



## Management Decision

From Marketing Mix to Relationship Marketing: Towards a Paradigm Shift in Marketing  
Christian Grönroos

### Article information:

To cite this document:

Christian Grönroos, (1994), "From Marketing Mix to Relationship Marketing", Management Decision, Vol. 32 Iss 2 pp. 4 - 20

Permanent link to this document:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00251749410054774>

Downloaded on: 04 February 2015, At: 03:50 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 121 other documents.

To copy this document: [permissions@emeraldinsight.com](mailto:permissions@emeraldinsight.com)

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 42791 times since 2006\*

### Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

Christian Grönroos, (2004), "The relationship marketing process: communication, interaction, dialogue, value", Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing, Vol. 19 Iss 2 pp. 99-113 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/08858620410523981>

Christian Grönroos, (1989), "Defining Marketing: A Market-Oriented Approach", European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 23 Iss 1 pp. 52-60 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000000000541>

Evert Gummesson, (1994), "Making Relationship Marketing Operational", International Journal of Service Industry Management, Vol. 5 Iss 5 pp. 5-20 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09564239410074349>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by 478531 []

### For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit [www.emeraldinsight.com/authors](http://www.emeraldinsight.com/authors) for more information.

### About Emerald [www.emeraldinsight.com](http://www.emeraldinsight.com)

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

\*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

**Has today's dominant marketing mix paradigm become a strait-jacket? A relationship building and management approach may be the answer.**

# From Marketing Mix to Relationship Marketing: Towards a Paradigm Shift in Marketing

Christian Grönroos

Management Decision, Vol. 32 No. 2, 1994, pp. 4-20  
© MCB University Press Limited, 0025-1747

The marketing mix management paradigm has dominated marketing thought, research and practice since it was introduced almost 40 years ago. Today, this paradigm is beginning to lose its position[1-3]. New approaches have been emerging in marketing research. The globalization of business and the evolving recognition of the importance of customer retention and market economies and of customer relationship economics, among other trends, reinforce the change in mainstream marketing.

Relationship building and management, or what has been labelled *relationship marketing*, is one leading new

This article is based on an invited paper presented at the 1st International Colloquium in Relationship Marketing, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, 1-3 August, 1993.

approach to marketing which eventually has entered the marketing literature[2, 4-14]. A paradigm shift is clearly under way. In services marketing, especially in Europe and Australia but to some extent also in North America, and in industrial marketing, especially in Europe, this paradigm shift has already taken place. Books published on services marketing[15-17] and on industrial marketing[18-20] as well as major research reports published are based on the relationship marketing paradigm.

A major shift in the perception of the fundamentals of marketing is taking place. The shift is so dramatic that it can, no doubt, be described as a paradigm shift[21]. Marketing researchers have been passionately convinced about the paradigmatic nature of marketing mix management and the Four P model[22]. To challenge marketing mix management as the basic foundation for all marketing thinking has been as heretic as it was for Copernicus to proclaim that the earth moved[23, 24].

The purpose of this report is to discuss the nature and consequences of the dominating marketing paradigm of today, marketing mix management of the managerial school (cf.[25] and how evolving trends in business and modern research into, for example, industrial marketing, services marketing and customer relationship economics demand a relationship-oriented approach to marketing. Relationship building and management are found to be an underlying facet in the research into these areas. Relationship marketing is suggested as one new marketing paradigm, and a number of consequences for marketing and management of a relationship-type marketing strategy is discussed based on the notion of a marketing strategy continuum. Finally, the possibility of building a general theory of marketing based on the relationship approach is examined. A further discussion of the nature of the relationship marketing paradigm is, however, beyond the scope of this report.

## Marketing Mix and the Four Ps

Marketing the way most textbooks treat it today was introduced around 1960. The concept of the marketing mix and the Four Ps of marketing – product, price, place and promotion – entered the marketing textbooks at that time[26]. Quickly they also became treated as the unchallenged basic model of marketing, so totally overpowering previous models and approaches, such as, for example, the organic functionalist approach advocated by Wroe Alderson[27,28] as well as other systems-oriented approaches (e.g.[29,30]) and parameter theory developed by the Copenhagen School in Europe (e.g.[31,32]) that these are hardly remembered, even with a footnote in most textbooks of today. Earlier approaches, such as the commodity (e.g.[33]), functional (e.g.[34]), geography-related regional (e.g.[35]) and institutional

schools (e.g.[36]) have suffered a similar fate. Only a few models from these approaches have survived. American Marketing Association, in its most recent definition, states that “marketing is the process of planning and executing the conception, pricing, promotion and distribution of ideas, goods and services to create exchange and satisfy individual and organizational objectives” (emphasis added)[37].

Eventually the Four Ps of the marketing mix became an indisputable paradigm in academic research, the validity of which was taken for granted[10,16,38]. For most marketing researchers in large parts of the academic world it seems to remain the marketing truth even today. Kent[38] refers to the Four Ps of the marketing mix as “the holy quadruple...of the marketing faith...written in tablets of stone” (p. 146). For an academic researcher looking for tenure and promotion, to question it has been to stick out his or her neck too far. Prospective authors of textbooks, who suggest another organization than the Four P solution for their books, are quickly corrected by most publishers. As a result, empirical studies of what the key marketing variables are, and how they are perceived and used by marketing managers, have been neglected. Moreover, structure has been vastly favoured over process considerations[38]. In marketing education, teaching students how to use a toolbox has become the totally dominating task instead of discussing the meaning and consequences of the marketing concept and the process nature of market relationships. Marketing in practice has to a large extent been turned into managing this toolbox instead of truly exploring the nature of the firm’s market relationships and genuinely catering to the real needs and desires of customers.

### How Did the Marketing Mix Emerge?

A paradigm like this has to be well founded by theoretical deduction and empirical research; otherwise much of marketing research is based on a loose foundation and the results of it questionable. The marketing mix developed from a notion of the marketer as a “mixer of ingredients”[39]. The marketer plans various means of competition and blends them into a “marketing mix” so that a profit function is optimized, or rather satisfied. The “marketing mix”, concept was introduced by Neil Borden in the 1950s (e.g.[40]), and the mix of different means of competitions was soon labelled the Four Ps[26].

The marketing mix is actually a list of categories of marketing variables and, to begin with, this way of defining or describing a phenomenon can never be considered a very valid one. A list never includes all relevant elements, it does not fit every situation, and it becomes obsolete. And indeed, marketing academics every now and then offer additional Ps to the list, since they have found the standard “tablet of faith” too

limited[41-54]. It is, by the way, interesting to notice that since the Four Ps were definitely canonized sometime in the early 1970s, new items to the list almost exclusively have been in the form of Ps[55, 56]. Advocators of the marketing mix management paradigm have sometimes suggested that *service* should be added to the list of Ps (e.g.[53,57]). This would be disastrous, because it would isolate customer service as a marketing variable from the rest of the organization, just as has happened with the Four P marketing mix variables. It would effectively counteract all attempts to make customer service the responsibility of everyone and not of a separate department only.

In fact, the Four Ps represent a significant oversimplification of Borden’s original concept, which was a list of 12 elements not intended to be a definition at all. Moreover, the elements of this list would probably have to be reconsidered in any given situation. McCarthy either misunderstood the meaning of Borden’s marketing mix, when he reformulated the original list in the shape of the rigid mnemonic of the Four Ps where no blending of the Ps is explicitly included, or his followers misinterpreted McCarthy’s intentions. In many marketing textbooks organized around the marketing mix, such as Philip Kotler’s well-known *Marketing Management* [58], the blending aspect and the need for integration of the Four Ps are discussed, even in depth, but such discussions are always limited owing to the fact that the model does not explicitly include an integrative dimension.

In the 1950s in Europe, researchers within the so-called Copenhagen School approached marketing in a similar way to the notion of the marketing mix, based on the idea of *action parameters* presented in the 1930s by von Stackelberg[59]. Arne Rasmussen[31] and Gösta Mickwitz[32] developed what became known as *parameter theory*, which was a dynamic marketing mix approach linked to the product life cycle and where the parameters were integrated by means of varying market elasticities. Moreover, Mickwitz also stated that the demand side has to be connected to the supply side in a managerial marketing theory. This was done using an economic approach rather than a behavioural approach. Parameter theory was a much more developed model than the Four P version of the marketing mix notion. Unfortunately, it never received enough international attention, and eventually it was overwhelmed by the Four Ps that were much easier to comprehend and teach. Today, the key aspects of parameter theory, dynamism and an integration of consumer behaviour and managerial decision making are pointed out as important research topics (cf.[3]).

Probably Borden’s original idea of a list of a large number of marketing mix ingredients that have to be reconsidered in every given situation was shortened for pedagogical

reasons and because a more limited number of marketing variables seemed to fit typical situations observed in the late 1950s and in the 1960s by the initiators of the short list of four standardized Ps. These typical situations can be described as involving consumer packaged goods in a North American environment with huge mass markets, a highly competitive distribution system and very commercial mass media. However, in other markets the infrastructure is to varying degrees different and the products are only partly consumer packaged goods. Nevertheless the four Ps of the marketing mix have become the universal marketing model or even theory and an almost totally dominating paradigm for most academics, and they have had a tremendous impact on the practice of marketing as well. Is there any justification for this?

### The Nature of the Marketing Mix

Any marketing paradigm should be well set to fulfil the *marketing concept*, i.e. the notion that the firm is best off by designing and directing its activities according to the needs and desires of customers in chosen target markets. How well is the marketing mix fit to do that?

One can easily argue that the four Ps of the marketing mix are not well able to fulfil the requirements of the marketing concept. As Dixon and Blois[60] put it, "...indeed it would not be unfair to suggest that far from being concerned with a customer's interests (i.e. somebody *for whom* something is done) the views implicit in the Four P approach is that the customer is somebody *to whom* something is done!" (emphasis added) (p. 4). To use a marketing metaphor, the marketing mix and its four Ps constitute a *production-oriented* definition of marketing, and not a market-oriented or customer-oriented one (see[10, 16]). Moreover, although McCarthy[26] recognizes the interactive nature of the Ps, the model itself does not explicitly include any interactive elements. Furthermore, it does not indicate the nature and scope of such interactions.

The problems with the marketing mix management paradigm are not the number or conceptualization of the decision variables, the Ps, as American Marketing Association as well as the authors of most publications criticizing the marketing mix management paradigm argue. Rather, the problem is of a theoretical nature. The Four Ps and the whole marketing mix management paradigm are, theoretically, based on a loose foundation, which in a recent *Journal of Marketing* article was also demonstrated by van Waterschoot and Van den Bulte[61]. They conclude: "To our knowledge, the classification property(-ies) or rationale for distinguishing four categories labelled 'product', 'price', 'place' and 'promotion' have never been explicated... Though casual observation of practitioners, students, and textbooks suggest a general consensus to classify marketing mix

elements in the same categories, the lack of any formal and precise specification of the properties or characteristics according to which marketing mix elements should be classified is a major flaw". Van Waterschoot and Van den Bulte[61] recognize three flaws in the Four P model: "The properties or characteristics that are the basis for classification have not been identified. The categories are not mutually exclusive. There is a catch-all subcategory that is continually growing" (p. 85) (see also[38,62]). Many marketing-related phenomena are not included[63]. Moreover, as Johan Arndt[64,65] has concluded, marketing research remains narrow in scope and even myopic, and methodological issues become more important than substance matters. "Research in marketing gives the impression of being based on a conceptually sterile and unimaginative positivism... The consequence... is that most of the resources are directed toward less significant issues, overexplaining what we already know, and toward supporting and legitimizing the status quo"[64, p. 399]. Unfortunately, far too little has changed in mainstream marketing research since this was written over a decade ago.

The usefulness of the Four Ps as a general marketing theory for practical purposes is, to say the least, highly questionable. Originally, although they were largely based on empirical induction and earlier lists of marketing functions of the functional school of marketing (cf.[66]), they were probably developed under the influence of microeconomic theory and especially the theory of monopolistic competition of the 1930s (e.g.[67]), in order to add more realism to that theory. However, very soon the connection to microeconomic theory was cut off and subsequently totally forgotten. Theoretically, the marketing mix became just a list of Ps without roots.

Even in the area of consumer goods marketing in North America some doubts concerning marketing mix management has been expressed. Regis McKenna[68], a respected marketing consultant and writer, concludes in a discussion about the decline in North America of advertising, the flagship of traditional marketing, that "the underlying reason behind...(this decline)... is advertising's dirty little secret: it serves no useful purpose. In today's market, advertising simply misses the fundamental point of marketing – adaptability, flexibility, and responsiveness" (p. 13). Undoubtedly, this is to take it a little bit to the extreme, but the point is well taken. An interest in turning anonymous masses of potential and existing customers into interactive relationships with well-defined customers is becoming increasingly important (see e.g.[68-70]).

### Consequences of the Marketing Mix

Managing the marketing mix makes marketing seem to easy to handle and organize. Marketing is separated

from other activities of the firm and delegated to specialists who take care of the analysis, planning and implementation of various marketing tasks, such as market analysis, marketing planning, advertising, sales promotion, sales, pricing, distribution and product packaging. Marketing departments are created to take responsibility for the marketing function of the firm, sometimes together with outside specialists on, for example, market analysis and advertising. Both in the marketing literature and in everyday marketing vocabulary the expression “marketing department”, and organization unit, is used as a synonym for marketing function, which is the process of taking care of the fulfilment of customer needs and desires. However, the organizational approach inherent in the marketing mix management paradigm is not very useful either (see e.g.[15,16,71-73]). The psychological effect on the rest of the organization of a separate marketing department is, in the long run, often devastating to the development of a customer orientation or market orientation in a firm. A *marketing orientation* with, for example, high-budget advertising campaigns may be developed, but this does not necessarily have much to do with true *market orientation* and a real appreciation for the needs and desires of the customers. The existence or introduction of such a department may be a trigger that makes everybody else lose whatever little interest in the customers they may have had[15]. The marketing department approach to organizing the marketing function has isolated marketing from design, production, deliveries, technical service, complaints handling, invoicing and other activities of the firm. As a consequence, the rest of the organization has been alienated from marketing. Therefore, it has made it difficult, often even impossible, to turn marketing into the “integrative function” that would provide other departments with the market-related input needed in order to make the organization truly market oriented and reach a stage of “co-ordinated marketing” (cf.[72, pp. 19-24]).

Furthermore, the marketing specialists organized in a marketing department may get alienated from the customers. Managing the marketing mix means relying on mass marketing. Customers become numbers for the marketing specialists, whose actions, therefore, typically are based on surface information obtained from market research reports and market share statistics. Frequently such marketers act without ever having encountered a real customer.

The marketing department concept is obsolete and has to be replaced by some other way of organizing the marketing function, so that the organization will have a chance to become market-oriented. A traditional marketing department will always, in the final analysis, stand in the way of spreading market orientation and an

interest in the customer throughout the organization (cf.[15,16,71,72]).

Sometimes the term marketing has become a burden for the marketing function. Managers as well as their subordinates in other departments and functions do not want to take part in the marketing function. But according to the relationship marketing approach and contemporary models of industrial marketing and service marketing they do undoubtedly belong to this function. The use of the marketing mix management paradigm and the Four Ps has made it very difficult for the marketing function to earn credibility. Some firms have solved this problem not only by downscaling or altogether terminating their marketing departments but also by banning the use of the term marketing for the marketing function (cf.[15]). Perhaps we even need this kind of semantics.

### Contemporary Theories of Marketing

In most marketing textbooks the marketing mix management paradigm and its Four Ps are still considered *the* theory of marketing. Indeed, this is the case in much of the academic research into marketing, especially in North America but also to a considerable extent in other parts of the world as well. However, since the 1960s alternative theories of marketing have been developed. As Möller[63] observes in a recent overview of research traditions in marketing, “from the functional view of marketing ‘mix’ management our focus has extended to the strategic role of marketing, aspects of service marketing, political dimensions of channel management, interactions in industrial networks; to mention just a few evolving trends” (p. 197). Some of these theories have been based on studies of the market relationships of firms in specific types of industries. In this section the emerging theories and models of the *interaction/network approach to industrial marketing* and the *marketing of services* will be discussed. The growing interest in focusing on *customer relationship economics* and the long-term profitability of customer retention and market economies will also be touched on.

#### **The Interaction and Network Approach to Industrial Marketing**

The *interaction/network* approach to industrial marketing was originated in Sweden at Uppsala University during the 1960s[74] and has since spread to a large number of countries. Between the parties in a network various interactions take place, where exchanges and adaptations to each other occur. A flow of goods and information as well as financial and social exchanges takes place in the network[18,75,76]. In such a network the role and forms of marketing are not very clear. All exchanges, all sorts of interactions have an impact on the position of the parties

in the network. The interactions are not necessarily initiated by the seller – the marketer according to the marketing mix management paradigm – and they may continue over a long period of time, for example, for several years.

The seller, who at the same time may be the buyer in a reciprocal setting, may of course employ marketing specialists, such as sales representatives, market communication people and market analysts but in addition to them a large number of persons in functions which according to the marketing mix management paradigm are non-marketing, such as research and development, design, deliveries, customer training, invoicing and credit management, has a decisive impact on the marketing success of the “seller” in the network. Gummesson[5-7] has coined the term *part-time marketers* for such employees of a firm. He observes that in industrial markets and in service businesses, the part-time marketers typically outnumber several times the full-time marketers, i.e. the marketing specialists of the marketing and sales departments. Furthermore, he concludes that “marketing and sales departments (the full-time marketers) are not able to handle more than a limited portion of the marketing *as its staff cannot be at the right place at the right time with the right customer contacts*” [7, p. 13]. Hence, the part-time marketers do not only outnumber the full-time marketers, the specialists; often they are the only marketers around.

### **The Marketing of Services**

In the early 1970s the *marketing of services* started to emerge as a separate area of marketing with concepts and models of its own geared to typical characteristics of services. In Scandinavia and Finland, the Nordic School of Services, more than researchers into this field elsewhere, looked at the marketing of services as something that cannot be separated from overall management[77]. In North America, research into service marketing has to a much greater extent remained within the boundaries of the marketing mix management paradigm, although it has produced some creative results[17,78]. Grönroos brought quality back into a marketing context[79-81] by introducing the *perceived service quality* concept in 1982[15]. He introduced the concept of the *interactive marketing function*[15,82] to cover the marketing impact on the customer during the consumption of usage process, where the consumer of a service typically interacts with systems, physical resources and employees of the service provider. In France, Langeard and Eiglier[83] developed the *servuction* concept to describe this system of interactions. These interactions occur between the customer and employees who normally are not considered marketing people, either by themselves or by their managers, and who do not belong to a marketing or sales department. Nevertheless, they are part-time marketers.

In many situations long-lasting relationships between service providers and their customers may develop. Grönroos[15,84] developed the *customer relationship life-cycle* model, originally called the “marketing circle”, to cover the long-term nature of the establishment and evolution of the relationship between a firm and its customers. Managing this life-cycle is a relationship marketing task, although the term itself was not used at that time. Again, the marketing success of a firm is only partly determined by the “full-time marketers”. In fact, the “part-time marketers” of a service provider may often have a much more important impact on the future purchasing decisions of a customer than, for example, professional sales people or advertising campaigns (e.g.[5,16]).

### **The Interest in Customer Relationship Economics**

During the last few years there has been a growing interest in studying the economics of long-lasting customer relationships. Heskett[85] introduced the concept of *market economies*, by which he means achieving results by understanding the customers instead of by concentrating on developing scale economies. Reichheld[86] gives an example of this: “At MBNA (in the credit card business in the US), a 5 per cent increase in retention grows the company’s profit by 60 per cent by the fifth year” (p. 65). More similar results from other industries are reported in a study by Reichheld and Sasser[87]. Long-term relationships where both parties over time learn how to best interact with each other lead to decreasing *relationship costs* for the customer as well as for the supplier or service provider. The relationship cost theory which is based on literature on, for example, quality costs (cf.[88]) and transaction costs (cf.[89]) has been suggested by Grönroos[90]. A mutually satisfactory relationship makes it possible for customers to avoid significant *transaction costs* involved in shifting supplier or service provider and for suppliers to avoid suffering unnecessary *quality costs*.

However, customer retention is not enough. Some long-lasting customer relationships, where the customers are obviously satisfied with what they get, are not profitable even in the long run, as Storbacka[91] demonstrates in a recent study in the retail banking industry (cf. also[92]). Therefore, segmentation based on *customer relationship profitability* analysis is a prerequisite for customer retention decisions. To conclude, there is clear evidence that from a profitability point of view intelligent relationship building and management make sense.

