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## PREFACE

Our primary aim in this edition has been to establish and translate the text of the two treatises ascribed to Menander, identifying so far as we can the places where interpretation is in doubt. We have added an Introduction dealing with the text and the general issues raised by the content of the treatises. Our commentary, which is designedly brief (as our apparatus is designedly selective), is meant to present reasons for our more important choices of reading, and also to offer some parallels and some guidance to readers new to rhetorical texts. In all this we have had in mind the fact that 'Menander' is of interest both to specialists in Greek rhetoric and to literary scholars concerned not only with the classical languages but with other European literatures. We have therefore tried to present translations, indexes, and analyses in such a way that they can be used without much reference to the Greek.

Our debts to friends are large, but not easy to define. We should like especially to acknowledge the help of Dr D. C. Innes and of Prof. R. Kassel, and the constant attentive care and acumen of the press reader.
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## INTRODUCTION

## EPIDEICTIC PRACTICE AND THEORY

1

We are concerned here with two treatises, both of which are traditionally ascribed to 'Menander'. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ This means the 'sophist'i.e. orator and teacher of rhetoric-Menander of Laodicea-onLycus, a flourishing city of south-west Asia Minor. ${ }^{2}$ The brief life of this person preserved in the Byzantine encyclopedia Suda3 makes no mention of these particular books; but there is no doubt that he was known in Byzantine times as the best authority on the topics with which they deal. 4 But there is indeed difficulty about the attribution. We shall see that the two treatises are not parts of a single whole, and that there are strong reasons for assigning them to different authors. We shall see also that the dating of both is to some extent uncertain. ${ }^{5}$ These doubts, however, need not seriously affect our appreciation of the historical circumstances in which they were written. Both belong to the late third or early fourth century AD. This was of course an age of great political and social upheavals; but it is wrong to imagine that the disturbances, however devastating locally, altogether prevented the continuance, in many cities of the Hellenized world, of the tradition of civic life that had bloomed under the Antonines and was to revive in the fourth and fifth centuries. In this life, the rhetorical celebration of public and private events played a very great part. Menander met a need; he formulates much of the practice of the great age of the Second Sophistic, and his precepts find many an illustration in the oratory, both pagan and Christian, of the fourth and fifth centuries. Victor's letter to Theognostus, from fifth- or sixthcentury Egypt, ${ }^{6}$ is testimony to this: he asks for the 'encomia'
${ }^{1}$ See below, p. xxxvi, and commentary on 331 .
${ }^{2}$ Now Eski Hisar; on the borders of ancient Caria and Lycia. 'Menandros' is a common Greek name (all the commoner because of the fame of the classical comic poet), but it is worth noting that it occurs at Laodicea in the imperial period: J. des Gagniers, Laodicée du Lycos: le Nymphée (1969), 208, 302, 327.
${ }^{3}$ Below, p. xxxiv.
4 Below, p. xxxvi.
${ }^{5}$ Below, p. xxxix.
${ }^{6}$ Below, p. xxxiv.
and 'methods' to be sent to him 'urgently', and we imagine himlike many local worthies all over the Greek world-waiting with anxiety, daunted by some impending oratorical duty.
It is unfortunately not clear which of the two extant treatisesif either-Victor wanted. The first would have given him some general theory of 'epideictic' oratory, in the strict sense of the oratory of praise and blame; some hints about various kinds of 'hymns' to the gods; and much detailed advice on encomia of cities and countries. In its original form, it probably gave much more: precepts for encomia of individuals, and of inanimate or even abstract objects. ${ }^{7}$ The second treatise might have been of much more practical use, for it consists of detailed rules for the composition of speeches for many different occasions, both public and private. If Victor had been appointed to a delegation to a governor or emperor, if he had had to receive such a personage in his own town, if he had had to speak at a wedding or deliver a laudation at a funeral, he would have found his work mapped out for him in a helpful and interesting way. No doubt there were other books he could have used instead. In fact, we possess one, a collection of prescriptions of very much the same kind, addressed to a certain Echecrates, and wrongly attributed to the Augustan critic Dionysius of Halicarnassus. It seems a necessary complement to Menander for the modern reader; and we offer a translation of it in an appendix.

All these handbooks are replete with commonplace and convention, pedantry and priggishness. None the less they have a considerable value for readers of Greek and Latin literature. This is largely because these public orators of the late empire sought to demonstrate in all their works their grasp of classical literature, the canonized biblia $^{8}$ which formed the staple of education. They and their teachers therefore hunted assiduously in the classics. If they needed a lofty, religious tone for a great ceremonial, Plato was the obvious model. For a marriage, one turned first to Sappho. Homer, traditionally the first inventor of rhetoric, ${ }^{9}$ offered motifs ( $\dot{\alpha} \phi o \rho \mu \alpha i$ ) for all sorts of occasions. Most valuable of all was the ornamental and en-

[^0]comiastic oratory of the fourth century BC , the age when prose first began to rival poetry in some of poetry's traditional functions. Hence to understand these treatises, and see what the writers made of, or added to, their inheritance, it is necessary to go back to the early development of epideictic oratory. ${ }^{10}$

There were several strands in this development. By the late fifth century, the great festivals ( $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \gamma \dot{\prime} \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ ) attracted orators as well as poets. Gorgias' Olympic Speech ${ }^{11}$ was the model of later speeches, some delivered on real occasions, others published as pamphlets. It is still echoed, it would seem, in the 'exhortation to athletes' outlined by pseudo-Dionysius. ${ }^{12}$ Secondly, the Athenian custom of praising the war-dead in a prose oration produced a combination of themes. The orator on this occasion had both to give an encomium of the heroes' deeds, and to lament their fall. Thucydides' version of Pericles' epitaphios ${ }^{13}$ fulfilled the demands with exemplary brilliance. Later rhetors studied in particular the fourth-century examples: Plato's Menexenus, the parodic qualities of which were forgotten or not understood, the epitaphios of Hyperides, and those attributed to Lysias and Demosthenes. ${ }^{14}$ The funeral speech, with its dazzling history, was always something very special. We can see from Menander's chapter on the epitaphios how the Thucydidean model, however remote and archaic its purpose, remained an inescapable basis for any kind of memorial address. And thirdly: the sophists and philosophers of this period concerned themselves with the question of the nature and purpose of praise and blame. ${ }^{15}$ It became a popular exercise to see how one might 'make an encomium' of quite unlikely objects and persons. Such encomia then became the vehicle of literary controversies, often obscure to the modern reader. Thus Polycrates was famous for his paradoxical praise of the Egyptian tyrant Busiris, of Clytemnestra, of mice, and of salt. Alcidamas was known for his Nais-a hetaera

[^1]-and for his Death. ${ }^{16}$ Isocrates in Helen attacks an unknown predecessor-perhaps Gorgias himself-who 'claimed to write an encomium' of Helen, 'but in fact wrote a defence'. ${ }^{17}$ In Busiris, he is clearly competing with Polycrates. Finally, Plato's parodic speeches, especially the encomia of Eros in the Symposium, use the form to make both literary and, on occasion, philosophical points.
Indeed, the speech of Agathon in Symposium 194 E-197 E (written some time after 385 BC ) shows, more clearly than any other text, the extent to which this school of sophistic rhetoric had systematized the encomium form. The caricature has many points. It ridicules the self-conscious methodology of the orator who declares 'First I will explain how I must speak, secondly I will speak'; but at the same time it makes what is really a logical point, by asserting that the only right method of praise is to say what the subject is like, and of what sort of things he is the cause. So we have first the nature of Eros: he is кád $\lambda \iota \sigma \tau o s$ and ápıctos-'most beautiful', because of his youth, tenderness, and good looks; 'best', because he possesses in the highest degree the four cardinal virtues of justice, temperance, courage, and wisdom. The development of this occupies the main part of the speech; the account of the god's benefits to mankind ( 197 c ff.) is relatively short, because they consist in conveying the qualities which he himself possesses. Agathon represents himself as redressing a balance here, because previous encomiasts have dwelt exclusively on what men receive from the gods, not on the gods' own nature. This is a theological point, central to Plato's thinking; it is a reminder that serious matter may be conveyed in parodic form. It is worth noting both a similarity and a difference between this exercise and the later forms of hymn and encomium, as we see them in Menander. The similarity, a striking one, is the scheme of the Four Virtues. This is evidently part of a traditional way of praising great men: Aeschylus' Amphiaraus (Septem 6io) was 'temperate, just, brave, and pious'; Demosthenes (De corona 215) speaks of the three 'noblest encomia' of courage, justice, and temperance. The difference is that in Plato the scheme is used to analyse the nature of Eros; in Menander, ${ }^{88}$ and in some other later

[^2]rhetors, it gives a classification of actions. This is presumably its traditional use; it is Plato who, for his own purpose, has redeployed it.
It is perhaps curious that all this activity in fantasy and mythology should have preceded any serious attempt to write prose encomia of contemporary princes or other great persons. But it seems to have been so. It was not till shortly after 374 bC that Isocrates took a decisive step, in writing an encomium on the recently deceased ruler of Cypriot Salamis, Evagoras, for the pleasure and instruction of the ruler's son, Nicocles. Only speech, he claimed, could immortalize Evagoras' aretē; and a prose encomium, though a novelty, was as effective as poetry. It was only malice ( $\phi \theta^{\prime}$ óvos) ${ }^{19}$ that had hitherto prevented such celebration of contemporaries. Isocrates proceeds to give an account of Evagoras which owes much to the epitaphios tradition, something also to the epinicia of Pindar and Bacchylides. There is no straight chronological narrative, though the qualities shown by Evagoras as a boy-beauty, strength, and chastity-are demonstrated before those which appeared more appropriately in the grown man, namely courage, wisdom, and justice. There is much in all this which we find echoed in the prescriptions Menander gives for the basilikos logos, ${ }^{20}$ the formal encomium of an emperor; and it is obvious that Evagoras was a much studied model. So was a rather later fourth-century classic, Xenophon's Agesilaus, written about $357 .{ }^{21}$ Here we have a different pattern: a narrative of actions comes first, enumeration of virtues later, with illustrative examples. Though this is formally different both from Evagoras and from the stereotyped patterns of encomium which were established in later elementary teaching, ${ }^{22}$ there are again many clear echoes in Menander, especially echoes of Xenophon's preface, ${ }^{23}$ so that it is obvious that Agesilaus too was an influential classical prototype even at this late date. This accords with the fact that Xenophon was a particularly important Attic classic in Roman times.

[^3]
## III

In 362, when Xenophon's own son Gryllus died in battle, a number of his contemporaries contributed commemorative pieces. ${ }^{24}$ But of these we know little. It is clear, however, that, even in the free poleis of the fourth century, the taste for personal encomium was growing. This taste was naturally much strengthened by the increasing monarchical tendencies of political life. When Mausolus, the ruler of Caria, died in 353 bc, Theopompus, Theodectes, and Naucrates, all writers of the school of Isocrates, competed with funeral speeches in his honour. ${ }^{25}$ Theopompus too wrote on Philip and Alexander. ${ }^{26}$ In the Hellenistic period, activity became more intense. We know of a memorial speech by one Xenocrates on Arsinoe (probably Arsinoe II, who died in 270 BC). ${ }^{27}$ Hegesias of Magnesia seems to have composed encomia of cities ${ }^{28}$-a genre attempted earlier by Gorgias, and elaborately defined in Menanderwhile Matris of Thebes ${ }^{29}$ wrote an encomium of Heracles, and 'hymns' to gods. These two writers were, as it happens, particularly despised by the classical revival which began in the second half of the first century bc; and it is no doubt for this reason, not because they were insignificant in the development of these genres, that our 'Treatise I' makes no mention of either in the course of its treatment of the subjects in which they had been innovators. Hermesianax of Colophon, ${ }^{30}$ better known as a poet, is said to have 'made an encomium' of Athena in particularly 'frigid' terms: 'born out of the head of Zeus, she naturally holds the chief of happiness.' At the Boeotian city of Oropus, ${ }^{31}$ and no doubt in many other places, prose epideictic speeches were delivered for prizes at festivals throughout the Hellenistic period: we hear of a sophist who wins the prize in the fourth century, an $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota \gamma \rho \alpha^{\prime} \phi o s$ in the second. Speeches of welcome, of thanks, or of pleading, addressed to Roman or other rulers,

[^4]were commonplace throughout the Greek world. ${ }^{32}$ That is to say, the public events envisaged in 'Treatise II'-arrivals and departures of governors, embassies, presentations of crowns, official invitations ${ }^{33}$-occasioned essentially the same oratorical responses in the days when Roman republican governors and magistrates controlled the Greek world as in Menander's own time. The orator whom the city appointed-the 'voice of the city' as Menander puts it ${ }^{34}$-was thus already an important person. Hence the tendency for men of substance and political influence to appear in this role, and to use a common interest in rhetorical technique as a bond of connection between themselves and the culture-hungry Roman aristocracy. Men like Hybreas of Mylasa or Potamon of Mytilene ${ }^{35}$ are examples of a class, already large in the last years of the Republic, of men who combined the practice of ceremonial oratory with political action.

Broadly speaking, the subjects of such oratory in the Hellenistic period seem to have been those connected with public events, if we exclude for the moment the hymns and sophistic encomia of people like Matris and Hegesias. There is no evidence, at this date, for the kinds of subjects which occupy those chapters of our Treatise II which are concerned with private weddings, funerals, arrivals, or departures. ${ }^{36}$ Classical and Hellenistic funeral speeches concern the war-dead or very important individuals, kings, or princes, not, it would seem, ordinary people. In the literature of the first two centuries of the empire, on the other hand, the range is less limited. Of course, our evidence is greater, and the contrast we observe may therefore be illusory. We have, in particular, the voluminous remains of Dio Chrysostom and Lucian; ${ }^{37}$ Plutarch too has something to contribute. ${ }^{38}$

[^5]With these in view, if we ask ourselves in what ways the range of epideictic writing was extended in this period, the answer is to be found in two areas: what Menander calls laliai, that is to say informal talks, where spontaneity and variety are admired qualities; and highly emotional funeral or disaster speeches, like Dio Chrysostom's Melankomas, or the monody of Aristides on the Smyrna earthquake. ${ }^{39}$ These too-at least the personal monödiai-are envisaged by Menander. But it remains true that private wedding speeches, and the kinds of speeches of valediction and greeting that arise not out of public occasions but out of the private circumstances of scholastic life, are not attested in actual literature till the period after Menander. The references in our commentary to writers of the fourth century and later-Himerius, Choricius of Gaza-are mostly there because of the lack of earlier material. It may of course be accidental that this is so. It is prudent to take warning from the undoubtedly accidental fact that in the field of formal, public panegyric also we have to rely heavily for parallel material on texts later than Menander himself: on Julian, Themistius, Libanius, and Procopius of Gaza. We should note also, in evaluating Menander's place in this whole development, that Latin panegyrici, beginning with Pliny, often adopt different procedures from those we find regularly in Menander and in pseudo-Dionysius. ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~A}$ safe conclusion is that the writers we are concerned with represent a systematization of practice, together with a certain degree of innovation, which proved very influential in the widespread revival of literary skills which accompanied the revival of prosperity and stability in the fourth century.

## IV

It is important also to try to see the characteristics of these treatises in the light of the history of rhetorical theory and teaching. Even in this very banal and conventionalized branch of litera-

[^6]ture, the relation between teaching and practice is less close than is sometimes thought, and the history of the two is therefore best kept apart. Menander's partial 'fair copies' are of course meant as hints, not as models to be copied out, though doubtless the indifferent student will have treated them as just that. And if we look at any respectable epideictic piecesay the Panathenaicus or a monody or hymns of Aristidesit is clear that the writer's sophistication and sensitivity to the particular circumstances (кaıрós) is of far greater importance in the successful execution of the commission than the application of rules and formulas. Every ancient rhetor knew this, even if some of his pupils never grasped it. Moreover, the close, if often hostile, connection between rhetorical and philosophical education ${ }^{41}$ had led, since early times, to attempts to make rhetoric something like a scientific system; and this could not be done without further widening the gap between what was taught and what was practically useful. We have here to consider two distinct kinds of rhetorical textbooks: the general surveys, from Aristotle to Quintilian, in which epideictic is discussed in the context of the whole subject; and the practical books of preliminary exercises (progymnasmata) in which encomium is as regularly treated. We begin with the general surveys. Two survive from the fourth century bc, that is to say from a period very close to the beginnings of epideictic oratory, when, as we saw, Plato, Isocrates, and the sophists concerned themselves with the purposes and rationale of praise and blame, not just with effective practice. The more important is Aristotle's. In Rhetoric 1. 3 ( $1358^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{ff}$.), Aristotle distinguishes the three classes ( $\epsilon i \delta \eta)$ of rhetoric ${ }^{42}$ on the basis of 'hearers' ( $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \alpha \tau \alpha i$ ), rather than on that of types of speaker or subject-matter. The 'end' ( $\tau$ é $\lambda o s$ ) is determined by the 'hearer'. Now 'hearers' must be either judges, concerned with past facts or future prospects, or spectators ( $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o i$ ); the judges are the audience of forensic and deliberative oratory, the 'spectators' of epideictic. Aristotle does not pursue the implications of the word $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o i$ ', or spell out the fact that the 'spectator' at a festival or ceremony is there with no interest of his own except curiosity and the search for pleasure. He does, however, add two other

[^7]ways in which epideictic may be contrasted with the other two: it deals with the present, not primarily with the past and the future, though these may enter into it incidentally; ${ }^{43}$ and it deals with the fair and noble ( $\tau \dot{o}$ ка入óv), not with expediency or justice. 'We praise Achilles for going to help his friend Patroclus, knowing that he would have to die, though it was open to him to live.' Further discussion follows in 1.9 ( $1366^{\text {a }}{ }_{2} 3^{-}$ ${ }^{\left.1368^{2} 37\right) . ~ H e r e ~ A r i s t o t l e ~ r e c o g n i z e s ~ t h e ~ p r a c t i c e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ a g e ~ i n ~}$ praising, 'both seriously and unseriously', 'not only a human being or a god, but inanimate things and any animal whatsoever'. ${ }^{44}$ The subject of praise, once again, is $\tau \grave{\text { к }}$ ка入óv; and the pre-eminent example of this is aretē, the power to provide and protect good things, and to confer great benefits. There follows a brief enumeration of virtues, and a discussion ( $1366^{\mathrm{b}} 24 \mathrm{ff}$.) of their causes and consequences, especially the actions ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma a$ ) which demonstrate their presence. All this is important and influential in later theory. ${ }^{45}$ Particularly significant is the identification, implied throughout Aristotle's discussion, of the oratory of 'praise and blame' with everything that is not actual forensic or deliberative speech. Though the theoretical grounds for this are clear and logical, it looks as if Aristotle was influenced by the fact that 'praise and blame' were, in actual practice, the main subject of sophistic epideixeis. But of course there is no reason why all 'non-practical' oratory should fit this definition; and in fact feigned advice and pleading were common enough from the time of Gorgias onwards. It is from this confusing situation that one of the most obvious features of Menander must come: while excluding mimic deliberative speeches (331) and using the techniques of encomium consistently throughout the treatises, our author is none the less legislating in effect for a wider range of ornamental speech, such as his contemporaries practised: the lalia chapter (Treatise II, 388 ff .) in effect acknowledges this.
The third book of the Rhetoric, which is largely devoted to style, supplements this account by some further observations. ${ }^{46}$ Since the object of 'epideictic' is to be read, not merely heard-

[^8]an interesting distinction, and one widely accepted later ${ }^{47}$ it should have the precision of style which writing demands. In particular, we are told, its prooemia can be long and remote from the subject, like those of dithyramb, rather than informative and to the point like those of epic and tragedy. Aristotle thus draws a parallel between the kinds of poetry which have plots and the kinds of oratory which relate events or give advice, and again between a discursive kind of lyric poetry and the oratory of praise and blame.

The second fourth-century discussion of these problems is that contained in the Rhetorica ad Alexandrum..$^{88}$ Whether or not this book is older than Aristotle's Rhetoric, it represents a different type of theory. We should therefore reject the passages in it (especially $142 \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{b}} 6 \mathrm{ff}$.) where references to the $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ f 'vos have been inserted to make the doctrine accord with Aristotle's;49 there remain two important passages which are genuine. In one (c. $3=1425^{\mathrm{b}} 13 \mathrm{ff}$.), we are told that encomium involves the exaggeration of intentions, actions, and words and the attribution to the subject of creditable features of this kind which do not really belong to him. The methods of 'exaggeration' (auxēsis) are then discussed. In the other (c. 35), encomium and its opposite (psogos) are considered in more detail. The prologue must contain, in addition to the topics one would expect in deliberative speeches, a statement of the 'wonderful' and 'outstanding' achievements of the subject ( $1440^{b} 10$ ). After the prologue, the speaker should deal with the good qualities of the subject, both those which count as aretewisdom, justice, courage, and accomplishments which convey prestige ${ }^{50}$-and those which fall outside this range-strength, beauty, wealth, good birth-and are strictly speaking subjects not for praise but for congratulation. This done, the speaker proceeds to the family and ancestry of his subject, and then to his achievements as a boy or young man; finally come the actions of the adult, grouped by the cardinal virtues of justice,

[^9]wisdom, and courage. Much more than Aristotle, this unoriginal but revealing writer makes us think back to the practices of the sophists and forward to the rules of the later rhetors, whom we shall shortly have to consider.

Variations on the Aristotelian pattern, and on that represented by the Rhetorica ad Alexandrum, are to be found in the Latin handbooks we possess from the age of Cicero. These clearly reproduce Hellenistic theory. Ad Herennium ${ }^{51}$ is indeed obscure in many details. Epideictic (genus demonstrativum) is, as in Aristotle, confined to 'praise and blame' and then further narrowed to the praise or blame of definite individuals. ${ }^{22}$ This reflects the almost exclusively forensic concerns of the book, which it shares with most Hellenistic rhetoric. ${ }^{53}$ We find however, naturally enough, that the bases of praise are fundamental notions of ethics which derive from the early sophists and philosophers: the division of 'goods' into those of mind, body, and circumstances; the notion that dominance of the others by the mind is of special significance; and the classification of good qualities of character and actions under the four cardinal virtues of wisdom, justice, courage, and temperance. ${ }^{54}$

Cicero himself was of course well acquainted with this branch of rhetoric. We see him practising it in De imperio Cn. Pompeii and in Pro Marcello, or again in the invective passages of In Pisonem and the Philippics. He discusses it not only in the youthful De inventione (1. 7), but in De oratore (2. 340 ff .) and in Partitiones oratoriae (70-82). In De oratore, Cicero draws attention to the Roman dimension, as one might say, of the laudatio funebris, pointing out that this native custom was not conducive to rhetorical display. Extant remains support this. The epitaphios, with its consolatory and threnodic elements, was essentially

[^10]a Greek thing, which, if it had a place in Roman life, existed side by side with the native laudatio. Cicero's advice, as one might expect, has once again a philosophical tinge : only virtue really merits praise, though external goods can be used as supporting themes. Res gestae should be grouped under the headings of the four virtues. Partitiones oratoriae is more technical. 55 Laus and vituperatio involve narrative and amplification, but no argumentation, since they raise no doubtful questions, but deal solely with what is acknowledged and agreed (i.e. o $\mu \circ \lambda o \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ $\alpha^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$ каì кака́). Since the object is the hearer's pleasure, the appropriate style will be that which gives most mere suavitas, and this involves generous use of archaic, metaphorical, or coined words, and of figures of sentence-structure. The content too must be such as to move wonder, and to show the intervention of god or fate in the subject's career. ${ }^{56}$ The triple classification of 'goods' is again in evidence; one should begin with externa, i.e. origin and fortune, and proceed to facta, which may be disposed either chronologically (or in reverse chronological order) or else under virtues and vices. ${ }^{57}$ The last grouping is evidently the one normally to be preferred (§82); the actual course of the subject's life-education, character, deeds, and words-is to be made clear in toto quasi contextu orationis, presumably by the organization of the examples adduced under each heading of virtue or vice. ${ }^{58}$

## VI

Quintilian's chapter (3.7) 'de laude ac vituperatione' is largely dependent on Cicero: he intends no doubt to show that the classic authority of Cicero is to be preferred to much later innovation. But his modifications are interesting. For the first time, we find the subject extended to include praise of gods and heroes, as in our Treatise I. Laudes Capitolini Iovis (3.7.4) are perpetua sacri certaminis materia, the essential theme for orators

[^11]competing in the most prestige-conferring festival of Quintilian's day. Hence an actual scheme for 'praise of a god': general praise of the maiestas of divinity is followed by the special vis ( $\delta v_{v a \mu}$ ) of the particular god concerned, then his inventa, acta, parentage, age, and descendants. This has obvious connections not only with early sophistic practice, but with our Treatise I. Similarly, it is Quintilian, among extant sources, who first prescribes for praise of cities, buildings, and places, also a major topic in Treatise I. It is worth quoting his discussion (3. 7. 26 ff.) :

Cities are praised in a similar way to men. The founder takes the place of the parent, and age lends authority, for example to those who are said to be 'sprung from the earth'; virtues and vices in actions are the same as they are in individuals. What is special to this subject derives from the position and fortification of the site. Citizens do honour to cities as children to parents. Praise is given also to buildings; and herein honour, utility, beauty, and originator are considered (honour as in temples, utility as in walls, beauty and originator in both). There is praise too for countries, like the encomium of Sicily in Cicero. Hence we examine beauty and utilitybeauty in places by the sea, level, or pleasant; utility in places that are healthy or fertile.

## VII

The last general account of encomium which we have briefly to consider is a Greek one : that of 'Alexander, son of Numenius', which is preserved in some of our Menander manuscripts, accidentally combined with the main text. ${ }^{59}$ This comprises three chapters. The first gives a general division of the three kinds of rhetorical subjects-encomium, advice, and court-caseand represents them as distinguished by (i) time (i.e. whether they deal with present, future, or past), (ii) subject (also present, future, or past), (iii) purpose (praise and blame; suasion and dissuasion; defence and accusation), (iv) audience (mere audience, agents, or judges). This is clearly traditional. The

[^12]second chapter surveys opinions on the difference between €̈ $\ddagger a \iota v o s(p r a i s e)$ and 'encomium', and concludes in favour of the view that $\stackrel{\xi}{\epsilon} \pi a v o s$ is sincere and 'encomium' not; thus we have Polycrates' 'encomia' of pots and pebbles, but we do not sincerely 'praise' such things. Alexander does however also add other definitions: "̈Talvos 'expresses greatness of virtue', encomium 'noble deeds'; we 'praise' gods, but 'make encomia' of men. There is nothing original here either. ${ }^{60}$ The third chapter takes up a point we have seen in Quintilian and shall see in Menander: rules for praising a god. Topics include his origin and age, his identity with others (Apollo and the sun are the same, ${ }^{61}$ the universality of his worship, his power, his favourite haunts, skills, inventions, sacred plants or trees, the places he visits, and the other gods with whom he is associated. The whole is prefaced (4. 16-26 Sp.) by a suggested 'philosophical' prologue, indicating that even Plato (in Timaeus) was willing to accept the view that gods 'came from the first god' and so are not all timeless and without history: both this piece of philosophy and the choice of topics bring Alexander near in attitude both to Treatise I and to the 'Sminthiakos' chapter of Treatise II.

## VIII

None of these general treatments of 'encomium' offers in its entirety the basic pattern which we see constantly in the human encomia in Menander : the more or less set sequence of origin, family, birth, upbringing, accomplishments, and actions according to virtues. ${ }^{62}$ Nearest to this is the scheme of Rhetorica ad Alexandrum; ${ }^{63}$ and there is no doubt that theorists like Cicero and Quintilian were aware of this pattern as a possible one to use. Variations on it are also to be found in the elementary treatises called progymnasmata, of which we possess a number of Greek and Latin examples dating from imperial times. ${ }^{64}$ To these we must now turn. The origins of this type of textbook, in which exercises are graded in order of difficulty, appear to be

[^13]Hellenistic; 65 the list naturally varies from author to author, and we must remember that we possess only a small fraction of what must have been a vast pedagogic literature. Standard items are: fable, anecdote, narrative, refutation and confirmation, commonplace, encomium and invective, comparison, description, imaginary speech in character, general thesis. ${ }^{66}$ Let us look briefly at four extant texts.
(i) The earliest is by Aelius Theon, a famous teacher, perhaps a contemporary of Quintilian. ${ }^{67}$ Theon admits encomium, despite its comparative irrelevance to forensic needs, as a recognized and usual exercise. Its models are to be sought in the classical epitaphioi, in Xenophon, and in Theopompus' encomia of Philip and Alexander. It is defined as 'expression of the greatness of virtuous actions and other good things in a defined individual'-but this may be a dead man or a god. The derivation from $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu o s$ ('revel') is then given-not the rival derivation from $\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ 'village'68-and the basic tripartite schemeexternals, body, mind-is clearly stated. Theon proceeds then to give a list of further topics on which praise may be based: posthumous admiration, unbiased by flattery or envy; disinterested or altruistic actions; success depending on effort rather than on fortune; being first in the field; receiving the praises of notable men; conjecture about achievements which death cut short; comparisons with others; even play on names ${ }^{69}$ -Demosthenes is 'the strength of the people' ( $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\mu} v$ o $\theta$ '́vos). Many of these topics can be found also in earlier texts; but the emphasis on comparison is an interesting addition. The list is followed by instruction for the use of the ideas which have been thus gathered. Birth (eugeneia) comes first; then an exposition of other advantages of circumstance or body, showing how the subject used his strengths well, or compensated for his deficiencies. 'Simon the shoemaker and Leontion the prostitute were philosophers: virtue shines brightest in misfortune.' After this, the

[^14]encomiast proceeds to the acts ( $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \xi \in \epsilon s$ ) of his subject-and so arranges them as to demonstrate his possession of the cardinal virtues.
(ii) A further variation of this teaching is to be seen in 'Hermogenes'. ${ }^{00}$ A brief summary may be useful.
14. 16-15. 2 Encomium-the exposition of the good qualities of a general or individual subject-may be extended to 'things' like justice, animals, plants, mountains, or rivers.
15.3-5 It is so called because they used to sing hymns to gods in $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$ (village streets).

It differs from ${ }^{\prime} \pi \alpha \alpha \nu 0 s$ ('praise') by being necessarily longer, and from коьо̀̀s то́тоя ('commonplace', the subject of the previous exercise [in. 21 ff .]) by being disinterested-its object is simply to bear witness to virtue, not to win favour.
15. 18-17. I Its topics are: race and city, family, events at birth (dreams, portents), upbringing, education, bodily and mental qualities; accomplishments ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), actions, external blessings, length of life and manner of death, posthumous fame, and fame of children.
17. 2-4 Comparisons ( $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho^{\prime} \sigma \in \iota$ ) are a very important ingredient.
17. 5-12 With animals, the relevant topics are: place of birth, connection with gods, food, qualities of mind and body, activities, usefulness, and length of life; again, comparisons are desirable.
17. I3-20 'Things'-e.g. hunting-are praised 'from their inventors' and 'from their users'.
17. 20-2 Encomia of gods-which are called 'hymns'can be formed on the same principles.
17. 23-18. 7 Plants are praised on similar grounds: place, god to whom they are sacred, nurture, growth,

70 Authorship uncertain, but not Hermogenes himself (Rabe, Praef. pp. iv-vi). The book may therefore not be earlier than our two treatises, though it certainly antedates the progymnasmata of Aphthonius. Our references are to the page and line of Rabe's edition (Teubner).
beauty, physical appearance, usefulness, with comparisons at all points.
18. 8-14 Cities: origin, nurture ('they were fed by the gods'), culture, character, lay-out, accomplishments, actions.
(iii) Aphthonius, not dissimilar in doctrine, is of the late fourth or early fifth century :71
You will compose a preface related to the subject in hand. Next you will put origin ( $\gamma^{\prime}$ 'vos) divided into nation, city, ancestors, and parents; then upbringing, divided into accomplishments, skill, laws. Next you will introduce the most important chapter in encomia, viz. actions, divided into mind, body, and fortune. Under mind come, e.g., courage, wisdom; under body, e.g., beauty, speed, strength; under fortune, e.g., power, wealthy friends. After this, you should add a comparison, introducing a greater example to set beside the subject of your encomium. Finally, an epilogue, with features appropriate to a prayer.
Again, this is obviously close to the Menandrean system, but not quite the same. The heading of 'action' is arranged not simply by the cardinal virtues, but by a scheme based on the 'three kinds of good things', in which 'qualities of mind' alone admit the classification by virtue.
(iv) It is thus only in Nicolaus, ${ }^{72}$ who is clearly later than Menander, ${ }^{73}$ that the scheme we are seeking can be paralleled precisely. Nicolaus (50. io ff. Felten) explicitly rejects as oldfashioned the tripartite division of good things, in favour of what he calls the 'prevailing' ( $\kappa \rho a \tau о$ ó $ø$ ) division into prooemium, origin, birth, education, accomplishments, and actions, these last divided according to the virtues, and not narrated chronologically.
No doubt the differences between these writers are trivial and pedantic; but each of them has his own way of teaching 'encomium', and expounds it with confidence. It is interesting to see that Menander does not fit precisely into any plan attested

[^15]before his date, though the elements in his scheme can almost all be traced back to the age of Aristotle or earlier.

## IX

We may now briefly review the two Menandrean treatises in the light of this long tradition of epideictic practice and theory.

