), 279–284 (2008).

Website

201. World Health Organization: World Malaria Report (2009)
http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241563901_eng.pdf