### **Relationship Building as a Cornerstone of Marketing**

The interaction and network approach of industrial marketing and modern service marketing approaches,

especially the one by the Nordic School, clearly views marketing as an interactive process in a social context where *relationship building* and *management* are a vital cornerstone[93-95]. They are in some respects clearly related to the systems-based approaches to marketing of the 1950s (cf. e.g.[29]). The marketing mix management paradigm with its Four Ps, on the other hand, is a much more clinical approach, which makes the seller the active part and the buyer and consumer passive. No personalized relationship with the producer and marketer of a product is supposed to exist, other than with professional sales representatives in some cases. Obviously, this latter view of marketing does not fit the reality of industrial marketing and the marketing of services very well.

The concept relationship marketing[96-98] has emerged within the fields of service marketing and industrial marketing[4-8,10-14,16,78,99,100]. The phenomenon described by this concept is strongly supported by ongoing trends in modern business (cf.[95]). Grönroos defines relationship marketing[101,102] in the following way: "Marketing is to establish, maintain, and enhance relationships with customers and other partners, at a profit, so that the objectives of the parties involved are met. This is achieved by a mutual exchange and fulfilment of promises" ([16, p. 138]). Such relationships are usually but not necessarily always long term. Establishing a relationship, for example with a customer, can be divided into two parts: to *attract* the customer and to *build* the relationship with that customer so that the economic goals of that relationship are achieved.

An integral element of the relationship marketing approach is the *promise concept* which has been strongly emphasized by Henrik Calonijs[103]. According to him the responsibilities of marketing do not only, or predominantly, include giving promises and thus persuading customers as passive counterparts on the marketplace to act in a given way. A firm that is preoccupied with giving promises may *attract* new customers and initially *build* relationships. However, if promises are not kept, the evolving relationship cannot be *maintained* and *enhanced*. Fulfilling promises that have been given is equally important as means of achieving customer satisfaction, retention of the customer base, and long-term profitability (cf. also [87]). Calonijs also stresses the fact that promises are mutually given and fulfilled.

Another key element is *trust*. "The resources of the seller – personnel, technology and systems – have to be used in such a manner that the customer's trust in the resources involved and, thus, in the firm itself is maintained and strengthened"[99, p. 5] (cf. e.g.[104]). In a recent study of relationships on the market for one industrial service, Moorman *et al.*[105] define trust as "...a willingness to rely on an exchange partner in whom one has confidence"

(p. 3). This definition means, first of all, that there has to be a *belief* in the other partner's trustworthiness that results from the expertise, reliability or intentionality of that partner. Second, it views trust as a behavioural *intention* or behaviour that reflects reliance on the other partner and involves uncertainty and vulnerability on the part of the trustor. If there is no vulnerability and uncertainty trust is unnecessary, because the trustor can control the other partner's actions[105] (see also[106]). One should, however, bear in mind that in many relationship marketing situations it is not clear who is the trustor and who is the trustee; more likely, for example in a simple two-partner relationship, both partners are in both positions. Also, the relationships are often more complex than mere exchange relationships.

Relationship marketing is still in its infancy as a mainstream marketing concept, although it has established itself as an underlying paradigm in modern industrial marketing and services marketing. Its importance is recognized to a growing extent, however. Philip Kotler[107] concludes in a recent article that "companies must move from a short-term *transaction-oriented* goal to a long-term *relationship-building* goal" (p. 1). In an interview in the *Marketing Science Institute Review* in 1991, Philip Kotler[108] states that "A paradigm shift, as used by Thomas Kuhn..., occurs when a field's practitioners are not satisfied with the field's explanatory variables or breadth...What I think we are witnessing today is a movement away from a focus on exchange – in the narrow sense of transaction – and toward a focus on building value-laden relationships and marketing networks...We start thinking mostly about how to hold on to our existing customers...Our thinking therefore is moving from a marketing mix focus to a relationship focus". (pp. 1,4). Frederick Webster[95], another prominent American opinion leader in marketing, comes to a similar conclusion in a recent analysis of the current developments in business and in marketing: "There has been a shift from a transactions to a relationship focus" (p. 14), and "from an academic or theoretical perspective, the relatively narrow conceptualization of marketing as a profit-maximization problem, focused on market transactions or series of transactions, seems increasingly out of touch with an emphasis on long-term customer relationships and the formation and management of strategic alliances" (p. 10). In his analysis he does not, however, include what has been published on relationship marketing issues in Europe.

So far, there seem to be only two books for textbook purposes based on this emerging paradigm (Christopher, *et al.*[13] in English and Blomqvist *et al.*[14] in Swedish). However, relationship marketing is clearly the underlying approach in several books on services marketing(e.g.[16, 17]) and industrial marketing (e.g.[18-20,109,110]). In a growing number of articles relationship issues are addressed (e.g.[4,5,9,10,12,58,95,99,102,111-114]). The



importance of relationship building is advancing even into books from the world of consumer goods marketing. There the existence of mass markets without any natural direct customer contacts for the firm causes certain consequences of their own. Market communication is a central means of reaching customers, and the focus on relationship building leads to an interest in emphasizing *dialogues* and creating, for example, advertising campaigns that facilitate various types of dialogues with identified customers (see, e.g.[69]). In the future, this marketing paradigm most certainly will be a focal point of marketing research, thus positioning itself as a leading marketing paradigm not only in services marketing and industrial marketing but in most or all marketing situations. In the rest of this article, some marketing and management consequences of a relationship-building and management approach will be discussed.

### The Marketing Strategy Continuum

The major problem with the marketing mix and its Four Ps has been their position as the major, and in many situations as the only, acceptable marketing paradigm. Relationship marketing must not become such a strait-jacket. However, developing enduring customer relationships and achieving exchanges in such relationships through a relationship marketing approach (cf.[115]) is not only another addendum to marketing mix management. Rather, it is a different approach as compared to achieving exchanges in isolated transactions through the use of the Four Ps of the marketing mix. As Reichheld observes, "building a highly loyal customer base cannot be done as an add-on. It must be integral to a company's basic business strategy"[86, p. 64]. Hence, it should be useful to think about possible marketing approaches or strategies along a *marketing strategy continuum*[116]. *Relationship marketing* is placed at one end of the continuum. Here the general focus is on building relationships with customers (and other parties as well, although only customers are discussed in this context). At the other end of the continuum is *transaction marketing* where the focus of marketing is on one transaction at a time (cf.[4]). Thus marketing revolves around creating single transactions or exchanges at a time and not around building long-term relationships. The continuum and some marketing and management implications are illustrated in Figure 1.

Various types of goods and services can be placed along the continuum as indicated by the bottom part of Figure 1. The exact place and corresponding marketing approach cannot, of course, be located. This is indicated by the arrows. Marketers of consumer packaged goods will probably benefit most from a transaction-type strategy. Service firms, on the other hand, would normally, but probably not always, be better off by applying a relationship-type strategy. Manufacturers of

consumer packaged goods have mass markets but no immediate contacts with their ultimate customers, while service firms almost always have such contacts, sometimes on a regular basis, sometimes only at discrete points in time. Therefore, the interface between the firm and its customers is expanded far outside the marketing department of marketing and sales specialists.

In consumer durables the customer interface is broader than for consumer packaged goods, and a pure transaction-type strategy is not the only naturally available option. Industrial goods, ranging from mass-produced components to complex machines and projects, would probably fit best between consumer durables and services. However, in many industrial marketing situations the customer relationships are similar to many service situations, and here no distinctions between the industrial marketer and service marketer can be made on the continuum.

The time perspective of marketing differs depending on where on the continuum a firm is. As transaction marketing means that the firm focuses on single exchanges or transactions at a time, the time perspective is rather short. The unit of analysis is a single market transaction. Profits are expected to follow from today's exchanges, although sometimes some long-term image development occurs. In relationship marketing the time perspective is much longer. The marketer does not plan primarily for short-term results. His objective is to create results in the long run through enduring and profitable relationships with customers. In some cases single exchanges may even be unprofitable as such. Thus, relationships as such are equally the units of analysis.

### Marketing Focus

Because of the lack of personal contacts with their customers and their focus on mass markets, firms pursuing a transaction-type strategy will probably benefit most from a traditional *marketing mix* approach. The Four P model will give guidance in most cases; and this model was indeed originally developed for consumer packaged goods marketing where transaction marketing is most appropriate.

For a firm applying a relationship strategy the marketing mix often becomes too restrictive. The most important customer contacts from a marketing success point of view are the ones outside the realm of the marketing mix and the marketing specialists. The marketing impact of the customer's contacts with people, technology and systems of operations and other non-marketing functions determines whether he or she (or the organizational buyer as a unit) will continue doing business with a given firm or not. All these customer contacts are more or less interactive. As has been said earlier, in services



**Figure 1.** *The Marketing Strategy Continuum: Some Implications*

<i>The strategy continuum</i>	Transaction marketing	Relationship marketing
Time perspective	Short-term focus	Long-term focus
Dominating marketing function	Marketing mix	Interactive marketing (supported by marketing mix activities)
Price elasticity	Customers tend to be more sensitive to price	Customers tend to be less sensitive to price
Dominating quality dimension	Quality of output (technical quality dimension) is dominating	Quality of interactions (functional quality dimension) grows in importance and may become dominating
Measurement of customer satisfaction	Monitoring market share (indirect approach)	Managing the customer base (direct approach)
Customer information system	<i>Ad hoc</i> customer satisfaction surveys	Real-time customer feedback system
Interdependency between marketing, operations and personnel	Interface of no or limited strategic importance	Interface of substantial strategic importance
The role of internal marketing	Internal marketing of no or limited importance to success	Internal marketing of substantial strategic importance to success
<i>The product continuum</i>	Consumer packaged goods → ← Consumer durables → ← Industrial goods → ← Services	
Source: [12]		

marketing literature, the marketing effects of these interactions are called the *interactive marketing function*. This marketing function can also be described as *the marketing activities outside the marketing mix*. It involves people who thus have dual responsibilities. Their main duties are in operations or some other non-marketing tasks. However, they also perform a crucial marketing task, because of their vital customer contacts. They have responsibilities as “part-time marketers”. In relationship marketing interactive marketing becomes the dominating part of the marketing function. Of course, elements of the marketing mix are important here as well, but to a much lesser degree and merely supporting interactive marketing activities.

In transaction marketing there is not much more than the core product, and sometimes the image of the firm or its brands, which keeps the customer attached to the seller. When a competitor introduces a similar product, which is quite easily done in most markets today, advertising and image may help in keeping the customers, at least for some time, but price usually becomes an issue. A firm that offers a lower price or better terms is a dangerous competitor, because in transaction marketing the price sensitivity of customers is often high. A firm pursuing a relationship marketing strategy, on the other hand, has created more value for its customers than that which is

provided by the core product alone. Such a firm develops over time more and tighter ties with its customers. Such ties may, for example, be technological, knowledge-related or information-related, or social in nature. If they are well handled they provide customers with added value, something that is not provided by the core product itself. Of course, price is not unimportant but is often much less an issue here. Thus, *relationship marketing makes customers less price sensitive*.

**Customer Perceived Quality**

The quality customers perceive will typically differ, depending on what strategy a firm uses. According to the model of total perceived quality developed within the Nordic School of Services [15,117,118]) the *customer perceived quality* is basically a function of the customer perceptions of two dimensions: the impact of the outcome or the technical solution (*what* the customer receives), and an additional impact based on the customer’s perception of the various interactions with the firm (*how* the so-called “moments of truth” [119] are perceived). The former quality dimension is sometimes called the *technical quality* of the outcome or solution, whereas the latter dimension is called the *functional quality* of the interaction process [15].

A transaction marketing approach includes no or minimal customer contacts outside the product and other marketing mix variables. The benefits sought by the customers are embedded in the technical solution provided by the product. The customer will not receive much else that will provide him with added value, other than perhaps the corporate or brand image in some cases. Hence, the technical quality of the product, or what the customer gets as an outcome, is the dominating quality-creating source in transaction marketing.

In relationship marketing the situation is different. The customer interface is broader, and the firm has opportunities to provide its customers with added value of various types (technological, information, knowledge, social, etc.). Hence, the second quality dimension, how the interaction process is perceived, grows in importance. When several firms can provide a similar technical quality, managing the interaction processes becomes imperative also from a quality perception perspective. Thus, *in relationship marketing the functional quality dimension grows in importance and often becomes the dominating one*. Of course, this does not mean that the technical quality can be neglected, but it is no longer the only quality dimension to be considered as one of strategic importance.

### **Monitoring Customer Satisfaction**

A normal way of monitoring customer satisfaction and success is to look at market share and to undertake *ad hoc* customer satisfaction surveys. A stable or rising share of the market is considered a measure of success and, thus, indirectly, of customer satisfaction. When the customer base remains stable, market share is a good measurement of satisfaction. However, very often one does not know whether it in fact is stable, or whether the firm is losing a fair share of its customers, who are replaced by new customers by means of aggressive marketing and sales. In such situations following market share statistics only may easily give a false impression of success, when in fact the number of unsatisfied customers and ex-customers is growing and the image of the firm is deteriorating.

For a consumer packaged goods marketing firm, which typically would apply a transaction marketing strategy, there are no ways of continuously measuring market success other than monitoring market share. A service firm and many industrial marketers, on the other hand, who more easily could pursue a relationship marketing strategy, have at least some kind of interactions with almost every single customer, even if they serve mass markets. Thus, customer satisfaction can be monitored directly. A firm that applies a relationship-type strategy can *monitor customer satisfaction by directly managing its customer base*[16]. *Managing the customer base* means that the firm has at least some kind of direct knowledge of how satisfied its customers are. Instead of thinking in

anonymous numbers, or market share, management thinks in terms of people with personal reactions and opinions. This requires a means of gathering the various types of data about customer feedback that are constantly, every day, obtained by a large number of employees in large numbers of customer contacts. In combination with market share statistics, such an intelligence system focusing on customer satisfaction and customer needs and desires forms a valuable source of information for decision making.

Consequently, in a relationship marketing situation the firm can build up an on-line, real-time information system. This system will provide management with a continuously updated database of its customers and continuous information about the degree of satisfaction and dissatisfaction among customers. This can serve as a powerful management instrument. In a transaction marketing situation it is impossible, or at least very difficult and expensive, to build up such a database.

### **The Strategic Importance of Intraorganizational Collaboration**

The level of interdependency between functions and departments in an organization depends on whether the firm has chosen a transaction-type strategy or a relationship-type strategy. In transaction marketing, most or all of the firm's customer contacts are related to the product itself and to traditional marketing mix activities. Marketing and sales specialists are responsible for the total marketing function; no part-time marketers are involved. Thus, the internal interface between functions has no or very limited strategic importance to the firm.

In relationship marketing the situation is different. The customer interface is much broader involving often even a large number of part-time marketers in several different functions. This is the case, for example, in most industrial marketing and services marketing situations. A successfully implemented interactive marketing performance requires that all parts of the firm that are involved in taking care of customers can collaborate and support each other in order to provide customers with a good total perceived quality and make them satisfied. Thus, for a firm pursuing a relationship marketing strategy *the internal interface between marketing, operations, personnel and other functions is of strategic importance to success*.

### **Internal Marketing as a Prerequisite for External Marketing**

The part-time marketers have to be prepared for their marketing tasks. *Internal marketing* is needed to ensure the support of traditional non-marketing people[15,16,99,

120-122]. They have to be committed, prepared and informed, and motivated to perform as part-time marketers. As Jan Carlzon of SAS noticed, “only committed and informed people perform” [123]. This does not go for the back-office and frontline employees only. It is, of course, equally important that supervisors and middle-level and top-level managers are equally committed and prepared [124]. The *internal marketing concept* states that “the internal market of employees is best motivated for service mindedness and customer-oriented performance by an active, marketing-like approach, where a variety of activities are used internally in an active, marketinglike and coordinated way” [16, p. 223] (first introduced in English in [15]).

Internal marketing as a process has to be integrated with the total marketing function. External marketing, both the traditional parts of it and interactive marketing performance, starts from within the organization. As compared to transaction marketing situations, *a thorough and on-going internal marketing process is required to make relationship marketing successful*. If internal marketing is neglected, external marketing suffers or fails.

### **Service Competition**

The more a firm moves to the right on the marketing strategy continuum away from a transaction-type situation, the more the market offer expands beyond the core product. Installing goods, technical service, advice about how to use a physical good or a service, just-in-time logistics, customer-adapted invoicing, technical know-how, information, social contacts and a host of other elements of bigger or smaller magnitude are added to the relationship, so that it becomes more attractive and indeed profitable for the customer (and other parties as well) to engage in an on-going relationship with a given partner on the marketplace. All such elements are different types of services. The more the firm adopts a relationship marketing strategy, the more it has to understand how to manage these service elements of its market offer. As we have concluded in earlier contexts (cf. [16]; see also [119]), managing services is to a substantial degree, although of course not totally, different from traditional management of manufactured goods: “...every firm, irrespective of whether it is a service firm by today’s definition or a manufacturer of goods, has to learn how to cope with the *new competition of the service economy*” [16, p. 7]. We have coined the term *service competition* for this new competitive situation [16]. In conclusion, relationship marketing demands a deeper understanding of how to manage service competition than what is required of firms pursuing a transaction-type strategy.

### **The Relationship Approach as a Foundation for a Theory of Marketing**

Marketing has never had a general theory, although the managerial school based on the marketing mix management paradigm is frequently treated as one. However, would it be possible to develop a general theory of marketing, or middle-range theories [125], based on the relationship marketing approach? In fact, notably in Europe, relationship-based theories of the middle range, far beyond isolated empirical findings or theoretical deductions, have already been developed in industrial marketing and services marketing. As far as a general theory is concerned, it is controversial whether such an overall theory can be created. Referring to Shelby Hunt’s [126, 127] criteria of a general theory, Sheth *et al.* [3] in their overview of the evolution of marketing schools argue that such a master theory indeed can exist. What is the potential of the relationship marketing perspective to serve as a foundation for such a theory?

Relationship marketing is systems-oriented, yet it includes managerial aspects. A systems approach is well suited as a basis for a general theory of marketing, because it makes it possible to include all relevant actors, environmental influence, and even the process nature of marketing (cf. [25]). The managerial facets facilitate actionable and normative elements that also are needed in such a theory. Furthermore, Sheth *et al.* express the following views about the scope of marketing and the dominant perspective in marketing: “...we need to expand our understanding of marketing to incorporate the basic tenets of marketing, that is, market behaviour, market transactions as the unit of analysis, marketing as a dynamic process of relationships between buyers and sellers, and the exogenous variables that influence market behaviour... What is needed is a perspective that reflects the *raison d’être* of marketing, a perspective that is the common cause that no stakeholder (consumer, seller, government, or social critic) can question. Indeed, that perspective should really reflect what marketing is all about” [3, p. 195]. Although we do not agree with the statement that single market transactions are the units of analysis, but rather the relationships themselves and their economic and noneconomic elements [93], we believe that this is a useful way of stating what marketing should be and what a theory of marketing should encompass.

According to the Grönroos definition of relationship marketing [10, 16], marketing is a process including several parties or actors, the objectives of which have to be met. This is done by a mutual exchange and fulfilment of promises, a fact that makes *trust* an important aspect of marketing (cf. [99]). Inherent in this definition is a view of the suppliers or service providers interacting in a

network with, among others, customers, suppliers, intermediaries, and environmental actors. It is possible to include the behaviour of the actors on the marketplace and in the nonmarket environment and to analyse the interactions and processes of the relationships in this system. Furthermore, managerial decisions and actions in the relationships are included. Relationship marketing is also dynamic, because of its process nature. Compare, for example, the dynamism of Howard's[128] managerial theory of marketing which also includes consumer behaviour ingredients. In conclusion, we think it is not unfair to say that the relationship building and management approach to marketing, relationship marketing, has the necessary ingredients for the development of a general theory of marketing. In such a theory the managerial approach with the notion of the marketing mix and other concepts and models become one facet.

### Is There a Paradigm Shift in Marketing?

From a management point of view the Four Ps may have been helpful at one time, at least for marketers of consumer packaged goods. The use of various means of competition became more organized. However, the Four Ps were never applicable to all markets and to all types of marketing situations. The development of alternative marketing theories discussed in previous sections of this article demonstrates that even from a management perspective, the marketing mix and its Four Ps became a problem.