It is clear in the first place that the latter part of Treatise I, on encomia of cities and countries, is an expansion of very traditional material. The principle of applying the formula 'origin-accomplishments-actions' to a city is implicit in Quintilian: 'pro parente est conditor . . . virtutes ac vitia circa res gestas eadem quae in singulis . . . cives illis ut hominibus liberi sunt decori. ${ }^{74}$ On the other hand, classical prose models of laudes urbium ${ }^{75}$ are hard to find, and the chief exemplification of the topics prescribed by Menander is to be sought in more recent masterpieces, notably Aristides' Panathenaicus. The general division of epideictic also, as set out at the beginning of the treatise, shows no surprising features; we observe that the author keeps strictly to the Aristotelian identification of epideictic with encomium, and makes no distinction between encomium and epainos. There are, however, two things in Treatise I which, on our evidence, do not belong to the rhetorical tradition, strictly so called, at all. The first of these may be illusory: it is the classification of 'hymns' according to the gods to whom they are addressed in 331. 20-332. 7. This passage is omitted in one branch of the tradition, and is quite distinct from the classification that the author then proceeds to follow. Almost certainly it should be deleted. It is no doubt derived from the same sort of scholarship which supplies the list of kinds of lyrics in Proclus' Chrestomathy; ${ }^{76}$ in other words it is the product of Hellenistic grammatike, not of the normal interests of the rhetorical school. Whether this distinction is very significant in historical terms may well be doubted. These two branches of scholarship and education could not fail to interact almost continuously: the doctrines of figures and of mimésis (in the sense of the imitation of ancient classics) are other areas where one cannot tell the grammaticus and the rhetor apart. But for what it is worth, the interpolated passage which

[^16]is before us represents an intrusion of material alien to the whole tradition of the teaching of epideictic rhetoric. More important, because more closely connected with the general argument, is the scheme of eight types of hymn which actually forms the basis of the main discussion (333-44). This again, on the author's own showing ( 338.2 ff .), is not wholly original; it is in fact a conflation of (a) two opposing pairs-hymns summoning and saying farewell, praying for good and seeking to avert evilwith (b) a group of four types based on the degree of reality involved: science, myth, genealogy, and fiction. This suggests other known distinctions between truth and fiction of various kinds, 77 but the whole is doubtless an ad hoc construction, designed to cover the sorts of subject which Menander believeson the evidence of classical literature and later imitations of itto be appropriate in prose works on divine themes. The orator might well be expected to take part in a ceremonial invocation or valediction, a prayer or an apotropaic rite; or he might have to treat the powers of a god either philosophically, drawing on the grand cosmic themes, or in terms of traditional myth; or finally he might, like Plato in the Symposium or Fronto in his fable on Sleep, ${ }^{78}$ invent a moral allegory with no base in tradition. This is perhaps the most mysterious part of these two treatises; but it can be viewed as an attempt to provide a theoretical basis for a variety of themes popular in the Second Sophistic, and especially influenced by Plato. And we have seen at least a partial parallel in Alexander (above, § VII).
Treatise II and the Dionysian Ars which so closely resembles it present a somewhat different picture. Here the basis of almost all the individual chapters ${ }^{79}$ is, as we have seen, a form of encomium scheme approximately like that of Theon, 'Hermogenes', and Aphthonius, but in fact only clearly paralleled in the later Nicolaus of Myra. No doubt it was, as Nicolaus says, the 'prevailing' scheme in the fourth and fifth centuries; but there is nothing to show whether it was Menander or some earlier rhetor who popularized it. ${ }^{80}$ The choice of subjects, on

[^17]the other hand, derives not from theoretical considerations but from practical need. Poetical forms are often the models, especially for subjects (like weddings) which have only recently been thought of as themes for oratory. Novel, so far as we know, is the theory of the lalia, or informal talk, the practice of which may not go back beyond the age of Dio and Plutarch, even if it has affinities with the popular philosophical sermons of the Hellenistic age. In a word, the whole scheme of these books is closely bound up with the rhetorical practice of the late empire, and it is rash to assume that its particular combinations of poetical and progymnasmatic themes are to be found much before that period.

## x

These historical considerations need to be borne in mind when we consider the more general issue of the relevance of texts of this kind to our understanding of classical poetry. They have indeed often been exploited for this purpose, for example in Eduard Norden's commentary on Aeneid VI, and more recently and systematically in Francis Cairns's Generic Composition in Greek and Roman Poetry. Readers of Menander are bound to ask themselves how far these prescriptions can help us to recover the purposes and attitudes of classical poets.
Some points are clear. Like other rhetors, Menander makes great use of the poets. Homer shows the way to monody (434. II f.) and to the suntaktikos ( 430.12 f .), Sappho is a source for all matters connected with weddings (402. 17). In Treatise I, poets as well as prose-writers are models for hymns; but it is noticeable that the differences between what is permitted to them and what is permitted to orators are repeatedly emphasized (332. 2 f., 334 . I f., 340.27 f.). The background to all this is the use made of poetical texts in all rhetorical schools. ${ }^{81}$ Not only did they illustrate figures (the most obvious common ground between grammaticus and rhetor) but they could be exploited even for examples of forms of argument. ${ }^{82}$ Naturally, they were especially useful in epideictic oratory. There was a

[^18]historical reason for this, in the fact that praise and blame, as we have seen, were originally functions of poetry which prose oratory took over, and that occasions like death or marriage had poetical forms of commemoration associated with them long before the development of anything that could be called literature. There was also a theoretical consideration, even if it is never made explicit in our texts. In forensic and deliberative oratory, speech does a real job in a real situation; poetical versions of such discourses, except perhaps in very early times (as with Solon) or in very special circumstances, are merely mimetic; they reproduce the situation in an idealized or generalized form, and are intended not for immediate effect but as permanent literary possessions. In epideictic, on the other hand, the poet and the orator are much more on a level : both may be summoned to commemorate an occasion, both hope to leave behind them something which will endure. (Of course, a deliberative or forensic orator may have the same hope, but, if he has, it is in virtue of some qualities other than those displayed in his immediate effort.) In any case, a closer link must always subsist between poetry and epideictic than between poetry and the other branches of oratory.
In Menander, as we have seen, there is a basic mixture to be found in all the recipes; it is a particular formulation of the standard encomium-scheme. To this are added, according to circumstances, various flavours, as it were, appropriate to weddings, funerals, welcomes, or leave-taking. Arguments and expansion are developed by means taught not only in connection with epideictic rhetoric but with the other kinds as well: examples (paradeigmata), 'circumstantial points' (peristatika), 'heads of purpose' (telika kephalaia), ${ }^{83}$ and so on. Now both the elements of the encomium scheme (though not its precise form) and much of the mechanism are of proved antiquity; the presence of the Scheme of Four Virtues in Plato, and perhaps earlier, is significant. It is not surprising that we should find poems which combine this essentially rhetorical or sophistic planning with the traditional purposes of poetic encomium. Hence Theocritus 17 displays the correspondences with Menander which Cairns expounds (roo ff.), and which he says indicate 'the general reliability of Menander as a witness for the state of
the generic patterns many centuries before he lived'. We may well have doubts about this hypostasizing of 'generic patterns'. There is of course a considerable degree of coincidence between the headings Theocritus uses and those in Menander's scheme. But this coincidence can be explained as a consequence of early rhetorical teaching, as we see it in the fourth-century encomia. A similar situation is revealed by Menander's 'Sminthiakos' in its coincidence with Callimachus' hymns. ${ }^{84}$
Any subject could be treated in this rhetorical, carefully planned way. But, for a long time, only public occasions were thought to warrant it. Hence the history behind the basilikos, prosphönētikos, and klētikos in Treatise II is rather different from that which we may suppose for the private speeches. Only a royal wedding, we may conjecture, rated a formal, rhetorically articulated epithalamios in classical or Hellenistic times, though wedding-songs-sometimes, like those of Sappho, works of high literary art-are of course far older. Theocritus again illustrates the point. His epithalamium for Helen ( $\operatorname{Idyll} 18$ ) rises above the level of popular song and its counterpart in early lyric to the extent that Helen is praised (19-37) on the basis of family, beauty, and accomplishments-the scheme of Agathon's encomium on Eros in Plato, in fact a standard rhetorical or sophistic ordering of the natural topics of praise. This is justified in Theocritus because Menelaus' wedding is a royal occasion. The extension to private occasions of this element in the epi-thalamium-as opposed to the customary ribaldry and wishes for children-seems to be late; it is worth noting that in Catullus 61, though there is indeed thesis material on marriage in it (61-75), the praise of the girl ( $17-25 ; 86-93$ ) is not articulated in this encomiastic fashion. Now Menander and pseudoDionysius are concerned only with subjects which they choose to treat in a fully rhetorical way; even the lalia, which has a sort of formal informality, is developed by means of the encomiastic headings and the other mechanisms of argument. Of course, popular songs and expected forms of greetings go to the making of the cake, and these may be derived, wholly or in part, from poetical precedents. The ingenuity of the rhetor is shown by his extending his sphere, bit by bit, to involve more and more occasions. It follows that to regard his prescriptions

[^19]as a standard form by which poems on similar subjects may be judged, and their sophisticated allusions and subtle omissions detected-which is Cairns's procedure-involves the risk of treating what are really common encomiastic features or direct imitations of early poetry as original traits of the assumed 'genre'. The general development that we should suppose is more complicated. If we take the epithalamium (and its related speeches) as an example, we should think of two separate lines of development, which then coalesce. The first leads from actual wedding-songs and rituals to poetical versions of these, like those in Sappho or in Euripides' Phaethon. The second begins with traditional customs of praising the returning victor or warrior, and leads through Pindaric epinicia to the rhetorical formulation of rules and methods of praise which was due to the fifth-century sophists or their fourth-century successors. These two lines first coalesce in what we may call rhetoricized weddingpoems: Theocritus 18 is a good example. Rhetorical prescriptions, like those of Menander, depend on both these lines of development: they draw both on the poems, 'rhetoricized' and not, and on the sophistic encomium tradition. Finally, we have a group of speeches and poems-some later than Menander, like the works of Choricius and Sidonius, some earlier, like Statius' Silvae ${ }^{85}$-which obviously depend on epideictic precept-but not of course on this alone, for even a Sidonius, let alone a Statius, will have direct knowledge of the earlier stages of the development also. It is unnecessary and dangerous to reconstruct a 'generic pattern' of the epithalamium existing in its own right apart from these stages.

## AUTHORSHIP AND DATE

## 1. TESTIMONIA




2. P. Berol. 21849 of the fifth or sixth century ad (see H. Maehler, Gr. R. Byz. St. 15 (1974), 305-II) is a request from one Victor

[^20]to one Theognostos for the return of books which Theognostos has borrowed. These include 'Claudius Alexander on the orator Demosthenes' and $M \epsilon v a ́ v \delta \rho o v ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu$. On the verso, at the top left-hand corner, are the words:

> каі $\mu \epsilon \theta$ óoovs
> каі є̀ $\gamma \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{}$
> è $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi[\iota$

This appears to imply that the $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta, \mu \epsilon ́ \theta o \delta o \iota$, and $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \iota a$ are separate works. Maehler identifies the $\mu$ étooor with our Treatise II; Treatise I (332. 11) however refers to a promised discussion of different kinds of encomia to be given $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a i ̂ s$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \kappa a i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta$ ódoıs, and this turns out to be Treatise I, 344-67. The term $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \theta_{0} \delta o s$ has a variety of meanings in rhetorical literature, and it is very hard to say what the difference between it and $\tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$ may be. In the pseudo-Dionysian treatise on epideictic speeches-the closest parallel to Treatise II in all extant writing -five of the seven chapters have $\mu^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} o \delta o s$ as part of their title. They do not differ at all in scope from the other two-one of which is called $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \nu \eta \gamma v \rho \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$-but they do in fact correspond in content with subjects handled in Treatise II. It is safer to say that we cannot identify Victor's books precisely with what we have, though they must surely belong to the same corpus.
3. Johannes Doxapatres, Homiliae in Aphthonium, Walz ii. 415








 The first part of this seems to allude to Treatise II (though note $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o v v^{\prime}$ for Menander's $\left.\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa о v^{\prime}\right)$, the second to Treatise II ( $\Sigma_{\mu \nu} \theta_{\imath} a \kappa$ ós) and to the (spurious?) passage in Treatise I, 331. 22 ff. Though Doxapatres is not quite explicit, it would seem that he regards both parts of his statement here as derived from Menander. Joannes Sardianus (cf. comm. on
331. 22 ff.), who does not mention Menander by name, does however show a very similar knowledge of the subject.



 368. 9 ff .


 $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta s$ р $ŋ \tau о \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$.










This recalls Menander's doctrine in various ways: the mention
 types of speech generally. For the importance of $\theta$ éots in the epithalamium, cf. 400.29 ff., 404. 29 ff. But we have no prescription from Menander for a Panathenaicus. Nicolaus may simply be thinking of Isocrates and Aristides.
7. There are other references to Menander as a commentator of Demosthenes. These have been collected by Nitsche and by Bursian ( $\mathrm{r}_{5}-16$ ). They do not have any bearing on the $\pi \in \rho i$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \delta \epsilon \iota \tau \tau \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$.

## II. TREATISEIAND TREATISEII

It is evident from these testimonia that the 'authority' on epideictic in Byzantine times was known to be Menander, and it looks as if both the treatises we possess were attributed to him. It is thus curious that the corrector of Parisinus graecus 1741,
a great rhetorical manuscript which is one of our principal sources ( $\mathbf{P}$ ), should record the alternative attribution of Treatise I to Genethlius of Petra (see notes on 331). The names of these rhetors do not perhaps matter very much; but it is natural to ask whether the two books are by the same author, and whether their date can be determined at all closely.
(i) It is clear that neither book is complete. Treatise I ends abruptly, and contains no discussion either of the basic principles of the encomia of individual men or of encomia of animals, birds, and plants. Further, at 365.8 the author undertakes to show ét à àdoos how the topic of public or communal actions ( $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota s$ ) should be arranged; but the reference is unclear, for it may be to another treatise rather than to missing parts of the one we have. Treatise II consists of a collection of prescriptions for various occasions which could obviously be extended indefinitely. Evidence of incompleteness may be found in the varying order of the chapters in the manuscripts, the differences of scale, and the omission of certain topics which one might expect. There is no $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma v \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s ; ~ n o ~ P a n a t h e n a i c u s ~(c f . ~ N i c o l a u s, ~$ Testim. 6) ; no $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s, ~ l i k e ~ t h e ~ ' E x h o r t a t i o n ~ t o ~ A t h l e t e s ' ~$ in [Dion. Hal.] 283 ff .; no रapıo $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \rho \iota o s$ or gratiarum actio, the staple form of Latin imperial panegyric; no monody on a ruined city.
(ii) Nor can the two treatises form parts of the same whole. It is very unlikely that Treatise I. 335.23 ff . refers to the $\Sigma \mu \iota \nu-$ $\theta \iota a \kappa o ́ s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ o t h e r ~ t r e a t i s e . ~ S i n c e ~ T r e a t i s e ~ I ~ e n v i s a g e s ~ a ~ g r a n d ~$ over-all scheme, and Treatise II does not relate its subjects to this, one might conclude that, if both are by the same author, Treatise II is the earlier work.
(iii) Many differences have been observed, especially by Bursian and Nitsche, and the cumulative effect of them is impressive:
(a) A comparison of 344. 16 and 347. 2 on the one hand with 383 . Io ff. and 383.30 ff . on the other seems to yield some difference of doctrine. Despite difficulties of text and interpretation (see notes) it appears that in Treatise II the topics of $\phi$ vors and $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota s$ applied to $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho a \iota$ (not $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ ) amount to the same thing; in Treatise I, on the other hand, the difference between them forms the basis of the teaching given.
(b) The word for 'accomplishments' or 'pursuits', in the
context of the basic encomium-formula, varies between the two treatises: Treatise I has $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ (332. 2I is an exception); Treatise II has $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau a$, the normal term.
(c) Certain stock phrases are common in Treatise II, absent from Treatise I: note $\kappa$ aıpò ${ }^{\epsilon \prime} \chi \chi \in \epsilon$ and the like (374. 6;
 features' (382. 17, 19; 394. 11, 24; 411. 1; 424. 8, 24); oi

 (369. 4; 399. 17; 400. 1, 6; 414. 29).
(d) Reference to Alexandria Troas and the vicinity is confined to Treatise II, where it is conspicuous not only in the $\Sigma \mu \nu \nu \theta_{\iota} \alpha \kappa$ ós but in the é $\pi \iota \beta a \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota o s(387.5)$ and $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ ós ( $426-9$ ).
(e) Nitsche observed that the praise of dry and desert areas was conspicuous in the $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a} \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a s$ of Treatise I, and that there was evidence of special interest in Egypt and Syria (346. 9 ff .). He connected this with Genethlius, who came from the desert city of Petra.
(f) Treatise I mentions Aristides, Pausanias, and (?) Proteus among sophists, but a wide range of classical literature, sometimes recondite (Empedocles, Parmenides); Treatise II on the other hand is richer in references to the moderns (Adrianos, Aristides, Callinicus, Nicostratus, Philostratus, Polemon), less wide-ranging in the classical period.
(g) In particular, Plato is often used as a model in Treatise I, whereas in Treatise II he appears once only (4iI. 31), as an example of $\lambda \epsilon \in \xi \iota s ~ . ~ . ~ к \epsilon к а \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$, coupled with Xenophon. Again, Plato's Menexenus is apparently not used in the epitaphios chapters of Treatise II, and the way in which philosophical themes are handled in the $\Sigma \mu \nu \nu \theta$ takós (e.g. 438. 14 and 25) suggests a much more detached attitude to the oopêv aaî $\delta \in s$ than that of Treatise I or, for that matter, of Alexander (3. I-6 Spengel).
These arguments do not amount to formal proof of different authorship, and are strictly compatible with the hypothesis of the same author writing at different times. Nevertheless, one may well feel that a prima-facie case for two writers has been made out. If so, we may emphasize two details:
(i) that the author of Treatise II is writing in Athens, for a pupil from Alexandria Troas (cf. 445. I and notes);
(ii) that a case for Genethlius as the author of Treatise I can be advanced on the ground of the interest shown in deserts, and on the hypothesis that P's attribution to Genethlius is based on tradition, and is not a mere guess.
Whoever the author or authors may be, there are certain references to historical events which have been thought to determine the date and provenance a little more closely.
(a) The first is the reference to the settlement of the Carpi in Roman territory, 358. 28, an example of the foundation of


 that this referred to the settlement of the Carpi after their defeat by Galerius in 294, this would date Treatise I after that date. But there is also evidence (see notes ad loc.) of settlement under Aurelian, who likewise defeated this formidable and persistent people, and the archaeological evidence seems to be incomplete and has been variously interpreted. From our point of view, the probability of there being at least two stages of settlement on the right bank of the river is sufficiently strong to prevent us saying with confidence that 295 is a terminus post quem for Treatise I.
(b) The second is the reference to Egyptians, Blemmyes, and Eremboi as 'our' allies, 387 . 17-28. We argue in the notes ad loc. that this is not a direct allusion either to Diocletian's settlement and subsidizing of the Nobatai and Blemmyes on the Nile in 298 (Procop. B. Pers. 1. 19. 28-36) or to the association of Blemmyes and Saraceni with Firmus, who may have usurped power in Egypt in 273, and with Zenobia. We suggest rather that Menander is talking here about the Trojan War and the Ethiopians under Memnon, but that he enriches his material with traditional names which also have some contemporary significance. So a firm terminus post quem for Treatise II is also lacking.
(c) However, there are a number of places in which Menander (according to some at least of his manuscripts) refers to $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \in i \hat{s}$ in the plural, and in two at least the reference seems clearly to be to joint rulers. These are in Treatise II.
378. 31-379. 2: we owe debts тoîs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \tau \nu$ for what they



Even the first part of this sentence could be general, the statement that 'they' have sent us this particular governor surely implies joint rule.
415. 14-I5 (a very similar passage): тov̂тov тòv $\gamma \in \nu \nu a ́ \delta a \nu$

In the period in question, this would apply best either to Carus and his sons Carinus and Numerian (283-5) or more probably to Diocletian and his colleagues from 285 onwards.

The coincidence between this and the suggested, but uncertain, termini discussed above is impressive. We may conclude, though with a degree of probability that may be very variously assessed, that both treatises are likely to date from the reign of Diocletian. This makes a reference to Claudius II (see note on 387. 17-28) improbable.

## THE MANUSGRIPTS

The following survey falls short of completeness in one respect. We have not thought it necessary to investigate manuscripts written in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, since it is probable that all derive directly or indirectly from Parisinus graecus I74I and will contribute at most some trivial corrections to the text. There is also one copy from the end of the fourteenth century which we have not examined fully. The text of an author like Menander was rewritten by teachers of rhetoric to make tolerable sense of difficult passages, and generally speaking the later a manuscript the more its text has been altered. The chances of finding an important witness to the text written after the middle of the fourteenth century seem very slight. We believe, but cannot guarantee, that we have brought to light and utilized all the significant witnesses to the text.

The first of the three branches of the tradition consists of two manuscripts. One is the famous Parisinus graecus 1741, well $P$ known as an important source for the text of Aristotle's Poetics and Rhetoric and a number of other texts. It is traditionally known by the symbol P , which we have not altered. The manuscript can be dated to the middle of the tenth century and contains both treatises. Between the two it interpolates, as a
result of damage in an earlier manuscript, part of a rhetorical treatise by a certain Alexander (Walz ix. 33i ff., Spengel ii. 555 ff., iii. I ff. ; cf. above, p. xxiv). In the second treatise it omits chapters 7 and io, pp. 405. 14-412. 2 and 414.31-418.4, and it breaks off incomplete at p. 441. 6. We have made a fresh collation of the text from microfilm, and have found that there are some places where it appears to have been misread by previous editors; e.g. at 343. 22 it has the correct $\delta o o \kappa \eta$ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$, conjectured by Finckh (and now found in Z as well). A full description is given by D. Harlfinger and D. Reinsch, Philologus 114 (1970), 28-50.
The other manuscript in this group is Parisinus graecus 2423, z to which we give the symbol Z. It is an incomplete copy on paper, which has never been examined properly. The hand may be assigned to the last quarter of the thirteenth century. The text runs from 338. 16 to 390 . 30 and includes the same interpolation as P . It shares a large number of errors with P , but also preserves the full text in a number of places where P has an omission (373. 30, 374. 1, 375. 14, 378. I and 15, 379. 20 and 2 I ). There can be little doubt that it is a twin of P ; a much less likely alternative is that it is a copy which has incorporated excellent readings from another source by horizontal transmission. It will be seen from our apparatus criticus that $Z$ makes a substantial contribution to the improvement of the text, sometimes anticipating the conjectures of modern critics. The scribe's tendency to rewrite passages is shown at 348. 13-14 and 349. 14-16.

The second branch of the tradition is more complicated. In the second treatise it presents the chapters in a different order. Bursian knew the family from two manuscripts, both in the Laurentian Library in Florence, and as far as can be judged from his remarks he relied on collations made by Walz; we have found that his reports are very inaccurate.
The first member of the group is Laur. 56. I, which he called m M and assigned to the fourteenth century. The hand ought probably to be dated to the second half of the twelfth century. To judge from the microfilm in our possession the book is in a very poor state of preservation, and we have not been able to
verify by any means all its readings. It contains 445. 16-446; 413. $5^{-17}$; 344. 15-367; the Alexander interpolation; 331-2; 412. 3-413. 4 .

The second book in this group is Laur. 8r. 8, known as m. m It probably belongs to the second quarter of the fourteenth century. (In P. Moraux et al., Aristoteles graecus i, Berlin, 1976, pp. $2^{64-5}$, it is dated to the second half of the century, without regard to the character of the script, because in folios io6-r6 the watermark is similar to a design found in the period 1398 1410 according to C. M. Briquet, Les Filigranes, Geneva, 1907, revised reprint Amsterdam, 1968. Examination of the development of this type of watermark, which is in any case not as richly attested as many others, even in the more recent repertoire of V. A. Mošin and S. M. Traljić, Vodeni znakovi, Zagreb, 1957, shows that there are similar patterns found as early as 1322. Arguments based on watermarks need to be handled with care.) The contents of $m$ are as follows: 368-399. 10; 418. 5-422. 4; 434. 10-437. 4; 413. 5-17; 414. 32-418. 4; 430. 10-434. 9; 422. 5-430. 8; 399. ІІ-4г2. 2; 437. 5-446. 13; 344. г5-367; the Alexander interpolation; 412. 4-413. 4. It does not seem to be very valuable as a witness, but we have verified its readings in a large number of passages and found that occasionally it seems to provide the right answer to a problem.

Next comes a manuscript that has not been used by previous scholars, Vaticanus graecus 306, to which we give the symbol W W. It was written c.i300. It contains $368-94 ; 414-418$. 4 ; 430. 10-434. 9; 395-399. 10; 418. 5-422. 4; 434. 10-437. 4; 422. 5-430. 8; 399. ІІ-412. 2 ; 437. 5-446; 344. 15-367; the Alexander interpolation; 331-2; 412.3-431.4. As will be seen from our apparatus, it preserves the truth in about ten passages.

Another manuscript, closely related to $W$ and little used hitherto, is Vaticanus graecus ro8, also written c.1300, to $Y$ which we assign the symbol Y. Its contents are : 368-94; 414418. 4 ; 430. ro-434. 9 ; 395-396. 20. It tends to share the readings of $W$ but does not offer any important good reading of its own; Walz (ix, p. xxi) dismissed it by saying 'nihil bonae frugis obtulit', and we concur in this judgement.

X is our symbol for Vaticanus graecus 165 , written about X 1350 and containing only $368-379$. 8. It offers very little for the text.

Two other books require brief mention at this point. Vaticanus V graecus 899 , dated 1393 , has not been collated for this edition. The plate shown in A. Turyn, Codices Vaticani graeci saeculis xiii et xiv scripti annorumque notis instructi, Rome, 1964, produces one fact of modest interest: in the passage $369.8-370.28$ this copy offers $\sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ at 369.29 , where the reading of the other manuscripts ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \iota$ is not above suspicion. For what may be a more important fact about this witness, see our comments on Rhakendytes below.
Finally, Parisinus graecus 2996 contains 4 r8. 5-422. 4 only and is a copy made in the thirteenth century. It has enjoyed a specious fame hitherto because editors have claimed that at 418. 9 it alone has the correct reading $\sigma \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota$ instead of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$. We have looked at the book and can state that the claim is false. The other readings cited from it prove perfectly clearly, pace Soffel, that it is an ordinary member of the second family and has no close relationship to P . We have therefore felt entitled to disregard it.

## III

The third branch of the tradition is represented by two witnesses. The important one is Parisinus graecus 1874. Some of its read- $\mathbf{p}$ ings were published by Séguier de Saint-Brisson, Notices et extraits des manuscrits de la bibliotheque du roi 14 (2) ( I 843 ), 153 -222, and it was more systematically exploited by Bursian, who gave it the symbol p and assigned it to the thirteenth century. His dating is certainly wrong; the hand should be attributed to the twelfth century, and may well belong to the very early years of the century. This copy contains the second treatise only, with the chapters arranged in yet a third sequence. The text diverges frequently from that of the other families, and in many passages it is evidently superior. We have verified a large number of readings.
We have also collated Barocci 13I in the Bodleian Library, which has escaped the attention of previous editors. It contains a selection of chapters from the second treatise in the same order as $p$, and agrees with $p$ so regularly that it must be reckoned a copy. The hand can be dated in the middle of the thirteenth century. Since $p$ is damaged at a number of important points we record B's reading from time to time, and there are a few
places where it seems that the scribe of $B$ or one of his immediate predecessors made a minor emendation to the text. B is described in full by N. G. Wilson in Fahrbuch der Oesterreichischen Byzantinistik 27 (1978), 157-79.

## IV

Previous editors have referred to quotations made by a late Byzantine author, Joseph Rhakendytes. The position about this man is as follows. Although we use for convenience the symbol Rhak. in the apparatus criticus, the word $\rho^{2} \kappa \epsilon v \delta \dot{\prime} \tau \eta s$ is not a name but an epithet applicable to a monk. Joseph was recognized by his contemporaries as a man of great learning. He compiled a kind of encyclopedia, one section of which was devoted to rhetoric and contained part of Menander. It is not clear that he was more than a compiler and one cannot quite take it for granted that he is actually the person responsible for the good readings found in his text of Menander. Walz consulted this in MS. Marc. gr. app. cl. VIII. 18, and he notes (iii. 547) that the same chapter is found in Marc. gr. 444, where it is anonymous. According to M. Treu, whose substantial article we rely on ( $B Z 8$ ( 1899 ), i ff., see especially pp. 45-6), the best source for Joseph's encyclopedia is another manuscript, Riccardianus 3 I . We decided, however, that the time and effort required to test this claim would be unreasonably large in relation to the likely gain for the text. From the published information about Joseph's text it seems that he used a copy belonging to the second family (cf. 369. 7, 375.5). It may also be worth noting that at $369.29-32$ and $370.8-9$ his text agrees in five readings with that of Vaticanus graecus 899 .

## THE ORDER OF GHAPTERS IN TREATISE II

In this edition we adopt the 'traditional' order, i.e. that found in editions down to Spengel's. See Walz ix. xvi ff., Bursian, 27-8, Soffel, 95-6. The Table shows the various orders of the main manuscripts and the editio princeps, and the orders proposed by Bursian and Nitsche. Bursian's, as will be seen, is based largely on p , corrected by the observed cross-references. Nitsche's is more subjective. He sets up three groups of speeches: (a) concerned with the emperors and the city's gods; (b) concerned with the governor; (c) for private occasions.

TABLE

| Title | Walz， Spengel， this edn． | P | P | $\mathrm{m} \dagger$ | W | Ald． | Bursian | Nitsche |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Вабь入ıко́s／Пра̧́єєєs | $51-2$ | 1－2 | $14^{-15}$ | 1－2 | 1－2 | 1－2 | 5－6 | 1－2 |
| ${ }^{\text {＇Emı }}$ ¢aтท́pıos | 3 | 3 | I | $3 \pm$ | 3 |  |  | 8 |
| Ma入ıá | 4 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| Пролєцлтькท่ | 5 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 | II |
|  | 6 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 12 |
| Karєvvactıкós | 7 |  | 8 | 14 |  |  | 15 | 13 |
| Геve日入ıако́s | 8 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 13 | 6 | 16 | 14 |
| ПарациӨךтько́s | 9 | 8 | 4 | $8 \S$ |  | 7 | 12 | 16 |
|  | 10 |  | 9 | 9 | 5 |  | 1 | 7 |
| ＇Etıróálos | 11 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 17 |
| $\Sigma \tau \in \phi$ арштıкós | 12 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 3 |
| Прєбßєчтько́s | 13 | 11 | 6 | 11 |  | 10 | 8 | 4 |
| K入ךтько́s | 14 | 12 | 10 | 12 |  |  | 9 | 6 |
| इuvтактıкós | 15 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 6 | II | 10 | 9 |
| Movبdía | 16 | 14 | 12 | 7 | 9 |  | 11 | 15 |
| $\Sigma \mu \iota \nu$ Өıако́s | 17 | 15＊ | 13 | 167 | 12 | 12｜｜ | 17 | 5 |

＊To 441． 6.
$\dagger$ Lacks some headings．
$\ddagger$ Headed סıaípєoьs $\beta$ абıлıкой．
§ 413．5－17 only．
 （i．e．Treatise I，344．15－346．25）．
｜｜Title as in m．
Internal evidence provides some links between the chapters， and some priorities．The priorities are these：
（a）It is clear from 413．8－14 and 435．16 that $\mu о \nu \varphi \delta_{i \alpha}$（16） precedes $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s ~(9) . ~$
 supposes some or all of the following：372．21；416． $2 ; 417.5$ ； 421．I．If it presupposes all，then $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda_{\iota} \alpha \kappa o ́ s ~(8) ~ c o m e s ~ a f t e r ~$
 order（but not in Nitsche＇s）．
（c）423． 8 （ ${ }^{\alpha} \pi \rho o \epsilon i \rho \eta \tau a \iota$ ）shows（if we needed to be told） that $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$（12）precedes $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in v \tau \iota \kappa$ ós（13）．
（d）It follows from 382．I ff．that ė $\pi \iota \beta a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \circ$（3）follows тробфш $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ кко́s（10）．



Other links may also be observed:
 The traditional order ( P m W ) places $\beta$ абıлıкós at the head of the corpus.
 linked by the mention of Alexandria Troas.
(c) The two wedding-speeches $(6,7)$ go together, and the $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \iota a \kappa o ́ s$ (8) naturally belongs with them.
(d) кג $\eta \tau \iota \kappa$ ós (14) coheres in general purpose with 12 and 13 ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s, \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$ ), though it is much longer and fuller.
(e) The noticeable introductory formula of the type of 430.
 бvขтактькós (15) and є̀тьßaти́pıos (3).
(f) A lack of coherence is shown by the relation between the chapter on $\lambda_{a \lambda \iota \alpha}(4)$ and those on the $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \tau \eta \rho \iota o s(3)$ and on ovvтактıкós (15), since both these subjects are suggested in the $\lambda a \lambda_{\iota} a^{\prime}$ chapter as possible uses of the form, and there are considerable overlaps.

The inferences that can be made from these facts are met by Bursian's order, but it cannot be shown to be the only possibility. It is the lack of system in the whole book and its apparent incompleteness that have led us to revert to the earlier arrangement. To change this inevitably involves an inconvenient change in the method of referring to the book, and brings (we think) no substantial gain in understanding.

## SIGLA

| P | Paris. gr. $174{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| P | Paris. gr. 1874 |
|  | Paris. gr. 2423 |
| M | Laur. 56. I |
| m | Laur. 8r. 8 |
| Y | Vat. gr. 108 |
| X | Vat. gr. 165 |
| W | Vat. gr. 306 |
| Rarius citantur |  |
| B Baroccianus 131 |  |
| Ricc. 1 Riccardianus 68 |  |
| Ricc. 2 Riccardianus 15 |  |
| Vind. Vindobonensis phil. gr. 60 |  |
| Laur. 59. II |  |
| Gudianus (gr. 14) |  |
| V Vat. gr. 899 |  |
|  | ak. Joseph vulgo dictus Rhake |

Note: for readings cited from the recentiores we rely mainly on the reports in Walz and Spengel. 'vulg.' means that we are not certain who first introduced the reading in question into the text; we have sometimes resorted to this symbol when Walz's apparatus is unclear.

## TEXT AND TRANSLATION

## MENANDPOY PHTOPOE

## $\dagger \Gamma E N E \Theta \Lambda I \Omega N \dagger \Delta I A I P E \Sigma I \Sigma T \Omega N$ <br> EПI $\Delta$ EIKTIK $\Omega \mathrm{N}$
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 тovs $\tau 0 \grave{s}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o u ̛ s$, ov̂s $\delta \grave{\eta}$ є่ $\gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o v ̀ s ~ \ddot{\eta}$






15





 'A ס̀̀ єis $\Delta 九 o ́ v v \sigma o v ~ \delta ı \theta v \rho a ́ \mu \beta o v s ~ к \alpha i ~ i o \beta a ́ к \chi o v s, ~ к а i ~ o ̈ \sigma a ~$


[^21]
# MENANDER THE RHETOR: 

## DIVISION OF EPIDEICTIC SPEECHES*

## BOOK I

Rhetoric as a whole is divided into three parts, as it were, or kinds, or whatever one should call them: speeches in law courts concerning common [i.e. public] or private matters, those delivered in assemblies or councils, and thirdly 'epideictic' speeches, namely those which people call encomiastic or invective. If one is teaching about those which occupy the third class, it falls to one to make an apology . . . Do not therefore expect to hear about rhetoric as a whole from the beginning, even if I proposed above to give you an explanation about every department in the briefest form (?). Let us therefore consider the technique $\langle$ of epideictic〉, and how it may be successfully conducted.
Epideictic speeches, then, fall under the two headings of blame and praise. (The demonstrations (epideixeis) of public speeches composed by the people known as sophists I regard as practice for real cases, not as true epideictic.)
The division of 'blame' has no subdivision. 'Praise' of some kind, on the other hand, occurs sometimes in relation to gods, sometimes in relation to mortal objects. When it relates to gods, we speak of 'hymns', and we divide these in turn according to the god concerned. Thus hymns to Apollo are called paeans and huporchèmata, hymns to Dionysus dithyrambs, and iobacchi and the like, those to Aphrodite 'erotic

[^22]| dicuntur |  | 23 iopáк |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xous Heeren : of | $\beta$ áкхоя $\mathbf{P} 24$ seclusimus |  |

 $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o v s$ ка入о仑̂ $\mu \epsilon\langle\langle\ddot{\eta}\rangle \mu \epsilon \rho ⿺ \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\langle o i o v\rangle \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i ́ a$ ．ö $\pi \omega s$





$T \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} a \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \quad \theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oí $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ रivov $\pi a \iota$

10 каi $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s$ ä $\tau \mu \eta \tau o \nu$ ，ठıò $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ סıaфopàs $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ таîs $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa \alpha i ̂ s$ $\mu \epsilon \theta o ́ \delta o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \xi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．［oí $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad a ̉ \theta a \nu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,$] oi \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \zeta \omega ́ \omega \nu$［ $\theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ］oi $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \lambda o \gamma \iota \kappa o ́ \nu, ~ a ̆ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ ，
 $\tau o ̀ \nu \quad \alpha ้ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ä $\lambda o \gamma a$ oi
 $\kappa \alpha i$ тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ v u ́ \delta \rho \omega \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ o \tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a, ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ a u ̂ \tau \alpha \iota$ ，oủк ả $\gamma \nu 0 \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 ồ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ท̀ $\mu i ̂ \nu$ ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$







332．1－2［ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \omega$ ］seclusimus：$\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota$ Bursian：$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ö $\lambda \mu$ $\gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota$ Ioh．Sardianus p． 120.1 sqq．$\quad 2\langle\ddot{\eta}\rangle$ Heeren $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota к \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ nos，Rabe ad Sardianum：$\gamma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \mathrm{P}$ et Sardianus：iठıкс́тєpov
 （cf．Procl．ap．Phot．Bibl．cod．239，320a） 4 ö $\lambda \omega$ ）Walz e recc．：
 $6 \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta$ Spengel：－oi $\mu \epsilon \theta a \mathrm{P} \quad 8 \theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu] \theta \nu \eta \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ Bursian 11 oi ．．．à ${ }^{\theta}$ avát $\omega \nu$ hic P，post 12 Ђ $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \nu$ MW：secl．Heeren
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[^23]hymns', while those appropriate to other gods are either called by the generic title 'hymns' or, more specifically, e.g. 'To Zeus' (?).

When we have constructed the division of the whole subject, we shall proceed to discuss in detail how each of these kinds should be handled, whether they are in general suitable for writers of prose, or only a certain number of them, and how many methods and what modes are appropriate to each.

Praise of mortal objects comprises (i) praise of cities and countries, (ii) praise of living creatures. The heading of cities and countries is not divisible further, and we shall therefore demonstrate its varieties in our account of the technical methods. Praise of living creatures deals either with the rational (man) or with the non-rational. Let us pass over praise of 'man'. The non-rational then includes (a) land-animals, (b) water-animals. Again, we set aside the subject of water-animals; the other category, land-animals, falls into two classes, the flying and the walking. At the conclusion of all this, we shall proceed from the animate to the inanimate.

These then are all the divisions of the epideictic part of rhetoric taken as a whole. I am aware that some writers have composed encomia of accomplishments and arts; but since our account includes 'man', it will embrace (?) all these; so that these writers have not realized that they have composed a part of an encomium as though it had been a whole encomium. I am aware also that some of the ancient sophists wrote praises of salt and such things; but, since our division proceeds from the animate to the inanimate, it embraces this category as well.
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I proceed in what now follows to explain how each of these is to be divided, in what way the same headings underlie them all, and in what way each is appropriately used.

## HYMNS TO THE GODS

Following our original division, let us first consider hymns to the gods. These hymns themselves are either ( $1-2$ ) cletic or apopemptic, or (3-4) scientific (phusikoi) or mythical, or (5-6) genealogical or fictitious, or ( $7-8$ ) precatory or deprecatory, or else combinations of two, three, or indeed all of these.
(i) Cletic hymns are such as most of those to be found in Sappho, Anacreon, and the other lyric poets, containing invocations of many gods.
(2) Apopemptic hymns are like some that are found in Bacchylides, containing a valediction (apopompē) as though on the occasion of a departure abroad.
(3) Scientific hymns are such as were composed by Parmenides and Empedocles, expounding the nature of Apollo or of Zeus. Most of the liymns of Orpheus are of this kind.
(4) Mythical hymns are those which contain myths and proceed by bare allegory: e.g. Apollo built the wall, Apollo was a serf under Admetus, and the like.
(5) Genealogical hymns are those which follow the theogonies of the poets: e.g. when we say that Apollo is the child of Leto, and the Muses of Memory.
(6) Fictitious hymns are when we ourselves personify a god or the births of gods or daemons, as when Simonides speaks of the daemon To-morrow, and others of Hesitation (Oknos), and so on.
(7) Precatory hymns are those which consist of bare prayer, with none of the other parts we have mentioned; while
(8) deprecatory hymns are those which simply pray that something shall be averted.

No hymns to the gods can be composed outside these patterns.
The mythical and genealogical types are habitually employed by all writers when they narrate origins and derive from myths statements of the blessings to
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mankind for which the gods are responsible. But it is also worth considering in my opinion, whether we must always employ a single type, or can use them all, or again whether poets are to be thought of as having this licence, but that as regards historians and prose-writers, the one simple definition of the point beyond which they must not go is given by the fact that poetry is allowed a greater licence in this respect in virtue of its concern with the divine, whereas prose writing is concerned with man.

In fact, the prose-writer and the orator must employ each of these forms, both separately and all together, seeing that we believe Plato to be supreme as a writer, and we also see him using practically all these forms at one time or another, and indeed most of them in a single book [the Symposium]. For what Phaedrus says about Eros surely belongs to the genealogical type, and Aristophanes' fabulous ingenuity to the mythological, as also does Agathon's speech. Socrates' speech, on the other hand, approaches the scientific kind-though by means of fiction, since he invents the figures of Abundance and Poverty. Again, the invocation of the Muses in the Phaedrus exemplifies the cletic type, and the prayer to Pan the precatory. If you look round, you will find Plato using these forms everywhere. If he does not do so to the point of satiety, or in exact reproduction of the type, we have to remember that prose enjoys the licence to a lesser degree.

I shall next try to explain how each of these forms is to be attempted, to what length it is to be taken, and what style is appropriate.

## CLETIC HYMNS

. . . The scale of cletic hymns is larger in poetry, because poets are allowed to mention many places, as we often find in Sappho and Alcman. Alcman (?) summons Artemis from countless mountains and countless cities and rivers, while Sappho (?) summons
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Aphrodite from Cyprus, Cnidus, Syria, and many other places. And not only this: poets are also allowed to describe the places themselves. For example, if the god is summoned from rivers, they add a description of the water, the banks, the adjacent (?) meadows, the bands of dancers by the rivers, and the like. The same applies if the summons is from holy places. The poets' cletic hymns are therefore inevitably long. Prose-writers, on the other hand, must necessarily abridge the time spent on these topics; they will not summon divinities from many places and countries, nor give a description of each place. Rather they will follow Plato, who sets the pace in his use of the form: 'Come ye clear-voiced (ligeiai) Muses, whether it be for your song or for your musical Ligurian kin that you earned that name.'
Homer also uses the form in a cletic passage (?) with equal numbers of syllables, in the prayer of Chryses at the beginning of the Iliad:
thou who dost stand over Chryse
and Cilla the holy, and rulest in might over Tenedos.
Note then one point: the poet has a greater licence than the prose-writer.
The style appropriate to (?) cletic hymns is that which moves with elegance and splendour. This is why the poets insert their additional passages. The appropriate figures are those of invocation.
It may perhaps be as well to set down in this book (?) the method which I myself employed in my cletic hymn to Apollo, in order to (?) increase the elegance of the piece without at the same time either going beyond the limit appropriate to the prosewriter or letting the delicacy of the ornamentation strike too high a note for prose. I attributed most of the topic to the poets themselves, who summon Apollo from this place and that (?). 'I myself would fain not call him.' You will find many elaborations suggested by the method.
cludendum videtur nisi totum locum transposueris $\quad 18 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi-$ ovoía Walz: $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \xi$ ovaía $\mathrm{P} \quad 20$ oía каi $\mathrm{P}: ~ \epsilon i ̈ \eta a ̆ \nu$ Heeren $\quad \tau \epsilon$
 Bursian : ī $\mathbf{P}$ $29 \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon \mathrm{P}$ : т $\hat{\nu}$ каi Jacobs 30 калє́баццц Jacobs: калє́o兀ис $\mathbf{P}$




 $\tau а и ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$.

## ПЕРІ АПОПЕМПТІКЛN











 à $\nu \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ тı $\nu o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \rho \mu o \nu i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \epsilon u ̉ \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon-~$




 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \ddot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \omega$.

## ПEPI TSN $\Phi$ YгIK








 Bursian $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Bursian: є̀ $\pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \in \iota \mathrm{P}$
336. 7 т ̀̀ тoเoûтov P : тоûтo тò vulg.

9, 10 àmoঠ $\eta \mu$ íaıs et - $\alpha \iota$

 rpaфai Heeren 17 є̀̀ $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ P: ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ N i t s c h e, ~$ Wilamowitz (Pindaros, 330)


Note also this useful proposition: if prayer follows the invocation, poets and prose-writers alike have still less opportunity for extensive treatment of the topic; whereas if it is an invocation by itself, the opportunity is greater. Investigation will confirm that this practice is maintained in the poets.

## APOPEMPTIC HYMNS

Apopemptic hymns, as the name indicates, are the converse of cletic. This is a very rare form, and is only found in the poets. Such hymns are delivered over actual or supposed departures of gods, like what are called the departures of Apollo at Delos or Miletus and of Artemis at Argos.
There are apopemptic hymns also in Bacchylides. The basic theme of such hymns is the country, cities, or nations which the god is leaving, and likewise the city or country to which he is going, together with topographical descriptions and the like. The speech should proceed with charm, since a valedictory situation demands a certain relaxation and geniality of style. It admits more extensive treatment of topics, not less, as the cletic hymn does, since in the latter we desire the god to be with us as soon as possible, whereas in the apopemptic we wish his departure to be postponed. There must also be a prayer for return and a second visit.

So much for apopemptic hymns.

## SGIENTIFIG HYMNS

According to our plan, we have next to speak of scientific hymns. The first point to be made is that this form does not suit the simpler writers, but does suit very well those with vigour and grandeur of conception. Secondly it suits poets rather than historians, prose-writers, or orators.

Such hymns are found, for example, when, in delivering a hymn to Apollo, we identify him with
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the sun, and discuss the nature of the sun, or when we identify Hera with air or Zeus with heat. Such hymns are 'scientific'. Parmenides and Empedocles make use of this form exactly, but Plato also uses it: thus, in the Phaedrus, when he gives a scientific account of Love as a 'passion of the soul', he equips him with wings.

Some scientific hymns are fully explanatory, others are abbreviated; it makes a great difference whether one is concisely reminding a reader who is assumed to know, or giving instruction to one who is completely ignorant. Parmenides and Empedocles give full explanations, while Plato gives brief reminders.

Again, some are written enigmatically, others in an overt manner. The hymns which circulate as Pythagorean are enigmatic, while those we have just mentioned are overt.

We shall also define differences in the proportions of these scientific hymns, corresponding to the differences we have indicated in their actual scientific content. The enigmatic variety demands brevity, and those which do not convey instruction are in any case (?) more summary; the others admit ample developments. Thus Plato in the Critias calls the Timaeus a 'hymn of the universe', and the more scientific poets, whom we have mentioned, have constructed whole treatises. In these hymns there is no need of a prayer at all. Such hymns should be carefully preserved and not published to the multitude or the people, because they look too unconvincing and ridiculous to the masses.

As to the style, it is quite acceptable for it to approach the heights of dithyramb, for there is no more solemn theme than these on which a human tongue may give utterance.

## MYTHICAL HYMNS

Our next topic is that of mythical hymns. Some regard these as the same as the genealogical, others as not. Those who think that there is no difference say

[^24]
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that genealogies too are myths, for example the stories told by Acusilaus, Hesiod, and Orpheus in their theogonies. These are indeed no less mythical. Those who believe in a difference, however, point out that there would seem to be some mythical hymns apart from the genealogical, e.g. Icarius' hospitality to Dionysus, Leto's loosing of her girdle at Zoster, or Celeus' hospitality to Demeter, and other things of the kind. These contain no genealogy, but some mythical story of another sort.

You have now heard, more or less, the arguments which the champions of these two views put forward. Both parties claim the victory. In my opinion, however, it would be better to make an accurate distinction in one's definition. I hold that all genealogies and all hymns involving genealogical elements proceed by means of mythical circumstances, whereas it is not true that all mythical hymns proceed by means of genealogies. Consequently, the class of mythical hymns will be the more generic, and that of genealogical hymns the more specific.

So much for the differences. We must now proceed to discuss mythical hymns as a separate section. First, they must have no element of science-overt science, I mean; it does not matter if there is some scientific doctrine concealed by allegory, as indeed occurs in most stories concerning the divine. Secondly, they are appropriate in a higher degree to the poet, since in his case the licence to speak at leisure and wrap up the subject in poetical ornament and elaboration produces no satiety or disgust-though I am not unaware that some of the poets themselves introduce untimely expansions of their themeswhereas prose-writers and orators have very little licence. Myths, nakedly set out, pain and distress the hearer very much; they should therefore be dispatched as briefly as possible. Antidotes need to be applied, for the sake of brevity and of charm; e.g.
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not introducing every detail in a direct form, but omitting some points, conceding some, introducing some by combination (sumplokē), sometimes claiming to give explanations, or not committing oneself to belief or disbelief. All in all, you will find no lack of methods, if you hold firmly to the one basic proposition that lengthy expansion is inappropriate.

The same observation applies to style as to scale of expansion: style must be allowed less licence, retaining epideictic ornament but far removed from the manner of the dithyramb. This style may be achieved by following Isocrates' rule and pursuing beauty and dignity not so much by means of archaism or grandeur of words as by arrangement and figures. In the example that everybody keeps quoting, beginning 'When first Demeter came to our country . . ${ }^{\prime}$, who does not know that the vocabulary is virtually that of practical oratory, but it is through the wordarrangement and the figure that it seems to be . . . more dignified?

Again, 'Tereus <who married> Pandion's daughter Procne' is a passage of the same type, although the subject is human. In Plato, we have: 'Rumour and report slips round, that the god was roused by his stepmother Hera.' Plato indeed provides many examples.

To keep this rule will therefore ensure good writing. In general, with regard to these mythical hymns, it is to be noted that, both in style and in thought, the mythical is, in terms of dignity . . . [rest of chapter missing].

## GENEALOGICAL HYMNS

It has already been said of genealogical hymns that some have considered them the same as the mythical. We stated the difference in that connection. A further point to be made, however, is that one can scarcely discover a hymn to the gods in which there is only a genealogical element, unless theogonies are to be
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regarded as hymns to gods. Generally speaking, the genealogical element is combined either with mythical features or with some other hymn form or forms. It is futile and puerile in the extreme to profess a hymn to Zeus as one's subject, and then select only his birth. Nevertheless, since this form of hymn is in fact found in ancient writers, and some have sung the birth of Dionysus and others the birth of Apollo, and Alcaeus that of Hephaestus and again Hermes, I have made this also into a separate section. It should therefore be observed that, if it is combined with other forms, this type welcomes length; if it is by itself, it needs only brief expansion. By itself, it is of use to poets, never to prose-writers. The poet deals in Graces as midwives, Hours who pick up the child, and so on. The prose-writer must be as brief as possible.

Stylistic excellence in such composition resides in purity and freedom from wearisome excess. This may be achieved in poetry by moderation in the use of periphrases, and in prose by variety of cola. Hesiod illustrates the excellence in poetry, as one may see better by comparing him with the poems of Orpheus. Plato often exemplifies the excellence in prose, and so also does Herodotus in his account of Egypt.

## FICTITIOUS HYMNS

On fictitious hymns, the points to note are the following. First, they cannot easily be constructed around the more celebrated of the gods, whose births and powers are well known, but rather, as a rule, around the obscurer gods and daemons. For example, Plato in one place treats Eros as born before the earth, in another as the son of Aphrodite, and yet again, later, by invention, as the offspring of Abundance and Poverty: again he [Pausanias] states that
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[^26]the power of Eros controls the art of medicine, and [Aristophanes] that he brings together the severed halves of our bodies. He invents these hymns with great variety, whether they relate to the nature of Eros or to his power or to his origin. This licence also comes to prose-writers from the poets. Poets invent Terror and Fear to be the attendants of Ares, Flight as the friend of Fear, and Sleep as the brother of Death. I myself have invented Logos as a brother of Zeus as in Summary of Ethics (?).

We should next try to explain what should be kept in view in fictitious hymns. First, we should take care to invent hymns that are continuous and not unconnected. This rule will be maintained if the fiction is taken from <the situation〉 itself and is not recondite. Secondly, fictions should be elegant and pretty, not unpleasing: the Muses as daughters of Memory, or something like that. Some fictions are in fact disagreeable to hear, e.g. that Athena sprang from the head of Zeus. This may be all right if it is said allegorically and with reference to something else; otherwise the fiction is a disagreeable one. Thirdly: in all our fictions we must derive corroboration from true facts, as I have done myself, and as Homer often does. Fourthly: fictitious hymns must be internally consistent and not introduce contradictory or conflicting statements, as in the well-known myth in which Zeus existed before all things and is the father of all the gods, and yet married Themis who had been Kronos' wife of old. For if he was before all things, then he was before Themis also; if Themis was before Zeus, then Zeus was not before all things.

Length and elaboration must also be carefully watched in fictitious hymns. Some recent writers, having fabricated a new divine being called Jealousy,
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[^28]have given her Envy as a headband and Strife as a girdle. Pausanias has a special tendency to elaboration of this kind. It is also possible 〈(?) to combine $\rangle$ old and new in poetry, and particularly in prose (?).

As to style, you should suit this to hymns of this nature by keeping an eye on the subject. If your fiction is human, the style should incline to the simple and elegant. (By 'human' I mean subjects not altogether terrifying or supernatural, but, e.g., Poverty or Insomnia.) If the fiction is divine, the style also should be grander.

Note that this kind of hymn is very powerful and a sign of inventiveness.

## DEPRECATORY AND PREGATORY HYMNS

Deprecatory and precatory hymns are commonly, as I have said, combined with all the preceding types, or with most of them, since all who hymn the gods conclude their words with a prayer. Some completely unmixed examples do, however, exist. Thus the following is a deprecatory hymn:

Zeus, greatest and best, thou of the black cloud, who dwellest in heaven,
Let not the sun go down or the darkness come until. . .
And the following is precatory:
Hear me, O daughter of aegis-bearing Zeus, who always
Standest by me in all troubles . . .
In Plato we have 'Beloved Pan' and all the prayer in the Phaedrus. Such hymns must not be wearisome. Prayers must be just, and therefore simple-'that a certain thing should happen'-and also short. They should not give information to the gods, but ask for something which the gods understand perfectly. Moreover, if you examine all the prayers
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and all the appeals [to citizens] made by prosewriters, you will find that they are short. This type of hymn has in fact penetrated to the orators, but in the form of attestations. The passages 'First, men of Athens, I pray to the gods . . .' and 'I call upon Pythian Apollo' contain traces of precatory and deprecatory hymns.

I am aware that some have postulated hymns of puzzlement and questioning in each category; for example, writers have raised questions concerning genealogy-e.g. that of Eros, whether he was the child of Chaos or of Aphrodite, and so on. Or again, they question the subject's power, whether his acts and his sphere of control are human or divine. You see the sort of thing I mean; I maintain, however, that this type of hymn differs in scheme, but is essentially the same as the corresponding basic type. Thus Sophocles' hymn to Fortune involves a 'question' ... (?).

I have said that some hymns are composed of a combination of all of these forms or most of them in like proportions (?). These are also the most complete laudations, and the most appropriate for prosewriters. For a poet, it suffices to take a section and dress it up with poetical elaboration, and then have done; but the prose-writer must attempt to cover all the ground. Aristides provides the most elegant example of this kind of thing in his Speeches Commanded by Prophecy, for he has written of Asclepius and Health . . . [next clause unintelligible].

This concludes our book on hymns to the gods. It explains (?) the principles on which I think poets, prose-writers, and orators may hymn the gods in
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[^31]accordance with the rules of art. Our next subject is the praise of countries and cities, this being our division (?) into volumes. We begin with the encomia of countries, not because anyone has praised a country just by itself without a city, but because the praises of a country are included in encomia of cities.

## BOOK II

## HOW TO PRAISE A COUNTRY

To make the most general classification, praise of a country may be divided into two: nature and position. For we demonstrate that it deserves praise by examining either how it is placed or how it is naturally endowed.
We estimate and judge the position of a country by its relation to land, sea, or sky. Relation to land: is it an inland country, more or less remote from the sea, or by the sea and on the coast? Relation to the sea: is it an island or a peninsula? Relation to the sky: is it in the west, east, south, or north, or in the centre? Some writers have actually defined position in terms of the stars, as the poets say 'under the Pleiades or Hyades' or 'under Arcturus rising' or 'under Hesperus'. We thus estimate the position of a country by these three rules, since the topic of 'seasons' is included in that of 'the sky'.
The nature of a country as a whole, however, we estimate in terms of six topics. It is either (I) mountainous or (2) level, (3) dry and waterless or (4) rich and well-watered, (5) fertile and abundant or (6) barren and less fertile. We judge the superiority or inferiority of a country on these grounds.
To give you examples of all these propositions, I will set out some of each kind. First, however, I must explain the two heads under which the praise must be arranged, viz. pleasure and utility. These
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[^33]are indeed the heads to be kept in view when composing praises of a country. Thus, if you were praising an inland area, you would say, under the head of pleasure, that it has the use and enjoyment with security of the advantages that come from the continent, mountains encircling plains, and plains decked with standing corn; and, under the heading of utility, you would say that the crops that come from the land are truer to their kind, because it is not wave-washed but lies far away from the disturbances of the sea. On the other hand if you were praising a coastal area, you would say that it combines all the pleasures and benefits of land and of sea. If you were praising an island, the heads of pleasure and utility again apply: compare Aristides in the Island Speech. Should it be a peninsula, compare Aristobulus' account of Tyre, Aristides' of Cyzicus in his speech to the Cyzicenes, and Xenophon's of Attica in The Resources.

If it is in the east, it is the first land that greets the sun and is a leader of light for the others. If it is in the west, it is a kind of final flourish that sends the god on his way. If it is in the south, it has been assigned, as it were, the middle of the sky. If it is in the north, it holds the highest part of the earth, exposed to the north wind, like a citadel. If it is central-which is what they say of Attica and of Greece-then 'the whole earth revolves around it, and it is temperate in climate'.

Again, if it is mountainous, it is like a strong man, showing prominent muscles; if it is flat, it is orderly, even, and not bony. If it is dry and waterless, it is 'fiery after the fashion of the aether and the heavens' since the heavens are fiery and dry. If it is rich and well watered, it is 'well endowed both for pleasure and for use'. If it bears all crops, it is 'like a fertile woman'; if it is barren and less fertile, it 'teaches philosophy and endurance'.

It is also to be noted that some encomia are 'of good

[^34]
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repute' [some 'of no repute'], some ambivalent and some paradoxical. 'Of good repute' are those of acknowledged goods, e.g. a god or some other manifestly good subject. ['Of no repute' are those relating to daemons and manifest evil.] 'Ambivalent' are those that are in some sense 'of good repute' and in some sense 'of no repute', such as what we find in the Panathenaic speeches of Isocrates and Aristides; some points attract praise, others blame, and for those they offer a defence. 'Paradoxical' are, e.g. Alcidamas' encomium of Death or the Cynic Proteus' encomium of Poverty. I have inserted this proposition here, because I have indicated how barren, sterile, waterless, or sandy countries should be praised. It is sufficient for the purposes of encomium that it is possible to discover a defence for such 'paradoxical' subjects.

Such are the topics on the basis of which countries should be made the subject of encomia. We must next indicate the corresponding topics relating to cities, so that our treatise may proceed in accordance with our division of the subject.

## HOW TO PRAISE A CITY

Praises of cities, then, are combinations of the headings discussed in connection with countries and those which relate to individuals. Thus we should select 'position' from the topics relating to countries, and 'origins, actions, accomplishments' from those relating to individuals. These form the basis of encomia of cities.

I am going now to give instruction and explanation on the way in which we work up each of these headings.
We form our appreciation of the position of a city according to the topics above mentioned and to a number of others: viz. relation to sky and seasons, to the mainland, to the sea, to the country in which the city lies, to adjacent countries and cities, to mountains or plains. (That a city is well-watered or surrounded by rivers is what I have called a 'country' topic.) Each of these should be considered with reference both to pleasure and to utility, according to the
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[^36]division made above．We must＜exemplify〉 each of these 〈in order to〉 make our discussion clearer and easier to grasp．
I said that＇position＇should first be considered in relation to the sky and the seasons．The consideration is made in terms of cold，heat，mistiness，clearness of atmosphere，or the balance of all seasons．Estimated in relation to the sky，a city＇s position may be viewed in relation to all or to most of these factors，or to some of them．If we can show that the city which is the subject of our encomium is well situated in all chese respects，this is a wonderful state of affairs， and there are many possible starting－points．Failing this，we should try to show that most of these ad－ vantages are present，or，if not most，then the most powerful and important ones．If the city is totally without grounds for encomium from the point of view of position－and this is very rare，since we shall find it to be either in a cold region or in a hot one or in one of temperate climate－but if people lived in Ascra，one would have to make this a cause of praise ［if it is barren and less fertile，one would have to make this a cause of praise］because the inhabitants must perforce be philosophical and enduring．On the same principle，if the place is hot，one should enumerate the evils of cold places，and if it is cold，the evils of hot places．We should regard those cities as having the best climate which enjoy each type of seasonal conditions for a considerable time．Of the seasons themselves，some are judged by their length，others by their shortness．With winter and summer，it is shortness；the shorter and less intense they are，the more there is to praise．With spring and autumn， the opposite is true，since it is a praiseworthy feature that these seasons should be predominant．

Under＇seasons＇we should also place the topic of the products of each city，and this in turn is to be considered under three aspects，namely time，
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quality, and quantity: (i) time: whether they remain intact for the whole, or the greater part, of the year; (ii) quality, viz. pleasure and utility, i.e. whether they are harmless ('utility') and whether they are pleasant to the senses, taste, sight, etc. ('pleasure'); (iii) quantity, i.e. whether they are numerous.
So much for the topics of position with respect to climate and seasons which may serve as themes for encomia on cities. Let us next consider the other elements of position. The second and third of these were relationships with the mainland and the sea.

If the city is continental and very remote from the sea, you will praise the security afforded by its remoteness and adduce those opinions of philosophers which commend continental settlements and those most distant from the sea. You will also enumerate the evils of the contrary situation.

If on the other hand the city is by the sea, or is an island, you will speak ill of continental areas and continental settlements, and enumerate all the good things that come from the sea. You will elaborate specially the position of each individual island or city; it is impossible to compass the whole of this topic because of its infinite variety.
If the city is near the sea or in a coastal area, 'it possesses both sets of advantages'. If it is set back a little from the coast, 'it has escaped the disadvantages of both situations, and acquired the advantages of both'.

Next among the elements of 'position' was relationship with surrounding territory and with neighbouring countries. The point with regard to surrounding territory is whether the city is at the beginning of it,

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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or in the middle, or at the far end. If it is at the beginning it is to be compared to a façade; one says that it protects its own territory within, like the gate to a single house. If it is in the middle, it is like a royal palace or government residence, or the boss of a shield-as Aristides said-or like the centre of a circle. If it is at the end, 'it shrinks shyly from newcomers as a girl from her lovers'.

We shall next consider whether the city is built in the plain and has rough country in front of it, or has level plain in front of it and is built in the roughest area. If built in the plain, 'it sets new arrivals a test, as it were, and makes trial of them', or 'it is well fenced about, as though a wall were raised around it'. If built in rough country, with the plain in front of it, 'it is kindly to new arrivals' and 'is like a citadel whose beacon blazes on high'. If these features are mixed up and give a confused impression, one should praise 'variety', as Aristides has done.

We have further to consider the water-supply in the country. Resources of water should be divided into three: springs, rivers, lakes. Like everything else, these are to be judged on grounds of pleasure and utility; a further division may be made in terms of abundance and natural occurrence. In some places hot springs are also found.

With regard to relationships with neighbouring cities or countries, we ask whether our city is at the beginning, at the end, or right in the centre, whether the other cities or countries are small and obscure or great and famous and whether they are old or new. As regards countries, one might describe it for example [what is now called Asia] as adjacent to
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a great nation and yet not put in the shade by its greatness: as regards cities, as they say of the cities of Asia, 'though they are near to one another, they do not rob one another of their splendour'.

If the city is at the beginning of other nations' territory, one says it is set before them in place of a watch-tower, as Aristides says. (For he says this of Athens.) If the city lies at the centre of many countries and great cities, one says that it is fenced about on all sides by gates for its adornment and ringwalls for its security. If it is at the end, it is as it were the head and summit of the others. If the cities are famous and distinguished, it is 'more famous than the famous, more distinguished than the distinguished' -or 'not less distinguished' or 'not much less'. If they are not famous or distinguished, 'yet they earn name and fame through the city'. If there are ancient countries, their neighbour must be ancient too. If there are ancient cities, 'they are weary with age, but she is in her bloom'; if the city itself is new, 'it has been lately set before them to guard them'.

Let us now consider the remaining topic of what is called 'local situation'. ('Local' refers to the nature of the locality in which the city is built.) In the most general terms-for it is impossible to cover all the individual patterns-every city lies either entirely on a mountain or a hill, or entirely on the plain,〈or partly on a mountain and partly〉 in the plain. If it is entirely on a mountain, it should be praised for this reason on grounds of security and on grounds of pleasure(?) -in peace for the pureness of the air above it, in war because it possesses a natural, impregnable fortress. Disadvantages of hill-sites are extremes of cold, mist, and confined space. One must therefore prove that these features are either cipxaia єï Z 21 seclusimus 26 фv́ $\sigma \iota v:$ num secludendum,
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absent or not present to any great degree. If it is in the plain, the city should be praised because it lies open to view, and has no irregularity in its limbs, but is like a well-proportioned body; the natural condition of the city is, as it were, agricultural, and it is too courageous to take refuge in the mountains, as other cities do. [You will criticize the failings of cities on lofty sites, while avoiding those of cities in the plain.] Disadvantages are drought, heat, exposure to attack, and the like. One must therefore prove that these features are either not present or present only in the smallest possible degree. If the city is built partly in the plain and partly on hills, you will praise its size and variety, saying that it alone has the two sets of advantages that attach to the two different cities, and that it has avoided the disadvantages of both. You will try also to show that it is like many cities in one.

Such are the arguments on which the method is based, and the subjects with which it is concerned.

## [HOW TO PRAISE HARBOURS]

The subject of harbours also falls under this section. Harbours are either in the centre of the city-in which case you will say that it 'takes to its bosom those who sail in under its arms'-or at the entrance to the site-in which case you will say that it 'stands, as it were, on the feet of its harbour'.

Harbours are either natural or artificial. If artificial, you will say that they came into existence because of the city, not the city because of them. If they are natural, 'they do not silt up because they are natural, whereas artificial harbours do silt up'.

There is either a single harbour or a number. If a single 'it is as it were the single bosom of the city'; if many, 'the city extends many hands in friendly welcome to those arriving from the sea'.

You will praise harbours as free from waves, free from wind and sheltered, having many entrance channels, able to dispatch ships in any wind, lying
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at the entrance to great seas, or having deep water up to the shore.

## [HOW TO PRAISE BAYS]

The subject of bays also falls under this section. You should praise them for size, beauty, good proportions, and possession of good harbours and of many harbours.

## [HOW TO PRAISE A CITADEL]

The subject of citadels also falls under this section. Some are in the centres of cities, some at the side. Some are high, but the areas at the top narrow; some are low, but spacious; some have water, some not; some have irregular summits, others are more like plateaux. The best is the one that possesses the advantages and has escaped the weaknesses. We must, however, give some indication of the ways in which each may be praised.

If the citadel is at the side of the city, it is exactly like a dinghy (?), for it comes after (?) the vessel (?). If it is in the centre, the city surrounds it as royal enclosures surround a temple. If it is high, but the area at the top confined, it is like a true holy place, unoccupied save by the gods who hold it. If it is low but spacious, 'the citadel is spacious enough to seem a city.' If it is waterless, this is due to its height; if it is well supplied with water, it is self-sufficient for practical purposes despite its height. If it is irregular, it contains as it were other citadels within itself. If it is level, the city could have (?) been built there so far as good position and convenience are concerned. The best thing, as I said, is to demonstrate
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the presence of all advantages, and the absence of all disadvantages, or at least to show that the advantages outnumber the disadvantages.
So much for the position of citadels.

## HOW TO PRAISE A CITY UNDER THE HEAD OF ORIGIN

The second main head is that which is called 'origin'. It is divided into: founders, settlers, date, changes, causes of foundation. Each of these in turn has many subdivisions: e.g. if we inquire who the founder was, we say whether he was a god, hero, or man, and then, according to status, whether he was a general, a king, or a private individual. If a god, the encomium is the grandest: this is indeed related of some cities, such as Hermopolis, Heliopolis, and the like. If a demigod or hero who subsequently became a god, the encomium is less grand, but still reputable: this is the case with Heraclea and the cities founded by Sarpedon, Minos, or other heroes. If a man, it is reputable enough if he was a general or a king, but there is no repute or distinction if he was a private citizen.

Thus, given the division relating to the founders, we must now grasp the basic proposition, which refers to the entire division, that if the founder is of good repute, there should be a brief encomium both of his other actions and of his foundation of the city we are praising, whereas if he is of no repute and his descendants likewise, this happens either because he has a bad reputation or because he has none at all, and we must therefore either, if he has no reputation, allege that he expected to be known from his founding of the city, as though this was an adequate cause, or, if he has acquired a bad reputation, that he found herein a sufficient defence for his other deeds.