However, in the bulk of textbooks and in much of the ongoing marketing research this paradigm is still strong today. In a standard marketing text, services marketing, industrial marketing and international marketing, for example, are touched on in a few paragraphs or they may be presented in a chapter of their own. However, they are always occurring as add-ons, never integrated into the whole text. "Books become compilations of fragmented aspects, like services marketing is being piled on top of the original structure or relationship marketing getting a small paragraph or footnote"[129, p. 257]. Why has the marketing mix management paradigm and the Four P model become such a strait-jacket for marketers? The main reason for this is probably the pedagogical virtues of the Four Ps that makes teaching marketing so easy and straightforward. The simplicity of the model seduces teachers to toolbox thinking instead of constantly reminding them of the fact that marketing is a social process with far more facets than that. As a consequence of this, researchers and marketing managers are also constrained by the simplistic nature of the Four Ps. The victims are marketing theory and customers.

On the other hand, marketing is more and more developing in a direction where the toolbox thinking of the marketing mix fits less well. In industrial marketing, services marketing, managing distribution channels and even consumer packaged goods marketing itself, a shift is clearly taking place from marketing to anonymous masses of customer to developing and managing relationships with more or less well-known or at least somehow identified customers. In marketing research new approaches have been emerging over the last decades, although they have not yet been able to overthrow the paradigmatic position of the marketing mix. As has been advocated in this article, an underlying dimension in these types of research is relationship building and management with customers and other parties.

Marketing mix management with its four Ps is reaching the end of the road as a universal marketing approach. However, even if marketing mix management is dying as the dominating marketing paradigm and the Four P model needs to be replaced, this does not mean that the Ps themselves, and other concepts of the managerial approach such as market segmentation and indeed the marketing concept[130-33], would be less valuable than before. Relationships do not function by themselves. As McInnes[134] said already three decades ago, "the existence of a market relation is the foundation of exchange not a substitute for it" (p. 56). Only in extreme situations, for example when the computer systems of a buyer and a materials provider are connected to each other in order to initiate and execute purchase decisions automatically, the relationship, at least for some time, may function by itself. In such situations one comes close to what Johan Arndt[135] called "domesticated markets", where "transactions...are usually handled by administrative processes on the basis of negotiated rules of exchange" (p. 56). Normally, advertising, distribution and product branding, for example, will still be needed, but along with a host of other activities and resources. However, what marketing deserves is new perspectives, which are more market-oriented and less manipulative, and where the customer indeed is the focal point as suggested by the marketing concept.

Most certainly relationship marketing will develop into such a new approach to managing marketing problems, to organizing the firm for marketing, and to other areas as well. Today it is still an exotic phenomenon on the outskirts of the marketing map. In the future this will change. In fact, this change has already started. Marketing mix as a general perspective evolved because at one time it was an effective way of describing and managing many marketing situations. Before the marketing mix there were other approaches. Now time

has made this approach less helpful other than in specific situations. New paradigms have to come. After all, we live in the 1990s, and we cannot for ever continue to live with a paradigm from the 1950s and 1960s. However, bearing in mind the long-term damages of the marketing mix as the universal truth, we are going to need several approaches or paradigms (compare, however, Kuhn's[24] discussion of the possibility of simultaneously existing paradigms). Relationship marketing will be one of them.

### Notes and References

1. The marketing mix management paradigm with its most central model, the Four P model, is frequently treated as if it always has existed and as if there have not been any other approaches to marketing. In a chapter named "Quo Vadis, Marketing?"[2] of an anthology we have discussed the background of the marketing mix and other theoretical approaches to marketing which existed at the time when the marketing mix was introduced. Sheth *et. al.*[3] provide an extensive overview of the evolution of marketing thought. However, as they only observe the development in North America (out of well over 500 publications in their very elaborate reference list only six are published outside North America, and five of these are written by Americans), some important contributions are missing.
2. Grönroos, C., "Quo Vadis, Marketing? Towards a Neo-Classical Marketing Theory", in Blomqvist, H.C., Grönroos, C. and Lindqvist, L.J. (Eds), *Economics and Marketing. Essays in Honour of Gösta Mickwitz*, Economy and Society, No. 48. Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Helsingfors, Finland, 1992, pp. 109-24.
3. Sheth, J.N., Gardner, D.M. and Garrett, D.E., *Marketing Theory: Evolution and Evaluation*, Wiley, New York, NY, 1988.
4. Jackson, B.B., "Build Customer Relationships That Last", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 63, November-December 1985, pp. 120-8.
5. Gummesson, E., "The New Marketing – Developing Long-term Interactive Relationships", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 20 No. 4, 1987, pp. 10-20.
6. Gummesson, E., *Marketing – A Long-Term Interactive Relationship. Contribution to a New Marketing Theory*, Marketing Technique Center, Stockholm, Sweden, 1987.
7. Gummesson, E., *The Part-time Marketer*, Center for Service Research, Karlstad, Sweden, 1990.
8. Gummesson, E., *Relationsmarknadsföring, Från 4 P till 30 R* (Relationship Marketing. From 4 Ps to 30 Rs), Stockholm University, Sweden, 1993.
9. Dwyer, F.R., Shurr, P.H. and Oh, S., "Developing Buyer and Seller Relationships", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 51, April 1987, pp. 11-27.
10. Grönroos, C., "Defining Marketing: A Market-oriented Approach", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 23 No. 1, 1989, pp. 52-60.
11. Grönroos, C., "A Relationship Approach to Marketing: The Need for a New Paradigm", Working Paper 190, Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Helsingfors, Finland, 1989.
12. Grönroos, C., "The Marketing Strategy Continuum: A Marketing Concept for the 1990s", *Management Decision*, Vol. 29 No. 1, 1991, pp. 7-13.
13. Christopher, M., Payne, A. and Ballantyne, D., *Relationship Marketing: Bringing Quality, Customer Service and Marketing Together*, Butterworth, London, 1991.
14. Blomqvist, R., Dahl, J. and Haeger, T., *Relationsmarknadsföring. Strategi och metod för servicekonkurrens* (Relationship marketing. Strategy and methods for service competition), IHM Förlag, Göteborg, Sweden, 1993.
15. Grönroos, C., *Strategic Management and Marketing in the Service Sector*, Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Helsingfors, Finland, (published in 1983 in the US by Marketing Science Institute and in the UK by Studentlitteratur/Chartwell-Bratt), 1982.
16. Grönroos, C., *Service Management and Marketing. Managing the Moments of Truth in Service Competition*, Free Press/Lexington Books, Lexington, MA, 1990.
17. Berry, L.L. and Parasuraman, A., *Marketing Services. Competing through Quality*, Free Press/Lexington Books, Lexington, MA, 1991.
18. Håkansson, H., (Ed.) *International Marketing and Purchasing of Industrial Goods*, Wiley, New York, NY, 1982.
19. Turnbull, P.W., and Valla, J-P. (Ed.), *Strategies for International Industrial Marketing*, Groom Helm, London, 1986.
20. Ford, D., (Ed.), *Understanding Business Markets: Interactions, Relationships and Networks*, Academic Press, London, 1990.
21. Kuhn, T.S., *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1962.
22. A typical example of this paradigmatic position was expressed by a US professor at a services marketing conference in the late 1980s. When in a panel discussion the dominating role of marketing mix management and its four Ps were questioned, he responded by stating that he was a student of McCarthy and nothing could convince him that there could be anything wrong with the four Ps and the marketing mix.
23. As Kuhn[24] puts it: "Consider...the men who called Copernicus mad because he proclaimed that the earth moved...Part of what they meant by 'earth' was fixed position. Their earth, at least, could not be moved. Correspondingly, Copernicus's innovation was not simply to move the earth. Rather, it was a whole new way of regarding the problems of physics and

- astronomy, one that necessarily changed the meaning of both 'earth' and 'motion'. Without these changes the concept of a moving earth was mad" (pp. 149-50). See also Kuhn[25].
24. Kuhn, T.S., *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, 2nd ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1970.
  25. Kuhn, T.S., *The Copernican Revolution*, Cambridge, MA, 1957.
  26. McCarthy, E.J., *Basic Marketing*, Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1960.
  27. Alderson, W., "Survival and Adjustment in Organized Behavior Systems", in Cox, R., and Alderson, W. (Eds), *Theory in Marketing*, Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1950, pp. 65-88.
  28. Alderson, W., *Marketing Behavior and Executive Action*, Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1957.
  29. Fisk, G., *Marketing Systems*, Harper & Row, New York, NY, 1967.
  30. Fisk, G. and Dixon, D.F., *Theories of Marketing Systems*, Harper & Row, New York, NY, 1967.
  31. Rasmussen, A., *Pristeorii eller parameter-teori - studier omkring virksomhedens afsaetning* (Price theory or parameter theory - studies of the sales of the firm., Erhvervsokonomisk Forlag, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1955.
  32. Mickwitz, G., *Marketing and Competition*, Societas Scientarium Fennica, Helsingfors, Finland (available from University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, MI), 1959.
  33. Copeland, M.T., "The Relation of Consumers' Buying Habits to Marketing Methods", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 1, April 1923, pp. 282-9.
  34. Weld, L.D.H., "Marketing Functions and Mercantile Organizations", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 7, June 1917, pp. 306-18.
  35. Reilly, W.J., *The Law of Retail Gravitation*, University of Texas, Austin, TX, 1931.
  36. Duddy, E.A. and Revzan, D.A., *Marketing. An Institutional Approach*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1947.
  37. "AMA Board Approves New Marketing Definition", *Marketing News*, 1 March 1985.
  38. Kent, R.A. "Faith in Four Ps: An Alternative", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 2 No. 2, 1986, pp. 145-54.
  39. Culliton, J.W., *The Management of Marketing Costs*, Harvard University Press, Boston, MA, 1948.
  40. Borden, N.H. "The Concept of the Marketing Mix", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 4, June 1964, pp. 2-7.
  41. Kotler[42] has, in the context of *megamarketing*, added *public relations* and *politics*, thus expanding the list to six Ps. In service marketing, Booms and Bitner[43] have suggested three additional Ps, *people*, *physical evidence* and *process*. Judd[44], among others, has argued for just one new P, *people*. The way of challenging the Four Ps has always been to use the same clinical approach, i.e. to simplify the market relationship by developing a list of decision-making variables. No real innovativeness or challenge to the foundation of the underlying paradigm, have been presented. In the 1960s and early 1970s, categories which did not begin with the letter P were suggested; e.g. Staudt and Taylor, Lipson and Darling and Kelly and Lazer[45-47] (three categories each), whereas the letter P almost always has been present in lists of categories put forward in the 1980s and 1990s; e.g. Traynor[48] (five categories), Johnson[49] (12), Keely[50] (four Cs), Berry[51] and Mason and Mayer[52] (six), Collier[53] (seven) and LeDoux[54] (five).
  42. Kotler, P. "Megamarketing", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 64, March-April 1986, pp. 117-24.
  43. Booms, B.H., and Bitner, M.J., "Marketing Strategies and Organization Structures for Service Firms", in Donnelly, J.H. and George, W.R. (Eds), *Marketing of Services*, American Marketing Association, Chicago, IL, 1982, pp. 47-51.
  44. Judd, V.C., "Differentiate with the 5th P: People", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 16, November 1987, pp. 241-7.
  45. Staudt, T.A. and Taylor, D.A., *Marketing A Managerial Approach*, Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1965.
  46. Lipson, H.A., and Darling, J.R., *Introduction to Marketing: An Administrative Approach*, Wiley, New York, NY, 1971.
  47. Kelly, E.J. and Lazer, W., *Managerial Marketing*, Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1973.
  48. Traynor, K., "Research Deserves Status as Marketing's Fifth P", *Marketing News* (Special marketing manager's issue), 8 November, 1985.
  49. Johnson, A.A., "Adding More Ps to the Pod or - 12 Essential Elements of Marketing", *Marketing News*, 11 April 1986, p. 2.
  50. Keely, A. "The 'New Marketing' Has Its Own Set of Ps", *Marketing News*, Vol. 21, 6 November, 1987, pp. 10-1.
  51. Berry, D., "Marketing Mix for the 90s Adds an S and 2 Cs to the 4 Ps", *Marketing News*, 24 December 1990, p. 10.
  52. Mason, N. and Mayer, M.L., *Modern Retailing Theory and Practice*, Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1990.
  53. Collier, D.A. "New Marketing Mix Stresses Service", *The Journal of Business Strategy*, Vol. 12, March-April 1991, pp. 42-5.
  54. LeDoux, L. "Is Preservation the Fifth 'P' or Just Another Microenvironmental Factor?", in McKinnon, G.F. and Kelley, C.A., (Eds), *Challenges of a New Decade in Marketing Education*, Western Marketing Educators' Association, 1991, pp. 82-6.
  55. In spite of all the additional categories of marketing variables that have been offered by various authors, there is only one textbook that is thoroughly based on anything else than the Four Ps: Donald Cowell's[56] book on the marketing of services which is organized around the Seven P framework.
  56. Cowell, D., *The Marketing of Services*, Heineman, London, 1984.

57. Lambert, D.D. and Harrington, T.C., "Establishing Customer Service Strategies within the Marketing Mix: More Empirical Evidence", *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol. 10 No. 2, 1989, pp. 44-60.
58. Kotler, P., *Marketing Management. Analysis, Planning, and Control*, 7th ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991.
59. von Stackelberg, H., "Theorie der Vertriebspolitik und der Qualitätsvariation", *Smollers Jahrbuch*, Vol. 63 No. 1, 1939.
60. Dixon, D.F. and Blois, K.J., *Some Limitations of the 4Ps as a Paradigm for Marketing*, Marketing Education Group Annual Conference, Cranfield Institute of Technology, UK, July, 1983.
61. van Waterschoot, W. and Van den Bulte, C., "The 4P Classification of the Marketing Mix Revisited", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 56, October 1992, pp. 83-93.
62. Van den Bulte, C., "The Concept of Marketing Mix Revisited: A Case Analysis of Metaphor in Marketing Theory and Management", Working Paper, State University of Ghent, Belgium, 1991.
63. Möller, K., "Research Traditions in Marketing: Theoretical Notes", in Blomqvist, H.C., Grönroos, C. and Lindqvist, L.J. (Eds), *Economics and Marketing Essays in Honour of Gösta Mickwitz, Economy and Society*, No. 48, Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Helsingfors, Finland, 1992, pp. 197-218.
64. Arndt, J., "Perspectives for a Theory in Marketing", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 9 No. 3, 1980, pp. 389-402.
65. Arndt, J., "On Making Marketing Science More Scientific: Role of Orientations, Paradigms, Metaphors, and Puzzle Solving", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 49, Summer 1985, pp. 11-23.
66. McGarry, E.D., "Some Functions of Marketing Reconsidered", in Cox, R. and Alderson, W. (Eds), *Theory in Marketing*, Richard D. Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1950, pp. 263-79.
67. Chamberlin, E.H., *The Theory of Monopolistic Competition*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1933.
68. McKenna, R., *Relationship Marketing. Successful Strategies for the Age of the Customer*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1991.
69. Rapp, S. and Collins, T., *The Great Marketing Turnaround*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1990.
70. Clancy, K.J. and Shulman, R.S., *The Marketing Revolution. A Radical Manifesto for Dominating the Marketplace*, Harper Business, New York, NY, 1991.
71. Piercy, N., *Marketing Organization. An Analysis of Information Processing, Power and Politics*, George Allen & Unwin, London, 1985.
72. Piercy, N., *Marketing-led Strategic Change*, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford, 1992.
73. Webster, Jr, F.E., "The Rediscovery of the Marketing Concept", *Business Horizons*, Vol. 31, May-June 1988, pp. 29-39.
74. Blankenburg, D. and Holm, U. "Centrala steg i utvecklingen av nätverkssynsättet inom Uppsalaskolan", in Gunnarsson, E. and Wallerstedt, E., (Eds), *Uppsalaskolan och dess rötter* (The Uppsala school and its roots), Uppsala University, Sweden, 1990, pp. 16-35.
75. Johanson, J. and Mattsson, L.-G., "Marketing Investments and Market Investments in Industrial Networks", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, No. 4, 1985, pp. 185-95.
76. Kock, S., *A Strategic Process for Gaining External Resources through Long-lasting Relationships*, Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Helsingfors/Vasa, Finland, 1991.
77. Grönroos, C. and Gummesson, E., "The Nordic School of Service Marketing", in Grönroos, C. and Gummesson, E., (Eds), *Service Marketing – Nordic School Perspectives*, Stockholm University, Sweden, 1985, pp. 6-11.
78. Berry, L.L., "Relationship Marketing", in Berry, L.L., Shostack, G.L. and Upah, G.D. (Eds), *Emerging Perspectives of Services Marketing*, American Marketing Association, Chicago, IL, 1983, pp. 25-8.
79. It is interesting to notice that in the 1950s economists, such as Abbott[80], Brems[81] and Mickwitz[32], who tried to add more marketing-oriented realism to microeconomic price theory, introduce *quality* as one of their key parameters. Especially for Abbott, quality was the focal parameter. His definition of quality was astonishingly modern, very close to the ones of perceived service quality and TQM: "The term 'quality' will be used...in its broadest sense, to include all the qualitative elements in the competitive exchange process – materials, design, services provided, location, and so forth"[80, p. 4]. Quality was one of the marketing variables explicitly included in *parameter theory* (cf.[32]).
80. Abbott, L., *Quality and Competition*, New York, NY, 1955.
81. Brems, H., *Product Equilibrium under Monopolistic Competition*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1951.
82. Grönroos, C., *Marknadsföring av tjänster. En studie av marknadsföringsfunktionen i tjänsteföretag* (Marketing of services. A study of the marketing function of service firms), with an English summary (diss.; Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration Finland), Akademilitteratur/Marketing Technique Center, Stockholm, 1979.
83. Langeard, E. and Eiglier, P., *Servuction. Le marketing des Services*, Wiley, Paris, 1987.
84. Grönroos, C., "Designing a Long-range Marketing Strategy for Services", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 13, April 1980, pp. 36-42.
85. Heskett, J.L., "Lessons in the Service Sector", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 65, March-April 1987, pp. 118-26.
86. Reichheld, F.E., "Loyalty-based Management", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 71, March-April 1993, pp. 64-73.



87. Reichheld, F.E. and Sasser, Jr, W.E., "Zero Defections: Quality Comes to Service", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 68, September-October, 1990 pp. 105-11.
88. Crosby, P.B., *Quality Is Free*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1979.
89. Williamson, O., *Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications*, Free Press, New York, NY, 1975.
90. Grönroos, C., "Facing the Challenge of Service Competition: The Economies of Service", in Kunst, P. and Lemmink, J. (Eds), *Quality Management in Services*, Van Gorcum, Assen, Maastricht, The Netherlands, 1992, pp. 129-40.
91. Storbacka, K., *Customer Relationship Profitability*, Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration, Helsingfors, Finland, 1993.
92. Barnes, J.G. and Cumby, J.A., "The Cost of Quality in Service-oriented Companies: Making Better Customer Service Decisions through Improved Cost Information", Research Paper, ASB Conference 1993, University of New Brunswick, Canada, 1993.
93. In their overview of schools of marketing thought, Sheth *et al.*[3] observe research into services marketing, but they do not see any new lines of thought in it. However, as they have studied North American research only, they do not recognize the new approaches to services marketing inherent, for example, in the Nordic school of services. Industrial marketing research goes without much comment, mainly because the authors do not include the European interaction/network approach in their discussion. In the last chapter of their book, the authors conclude that interactions which are market transactions should be the unit of analysis in marketing (p. 193). However, they add that instead of studying single transactions only (cf.[94]) a time dimension has to be included: "Consequently, it is very likely that the domain of marketing will be defined around, not only the market, but also the concept of *repeated market transactions* or what is more popularly called 'relationship marketing'. This should strongly suggest that the focus is not on a single market transaction or on selling, but on a continued relationship between the buyer and the seller" (p. 194). According to the authors, relationship marketing is viewed as a range of repeated market transactions between the same seller and buyer where the fundamental unit of analysis is the single market transaction (pp. 200-1). This is, however, still a transaction marketing-oriented view of relationship marketing. In the relationship marketing concept which has evolved within services marketing and industrial marketing the relationships themselves, as well as elements involved in the establishment and management of relationships, are considered the focal issue and the "unit of analysis". Single transactions, or interactions, are only part of it. Furthermore, interactions may also be noneconomic in nature, and not only economic. In his analysis of the current change in marketing focus, Webster[95] concludes that "the focus shifts from products and firms as units to people, organizations, and the social processes that bind actors together in ongoing relationships" (p. 10). According to him, subjects that have been the study of psychologists, organizational behaviourists, political economists and sociologists have to be considered fundamental areas of interest to marketing.
94. Bagozzi, R., "Marketing as Exchange", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 39, October 1975, pp. 32-9.
95. Webster, Jr, F.E., "The Changing Role of Marketing in the Corporations", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 56, October 1992, pp. 1-17.
96. The term "relationship marketing" was first introduced by Berry in a services marketing context[78]. Managing relationships is, however, nothing new in business. Many entrepreneurs do business by building and managing relationships and always have, but without using the term relationship marketing. In a historical perspective, relationships were of utmost importance in ancient trade. An old proverb from the Middle East says that "as a merchant, you'd better have a friend in every town". However, in growing companies the focus was shifted away from relationships by the occurrence of scientific management. This development goes even further back to Adam Smith's *The Wealth of Nations*. Smith[97] advocated, among other things, that one should pursue the division of labour, so that the capability of a person to perform one given task in an organization would improve and the time it would take to take care of this task would decrease. The ideas of Adam Smith and later of *scientific management* (cf.[98]) were, among other things, specialization and division of labour, whereas relationship building and management require cross-functional teamwork and close collaboration within a firm. However, as Webster[95] points out, even during the times of scientific management influential industrialists such as Henry Ford and others emphasized the importance of relationship building.
97. Smith, A., *The Wealth of Nations. An Inquiry into the Nature and Cause of the Wealth of Nations*, Methuen, London, 1950 (the original published 1776).
98. Taylor, F.W., *Scientific Management*, Harper & Row, London, 1947, (a volume of two papers originally published in 1903 and 1911 and a written testimony for a Special House Committee in the US in 1912).
99. Grönroos, C., "Relationship Approach to the Marketing Function in Service Contexts: The Marketing and Organizational Behavior Interface", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 20 No. 1, 1990, pp. 3-12.
100. Gummesson, E., "Marketing Revisited: The Crucial Role of the Part-time Marketers", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 25 No. 2, 1991, pp. 60-7.
101. This definition is slightly developed from earlier ones in Grönroos[10,11]. Normally, formal definitions cannot be found in the literature. Instead authors offer descriptions, some of which are more informative than others. In his discussion of marketing for multi-service organizations, Berry[78] views relationship marketing as a strategy to attract, maintain and enhance customer

relationships. Rapp and Collins[69] say that the goals of relationship marketing are to create and maintain lasting relationships between the firm and its customers that are rewarding for both sides. Christopher *et al.*[13] consider relationship marketing an approach that aligns marketing, customer service and quality, with an emphasis on a focus on customer retention, an orientation on product benefit, a long time-scale, a high customer service orientation, a high customer commitment and a high customer contact as well as on the notion that quality is the concern of all. Blomqvist *et al.*[14] offer the following key characteristics of relationship marketing: every customer is considered an individual person or unit, activities of the firm are predominantly directed towards existing customers, it is based on interactions and dialogues, and the firm is trying to achieve profitability through the decrease of customer turnover and the strengthening of customer relationships. Gummesson[8] concludes that relationship marketing is a strategy where the management of interactions, relationships and networks are fundamental issues. There are also some more practice-oriented descriptions of relationship marketing: for example, the one by Copulinsky and Wolf[102] that states that relationship marketing is a process where the main activities are to create a database including existing and potential customers, to approach these customers using differentiated and customer-specific information about them, and to evaluate the life-term value of very single customer relationship and the costs of creating and maintaining them. In most of these descriptions, only the relationship between a supplier and its customers are included. This seems to be too narrow a view of relationship marketing.

102. Copulinsky, J.R. and Wolf, M.J., "Relationship Marketing: Positioning for the Future", *Journal of Business Strategy*, Vol. 11, July-August 1990, pp. 16-20.
103. Calonius, H., "A Buying Process Model", in Blois, K., and Parkinson, S. (Eds), *Innovative Marketing – A European Perspective*, proceedings from the XVIIth Annual Conference of the European Marketing Academy, University of Bradford, 1988, pp. 86-103.
104. Swan, J.E., Trawick, F. and Silva, D.W., "How Industrial Salespeople Gain Customer Trust", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 13, August 1985, pp. 203-11.
105. Moorman, C., Deshpandé, R. and Zaltman, G., "Relationships between Providers and Users of Market Research: The Role of Personal Trust", Working Paper No. 93-111, Marketing Science Institute, Cambridge, MA, 1993.
106. Zaltman, G. and Moorman, C., "The Role of Personal Trust in the Use of Research", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 28, October-November 1988, pp. 16-24.
107. Kotler, P., "It's Time for Total Marketing", *Business Week ADVANCE Executive Brief*, Vol. 2, 1992.
108. "Philip Kotler Explores the New Marketing Paradigm", *Marketing Science Institute Review*, Spring 1991, pp. 1, 4-5.
109. Jackson, B.B., *Winning and Keeping Industrial Customers. The Dynamics of Customer Relationships*, Lexington Books, Lexington, MA, 1985.
110. Vavara, T.G., *Aftermarketing: How to Keep Customers for Life through relationship Marketing*, Business One Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1992.
111. Sonnenberg, F.K., "Relationship Management Is More Than Wining and Dining", *Journal of Business Strategy*, Vol. 9, May-June 1988, pp. 60-3.
112. Czepiel, J.A., "Managing Relationships with Customers: A Differentiating Philosophy of Marketing", in Bowen, D.E. and Chase, R.D. (Eds), *Service Management Effectiveness*, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA, 1990, pp. 299-323.
113. Congram, C.A., "Building Relationships That Last", in Congram, C.A., and Friedman, M.L. (Eds), *Handbook of Marketing for the Service Industries*, AMACOM, New York, NY, 1991, pp. 263-79.
114. Ferguson, J.M. and Brown, S.W., "Relationship Marketing and Association Management", *Journal of Professional Services Marketing*, Vol. 2 No. 2, 1991, pp. 137-47.
115. Houston, F.S. and Gassenheimer, J.B., "Marketing and Exchange", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 51, October 1987, pp. 3-18.
116. We first introduced the concept of the *marketing strategy continuum* with relationship marketing at one end and transaction marketing at the other in 1991 in an article "The Marketing Strategy Continuum: A Marketing Concept for the 1990s" in *Management Decision*[12]. A previous version mainly focusing on services was published in 1990 in *Service Management and Marketing*[16].
117. Lehtinen, J., *Quality-oriented Services Marketing*, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 1986.
118. Gummesson, E. *Quality Management in Service Organizations*, ISQA (International Service Quality Association), New York, NY, 1993.
119. Normann, R., *Service Management*, Wiley, New York, NY, 1984.
120. George, W.R., "Internal Marketing for Retailers. The Junior Executive Employee", in Lindqvist, J.D. (Ed.), *Developments in Marketing Science*, Academy of Marketing Science, 1984.
121. Compton, F., George, W.R., Grönroos, C. and Karvinen, M., "Internal Marketing", in Czepiel, J.A., Congram, C.A. and Shanahan, J. (Eds), *The Service Challenge: Integrated for Competitive Advantage*, American Marketing Association, Chicago, IL, 1987, pp. 7-12.
122. Barnes, J.G., "The Role of Internal Marketing: If the Staff Won't Buy It, Why Should the Customer", *Irish Marketing Review*, Vol. 4 No. 2, 1989, pp. 11-21.
123. Carlzon, J., *Moments of Truth*, Harper & Row, New York, NY, 1987.
124. It is interesting to notice that Taylor in his testimony about scientific management in 1912 explicitly states that "...in its essence, scientific management involves a complete *mental revolution* on the part of the working men engaged in any particular establishment or

industry...And it involves the equally complete mental revolution on the part of those on the management's side...And without this complete mental revolution on both sides scientific management does not exist"[98, testimony, p. 27] (emphasis added). Relationship marketing can be successfully implemented only if such a "mental revolution" or cultural change through "attitude management"[16] takes place in the organization.

125. Merton, R.K., *Social Theory and Social Structure*, Free Press, New York, NY, 1957.
126. Hunt, S.D., "The Morphology of Theory and the General Theory of Marketing", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 35, April 1971, pp. 65-8.
127. Hunt, S.D., "The Nature and Scope of Marketing", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 40, July 1976, pp. 17-28.
128. Howard, J.A., "Marketing Theory of the Firm", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 47, Fall 1983, pp. 90-100.
129. Gummesson, E. "Marketing According to Textbooks: Six Objections", in Brownlie, D., Saren, M., Wensley, R. and Whittington, R. (Eds), *Rethinking Marketing: New Perspectives on the Discipline and Profession*, Warwick Business School, Coventry, 1993, pp. 248-58.
130. The marketing concept is attributed to McKitterick[131] and to Keith[132] and the Pillsbury Company. However, this customer-oriented approach to doing business is, of course, nothing new. For example, in a book on advertising and market communication published in 1916 in Norway, the author, Romilla (Robert Milars), gives the following piece of advice: "Først og fremst se på tingene fra kundens side av disken (Try to look at the situation from the customer's side of the counter)"[133, p. 35]. And according to an old Chinese saying, "customers are the precious things; goods are only grass". The industrial revolution and scientific management, among other reasons, made managers and researchers lose sight of it.
131. McKitterick, J.B., "What Is the Marketing Management Concept", in Bass, F. (Ed.), *The Frontiers of Marketing Thought in Action*, American Marketing Association, Chicago, IL, 1957, pp. 71-82.
132. Keith, R.J., "The Marketing Revolution", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 24, January 1960, pp. 35-8.
133. Romilla, *Reklame-laere* (Advertising), Aktietrykkeriet, Trondhjem, 1916.
134. McInnes, W., "A Conceptual Approach to Marketing", in Cox, R., Alderson, W. and Shapiro, S.J. (Eds), *Theory in Marketing*, Richard D. Irwin, Homewood, IL, 1964, pp. 51-67.
135. Arndt, J., "Towards a Concept of Domesticated Markets", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 43, Fall 1979, pp. 69-75.

---

Christian Grönroos is Professor of Marketing at the Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration in Helsinki, Finland.

---

### Application Questions

- (1) Compare the author's point that "the marketing department is obsolete" with parallel organization structural initiatives in the management of quality. How would marketing organize itself without a department?
- (2) How does your organization enact its relationships with its customers? Think particularly about "moments of truth".
- (3) Is the marketing mix paradigm dead – or dying? What might such a paradigm shift mean in organizations of the future?

**This article has been cited by:**

1. Hamid Jafari, Anna Nyberg, Tone-Lise Osnes, Annika Schmitz. 2015. Customization in bicycle retailing. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* **23**, 77-90. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Jongdee Phusalux, Urasa Buatama, Wannoo Fongsuwan. 2015. Determinants of Modern Management of Private Vocational Colleges: A Structural Equation Model. *Research Journal of Business Management* **9**, 378-390. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Damianos Giannakis, Michael J Harker, Tom Baum. 2015. Human resource management, services and relationship marketing: the potential for cross-fertilisation. *Journal of Strategic Marketing* 1-17. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Gyaneshwar Singh Kushwaha, Shiv Ratan Agrawal. 2015. An Indian customer surrounding 7P#s of service marketing. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* **22**, 85-95. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. David Dowell, Mark Morrison, Troy Heffernan. 2015. The changing importance of affective trust and cognitive trust across the relationship lifecycle: A study of business-to-business relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management* **44**, 119-130. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Michael T. Krush, Ravipreet S. Sohi, Amit Saini. 2015. Dispersion of marketing capabilities: impact on marketing's influence and business unit outcomes. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* **43**, 32-51. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. María Jesús Barroso-Méndez, Clementina Galera-Casquet, Victor Valero-Amaro. 2014. Proposal of a social alliance success model from a relationship marketing perspective: A meta-analytical study of the theoretical foundations. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly* . [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Sabina Seran (Potra), Monica Izvercian. 2014. Prosumer engagement in innovation strategies. *Management Decision* **52**:10, 1968-1980. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
9. Jin-Soo Lee, Seongseop Kim, Steve Pan. 2014. The role of relationship marketing investments in customer reciprocity. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* **26**:8, 1200-1224. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
10. Dr Ruth Brooks, Judie Kay, Sarah Tudor, Richard Mendez. 2014. Lessons from Covey: win-win principles for university-employer engagement. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning* **4**:3, 213-227. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
11. MiRan Kim, Bonnie J. Knutson, JaeBum Han. 2014. Understanding Employee Delight and Voice from the Internal Marketing Perspective. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* 141217142809008. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Kok Ming Woo, Kannika "Mink" Leelapanyalert. 2014. Client Relationship Marketing Practices: An Exploratory Study of the Legal Industry. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* **13**, 286-317. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Jay Na Lim. 2014. The Government as marketer of innovation. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management* **21**:5, 551-570. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
14. Hardeep Chahal, Ramesh Dangwal, Swati Raina. 2014. Antecedents and consequences of strategic green marketing orientation. *Journal of Global Responsibility* **5**:2, 338-362. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
15. Felicetta Iovino. 2014. L'internet marketing nelle imprese energetiche: il caso di un'impresa elettrica romana. *MERCATI E COMPETITIVITÀ* 141-161. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Ibrahim Abosag, Peter Naudé. 2014. Development of special forms of B2B relationships: Examining the role of interpersonal liking in developing Guanxi and Et-Moone relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management* **43**, 887-896. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Ali Ekber Akgün, Halit Keskin, Hayat Ayar. 2014. Standardization and Adaptation of International Marketing Mix Activities: A Case Study. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **150**, 609-618. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Dr Kristina Heinonen, Shilpa Sharma Bhaskar, Shikha N. Khera. 2014. Antecedents of discretionary risky-service behavior: an exploratory study. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* **32**:6, 477-493. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
19. Fatemeh Shakhsi Salim, Abbas Keramati. 2014. How to Make Iranian Banks Customer Oriented with Use of Customer Relationship Management Concepts? Methodology and Comprehensive Architecture. *Systemic Practice and Action Research* **27**, 377-395. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Jamil Bojei, Mimi Liana Abu. 2014. The Underlying Dimensions of Relationship Marketing in the Malaysian Mobile Service Sector. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* **13**, 169-190. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Kelley O'Reilly, Jim Eckert. 2014. Building Relationships From the Outside In: A Case Study of Falken Tire's Drift Toward Success. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* **13**, 243-262. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Jonas Holmqvist, Yves Van Vaerenbergh, Christian Grönroos. 2014. Consumer willingness to communicate in a second language. *Management Decision* **52**:5, 950-966. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
23. Vivien Beattie, Robin Roslender, Sarah Jane Smith. 2014. Balancing on a Tightrope: Customer Relational Capital, Value Creation and Disclosure. *FINANCIAL REPORTING* 19-52. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Myria Ioannou, Nikolaos Boukas, Evi Skoufari. 2014. Examining the role of advertising on the behaviour of co-operative bank consumers. *Journal of Co-operative Organization and Management* **2**, 24-33. [[CrossRef](#)]

25. Morteza Khojastehpour, Raechel Johns. 2014. Internationalization and relationship marketing: an introduction. *European Business Review* 26:3, 238-253. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
26. Tarun Kushwaha. 2014. An Exploratory Study of Consumer's Perception about Relational Benefits in Retailing. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 133, 438-446. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Hui Wen Chuah, Malliga Marimuthu, T. Ramayah. 2014. The Effect of Perceived Value on the Loyalty of Generation Y Mobile Internet Subscribers: A Proposed Conceptual Framework. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 130, 532-541. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Mahsa Ghandehary, Hojjat Harati, Javad Khazaei Pool. 2014. Identifying and ranking the effective factors on customer values. *Education, Business and Society: Contemporary Middle Eastern Issues* 7:1, 57-74. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
29. Gillian C. Hopkinson, Keith Blois. 2014. Power-base Research in Marketing Channels: A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Management Reviews* 16:10.1111/ijmr.2014.16.issue-2, 131-149. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Paul Brejla, David Gilbert. 2014. An Exploratory Use of Web Content Analysis to Understand Cruise Tourism Services. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 16:10.1002/jtr.v16.2, 157-168. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Sandra Maria Correia Loureiro, Hans Rüdiger Kaufmann, Samuel Rabino. 2014. Intentions to use and recommend to others. *Online Information Review* 38:2, 186-208. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
32. Weiwu Zou, Mohan Kumaraswamy, Jacky Chung, James Wong. 2014. Identifying the critical success factors for relationship management in PPP projects. *International Journal of Project Management* 32, 265-274. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. A.-R. Abdul-Aziz, M. Jaafar, C.-L. Loh. 2014. Speculative residential developers and foreign retirees' market segment in Malaysia. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 37, 38-46. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Fabian P. Held, Ian F. Wilkinson, Robert E. Marks, Louise Young. 2014. Agent-based Modelling, a new kind of research. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)* 22, 4-14. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Ibrahim Elbeltagi, Thijs Kempen, Elaine Garcia. 2014. Pareto-principle application in non-IT supported CRM processes. *Business Process Management Journal* 20:1, 129-150. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
36. Maria Jesús Barroso-Méndez, Clementina Galera-Casquet, Victor Valero-Amaro. 2014. Partnerships Between Businesses and NGOs in the Field of Corporate Social Responsibility: A Model of Success From the Perspective of Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 13, 1-27. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Gabriel R. Gonzalez, Danny P. Claro, Robert W. Palmatier. 2014. Synergistic Effects of Relationship Managers' Social Networks on Sales Performance. *Journal of Marketing* 78, 76-94. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Anca Francisca Cruceru, Daniel Moise. 2014. Customer Relationships through Sales Forces and Marketing Events. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 109, 155-159. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Monica Izvercian, Sabina Alina Potra. 2014. Prosumer-oriented Relationship Management Capability Development for Business Performance. *Procedia Technology* 16, 606-612. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Herbert Woratschek, Chris Horbel, Bastian Popp. 2014. The sport value framework – a new fundamental logic for analyses in sport management. *European Sport Management Quarterly* 14, 6-24. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Olivier Mesly, Jean-Pierre Lévy-Mangin, Normand Bourgault, Veronique Nabelsi. 2013. Effective multicultural project management: the role of human interdependence. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business* 7:1, 78-102. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
42. Kyung-A Sun, Dae-Young Kim. 2013. Does customer satisfaction increase firm performance? An application of American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI). *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 35, 68-77. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Ahmed S. Shaalan, Jon Reast, Debra Johnson, Marwa E. Tourky. 2013. East meets West: Toward a theoretical model linking guanxi and relationship marketing. *Journal of Business Research* 66, 2515-2521. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Nana Owusu-Frimpong, Sonny Nwankwo, Charles Blankson, Theodore Tarnanidis. 2013. The effect of service quality and satisfaction on destination attractiveness of sub-Saharan African countries: the case of Ghana. *Current Issues in Tourism* 16, 627-646. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Johannes Perret, Maria Holmlund. 2013. Ethics and responsibility in relationship marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 31:7, 746-763. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
46. Olivier Mesly. 2013. Twenty Recommendations to Reduce Financial Predation and Blind Trust in Small Family-Owned Financial Businesses. *The Journal of Wealth Management* 16, 122-140. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. John Desmond. 2013. A modest proposal: A response to the marketing challenges presented by the crisis confronting humanity in respect to the requirement to feed nine billion by 2050. *Journal of Marketing Management* 29, 1631-1643. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Ghazi A. Al-Weshah, Muhammed S. Alnsour, Khalil Al-Hyari, Fwwaz Alhammad, Raed Algharabat. 2013. Electronic Networks and Relationship Marketing: Qualitative Evidence From Jordanian Travel Agencies. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 12, 261-279. [[CrossRef](#)]