This is the division we shall use to characterize the founder.

We shall divide the settlers into Hellenes and bar-
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barians. If barbarians, we divide them into the most ancient (e.g. Phrygians) or most royal (e.g. Lydians, Medes, Persians, Ethiopians, Scythians). The procedure is clear if one goes according to the proposition just stated: it is necessary to demonstrate that the races which settled the barbarian city you are praising are either the oldest or the wisest or the most imperial or, in general, possess one excellence or more, or all to the highest degree(?). With Hellenes, they should belong to the races believed to be the noblest. The three races which are the primary ones and also the best known are those of the Dorians, Aeolians, and Ionians. The Aeolian race is much the strongest, the Dorian the most courageous, the Ionian the most distinguished. A Hellenic city should therefore be shown to come from one of these stocks. By these means we shall form a judgement of the race of the inhabitants; we shall assume that the praises we give of the races will apply also to the settlers: e.g. Smyrna and Ephesus belong to the most distinguished group, and Rhodes and many of the cities in Crete to the most courageous, and similarly with the others.

The third division of origin, we said, was 'date'. This is divided into three periods. (i) The oldestwhen we may say that a city or country originated before the stars or with the stars or before the flood or after the flood, as the Athenians say they originated with the sun, the Arcadians before the moon, and the Delphians immediately after the flood. (These are landmarks and as it were beginnings in time.) (ii) The middle period-e.g. the flowering time of Hellas or of the power of Persia, Assyria, or Media; Syracuse and some Ionian cities, and most of those of Hellas and of barbarian lands, are of this date. (iii) To the last and latest class belong cities founded under the Romans; all the most recent cities were founded by them.
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Thus if the city is very ancient, you will say that oldest means most honourable, and the city is eternal like the gods. If it is of the middle period, 'it is not declining or growing old and enfeebled, nor yet is it newly set up'. If it is new, 'it is in its bloom like a girl in her prime' and 'its civic life is full of more and greater promise'. The more recent cities must be shown not to be inferior in dignity to the oldest, and those of the middle period to be able to stand on their own in comparison with both.

Let this suffice for the date of cities.
The fourth topic is that of changes. This is divided as follows. A city is the result either of a colonization or of a union or of a transference, or of growth or of settlement where there was none previously. Colonization is the origin of most Hellenic cities in Ionia and the Hellespont and the islands. Union is exemplified by Megalopolis in Arcadia. Transference is illustrated by what Aristides tells us of Smyrna: it changed its site, he says, three times. 'Growth' applies to former villages turned into cities by kings. First settlements are cities which some have brought into being which did not previously exist at all.

In addition to all these, there is often a change affecting the name. People call the same city or country, a.t various times, Cranaa or Cecropia or Acte or Attica or Athens. Similarly, they call the Peloponnese sometimes Pelasgia, sometimes Apia, sometimes by some other name. This type of change affords no occasion for praise, unless one is praising the men or gods after whom the cities are named.

I shall now explain how we are to praise each type of change.

If it is a colony: 'they were settlers from a very great and famous city', 'the settlement was founded in a famous manner', 'it seized its site by force', 'they left home in a spirit of friendship, not as refugees from revolution or war'. These are general indications of
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what you ought to look for in the praise of colonized cities.

If you praise a city which results from a union, you will show that its parts are very important, for the more highly you praise them, the higher your encomium of the unified city. You will consider the cause of the union and who the people were who joined together. Again, you have an indication of the type of thing.

If the city is a 'transference', you need to show that it changed its site not because of disaster, but for the sake of beauty; and that it became bigger and more beautiful as a result of the change. You will make a point of discovering whether it has moved once or many times: if once or twice, 'it first set out a rough model of itself'; if many times, 'it is like a city that moves and walks'. The causes of the moves should be concealed so far as possible if they are bad, e.g. earthquakes, sacks, plagues, and the like. On the other hand, if they are good, you may use them also as bases of the encomium. So much for this.

If the city is the result of growth, there is no difficulty in seeing what topics of encomium one might employ. You can say that it has come to its great size in the course of time, like a growing body, and that therefore you expect it to advance still further.

If the city you are praising became a city as soon as it was founded, the topic of the differences between this and cities which have developed out of villages will afford many opportunities for praise. Cities of the present type are 'like men who are of note from their birth, and were not slaves before becoming free or private citizens before becoming rulers'. So much for this method.
If the city has developed from a village: 'As in an army the best general is the former colonel, the best colonel the former captain, and the best captain the former common soldier, so the best city is that which
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has been tested in trials．＇By following this track，you will have no lack of topics for praise．〈Let〉 this much〈be said〉 concerning changes and types of change； ＜change of name〉 in my view has nothing much to offer for encomium－or perhaps just a little，if we praise the god or man who has given his name．

The next topic to＇change＇was to be＇cause＇．This is divided into five parts，and I must next explain how we may make this division and develop our praises．

The causes of the foundations of cities are either divine，heroic，or human．Again，they are occasioned by joy or by grief．Yet again，they are classified according to what are called＇heads of purpose＇， viz．〈justice，honour，〉 expediency，and necessity．A ＇divine cause＇exists in respect，e．g．，of Rhodes or Delos：Rhodes because Zeus，Poseidon，and Hades， having divided the world among themselves，left no share for Helios，and，when they became aware of this，were about to draw lots afresh，but Helios said that he would be satisfied if they brought Rhodes to light；Delos，because it rose from the sea for the birth of Apollo and Artemis．＇Heroic＇causes exist for Salamis in Cyprus or Amphilochian Argos： Salamis was founded by Teucer in exile，Argos by Amphilochus the son of Amphiaraus．Many cities have＇heroic causes＇of this kind．＇Human causes＇ may be illustrated by those related of Babylon，which Ninus＇wife（？）Semiramis built［he means＇queen＇］． All the Roman cities founded by Roman emperors have causes of this type．

This is the first division．
The second is that some cities were founded for joy， and some for grief：for joy，at a marriage or birth or
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victory or the like. I must give examples of these also. Marriage: they say that Memphis was founded to commemorate the marriage of Aphrodite and Hephaestus $\langle.$.$\rangle Victory : Thessalonica was settled by$ the Macedonians after the victory over the Thessalians, Nicopolis at Actium by the Romans after the victory over Cleopatra. Grief and sorrow: it is related that Bucephalus in India was founded in memory of Alexander's horse Bucephalus, and Antinoopolis in Egypt by Hadrian in memory of the death of Antinous.

The principle of this division also is, I imagine, now clear to you.

The third division was to be the one that proceeds according to the 'heads of purpose'. 'Justice': Rheneia, because Minos, in founding it, dedicated it to Apollo, and piety is justice. 'Honour': Alexandria, because Alexander wanted to found the greatest of all cities under the sun for honour and glory. 'Expediency'; Heraclea Pontica, because Heracles founded the place in the course of pushing back the barbarians. 'Necessity': the cities founded on the river Ister by the Romans, called Carpian towns, which were meant to prevent the barbarians crossing and doing damage.

Such being the 'causes', it is to be observed that divine ones give the greatest prestige, heroic ones come second, and human ones third. Again, 'causes'. based on joy come first, those based on 'grief' second; 'causes' derived from those of the 'heads of purpose' which arise from abundance confer greater prestige, though causes which derive from necessity or expediency are more useful. One should dwell at greater length on the more glorious, less on the others. The
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topic is absolutely essential to the praise of a city for an orator in any circumstances.

Of these causes themselves, the divine and heroic are of a mythical nature, the human are more convincing. Human causes should therefore be amplified, heroic and divine ones both amplified and confirmed.

This is the sum of what I am able to contribute on the handling of the topic of 'origin'. Book III will be concerned with accomplishments and actions. You will recall that we said that encomia of cities should be based on these heads also.

## BOOK III

## HOW TO PRAISE CITIES FOR AGCOMPLISHMENTS

Some accomplishments are to be seen in the political system, others in sciences or arts, others in abilities. I shall try to make clear what divisions ought to be made within these sections or branches themselves.

There are three political systems: kingship, aristocracy, and democracy. Corresponding to these are three defective systems: tyranny, oligarchy or plutocracy, and laocracy. Besides all these, there is the system which is a mixture of them all, such as that of Rome and Sparta in ancient times.

Thus if you are praising a city and it is a tyranny, you must represent it as a kingdom, as Isocrates did in Nicocles; if it is a laocracy, represent it as a democracy, as Isocrates did in the Panathenaicus and Plato in his Funeral Speech; if it is a plutocracy, treat it as an aristocracy; if it is mixed, 'it has the best features of all'. (Plato says this in the Laws of the Spartan constitution, and Aristides says it in his Roman Oration.) In addition to all these, motives for praise may be found in demonstrating that a city did not use all these types at the same time, but one at one period
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and one at another; this is what Isocrates and Aristides say of Athens in their Panathenaic speeches.

A further point about the political system is that it is best for the city to be ruled in accordance with its own will, not against its will, and for it to observe the laws with exactness, but not to need laws. This last section of praise, however, is virtually useless today, since all Roman cities are governed by one (?). It was necessary however to mention it for the sake of completeness.

As for accomplishments in branches of knowledge, <these are subjects for praise〉 if they-viz. astrology, geometry, music, grammar, philosophy, such things being accomplishments in branches of knowledgeare notable in a given city (?). For example, it is said that the Mytilenaeans are very proud of their lyreplaying, the Thebans of their flute-playing, [the Delians of their dancing,] and the Alexandrians even nowadays of their grammar, geometry, and philosophy.

Accomplishments in arts are either 'vulgar' or 'liberal'. 'Vulgar' are the arts of the goldsmith and bronzesmith, carpentry and the like. . . . One may praise a city on these grounds either with reference to the quantity of work or to the exactness of the craftsmanship. They say that the Athenians were very proud of their sculpture and painting, the Crotoniates of their medicine, and other peoples of various other arts.

Accomplishments in the field of abilities are rhetoric, athletics, and the like. The Aeginetans are proud of their athletics, and the Hermopolitans <of rhetoric (?) $>$.
In addition to these accomplishments, we also consider activities, to judge if the city is governed with good order. This relates to the daily lives of men and women
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and the education of children, since one has to demonstrate that the appropriate part(?) has been assigned to This is women, men, and children in their daily lives. what Dion did in his speech entitled Oration for Tarsus.
Such then are the principles on which the accomplishments of cities may be assessed. Their actions are to be assessed in terms of the virtues and their parts. These too I must categorize for you. The virtues, as we said, number four: courage, justice, temperance, and prudence. All actions performed either by individuals or by cities are judged in terms of these virtues themselves and their parts.
The parts of justice are piety, fair dealing, and reverence : piety towards the gods, fair dealing towards men, reverence towards the departed. Piety to the gods consists of two elements: being god-loved and god-loving. The former means being loved by the gods and receiving many blessings from them, the latter consists of loving the gods and having a relationship of friendship with them. This second quality again has an element of words and an element of deeds; deeds may be private or public, 〈public〉 deeds may be in peace or in war. There is no other way in which piety of a city may be displayed.
We must give examples of each of these also.
Instances of being 'god-loved' may be found in what is said of the Athenians, Rhodians, Corinthians, and Delphians. Of the Athenians, it is said that Athena and Poseidon competed for their land; of the Rhodians, that Zeus rained gold on them; of the Corinthians and the Isthmus, that Helios and Poseidon competed ; and of the Delphians, that Apollo, Poseidon, Themis, and Night did the same. In this section, we have to show that the greatest number or the best of the gods have honoured the city with the greatest or the first or the most numerous honours. 'Most numerous gods' applies to the Athenians: it is said that Dionysus, Apollo, Poseidon, Athena,
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Hephaestus, and Ares-all of these or most of themhave honoured Athens. 'Best gods' applies to Zeus at Olympia and Nemea. 'Greatest honours' is applicable to the Athenians, because the gods gave them corn; 'most honours' also to the Athenians, because they claim that every provision of their life comes from the gods. 'Most necessary honours' are to be found in the case of the Egyptians, who claim that astronomy and geometry came from the gods. 'Most . . . (?)' applies to eloquence and philosophy; these are considered especially the prerogative of the Athenians.
This is how we should consider what we have called 'being loved by the gods' for the purpose of our technical need (?). 'Love of the gods', as I said, is to be assessed (a) in private terms, by inquiring whether each individual citizen devotes himself to the service of the gods, (b) in public terms, in many ways: by inquiring whether they have instituted rites of initiation or established many festivals or sacrifices which are either very numerous or most punctiliously performed, or have built very many temples to all the gods or many to each god, or perform the duties of priesthoods very scrupulously. These are the points under which love of the gods shown by cities is assessed.
Nowadays, it is difficult to find piety in individuals, though many cities lay claim to common piety and zeal for the gods. If therefore you can show that the city of which you are giving an encomium is one of these, you will have provided it with fame enough.
So much for piety towards the gods.
'Fair dealing' is divided into relations with visiting foreigners and relations among the citizens themselves. A part of it also lies in having fair and humane
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customs and precise and just laws. If the citizens neither wrong foreigners nor do harm to one another and have customs that are equal and fair and laws that are just, they will manage their city with the highest degree of excellence and justice. (Nowadays, however, the topic of laws is of no use, since we conduct public affairs by the common laws of the Romans. Customs however vary from city to city, and form an appropriate basis of encomium.)
'Reverence' falls into two classes, since honours are given in connection either with the funeral of the deceased or with the customary observances at memorials and tombs. As regards the funeral, note that at Athens the display of the body must be before sunrise, or in Thurii at night, or there is a day fixed for this, as at Athens, and so on. As regards annual ceremonies, we should note what the libations consist of, how many there are, how long the proceedings continue, what age-groups participate, what days are banned. All this falls under 'reverence'.

Such is the division of justice and its parts which should be borne in mind by an orator composing an encomium of any city whatever on grounds of justice.

After justice, let us consider temperance and prudence.

There are two tests of temperance, in public life and in private domesticity. In public life, it is involved with the education of boys and girls and with marriage and cohabitation and the regulations concerning offences against good order. Many cities elect officials to control women, in others it is thought wrong for a young person to be seen abroad before mid-morning or after late evening, or for a woman to keep a shop or do any other market business. At some festivals, as at
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Olympia, women do not appear at all. These points should therefore be observed in encomia. In private lives, 〈we consider〉 whether there is very little adultery or other bad behaviour in the city.

Similarly with prudence. In public affairs, we consider whether the city accurately lays down customs and the subjects of laws-inheritances by heirs and other legal topics. (This theme, however, is also pointless, because we use the common laws of the Romans.) On the private side, the question is whether there are many famous rhetors, sophists, geometers, and representatives of other sciences which depend on wisdom.

Courage is assessed in peace and war. In peace, we see it in relation to accidents of fate-earthquakes, famines, plagues, droughts, and so on. In war, we see it in relation to <results, causes, and> actions under arms. Results (?) : there must be either a victory or a defeat, and therefore we need to show that the city bore its defeats with fortitude and its victories with humanity(?). Causes (?) are (a) either against Greeks or against barbarians, (b) either unnecessary or necessary: the unnecessary have the greater glory, the necessary have the greater justification. Actions are of good repute, ambivalent repute, or no repute. They are of good repute if both the cause and the result are good, like the action at Marathon, where both the result and the cause are of the best class. They are of ambivalent repute if the result is bad but the cause good, like the Lacedaemonian action at Thermopylae, or the result good but the cause bad, like the action of Athens at Melos. Of no repute are actions in which both the cause and the result are bad, like the Lacedaemonian action at the Cadmea.

Of the actions themselves, some are public, others private: a private one is the action of the Lacedaemo-
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nian survivor at Thyrea，a public one is the action of the Lacedaemonians at Thermopylae．Public sub－ jects are thus of better repute．I shall explain how to arrange these elsewhere．

It is generally held that a separate topic，distinct from these，is made up of the honours conferred on cities by kings，rulers，or notable men：e．g．the Romans have made some cities independent and free．This，however，is a mode of argument（epi－ cheirèma），not a general topic，for it is an argument from authority．You may learn more about this tech－ nique from the treatise on epicheirēmata；the present work is concerned not with these but with the general topics on the basis of which cities may be praised（？）．

You must also bear in mind that whole subjects may be found in a single part of a city：an address may be delivered on the occasion of the construction of a bath or a harbour or the restoration of a quarter of the city．In these cases，remember not to formulate a complete division，but only as regards the subject which has been set，handling everything else with the greatest possible brevity（？）．

A further observation to be made about encomia of cities is that some are common to all times，some to special occasions．〈They are special to occasions〉 when the speeches are made at feasts or festivals or at a competition or a gladiatorial show．They are common when they have no such pretext．In festival speeches，most time should be spent on the particular occasion，e．g．if it is a feast or a festival or a gathering for competitions of feats of arms，athletics，or music．

Let me explain now how to praise each of these．
Assemblies and festivals can be praised either on particular or on common grounds．The common grounds are the thesis－topics of the blessings of festivals for mankind．The particular are［the so－ called circumstantial parts］：（i）person，in three aspects：whether the festival is in honour of gods，
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[^45]heroes，or kings；who summons it；who goes to it； （ii）place：whether the location of the meeting is convenient，and whether people come from widely separated areas（for what is much sought after has value）；（iii）time：whether it is at the healthiest and pleasantest time of the year；（iv）cause：whether people expect to be happier and better；（v）material ： whether the celebrations are costly and magni－ ficent．

Perhaps I should give you examples of these，to make it easier to follow．

For＇common＇topics，compare the passage of Isocrates beginning：＇Those who have established festivals have justly been praised ．．：〈For＇particular＇ grounds，compare the following：（i）Person：＞the festival is held in honour of a god－the Olympian festival，in honour of Zeus；of a hero－the Isthmian for Palaemon and the Nemean for Archemorus； or of a monarch－the Sebasteia in many places． Who summons it？Perhaps Athenians or Romans， since it contributes to the repute of the festival that those who proclaim it should be of high repute． Who goes to it？Are they very numerous or of very high repute－the latter as at Olympia，where the most notable gather together；the former as at the Hebrews＇festival in Palestine，where they gather in great numbers from many nations？（ii）Place： where the festival is held，e．g．at Delphi at the navel of the earth；where people come from，e．g．from the ends of the world to the Pythian festival；what places they pass through－e．g．at Olympia the ascent is very difficult，but people do risk it．（iii）Time：if it is an annual occasion，＇it is not sought after for rarity， like other festivals；yet，though it happens often，it is in
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no way behind the others in splendour': examples are the Lenaea, the Eleusinian mysteries . . . the Nemean and Isthmian games. If it is every three or four years or at a longer interval, like the Pythian and Olympian games, or the Daidala at Plataea, which happens every sixty years.
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## I-II THE IMPERIAL ORATION (BASILIKOS LOGOS)

The imperial oration is an encomium of the emperor. It will thus embrace a generally agreed amplification of the good things attaching to the emperor, but allows no ambivalent or disputed features, because of the extreme splendour of the person concerned. You should therefore elaborate it on the assumption that it relates to things universally acknowledged to be good. It clearly follows that you should derive the prooemia from the amplification, investing the subject with grandeur on the ground that 'it is hard to match' and you 'have entered into a contest in which it is difficult to succeed in words(?)', or else you may congratulate your own words because 'they have come to a trial of actions, attended by good and brilliant fortune; if they enjoy this, they will be able to win great glory'. Alternatively: 'Having, as we do, so many blessings from the emperors, it is absurd not to return them our due and proper offering.' Or again : 'The two greatest things in human life are piety towards the divine and honour to emperors; these, therefore, we should honour and hymn to the best of our ability.' The prooemia of this speech also admit amplifications based on indefinite examples: e.g. as if we were to say, 'And as it is impossible to take the measure of the infinite sea with our eyes (?), so it is difficult to take in the fame of the emperor in words.' This idea may be found not only in the imperial oration but in all epideictic subjects, especially those which are in a higher stylistic tone. 'We thus propitiate the emperor with words as we do the divine power with hymns and praises.' You may obtain
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ideas for the second prooemium (when this is added for amplification) either from the grandeur of Homer -'this alone is what the subject needed'-or from Orpheus the son of Calliope, or from the Muses them-selves-'scarcely would even they have been able to speak worthily of the subject; yet there is nothing to prevent us making an attempt as best we can'. The third idea for the prooemium-remember this precept generally!--should be one that is introductory to the main heading, e.g. in the form of the speaker's uncertainty about the point with which to begin the encomium.
After the prooemia, you will come to the topic of his native country. Here you must ask yourself whether it is a distinguished country or not [and whether he comes from a celebrated and splendid place or not]. If his native country is famous, you should place your account of it first, and mention it before his family, not, however, dwelling on it, nor spending many words on the subject. This encomium is not peculiar to the emperor, but applies generally to the inhabitants of the city. It is therefore wise to pass over the inessential parts quickly. If the city has no distinction, you must inquire whether his nation as a whole is considered brave and valiant, or is devoted to literature or the possession of virtues, like the Greek race, or again is distinguished for law, like the Italian, or is courageous, like the Gauls or Paeonians. You must then take a few features from the nation, instead of from the native city, associating the emperor's praise with this also, and arguing that it is inevitable that a man from such a [city or] nation should have such characteristics, and that he stands out among all his praiseworthy compatriots, since he alone was thought worthy of the throne. Examples from history may be added: all the Thessalians were brave, but only the son of Peleus was thought to deserve the leader-
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ship of the race, plainly because of his superiority to all others.
If neither his city nor his nation is conspicuously famous, you should omit this topic, and consider whether his family has prestige or not. If it has, work this up. If it is humble or without prestige, omit it likewise, and start with the emperor himself, as Callinicus did in his great Imperial Oration. Alternatively, you can say something about the family on these lines: 'I should have spoken of his family, but since the emperor's own achievements prevail over everything, let us make haste to speak of him. Let others flatter families and say what they will of them; I shall praise the emperor by himself, without his family. He suffices by himself, without any glory taken from elsewhere.' Or again: 'Many seem to be of human stock, but in truth are sent down from God, and are verily an emanation of the higher power. Heracles was believed to be the son of Amphitryon, but in reality he was the son of Zeus. So our emperor is by repute of human origin, but in reality he has his begetting from heaven; for he would not have won such a prize and such honour, except in virtue of being superior to those of this world.'

After disposing thus of the topic of his origin, inquire next about the birth of the emperor himself. It must be carefully noted that, if we find ourselves able to conceal lack of repute by some technical device (compare what we said in connection with the topic of family, viz. that, if this is not of high repute, you could say that he is divinely born), we must do just this; if there is no such technical resource, we must omit the topic.
After country and family, then, let the third heading, as we have just said, be 'birth', and if any divine sign occurred at the time of his birth, either on land or in the heavens or on the sea, compare the circumstances with those of Romulus, Cyrus, and similar stories, since in these cases also there were miraculous happenings connected with their birth-the dream of Cyrus' mother, the suckling of Romulus by the
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she-wolf. If there is anything like this in connection with the emperor, work it up; if it is possible to invent, and to do this convincingly, do not hesitate; the subject permits this, because the audience has no choice but to accept the encomium without examination.

After 'birth', you must say something about 'nature', e.g. : 'Straight from the labour of his mother's womb, he shone forth radiant in beauty, dazzling the visible universe, rivalling the fairest star in the sky.'

Next comes 'nurture'. Was he reared in the palace? Were his swaddling-clothes robes of purple? Was he from his first growth brought up in the lap of royalty? Or, instead, was he raised up to be emperor as a young man by some felicitous chance? (Look out similar examples, if any, and insert them at this point.) If he does not have a distinguished nurture (as Achilles had with Chiron), discuss his education, observing here: 'In addition to what has been said, I wish to describe the quality of his mind.' Then you must speak of his love of learning, his quickness, his enthusiasm for study, his easy grasp of what is taught him. If he excels in (?) literature, philosophy, and knowledge of letters, you must praise this. If it was in the practice of war and arms, you must admire him for having been born luckily, with Fortune to woo the future for him. Again: 'In his education, he stood out among his contemporaries, like Achilles, like Heracles, like the Dioscuri.'
'Accomplishments' also will give scope for discussion (?) ('accomplishments' are qualities of character not involved with real competitive actions) because they display character. For example: 'He was just (or temperate) in his youth.' Isocrates used this idea in Evagoras, in the passage where he shortly goes on to say: 'And when he became a man, all this was increased, and many other qualities were added.' Similarly, Aristides in the Panathenaicus shows that Athens was humane (he treats this quality as an 'accomplishment') in harbouring the refugees.
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Next to 'accomplishments' now comes the topic of 'actions'. You must grasp and observe the rule that, when you are about to pass from one heading to another, there ought to be a prooemium about the subject you are now going to treat, so as to make the hearer attentive and not allow the intended scheme of the main headings to pass unobserved or concealed. It is a feature of amplification to make the hearer attentive and win his concern, making him think he is about to hear something very important. (Add also a comparison to each of the main heads, comparing nature with nature, upbringing with upbringing, education with education, and so on, looking out (?) also examples of Roman emperors or generals or the most famous of the Greeks.)

You should then divide such 'actions' into times of peace and times of war, and put war first, if the subject of your praise has distinction in this. Actions of courage should come into consideration first in such subjects: courage reveals an emperor more than do other virtues. If, however, he has never fought a war (a rare circumstance), you have no choice but to proceed to peaceful topics. If your encomium is of warlike actions, you should speak of them under the head of courage, not under any other virtue; if it is of actions of peace, you should not put it under courage, but under other virtues. Always divide the actions of those you are going to praise into the virtues (there are four virtues: courage, justice, temperance, and wisdom) and see to what virtues the actions belong and whether some of them, whether in war or in peace, are common to a single virtue : e.g. wisdom, for it belongs to wisdom both to command armies well in war and to legislate well and dispose and arrange the affairs of subjects to advantage. Thus under 'actions of war' should be mentioned deeds of courage and deeds of wisdom, in so far as the actions
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of war are connected with this. In the treatment of actions of war, you should describe the natures and situations of the places where the wars took place, rivers, harbours, mountains, plains, and whether the country was bare or wooded, 〈level or〉 rocky. You should also describe traps and ambushes laid by the emperor for the enemy and by the enemy for the emperor. Then add: 'Through your wisdom, you discovered their traps and ambushes, but they understood nothing of what you were doing.' You will also describe infantry battles, the equipment of cavalry for battle, and the engagement of a whole army against a whole army. Also sea-battles, if any. There are many such things in the historians, in the Persian wars in Herodotus, in the Peloponnesian war in Thucydides, in Theopompus' Philippica, and in Xenophon's Anabasis and Hellenica. You should also describe the emperor's own battles, and invest him with all impressiveness (?) and knowledge, as Homer does for Achilles, Hector, and Ajax. You should also describe his armour and his campaigns, dwelling on (?) the moment of prowess and engagement, when you describe the prowess of the emperor. You will also have an opportunity here to relax in the middle of the speech-this is an innovation we have learned from recent writers-and let a country or a river speak, as if in a play. Thus Homer makes a river say:

Truly, you are the victor, Achilles, but your deeds Are very wicked.
Similarly with a country: we can say that it blames the audacity of those who have dared to resist, and was crowded with the bodies of the fallen. 'If the Ister had been poetical, like the poetical Scamander, it might have said, I fancy :

Away from me! Do deeds of horror on the plain.
My lovely streams are full of corpses,
And nowhere can I roll my waters down . . .'
and so forth.
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After this moment of relaxation, you should introduce other successes, and link trophy with trophy, victory with victory, routs of cavalry, massacres of infantry. You will also have an opportunity here to link up a passage on wisdom, saying that he was himself the planner, the commander, the discoverer of the moment for battle, a marvellous counsellor, champion, general, and orator. At or near the end of the section on actions, you should say something about a third virtue, viz. humanity: 'Justice is a portion of his humanity: for when victorious, the emperor did not repay the aggressors in kind, but divided his actions in just proportion between punishment and humanity; having done what he thought enough to chastise, and having stopped at this point out of humane feeling, he conceded that the relics of the race should be saved, partly in order that the remnant might remain as a memorial of what had befallen them, but partly also to demonstrate his humanity.'
When you have finished with actions of war, you should proceed to a passage on peace. This you should divide under the headings of temperance, justice, and wisdom. Under 'justice' you should commend mildness towards subjects, humanity towards petitioners, and accessibility. 'Thus not only is the emperor to be admired for his deeds in war, but even more so for his acts in peace. Who would not revere him for his deeds?' You can add that 'just as the sons of Asclepius rescue the sick, just as fugitives obtain security in the inviolate precincts of divine power-for we make no attempt to drag anyone away-so also he who comes into the sight of the emperor is freed from his perils'. You should say also that he sends just governors around the nations, peoples, and cities, guardians of the laws and worthy
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of the emperor's justice, not gatherers of wealth. Mention also the tributes he imposes and the supply of his forces, pointing out that he is concerned also for his subjects' ability to bear those burdens lightly and easily.

Of his legislative activity, you should say that his laws are just, and that he strikes out unjust laws and himself promulgates just ones. 'Therefore, laws are more legal, contracts between men are more just.' Anyone who thinks that legislation is solely a matter of wisdom should consider that, though the actual framing of laws belongs to wisdom alone, the command to do what is right is a function of justice. A tyrant, for example, understands by wisdom what is expedient or inexpedient for him to lay down as law, but his legislation is unjust, while that of the king is just.

After justice, you should extol the emperor's temperance, which goes closely with it. What is to be said here? 'Because of the emperor, marriages are chaste, fathers have legitimate offspring, spectacles, festivals, and competitions are conducted with proper splendour and due moderation.' 'People choose a style of life like that which they observe in the emperor.' If the empress is of great worth and honour, you can conveniently mention her also here: 'The lady he admired and loved, he has also made the only sharer of his throne. For the rest of womankind, he does not so much as know they exist.'

After this comes 'wisdom'. At the beginning of your treatment of each virtue, you must employ prefatory ideas, as we said. In regard to wisdom, you should say that the emperor would not have been capable of carrying out all these deeds, nor would he have borne the weight of such mighty matters, if he had not surpassed all men on earth in wisdom and understanding, which enables lawgiving and temperance and all other virtues to come to successful fruition. Then you can add that he is 'quick to see, clever in understanding, better than a prophet at foreseeing
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the future, the best judge of the good counsel of others, and well able to tell the difficult from the easy'.

You should end the discussion of these topics here, and next mention Fortune. 'And brilliant fortune, it seems, accompanies our mighty emperor in all things, both actions and words. He succeeds in all things beyond expectation. He has been vouchsafed the gift of children'-if this is so-'and all his friends wish him well, all his household troops are eager to run risks for him.'

You should then proceed to the most complete comparison, examining his reign in comparison with preceding reigns, not disparaging them (that is bad craftsmanship) but admiring them while granting perfection to the present. You must not forget our previous proposition, namely that comparisons should be made under each head; these comparisons, however, will be partial (e.g. education with education, temperance with temperance), whereas the complete one will concern the whole subject, as when we compare a reign as a whole and in sum with another reign, e.g. the reign of Alexander with the present one.

After the comparison comes the epilogue. In this, you will speak of the prosperity and good fortune of the cities: the markets are full of goods, the cities of feasts and festivals, the earth is tilled in peace, the sea sailed without danger, piety towards God is increased, honours are given to all in due fashion. 'We fear neither barbarians nor enemies. The emperor's arms are a safer fortress for us than our cities' walls. We acquire prisoners as slaves, not by going to war ourselves, but by receiving them from the emperor's victorious hand. What prayers ought cities to make to the power above, save always for the emperor? What greater blessing must one ask from the gods than the emperor's safety? Rains in season, abundance from the sea, unstinting harvests come happily to us because of the emperor's justice. In return, cities, nations, races, and tribes, all of us,
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garland him, sing of him, write of him. Full of his images are the cities, some of painted tablets, some maybe of more precious material.' After this, you must utter a prayer, beseeching God that the emperor's reign may endure long, and the throne be handed down to his children and his descendants.

## III the speech of arrival (epibaterios)

A speaker who proposes to deliver a 'speech of arrival' clearly proposes either (1) to address his native city on his return from travel or (2) to address some city which he visits or (3) to address a governor who has come to stay in a city.

In all these circumstances, the prooemium is based on joy from the start, since the speaker must give the impression of sharing either the pleasure of the cities at receiving an admired and praised governor, or that of the governor in coming at a moment of good fortune; or else express his own private pleasure at seeing a city or a governor whom he has long desired to behold. If it is the arrival of a governor, you should say at once: 'With fortunate omens have you come from the emperor, brilliant as a ray of the sun that appears to us on high. Thus a happy report long ago brought word of your fortunate arrival and the enviable lot of the subject peoples . . .'-then you make the point that 'now you confirm the report in action' or 'surpass' it, or whatever the situation allows.

After this prooemium, you come to the passage about the subjects. This takes two forms. (i) In one, you should give a vivid portrayal of the situation in which they were badly treated by the previous governor, and amplify their hardships, not, however, speaking ill of the predecessor, but simply reporting the subjects' misfortune. Then go on: 'When night and darkness covered the world, you were seen like the sun, and at once dissolved all the difficulties.' You must elaborate this and not pass it over quickly:
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'All men breathed again, when the dangers passed over like a cloud.' (ii) Alternatively, you may assume that they have not endured hardships, and proceed: 'We have only just ceased confessing to our governor the great benefits he has conferred, and now we have heard tidings of good things. On the point of passing, as it were, from festival to festival, from beauty to greater beauty, we have come forth to meet you, bright-faced and rejoicing.' And again after this: 'We owe very great thanks to the emperors for their other labours on our behalf, but we should be right to admit yet greater gratitude to them for sending down to us such a man as this

In this type of speech, try always to abridge the encomium of the emperor, and not dwell on it, so as to avoid doubling your subject. If you have actions of the governor to relate, you should do so. If not, you should compose an elaborate description of his native city or nation, and give a geographical account of it, noting the most celebrated and commonly mentioned features of the country or city. 〈For example, say> that he is an Italian, and from what famous [country or] city, e.g. Rome, he comes. Consider also the actions of his family. If you are short of actions by the man you are praising, pursue the encomium on these lines, so as not to make the subject dry and barren. Then say: 'I am sure that the son of such parents, competing with his ancestors, will be good and just to us; for they were just men. He will therefore judge for us better than Aeacus, than Minos, than Rhadamanthus-nay, men of Hellas, I prophesy yet better than this . . .' These and similar remarks may be made on the theme of justice: 'No one will dwell in prison unjustly, or be unjustly punished; the rich will not be preferred nor the poor man's just cause fall to the ground. So let our rich men cease to boast of their resources
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and our poor men cease to complain of their weakness.' Having said this, you should proceed to the praise of his courage. 'He will represent our cause to the emperor in writing-his ancestors always acted as ambassadors'-this if you can find that they were often holding office-'he will face up to danger like a good helmsman, to save the ship as the waves rise high.' You can go through the other virtues then by the following method, explaining how he has this and the other quality-e.g. that being temperate, he will be above gain and above pleasure. Finally, to put the seal on all that has been said, you should introduce the section on wisdom, saying that he will do all these things out of wisdom and understanding: 'For if a man understands everything that is right, and examines everything with care, how can he not be seen and confessed by all men to be one who will rule for the benefit of those under him?' In any subject, you should arrange the virtues as you see it to be expedient for your case, and as you find the sequence of the argument admits. Since we cannot adduce comparisons for the actions, because no actions by the governor have yet been seen, we compare his family to some lineage of great repute, the Heraclids or the Aeacids. In the section on virtues, however, we make no comparisons: how can we, when nothing has yet happened? We shall however adduce parallels by a device of technique; e.g. under justice: 'If Phocion and Aristides and men like that desired fame from their deeds, our hero will surely not pass over the repute that comes from such things, but just as they have earned eternal renown through virtue, so he will desire to leave the memory of noble deeds in our minds. He will rival Minos, imitate Rhadamanthus, compete with Aeacus.' You should proceed on similar lines with each virtue, constructing your praises on the basis of future expectation, using conjecture and natural consequence.
The following is the manner of constructing the comparisons relating to the subject as a whole.
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'Previous rulers, here as elsewhere, either had the reputation of being proud solely of their family, or else made a display of wisdom or some other single virtue. This man, however, has been shown to be as far superior to all others in family as the sun is to the stars, and will soon be admired for his virtues also, being seen to be superior in justice to those for whom justice is a source of pride, superior in courage, in wisdom, and in temperance-or at least not inferiorto any who have had the reputation of priding themselves on the actions that spring from these virtues.' You will then be able to mention demigods and generals in the comparison, seeing that you are here comparing all the virtues together.