49. TOBIAS LEHMKUHL, REINHARD JUNG. 2013. VALUE CREATION POTENTIAL OF WEB 2.0 FOR SME — INSIGHTS AND LESSONS LEARNT FROM A EUROPEAN PRODUCER OF CONSUMER ELECTRONICS. *International Journal of Cooperative Information Systems* **22**, 1340003. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Paulo de Lencastre, Ana Côrte-Real. 2013. Brand response analysis: a Peircean semiotic approach. *Social Semiotics* **23**, 489-506. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Brigitte Prud'homme, Louis Raymond. 2013. Sustainable development practices in the hospitality industry: An empirical study of their impact on customer satisfaction and intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **34**, 116-126. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Martin Voss, Alexander Kock. 2013. Impact of relationship value on project portfolio success — Investigating the moderating effects of portfolio characteristics and external turbulence. *International Journal of Project Management* **31**, 847-861. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Kristina Heinonen, Anu Helkkula, Maria Holmlund-Rytkönen, Cristiana R. Lages, Cláudia M.N. Simões, Raymond P. Fisk, Werner H. Kunz. 2013. Knowledge dissemination in the global service marketing community. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal* **23:4**, 272-290. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
54. Kim K. McKeage, Charles S. Gulas. 2013. Relationships, Roles, and Consumer Identity in Services Marketing. *Services Marketing Quarterly* **34**, 231-239. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Marta Rey García, Luis Ignacio Álvarez González, Laurentino Bello Acebrón. 2013. The untapped potential of marketing for evaluating the effectiveness of nonprofit organizations: a framework proposal. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing* **10**, 87-102. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Myongjee Yoo, Billy Bai. 2013. Customer loyalty marketing research: A comparative approach between hospitality and business journals. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **33**, 166-177. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Ibrahim Abosag, Joong-Woo Lee. 2013. The formation of trust and commitment in business relationships in the Middle East: Understanding Et-Moone relationships. *International Business Review* **22**, 602-614. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Yang-Im Lee, Peter R.J. Trim. 2013. An explanation of how a collectivist culture underpins the marketing strategy process in Japanese and South Korean electronics companies. *Journal of Global Scholars of Marketing Science* **23**, 314-337. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Bedman Narteh, George Cudjoe Agbemabiese, Prince Kodua, Mahama Braimah. 2013. Relationship Marketing and Customer Loyalty: Evidence From the Ghanaian Luxury Hotel Industry. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* **22**, 407-436. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Lova Rajaobelina, Isabelle Brun, Élisar Toufaily. 2013. A relational classification of online banking customers. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* **31:3**, 187-205. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
61. Ana Isabel Polo Peña, Dolores María Frías Jamilena, Miguel Ángel Rodríguez Molina. 2013. Impact of Customer Orientation and ICT Use on the Perceived Performance of Rural Tourism Enterprises. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* **30**, 272-289. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Olivier Mesly, Richard Maziade. 2013. Bankers and Functional Psychopathy: The Risk of Losing Everything. *The Journal of Wealth Management* **16**, 33-48. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Lina Zhou, Ping Zhang, Hans-Dieter Zimmermann. 2013. Social commerce research: An integrated view. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications* **12**, 61-68. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Julia Connell, Ranjit Voola. 2013. Knowledge integration and competitiveness: a longitudinal study of an industry cluster. *Journal of Knowledge Management* **17:2**, 208-225. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
65. Bert Spector. 2013. The social embeddedness of business model enactment. *Journal of Strategy and Management* **6:1**, 27-39. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
66. Yuhani Abdul Aziz, Nyen Vui Chok. 2013. The Role of Halal Awareness, Halal Certification, and Marketing Components in Determining Halal Purchase Intention Among Non-Muslims in Malaysia: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing* **25**, 1-23. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Chan S. Yeu, Kong C. Leong, Lee C. Tong, Su Hang, Y. Tang, A. Bashawir, M. Subhan. 2012. A Comparative Study on International Marketing Mix in China and India: The Case of McDonald's. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **65**, 1054-1059. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Philippe Aurier, Gilles Séré de Lanauze. 2012. Impacts of perceived brand relationship orientation on attitudinal loyalty. *European Journal of Marketing* **46:11/12**, 1602-1627. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
69. Stephen Lloyd. 2012. Triangulation Research to Inform Corporate Reputation Theory and Practice. *Corporate Reputation Review* **14**, 221-233. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Per Engelseth, Christian Felzensztein. 2012. Intertwining relationship marketing with supply chain management through Alderson's transvection. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* **27:8**, 673-685. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
71. Moise Daniel, Cruceru Anca. 2012. Redefined Corporate Communication through Sales Forces. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **62**, 175-178. [[CrossRef](#)]

72. Xuan Lorna Wang. 2012. Relationship or revenue: Potential management conflicts between customer relationship management and hotel revenue management. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **31**, 864-874. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. V.L. Miguéis, Dirk Van den Poel, A.S. Camanho, João Falcão e Cunha. 2012. Modeling partial customer churn: On the value of first product-category purchase sequences. *Expert Systems with Applications* **39**, 11250-11256. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Sanjai K Parahoo. 2012. Motivating Shoppers To Come Back: Modeling Consumer Behaviour In Retailer Loyalty Programs. *Issues of Business and Law* **4**, 106-118. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Evert Gummesson, Cristina Mele, Francesco Polese, Evert Gummesson, Christian Grönroos. 2012. The emergence of the new service marketing: Nordic School perspectives. *Journal of Service Management* **23**:4, 479-497. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
76. Jörg Freiling, Roland Wassermann, Sven M. Laudien. 2012. The broken product chain: rapid paths of service internationalization in terms of the service-dominant logic. *The Service Industries Journal* **32**, 1623-1635. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Masayuki Ueda. 2012. How to Market OR/MS Decision Support. *International Journal of Applied Logistics* **1**:10.4018/jal.20100401, 23-36. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Leena Viitaharju, Merja Lähdesmäki. 2012. Antecedents of trust in asymmetrical business relationships. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **30**:5, 567-587. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
79. Matthew Wood. 2012. Marketing social marketing. *Journal of Social Marketing* **2**:2, 94-102. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
80. Luís Sequeira, José Crespo de Carvalho. 2012. Cooperation in port wine distribution. *Journal of Wine Research* **23**, 114-133. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Sara Parry, Jennifer Rowley, Rosalind Jones, Beata Kupiec-Teahan. 2012. Customer-perceived value in business-to-business relationships: A study of software customers. *Journal of Marketing Management* **28**, 887-911. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Carla Alexandra Barbosa Pereira, João José Matos Ferreira, Helena Maria Batista Alves. 2012. Tacit Knowledge as Competitive Advantage in Relationship Marketing: A Literature Review and Theoretical Implications. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* **11**, 172-197. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Martin Voss. 2012. Impact of customer integration on project portfolio management and its success—Developing a conceptual framework. *International Journal of Project Management* **30**, 567-581. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Jotham Mbiito Byarugaba, Aihie Osarenkhoe. 2012. Service Quality Perceptions: A Case of Ugandan Telephony Users. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* **11**, 149-171. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Hannele Suvanto. 2012. Constructing a typology of trust in asymmetrical food business relationships. *British Food Journal* **114**:7, 926-943. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
86. Manuel J. Sánchez-Franco, Eva M. Buitrago-Esquinas, Rocío Yñiguez. 2012. How to intensify the individual's feelings of belonging to a social networking site?. *Management Decision* **50**:6, 1137-1154. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
87. Professor Nelson Oly Ndubisi, Nana Owusu-Frimpong, Sonny Nwankwo. 2012. Service quality orientation: an approach to diffusing mindfulness in SMEs. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* **29**:6, 681-698. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
88. Dr Harriette Bettis-Outland, Sriram Dorai, Sanjeev Varshney. 2012. A multistage behavioural and temporal analysis of CPV in RM. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* **27**:5, 403-411. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
89. Bang Nguyen, Dilip S. Mutum. 2012. A review of customer relationship management: successes, advances, pitfalls and futures. *Business Process Management Journal* **18**:3, 400-419. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
90. Bernard Cova, Véronique Cova. 2012. On the road to prosumption: marketing discourse and the development of consumer competencies. *Consumption Markets & Culture* **15**, 149-168. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Tony Conway, Debra Leighton. 2012. "Staging the past, enacting the present". *Arts Marketing: An International Journal* **2**:1, 35-51. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
92. Ross Gordon. 2012. Re-thinking and re-tooling the social marketing mix. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)* **20**, 122-126. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Ashish Malik, Ashish Sinha, Stephen Blumenfeld. 2012. Role of quality management capabilities in developing market-based organisational learning capabilities: Case study evidence from four Indian business process outsourcing firms. *Industrial Marketing Management* **41**, 639-648. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Torben Hansen. 2012. The Moderating Influence of Broad-Scope Trust on Customer-Seller Relationships. *Psychology & Marketing* **29**, 350-364. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Brian N. Rutherford, Nwamaka A. Anaza, Adrienne Hall Phillips. 2012. Predictors of Buyer-Seller Firm Conflict. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice* **20**, 161-172. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Bang Nguyen. 2012. The dark side of customer relationship management: Exploring the underlying reasons for pitfalls, exploitation and unfairness. *Journal of Database Marketing & Customer Strategy Management* **19**, 56-70. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Andrew Zur, Civilai Leckie, Cynthia M. Webster. 2012. Cognitive and affective trust between Australian exporters and their overseas buyers. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)* **20**, 73-79. [[CrossRef](#)]



98. Mamoun N. Akroush. 2012. An empirical model of marketing strategy and shareholder value. *Competitiveness Review* **22**:1, 48-89. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
99. Hsiao-Pei (Sophie) Yang, Julie Robson A Conceptual Framework for Classifying and Understanding Relationship Marketing Within Schools 185-205. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
100. Jane Hemsley-Brown, Izhar Oplatka Reflections on Management and Leadership of Educational Marketing: Looking Toward the Future 267-277. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
101. Christopher Allen Stachowski Public-Private Collaboration in International Education: A New Zealand Case Study 137-157. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
102. José Carlos M.R. Pinho Modeling the Impact of Commitment-Trust on Cooperation and Performance: The Specific Case of Exporter and Intermediaries Relationships 243-265. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
103. Melissa Archpru Akaka, Stephen L. Vargo, Robert F. Lusch An Exploration of Networks in Value Cocreation: A Service-Ecosystems View 13-50. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
104. Ann H. Clarke, Per V. Freytag Chapter 4 Monitoring Segmented Markets: Relational and Transactional Perspectives 89-118. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
105. David E. Hojman, Philippa Hunter-Jones. 2012. Wine tourism: Chilean wine regions and routes. *Journal of Business Research* **65**, 13-21. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Daniela Corsaro, Carla Ramos, Stephan C. Henneberg, Peter Naudé. 2012. The impact of network configurations on value constellations in business markets — The case of an innovation network. *Industrial Marketing Management* **41**, 54-67. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Rajiv P. Dant, Aaron Gleiberman. 2011. Preventing and combating the onset of dark-side symptoms. *Journal of Marketing Management* **27**, 1426-1443. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Juozas Bivainis, Irena Daukševičiūtė, Neringa Vilkaitė. 2011. Ryšių rinkodara per vartotojų lojalumo prizmę. *Verslas: teorija ir praktika* **12**, 348-361. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Jörg Finsterwalder, Tony Garry, Mark S. Rosenbaum, Jillian Sweeney, Jillian Smallwood. 2011. Restorative cancer resource center servicescapes. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal* **21**:6, 599-616. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
110. Kiran Kaur, Diljit Singh. 2011. Customer service for academic library users on the web. *The Electronic Library* **29**:6, 737-750. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
111. Aodheen O'Donnell. 2011. Small firm marketing: synthesising and supporting received wisdom. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development* **18**:4, 781-805. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
112. Philippe Aurier, Gilles Séré de Lanauze. 2011. Impacts of in-store manufacturer brand expression on perceived value, relationship quality and attitudinal loyalty. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management* **39**:11, 810-835. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
113. Fiona McMahon, Aodheen O'Donnell. 2011. Electronic Customer Relationship Management and SME Marketing Practice. *International Journal of E-Adoption* **1**:10.4018/ijea.20091001, 95-110. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Aurora Garrido-Moreno, Antonio Padilla-Meléndez. 2011. Analyzing the impact of knowledge management on CRM success: The mediating effects of organizational factors. *International Journal of Information Management* **31**, 437-444. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Guijun Zhuang, Xubing Zhang. 2011. Impact of Relationship Marketing Orientation on the Exercise of Interfirm Power and Relational Governance in Marketing Channels: Empirical Evidence From China. *Journal of Marketing Channels* **18**, 279-302. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Øyvind Helgesen, Erik Nettet. 2011. Does LibQUAL+™ account for student loyalty to a university college library?. *Quality Assurance in Education* **19**:4, 413-440. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
117. SuhHyunSuk, ###. 2011. A Study on the effect of the website quality and relationship factors on relationship quality, switching barrier and repurchase intention in open market. *The e-Business Studies* **12**, 127-149. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Ashraf Tag-Eldeen, Osman Ahmed El-Said. 2011. Implementation of internal marketing on a sample of Egyptian five-star hotels. *Anatolia* **22**, 153-167. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Dragan Cockalo, Dejan Djordjevic, Zvonko Sajfert. 2011. Elements of the model for customer satisfaction: Serbian economy research. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* **22**, 807-832. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Nurdilek Dalziel, Fiona Harris, Angus Laing. 2011. A multidimensional typology of customer relationships: from faltering to affective. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* **29**:5, 398-432. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
121. Amos Gyau, Achim Spiller, Christian Wocken. 2011. Price or relational behaviours?. *British Food Journal* **113**:7, 838-852. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
122. Erik Nettet, Bjørn Nervik, Øyvind Helgesen. 2011. Satisfaction and image as mediators of store loyalty drivers in grocery retailing. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research* **21**, 267-292. [[CrossRef](#)]

123. Patrick Butler, Neil Collins, Richard Speed. 2011. The Europeanisation of the British political marketplace. *Journal of Marketing Management* 27, 675-690. [[CrossRef](#)]
124. Gianluigi Guido, Alberto Marcati, Alessandro M. Peluso. 2011. Nature and antecedents of a marketing approach according to Italian SME entrepreneurs. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research* 17:4, 342-360. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
125. Michael Frank Collins. 2011. Leisure Cards in England: An Unusual Combination of Commercial and Social Marketing?. *Social Marketing Quarterly* 17, 20-47. [[CrossRef](#)]
126. Mahdi Mahdiloo, Abdollah Noorzadeh, Reza Farzipoor Saen. 2011. Developing a new data envelopment analysis model for customer value analysis. *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization* 7, 531-558. [[CrossRef](#)]
127. Anna Kerr, Daryl May. 2011. An exploratory study looking at the relationship marketing techniques used in the music festival industry. *Journal of Retail & Leisure Property* 9, 451-464. [[CrossRef](#)]
128. Martelo Landroguéz Silvia, Barroso Castro Carmen, Cepeda Carrión Gabriel. 2011. CREANDO CAPACIDADES QUE AUMENTEN EL VALOR PARA EL CLIENTE. *Investigaciones Europeas de Dirección y Economía de la Empresa* 17, 69-87. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Michael Bourlakis, T.C. Melewar, Audhesh K. Paswan, Charles Blankson, Francisco Guzman. 2011. Relationalism in marketing channels and marketing strategy. *European Journal of Marketing* 45:3, 311-333. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
130. Hardeep Chahal, Neetu Kumari. 2011. Consumer Perceived Value and Consumer Loyalty in the Healthcare Sector. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 10, 88-112. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. Fatima Vegholm. 2011. Relationship marketing and the management of corporate image in the bank-SME relationship. *Management Research Review* 34:3, 325-336. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
132. Tho D. Nguyen, Trang T. M. Nguyen. 2011. An Examination of Selected Marketing Mix Elements and Brand Relationship Quality in Transition Economies: Evidence From Vietnam. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 10, 43-56. [[CrossRef](#)]
133. Ahasanul Haque, Nuruzzaman ., Abul Kalam. 2011. Customer Satisfaction Mobile Phone Services: An Empirical Study on Grameen Phone (GP) and Banglalink (BL) in Bangladesh. *International Business Management* 5, 140-150. [[CrossRef](#)]
134. María José Sanzo, Rodolfo Vázquez. 2011. The Influence of Customer Relationship Marketing Strategies on Supply Chain Relationships: The Moderating Effects of Environmental Uncertainty and Competitive Rivalry. *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing* 18, 50-82. [[CrossRef](#)]
135. Ana Isabel Jiménez-Zarco, María Pilar Martínez-Ruiz, Alicia Izquierdo-Yusta. 2011. The impact of market orientation dimensions on client cooperation in the development of new service innovations. *European Journal of Marketing* 45:1/2, 43-67. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
136. David Bowie, Francis Buttle Relationship marketing 369-386. [[CrossRef](#)]
137. Dragan Čočkaló, Dejan Đorđević, Zvonko Sajfert. 2011. Customer Satisfaction and Acceptance of Relationship Marketing Concept: An Exploratory Study in QM Certified Serbian Companies. *Organizacija* 44. . [[CrossRef](#)]
138. David Bowie, Francis Buttle Introduction to hospitality marketing 3-39. [[CrossRef](#)]
139. Christer Strandberg, Rolf Dalin. 2010. The role of strategic context, operational requirements, and work design in in-house call centres in the financial sector. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal* 20:6, 544-564. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
140. Robin Roslender, Susan J. Hart. 2010. Taking the customer into account: Transcending the construction of the customer through the promotion of self-accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* 21, 739-753. [[CrossRef](#)]
141. Göran Svensson, Greg Wood, Michael Callaghan. 2010. A corporate model of sustainable business practices: An ethical perspective. *Journal of World Business* 45, 336-345. [[CrossRef](#)]
142. Iain A. Davies, Lynette J. Ryals, Sue Holt. 2010. Relationship management: A sales role, or a state of mind?. *Industrial Marketing Management* 39, 1049-1062. [[CrossRef](#)]
143. Long-Yi Lin, Ching-Yuh Lu. 2010. The influence of corporate image, relationship marketing, and trust on purchase intention: the moderating effects of word-of-mouth. *Tourism Review* 65:3, 16-34. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
144. Scott B. Keller, M. Douglas "Doug" Voss, John Ozment. 2010. A STEP TOWARD DEFINING A CUSTOMER-CENTRIC TAXONOMY FOR MANAGING LOGISTICS PERSONNEL. *Journal of Business Logistics* 31:10.1002/jbl.2010.31.issue-2, 195-214. [[CrossRef](#)]
145. Po-Tsang Chen, Hsin-Hui Hu. 2010. The effect of relational benefits on perceived value in relation to customer loyalty: An empirical study in the Australian coffee outlets industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 29, 405-412. [[CrossRef](#)]
146. Sarah Hong Xiao, Michael Nicholson. 2010. Trick or Treat? An Examination of Marketing Relationships in a Nondeceptive Counterfeit Market. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management* 30, 247-270. [[CrossRef](#)]

147. Georgina Whyatt, Ralph Koschek. 2010. Implementing relationship marketing: supermarkets' perspectives. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **28**:5, 582-599. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
148. Andrea C. Beetles, Lloyd C. Harris. 2010. The role of intimacy in service relationships: an exploration. *Journal of Services Marketing* **24**:5, 347-358. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
149. Sara Värlander, Anne Julien. 2010. The effect of the Internet on front-line employee skills: exploring banking in Sweden and France. *The Service Industries Journal* **30**, 1245-1261. [[CrossRef](#)]
150. Kenneth M Kambara. 2010. Managing brand instability and capital market reputation: Implications for brand governance and marketing strategy. *Journal of Brand Management* **17**, 568-578. [[CrossRef](#)]
151. Florian U. Siems, James Bruton, Dirk C. Moosmayer. 2010. Integrating Core Marketing Ethical Values Into Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* **9**, 68-82. [[CrossRef](#)]
152. Maciej Mitreęa, Jerome M. Katrichis. 2010. Benefiting from dedication and constraint in buyer–seller relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management* **39**, 616-624. [[CrossRef](#)]
153. Anja Geigenmüller. 2010. The role of virtual trade fairs in relationship value creation. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* **25**:4, 284-292. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
154. Nana Owusu-Frimpong, Albert Martins. 2010. Adoption and Implementation of Internal Marketing Systems by Ghanaian Small- and Medium-Sized Enterprises. *Journal of African Business* **11**, 26-48. [[CrossRef](#)]
155. Joseph Coughlan, Estelle Shale, Robert Dyson. 2010. Including the customer in efficiency analysis. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* **28**:2, 136-149. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
156. Bernd W. Wirtz, Sebastian Lütje, Sebastian Ullrich. 2010. Struktur und Erfolgswirkung der Kundenbeziehungsfähigkeit. *der markt* **48**, 147-161. [[CrossRef](#)]
157. ###. 2010. The impact of gender differences on the relationship between satisfaction and loyalty: a case of the Hong Kong mobile phone industry services. *Journal of Korea Service Management Society* **11**, 53-85. [[CrossRef](#)]
158. Athanasios Hadjimanolis. 2010. Methods of Political Marketing in (Trans)Formation of Innovation Culture. *Journal of Political Marketing* **9**, 93-110. [[CrossRef](#)]
159. Marjan Sarshar, Begum Sertyesilisik, Paul Parry. 2010. The extent of use of relationship marketing in the UK FM sector. *Facilities* **28**:1/2, 64-87. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
160. Roland Kantsperger, Werner H. Kunz. 2010. Consumer trust in service companies: a multiple mediating analysis. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal* **20**:1, 4-25. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
161. Svante Andersson, Per Servais. 2010. Combining industrial buyer and seller strategies for international supply and marketing management. *European Business Review* **22**:1, 64-81. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
162. Sergio Biggemann Chapter 3 Modeling the structure of business-to-business relationships 27-177. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
163. Phallapa Petison. 2010. Cross Cultural Relationship Marketing in the Thai Context: The Japanese Buyer's Perspective. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance* **1**, 17-23. [[CrossRef](#)]
164. Leone Cameron, Peter Miller, Elspeth Frew. 2009. Relationship Marketing in the Recruitment and Retention of Service Industry Staff in Family-Owned Businesses. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism* **9**, 71-91. [[CrossRef](#)]
165. Maribel Carvalho Suarez, Leticia Casotti. 2009. Marketing Orientation in the Third Sector: The Art of Afro Reggae. *Latin American Business Review* **10**, 217-236. [[CrossRef](#)]
166. Jiaxun He, Taihong Lu. 2009. Consumer-brand relationship in the context of Chinese culture: A theoretical construct and empirical study. *Frontiers of Business Research in China* **3**, 493-513. [[CrossRef](#)]
167. Jaakko Aspara, Henrich Nyman, Henriikki Tikkanen. 2009. The interrelationship of stock ownership and customer relationship volume: Case of a Nordic retail bank. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing* **14**, 203-217. [[CrossRef](#)]
168. Darshan Desai. 2009. Role of Relationship Management and Value Co-Creation in Social Marketing. *Social Marketing Quarterly* **15**, 112-125. [[CrossRef](#)]
169. Fredrik Nordin. 2009. Transcendental marketing: a conceptual framework and empirical examples. *Management Decision* **47**:10, 1652-1664. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
170. Arto Lindblom, Rami Olkkonen, Petri Ollila, Saara Hyvönen. 2009. Suppliers' roles in category management: A study of supplier–retailer relationships in Finland and Sweden. *Industrial Marketing Management* **38**, 1006-1013. [[CrossRef](#)]
171. Nnamdi O. Madichie. 2009. Marketing theory and practice: the case of the Egg Card. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **27**:7, 926-944. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
172. Harold Boeck, Ygal Bendavid, Elisabeth Lefebvre. 2009. Evolving B2B e-commerce adaptation for SME suppliers. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* **24**:8, 561-574. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
173. Kelley O'Reilly, David Paper. 2009. The role of vendor in eCRM tool development. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* **12**:4, 404-427. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]

174. G. E. Fruchter, S. P. Sigué. 2009. Social Relationship and Transactional Marketing Policies—Maximizing Customer Lifetime Value. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **142**, 469-492. [[CrossRef](#)]
175. Steve James, Heather Skinner. 2009. The Shoreline Project for Street Drinkers: Designing and Running a Supported Housing Project for the “Unhousable”. *Social Marketing Quarterly* **15**, 49-66. [[CrossRef](#)]
176. Pierre Hadaya, Luc Cassivi. 2009. Collaborative e-product development and product innovation in a demand-driven network: the moderating role of eCRM. *Electronic Markets* **19**, 71-87. [[CrossRef](#)]
177. Robert Hinson, Nana Owusu-Frimpong, Julius Dasah. 2009. Key motivations for bank patronage in Ghana. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* **27**:5, 381-399. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
178. Susi Geiger, Paolo Guenzi, Nicholas G. Paparoidamis, Paolo Guenzi. 2009. An empirical investigation into the impact of relationship selling and LMX on salespeople's behaviours and sales effectiveness. *European Journal of Marketing* **43**:7/8, 1053-1075. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
179. Øyvind Helgesen, Terje Voldsund. 2009. Financial decision support for marketers in the Norwegian fishing and furniture industries. *British Food Journal* **111**:7, 622-642. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
180. Kelley O'Reilly, David Paper. 2009. Customer Relationship Management (CRM): An Approach for Transforming the 'Myth' of CRM Success into Dual-Creation of Value. *Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research* **11**, 1-8. [[CrossRef](#)]
181. Adam Lindgreen, Martin Hingley, Constantino Stavros, Kate Westberg. 2009. Using triangulation and multiple case studies to advance relationship marketing theory. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* **12**:3, 307-320. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
182. George G. Panigyrakis, Prokopis K. Theodoridis. 2009. Internal marketing impact on business performance in a retail context. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management* **37**:7, 600-628. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
183. Po-Hsin Lai, Michael G. Sorice, Sanjay K. Nepal, Chia-Kuen Cheng. 2009. Integrating Social Marketing into Sustainable Resource Management at Padre Island National Seashore: An Attitude-Based Segmentation Approach. *Environmental Management* **43**, 985-998. [[CrossRef](#)]
184. Ananda Mukherji, John D. Francis, Jyotsna Mukherji. 2009. Getting partners to take action: influences on joint action in interfirm relationships. *Competitiveness Review* **19**:3, 185-202. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
185. Kallol Das. 2009. Relationship marketing research (1994-2006). *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **27**:3, 326-363. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
186. Selin Kucukcancabas, Ayse Akyol, Berk M. Ataman. 2009. Examination of the effects of the relationship marketing orientation on the company performance. *Quality and Quantity* **43**, 441-450. [[CrossRef](#)]
187. Carolin Plewa. 2009. Exploring organizational culture difference in relationship dyads. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)* **17**, 46-57. [[CrossRef](#)]
188. Mario Castellanos-Verdugo, Ma de los Ángeles Oviedo-García, José L. Roldán, Nadine Veerapermal. 2009. The employee-customer relationship quality. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* **21**:3, 251-274. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
189. Nelson Oly Ndubisi, Christian N. Madu. 2009. The association of gender to firm-customer relationship. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* **26**:3, 283-301. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
190. Stephen Wigley, Chu-Ling Rachel Chiang. 2009. Retail internationalisation in practice: per una in the UK and Taiwan. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management* **37**:3, 250-270. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
191. Tao Wang, Lurong Chen. 2009. Commercial guanxi in the context of Chinese native culture: An exploratory research. *Frontiers of Business Research in China* **3**, 79-102. [[CrossRef](#)]
192. Ja-Shen Chen, H. J. Rebecca Yen, Eldon Y. Li, Russell K.H. Ching. 2009. Measuring CRM effectiveness: Construct development, validation and application of a process-oriented model. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* **20**, 283-299. [[CrossRef](#)]
193. Robert Ankomah Opoku, Nana Atuobi-Yiadom, Cathryn Serwaah Chong, Russell Abratt. 2009. The impact of internal marketing on the perception of service quality in retail banking: A Ghanaian case. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing* **13**, 317-329. [[CrossRef](#)]
194. Bahar Ashnai, Maria Smirnova, Sergei Kouchtch, Qionglei Yu, Bradley R. Barnes, Peter Naudé. 2009. Assessing relationship quality in four business-to-business markets. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **27**:1, 86-102. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
195. Satyabhusan Dash, Ed Bruning, Kalyan Ku Guin. 2009. A cross-cultural comparison of individualism's moderating effect on bonding and commitment in banking relationships. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **27**:1, 146-169. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
196. Øyvind Helgesen, Erik Nettet, Terje Voldsund. 2009. Marketing perceptions and business performance. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **27**:1, 25-47. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]



197. P.E. Frow, A.F. Payne. 2009. Customer Relationship Management: A Strategic Perspective. *Journal of business market management* 3, 7-27. [[CrossRef](#)]
198. Gloria A Moss, Scott Parfitt, Heather Skinner. 2009. Men and women: Do they value the same things in mainstream nightclubs and bars?. *Tourism and Hospitality Research* 9, 61-79. [[CrossRef](#)]
199. Tsui Luen On Gordon, Guilherme D Pires, John Stanton. 2008. The relationship marketing orientation of Hong Kong financial services industry managers and its links to business performance. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing* 13, 193-203. [[CrossRef](#)]
200. Linda Schweitzer, Sean Lyons. 2008. The market within: A marketing approach to creating and developing high-value employment relationships. *Business Horizons* 51, 555-565. [[CrossRef](#)]
201. Mian-yun Chen, Yi Lin, Hejing Xiong, Damijan Prosenak, Matjaž Mulej, Boris Snoj. 2008. A requisitely holistic approach to marketing in terms of social well-being. *Kybernetes* 37:9/10, 1508-1529. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
202. Genevieve Catherine Myhal, Jikyeong Kang, John A. Murphy. 2008. Retaining customers through relationship quality: a services business marketing case. *Journal of Services Marketing* 22:6, 445-453. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
203. Silke Machold, Pervaiz K. Ahmed, Stuart S. Farquhar. 2008. Corporate Governance and Ethics: A Feminist Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics* 81, 665-678. [[CrossRef](#)]
204. Chiung-Ju Liang, Hui-Ju Chen, Wen-Hung Wang. 2008. Does online relationship marketing enhance customer retention and cross-buying?. *The Service Industries Journal* 28, 769-787. [[CrossRef](#)]
205. Fredrik Nordin. 2008. Linkages between service sourcing decisions and competitive advantage: A review, propositions, and illustrating cases. *International Journal of Production Economics* 114, 40-55. [[CrossRef](#)]
206. Øyvind Helgesen. 2008. Marketing for Higher Education: A Relationship Marketing Approach. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education* 18, 50-78. [[CrossRef](#)]
207. Aihie Osarenkhoe. 2008. What characterises the culture of a market-oriented organisation applying a customer-intimacy philosophy?. *Journal of Database Marketing & Customer Strategy Management* 15, 169-190. [[CrossRef](#)]
208. Yi-Ching Hsieh, Hung-Chang Chiu, Yi-Chieh Hsu. 2008. Supplier market orientation and accommodation of the customer in different relationship phases. *Industrial Marketing Management* 37, 380-393. [[CrossRef](#)]
209. Yeonghoon Kwon. 2008. A study on the dynamics of service quality dimensions and customer commitment. *Journal of Korea Service Management Society* 9, 1-27. [[CrossRef](#)]
210. Monica Law. 2008. Customer referral management: the implications of social networks. *The Service Industries Journal* 28, 669-683. [[CrossRef](#)]
211. Jeffrey Lewin, Tracy R. Harmon, Merlyn A. Griffiths. 2008. Franchisee perceived relationship value. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 23:4, 256-263. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
212. Carolin Plewa, Pascale Quester. 2008. A dyadic study of "champions" in university-industry relationships. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics* 20:2, 211-226. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
213. Peter R. Davis. 2008. A relationship approach to construction supply chains. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 108:3, 310-327. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
214. Matthew Wood. 2008. Applying Commercial Marketing Theory to Social Marketing: A Tale of 4Ps (and a B). *Social Marketing Quarterly* 14, 76-85. [[CrossRef](#)]
215. Rami Olkkonen, Henriikki Tikkanen, Arto Lindblom. 2008. Relationalism as a Marketing Strategy: An Empirical Study Among Finnish Business-to-Business Marketing Firms. *Journal of Euromarketing* 17, 49-68. [[CrossRef](#)]
216. Lars Meyer-Waarden. 2008. The influence of loyalty programme membership on customer purchase behaviour. *European Journal of Marketing* 42:1/2, 87-114. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
217. Yang-Im Lee, Peter R.J. Trim. 2008. The link between cultural value systems and strategic marketing. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal* 15:1, 62-80. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
218. Rianne Appel-Meulenbroek. 2008. Managing "keep" factors of office tenants to raise satisfaction and loyalty. *Property Management* 26:1, 43-55. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
219. Roger Baxter Intangible value in buyer-seller relationships 27-98. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
220. B CANZIANI Hospitality customers: their roles in service blueprints 63-89. [[CrossRef](#)]
221. Arto Lindblom, Rami Olkkonen. 2008. An analysis of suppliers' roles in category management collaboration. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 15, 1-8. [[CrossRef](#)]
222. Bibliography 309-338. [[CrossRef](#)]
223. Girish Ramani, V Kumar. 2008. Interaction Orientation and Firm Performance. *Journal of Marketing* 72, 27-45. [[CrossRef](#)]
224. Jaakko Kujala, Jarkko Murtoaro, Karlos Arto. 2007. A negotiation approach to project sales and implementation. *Project Management Journal* 38, 33-44. [[CrossRef](#)]

225. Göran Svensson, Greg Wood. 2007. A Model of Business Ethics. *Journal of Business Ethics* 77, 303-322. [[CrossRef](#)]
226. Roger Palmer. 2007. The transaction-relational continuum: conceptually elegant but empirically denied. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 22:7, 439-451. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
227. Meiling Wong. 2007. Guanxi and its role in business. *Chinese Management Studies* 1:4, 257-276. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
228. Dr Shaun Powell, Professor John M.T. Balmer, Professor T.C. Melewar, Peggy Simcic Brønn. 2007. Relationship outcomes as determinants of reputation. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal* 12:4, 376-393. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
229. Leonidas A. Zampetakis, Vassilis Moustakis. 2007. Fostering corporate entrepreneurship through internal marketing. *European Journal of Innovation Management* 10:4, 413-433. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
230. Øyvind Helgesen. 2007. Drivers of customer satisfaction in business-to-business relationships. *British Food Journal* 109:10, 819-837. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
231. Nelson Oly Ndubisi. 2007. Relationship quality antecedents: the Malaysian retail banking perspective. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* 24:8, 829-845. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
232. David L. Jones, Ken W. Mcleary. 2007. Expectations of Working Relationships in International Buyer-Seller Relationships: Development of a Relationship Continuum Scale. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research* 12, 181-202. [[CrossRef](#)]
233. Roger J. Calantone, David A. Griffith. 2007. From the Special Issue Editors: Challenges and Opportunities in the Field of Global Product Launch. *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 24:10.1111/jpim.2007.24.issue-5, 414-418. [[CrossRef](#)]
234. Civilai Terawatanavong, Gregory J. Whitwell, Robert E. Widing. 2007. Buyer satisfaction with relational exchange across the relationship lifecycle. *European Journal of Marketing* 41:7/8, 915-938. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
235. Spiros P. Gounaris, Nektarios A. Tzempelikos, Kalliopi Chatzipanagiotou. 2007. The Relationships of Customer-Perceived Value, Satisfaction, Loyalty and Behavioral Intentions. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 6, 63-87. [[CrossRef](#)]
236. Tony Ward, Tracey S. Dagger. 2007. The complexity of relationship marketing for service customers. *Journal of Services Marketing* 21:4, 281-290. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
237. Tim Hughes. 2007. Regaining a seat at the table: marketing management and the e-service opportunity. *Journal of Services Marketing* 21:4, 270-280. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
238. Jersan Hu, Yafang Tsai. 2007. Paradigms of derived exchange value effects in market network. *Industrial Marketing Management* 36, 636-650. [[CrossRef](#)]
239. Stewart Adam, Michael D Featherstone. 2007. A comparison of web use in marketing by local government in the United States and Australia. *Journal of Database Marketing & Customer Strategy Management* 14, 297-310. [[CrossRef](#)]
240. Harri Terho, Aino Halinen. 2007. Customer portfolio analysis practices in different exchange contexts. *Journal of Business Research* 60, 720-730. [[CrossRef](#)]
241. Lydia Gan, C. J. Sim, H. L. Tan, Janice Tna. 2007. Online Relationship Marketing by Singapore Hotel Websites. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* 20, 1-19. [[CrossRef](#)]
242. Göran Svensson, Greg Wood. 2007. Sustainable leadership ethics: a continuous and iterative process. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 28:3, 251-268. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
243. Yingzi Xu, Robert Goedegebuure, Beatrice Van der Heijden. 2007. Customer Perception, Customer Satisfaction, and Customer Loyalty Within Chinese Securities Business. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 5, 79-104. [[CrossRef](#)]
244. Cengiz Kahraman, Nelson Oly Ndubisi, Chan Kok Wah, Gibson C. Ndubisi. 2007. Supplier-customer relationship management and customer loyalty. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 20:2, 222-236. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
245. Aihie Osarenkhoe, Az-Eddine Bennani. 2007. An exploratory study of implementation of customer relationship management strategy. *Business Process Management Journal* 13:1, 139-164. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
246. Nelson Oly Ndubisi. 2007. Relationship marketing and customer loyalty. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 25:1, 98-106. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
247. Neale G. O'Connor, Cecilia L.K. Cheung. 2007. Product/service adoption strategies and bank customer accounting in Hong Kong. *Pacific Accounting Review* 19:1, 31-46. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
248. Henrikki Tikkanen, Jaakko Kujala, Karlos Artto. 2007. The marketing strategy of a project-based firm: The Four Portfolios Framework. *Industrial Marketing Management* 36, 194-205. [[CrossRef](#)]
249. Jillian C. Sweeney. 2007. Moving Towards the Service-Dominant Logic – A Comment. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)* 15, 97-104. [[CrossRef](#)]
250. Yang-Im Lee, Peter R.J. Trim. 2006. Retail marketing strategy. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 24:7, 730-745. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
251. Jyh-Jeng Wu, Yong-Sheng Chang. 2006. Effect of transaction trust on e-commerce relationships between travel agencies. *Tourism Management* 27, 1253-1261. [[CrossRef](#)]

252. Andrew Alexander, Alex Nicholls. 2006. Rediscovering consumer-producer involvement. *European Journal of Marketing* 40:11/12, 1236-1253. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
253. Nelson Oly Ndubisi. 2006. A structural equation modelling of the antecedents of relationship quality in the Malaysia banking sector. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing* 11, 131-141. [[CrossRef](#)]
254. Stefan Lagrosen, Göran Svensson. 2006. A seminal framework of marketing schools: revisited and updated. *Journal of Management History* 12:4, 369-384. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
255. Roland T. Rust, Francine Espinoza. 2006. How technology advances influence business research and marketing strategy. *Journal of Business Research* 59, 1072-1078. [[CrossRef](#)]
256. Joanne Jung-Eun Yoo, Seo-Young Shin, Il-Sun Yang. 2006. Key attributes of internal service recovery strategies as perceived by frontline food service employees. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 25, 496-509. [[CrossRef](#)]
257. Stephen P. Osborne. 2006. The New Public Governance? 1. *Public Management Review* 8, 377-387. [[CrossRef](#)]
258. Elizabeth C. Thach, Janeen Olsen. 2006. Building Strategic Partnerships in Wine Marketing. *Journal of Food Products Marketing* 12, 71-86. [[CrossRef](#)]
259. SooCheong (Shawn) Jang, Clark Hu, Billy Bai. 2006. A canonical correlation analysis of e-relationship marketing and hotel financial performance. *Tourism and Hospitality Research* 6, 241-250. [[CrossRef](#)]
260. Gopalkrishnan R. Iyer, Arun Sharma, Heiner Evanschitzky. 2006. Global marketing of industrial products: Are interpersonal relationships always critical?. *Industrial Marketing Management* 35, 611-620. [[CrossRef](#)]
261. Andreas Leverin, Veronica Liljander. 2006. Does relationship marketing improve customer relationship satisfaction and loyalty?. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 24:4, 232-251. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
262. Emmanuella Plakoyiannaki, Michael Saren. 2006. Time and the customer relationship management process: conceptual and methodological insights. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 21:4, 218-230. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
263. Jaebeom Suh, Swinder Janda, Sunhee Seo. 2006. Exploring the role of culture in trust development with service providers. *Journal of Services Marketing* 20:4, 265-273. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
264. Alfred Pelham. 2006. Do consulting-oriented sales management programs impact salesforce performance and profit?. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 21:3, 175-188. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
265. Amy Hawke, Troy Heffernan. 2006. Interpersonal liking in lender-customer relationships in the Australian banking sector. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 24:3, 140-157. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
266. Judy Zolkiewski, Peter Turnbull, Vasco Eiriz, Dom Wilson. 2006. Research in relationship marketing: antecedents, traditions and integration. *European Journal of Marketing* 40:3/4, 275-291. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
267. Pär Åhlström, Fredrik Nordin. 2006. Problems of establishing service supply relationships: Evidence from a high-tech manufacturing company. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* 12, 75-89. [[CrossRef](#)]
268. Michael Kleinaltenkamp, Michael Ehret, Shelby D. Hunt, Dennis B. Arnett, Sreedhar Madhavaram. 2006. The explanatory foundations of relationship marketing theory. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 21:2, 72-87. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
269. Gareth Smith. 2006. Competitive analysis, structure and strategy in politics: a critical approach. *Journal of Public Affairs* 6:10.1002/pa.v6:1, 4-14. [[CrossRef](#)]
270. Håkan Preiholt, Claes Hägg. 2006. Growth opportunities in luxury goods and real estate. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal* 10:1, 114-119. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
271. Evmorfia Argyriou, T. C. Melewar, Maureen Meadows. 2006. A Relationship Marketing Perspective in Electronic Banking: Evidence from Greece. *Journal of Euromarketing* 15, 47-73. [[CrossRef](#)]
272. Vicente Martínez-Tur, José M. Peiró, José Ramos, Carolina Moliner. 2006. Justice Perceptions as Predictors of Customer Satisfaction: The Impact of Distributive, Procedural, and Interactional Justice.1. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 36:10.1111/jasp.2006.36.issue-1, 100-119. [[CrossRef](#)]
273. Stefan Wengler, Michael Ehret, Samy Saab. 2006. Implementation of Key Account Management: Who, why, and how?. *Industrial Marketing Management* 35, 103-112. [[CrossRef](#)]
274. Helene P. M. Johansen. 2005. Political Marketing. *Journal of Political Marketing* 4, 85-105. [[CrossRef](#)]
275. João F. Proença, Luís M. de Castro. 2005. "Stress" in business relationships: a study on corporate bank services. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 23:7, 527-541. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
276. Roger Bennett. 2005. Competitive environment, market orientation, and the use of relational approaches to the marketing of charity beneficiary services. *Journal of Services Marketing* 19:7, 453-469. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
277. Nelson Oly Ndubisi, Chan Kok Wah. 2005. Factorial and discriminant analyses of the underpinnings of relationship marketing and customer satisfaction. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 23:7, 542-557. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
278. Hung-Chang Chiu, Yi-Ching Hsieh, Yu-Chuan Li, Monle Lee. 2005. Relationship marketing and consumer switching behavior. *Journal of Business Research* 58, 1681-1689. [[CrossRef](#)]