The epilogue should be elaborated by having regard to the scope of the subject, representing (?) the inhabitants greeting the governor: 'We have come to meet you, all of us, in whole families, children, old men, adults, priestly clans, associations of public men, the common people, greeting you with joy, all welcoming you with cries of praise, calling you our saviour and fortress, our bright star: the children call you their foster-father and their fathers' saviour. If the cities could speak and take the form of women, as in a play, they would have said: "O greatest of governors, O sweetest day, the day of your coming! Now the sun shines brighter, now we seem to behold a happy day dawn out of darkness. Soon we shall put up statues. Soon poets and writers and orators will sing your virtues and spread their fame throughout mankind. Let theatres be opened, let us hold festivals, let us avow our gratitude to the emperors and to the gods."'

What has been said applies to a governor who has but lately come to his subjects. If we are making an arrival speech for a governor who has ruled the nation for a long time but has only lately visited our own city, we must adopt the same (?) topics of joy, as
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described; but one should also describe his actions, under the heads of the several virtues, after the expressions of joy. Divide the speech thus: brief encomium of the emperor; then a similarly brief encomium of the governor's ancestry (if distinguished); then his actions, with a separate comparison for each virtue; then a general comparison; and finally the epilogue.

The feature which the arrival speech is held to possess over and above the speech of address (prosphōnètikos) is the section of joy, following the prooemia, which are themselves based on joy. There is, however, no objection to giving a complete elaboration of the headings after the prooemia. In this kind of subject -viz. addresses and arrival speeches-you may use either a single prooemium, or (often) two, and sometimes indeed three when the subject demands this.

If it is desired to use this form [the arrival speech] in addressing a city, it should be noted that the speaker will draw the material for his speech from his own attitude and goodwill towards the city, and from its visible appearance, making mention also of its traditions in accordance with regular method: e.g. 'I had long yearned for these gymnasia and theatres, the beautiful temples and harbours of this city. Who would not admire the superlative splendours of our special treasures? And now I have gladly beheld [the special treasures], and rejoice in my heart. Some take pleasure in one thing, some in another: some in horses, some in arms. But I love my country, and I believe there is no difference between my desire for it and my desire for the rays that the sun spreads abroad as he appears out of the Ocean. For what could be greater than the city founded by . . .?' At this point, you should launch into a brief encomium of the founder. If the city is an imperial foundation and the present emperors are in accord with the emperor of that time, you can say that their ancestor founded it, 'for the throne and the dignity unite the families'. If, on the other hand, they detest
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him as a tyrant, you can say that the city belongs to the whole world and there are some cities which individuals founded, but this was founded by the whole world.

Immediately after the prooemia, which are based on joy, you should elaborate a section containing an amplification of the opposite sentiment: 'I was naturally distressed and grieved in the past, because I could not behold such beauties, or the loveliest city on which the sun looks down. But when I beheld her, I ceased from grief, I shook off distress; I see the vision of all I longed for, not as images in dreams or reflections in a glass, but the shrines themselves, the acropolis itself, the temples, harbours, and colonnades.'

After this you should add, as a second section, a modest encomium of the founder himself. In a third section, you should describe the nature (?) of the country; how it lies with regard to sea, mainland, and climate. You should elaborate each of these in reasonable proportions. In the passage concerned with relation to mainland, you should describe beauties of plains, rivers, harbours, mountains; in regard to the sea, say how convenient it is for visitors and by what seas it is washed-here there should be a description of the sea; in the section on climate, you should show that it is healthy. Under each of these heads, you should adduce a comparison. This may be of country against country: e.g., 'it is well situated, like Italy, but is superior because Italy lies in a part of the world near to barbarians, or at the end of the world, whereas this land is near Hellas or in the centre of the world, and is better endowed in relation both to the continent and to the sea.' In regard to climate, the comparison should be with Athens or Ionia.

Following these comparisons and the elaboration of the particular arguments, you should add a discussion of the city's situation within the country, since discussion of the nature of the country is naturally followed by discussion of the situation of the city. In the course of this, you should say whether it
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[^54]lies in the centre of the country or rather towards the sea or near the mountains. Discussion of the city's situation will indeed have a special treatment, but there is nothing to prevent one putting the nature of the country and the situation of the city together under one head, viz. that of nature : for in the case of a country, nature and situation are the same, except that situation denotes where the place lies, and nature the things in the situation, e.g. crops, mountains, plains, rivers, vegetation; situation will therefore include nature, but nature will not necessarily include situation, since vegetation, rivers, high mountains, and so on come under the heading of nature. To put it briefly, consideration of the nature of a country has two aspects: one involves its position in relation to each of the elements, the other involves what grows in the country.

After the section on nature, you should place the section on nurture, if you have material for it in the tradition, as Aristides was able to do, when he said that the Athenians were given their crops by Demeter and then passed them on to others. If you do not have the possibility of this, praise the customs, as falling under the heading of accomplishments. (Accomplishments are an indication of the character and policy of the population, independently of competitive actions.) You should say therefore that they are hospitable to strangers, law-abiding in regard to contracts, dwell together in harmony, and behave to strangers as they do to one another.

Since it is an arrival speech that we have set ourselves, the following idea must be repeated in all these sections, so as not to let the speech get out of harmony with its main intention: 'This is what attracted me, this is what I longed for, because of this I felt no peace by night or by day, burning as I was with love for this: nor was it only this that roused me to desire. There were yet greater and more marvellous things . . ' Here you should lead into the next topics of encomium.

If the speech is not one of arrival, but merely a patriotic one, say nothing about love and joy:
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[^55]merely elaborate the encomium without any such addition，using all the headings enumerated（or soon to be enumerated）here．The headings of which we have spoken are these：nature，nurture，accomplish－ ments．（Peculiar to the arrival speech is the element of joy；everything else is common．）

Following＇accomplishments＇，then，you should divide＇actions＇under the four virtues．（1）Justice： here you should take the evidence of the neighbouring peoples，who＇regard the city as a standard of justice and come to conduct their legal affairs with us； as the Athenians received from their ancestors the Areopagus as a court for the contests of justice，so our neighbours treat our city，and no foreigner，no private citizen of our own，no one of our neighbours or of the surrounding population has had cause to bring complaints against our city either over boun－ daries or over any of the matters about which cities are habitually in dispute．＇Take up next the subject of the merchants who come here by sea：＇They leave other cities and choose to put in here，because they have experience of our humane ways．＇Then make the point that we do not levy the duty on cargoes（？）， and they are not penalized illegally．（2）Temperance should be discussed in terms of self－control and educa－ tion of the young．You should argue that some are engaged in literature and philosophy，some in arts and other kinds of knowledge；those so concerned must despise strange ways of love，and prepare their minds to busy themselves with better things．（3）As to wisdom，you should say that＇those whose lot has fallen within the same nation and race come to us in admiration，to deliberate together on matters of common concern，as the Greeks of old came to Athens，and our city is a common assembly and place of deliberation for the nation．If there had still been need of lawgiving，it would have legislated for mankind universally，as Sparta and Athens did
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once for the Greeks. For where are there more Solons than with us? Where are there better Lycurguses, Minoses, or Rhadamanthuses [the lawgivers of the Cretans]?' (4) As to courage, you should say that, though many embassies have often been sent to the emperor from many nations, no ambassadors have spoken with greater frankness or dignity than those coming from our city.
You should work up individual comparisons for each of the virtues, followed by an over-all comparison of city with city, taking in everything, including the preceding sections (nature, nurture, accomplishments, actions). Wherever you find the city which is your subject to be on equal or superior terms, you should set out the contrast in your comparison; where it is inferior, however, this is something you ought to pass over quickly. When Isocrates compared Theseus with Heracles, he set out the points in which he found Theseus superior, but said nothing of those in which he found Heracles superior.
After the comparison should come the epilogue. In this you must describe the actual form of the city, and talk of its colonnades, temples, harbours, prosperity, wealth, imports by sea, race-courses (if any), festivals, luxurious baths, aqueducts, groves in the city itself, the surroundings-e.g. any wealthy temple, oracle, or precinct of the gods, since these also contribute to the city's glories.
To supplement all these precepts read the speeches of Callinicus, Aristides, Polemo, and Hadrianus, and imitate their treatment, not only in the topic of 'nature', but elsewhere also, and especially in the epilogue.
These remarks apply universally to the 'patriotic' and 'arrival' speeches. The peculiarities of each in the division of material have been distinguished. But if, my dearest friend, you should wish to deliver a Trojan oration you should first 〈give〉 an encomium of the country. (This is simply a speech comprising a
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description of its nature and position in relation to the adjacent mainland, neighbouring sea, and climate.) You should then describe it elaborately and splendidly. You should speak of rivers, mountains, [horses,] plains, crops, and trees, and say how conveniently it is placed with respect to these and not deficient in any.

To demonstrate what is said in this section (on 'position'), you should take up some ancient traditions by way of confirmation. E.g. 'it was because of this, therefore, that when Laomedon obtained the empire of Dardanus and Tros (?), we were the fortunate possessors of great and famous kingdoms, and our fathers did not rule over a small area of sea or a few islands, or some limited regions like the Peloponnese, but reigned over Lydia and extended their rule over Caria; they advanced to subdue all the East, and Egyptians, Blemmyes, and the tribes of the Erembi confessed they were our subjects-they who in the last days are seen to have been obedient to us, in the alliances and gatherings of allies.'

Such is the demonstration of this section. The section on the nature and position of the country is thus filled out with historical material.

Next, you must insert the passage on the city. Thus: 'Of old, the gods fell in love with the land, and Poseidon and Apollo fortified Ilium a little above the sea, as the citadel, as it were, of the whole land.' If you are in competition with Athens, you must employ (?) the argument from their decision by consent: 'not coming to one another in enmity, as they did at Athens, but both of them in agreement, as though they thought nothing more fair'. 'And, later, Alexander, who was no way inferior to Heracles and is thought as good as Dionysus-Alexander who,
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[^57]being truly the son of Zeus, subdued the greatest and most extensive part of the world by his own sole hand-took this to be the most suitable site, and, having planned a great city to bear his name, raised it up to be this city of ours.'

After this, you should speak about the city, how it lies in the centre of its territory, or inclines a little towards the sea, and so on, according to the general division of the subject.

The 'talk' form is extremely useful to a sophist. It seems to fall under two kinds of rhetoric, the deliberative and the epideictic, for it fulfils the needs of both. If we wish to praise a ruler, it yields abundant store of encomia : we can indicate his justice, wisdom, and other virtues in the form of a talk. We can also easily give advice in this form to the whole city and all our audience and (if we wish) to a governor who attends the delivery of the speech. Nor is there anything to prevent one revealing to the audience in a 'talk' some anger or pain or pleasure of one's own. It is possible also to give the whole thought a special slant by making a jest of it or trying to satirize (?) someone's character or finding fault with his way of life, or something like that.

We shall give examples of this and then endeavour to explain the other features of this kind of composition.

Let us assume we are to deliver an encomium of a provincial governor in 'talk' form. We shall investigate his attitude to the emperors, to the construction of cities and public buildings, to the trials of private individuals, and also what he is like in himself-whether affable and gentle, or severe and reserved. We shall find an example to illustrate this, an old story or one of our own invention, so as not to
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appear to be dealing in bare facts, in which there is no charm. The 'talk' indeed likes sweetness and the delicacy attained by narratives. A speech may be lent 'sweetness' by the insertion of examples making the speaker's intentions clear, and by the choice of stories which are very agreeable to the audience to learn, e.g. stories about the gods, showing how their nature is to take thought for mankind, or a reference to Heracles and how he always obeyed the commands of Zeus and laboured for the life of men, extirpating the unjust and setting up the good to care for cities; or again, how Agesilaus obeyed the Lacedaemonians' orders, ruled Ionia and the Hellespont brilliantly, and was so much admired that he was garlanded and pelted with flowers by his subjects when he visited the cities. Herodotus' history is full of pleasant narratives. In these, every kind of charm is added to the writing, not only by the novelty of the stories, but also as a result of a certain type of word-arrangement, when the style employed is not rough or periodic or argumentative, but simpler and plainer, like the casual and unelaborate manner of Xenophon, Nicostratus, Dio Chrysostom, and the Philostratus who wrote the Heroicus and the Pictures. One may also invent dreams or claim to have heard some report and want to pass it on to the audience; e.g. (i) dreams: suppose I were to say: 'Hermes appeared to me by night and bade me proclaim the best of governors; it is in obedience to his commands that I shall proclaim in the midst of the theatre what I heard him say'; (ii) hearing a report: one might say: 'someone from a neighbouring city told me of many wonderful qualities, which I should like to tell you about, if you have the time to listen.'

You can give advice in 'talk' form about concord
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to a city, to your audience, to friends, or to persons engaged in political opposition and disturbance, urging them to bring themselves together in mutual goodwill. You should sometimes advise them to be willing to listen to speeches, if you know them to have a distaste for literature and to be reluctant to meet. You may express your feelings, saying for example that audiences do not often invite you or make you speak; you can invent some such fable as this: 'Apollo was for ever prophesying at the tripods; he had seized Castalia and Delphi and was filling the prophets with the spirit of divination. But he was neglecting the Muses. The Muses were therefore distressed, and asked why he did not share in the dances on Helicon with them, but prophesied in his shrine apart [from the Muses] and longed rather for his tripods.' You can say also, with figurative reference to yourself and to your audience: 'Zeus blamed the Muses for not encouraging Apollo to dance with them and strike his lyre.' You should also make your pleasure obvious to the audience, when you accept their attention as critical hearers, by saying that you are pleased to see such an attitude, just as Isocrates was pleased when he read his Panegyric to the Greeks at Olympia and saw that they evidently appreciated the grandeur of the speech. You should often ridicule or find fault, but without mentioning names, sketching the personality, if you so wish, and criticizing the character. Just as in praising it proved possible to ground encomia on any virtue, so it is possible here to criticize and find fault on the ground of any vice, as desired. It is sometimes possible also to take a defensive line and make the hearer favourably disposed towards the public appearance one is about to make, often by speaking with disarming moderation-'the cicada mimics the singing birds'-but often also by asking pardon, alleging that one's work is extemporized, or that one is offering one's country and fellow citizens the first-fruits of a literary career,
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as farmers offer their harvest festival to Demeter and Dionysus．
It is also to be noted，as a general principle，that a＇talk＇does not aim to preserve a regular order as other speeches do，but allows the treatment of the subject to be disorderly．You can put anything you please in first or second place．The best arrangement in a＇talk＇is to avoid proceeding always on the same track，but to display continuous disorder．One moment，you may praise the subject on the basis of origin，the next on intention，the next on recent events that have affected him；sometimes again on the basis of fortune，sometimes on a single action．But enough of this；other points also may be made．（？）
You may also address your native city on returning after a long absence，and beholding it with great joy．In these circumstances，you should quote the lines of Homer－＇he kissed the fertile ground＇，＇re－ joicing in his native land＇－and greet the audience in your speech both collectively and individually， though without mentioning names，and in such a way as not to give a name openly，but to express pleasure at seeing as old men those whom you left in the prime of life，as grown men those you left as students，and as youths those you left as children．Again：＇Nothing is sweeter than one＇s fatherland and parents．＇
（We should note as a general rule about the＇talk＇ that we are able to express any subject we choose in this medium，without observing any technical rules of order， but taking things as they occur，so long as we aim to make each point at the proper time and understand what it is expedient to put in first or second place．）
You should also mention Athens itself，the place you are coming from：the hierophants and torch－bearers， the Panathenaea，the contests of literature，the Museums，the teachers，and the young people．These
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[^62]themes afford much 'sweetness'. You should also list outstanding lyre-players-Orpheus, Arion, Amphion -and notable flute-players and prophets, and indeed the successes of famous artists generally. You can mention famous mountains also-Olympus, Pieria, Ida, Helicon, Parnassus; these give much charm to the 'talk' form. There should also be a good deal about Dionysus and the Dances, Silenuses and Satyrs, the river of Ocean, the Nile, Ister, Achelous, Eurymedon, and Tiber, and other famous rivers. Very useful for the 'talk', as for many other and varied educational uses, are Plutarch's Lives. They are full of stories, apophthegms, and proverbs; it is useful to use these as ingredients of talks, so that we can get pleasure from them all. We should also look for metamorphoses of plants, birds[, and trees]. The poet Nestor and some sophists have written metamorphoses of plants and birds, and it is extremely profitable to read these writings. You must also remember the famous poets, Homer, Hesiod, and the lyricists. They deserve to be recalled for their own sake; but they have also praised and blamed many persons, from whom you will be able to draw examples. (Nor should you neglect Archilochus; he punished his enemies very adequately in his poetry, so that you will be able to make good use of him when you want to criticize people.) These poets are excellent as people-they always associated with kings and tyrants and gave very good advice ; and quotations and reminiscences of their poetry are also excellent, because they have 'sweetness' and are very suitable for lending your writing charm.

I have now explained sufficiently how it is possible to praise, blame, 〈encourage, and〉 dissuade in the medium of the 'talk', and how this may also be used to express mental attitudes of one's own, such as
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pain, pleasure, anger, etc. The point has also been made that the type of style should be simple, plain, and unadorned. We have learned that the form allows no order laid down by rule. Let us add now that 'talks' must not be long, unless one intends that they should form the entirety of the performance. Proportion is a good thing, while the garrulous waste of words involved in piling historical instance on historical instance, myth on myth, and narrative on narrative, is acknowledged to be in bad taste.

There is also the 'valedictory talk' (suntaktike lalia); e.g. if, being about to sail from Athens to our home city, or again from our home city to Athens, we express our distress at departure, indicating our (?) grief; we must then proceed to formal encomia of the city we are about to leave. For example, with reference to Athens: 'Who would choose to leave without a tear the mysteries, the sacred proclamations enjoining the march to Eleusis and back from Eleusis to the city? Who could endure to leave behind the beauty of that acropolis, the festivals of Dionysus, the Panathenaea, the chosen men, fosterlings of wisdom and virtue?' And so we can fit in all the glories of the city, the beauty of the buildings, the magnificence of the festivals.

It is also possible to deliver a talk on arrival, at the moment of landing in one's native city, as mentioned above in connection with the Homeric quotations. In such a talk, the speaker must at all costs display his love for the city, starting from the present moment, and saying how joyful and happy he is to have sailed into the harbour, how he has seen the beauty of the countryside, how he has gone up to the acropolis, how he has embraced his fellow citizens, one and all, in deed and in word, how he thinks all his contemporaries his brothers, and the rest his father's
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## ПЕРІ ПРОПЕМПТІКНГ
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brothers, and the whole city one family, and how he never forgot his native city on his travels. Add also 'Let me now (?) describe its special glories, those which do not belong to other cities', and then proceed to an encomium of the governor if he is present, and to one of your father (?) or the political life of the city: 'Who would not long for a political life in which there is concord and friendship, and all men are joined in virtue . . .?' and so on.

The usefulness of the talk is indeed manifold: it can elaborate every subject appropriate to an orator.

A propemptic talk is a speech which speeds its subject on his journey with commendation. It likes delicacy and the charms of old-world narratives. There are many varieties of 'propemptic'. One is that which admits advice in some part, the other parts admitting encomiastic and amatory passages, if the speaker wishes to add these. It can admit advice when a superior is sending off an inferior, e.g. a teacher his pupil, because his own position gives him a character which makes advice appropriate. A second type is also possible : in this, the speaker will be able to express a passionate and ardent attitude to the departing person without the addition of advice; this is when the reputation and position of the two parties are equal, e.g. when a friend sees off a friend. Even if the speaker in these circumstances is superior to the person who is going away, nevertheless the common title, the fact that both are friends, deprives him of his advisory status. A third type again allows greater expansion in the encomia, indeed it consists almost wholly of these: this is when one wishes to present as a 'propemptic' what is really an encomium, for example if we are bidding farewell to a governor at the end of his term of office or because he is moving
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to another city. In saying this, I do not mean to deprive any of the varieties I have mentioned of the emotions of love. The propemptic talk always rejoices in these. What I am trying to show is that there are times for making greater use of these emotions and times for making less. In the case of the governor, one can include the desire and love that whole cities feel for him.

You should divide the propemptic talk somewhat as follows. Let us suppose a young man seeing off a friend. He will complain to Fortune or to the Loves, as though he had suffered some extraordinary and unexpected blow, because they do not allow the bond of friendship to hold firm, but keep injecting new desires to make the man who agreed and consented to maintain indissoluble friendship again feel love for his country and want to see his parents, forgetting as it were the treaty of friendship he made with his friend. Alternatively, the speaker can approach the audience as though they were a jury, bringing a charge against his friend, pretending he is making a claim in accordance with his agreement with him; then you will proceed by urging your hearers not to allow him to transgress, and support the argument by historical instances and examples. As historical instances you will have the comradeship of Theseus and Heracles, the inseparable friendship of Diomedes, Sthenelus, and Euryalus. Examples may come from animals; one can show how horses and cattle that habitually associate in flocks, and birds also, find separation painful. At a later point in the speech, you may perhaps recall the exercises, the wrestling, the gymnastics you shared with him. After this address to the audience as jury, you may introduce, as a third point, an encomium of the city-a plea, as it were, to suspend the decision to go. 'Does not love of Athens, her mysteries and initiations, hold you fast even so-or her libraries and lecture-halls, the
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[^66]literary rivalries of her teachers? The Areopagus, the Lyceum, the Academy, the beauty of the Acropolis, all so laboriously and so delightfully fashioned . . . I fear you had no love after all: "What shall become of our treaties and oaths?" How proud I was of my friends! What a safe stronghold I thought I had in my friend! And now I am stripped and robbed like Ajax without his shield, I shall dwell in desert places, I shall be called a misanthrope, as they say Timon was. Why form a friendship, only to be hurt when he breaks the bond? I envy the wild beasts that love a solitary life.'
This kind of material will occupy the first part of your propemptic talk. You should display this kind of character in relation to a close friend by speaking in affectionate terms in this section of the propemptic, as the division suggests. When you come to the rest, you should again complain of having failed to persuade him as you wished, and you can then conclude: 'Since the decision has been taken, and I have lost, let us concur with his wishes.' Thus you will come to the regular encomiastic topics. 'Happy parents of such offspring! Happy city for your sake! You will gladden your parents by your success, you will be your city's champion in courts of law, in rhetorical competitions, on embassies, in literary rivalries.' To give confirmation to this, you can say you have personal experience of his uprightness and self-control, wisdom and courage, excellence as a speaker, and so also have all his teachers and friends. You should relate actions here to demonstrate good qualities, if you in fact have actions available. You can also say that he will be useful to emperors when they recognize him for his outstanding qualities, and may one day be head of a school-but not an Isocrates or Isaeus or Lysias or a man like that. These
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remarks are appropriate if the man you are seeing off is a highly educated person; it will be proper to praise him by suggesting that he will perhaps be a teacher of rhetoric and educate the young. If you mention anything which does not apply to him and which everybody knows does not apply to him, it not only seems unconvincing, but you will make yourself suspect for other occasions and you will have an uphill job with your audience. One must always concur with what is commonly admitted. In the case of such a person, you can also say that when there were literary competitions at the Mouseia, he was praised by his teachers above all his contemporaries. As Ephorus and Theopompus, Isocrates' pupils, won garlands for being better than the others-Isocrates used to offer a garland every month as a prize for the best of his pupils-so your friend was seen to be the best, and was thought worthy of praises no less valuable than any garland.

Since physical beauty contributes to happiness, describe (?) also the young man-how wonderful his glance, how wonderful to behold him! Describe his beard, eyes, hair, and so on. To raise the tone of your description and to avoid the scandal which might come from admiring his beauty, work up his personality with considerable dignity, saying that he adds to his beauty by the self-restraint of his morals (?); he does not lightly give himself to many, but consorts only with the best men, the best speeches, and the best books. After this, you have an opportunity to praise his native city: it is splendid and glorious, no less than the most famous cities, and he will be seen there in his splendour in a splendid and prosperous setting.

Finally, bid him remember old acquaintance, kindness, and friendship. Ask him to ease the pain of separation by remembrance and by literature. If he is going by land, describe the journey and the
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country through which he travels-how he will pass, perhaps, through Thrace, praised and helped on his way, honoured for his eloquence-how he will appear in Lydia and Phrygia. If he is going by sea, you should call to mind the deities of the sea, Egyptian Proteus, Glaucus of Anthedon, Nereus, who will escort him and race beside the ship; the dolphins and whales will rejoice, fawning or fleeing as Poseidon guides his ship. And let the ship haste on her way, 'bearing the god-like hero', until in your speech you bring him into port. Then conclude with a prayer, asking the gods for every blessing on him.

## [VI] THE EPITHALAMIUM

The epithalamium is called by some the 'wedding speech' (gamèlios). It is a speech which hymns bridal chambers and alcoves, bride and bridegroom, family, and above all the god of marriage himself. It delights in stories of charm and love, for these are germane to the subject. This type of composition has been attempted by some in a more formal style and by others in one closer to non-oratorical prose. The formal type will obviously have a concentrated character due to its oratorical procedures; it will possess features of real oratory, including elaborate prooemia, by means of which you may either invest the subject with grandeur by amplification based on the personalities of the couple, if bride and bridegroom are people of distinction, or else explain the reason why you have come forward to speak: 'I am a relative of the parties to the marriage, I was invited to speak, I am returning a service for benefits received before'; or again: 'I am indulging friendship', or whatever of the kind comes to mind; or again: 'When rulers and cities and peoples gathered together and chose to join the feast, it was absurd to stay silent and not gratify such a gathering or be willing to join the company at the feast.' Do not let
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your prooemia lack grace, however, even if they are in the formal style. Let them have (so far as possible) pleasing thoughts appropriate to the subject; if not, at least pretty and charming words-alcoves, hymen, marriage, Aphrodite, Cupids-so that they may be both suitable to the subject and highly pleasing to the audience.

Such are the prooemia of the formal speech. In the relaxed or non-oratorical style, they have less artifice but are without expansive ornament; the presentation is more explicit, as in a treatise, though with the same ideas. In this more relaxed manner, one may begin with a narrative, using it to express one of the ideas we have mentioned : e.g. 'When Dionysus married Ariadne, the young Apollo was there and played his lyre', or: 'At the marriage of Peleus, all the gods were present, and the Muses too, and each of them was concerned to give a wedding present appropriate to himself; so one gave gifts, another played the lyre, some of the Muses played the flute, some sang, and Hermes made the announcement of the marriage. And I see the same kind of thing here with us now: some are leaping around, some shouting for joy, and I am speaking and singing of the marriage.' Or alternatively: 'When Megacles married Agariste, and the noblest of the Greeks met together, no poet, no prose-writer was late; the orator spoke, the historian read his books aloud to the company, and everyone sang the praises of the marriage. Our lady here is no whit inferior to her of Sicyon, so that the same thing has happened a second time (?).'

So much for the prooemia. The subject will give us truer ideas, perhaps more relevant ones, for the needs of any particular occasion. After the prooemia there should follow a sort of thematic passage on the god of marriage, including the general consideration of the proposition that marriage is a good thing. You should begin far back, telling how Marriage was created by Nature immediately after the dispersal of
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Chaos, and perhaps also how Love too was created then, as Empedocles says. Once born, Marriage unites Heaven with Earth and Cronos with Rhea, Love assisting him in these operations. You should go on to say that the ordering of the universeair, stars, sea-took place because of Marriage: this god put an end to dispute and joined heaven with earth in concord and the rite of wedlock; whereupon all things were separated and took up their proper stations. Continuing, you should tell, as a natural sequel, how Marriage also created Zeus and set him over the kingdom of the universe; nor did he stop at the gods, but brought into being the demigods, by persuading the gods to unite with women or nymphs. You should then proceed to tell how he also made ready to create man, and contrived to make him virtually immortal, furnishing successive generations to accompany the passage of time. He is better to us than Prometheus, for Prometheus merely stole fire and gave it to us, while Marriage gives us immortality. You should develop this section by showing how it is due to Marriage that the sea is sailed, the land is farmed, philosophy and knowledge of heavenly things exist, as well as laws and civil governmentsin brief, all human things. Nor should you stop here: you must show how the god touches even streams and rivers, creatures that swim and those of the land and of the air.
You should incorporate narratives in all this: stories of rivers-e.g. how Alpheus the Pisan loves the Sicilian spring Arethusa and goes against his own nature, and, like a passionate bridegroom, goes bubbling through the sea, seething, to the island of Sicily, and falls into the lap of his beloved Arethusa and unites with her-and stories of creatures that swim, for it is plain that the beasts of the sea know the rites of marriage, like those of the land and all that fly. Marriage subdues to his rite even the savage and horribly roaring lion, and yokes him to the law
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of Aphrodite; he does the same to wild leopards and all such beasts. As to trees, you should point out that they too are not without their part in marriage, for the tendrils on leaves are devices of trees for mating, and these too are inventions of the god. On a higher level still, when you refer to the gods, you can tell a story or two, either about Poseidon marrying Tyro in the estuary of Enipeus [Enipeus is a river of Thessaly], or about Zeus marrying Europa and Io, or the like. There is much information of this kind in poets and in prose-writers, from whom you can draw supplies. You should also quote from Sappho's love poems, from Homer, and from Hesiod, who also has said a great deal about unions and marriages of gods in his Catalogues of Women.

After the passage on marriage, in which you have hymned the god, you will come to the encomium on those contracting the marriage. (What has been said and what is now to be said applies equally, in its entirety, both to the formal and to the relaxed speech, which should differ from each other only in the style.) Such encomia have two methods. You may link family with family, not making a comparative evaluation, so as not to appear to disparage one family or overvalue the other, but none the less proceeding by a method of comparison, since like is being linked with like. A certain obscurity and dryness attends this mode of treatment, because of the interlacing, and you must guard against this as far as possible, and constantly bear in mind the necessity for clarity. Alternatively, you may avoid both linking and the comparative method, but praise separately first the bridegroom's family, as it may be, and secondly the girl's. In these circumstances, one must look for the more celebrated and put this first. You should not dwell long on the topic of the family, for fear of being tediously long-winded, and also because the subject does not have this professed intention, but weddings and bridal alcoves. I must however give
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you a little technical advice about the topic of 'family'. If the families are very celebrated, you should magnify them, taking the whole of the material together briefly, and then proceed to do the same from various separate points of view, always bringing in their public actions and benefactions. If the families are of a moderate and inconspicuous kind, one should consider the immediate parents, to discover whether they were distinguished or well known in the city, and use them for preference as a basis for your argument. If, on the other hand, the immediate parents are obscure but the family as a whole more distinguished, one should try to base the encomia rather on the family. Let your speech pursue the greater distinction. If one of the families is distinguished and the other not, set against the distinction the other family's virtues (temperance, moderation). You will find there is no shortage of starting-points. If neither of the families possesses any splendour, one must briefly praise their character and manners and their moderation and so have done with the discussion of this without anyone detecting it, and pass quickly on to the bride and bridegroom.

The third general topic is that of the bridal pair. This is most elegantly handled if it proceeds by complex counter-examination: e.g. 'marvellous is the youth, marvellous too the maiden; skilled is he in educational accomplishments and (?) with the lyre; famous is he for the arts of the Muses, she for the dignity of her character'. If you are not in a position to say this, you can say that he is outstanding in letters, she in weaving and in the works of Athena and the Graces. Alternatively, in complex form but without counter-examination: 'Who would not praise the virtues of both, the temperance in them, the moderation inherent in them?' One can also praise the couple by separating the praises of the two and keeping them distinct, though beauty must always be treated as regards both of them, in the form of a comparison: 'Is not she like the olive, most beautiful of plants, and he like the palm?'
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[^70]'He is like a rose, and she is like an apple.' You should also describe what the young man's glance is like and what he is like to see, how graceful and fair of face, how shaded with youthful beard, how newly come to manhood. As for the girl, be cautious in describing her beauty because of the scandal that may be caused, unless you are a relation and can speak as one who cannot help knowing, or unless you can remove the objection by saying 'I have heard . . .'

The fourth general topic is derived from the description of the bridal chamber and alcoves, and the gods of marriage. For example, we may say: 'The city has assembled, it all joins the feast. The alcoves are prepared, such as no one had before. The chamber is adorned with flowers and paintings of all kinds; it is full of the charms of love. I am convinced the Cupids are there, their bows drawn, stringing their arrows, the tips ready smeared with the ointment of desire, whereby they will ensure that the two hearts breathe together (?). Hymen shall kindle the lamps and torches for us with the wedding fire.' You must mention the Graces, Aphrodite, and, shortly after, Artemis the goddess of childbirth, saying that she will soon take charge and play the midwife: 'you will bear children like yourselves and of superlative excellence.' You should conclude the speech with a prayer.