279. Subhash C. Jain. 2005. CRM shifts the paradigm. *Journal of Strategic Marketing* 13, 275-291. [[CrossRef](#)]
280. Declan P. Bannon. 2005. Electoral Participation and Non-Voter Segmentation. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing* 14, 109-127. [[CrossRef](#)]
281. Jenny Lloyd. 2005. Square Peg, Round Hole? Can Marketing-Based Concepts Such as the 'Product' and the 'Marketing Mix' Have a Useful Role in the Political Arena?. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing* 14, 27-46. [[CrossRef](#)]
282. Gordon E. Greenley, Graham J. Hooley, John M. Rudd. 2005. Market orientation in a multiple stakeholder orientation context: implications for marketing capabilities and assets. *Journal of Business Research* 58, 1483-1494. [[CrossRef](#)]
283. Declan P. Bannon. 2005. Relationship Marketing and the Political Process. *Journal of Political Marketing* 4, 73-90. [[CrossRef](#)]
284. Adrian Bueren, Ragnar Schierholz, Lutz M. Kolbe, Walter Brenner. 2005. Improving performance of customer-processes with knowledge management. *Business Process Management Journal* 11:5, 573-588. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
285. Mark Durkin, Aodheen O'donnell. 2005. Towards a model of adoption in internet banking: Strategic communication challenges. *The Service Industries Journal* 25, 861-878. [[CrossRef](#)]
286. Suphan Nasir. 2005. The development, change, and transformation of Management Information Systems (MIS): A content analysis of articles published in business and marketing journals. *International Journal of Information Management* 25, 442-457. [[CrossRef](#)]
287. Lydia Gan, Daphne Lee, Kai Lingtay, Sook Har Wai. 2005. Online Marketing: A Boon or Bane for Business in Singapore?. *Asia Pacific Business Review* 11, 327-347. [[CrossRef](#)]
288. Tao Gao, M. Joseph Sirgy, Monroe M. Bird. 2005. Enriching Customer Value Research with a Relational Perspective. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 4, 21-42. [[CrossRef](#)]
289. Stephen W. McDaniel, Steven B. Moore. 2005. Pre-Production Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 4, 73-90. [[CrossRef](#)]
290. Grant Robertson, Jamie Murphy, Sharon Purchase. 2005. Distance to Market: Proximity across In-store and Online Food Retailing. *Electronic Markets* 15, 235-245. [[CrossRef](#)]
291. Henrikki Tikkanen, Juha-Antti Lamberg, Petri Parvinen, Juha-Pekka Kallunki. 2005. Managerial cognition, action and the business model of the firm. *Management Decision* 43:6, 789-809. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
292. Hyoung Tark Lee, Dong Jin Lee. 2005. ### #### # ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##. *Journal of Global Academy of Marketing Science* 15, 161-182. [[CrossRef](#)]
293. Carmen Camarero Izquierdo, Jesús Gutiérrez Cillán, Sonia San Martín Gutiérrez. 2005. The impact of customer relationship marketing on the firm performance: a Spanish case. *Journal of Services Marketing* 19:4, 234-244. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
294. Roger Palmer, Adam Lindgreen, Joëlle Vanhamme. 2005. Relationship marketing: schools of thought and future research directions. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 23:3, 313-330. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
295. Lynette J. Ryals, Simon Knox. 2005. Measuring risk-adjusted customer lifetime value and its impact on relationship marketing strategies and shareholder value. *European Journal of Marketing* 39:5/6, 456-472. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
296. Lars Nilsson-Witell, Anders Fundin. 2005. Dynamics of service attributes: a test of Kano's theory of attractive quality. *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 16:2, 152-168. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
297. Arch G. Woodside. 2005. Firm orientations, innovativeness, and business performance: Advancing a system dynamics view following a comment on Hult, Hurley, and Knight's 2004 study. *Industrial Marketing Management* 34, 275-279. [[CrossRef](#)]
298. Sabrina Helm. 2005. Customer Valuation as a Driver of Relationship Dissolution Sabrina Helm Heinrich-Heine-Universitaet, Germany. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 3, 77-91. [[CrossRef](#)]
299. Dawn Burton. 2005. Marketing Theory Matters. *British Journal of Management* 16:10.1111/bjom.2005.16.issue-1, 5-18. [[CrossRef](#)]
300. Prodromos Yannas. 2005. Political Marketing in Greece Is Ready for Take-Off. *Journal of Political Marketing* 4, 1-15. [[CrossRef](#)]
301. Yi-Ching Hsieh, Hung-Chang Chiu, Mei-Yi Chiang. 2005. Maintaining a committed online customer: A study across search-experience-credence products. *Journal of Retailing* 81, 75-82. [[CrossRef](#)]
302. Liz Lee-Kelley, David Gilbert, Nada F. Al-Shehabi. 2004. Virtual exhibitions: an exploratory study of Middle East exhibitors' dispositions. *International Marketing Review* 21:6, 634-644. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
303. Peter R.J. Trim, Yang-Im Lee. 2004. Enhancing customer service and organizational learning through qualitative research. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* 7:4, 284-292. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
304. A. Michael Knemeyer, Paul R. Murphy. 2004. Evaluating the Performance of Third-Party Logistics Arrangements: A Relationship Marketing Perspective. *Journal of Supply Chain Management* 40:10.1111/jscm.2004.40.issue-1, 35-51. [[CrossRef](#)]
305. Lisa O'Malley, Andrea Prothero. 2004. Beyond the frills of relationship marketing. *Journal of Business Research* 57, 1286-1294. [[CrossRef](#)]

306. Gerard Hastings, Martine Stead, John Webb. 2004. Fear appeals in social marketing: Strategic and ethical reasons for concern. *Psychology and Marketing* 21:10.1002/mar.v21:11, 961-986. [[CrossRef](#)]
307. Leonidas C. Leonidou. 2004. Industrial manufacturer–customer relationships: The discriminating role of the buying situation. *Industrial Marketing Management* 33, 731-742. [[CrossRef](#)]
308. Jamie Murphy, Charles F. Hofacker. 2004. A Methodology and Investigation of an eLoyalty Metric, Consumer Bookmarking Behavior. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 10, 00-00. [[CrossRef](#)]
309. Adam Lindgreen, Roger Palmer, Joëlle Vanhamme. 2004. Contemporary marketing practice: theoretical propositions and practical implications. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 22:6, 673-692. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
310. Andre Q. Beaujanot, Larry Lockshin, Pascale Quester. 2004. Distributors' Business Characteristics, Buyer/Seller Relationship and Market Orientation. *Journal of Marketing Channels* 12, 79-100. [[CrossRef](#)]
311. Nelson Oly Ndubisi. 2004. Understanding the salience of cultural dimensions on relationship marketing, it's underpinnings and aftermaths. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal* 11:3, 70-89. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)]
312. Anne Marie Doherty, Nicholas Alexander. 2004. Relationship development in international retail franchising. *European Journal of Marketing* 38:9/10, 1215-1235. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
313. Michael R. Hyman. 2004. Revising the structural framework for marketing management. *Journal of Business Research* 57, 923-932. [[CrossRef](#)]
314. George Balabanis, Marios Theodosiou, Evangelia S. Katsikea. 2004. Guest editorial. *International Marketing Review* 21:4/5, 353-377. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
315. Adrian Payne, Pennie Frow. 2004. The role of multichannel integration in customer relationship management. *Industrial Marketing Management* 33, 527-538. [[CrossRef](#)]
316. Das Narayandas, V. Kasturi Rangan. 2004. Building and Sustaining Buyer–Seller Relationships in Mature Industrial Markets. *Journal of Marketing* 68, 63-77. [[CrossRef](#)]
317. Assion Lawson-Body, Moez Limayem. 2004. The Impact of Customer Relationship Management on Customer Loyalty: The Moderating Role of Web Site Characteristics. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 9:10.1111/jcmc.2004.9.issue-4, 00-00. [[CrossRef](#)]
318. Manjit K. Bansal. 2004. Optimising value and quality in general practice within the primary health care sector through relationship marketing: a conceptual framework. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 17:4, 180-188. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
319. Michael Trimarchi, Rick Tamaschke. 2004. Coordinations in business interactions between Hong Kong Chinese, mainland Chinese, and Western actors. *International Business Review* 13, 331-357. [[CrossRef](#)]
320. Allard C. R. Van Riel, Janjaap Semeijn, Pieter Pauwels. 2004. Online Travel Service Quality: the Role of Pre-Transaction Services. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* 15, 475-493. [[CrossRef](#)]
321. Christopher P. Holland, Pete Naudé. 2004. The metamorphosis of marketing into an information-handling problem. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 19:3, 167-177. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
322. Pete Naudé, Christopher P. Holland. 2004. The role of information and communications technology in transforming marketing theory and practice. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 19:3, 165-166. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
323. Antony Beckett. 2004. From branches to call centres: new strategic realities in retail banking. *The Service Industries Journal* 24, 43-62. [[CrossRef](#)]
324. S.C. Morton, N.J. Brookes, P.K. Smart, C.J. Backhouse, N.D. Burns. 2004. Managing the informal organisation: conceptual model. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* 53:3, 214-232. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
325. Efthymios Constantinides. 2004. Influencing the online consumer's behavior: the Web experience. *Internet Research* 14:2, 111-126. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
326. Devashish Pujari. 2004. Self-service with a smile?. *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 15:2, 200-219. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
327. Vesna Zabkar, Maja Makovec Brencic. 2004. Values, trust, and commitment in business-to-business relationships. *International Marketing Review* 21:2, 202-215. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
328. Kim Shyan Fam, Thomas Foscht, Regan David Collins. 2004. Trust and the online relationship—an exploratory study from New Zealand. *Tourism Management* 25, 195-207. [[CrossRef](#)]
329. Norman Brady. 2004. In search of market orientation. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 22:2, 144-159. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
330. Jon M. Shapiro, Nicholas C. Romano, Banwari Mittal. 2004. Emergent Internet Technology Applications for Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 2, 85-108. [[CrossRef](#)]
331. Gopalkrishnan R. Iyer, David Bejou. 2004. Customer Relationship Management in Electronic Markets. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 2, 1-13. [[CrossRef](#)]

332. Francesca Dall'Olmo Riley, Wendy Lomax, Angela Blunden. 2004. Dove vs. Dior: Extending the Brand Extension Decision-Making Process from Mass to Luxury. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)* **12**, 40-55. [[CrossRef](#)]
333. References 197-219. [[CrossRef](#)]
334. Ana Viseu, Andrew Clement, Jane Aspinall. 2004. Situating Privacy Online. *Information, Communication & Society* **7**, 92-114. [[CrossRef](#)]
335. Nic Terblanche. 2004. The Performing Arts and Selected Relationship Marketing Strategies. *South African Theatre Journal* **18**, 8-30. [[CrossRef](#)]
336. Ivana Adamson, Kok-Mun Chan, Donna Handford. 2003. Relationship marketing: customer commitment and trust as a strategy for the smaller Hong Kong corporate banking sector. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* **21**:6/7, 347-358. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
337. Henning Gebert, Malte Geib, Lutz Kolbe, Walter Brenner. 2003. Knowledge-enabled customer relationship management: integrating customer relationship management and knowledge management concepts[1]. *Journal of Knowledge Management* **7**:5, 107-123. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
338. Adrian Zambardino, John Goodfellow. 2003. Account planning in the new marketing and communications environment (has the Stephen King challenge been met?). *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* **21**:7, 425-434. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
339. Jürgen Trumppheller. 2003. Einsatz kausalanalytischer Verfahren in der Assekuranz: Ergebnisse einer empirischen Analyse zur Kundenbindung. *Zeitschrift für die gesamte Versicherungswissenschaft* **92**, 841-864. [[CrossRef](#)]
340. B.S. Sahay. 2003. Understanding trust in supply chain relationships. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* **103**:8, 553-563. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
341. Vaughan C. Judd. 2003. Achieving a customer orientation using "people-power," the "5th P". *European Journal of Marketing* **37**:10, 1301-1313. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
342. V. Kumar, Timothy R. Bohling, Rajendra N. Ladda. 2003. Antecedents and consequences of relationship intention: Implications for transaction and relationship marketing. *Industrial Marketing Management* **32**, 667-676. [[CrossRef](#)]
343. Jamie Murphy, Irene Tan. 2003. Journey to nowhere? E-mail customer service by travel agents in Singapore. *Tourism Management* **24**, 543-550. [[CrossRef](#)]
344. Elaine Ramsey, Pat Ibbotson, Jim Bell, Brendan Gray. 2003. E-opportunities of service sector SMEs: an Irish cross-border study. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development* **10**:3, 250-264. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
345. Thomas Ritter, Hans Georg Gemünden. 2003. Interorganizational relationships and networks. *Journal of Business Research* **56**, 691-697. [[CrossRef](#)]
346. Thomas Ritter, Hans Georg Gemünden. 2003. Network competence. *Journal of Business Research* **56**, 745-755. [[CrossRef](#)]
347. Stephen Mutula. 2003. Issues in library associations in Eastern, Central and Southern Africa: the case of Botswana Library Association (BLA). *The Electronic Library* **21**:4, 335-351. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
348. Alan Fyall, Christine Callod, Brenda Edwards. 2003. Relationship Marketing. *Annals of Tourism Research* **30**, 644-659. [[CrossRef](#)]
349. Geok Theng Lau, Hsueh Wei Chin. 2003. Trustworthiness of Salespeople in the Business-to-Business Market: The Five C's. *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing* **10**, 1-33. [[CrossRef](#)]
350. Jay Kandampully. 2003. B2B relationships and networks in the Internet age. *Management Decision* **41**:5, 443-451. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
351. Paolo Guenzi. 2003. Antecedents and consequences of a firm's selling orientation. *European Journal of Marketing* **37**:5/6, 706-727. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
352. Byron Keating, Robert Rugimbana, Ali Quazi. 2003. Differentiating between service quality and relationship quality in cyberspace. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal* **13**:3, 217-232. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
353. Declan P. Bannon. 2003. Voting, non-voting and consumer buying behaviour: non-voter segmentation (NVS) and the underlining causes of electoral inactivity. *Journal of Public Affairs* **3**:10.1002/pa.v3:2, 138-151. [[CrossRef](#)]
354. Ross Brennan, George Felekis, Delia Goldring. 2003. Strategic Management of Marketing and Human Resources in Further Education Colleges. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* **27**, 143-156. [[CrossRef](#)]
355. M. Angeles Iniesta, Manuel Sanchez. 2003. Client commitment relations towards financial entities. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management* **31**:4, 203-213. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
356. Michael Harvey, Matthew B. Myers, Milorad M. Novicevic. 2003. The managerial issues associated with global account management. *Journal of Management Development* **22**:2, 103-129. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
357. Paul R. Baines, Ross Brennan, John Egan. 2003. "Market" Classification and Political Campaigning. *Journal of Political Marketing* **2**, 47-66. [[CrossRef](#)]
358. Joep P. Cornelissen. 2003. Metaphor as a method in the domain of marketing. *Psychology and Marketing* **20**:10.1002/mar.v20:3, 209-225. [[CrossRef](#)]

359. Rajesh Singh. 2003. Developing relationship marketing with customers: a Scandinavian perspective. *Library Management* 24:1/2, 34-43. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
360. Bodo Lang, Mark Colgate. 2003. Relationship quality, on-line banking and the information technology gap. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 21:1, 29-37. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
361. Graham Hooley, John Fahy, Gordon Greenley, József Beracs, Krzysztof Fonfara, Boris Snoj. 2003. Market orientation in the service sector of the transition economies of central Europe. *European Journal of Marketing* 37:1/2, 86-106. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
362. Nicholas Theodorakis, Dimitris Goulimaris, Dimitris Gargalianos. 2003. The relationship between service quality and behavioural intentions for spectators at traditional dance performances in Greece. *World Leisure Journal* 45, 53-61. [[CrossRef](#)]
363. Sofia Daskou, Eleni E. Mangina. 2003. Artificial Intelligence in Managing Market Relationships. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 2, 85-102. [[CrossRef](#)]
364. R. Mohan Pisharodi, Madhukar G. Angur, G. Shainesh. 2003. Relationship Strategy, Effectiveness, and Responsiveness in Services Marketing. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 2, 3-22. [[CrossRef](#)]
365. Cindy Claycomb, Charles L. Martin. 2002. Building customer relationships: an inventory of service providers' objectives and practices. *Journal of Services Marketing* 16:7, 615-635. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
366. Riyad Eid, Myfanwy Trueman. 2002. The Internet: new international marketing issues. *Management Research News* 25:12, 54-67. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)]
367. Tim John Hughes. 2002. Marketing principles in the application of e-commerce. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* 5:4, 252-260. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
368. May W.C. So, Domenic Sculli. 2002. The role of trust, quality, value and risk in conducting e-business. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 102:9, 503-512. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
369. Sally Rao, Chad Perry. 2002. Thinking about relationship marketing: where are we now?. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 17:7, 598-614. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
370. Alexandros Kapoulas, William Murphy, Nick Ellis. 2002. Say hello, wave goodbye: missed opportunities for electronic relationship marketing within the financial services sector?. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 20:7, 302-310. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
371. John Kuada. 2002. Collaboration between developed and developing country-based firms: Danish-Ghanaian experience. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 17:6, 538-557. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
372. Low Sui Pheng, Tan Sui Ling Gracia. 2002. Relationship marketing: a survey of QS firms in Singapore. *Construction Management and Economics* 20, 707-721. [[CrossRef](#)]
373. Doyle Yoon, Fritz Cropp, Glenn Cameron. 2002. Building Relationships with Portal Users. *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 3, 1-11. [[CrossRef](#)]
374. Michael Harvey, Mathew B. Myers, Milorad M. Novicevic. 2002. The managerial issues associated with global account management. *Thunderbird International Business Review* 44:10.1002/tie.v44:5, 625-647. [[CrossRef](#)]
375. SVANTE ANDERSSON. 2002. A NETWORK APPROACH TO MARKETING MANAGEMENT. *Journal of Enterprising Culture* 10, 209-223. [[CrossRef](#)]
376. Liz Lee-Kelley, Sara Davies, Peter Kangis. 2002. Service quality for customer retention in the UK steel industry: old dogs and new tricks?. *European Business Review* 14:4, 276-286. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
377. Chun-Hsien Chen, Li Pheng Khoo, Wei Yan. 2002. A strategy for acquiring customer requirement patterns using laddering technique and ART2 neural network. *Advanced Engineering Informatics* 16, 229-240. [[CrossRef](#)]
378. Michael G. Harvey, David A. Griffith. 2002. Developing effective intercultural relationships: The importance of communication strategies. *Thunderbird International Business Review* 44:10.1002/tie.v44:4, 455-476. [[CrossRef](#)]
379. Michael Beverland. 2002. Relationship Strategies for Market Entry. *Journal of East-West Business* 7, 55-77. [[CrossRef](#)]
380. Steven M. Kates. 2002. AIDS and community-based organizations: the marketing of therapeutic discourse. *European Journal of Marketing* 36:5/6, 621-641. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
381. Roger Palmer. 2002. Managerial understanding of contemporary industrial marketing issues. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* 5:2, 135-143. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
382. Bill Merrilees. 2002. Interactivity Design as the Key to Managing Customer Relations in E-Commerce. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 1, 111-126. [[CrossRef](#)]
383. Cleopatra Veloutsou, Michael Saren, Nikolaos Tzokas. 2002. Relationship marketing. *European Journal of Marketing* 36:4, 433-449. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
384. Lyndon Simkin. 2002. Tackling implementation impediments to marketing planning. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 20:2, 120-126. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
385. Adrian Palmer. 2002. The Evolution of an Idea. *Journal of Relationship Marketing* 1, 79-94. [[CrossRef](#)]



386. Chris Guilding, Lisa McManus. 2002. The incidence, perceived merit and antecedents of customer accounting: an exploratory note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 27, 45-59. [[CrossRef](#)]
387. John Egan. 2001. Throwing the baby out with the bathwater?. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 19:6, 375-384. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
388. Cindy Claycomb, Charles L. Martin. 2001. Building customer relationships: an inventory of service providers' objectives and practices. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 19:6, 385-399. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
389. Seppo Leminen. 2001. Business logic in buyer-seller relationships. *Management Decision* 39:8, 660-665. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
390. Yi-Hui Huang. 2001. Values of Public Relations: Effects on Organization-Public Relationships Mediating Conflict Resolution. *Journal of Public Relations Research* 13, 265-301. [[CrossRef](#)]
391. Richard K. Blundel, Martin Hingley. 2001. Exploring growth in vertical inter-firm relationships: small-medium firms supplying multiple food retailers. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development* 8:3, 245-265. [[Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)]
392. Nicole E. Coviello, Roderick J. Brodie. 2001. Contemporary marketing practices of consumer and business-to-business firms: how different are they?. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 16:5, 382-400. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
393. David A. Griffith, Michael G. Harvey. 2001. An Intercultural Communication Model for Use in Global Interorganizational Networks. *Journal of International Marketing* 9, 87-103. [[CrossRef](#)]
394. Pingjun Jiang, Qi Chen. 2001. A Cost-Benefit Approach to Segment-Based Mass Customization. *Journal of Segmentation in Marketing* 4, 7-23. [[CrossRef](#)]
395. Dawn Burton. 2001. Critical marketing theory: the blueprint?. *European Journal of Marketing* 35:5/6, 722-743. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
396. David A. Griffith, Ali Yavuz Zeybek, Matthew O'Brien. 2001. Knowledge Transfer as a Means for Relationship Development: A Kazakhstan-Foreign International Joint Venture Illustration. *Journal of International Marketing* 9, 1-18. [[CrossRef](#)]
397. T.K.P. Leung, Y.H. Wong. 2001. The ethics and positioning of guanxi in China. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 19:1, 55-64. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
398. Vaughan C. Judd. 2001. Toward a Customer-Oriented and a Differentiated Position in a Nonprofit Organization: Using the 5th P-People. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing* 9, 5-17. [[CrossRef](#)]
399. Robert Salle, Bernard Cova, Catherine Pardo. Portfolio of supplier-customer relationships 419-442. [[Abstract](#)] [[Enhanced Abstract](#)] [[PDF](#)] [[PDF](#)]
400. Stephen Willcocks, Antony Conway. 2000. Responding to diversity: the Primary Care Group in the NHS. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 13:7, 308-315. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
401. Norm Archer, Yufei Yuan. 2000. Managing business-to-business relationships throughout the e-commerce procurement life cycle. *Internet Research* 10:5, 385-395. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
402. Graham Hooley, Tony Cox, John Fahy, David Shipley, József Beracs, Krzysztof Fonfara, Boris Snoj. 2000. Market Orientation in the Transition Economies of Central Europe. *Journal of Business Research* 50, 273-285. [[CrossRef](#)]
403. Stavros P. Kalafatis, Markos H. Tsogas, Charles Blankson. 2000. Positioning strategies in business markets. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 15:6, 416-437. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
404. Lloyd C. Harris, Lisa O'Malley. 2000. Maintaining Relationships: A Study of the Legal Industry. *The Service Industries Journal* 20, 62-84. [[CrossRef](#)]
405. Michael Harvey, Cheri Speier. 2000. Developing an Inter-Organization Relational Management Perspective. *Journal of Marketing Channels* 7, 23-44. [[CrossRef](#)]
406. Pingjun Jiang. 2000. Segment-based mass customization: an exploration of a new conceptual marketing framework. *Internet Research* 10:3, 215-226. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
407. Lisa O'Malley, Caroline Tynan. 2000. Relationship marketing in consumer markets – Rhetoric or reality?. *European Journal of Marketing* 34:7, 797-815. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
408. Brian D. Foster, John W. Cadogan. 2000. Relationship selling and customer loyalty: an empirical investigation. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 18:4, 185-199. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
409. Kjell E. Gruner, Christian Homburg. 2000. Does Customer Interaction Enhance New Product Success?. *Journal of Business Research* 49, 1-14. [[CrossRef](#)]
410. Adrian Palmer. 2000. Co-operation and competition: a Darwinian synthesis of relationship marketing. *European Journal of Marketing* 34:5/6, 687-704. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
411. Jillian Dawes, Reva Berman Brown. 2000. Postmodern marketing: research issues for retail financial services. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* 3:2, 90-99. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
412. Cynthia A. Lengnick-Hall, Vincentia (Cindy) Claycomb, Lawrence W. Inks. 2000. From recipient to contributor: examining customer roles and experienced outcomes. *European Journal of Marketing* 34:3/4, 359-383. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]

413. Pierre Chenet, Caroline Tynan, Arthur Money. 2000. The service performance gap: testing the redeveloped causal model. *European Journal of Marketing* 34:3/4, 472-497. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
414. Eelko K.R.E Huizingh. 2000. The content and design of web sites: an empirical study. *Information & Management* 37, 123-134. [[CrossRef](#)]
415. Kim Bartel Sheehan, Mariea Grubbs Hoy. 2000. Dimensions of Privacy Concern Among Online Consumers. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 19, 62-73. [[CrossRef](#)]
416. Banwari Mittal. 2000. Determinants of Vendor Patronage in Business Service Markets: An Integrative Model. *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing* 6, 1-32. [[CrossRef](#)]
417. Tony Conway, Stephen Willcocks. 2000. Relationship-based services marketing. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 13:1, 68-84. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
418. David Gilbert, Jenny Tsao. 2000. Exploring Chinese cultural influences and hospitality marketing relationships. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 12:1, 45-54. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
419. Jay Kandampully, Ria Duddy. 1999. Relationship marketing: a concept beyond the primary relationship. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 17:7, 315-323. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
420. Sherrie Wei, Hein Ruys, Thomas E. Muller. 1999. A gap analysis of perceptions of hotel attributes by marketing managers and older people in Australia. *Journal of Marketing Practice: Applied Marketing Science* 5:6/7/8, 200-212. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
421. Stowe Shoemaker, Robert C Lewis. 1999. Customer loyalty: the future of hospitality marketing. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 18, 345-370. [[CrossRef](#)]
422. Linda Brennan, Erica Brady. 1999. Relating to marketing? Why relationship marketing works for not-for-profit organisations. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing* 4:10.1002/nvsm.v4:4, 327-337. [[CrossRef](#)]
423. Lisa O'Malley, Lloyd C. Harris. 1999. The dynamics of the legal market: an interaction perspective. *European Journal of Marketing* 33:9/10, 874-895. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
424. Pierre Chenet, Caroline Tynan, Arthur Money. 1999. Service Performance Gap. *Journal of Business Research* 46, 133-147. [[CrossRef](#)]
425. Stella G. Kavali, Nikolaos X. Tzokas, Michael J. Saren. 1999. Relationship marketing as an ethical approach: philosophical and managerial considerations. *Management Decision* 37:7, 573-581. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
426. John May, Karin Newman. 1999. Marketing: A new organising principle for local government?. *Local Government Studies* 25, 16-33. [[CrossRef](#)]
427. Carl G. Thunman. 1999. Does Customer Size Influence Interfirm Relationships in Services? The Case of Swedish Banks and Corporate Customers. *Journal of Euromarketing* 7, 87-105. [[CrossRef](#)]
428. Sherriff T.K. Luk, Lorna Fullgrabe, Stephen C.Y. Li. 1999. Managing Direct Selling Activities in China. *Journal of Business Research* 45, 257-266. [[CrossRef](#)]
429. Bill Merrilees, Dale Miller. 1999. Direct Selling in the West and East. *Journal of Business Research* 45, 267-273. [[CrossRef](#)]
430. Michel R. M, Rod Stanley J. Paliwoda. 1999. Value Based Marketing for Transitional Economies. *Journal of Transnational Management Development* 4, 65-75. [[CrossRef](#)]
431. Rhian Silvestro. 1999. Positioning services along the volume-variety diagonal. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 19:4, 399-421. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
432. Polly Pick. 1999. Building customer-Supplier relationships in electronics. *Long Range Planning* 32, 263-272. [[CrossRef](#)]
433. Michael John Harker. 1999. Relationship marketing defined? An examination of current relationship marketing definitions. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 17:1, 13-20. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
434. Jay Kandampully, Ria Duddy. 1999. Competitive advantage through anticipation, innovation and relationships. *Management Decision* 37:1, 51-56. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
435. Steven A. Blackwell, Sheryl L. Szeinbach, Dewey D. Garner, James H. Barnes, Victoria D. Bush. 1999. Relationship Marketing: A Missing Component in Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Marketing & Management* 13, 1-25. [[CrossRef](#)]
436. John Day, Aftab Ahmed Dean, Paul L. Reynolds. 1998. Relationship marketing: Its key role in entrepreneurship. *Long Range Planning* 31, 828-837. [[CrossRef](#)]
437. Lloyd C. Harris, Phillipa Watkins. 1998. The impediments to developing a market orientation: an exploratory study of small UK hotels. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 10:6, 221-226. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
438. Cynthia W. Cann. 1998. Eight steps to building a business-to-business relationship. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 13:4/5, 393-405. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
439. Gregory Elliott, WILLIAM GLYNN. 1998. Segmenting Financial Services Markets for Customer Relationships: A Portfolio-Based Approach. *The Service Industries Journal* 18, 38-54. [[CrossRef](#)]

440. Deborah E. Rosen, Carol Surprenant. 1998. Evaluating relationships: are satisfaction and quality enough?. *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 9:2, 103-125. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
441. Stavros P. Kalafatis, Markos H. Tsogas. 1998. Business Segmentation Bases. *Journal of Segmentation in Marketing* 2, 35-63. [CrossRef]
442. Gregory Elliott, William J. Glytin. 1998. A Portfolio-Based Approach to the Segmentation of Markets for Financial Services. *Journal of Segmentation in Marketing* 2, 65-83. [CrossRef]
443. Fred Selnes. 1998. Antecedents and consequences of trust and satisfaction in buyer-seller relationships. *European Journal of Marketing* 32:3/4, 305-322. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
444. # ##. 1998. ### ##### ## ##. *Journal of Global Academy of Marketing Science* 1, 21-42. [CrossRef]
445. Charles L. Martin. 1998. Relationship marketing: a high-involvement product attribute approach. *Journal of Product & Brand Management* 7:1, 6-26. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
446. Lisa O'Malley. 1998. Can loyalty schemes really build loyalty?. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 16:1, 47-55. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
447. José Tomás Gómez Arias. 1998. A relationship marketing approach to guanxi. *European Journal of Marketing* 32:1/2, 145-156. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
448. James W. Peltier, John A. Schibrowsky, John Davis. 1998. Using attitudinal and descriptive database information to understand interactive buyer-seller relationships. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 12, 32-45. [CrossRef]
449. Ali Kara, Erdener Kaynak. 1997. Markets of a single customer: exploiting conceptual developments in market segmentation. *European Journal of Marketing* 31:11/12, 873-895. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
450. Steve Lovett, David Harrison, Meghna Virick. 1997. Managing the boundary spanner—Customer turnover connection. *Human Resource Management Review* 7, 405-424. [CrossRef]
451. Marc Logman. 1997. Marketing mix customization and customizability. *Business Horizons* 40, 39-44. [CrossRef]
452. Ross Brennan. 1997. Buyer/supplier partnering in British industry: The automotive and telecommunications sectors. *Journal of Marketing Management* 13, 759-775. [CrossRef]
453. Thomas W. Gruen. 1997. Relationship marketing: the route to marketing efficiency and effectiveness. *Business Horizons* 40, 32-38. [CrossRef]
454. Caroline Tynan. 1997. A review of the marriage analogy in relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing Management* 13, 695-703. [CrossRef]
455. Bo Edvardsson. 1997. Quality in new service development: Key concepts and a frame of reference. *International Journal of Production Economics* 52, 31-46. [CrossRef]
456. Steve Worthington, Anne Harbisher. 1997. Retailers and their relationships with their financial services suppliers: the case of debit card charges in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 4, 269-274. [CrossRef]
457. Glenn B. Voss, Zannie Giraud Voss. 1997. Implementing a relationship marketing program: a case study and managerial implications. *Journal of Services Marketing* 11:4, 278-298. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
458. Keith P. Fletcher, Linda D. Peters. 1997. Trust and direct marketing environments: A consumer perspective. *Journal of Marketing Management* 13, 523-539. [CrossRef]
459. Lisa O'Malley, Maurice Patterson, Martin Evans. 1997. Intimacy or intrusion? The privacy dilemma for relationship marketing in consumer markets. *Journal of Marketing Management* 13, 541-559. [CrossRef]
460. Adrian Payne, Pennie Frow. 1997. Relationship marketing: Key issues for the utilities sector. *Journal of Marketing Management* 13, 463-477. [CrossRef]
461. Deborah L. Cowles. 1997. The role of trust in customer relationships: asking the right questions. *Management Decision* 35:4, 273-282. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
462. Adrian Palmer. 1997. Defining relationship marketing: an international perspective. *Management Decision* 35:4, 319-321. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
463. Farooq Ali, Gareth Smith, Jim Saker. 1997. Developing buyer-supplier relationships in the automobile industry A study of Jaguar and Nippondenso. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* 3, 33-42. [CrossRef]
464. Neeli Bendapudi, Leonard L. Berry. 1997. Customers' motivations for maintaining relationships with service providers. *Journal of Retailing* 73, 15-37. [CrossRef]
465. Elizabeth Sheedy. 1997. Marketing derivatives: a question of trust. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 15:1, 22-31. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
466. Pekka Tuominen. 1997. Investor relations: a Nordic School approach. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal* 2:1, 46-55. [Abstract] [PDF]



467. Benjamin Schneider, Susan Schoenberger White, Michelle C. Paul Relationship marketing: An organizational perspective 1-22. [[CrossRef](#)]
468. Quentin R. Skrabec. 1997. A MODEL FOR CUSTOMER-DRIVEN MANUFACTURING. *Quality Engineering* 9, 711-720. [[CrossRef](#)]
469. Tony Conway. 1997. Strategy versus tactics in the not-for-profit sector: A role for relationship marketing?. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing* 2:10.1002/nvsm.v2.1, 42-51. [[CrossRef](#)]
470. Deborah L. Cowles. 1996. The Role of Trust in Customer Relationships. *Asia-Australia Marketing Journal* 4, 31-41. [[CrossRef](#)]
471. Bernard Cova, Florence Mazet, Robert Salle. 1996. Milieu as a pertinent unit of analysis in project marketing. *International Business Review* 5, 647-664. [[CrossRef](#)]
472. Adrian J Palmer. 1996. Integrating brand development and relationship marketing. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 3, 251-257. [[CrossRef](#)]
473. Adrian J. Palmer. 1996. Relationship marketing: a universal paradigm or management fad?. *The Learning Organization* 3:3, 18-25. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
474. Maria Holmlund, SÖRen Kock. 1996. Relationship Marketing: the Importance of Customer-Perceived Service Quality in Retail Banking. *The Service Industries Journal* 16, 287-304. [[CrossRef](#)]
475. Toivo S. Aijo. 1996. The theoretical and philosophical underpinnings of relationship marketing. *European Journal of Marketing* 30:2, 8-18. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
476. Annika Ravald, Christian Grönroos. 1996. The value concept and relationship marketing. *European Journal of Marketing* 30:2, 19-30. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
477. Annik Hogg, Stavros P. Kalafatis, Charles Blankson. 1996. Customer-supplier relationships in the UK trade of rice. *British Food Journal* 98:1, 29-35. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
478. Richard Christy, Gordon Oliver, Joe Penn. 1996. Relationship marketing in consumer markets. *Journal of Marketing Management* 12, 175-187. [[CrossRef](#)]
479. Elizabeth C Clemmer, Benjamin Schneider Fair service 109-126. [[CrossRef](#)]
480. Dennis J. Cahill. 1995. The managerial implications of the learning organization: a new tool for internal marketing. *Journal of Services Marketing* 9:4, 43-51. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
481. Kaj Storbacka, Tore Strandvik, Christian Grönroos. 1994. Managing Customer Relationships for Profit: The Dynamics of Relationship Quality. *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 5:5, 21-38. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
482. Dora Simões, Sandra Filipe Impact of 2.0 on Contemporary Marketing 367-388. [[CrossRef](#)]
483. Kanghyun Yoon, Jeanetta D. Sims Re-Conceptualizing Relational Integrated Marketing Communications from the Perspective of Social CRM 222-253. [[CrossRef](#)]
484. Manuel W. Mah Social Marketing in Healthcare 662-673. [[CrossRef](#)]
485. Brandi A. Watkins, Regina Lewis Building Marketing Relationships on Twitter: 1113-1127. [[CrossRef](#)]
486. Elsa Serpico, Barbara Aquilani, Alessandro Ruggieri, Cecilia Silvestri Customer Centric Marketing Strategies 315-357. [[CrossRef](#)]
487. Wilson Ozuem, Natalie Limb How is Internal Marketing Understood? 203-225. [[CrossRef](#)]
488. Myria Ioannou Customer Relationship Management (CRM) 150-170. [[CrossRef](#)]
489. Niksa Alfirevic, Nikola Draskovic, Jurica Pavicic Toward a Customer-Centric Strategy Implementation Model 476-497. [[CrossRef](#)]
490. Chandrasekaran Padmavathy The Role of Relational Mediators in the CRM-Performance Link: 932-947. [[CrossRef](#)]
491. Fiona McMahon, Aodheen O'Donnell Exploring the Potential of e-CRM in SME Marketing Practice 1012-1029. [[CrossRef](#)]
492. Kanghyun Yoon, Jeanetta D. Sims Integrating Social Media and Traditional CRM: 103-131. [[CrossRef](#)]
493. Masayuki Ueda How to Market OR/MS Decision Support 105-119. [[CrossRef](#)]
494. Raechel Johns Technology, Trust and B2B Relationships 79-96. [[CrossRef](#)]
495. Gisela Demo B2C Market: 85-95. [[CrossRef](#)]
496. Hospitality Innovations in the Emerging Experience Economy: 0-0. [[CrossRef](#)]
497. Masayuki Ueda How to Market OR/MS Decision Support 157-172. [[CrossRef](#)]
498. Diana Luck The Implications of the Development and Implementation of CRM for Knowledge Management 2393-2407. [[CrossRef](#)]
499. Fiona McMahon, Aodheen O'Donnell Exploring the Potential of e-CRM in SME Marketing Practice 149-166. [[CrossRef](#)]
500. Compilation of References 0-0. [[CrossRef](#)]

501. Marion Tenge Social Software Platforms as Motor of Relationship Marketing in Services 280-296. [[CrossRef](#)]
502. Kelley O'Reilly, Karen M. Lancendorfer Using the Power of Social Media Marketing to Build Consumer-Based Brand Equity 56-77. [[CrossRef](#)]
503. Sonia Bharwani Hospitality Innovations in the Emerging Experience Economy: 672-694. [[CrossRef](#)]
504. Jialin Hardwick, Lauriane Delarue, Barry Ardley, Nick Taylor Mobile Phone Purchases and the Consumer Decision-Making Process: 303-333. [[CrossRef](#)]
505. Marion Tenge Relationship Marketing on Public Social Software Platforms in the Airport Industry: 237-257. [[CrossRef](#)]
506. Barbara Aquilani, Elsa Serpico, Cecilia Silvestri, Alessandro Ruggieri Offline and Online Customer Satisfaction in B2C Markets: 311-364. [[CrossRef](#)]
507. Kanghyun Yoon, Jeanetta D. Sims Integrating Social Media and Traditional CRM: 624-651. [[CrossRef](#)]
508. Elsa Serpico, Barbara Aquilani, Alessandro Ruggieri, Cecilia Silvestri Customer Centric Marketing Strategies: 666-708. [[CrossRef](#)]
509. Gisela Demo B2C Market: 709-719. [[CrossRef](#)]
510. Dora Simões, Sandra Filipe Impact of 2.0 on Contemporary Marketing 767-788. [[CrossRef](#)]