It will also be possible sometimes to give an ambitious description of the god of marriage in the early part of the speech, in the general thesis. You could say: 'He is young and ever flourishing, carrying a torch in his hands, slender, his face lit with a blush, dripping desire from his eyes and brows.' You may also be able sometimes to describe Eros instead, either at the beginning of the speech or at the end. If you personify Marriage at the beginning, then it is obviously Marriage whom you will describe. If Eros, then you will describe Eros, and consequently
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choirs of maidens and young men and acrobats (as in the Shield in Homer). However, it may be most appropriate to say these things at the end of the speech, when it comes to the bridal chamber. This is the right time for such passages, whereas in the general thesis at the beginning it is more in place to describe either the god of marriage or Eros, whichever of the two you have chosen to personify.

## [VII] THE BEDROOM SPEECH <br> (KATEUNASTIKOS)

The bedroom speech is a very brief one, confining itself to the essential points; the essential points are the relevant ones, and the relevant ones are those appropriate to the bridal chamber, the union of the couple, the alcoves, Cupids, hymeneal songs, and the rite of marriage. Poets make up their bedroom poems out of exhortations and encouragements to enter the bridal chamber. We should not depart much from this model, for the bedroom speech is an exhortation to intercourse. So let us take up Heracles or some other figure who has shown courage in marriage, not indeed treating all Heracles' heroic deeds, but only his achievements in his unions with women or nymphs, so that the speech may give an impression of charm. We shall pronounce the encomium of the bride with brevity, not an encomium of her temperance or wisdom or the other virtues of the soul, but of her youth and beauty, these being the only germane and relevant topic. In the young man, similarly, we praise prowess and strength, urging him not to disgrace all these things, when there will be so many witnesses on hand the morning after the initiation. In this, one must be careful not to seem to say anything scandalous, cheap, or vulgar, by lowering oneself to scandalous or trivial things. One must say what is honourable, dignified, and pleasing. You should
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begin with something like this: 'Young man, we have made the preparations for this marriage, the enormous expenditure, the assembly of the best people, simply because we want you to make a demonstration of the prowess and strength you possess, so that your family and we who are your contemporaries can feel proud of you. Do not take this demonstration lightly. If we were athletes and had to compete at Olympia or Pytho against other athletes, there would necessarily have been a prize offered, a herald present, a judge of the victory, and a public race-course. But since the performance is the rite of marriage, Eros the umpire, Hymen the herald, and the bedroom the race-course, beware of behaving like a coward in battle and fearing the rout before the engagement: imagine rather that Desire stands by you on one side, and Marriage on the other, while Love judges the contest and Hymen cries out "Go, fight in a manner worthy of your fathers". The moment of the rite is one dear to the god of marriage: it is evening, clear and brilliant, the Wain is already visible and Aphrodite's star illumines the heavens; the sky is adorned with the choirs of stars.' After some delicate description of this nature concerning the time, you should proceed to argue from the banqueters and from the company present: 'Some applaud, some have both you and the girl on their lips and tongue, and wonder which of you will be found the stronger for the ceremony; perhaps every man is telling his neighbour of his own youthful exploits, while some are praying that they have a like festival themselves.'

You may also exhort him by a reference to the beauty of the chamber, which the Graces have adorned, to the beauty of the girl, and to the marriage gods who attend her: 'Aphrodite and Desire will hand her to you, and put her in your hands, that you may produce children like you and like her. If she tries to deceive you "with guileful chatter",
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beware of the deceit; she wears Aphrodite's cestus, wherein is deceit through words.' [You will exhort him also by reference to the girl's youth and figure and beauty.] You should add : 'You will see (?) delightful dream visions that prophesy the future to you with happy augury, children, lifelong harmony, increase of property, praiseworthy management of your wealth.' You should also advise the bridegroom, as he enters into the business, to pray to Eros, Hestia, and the gods of birth to help him in his enterprise. Then you should add a prayer, asking the gods to grant them goodwill and harmony, happiness (?) in their union, a mingling of souls as of bodies, so that the children may be like both parents. In this way, you will be able to treat such topics concisely. And if the bridegroom is a brother or a relation, say what is apt to relations: viz. that 'the friend who encourages you is no stranger, but a friend and wellwisher, one of those who most share your pleasure; you must obey'. If you have the advantage by being married yourself, say: 'I have experience in such contests myself, and I was not overcome or defeated; if there had been a prize, I should have won it for my splendid performance.' If the bridegroom is a stranger, you should make a further division of the theme: if he is young and so are you (?), you will say with Homer 'Be brave, that men of the future may speak well of you'; if he is older, say 'do not give the company grounds to suspect your weakness'. And you may add: 'so that you can provide children for the city, who will flourish in letters, in generosity, in charitable benefactions'. You should also add material based on the season. If it is spring: 'Nightingales and swallows sing to you and charm you; now they lull you to sleep, soon they will wake you at dawn with their chatterings-initiated.' Or again : 'Now the earth is adorned with flowers and made
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beautiful with plants, as you are now in the bloom and height of beauty; trees mingle with trees, so that this becomes their initiation and marriage.' If it is autumn: 'The sky marries the earth, watering her with showers, that she may soon make things grow and adorn herself with trees and plants.' If it is winter: 'It brings us to our bedrooms and makes us stay at home, it makes bridegrooms be with their brides and persuades everyone to stay in his chamber, bringing compulsions and apprehensions on us from the severity of the weather, and as it were compelling us to marry'. If it is summer: 'The fields are covered with corn, the vines with clusters, <the fruit trees (?) $>$ with their produce; the groves of trees are leafy and all the cultivated land . ..' Then you can add: 'How your parents will pray for you! How they have prayed to live to see this day! Fulfil their desire, fulfil the hopes of the family.' You should also add points from tradition relating to marriage and intercourse : possibly love stories of nymphs (?) or trees. You should say something also about Dionysushow 'he is a fine god for weddings, filling the heart with courage, filling it with confidence, giving boldness; for he was no laggard himself, but a brave performer in wedlock. Thus also Aeacus made Aegina the daughter of Asopus his bride, Peleus Thetis, Zeus Leda, and Telemachus Polycaste the daughter of Nestor. Thus Anchises, king of the country around Troy, wedded Aphrodite.'

Address yourself also to the audience, and say: 'While the couple themselves are celebrating the rites of marriage and being initiated, let us put on garlands of roses and violets, let us light torches and have sport around the chamber, let us start a dance and cry "Hymen!", beating the ground with our feet, clapping hands, all of us wearing garlands'-and then you can add any detail appropriate to such material.
I have given you more starting-points than are needed for a single composition, so that you can make
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different use of them for a number of occasions. You should not say everything on any one occasion. One or two of the above points will suffice. The theory, however, will systematize the rest for you also. You will reverse the order of points often made, e.g. by making the first points last and the last first. (As a general rule, variation and innovation will enable you to give the impression of not saying the same things.)

The division in this type of speech has not been demonstrated, but I have devised the division given here (?)-not necessarily to be followed in all circum-stances-because no fellow practitioner has defined these things, so far as I am aware, and no division of such a speech has been set out up to the present. However, if I must make a suggestion with an eye to what is appropriate, and with some approximation to what seems to be right, it would, I think, be advisable to compose a brief prooemium, based either on the persons present-'they do wrong to restrain the young man any longer'-or on the youth himself-'he does wrong to relax in the stress of the battle'-or on the speaker-'I come with advice to put forward and give the young man, having taken thought for him, and being anxious for him to make a good impression among you.' The prooemium should not be elaborate, but plain and simple. The thought will sometimes be taken from the situation itself: 'What is the purpose of this gathering? A young man's marriage and initiation in love. Then why is the deed not done, for which we have met together to share pleasure in the event?' After the prooemium, you will come to the exhortation to the young man: 'I knew you as second to none in times past, I knew your prowess, in hunting and wrestling. Show me this strength and prowess in the present juncture. Do not fear that any of the present company will reproach you. We are all children of marriage, and some of us have been initiated, some are about to be, and some pray to be.' You should then call on the audience to join in the exhortation, and escort him, willy-nilly, to the bedroom.

 p : véou mW II ท̂̉ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ hic nos: post $\chi \rho o ́ v \omega \mathrm{p}$ : om. mW тàs


 $20 \nu v \kappa \tau o ́ s, \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s, ~ \tau \grave{a} \phi \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma \eta ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $\Omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu a$,


 $\pi \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta_{\mu \epsilon}^{\rho} \rho \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota,\langle\tau \dot{\alpha}\rangle$ Báкхоv $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ A \phi \rho о \delta i \tau \eta s$














 $\mu \nu \nu$ ஸ́s $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma о$ v́vт $\omega \nu$ каi $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu о \mu e ́ v \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda-$


 $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \nu a s, \kappa \alpha i$ єiкòs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \eta ́-~$






$20 \phi \in ́ \gamma \gamma \eta \mathrm{~mW}: \kappa \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \mathrm{p} \quad 2 \mathrm{p} \quad \pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{p}: \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon s \mathrm{~mW}$ $24 \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{i} \tau a \iota$ Wp: $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \tau a \iota \mathrm{~m} \quad\langle\tau \grave{a}\rangle$ addidimus Báкхоч ... Aфро-
 25 vvктós codd.: secl. Spengel $\gamma$ à $p$ : om. mW 27 alterum $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{p}$ : om. $\mathrm{mW} \quad 28$ i $\mu \hat{i} \nu$ nos: $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu$ codd. 29 市 $\mu \hat{\nu}$ seclu-


 mW 3 фaivovia p: om. mW

You will come next to the season and the evening. Here you should elaborate and delineate the beauties of the night, the stars, their brilliance, Orion. You should add that just as every rite has its due and proper season, so Marriage has been granted Night by the gods as its privilege. The Pythian and Olympian festivals are performed by day, those of Bacchus and Aphrodite by night, for Night is sacred to Eros and to Hymen and to Marriage.

You should continue with an exhortation based on the past. 'Remember your courtship, how long it took, how many years it was before you just managed to succeed, how the girl's parents gave consent only very late-and now that you have her, do you take things easy, as if you'd forgotten?'
After this, you should argue from the season of the year, [spring, autumn, winter, summer,] as has been said [taking the special features of each season as I have indicated]. If you have occasion, you may go on to mention traditions in which others have been seen to be joined in wedlock, gods, demigods, the noblest generals, and the most celebrated champions. Next, mention the beauty of the girl and the beauty of the chamber and alcove; and do not neglect the gods of the bedchamber, who are active and helpful to the bridegroom to be. 'Marriage delights the gods.' This point will lead you to a brief general thesis on how the gods, desiring the increase of mankind, devised marriage and chaste intercourse ; it is natural therefore that those who made these lawsAphrodite, Cupids, Hymenaei, Marriage-should be present.
You should then argue from the outcome, that the advantage of marriage lies in concord in the household and preservation and increase of wealth, and, most important, in the procreation of children to follow on in the family, to be benefactors of their country, to organize festivals.

Finally, you should add a prayer, asking the gods,
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on the couple's behalf, for a happy union, felicity, a lovely life, the birth of children, and the other blessings we have mentioned. You should try to treat all these themes concisely, with care only for grace and charm. Grace and charm come not only from traditional stories and narrative, but from plainness and simplicity in the speaker's personality. The speech should be unadorned and largely asyndetic, not composed of cola and periods, but more in the manner of non-oratorical prose, like the 'talk'. Grace of style may also be derived from studied and ornate language, as with Plato and Xenophon and (among the moderns) Dion, Philostratus, and such of the sophists as have won the reputation of composing in the 'non-oratorical' manner with grace.

## [VIII] the birthday speech

(GENETHLIAKOS)
The birthday speech is divided as follows.
First, you should speak the prooemia. After these, praise the day on which your subject was born. If he was born during a holy month or at some other festival, base the encomium on the circumstances of the day, viz. that he was born in a holy month or at a festival. If you have nothing of this kind to say, you should praise the day on the ground of the season: he was born in the summer, spring, winter, or autumn, as the case may be, and you can then give the special features of the season.
After the praise of the day, you will come to the encomium of the family, then the birth, then the nurture, then the accomplishments, then the actions. With each of these headings, as I have often said, you should include a comparison; and finally, after the individual comparisons that accompany the separate headings, there should come a comparison applying to the whole subject.
After this, praise the day again. Thus: 'O happyday,
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on which he was born; O mother's pangs so happily ended in him!' You should say this sort of thing first (?).

If he is a very young person whose birthday speech you are to make, you should say that the greatest thing about the young man is that he has already provoked speeches about himself. After the prooemia, you should praise the day he was born, using the method given above. Next, you should treat family, birth, nature; and, since there is nothing beyond this to say [in the case of a young man] -for he has not yet displayed any actions because he is young-you should construct your encomium on the following method: 'On this evidence, I forecast the future; he will scale the summits of education and virtue, he will give generous benefactions to cities, he will organize competitions, provide for feasts', and so on.

## [IX] THE CONSOLATORY SPEECH <br> (PARAMUTHETIKOS)

The speaker of a consolatory speech himself also laments the fallen and raises the misfortune to great significance, amplifying the emotion as best he can in his speech by means of the topics we have explained in connection with the monody. (Note that the monody consists of the encomiastic headings [origin, nature, nurture, education, accomplishments, actions]. It will not however preserve the sequence of the encomia, because the speaker gives the impression of being out of his mind and distracted by emotion. You should divide the encomia, as has been said, into the three chronological sections.) The speaker of a consolatory speech, for his part, should argue on these lines in the first part of the speech. For example, he might say, if the circumstances are such: 'He was young and died prematurely, not as one would pray, and has deprived his family, his parents and his native city of hope, for he was no ordinary man, but . . . Therefore I do not blame those who long for and

[^73]



 Movбஸ̂̀ $\nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \rho o ́ \phi ı \mu о s ' ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$
 тòv $\delta^{\prime}$ â̂ $\theta a \nu o ́ v \tau a ~ к а i ~ \pi o ́ v \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi a \nu \mu ' ́ v o \nu ~$

 $\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ тоîs $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \rho \iota \mu a, ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \omega \delta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$
 $\epsilon і ̈ \rho \eta \tau a \iota$. каi фı入обофฑ̂бal $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ є̀ $\pi i$ тоúтoıs oủk à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́-$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda o \nu$ каӨódov $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ фv́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ àv $\theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta s$, öт $\iota$ тò $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$
 ทю




 $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тò $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ îov $\tau \grave{\prime} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu o \iota s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon-$








 каi та́ $\chi \alpha$ тоv каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \theta \rho \eta \nu o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma є \nu \eta े s ~$








look for such a man.' Then, having amplified the lamentation as far as possible, the speaker should approach the second part of his speech, which is the consolatory part. This will begin in some such fashion as the following: 'Let me say to those of you who are parents that I am surprised it has not occurred to you to think of the words of that excellent poet Euripides, worthy indeed to be thought a fosterling of the Muses:
It is the new-born child we ought to mourn, for all
The woes he's coming to; the dead, from trouble
Relieved, we should with joy and praises hence
Escort from home.'
You should not, however, quote the whole passage, since it is generally familiar and well known, but adapt it. Similarly with Herodotus' story of Cleobis and Biton.
It is not in bad taste to philosophize in this context on human nature generally, how the divine power has condemned men to death, how death is the end of life for all men, and even heroes and the children of gods have not escaped it. This also gives you an opportunity to include narratives: how cities are destroyed and nations have ceased to exist, how the change from this life is perhaps to be preferred, since it rids us of troubles, greed, unjust fate. 'For what a dreadful thing it generally is to be involved in human cares, diseases, anxieties!' You should add next that if life is a gain, he has enjoyed it enough, and you should say what you know of him: he has been conspicuous in literature (if that is the case) or in public life; on the other hand, if life is a misfortune, then 'to fall here is a gift of fortune', he has escaped the pains of life. Then again: 'I feel convinced that he who has gone dwells in the Elysian Fields, where dwell Rhadamanthus and Menelaus, and the son of Peleus and Thetis, and Memnon. Or rather perhaps he is living now with the gods, travelling round the sky and looking down on this world. Perhaps indeed he is finding fault with those who lament him. For the soul, being kin to the divine
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and coming down from on high to earth, makes haste back again to its kindred. Thus they say Helen and the Dioscuri and Heracles share the community of the gods. Let us therefore sing his praises as a hero, or rather bless him as a god, make paintings of him, placate him as a superhuman being.'

The length of this speech should be moderate. It should also be observed that it is possible to compose a consolation both in the 'intense' mode and in the 'non-oratorical' mode.

## [X] THE ADDRESS (PROSPHŌNETIKOS)

An 'address' is a speech of praise to a governor spoken by an individual. In treatment it is an encomium, but not a complete one, since it does not include all the elements of the encomium. Strictly speaking, the 'address' is produced when the speech draws its amplification from the actual deeds performed by its subject.

It is divided as follows. After the prooemia, you should pass to the praise of the emperors. This should be given briefly, divided under the heads of war and peace, but without lengthy expansion, since this is not a complete encomium of the emperors. The topic is adopted in the address in order to increase the praise given to the governor. After the section on the emperors, you come naturally to the praise of the person addressed. Here you should say that the emperors are to be admired also for their choice of governors. 'What a splendid man they have sent down to us, to save our people!' You should then proceed immediately to praise the governor, if possible (as I said) on the ground of his actions-this indeed is best-but if his family is very honourable and celebrated you should make a brief reference to this, and then go on to his actions, especially those in hand, based on the present occasion and his present command. You should also make a reference to any notable actions he has performed in the course of any
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earlier command. Subdivide the praise of actions into the four virtues; wisdom, justice, temperance, courage. Under wisdom, you should praise his legal experience, education, foresight, capacity for clear decisions about present needs, capacity for replying to emperors' letters so as to earn their praise and admiration, critical understanding of orators, ability to judge the whole sense of the subject from the prooemium. At this point you will have a chance of mentioning Demosthenes, Nestor, and the best lawgivers ; for it is good craftsmanship to add appropriate comparisons to each division of virtue, so that the speech acquires thereby a greater number of amplifications.
Under justice, you should include humanity to subjects, gentleness of character and approachability, integrity and incorruptibility in matters of justice, freedom from partiality and from prejudice in giving judicial decisions, equal treatment of rich and poor, encouragement of city development. In this division Aristides, Phocion, and those Roman heroes who are celebrated in history for justice, should all have a place.
Do not simply state virtues-e.g. 'he is just'-but treat the topic also by considering the opposite: he is not unjust, not irascible, not inaccessible, not judging by favouritism, not a taker of bribes. The speech naturally gains amplification when you both remove the vices and seek to amplify the virtues.
Proceed next to temperance. Here you should speak of his self-restraint in pleasures and laughter. Diomedes may be introduced by way of comparison, because he wounded Aphrodite because of his temperance; for he alone was immune to the emotions of Aphrodite. Let him also be a second Hippolytus, for he likewise is taken to have been temperate.
Courage should be admired on the grounds of the governor's frankness to the emperors, his struggle against unpleasant circumstances for his subjects' sake, and his not bowing the knee or giving way in
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the face of fears. Here come the Ajaxes, Pericles, Alcibiades, and the like. You should not, however, dwell on these or go into them in detail. This belongs to the full encomium. I have, however, set these points down here and explained them, so as not to give the impression of leaving anything out, but to ensure that you have plenty of material of every kind. You should, however, make use of the essentials only; the 'address' is a copy of an encomium, touching lightly on encomiastic topics, but not dwelling on them as in a full encomium, unless indeed one has the aim of working up the 'address' as a complete subject in itself.
After the virtues, proceed to the comparison. Over-all comparisons and separate comparisons are distinct. An instance of a separate comparison is when we compare justice with justice, wisdom with wisdom. An over-all comparison is made when we compare one whole tenure of office with another. You may say with Homer,

## Ahead ran an excellent man, but a much better man was behind him.

For example: 'There have often been good and excellent governors, some in Asia, some in Europe; they are to be praised and lack nothing that constitutes virtue. But none is better than you. You have surpassed them all.'
After all this, you will come to construct the epilogue. (However if you wish first to praise the city where you are speaking-this is not always to be done, but only if you wish-you may speak of it here thus: 'Long has our city been resplendent with its harbour works and beautiful buildings, its temperate climate, its fortifications; but you have made it more distinguished still.') The epilogues themselves will contain other material of like nature. 'Let us dedicate this sword, not to Ares or to Terror or Fear, the children of Ares, but to Justice and Themis, a gift pure of bloodshed. Let the cities establish holy choruses,
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let them sing，let them glorify him．Let us compose decrees to send to the emperors in praise and ad－ miration，and in prayer for many years of his rule． Let us send statues to Delphi，to Olympia，to Athens－ first，however，filling our own cities with them．Let him be depicted with his subject people all around him，all giving thanks and applauding．Let cities lead the procession in the picture，represented as women，bright－faced and rejoicing．＇

You should add to this whatever the circumstances allow．

## ［XI］THE FUNERAL SPEECH <br> （EPITAPHIOS）

At Athens，epitaphios－funeral speech－is the name of the speech delivered each year over those who fell in the wars．It is so called simply because of its being spoken over the actual grave．Aristides＇three speeches are an example；the sophist composed orations such as would have been delivered by the polemarch， to whom this privilege is assigned at Athens．But because of the passage of time，it has come to be pre－ dominantly an encomium．Who could lament before the Athenians for those who fell 500 years before？ Thucydides，however，writing a funeral speech for those who fell at Rheitoi at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War，did not simply pronounce an enco－ mium on the men，but made the point that they were capable of meeting death；he was cautious，however， of the topic of lamentation because of the needs of the war－it was not the orator＇s business 〈to cause〉 to weep those whom he was exhorting to fight．He also added the consolatory topic．So Aristides，if he had spoken these speeches over the recently fallen， would have used the headings of the funeral speech which belong especially to it．As it is，however，the long passage of time removes the occasion for lamenta－ tions or consolations．Forgetfulness of sorrow has
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[^76]come with time, and we have no one to comfort, since neither their fathers nor their families are known. And even if the family were known, it would be absurd and quite out of place to aim to rouse them to lamentation at this distance of time, when their grief has long been assuaged.

The funeral speech delivered long after the event is therefore a pure encomium, like Isocrates' Evagoras.

If such a speech is delivered not after a long interval, but say after seven or eight months have passed, it is right to make it an encomium, but there is nothing to prevent the use of the consolatory heading at the end. An exception is if the speaker is very close kin to the deceased; memory then does not give him relief from sorrow even after a year. Such a speaker should therefore preserve the tone of an emotional speech even a year after the event.

The emotional funeral speech, spoken over one recently dead, should be divided according to the headings of encomia, the emotion being combined with each heading in turn methodically as follows: 'Oh, how shall I share the family's grief at what has befallen? Oh, where shall I begin my lamentations? With the family first, if you will let me, for this is the foundation of everything.' You should then say that the family is a brilliant one, more splendidly glorious than any in the city: 'The dead man was, as it were, a shining torch lit in that family, and Fate has put it out.' None of the various sections of the speech should be without an element of lamentation. Whether you are handling 'family' or any other heading, you must begin, continue, and end with a lament for the departed.

After 'family' proceed to 'birth'. 'O futile portents, O futile dreams that appeared when he was born, O unhappy mother, unhappier pangs of childbirth! His mother saw (?) portents, and they told her (?)

[^77]
## 174 MENAN $\triangle$ POY MEPI EMIDEIKTIK $\Omega N$




 $\gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a \nu \mid \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \nu$, íva каi $\dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \mu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \eta s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega \omega$




 $\tau \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu a \tau o s ~ \kappa \alpha ́ d \lambda l o s, ~ o ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \rho \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ \epsilon i ̈ s ~ \tau \epsilon$













 $\tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau \cup ̛ \chi \eta S ~ \tau о ́ \pi \pi о \nu, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \pi а \rho \omega \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu ~ а u ̛ \tau ب ิ ~ к а i ~$

[^78]of the fairest destiny; every relative and friend was full of hope; they sacrificed to the gods of birth, altars ran with blood, the whole household held holiday. But, it seems, Fate mocked it all. The child was entrusted to foster parents; they had the greatest hopes of him. But alas, alas! Now he has been snatched away.' You should develop the other encomiastic headings in a similar way, though at the same time amplifying the lamentation.

The expression of the lamentations must be developed in full so that the distinction of the persons concerned can be seen, while you (?) move the listener again to lamentation. Let the encomia be your raw material for the lamentation.

You should base your encomium on all the encomiastic topics: family, birth, nature, nurture, education, accomplishments. You should divide 'nature' into two-physical beauty (to be mentioned first) and mental endowment. You should then confirm this by means of the three succeeding headings nurture, education, and accomplishments. In working up the encomium under each of these heads, you should say (i) as regards nurture, that 'he displayed the endowment of his mind in this way, as soon as he began to grow, and his quickness also' (placing this second, and endowment first) ; (ii) as regards education, he showed here also that he was ahead of his contemporaries; (iii) as regards accomplishments, you should confirm the heading by saying that he showed himself just, humane, approachable, and gentle. The most important section of an encomium, however, is that of actions, which should be placed after accomplishments. Do not refrain from inserting a lamentation under each 'action'.

After 'actions' you should put in the topic of Fortune, saying that 'favourable Fortune accom-
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panied him in his life in every way; wealth, happiness of children, love of friends, honour from emperors, honour from cities'. Following all this, you should put in comparisons relating to the whole subject, treating them as a separate head, but not abstaining from any comparison relating to an individual heading which it is necessary to add for the purposes of that heading. At this point you should openly take up a comparison relating to the whole subject. For example, having first gone over the headings briefly, you can say: 'When we consider all these points with reference to some demigod, or man of note of the present age, he of whom we are speaking is second to none and indeed has these qualities to a higher degree (?).' For one must show him to be nobler than the noble or fit to rival any man of distinction-for example by comparing his life with that of Heracles or Theseus.
After this, insert the lamentation again as a separate section; 'This is why I grieve for him.' Give it a special treatment, free now of encomiastic elements, exciting pity and making the hearers dissolve in tears.

Following this section, insert the section of consolation to the whole family. 'No need to lament; he is sharing the community of the gods, or dwells in the Elysian Fields.' Divide the thoughts of these sections as follows: a separate address to the children, a separate address to the wife, first giving greater dignity to her personality, to avoid giving the impression of addressing a humble or mean person. With a man, no blame is conveyed by an address made without preliminary preparation, but with a woman it is necessary to win the audience over in advance by demonstrating her excellence.

If the children are very young, you should deliver a speech of advice rather than of consolation, for they do not feel what has happened. Or rather, you should add to the consolation a measure of advice and counsel to the wife and children, if the children are very
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young : to the wife, to copy the good women of old and heroines ; to the children to copy their father's virtues.

Next praise the family for not having neglected the funeral or the preparation of the memorial.

Finally, round off the speech with a prayer, asking the gods for the greatest blessings for them.

## [XII] THE GROWN SPEEGH (STEPHANOTTIKOS)

In the Crown Speech, you should base your prooemium on the crown and the emperor's glory, and the thought that 'the divine power first honoured you with the crown of the empire, and the whole world crowns you with the greatest crown, fair fame; now our city comes before you, not inferior in glory or extent or beauty to any of those of your subjects, and crowns you with words and with the circlet of gold'.

If he has a distinguished family, you should pronounce the encomium of the emperor on the ground of family immediately after the prooemium. If not, take the ground of 'fortune' instead. 'God from on high took pity on the human race, and wishing to comfort it with prosperity, contrived that you should be born, for the good destiny of the world.' You may then speak (if you have cause) ' of the prestige of his education and nurture; next, touch on his virtues, and first on courage: 'You continue to fight the greatest fights, with spear, horse (?), and shield, on behalf of the whole world that lies under the sun, as they say did Heracles the son of Zeus.' Here you should mention that 'some of the barbarians have been wholly destroyed, some are in misery, some have been brought to us as slaves, some cannot resist, not daring, as it were, to raise their eyes to the rays of the sun'.

After 'courage'-under which head come deeds in war-you should speak of deeds of peace. After this again add: 'Therefore the city crowns you, paying its debt of gratitude for the benefits we receive every
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[^80]day, and at the same time begging and pleading with you, made confident by your humanity to the whole world that she will not fail in anything she seeks.' Then ask for the decree to be read.
This speech should not exceed 150-200 lines in length.

## [XIII] THE AMBASSADOR'S SPEECH <br> (PRESBEUTIKOS)

If you have to act as ambassador on behalf of a city in trouble, you should say what has been prescribed for the Crown Speech, but amplify at every point the topic of the emperor's humanity, saying that he is merciful and pities those who plead with him, and that God sent him down to earth because he knew that he was merciful and a benefactor of mankind. When you have spoken both of his courage in war and of the blessings of peace, you should proceed to mention the city on whose behalf you are serving as ambassador. In this context, you should work up two topics. One is based on amplification of the contrary: e.g. 'Once upon a time Ilium was a splendid city, the most famous under the sun, and it stood its ground in olden days against wars coming from Europe.' Pass next to the topic of vivid description (diatupōsis), in which you should elaborate the present misfortune, how the city has fallen to the ground. You should mention in particular the things that contribute to utility and to life, for which emperors are used to taking thought, e.g. that the baths have fallen down, the aqueducts have been destroyed, the glory of the city is ruined. After such appeals to pity, you can add: 'This is why we come as your suppliants, plead with you, fall at your feet, stretch out our olive-branches of supplication. Believe that the ambassador's voice is the voice of the whole city, through which the children and the women, the adult men and the aged, pour forth their tears and plead with you to be compassionate.'

Then ask him to deign to receive the decree.
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## [XIV] THE SPEEGH OF invitation <br> (KLETIKOS)

If you are inviting a governor to a festival, as is customary, you should first state in the prooemia the cause of your arrival and of the invitation. 'The city sent me, having long desired and wished, even without excuse, to partake of your great qualities every day; even more does it desire this at the moment, because it is holding a festival and needs a greater spectator for the performances.' Next, deliver an encomium of the festival, the invitation to which is being offered, in some such terms as these. 'So that you may understand the situation and the festival to which you are invited, I will start a little way back . . .' You should say [an encomium on the festival, and after the festival praising and saying] that it was instituted by one of the gods or heroes. After the praise of the festival, you should give an encomium of the city, if you have some ancient tradition to relate, and then, without fail, an encomium of the governor. The encomium of the festival must come first-this is the primary subject in this case, and it is most essential to start with the primary subject-and then the city, and then again the governor. You should introduce the idea of the festival at every point, because this speech also ought to have the festival element present in a larger degree, because it is not simply an invitation, but an invitation to a festival, and we said that the special features of any given subject should always have the dominant place.
After you have praised the governor, introduce the festival: 'It is solemn and worthy of much admiration, you will see townships and cities congregated, the best athletes from everywhere, lyre-players, fluteplayers, professional musicians not a few; all these await you and summon you now to their festival, hoping to hold it in your presence, because they realize that they will have no enjoyment of their skills without a visit from you. Who will not marvel
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that $y$ ou have answered the call? Who would not see in you a spectacle perhaps more awe-inspiring than the festival itself?' Then you should say: 'You must also respect the god in whose honour the festival is held, and do him a favour; for so you will be thought both pious and obedient.' And then: 'The preparations for the festival are all made, and only you are wanting : so please come.'

Next, compare the festival with another, showing that you are not inviting him to a small or mean celebration. Add: 'If you consent, I shall gain repute for persuading you, the events will gain repute, the city will be more respected, the god will be pleased. If I fail-as I am sure I shall not, and pray that I may not!-I shall turn my ways elsewherefor what desire can I have for my country if I fail in an embassy like this? -and the city will have no festival, but a calamity not even to be talked about. Therefore make haste, with good omens, to answer the city's summons, make haste to the festival. The words of the envoy are the voice of the city. Let us be able to tell this of you when we tell of your other deeds.'

If there is no festival or holy month to occasion the invitation, but you are simply inviting him to the city, you should say right at the start in the prooemia: 'Perhaps even before I speak you are well disposed to our city and have a longing to see it, for that is what all men say. Nevertheless, it is no small gain that we are set to win in coming here, returning thanks for your intention in praise, but calling and summoning you none the less to the city you are anxious to visit.' Then, if the issuer of the invitation has great prestige, you may say something about this also in a second prooemium. 'Many sought this appointment and were anxious to be chosen as ambassadors to Your Magnificence, and the city has selected one of the candidates who is perhaps not the worst; at least you recognize the product of an Athenian education.' You must deliver this
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[^82]prooemium without vanity, so as to lay stress on your status without making your speech tasteless.

After the prooemia, you come to the encomia of the city. You should not here describe its situation or climate, but go straight to its actions and worth, in this manner: 'Our city of Alexander had long prided itself on ancient stories and on its beautiful buildings, but now it pays no heed to all such things, and takes pride only in you.' Then you should at once proceed to any ancient tradition of the city you have to mention, and then to the praise of the governor. 'Who would not admire a man so outstanding in virtues?' And you will then talk about the governor's virtues.

If this is to be his first visit, you should follow the personal encomium by a brief description of the country, and then of the city, as has been said. Finally, you should invite him to come to all this: 'Come then and behold these things, come to add to our glories, to be our second Alexander. You will find nothing lacking for a governor's welcome: not pleasant climate, nor well-mannered people, nor moderate behaviour, nor dignity in general. Our city is a shrine of virtues. The Athenians pride themselves on ancient stories, haunts of the Muses, literature; but many of us have drawn on the resources of Athens, and our Muses' haunts are no whit inferior to theirs. We send out our best men, and receive them home from Athens when they have perfected their qualities. These will be your escort, these will welcome you.' Then you should compare the city with Athens or Rome or some other famous city: 'For this reason then, that it is not inferior to the greatest, it is right for you to see it; for just as the man who glories in wealth and abounds in possessions takes delight in his most outstanding properties, so the governor should be
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proud of these the greatest of his cities, and make haste to visit those that have the greatest advantage, literary culture, and everything else that tends to virtue.'

You must next describe in your speech as a topic of the epilogue (?) all the country he will presumably see on his journey, and escort him all along his way in your speech, describing continents, mountains, and seas. After this, bring him to the city and show him what beauties will receive him, what sights of the city will meet his eyes-groves, rivers, etc. You should add to the epilogue: 'The city already stands before her gates, with whole families, meeting you, greeting you, praying to the powers above to behold you soon. Do not disappoint her hopes, nor change her expectation into distress. As she often used to welcome Apollo at the Sminthia, in the days when gods could visit men openly, so now she awaits you. Poets are ready with works of the Muses fashioned for the occasion, prose-writers too, all ready to hymn and praise you. Imagine that the city herself stands at your side and summons you, and reminds you of her ancient splendours, how she is inferior to no subject city, and is perhaps superior to many. Grant also that her ambassador may take some pride; for you have heard that he is no mean figure among the leading men, '. . . whose words and haste, I pray you, do not shame"-as a hero says on an embassy in Homer. I feel sure too that with me here is Apollo Sminthius: how could he fail to attend on a man of culture and eloquence such as yourself?'

If the governor has in fact already seen the city to which he is invited, make the same allusions to its attractions but assume his knowledge of them, preserving the same succession of sections in the way that we have divided them, but treating the encomia
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by different methods: e.g. 'You have seen the beauty and the position of the city, and if desire for it has seized you, go a second time, and often. Lovers often sate themselves with the beloved, and fall in love again (?) when they are parted.' These are the kinds of thoughts you should use in the main sections.

But if you want to hear the whole method from the prooemia onwards, let me repeat briefly. In the prooemium, right at the beginning, you will say: 'You have captured our city with desire, O best of all governors, and this is the sign you have of her love, that she has sent again to summon you a second time, unable to endure a single day; like those who are "struck by the arrows of the frenzied loves" and cannot bear not to see their beloved, the whole city has poured out and come near to bursting in upon you. She has sent the person whom she thought best able to persuade you to come a second time. She prayed to God indeed that you might not leave her at all, nor think another city to be set before her in honour; but since you are always victorious and she was forced to give place until it was possible to see you again (?), she yielded, and again beseeches you to come to her a second time.'

You should then insert encomia of the city on the following plan; 'If you did not know the city which you are eager to visit, perhaps I should have needed to inform you; but since you know full well the city of Alexander, son of Zeus, you are not, I am sure, ignorant of our past history, and 〈why〉 should I tell you ?' You will now have an opportunity to refer to the history. 'For this reason, the city was anxious that you should come to her before, but now she has invited you not so much because she thinks she ought to be seen by you again, as because she thinks she ought to see you, on account of your virtues. She is well aware of your justice . . .' At this point, you should fit in the praises of the governor, always adding, in connection with each separate argument, 'This is why she is inviting you.' In invitation speeches,
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[^83]the reasons for the invitation must also be added, so that the speech may have the special qualities of an invitation, just as in the other subjects one must add the features peculiar to each.

After the encomium of the governor, you should proceed to speak-following the regular method(i) of the situation of the country and the city, (ii) of the beauty of the city. 'The city takes delight in its beautiful temples and colonnades and great baths, as you have seen yourself, but it reckons little of these compared with the sight of you. What is there in our city that is not outstanding? What that is not of supreme beauty? Are there not chariot races, the delights of theatres and festivals?' You should make use of all such points as we have made in connection with the [invitation of the] governor who has 〈not〉 (?) been there before.
If you are inviting a governor to a city which has no very grand or historic features-an unlikely eventuality-you should describe the situation of the country immediately after the prooemia, and then that of the city, and then use all the succeeding headings. 'Position' will supply the place of praise of the city.

Some people divide the invitation speech, after the prooemia, differently. They handle the encomium of the city and of the governor as a unity, as one might say, but treating the rest of the material in the way I have described. By 'as a unity' I mean, e.g.: 'We boast of a marvellous founder; but marvellous too in his family. Humanity is a mark of our city; this great quality is his also.' Or they reverse the order, and place the encomium of the governor first (this is better) and subordinate that of the city to it. For example: 'Your family is brilliant, and we have a marvellous founder; you are just, and the city is not without this great quality. You honour humanity; the city that invites you is humane.'

However, you should handle the speech of invitation as you judge right for yourself.

## ПEPI EYNTAKTIKOY
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## [XV] THE LEAVETAKING (SUNTAKTIKOS)

A person who is taking leave of another is clearly distressed at the separation. If he is not really distressed, he will claim to have some amorous feelings towards the persons of whom he is taking leave. The divine Homer anticipated this form also. When he moves Odysseus on from Phaeacia, he represents him as taking leave of Alcinous and the Phaeacians, and, shortly afterwards, of Arete, the wife of Alcinous. He puts the following lines into the mouth of Odysseus as he takes leave of the queen:

Fare you well, O Queen, for ever, till old age
Comes, and death with it, as they do come to mankind.
For my part, I am going. Do you take pleasure in the palace
With your children and your people and Alcinous your king.

When he takes leave of the Phaeacians and Alcinous, Homer represents him, in the episode (?), as saying:
Alcinous, lord, most honoured among all the people;
Make your libations and send me away unharmed. Farewell.
What my heart desired is now granted,
Escort and gifts . . .
and so on. However, since the orator must use this form with greater care and elaboration, let us set out a division of the theme, not departing from the Homeric usage.

The orator should acknowledge his gratitude to the city from which he is returning, and praise it on whatever grounds the occasion permits, e.g. from its history if there is anything grand in it, from the climate, from the beauty of its appearance-e.g. colonnades, harbours, acropolis, lavish temples, and statues. He should then praise the festivals and holidays, shrines of the Muses, theatres, and competitions. He should avoid making his speech a
 15, 18, 20: sed certe in secundam personam lapsus est, $\sigma u v \in v ́ \xi \eta$ (23) $6 \mu$ оvбєía каi Bursian: $\mu$ оvбькà codd.
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mere encomium by weaving in at all points the thought that he is distressed at being parted from all this; he must weave this in with almost every idea, so that the speech acquires the character of a valediction. He should also praise the inhabitants, e.g. priests, torchbearers (dädouchoi), hierophants, and also the character of the people-their civilized manners and hospitality. He should likewise take leave of his friends, and here also show grief and tears at the parting. After this first section, he should come on to the second, in which he recalls the places to which he will come. If the people whom he has resolved to visit are unknown, he should say: 'How then will they receive us? Who will be our familiar friends now ?' If he is going to his own country, he should say: 'Who would not long for his own land? Perhaps you have heard tell of it. Our city is famous and brilliant among all men.'

You should next pray for those you are leaving, choosing the best prayers out of the poets, and say you would give much wealth to have good news of them, and will never forget them, but will spread the word everywhere, expressing admiration for their outstanding qualities. Pray also for yourself, for a good voyage and a happy return, and say that, if it so turns out and you have sons, you will send them to behold their city.

If it is your own native city of which you are about to take leave, let the first part, once again, contain expressions of love and an indication of the grief which you feel at being separated from such blessings; then let the second part of the speech contain a praise of the city which is your destination, e.g. its reputation and glory: 'I understand the city is great and marvellous.' 'I hear it is a workshop of literature and of the Muses.' You should expand this point about your need, the reason which makes you anxious to go. 'I understand that there indeed is Pieria, there indeed is Helicon.'
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When you have amplified this section, you should state the need which makes you so eager. 'One must concede this to necessity; we see that the universe obeys the necessities of nature and the laws that the father of all things laid down. Therefore it is absolutely necessary to obey the law of one's country.' (It will be appropriate to say this not when you are going from your own city to another but when you are summoned from another city back home to your own. You should say: 'Splendid and great is my country and worthy to be desired, but you are to me much more desirable. It is a fine thing to embrace parents and brothers and family, but I have no lesser loves among you. But what am I to do? Necessity presses.' You should say this (as we said) when about to leave a foreign city for home. You should say it at the beginning of the second part of the leavetaking, immediately after the expressions of love, and you should place the other points after it, as we said.)

But let us return to the speech we are considering, i.e. the situation in which a speaker wishes to leave his native city for another. Immediately following the points I have mentioned-viz. 'I understand that Pieria and Helicon are indeed there'-<you should say (?) that your age still (?) allows you to be a student of literature. Then you should add: 'I shall draw my ration of literature and philosophy, I shall learn for your sakes and the sake of our common country, and, when I feel perfectly capable of helping the land that gave me birth, then once again I shall long for the city and my family. For who, encountering the Sirens or arriving in the land of the Lotuseaters, would not give you the preference?

Nothing is sweeter than one's native land and parents, as Homer says,
and to see the smoke rising from one's own country.'
After this, you should pray for the greatest blessings
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to fall upon the city and yourself, for your journey and for the successful and fortunate attainment of your aims, and also for your return. You should adorn the speech with images and historical examples, comparisons, and other features of 'sweetness', and some descriptions in the praise of the city-colonnades, harbours, rivers, springs, groves. You should also give the speech a moderate, simple, and kindly tone, everywhere giving an impression of reasonableness, but without abjectness or loss of dignity. You must remember, however, that there is a compelling necessity to praise first and admire first the city which you are addressing, though you must also look towards the cities which are your destination. If these are comparable or superior to the city of which you are taking leave, whether by much or by little, you should say that 'she is not inferior to that other city' : for we must in no circumstances denigrate the city we have undertaken to praise, namely that which we are leaving. But if the cities you are going to are much inferior, then what you should amplify is the cause of your intended journey: 'Your city, of which I now take leave, is far greater; but my urgent need for the object with which I want to supply myself can hardly be fulfilled <except> through that other city(?).' Let your need determine the scale of the speech. If it is in 'talk' form-which demands brevity-you should speak concisely, and especially if you are going to deliver another speech at once in addition to the 'talk'. If you propose only to make your valediction, and make this your sole performance for the day, you should treat the valedictory speech in non-oratorical prose, up to $200-$ 300 lines if you so desire, and no reasonable critic will find fault with you.

## [XVI] THE MONODY (MONODDIA)

Among the things in which the divine poet Homer has been our teacher, he has not omitted the form of the monody. He has attributed monodic speeches to A.ndromache, Priam, and Hecuba, appropriate to
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their several characters，as though he wished to prove to us that he was not ignorant of these matters． We must therefore take our starting－points from Homer and elaborate them，grasping the general principle as the poet has transmitted it to us．What then is the purpose of the monody？To lament and express pity．If the deceased is not a relative，it is simply to lament the departed，mixing encomia with the lament，and to stress the element of lamentation continually，so that the piece is not just an encomium， but the encomium is the occasion for the lament． If，however，the deceased is a relative，the speaker should lament no less，either because he has been left an orphan or because he is deprived of an ex－ cellent father and is mourning his own desolation． If the deceased is a leader of the city，you should say something about the city itself，handling the encomia of this in accordance with the subject－＇the city is splendid，but he who raised it up is he who has fallen＇． Or again：＇Who will take care of it，who will preserve it，as he did？＇If the deceased is young，you must base the lament on his age，on his nature（he was gifted，the hopes he raised were great）and on the calamity that has happened－e．g．the bridal chamber， the alcove，were soon to be made ready for him（？）． You should base it also on the city：＇It expected to have in him a champion，an orator，an organizer of games．＇The procedure must always be to make these considerations the starting－points of the lamenta－ tion．Thus，in these speeches，you should begin with a complaint against the divine powers and unjust fate，and the destiny that laid down an unjust law， and then proceed at once to take your cue from the immediate situation：＇What a man they have snatched away，how they have exulted over the fallen！＇But－ to save us saying the same things many times over－
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[^87]you should simply use this technique and divide your speech with a view to these subjects.

The monody should be divided into three periods: (i) the present, since the speech is more effective if pity is induced by reference to visible events and present happenings-i.e. if reference is made to his age or manner of death-whether he endured a long illness or died suddenly-or to the present gathering, people who have come 'not to a happy theatre or a sight they hoped to see' ; (ii) the past: e.g. what he was like when he was young among the young, what he was like among men when he became a man (if so), how accessible, how gentle, how distinguished in speaking, how proud among the lads and his contemporaries, how he shaped at hunting, at athletics; (iii) the future: what hopes the family placed in him. You should then use 'apostrophe': 'O splendid and distinguished family-till this day! You gloried in gold and riches and your much talked-of nobility, but he who has fallen has confounded and overthrown it all. What treasure do you possess like that you have lost?' Share the grief of the father and mother, and amplify the pathos by showing what hopes they have been robbed of. You should also argue from the point of view of the city, saying what kind of man he would have been to it, how he would have shown himself as a benefactor, how indeed he did. If he is a public figure, you can say most of this under the head of the past; if he is one of those destined to be leaders one day, under that of the future. In general, adapt the personal facts to the time-scheme.

After the 'three periods', you should describe the funeral, the gathering of the city. 'Would that he were being led to his wedding, or on a journey whence he was to return, would that we had come together to hear him speak!' Then describe his personal appearance in life: 'What beauty he has lost-the bloom of his cheeks-the tongue now silent! The soft beard
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wilted! The locks of hair no longer to be gazed at! The glances of the eye, the eyeballs at rest! The tendrils of the eyelids, tendrils no more! All fallen in ruin!'

It is obvious that monodies are commonly delivered over young people, not over the old. Would it not be futile and superfluous to lament for the old in a monody as for the young? A monody may, however, be spoken by a husband over his wife. It should then contain a mention of the animals: e.g. 'The brute beasts-ox, horse, swan, swallow-cannot bear to be parted from each other, but show their grief in their cries. The swan droops his wing to the West Wind and weeps for his companion and mourns; the swallow often turns her song to lament, and grieves as she sits on the sprays of the trees.'

This speech should not exceed iso lines in length. Mourners do not tolerate long delays or lengthy speeches at times of misfortune and unhappiness. The monody is always relaxed in style.

## [XVII] THE SMINTHIAC ORATION (SMINTHIAKOS)

You must admit at once in the first prooemium that the possessor of speech should show gratitude to the god of speech, in the medium of the speech that we possess through the Leader of the Muses, especially as he is the champion and helper of our city, not only now but of old, so that a double debt of gratitude is due, for the speech and for the benefactions; and, thirdly, it is anyway an admitted duty to sing the praises of the powers above and never to be neglectful in their praise.

You should work up the second prooemium by taking some idea like this: 'Homer, both in his hymns and in his major poems, has long since given us hymns equal to the worth of the god, and has left his successors no means of surpassing him. According to Hesiod, the Muses are always hymning Apollo in accordance with his worth; Pindar too has anticipated us, writing
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hymns to the god worthy of the god's own lyre. Nevertheless, since the powers above regularly accept even the smallest sacrifices, when they are made with piety, I shall not refrain from dedicating a hymn to Apollo as well as I can, and I pray to Apollo Sminthius himself to implant in my words power sufficient for the subject in hand.'

Thirdly: 'If I were about to pronounce an encomium of a hero, I should not have been uncertain about the beginning, or where I should make the beginning of my speech. But since my speech has made bold 〈to approach〉 (?) the greatest of the gods, I have asked the Pythia to prophesy to me from her quaking tripods and tell me from what point I should make an assault on the business. But, since as yet she hides her prophecies from me-such being, no doubt, the will of the gods-I shall ask for understanding from the Muses, just as Pindar asks his hymns-"Ye hymns that lord the lyre"-where shall I make my beginning? Well, then: I shall say nothing of the god's ancestry for the moment, but deliver a hymn to the god himself.'

After these introductory thoughts, you should deliver a hymn to the god himself: 'Sminthian Apollo, how should we address thee? As the sun that is the dispenser of light and source of the brilliance of heaven? Or as Mind, as the theologians say, penetrating all heavenly things and passing through the aether to this world of ours? As the creator of the universe, or as the Second Power? Through you the moon has her light, the earth is content with its own bounds, and the sea does not pass beyond its own depths. They say that, when Chaos filled the world and all things were in confusion and moving with that disordered and disharmonious (?) motion, you shone forth from the vaults of heaven, and scattered Chaos, and destroyed the darkness, and
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[^88]set order on all things. But this I leave to the children of the wise to study in their philosophy. I will try, however, to relate the birth, as I have heard it from those who tell the myth (?). Nor will this story be inappropriate for you, for it holds concealed in itself a truer knowledge.' Then you should deliver the second section of your hymn, viz. 'birth', and begin thus:
'When Zeus suppressed the lawless and uncontrolled rule, or rather violent tyranny, which the Titans exercised, and committed them to the depths of Tartarus, he then bethought him of creating children with whose help he could dispose everything for the best. He chose a nymph, one of the daughters of the Titans, since he was keeping his union with Hera for other births (?), and contrived the birth with her. She excelled in fairness and the beauty of her body, and was fit to be the mother of Apollo and Artemis. When she was about to give birth, the goddess luckily reached Delos-or, as some say, Lycia. Now those who say that Delos was blessed with the privilege of receiving her allege that it was formerly hidden and submerged, but rose from the sea and welcomed the goddess when she set foot on the island in her wanderings from Sunium in Attica. Homer however knows that it was in Lycia that he was born-for he speaks of "the Lycian-born, famed for his bow"-and that this was the place that had the good fortune of Apollo's birth. Anyway, they say that when the god appeared from the womb, he shone forth so as to fill earth and sea and heaven, and the Graces and Hours danced around the spot. What omen of good was not displayed from earth and sea and heaven? They say the god came to us from Lycia, and occupied the Smintheum, and established an oracle in the place and set his tripods astir.'
Since there is a question about the god's birthplace, some saying Delos and others Lycia, you should argue
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that Homer is a reliable witness for your cause because he commonly calls him Lycian-born. You should argue, as a consequence, that it is probable that, if born in Lycia, he would first be seen among us: ${ }^{\prime}$ For if he was intending to cross over to the islands and to Castalia and Delphi he would not have neglected us and run straight to them, especially as it is evident that he honoured our ancestors and saved them from peril. He would not have done this if he had not been well disposed to the country long before. The Delphians, in their pride, put forward the Pythia and Parnassus and Castalia, and boast that they alone of mankind possess the god. For my part, if I must tell the truth, I share their pleasure in these favours, but yet judge that they fare no better than we do. We were the first to enjoy these oracles. Having received the god, we sent him on to the others in turn. It is by our consent that they have received the influence that comes from us. The god takes equal pleasure also in the titles he acquires from the several places: he is Sminthius as well as Pythius.'

After this, you should give an encomium of the country: 'And it was natural that the god should favour our land, for he saw how it excels in beauty.' At this point, you may describe what the country is like, not in detail, but going through those features of the country which may stir the audience, mentioning the most remarkable things it possesses.

After dealing with the country, you should add, as a consequence: 'He therefore continued to honour and support our people in wars, in prophecies, destroying our enemies in every way.' After this section, again, you should divide the power of the god into four parts, saying: 'I know not how, but the commemoration of our country has carried us away from unbroken commemoration of the god; we must therefore return.' (We mentioned the country immediately after the birth, so that it should not be simply a hymn [which is what encomia of the gods are called]
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nor be common to all subjects connected with the gods (?), but possess the special characteristics of the Sminthiac Oration, which come from the place.) What then (?) are the powers of the god? Archery, prophecy, medicine, and music.
When you are about to begin discussing one of these powers, you should first deliver a prooemium. It would be a good plan to begin with archery, because this is the first skill they say Apollo put his hand to after his birth. 'I do not wish to put all the virtues together, and give the impression of confusing them, but to discuss each separately and so go through them all, so far as it is possible to make mention of them; for to tell of everything is no easy matter. It is said, then, that when he was first engaged in contest, he made ready his bow, and took his quiver-for his father had armed him with these-and requited Tityos for his audacity, for his impiety towards ' 'Zeus' noble bedfellow", Apollo's own mother; and that then he slew with his darts Pytho, who had seized Delphi. To explain who Pytho was, I need to go back a little. The earth bore a dragon creature, indescribable in words and not easy to believe in from tales that are told; this dragon ravaged all the country adjoining Delphi and Phocis, and seized Parnassus, the greatest mountain under heaven, not inferior to Olympus or less than our own Ida. This it covered with its spirals and coils, and nothing of the mountain remained bare. It held its head over the very crest, rearing up towards the heaven itself. When it needed to drink, it consumed whole rivers; when it needed to eat, it annihilated whole flocks. It made Delphi inaccessible to all men, no one inhabited the site, the oracle of Themis was abandoned. Realizing that what was happening to the people was strange and without remedy and wanting also to prophesy to men in every way such useful things as would enable their lives to be happy, Apollo slew Pytho too, in a single shooting,
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 fortasse，e．g．〈 $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\circ}\right\rangle a ̈ \lambda \lambda o\langle\dot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \epsilon s\rangle \quad 26 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{p}$ ：om． mW
with the same arrows and darts．As for his struggles here，who could relate them worthily of the god－ his action against the Achaeans when they were impious towards the gods，his action towards the son of Peleus in anger over the wrongs he dared to commit on Hector？＇Note also that the god is a hunter，and is used to destroying wild beasts with his bow．With his sister Artemis，he was the first discoverer of archery．
Similarly，when you are about to embark on the second section，you should state the greatest special accomplishment of the god，namely that he is a pro－ phet．Here you should briefly discuss the general thesis that prophecy is a good thing and has been the medium by which the greatest human successes have been achieved．Apollo in particular honoured and admired it．Prophesying from the tripods，he colonized the mainland and the sea，now sending settlers to Libya，now colonizing the Hellespont，Asia，all the East．You should amplify this by elaborating it with the grandeur of your encomium：＇The whole earth would have remained uninhabited，had not the oracles of the god gone forth over all the earth，from us，from Delphi，and from Miletus．＇In this part，add any traditional details of what the god effected as a result of prophecies．
After this section 〈you will move on to〉（？）another， viz．that of the god as musician．Here you will have the chance 〈to say〉 how he strikes his lyre in heaven in the midst of all the gods，and with the Muses on Helicon and in Pieria．Here you should philosophize a little：＇If I may utter the more secret doctrine，which the children of the philosophers hold in esteem，they say that he is the sun，and that it is by music that he moves，by music that he makes the heavens revolve about him and by harmony that he controls the whole universe．But we must leave this to the theologians； let us speak rather of what is most familiar．Orpheus， who owed his fame to Apollo，reached such perfection
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of skill in music that he gathered together the wild beasts whenever he played his lyre, and moved stones and charmed all things on whose senses his music fell.' You should mention also Amphion and Arion, how the one fortified Thebes by moving stones with his lyre, and the other crossed the Tyrrhenian sea riding on a dolphin. You should bring the encomia back always to the Leader of the Muses, on the ground that it was from him that all these acquired their music.

When you have dealt with this quality of the god, you should proceed to the fourth, viz. that he is a healer. You should always prefix a prooemium to the treatment of each of the virtues, so that what is to be said does not appear trivial or weak. For introductory ideas introduced in the course of a speech, since they prepare the reader and make him more attentive, effect an amplification of the subject. You should therefore state that the god discovered this art of medicine for us; and at this point you should add a general thesis, viz. that he discovered medicine out of pity for us, when the race was being destroyed by disease and hardship; and what could be more useful to man? 'Who would have tilled the earth, sailed the sea, founded cities, made laws, without the appearance of medicine in life? This is why all poets and <prose writers〉 commonly call him 'the gentle one" (?), 'protector from pain", and 'saviour'.' You should note here the birth of Asclepius. 'Wishing to increase his art and pass it on to the human race, the god contrived the birth of Asclepius; how can one speak worthily of this?'

You should look for some traditional or mythological details to support each heading, and add them, to give the material more relevance.
Following this, you should insert a section on the city, on the following lines: 'And thus Alexander, after subduing Europe and crossing to Asia came to
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[^90]the temple and to the site-whereupon he observed (?) the signs for establishing the city, for the god revealed (?) them; and he established this blessed town, consecrating it to Apollo Sminthius, and thinking it right that, as he was guiding him, it was right to found his city, and not leave desolate a site long made sacred to the god, nor the country round uninhabited. Therefore we also, who have always experienced the god's providence and kindness, are not laggard in his worship. He continues to give us abundant harvests and to rescue us from dangers, and we propitiate him with hymns (?). We therefore institute this great sacred contest, and arrange festivals and sacrifices, returning thanks for the benefits we receive.' You should describe the festival-what it is like, how crowded with visitors, how some display their excellence in literature or physical prowess, and so on, while some are spectators or listeners. You should briefly elaborate the general thesis (like Isocrates in the Panegyricus), explaining what 〈benefits〉 come from these festivals and assemblies.

The general rule to be observed in this sort of subject (i.e. in regard to acknowledged good things or things of good repute) is to place the general thesis first (e.g. that music is a good thing, or medicine a good thing, or archery, or festivals), and then adduce the details. But do not expand these general theses, because there seem to be several of them to be found in this subject.

After the description of the festival, describe the temple. If it is high, it should be compared to a citadel, as surpassing the very greatest precincts (?) in size, and in height the loftiest mountains. If it is well constructed or of very beautiful stone: 'Again, who would not be amazed at the brilliance and
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gleam of the temple, and the craftsmanship of the masonry?' 'You might say it was put together by Amphion's lyre! What walls were built like this at Babylon? What walls at Thebes? What temple at Athens? Perchance it was put together by the very lyre and music of the god.' 'Apollo and Poseidon are said to have built Laomedon's walls, but it is rather Apollo with Athena and Hephaestus who has built our temple.'

After this, you should describe the statue of the god, comparing it with Zeus at Olympia and Athena on the acropolis at Athens. Then add: 'What Phidias, what Daedalus fashioned such an image? Perhaps this statue fell from heaven.' Again: 'It is garlanded with bay, a plant belonging to the god, as the Delphians say.' You should also describe the grove, the rivers near by and the springs, and say that the distance is not great, and all the road up to the temple is sacred and dedicated to Apollo.

As you come to complete the subject, you should make use of the invocatory titles of the god. Thus: 'O Sminthian and Pythian, from you my speech began, to you it shall return. By what names shall I address you? Some call you Lycian, some Delian, some Ascraean, some Actian. The Spartans call you Amyclaean, the Athenians Patroos, the Milesians Branchiate. You control every city and land and nation. You control the whole inhabited earth, even as you dance on your course through the heaven with the choirs of stars about you. The Persians call you Mithras, the Egyptians Horus-for you bring round the seasons (hörai)-the Thebans Dionysus, the Delphians honour you by the double name of Apollo and Dionysus. Around you dance the Muses (?) and the Thyiades. From you the moon acquires her ray. The Chaldaeans call you the leader of the stars.
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Thus, whether these are the titles you take pleasure in or some better than these, grant that this city may ever flourish in prosperity, and that this festival may for ever be organized in your honour. And grant grace to these words; for both words and city are your gift.'

## GOMMENTARY

## TREATISE I

Title. We obelize $\Gamma E N E \Theta \wedge I \Omega N$, which appears to be the paradosis, but must be corrupt. P's supralinear variant $\eta{ }_{\eta} \Gamma_{\epsilon} \in \theta \lambda i o v$ offers an alternative attribution to Genethlius of Petra, a distinguished thirdcentury rhetor, pupil of Minucianus and rival of Callinicus (see on 370. 14), who died at the age of twenty-eight (Suda s.v.). Although the list of his writings given in the Suda does not contain anything like this work, P's reading is not likely to be due to conjecture: Genethlius was not well enough known for a Byzantine scribe to think of supplying his name. The attribution may therefore have some early authority. Nitsche argued that Genethlius was in fact the author of Treatise I, and this was accepted by Wilamowitz, Volkmann, and others; if different names are wanted for the authors of the two treatises, this is a reasonable guess (see Introd., pp. xxxvi ff.). Valesius's $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Gamma \epsilon \nu \in ́ \theta \lambda \iota o \nu$ introduces the same person as addressee.
$\triangle I A I P E \Sigma I \Sigma$ here means, apparently, the 'division' of the whole epideictic branch of rhetoric into its subdivisions, as found in Treatise I, not the division of material within a single speech, though this usage is, naturally enough, found in the treatises (cf. esp. 409. 22 ff .). Similarly, Sopater's $\Delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s Z_{\eta \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu}$ (Walz 8. I ff.) is a classification of declamation subjects according to the type of issue involved.
331. 4-332. 32. Preface
331. 4-14. Our subject is the epideictic branch of rhetoric, not the whole of rhetoric.
331. 14-332. 7. This is divided into praise and blame, and praise is divided into hymns to gods and encomia of mortal subjects. Hymns are of various kinds; we are to consider these, and how far they are suitable for prose.
332. 8-19. 'Mortal subjects' comprise towns and countries (to be discussed elsewhere) and animals, whether rational (i.e. man) or irrational; these may be further subdivided, and are to be distinguished also from 'inanimate' subjects.
332. 20-32. This division is exhaustive: encomia of arts and pursuits are in fact partial encomia of human subjects, and others fall under the 'inanimate' class.
331. 4. $\tau \rho \mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{x} \hat{\mathrm{c}}$. This classic doctrine first appears in Aristotle's Rhetoric (1.3) ; cf. D. A. G. Hinks, CQ 30 (1936), 170 ff., and Introd., p. xix.




 for the third main division of rhetoric, see W. Kroll, RE Suppl. VII. 1129; A. Hellwig, Untersuchungen zur Theorie der Rhetorik bei Platon und Aristoteles ( $=$ Hypomnemata, 38), 111, 120 ff . M. uses the term 'epideictic' in general strictly in the sense of 'praise and blame' (see Introd., p. xx), excluding mock forensic or mock deliberative exercises. He also makes no distinction between $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \circ$ and $\neq \epsilon \pi a \iota \nu o s$ (cf. 331. 15). In both respects his doctrine is opposed to that of Alexander (3. 1-2 Spengel).

Two derivations of $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \circ \nu$ were current in antiquity, one from $\kappa \omega \mu \eta^{\prime}$ 'village', one from $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu о$ 'band of revellers'. Theon (Progymn. 2. 109 Spengel) and Nicolaus (49. io Felten) favour the latter, Hermogenes (15. 3 Rabe) and Aphthonius (Progymn. 21. 6 Rabe) the former. Since Nicolaus in other respects resembles M., it seems likely that their views on this were the same. The same alternative derivations were given of $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta i a$ : Kaibel, $C G F$ 1. $6-7$; schol. Dion. Thr. 450. 29-30 Hilgard; Koster, Prolegomena de comoedia, 120. The debate goes back to Aristotle (Poet. $144^{8 \mathrm{a}} 35 \mathrm{ff}$.).
331. in-13. The sense appears to be that the reader is not to expect an account of rhetoric as a whole, even though the writer has begun with the very general statement about the three parts, and with a brief definition of them. But it is very odd that this brief allusion should be described in the words $\kappa \ddot{\alpha} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \in \nu$. . . $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \omega \mu \alpha$, which would more naturally apply to an earlier statement of intention. ö $\lambda \eta s$ or каӨódov is needed in II, but this does not wholly solve the problem.
331.16-18. M. thus excludes declamations ( $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \iota$ ), a common part of Greek as of Latin rhetorical training (G. A. Kennedy, in Approaches to the Second Sophistic, ed. G. W. Bowersock, American Philological Association, 1974, 17-22), and draws a distinction between himself and his pupils on the one hand and ooфiovai on the other. Contrast

331. 20-332. 7. каi тoútous ... épyáoo $\mu \in \theta$. This passage is omitted in one branch of the tradition (MW), being replaced by something much simpler: '. . . and sometimes about mortal objects. Praise relating to divine objects has no subdivisions.' This makes coherent
sense: note ät $\boldsymbol{\mu} \eta$ тov also 33 I . 18 and 332 . 10 . We should conclude that the passage in P is an addition, whether by the author or another, to the original argument. The classification of hymns which it contains is quite different from that given and followed up in 333.2 ff . The promise of 332.3 ff . is never fulfilled.

The doctrine of the 'additional' passage resembles, but is not identical with, that of Proclus' Chrestomathy (Phot. Bibl. cod. 239, 319 b ff.). Here, lyric poems addressed to gods are classified under the heads of
 $\chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$. It is also stated ( 320 a) that $\dot{v} \mu \nu o s$ was regarded as the generic term of which $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o \delta^{\circ} \circ{ }^{\circ}$ and the rest were species; hence locutions like $\tilde{v}_{\mu \nu}$ vos $\pi \rho o \sigma o \delta i o v . ~ P o e m s ~ a d d r e s s e d ~ t o ~ h u m a n ~ b e i n g s ~ a l s o ~$ appear to have a generic name $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \iota o \nu$ with species $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i v \iota к о \nu$,
 these and similar terms, see especially A. E. Harvey, 'The Classification of Greek Lyric Poetry', CQ 5 (1955), I57 ff. The tradition which M . (or his interpolator) reproduces is obviously very like that which Alexandrian scholars used for classifying lyric poetry: e.g. Pindar's poems to gods were arranged in books of $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu o \iota, \pi \alpha \imath a ̂ \nu \epsilon s, \delta i \theta \dot{v} \rho a \mu \beta o \iota$,

331. 22-3. [Plu.], De musica 9. I 134 D does not help us to distinguish between paean and huporchema; perhaps it was the dance accompaniment of huporchema that made the difference. For the (conflicting) ancient evidence, see A. M. Dale, Eranos 48 (1950), 14-20 (Collected Papers, 34-40). Again, whether a particular poem was a paean or a dithyramb seems sometimes to have been doubtful (De musica ro. II34 E, on Xenocritus), and we clearly have no certain knowledge about this. The dithyramb itself, though traditionally Dionysiac (Archilochus fr. 120 West), is sometimes thought of as addressed to Apollo (F. Pfister, Reliquienkult, 553; Cramer,

331. 24. єîp $\eta$ тai reads strangely. ö $\sigma \alpha$ тoıav̂ $\alpha \alpha$ by itself—'etc.'—is normal from Aristotle onwards, and common in M. (e.g. 336. 15 ; 342. 17).
332. 1-2. Johannes Sardianus (Comm. in Aphthon. 120. 2 Rabe), who clearly uses this passage, though without naming the author, has:
 ( $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ coni. Rabe) $\delta \dot{\epsilon}\langle\tau o ̀ ̀ s\rangle \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i ́ a$. It is possible that ${ }_{o}^{\circ} \lambda \omega$ should be accepted for $\lambda{ }^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\prime} \omega$ in our passage, but Sardianus' text clearly contains other errors, and, on balance, we have preferred the deletion. Finckh's $\pi \rho o \sigma o ́ \delta \iota a$ receives some support from Proclus, l.c., and perhaps also from Philo, De vita contemplativa 8o, $\pi \rho o \sigma o \delta i \omega v$ $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon i \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \beta \omega \mu i \omega \nu$, where $\pi \rho o \sigma o \delta i \omega \nu$ is probably an
adjective qualifying $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu$ (so F. H. Colson in the Loeb translation); the passage is, however, of doubtful relevance to the history of Greek poetic genres, as it is a list of types of religious poems sung by the Therapeutae.
332. 4. тоîs ката入оүá $\eta \eta$ vuүүрáфоuбıv. The best-known examples of prose hymns are those of Aristides (Or. 37 (Athena); 42 (Asclepius); 40 (Heracles); 43 (Zeus); 45 (Sarapis)). On these see in general A. Boulanger, Aelius Aristide, 300 ff ; J. Amann, Die Zeusrede des Ailios Aristides (1931); W. Uerschels, Der Dionysoshymnos. des A.A. (diss. Bonn, 1962). Cf. also Apuleius, Florida 18. Later examples include Julian's hymns to the Sun and to the Mother of the Gods (Or. 11, 8) and Libanius' to Artemis (Or. 5). It is not
 $39 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) is a predecessor of these prose hymn-writers. Rules for 'praises of a god' are given in Alexander Numeniu 3. 4-6 Spengel (cf. Introd., p. xxiv). Prose hymns had a distinct part in cult in the Roman period: see E. J. and L. Edelstein, Asclepius, vol. 2, pp. 204 f. for the performance of such speeches in temples or theatres and the excitement and intensification of religious feeling that they seem to have caused. They may be compared with emotional sermons.
332. 10. èv $\tau$ aîs $\tau \in$ Xvikaîs $\mu \in$ OóSoıs. The reference intended by this title is evidently to $344.8-367.8$ (i.e. the second 'book' of this treatise).
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (8). There remain doubts about the details of the text, but we suggest (i) that $\zeta \omega \omega \nu$ in 12 may be retained as variatio for $\theta \nu \eta \tau \omega \nu$; (ii) that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ in 13 may depend on $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu o \iota$, with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ deleted.
332. 17 ff. MW's $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ may well be right: $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$ os usually describes plants or trees rather than land animals, but cf. Theophr. $H P_{4}$.

 (19).
332. 21. 'Encomia' of arts naturally comprise the same material as 'protreptics' to their exercise : Xen. Cynegeticus i is a classic example. We may also think of comic adaptations, e.g. the praises of the parasite's profession (F. Leo, Plautinische Forschungen, 148, n. 2) or the $\psi$ óyos of the money-lender (E. Fraenkel, Elementi Plautini in Plauto, 177).
332. 22 ff. The repeated $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ ov̂m $\epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ rouses suspicions, especially as $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ ' oर̂ ( $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ), 'since', is usually temporal not causal. But, apart from this, coherent sense is obtained if we replace $\delta \in i \xi \in \iota$ (24) by a verb meaning 'will include', e.g. $\pi \in \rho \iota \in ́ \xi \in \epsilon$.
332. 26 ff . For encomia of salt and the like, cf. Pl. Symp. 177 в, Isocr. Helena 12, Plu. Mor. 44 F, Alex. Num. 3. 3. io Spengel; Radermacher, $A S$ i 30 f . There are many later examples: e.g. Lucian's

Fly (1. 26 ff. Macleod), Synesius' Baldness (igo ff. Terzaghi), itself an answer to a lost encomium on Hair by Dio Chrysostom, and the pieces by Favorinus (fr. i Barigazzi) and Libanius (8. 243 ff .) on Thersites. See in general, A. S. Pease, 'Things without honour', $C P_{21}$ (1926), 27-42. M. deals again with the subject, 346. 17 f.
332. 30 ff . It is clear from this (see also Introd.) that a large part of the treatise is missing, since we have no sections on animals or on ä $\psi v \chi a$.
333. 2-26. Classification of hymns

| (i) cletic | $(8-\mathbf{1 0})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| (ii) apopemptic | $(\mathbf{1 0 - 1 2 )}$ |
| (iii) scientific | $(\mathbf{1 2 - 1 5})$ |
| (iv) mythical | $(\mathbf{1 5 - 1 8 )}$ |
| (v) genealogical | $(\mathbf{1 8 - 2 1 )}$ |
| (vi) fictitious | $(\mathbf{2 1 - 4 )}$ |
| (vii) precatory | $(\mathbf{2 4 - 5})$ |
| (viii) deprecatory | $(\mathbf{2 5}-6)$ |

This classification may well be in large part original, though 338.2 ff . implies predecessors. It recalls Neoplatonic theories of myth (Sallustius, De diis et mundo 4, with A. D. Nock's Introduction, pp. xlv ff.) in which $\mu \hat{v} \theta \circ \iota$ are classed as $\theta \epsilon о \lambda о \gamma \iota к о i, \phi v \sigma \iota к о i, \psi \psi \chi \iota к о i$, vìєкоí, $\mu \iota к \tau о i$.
333. 7. Súo. Indeclinable, as often, even at high levels of literacy: e.g. Dion. Hal. Dinarchus, p. 320. II Usener; Aristaenetus I. 23. For its use at a rather less formal level, see, e.g. Olympiodorus, In Gorgiam 47. 14, 138. 6, 177.30 , 184. 3, 245. 7-8 Westerink.
333. 10. к $\lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota v$ éXovtєs $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} v$ $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ v$. Since the beginning of the section on $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \circ i$ ( 334.25 ff .) is missing, we cannot be certain whether M. is saying simply that there are lyric hymns to various gods, or that there are hymns each of which contains appeals to several gods. R. J. Tarrant on Seneca, Agamemnon 310 ff. (p. 232) assumes the second, i.e. that M. means Reihengebet; this is by no means necessary. Examples of $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ may be found in Sappho's hymn to Aphrodite (fr. i) or Anacreon's to Artemis (fr. 3 PMG).
333. 11. Bacchylides fr. a Snell: cf. below, 336. 12.
333. 12-15. Kern, Orphica, 306; Parmenides A 20, Empedocles A 23 D.-K.
333. 13. Empedocles: $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota o v ~ t o ~ A p o l l o ~(A ~ i ~ D .-K . ~=~ D i o g . ~$ Laert. 8. 57); see also B 134. The allusion to Zeus may be to B 6. 2 (see D.-K.).
oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{i}$. . . For the ambiguities in phrases of this kind, see Rhys Roberts on Dion. Hal. CV ig (p. 195). Here M. clearly means 'Parmenides and Empedocles'.
333. 15. 'Opф́́ws. The extant hymns (ed. W. Quandt (1955)) are invocations meant to accompany offerings of incense. They enumerate the functions and titles of many gods, but are not фvoוкoi. They are not intended here; the reference is a more general one to the mass of 'Orphic' literature, esp. ícpoì خóyou.
333. 16. á $\lambda \lambda_{\eta}$ үopiav $\psi i \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$. The narrative has a hidden meaning, but no explanation of it is given: cf. $\psi \iota \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu(24), \psi \iota \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ (26), $\psi \iota \lambda \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ (336. 2). Similar is Quintilian's tota allegoria, opposed to the mixta allegoria in which the application is made explicit (8. 6. $47-8$ ) ; but M.'s expression is noteworthy, and seems to imply that all $\mu \hat{v}$ Ooc concerning gods have an allegorical meaning. But the two stories which he instances (Apollo and the walls of Troy from Il. 7. 452 ff., Apollo and Admetus from Euripides' Alcestis) are not known to be subjects which were interpreted allegorically.
333. 2I. $\sigma \omega \mu$ атотоь $\omega \mu \epsilon v$. Schol. Eur. Phoen. $782 \sigma \omega \mu a \tau о \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єủ入áßєєav; similar usage, schol. Eur. Alc. 24, Ar. Aves 1536.
333. 23. 〈 $\tau \grave{\eta} v\rangle$ Aưpıov. Heeren's addition is accepted by Page, PMG 615. In Callimachus, Epigr. 16 ( = Anth. Pal. 7. 519) : $\delta a i \mu о \nu \alpha$ tis $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{v}$ oí $\delta \epsilon$ tòv av́pıov . . .; ('who knows tomorrow's fate?') there is no personification (cf. Gow-Page, Hellenistic Epigrams, vol. 2, p. 198).
"Okvov. The traditional story of Oknos in Hades (he plaits a rope which a donkey eats as fast as he makes it: Polygnotus' painting, Pausan. ıo. 29. 2 ; variant in Apul. Met. 6. 18, Diod. 1. 97; moralization, Plu. Tranq. an. 473 c ) exemplifies futility rather than hesitation or timidity. But cf. the picture described by Pliny, $\mathcal{N H}$ 35. 137 ('piger qui appellatur Ocnus, spartum torquens quod asellus adrodit') for a slightly different turn; and it is hard to think that M. has not got the very familiar Hades story in mind as well as the personification of hesitation.
333. 27-334. 24. Use of these forms: the moderate use of them in prose may be justified by the example of Plato.
333. 29. Cf. Julian, Or. i I ( $\epsilon$ is rò̀ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} H \lambda \iota o \nu$ ) 4, and esp. 37 :

334. Iff. Bursian amended the text to give the sense: '. . . whether poets are to be thought of as having this licence, but prose-writers not. We postulate as the one simple limiting factor of their inability the fact that we grant greater licence to poets in these matters because the main source of material for poetry is the divine, whereas for prose it is the human.'
 M.'s general words for 'prose-writer' and 'prose' (hence Nitsches'

sometimes uses $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \in u ́ s$ in what seems a more restricted sense'historian' or 'writer of non-oratorical prose'-and the first of these meanings is probably the likeliest here. At 336. 29 ovy $\quad$ padevoru
 $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \in \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu \eta \eta$ خоуотоьoîs. See also on 411. 28, and (for the meaning of the related adjective $\sigma 0 \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \iota \kappa o ́ s) 400.8$.
334. 7. \%̈ $\pi \eta$. 'Seeing that', like ö $\pi \sigma v(\gamma \epsilon$ ) in, e.g. [Longin.] 4. 4, LSJ s.v. ö $\pi$ ov II. 2.
334. 11. Pl. Symp. 178 A, 189 c, 194 E, 203 в.
334. 16-18. Pl. Phaedr. 237 A, 279 в; Hermias in Phaedrum 48.
 ibid. 265. 14, кai vôv $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \chi \eta े \nu ~ \kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda \eta ̀ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi a \rho o ́ v \tau a ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v . ~$



334. 21-4. The proposed treatment of each of the kinds involves (i) general procedure; (ii) length and proportion; (iii) appropriate style. Not to speak of lacunae (as in the 'cletic' section), there are places where it is difficult to see how this scheme has been followed.
334. 22. Though $\pi \rho o a, \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu$ is common in this sort of use (e.g. 338. 19; 379. 30 ; 430. 7), $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \kappa \tau$ '́ov ('apply') is also possible here: cf. 339. 4. Thus it is not at all certain that Bursian is right to read троактє́ov.

## 334. 25-336. 4. (i) Cletic hymns

This section lacks its beginning; surviving parts deal with appropriate length (334. 26-335. 19) and tone (335. 23-336.4).
334. 27 ff. Nitsche's views on this passage seem largely correct. (i) His $\alpha \nu \alpha \mu \mu \nu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is excellent, and renders unnecessary both the insertion of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ before $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ and the separate insertion in 28 of a phrase meaning 'summon the gods' (so Heeren). (ii) The known lyric passages which come into consideration appear to be:
 PMG Kú $\pi \rho \circ \nu$ i $\mu \epsilon \rho \tau \alpha ̀ \nu \lambda \iota \pi о$ îoa каì Пáфov $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho v i \tau a \nu$, both referring to Aphrodite. The likeliest invocation of Artemis however is Anacreon 3:
and we note that Anacreon, not Alcman, was mentioned at 333. 9.
 is quite unidiomatic, and we should not be ready to condone it even in $M$. Of the various alternatives we should particularly consider: (a) $\dot{\delta} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots$, one of Nitsche's suggestions: the poets are

Alcman and Sappho; (b) delete the first $\dot{\alpha} \nu а к а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ (30), and suppose that one of the poets is meant. (a) seems much the better of these. The question then arises whether $\AA \lambda \kappa \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu \iota$ should be replaced by Avaкрє́ovtı. A slip on M.'s part seems probable, and this further change should therefore be rejected.
335. 2. і́тотєфикóтas. vimo- is difficult. This compound is characteristically used of things like second teeth or renewed nails; since the meadows might be 'under' the oo $\chi \theta a a$, it is not quite impossible here, but it is certainly odd as an expression of the relationship between meadow and river.
335. 4. Bursian's ópé $\omega \nu$ takes up 334. 30.
335. 9 ff. The text presents some problems. If $\epsilon \dot{\xi} \eta \gamma o v ́ \mu \in \nu o s$ means (as it appears to) 'showing how it should be done' (cf. [Dion. Hal.]
 Плáт $\omega \nu$, but contrast below 337. 9, 13, where the word denotes 'full explanation') the qualificatory $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ is welcome. In the passage of Plato (Phaedr. 237 A), only one proper name occurs, so that there is an adequate contrast with the poets' practice described above (cf. 7-8). The passage from Homer on the other hand (Il. i. 36-7) has Chryse, Killa, and Tenedos; it is obviously not suitable for prose imitation. We suggest reading $\dot{o} \mu \epsilon ̀ v \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ in 9 , to be answered by ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ " $O \mu \eta \rho o s$ in 13 .
 must be changed to ${ }^{2} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, and we also think it preferable (i) to replace $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \in \tau \epsilon$ (not attested in the direct or the indirect tradition of Plato, and wrong in sense) by $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\sigma} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$; (ii) to transpose $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$ to follow $\gamma \in ́ v o s$, not $\epsilon \hat{\delta} \delta o s$; it makes very poor sense in the latter position, and is not so placed in the other witnesses. P's other deviations from the direct or indirect tradition of Plato may be retained as the text of M., viz. (i) $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \alpha \iota$ immediately after Movoaı not after $\epsilon i \delta o s$. Hermog. De ideis 338. 21 Rabe agrees with M. in this; the word-order has presumably been simplified; (ii) no $\hat{\omega}$ before Movoat: here M. agrees with Stob. Flor. 4. 475 W.-H., Dion. Hal. Demosth. 7, p. 140 U.-R., ps.-Heraclitus, Quaest. Hom. 77; (iii) $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ before $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu v \mu i a \nu$ is found in Dion. Hal. l.c. and ps.-Heraclit. l.c., but is absent from Stob. l.c. and from the direct tradition of Plato.
335. 13. Since the $\epsilon \hat{\delta} \dot{\delta} o s$ is $\tau \dot{\text { o }} \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ no sense is given by $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, whether read as one word or as two, and if the passage is not to be moved elsewhere, deletion is the best course. Finckh (ap. Walz, p. 741), however, argued that the whole passage ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} O_{\mu \eta \rho o s}(13) \ldots$ á $\rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \alpha$ (23) was misplaced here. His evidence, besides $\grave{\imath} \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, was oïa кai (20), which implies again that cletic hymns are not here the main subject, and the fact that $335.18-19$ repeats $335.6-7$.

Observing that the section $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ is incomplete, he proposed transferring this passage to that chapter, but without saying precisely where he would place it (presumably 343. i6 or 343. 26). This is ingenious, but not convincing: (i) 335.12 ff. may, as we have argued on 335.9 ff ., express a contrast between Plato and Homer which forms a link in the train of thought; (ii) repetitions, like that in 335. 18-19, are not unusual in M.'s pedagogic style; (iii) in an ill-preserved context, corruption of oía кai (20) is not a serious additional obstacle. It remains possible, as Finckh suggests, that the stylistic recommendations appropriate to the 'cletic' and to the 'precatory and deprecatory' hymns are so similar that a precept applying to one could be transferred en bloc to the other. Something like this may well have been done, either by the author's act or in the course of the transmission; but the sequence of events is too obscure for us to reconstruct it with confidence.
335. 14. $\mu \in \tau$ à $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ iooou $\lambda \lambda a \beta i a s$. This would naturally refer to clauses of equal numbers of syllables: thus in Plu. Q. C. 9. 3 (739 A), a grammarian observes that the first line of the Iliad and the first of the Odyssey are iooov́ldaßoı, as are the last lines: cf. also Hermog. De ideis I. 12 (309. 13 Rabe), where iooov́d入a $\beta a$ are contrasted with clauses 'of more or fewer syllables'. However, the cola in these lines of Homer, though roughly in balance, do not correspond precisely. The two complete lines (Il. 1. 37 and $38 \kappa \lambda \hat{v} \theta i \quad \mu \in v$ áp $\rho v \rho o o^{\prime} о \xi^{\prime}$ ôs X $\boldsymbol{v}$ v́ $\eta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.) could perhaps be both counted as sixteen syllables, but we should then expect $M$. to quote them complete. Presumably he is using his terms a little loosely.
335. 20. $\dot{\eta}[\tau \epsilon]$. We suggest that $\tau \epsilon$ was added subsequently to the corruption oía каi, in order to complete the construction.
335. 22. $\sigma \times \eta$ й $\mu$ ата ávaк $\lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{a}$. Exclamations like $\hat{\omega} \gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \quad \theta \epsilon o i$ are so styled by rhetoricians: Martin, 162 (Apsines). This sense is not recorded in LSJ.
 be on the right lines. M. refers to his own cletic hymn to Apollo (cf. 34 I . 16 for such self-allusion). This is very unlikely to be the 'Sminthiac' of Treatise II ( 437 ff .), since that is not a complete work but a sketch of suggestions, and is not primarily a кл $\eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s$ ${ }_{v}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \mu \mathrm{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. There is only a rough correspondence between the ideas of 335.25 ff . and the трооьньккаi ëvootaı of 437.15 ff ., and these are in any case commonplace. It is possible that $25-30$ is an extensive quotation, in which the speaker proclaims his intention of keeping within the limits of prose. In $29, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ is unintelligible and we translate Jacobs's $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. In 30 , '̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$. . . кал $\epsilon$ ' $\sigma \iota \mu \iota$ is certainly direct quotation from the hymn.
336. 5-23. (ii) Apopemptic hymns

The model for these is Bacchylides; their subject is the place the god is leaving and also his destination. They may be longer than simple cletic hymns.
336. 8 ff . Apollo winters in Lycia and summers in Delos: see in general Pease on Virg. Aen. 4: 143 ff . Practices at Miletus (i.e. Branchidae) and Argos are unknown. Telesilla 717 PMG was taken by Wilamowitz (Pindaros, 330) as suggesting that Artemis periodically left Elis for Argos. There is no other evidence about this, and Wilamowitz's optimistic view of M.'s gelehrte Kenntnis does not commend itself in view of the various historical inaccuracies in these treatises (see on $366.26 ; 366.32 ; 409.4$ ). See also M. P. Nilsson, Gesch. Gr. Rel. 2. 57 n. 3 .

This passage is cited by Snell, Bacchylides fr. Ia. How much of the content comes from Bacchylides is not known. The scholiast on Call.
 and Bacchylides as writing in honour of Delos.
336. 17. єủ $\mu \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \in ́ p a s$. This may just mean 'pleasant' or 'genial' (though it does not seem to be used elsewhere of literary effects). ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ ('harmonious' or 'tasteful') and $\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ ('melodious') are both possible corrections; these two words are themselves so often confused (e.g. Dion. Hal. CV 18 (p. 77. 2 U.-R.)) that it is impossible to choose between them.
336. 24-337. 32. (iii) Scientific hymns

These require a grander style: models are to be found in Parmenides, Empedocles, and Plato. They have various subspecies: extensive and allusive, plain and enigmatic. The scale varies also; the appropriate style is the most elevated. M. suggests that the genre is not suitable for writers of modest abilities; cf. the advice of Horace Ars poetica 39-40: 'versate diu quid ferre recusent, / quid valeant umeri.'
336. 27-8. Ernesti's $\epsilon \in \psi v \chi o \tau \notin \rho o \iota s$ is necessary; for support for
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s$ 'unventuresome': (a) ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \psi v \chi o s$, here used of a speaker, is regularly used of style (e.g. Lucian, Dem. Enc. 14) and a similar transfer of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \eta_{s}$ is not unnatural: (b) $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \eta_{n}$ is used of $\dot{\eta} \Lambda v \sigma i^{\circ} v$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \iota s$ (Dion. Hal. Lys. 13 (p. 23, 12)), and indeed of a convincing orator (Xen. Mem. 4. 6. 15). But on balance $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o ı s ~ s e e m s ~$ more apt.
337. 2. グ $\lambda_{\imath o v}$. . . фáбк $\omega \mu \epsilon v$. On the identification of Apollo and the sun, see on 438 . 8.

337．4．The opinion that Empedocles identified Hera with $a^{\eta} \eta$ （rather than with earth）and Zeus with fire，whether true or not， was very generally held in antiquity：cf．A 33，B 6，B 31，with Kirk－ Raven，Presocratics，324－5．Both equivalences were common later， especially with Stoics．
337．8．In Plato（i）the lover $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{v} \tau a \iota$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \tau \epsilon \rho o \hat{\tau} \alpha \iota$（cf．Phaedr． 249 D）by the excitement of beauty；（ii）the wings grow＇under the sur－ face of the soul＇which was itself once $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \ldots \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta^{\prime}(25$ I в7）；（iii） the whole experience is due to what men call＇winged love＇，${ }^{\prime} \theta$ ávazo
 have been made for M．＇s text rest on these passages．Walz＇s ávántepov $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{i}$－＇represents him as with feathers erect＇－employs an adj．not otherwise attested，but implied by the verb àvantєpó $\omega$ ．

337．13．Bursian＇s $\alpha \nu \alpha \mu \iota \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$ is recommended by $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ above（1I）．At 342． 25 and 344． 7 ajvv $\mu \nu \epsilon i v$ has the object（ $\theta$ єoús） expressed．
337．15．oi Пu甘aүópetor фєрó $\mu \in v o l$. Proclus，in Tim．3． 107 Diehl refers to a Pythagorean hymn on numbers．See Thesleff，173－4 for this and for a＇monotheistic＇hymn known from Justin Martyr． This at least is not＇enigmatic＇．Neither is the hymn $\epsilon i s \tau \eta े \nu ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ attributed to Pythagoras（J．U．Powell，Collectanea Alexandrina，197）． In this context，we cannot say whether M．means poetry or prose， and must therefore remain uncertain of what he intends：just possibly he means the $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta \circ \lambda a$ ，with their hidden meanings（note the con－ nection of brevity with the enigmatic，337．19）．

337．23．No passage of Critias refers to Timaeus in precisely these terms．M．may be thinking of Tim． 27 c and 92 в（Timaeus＇ initial invocation and closing praise of the＇visible god＇）or of Crit． 106 A（where Timaeus makes an appropriate prayer on the con－ clusion of his task），but more probably he has misremembered a（perfectly proper）description of Timaeus as something Plato actually said．
337． 26 ff ．In this warning，M．speaks not as a rhetorician but as a follower of the Platonic and Pythagorean tradition of philosophical and mystical secrecy；he perhaps has in mind Pl．Rep． 378 A．
337．28．катаүє入aбтькө́тєpol．Cf．Pollux 5．128，where the adv． （ $-\omega s$ ）is used；but the text there is doubtful，so that M．and Pollux do not offer each other much support．катаує入aoтóтєpoc should perhaps be read here．

337．30．We take the construction as acc．and inf．：cf．Pl．Rep．



 8 (307.24) ; Demosth. 29 (192.6), 7 (140. 12). The usual connotation is of elaborate compounds (Demetrius ir6) and 'noisy nonsense'. Here M. is thinking of the positive qualities of grandeur shown, e.g. in the Phaedrus myth. Cf. also E. Norden, Antike Kunstprosa, iog ff.

## 338. 1-339. 32. (iv) Mythical hymns

These are to be distinguished from genealogical hymns, since they do not necessarily involve genealogies. They have no overt scientific doctrine. They are more appropriate in poetry than in prose; but the difficulty they present can be mitigated by attention to brevity and variety of treatment. The style should be splendid, but not on the 'dithyrambic' level. Isocrates, Thucydides, and Plato afford examples. (We might add Aristid. Or. 46. 32 ff., on Ino and Leucothea, as a good later instance of the 'genre'.)
 $265 \mathrm{c}, \kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ov̀ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi a \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \pi i \theta a \nu o \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \mu \nu \theta \iota \kappa o ́ v ~ \tau \iota \nu ’ ~ v ̈ \mu \nu o \nu ~$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \pi a i \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$. This passage may well have been influential in encouraging the growth of classifications like M.'s.
338. 6. 'Akougidews. FGrHist 2 T 4. Source again is Plato, who couples Acusilaus with Parmenides and Hesiod as dealing with $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Sympos. 178 в).
338. 7. 'Opфєús. Cf. (e.g.) Kern, Orphica, test. 246 (= schol. Lycophr. 399), fr. 173 (= Fulgentius, Mitol. 3. 9), fr. 128 (= Proclus, Theol. Plat. 4. 5), for 'theogonies'.
 received the gift of wine, and was killed by shepherds who thought he was poisoning them. Eratosthenes' Erigone was the best-known literary treatınent of the story (Powell, Collectanea Alexandrina, 64 ; [Longin.] 33. 5).
 barda) was the site of a sixth-century temple of Apollo, Artemis, and Leto, rebuilt under the empire. For the story, cf. Paus. i. 31 and

 a model epideictic speech familiar to the rhetors, was no doubt a source for the story (cf. [Longin.] 34. 2).
338. 12-13. $\dot{\eta} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$. . . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \xi \in v \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$. The story of Demeter and Celeos was best known from the Homeric Hymn to Demeter; in some versions (to judge from Ovid, Fasti 4.507 ff .) Celeos was an old peasant, not a king. It is odd that $\pi a \rho \alpha$ is used with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$ here,
but not in II: both usages are possible, but the dative without $\pi a \rho d$ is apparently the normal one in literary texts (LSJ).
338. 26. kat' ínóvotav. Platonic (Rep. $37^{8} \mathrm{D}$ ) and conventionally archaic (Plu. Aud. poet. i9 E) equivalent of $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma \quad{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \alpha \nu$.
 then declared unsuitable for the orator (339. 1), as are genealogical hymns below (340. 19).
338. 32. áкаípous. Criticism of Pindar on these lines is found in the

 own concern for кalpós and brevity is well known: Pyth. 1. 81, 8. 29, Nem. io. 20.
339. 4 ff . The doctrine here is largely that of elementary (progymnasmatic) teaching on $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os and $\delta(\eta \dot{\prime} \gamma \mu \mu$ : see esp. Theon 2. 72 ff . Spengel. (i) ovvгouia is of course a basic virtue of narrative: Theon 83. 14 ff . (ii) The procedure described as $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon i a s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon i \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is what Theon ( 74.21 ff .) calls $\kappa \lambda i \sigma \iota s$ ('declension') and consists essentially in turning direct speech into indirect. (iii) For $\pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ as a means of brevity, cf. Theon 83. 20: $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \nu \nu v \pi-$ акоvєє $\theta a \iota \delta о \kappa є \hat{i}$. (iv) $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda о \kappa \eta \nu$ is discussed in several places in Theon: see $75.9,83.3,92.24$. The procedure consists in combining two stories. Theon points out how Isocrates (Paneg. 54) contrives to introduce both the Heraclidae and Adrastus, and again (Paneg. 68) both Eumolpus and the Amazons. (v) $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}(7)$ is less easily explained: it usually means the forensic device of granting an opponent a point. Perhaps we should think of the narrator as 'admitting' the fabulous or bizarre nature of his story, but proceeding to assert the authority for it or its inner truth (cf. Theon 76.6 ff .). (vi) $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ presumably indicates a break in the narrative in order to explain the background, so producing variety. (vii) With $\mu \eta \eta^{\pi} \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i \nu$ (8), compare Hdt. 4. 96, oữ $\mathfrak{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ov̉ $\tau \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \omega \tau \iota \lambda_{i} \eta \nu$.
 seeks кá $\lambda \lambda o s$ and $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta s$ from $\sigma u ́ v \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, not from grandeur or archaism of vocabulary. The $\alpha_{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota^{\prime} \tau \eta s$ and $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os are qualities of the words. Hence $\tilde{\eta}$ must be deleted; whether $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' (the vulgate supplement in 17) is a correct conjecture may also be questioned, for $\eta$ would be natural here. For the doctrine, cf. Isocr. Evag. io ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ óvo $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tau 0$ is $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \circ \hat{\imath} s$, cf. below, 21), and the many statements in rhetors about his $\sigma$ v́v $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ (e.g. Radermacher, $A S$ B XXIV. 22, with notes).
339. 20. $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{v}$. We accept this common correction for ' $I \omega \prime \nu \omega \nu$, though it is not certain that it is the right reading in the passage referred to, Isocr. Paneg. 28. M. (as he tells us) has the whole passage


 $\pi a \rho a \xi \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \tau \dot{\partial} \nu i \delta \iota \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu$. For the combination of $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta s$ and simple words cf. [Longin.] 40.
339. 23. Thuc. 2. 29. Hermogenes, De ideis 4 II. 16 ff. Rabe discusses the unusual $\gamma \lambda v \kappa u ́ \tau \eta s$ of this passage.
339. 27. éкıv $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. In Plato (Laws 672 в), and in Athenaeus $44^{\circ}$ d, the passage reads: $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \circ \rho \eta^{\eta} \eta \eta \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau \eta \nu \nu \gamma \omega \omega \mu \eta \nu$.
339. 32. Again (cf. 22) an indication of a lacuna. The sense is presumably that $\mu \nu \theta_{\iota} \kappa \circ i \dot{v} \mu \nu o \iota$ are in both respects (content and style) on a lower level than фибккоi $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu o \iota$ (contrast 339. 13 with 337.30).
340. 1-30. (v) Genealogical hymns

These themes are only suitable for prose if combined with others. Purity and variety of style are needed; Hesiod, Plato, and Herodotus are models.
Though M. makes a point of the distinction between this and the preceding type, he hardly justifies himself, as he admits that 'genealogies' hardly ever occur in isolation.
340. 10. $\gamma \boldsymbol{p} \alpha \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$. Literally 'like an old woman'; the word is usually used of garrulity and silly stories, and so makes an odd combination with $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho a \kappa \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \epsilon s$ (for which see [Longin.] 3, 4). M.'s point is that yovai are only the first part of any encomium, which then proceeds to $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta}$, actions, and so on.
340. 13. roîs dexaiots. Who? Presumably poets rather than orators: Dionysus' birth is first treated in Hes. Theog. 940 ff., Apollo's in the Homeric hymn.
340. 15. 'A $\lambda_{\text {каîos. For Hermes, see } 308 ~ P L F \text {, the hymn } \chi \alpha \hat{\imath} \rho \epsilon . ~}^{\text {, }}$
 of Alcaeus' treatment of Hephaestus.
340. 21. Alcaeus may have introduced the Horai in his hymn to Hermes (D. L. Page, Sappho and Alcaeus, 256), and there is late evidence for their part: Philostr. VA 5. 15, Imagines I. 26.
340. 24. кa0apótŋs. Here not grammatical purity (as, e.g. Dion. Hal. De Lys. 9. In), but clarity : so Hermog. De ideis 226 ff. Rabe (with D. Hagedorn's comments, Zur Ideenlehre des H. (1964), p. 24), Julian, Or. 2. 77 a, $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ~ к а \theta a p o ́ s, ~ P r o c l . ~ i n ~ T i m . ~ 1 . ~ 64 ~ D i e h l, ~ a ́ ~ ' \beta ı a ́ \sigma \tau \omega s ~$ $\kappa \alpha i{ }_{\kappa} \alpha \theta a \rho \bar{s}$, etc. No doubt this quality is also necessary in other hymns with a large narrative element, but it is emphasized here for lack of any more specific character.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$.
340. 25. Finckh's proposal, adopted here, makes $\tau \grave{o ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi \rho о \sigma к о \rho \epsilon ́ s ~ a n ~}$ d $\rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$. However, it is clearly not so in the same sense as ка日apóт $\eta$ s. The alternative-to punctuate after каӨaןóтクs-produces an awkward and abrupt sentence, but nevertheless has a chance of being right.
340. 26-7. Periphrasis is a characteristic of poetry: Alexander,
 But even poets have to be sparing in their use of it, and this is probably what $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i a$ here implies: cf. [Longin.] 29. 1, є́ $\pi i \kappa \eta \rho o \nu . . . \dot{\eta}$
 how this feature has an effect corresponding to that of 'varied' cola in prose; what is true, however, is that variation of cola is not available to most poets (Dion. Hal. CV 19 (pp. 84 ff . U.-R.)).




 $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. Note that Herodotus and Plato are two of Dionysius' examples of successful $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}(87.4)$.
340. 28. 'Opф'́ws. This passage is not in Kern's Orphica. For a reference to an unfavourable literary view of Orphic poems, cf. Claudian, Carm. min. 23. 1 I ( $=$ test. 226 Kern ) : 'Orpheos alii libros impune lacessunt, / nec tua securum te, Maro, fama vehit': also


340. 30. év roîs Aíyurtıakoîs. Hdt. II was naturally studied as a model of geographical description and mythical narrative. J. Bompaire (Lucien écrivain, 649) lists a large number of features of this book used in De dea Syria.
340. 31-342. 20. (vi) Fictitious hymns

These are concerned with lesser divinities; we find examples in Plato and Homer. The fiction should be coherent, clear, and elegant, and may be supported by actual facts. The stylistic level depends on the nature of the subject.
341. 4 ff. Sympos. 203, 186, 189 . In 186 A it is Eryximachus, not Pausanias who is speaking; so ó Mavadias is factually wrong. In any case, the subject throughout is Plato, not the characters in his dialogue; Nitsche was therefore right to propose his two deletions. With them goes Spengel's deletion of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in 9 .
341. 10. M. refers to various parts of the Symposium: фv́oıs is dealt with in Agathon's speech, $\delta v^{\prime} v a \mu s$ in those of Eryximachus and Aristophanes, $\gamma$ '́vos in Socrates' story of Poros and Penia.
341. 13. $\Delta \epsilon i ̂ \mu o v$ кai $\phi_{o ́ \beta o v . ~ O f t e n ~(a s ~ b e l o w ~ 4 i 7 . ~ 25) ~ r e p r e s e n t e d ~}^{\text {( }}$ as the children of Ares (so Il. II. 37, 13. 299, 15. 119, Hes. Theog. 934; relationship vague in Il. 4. 440). But the relationship is a matter of indifference; Cornutus (21 (40. I7 Lang)) rightly observes in a similar case that it does not matter whether Enyo is mother, daughter, or nurse of Ares.
341. 14. Фuүŋ̀v. We have not found a precise parallel (Roscher s.v. Phygë cites only this passage), but M. doubtless has in mind Il. 9. 2 фú乌а фóßov крvóєขтоs є́ єаípך.
341. 15. Sleep and Death as brothers: Il. 14. 231, 16. 672; Hes. Theog. 212, etc.
 nection'?) is more obscure still. 'As in Ethical Summary' reads like an addition to the text rather than the author's words; if he is simply referring to a work of his own, we do not at all expect $\dot{\omega}$. The force of the allegorical fantasy also is uncertain. In Stoic thought, the divine Logos may be called Zeus; human reason too may be thought of as a part of him, as e.g. in Aristides cis $\Delta i a(=O r .43) 21$ (p. 344. 17 Keil) : $\kappa \alpha i$ ó $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ aù $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ (viz. laws, arts, and the like) $\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \Delta t o ́ s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \in \rho o s$. But we can do little more than raise the question what the allegory means and what the $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \sigma \dot{v} v o \psi u s$ may be.
341.20 ff . The paradosis has the adverbs $\dot{a} \pi \eta \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \in \omega s$ and $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \bar{s}$, and this is satisfactory. Both are logical terms. We suggest that the desired 'coherence' may be obtained by basing the fantasy on $\pi \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$; $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Heeren), 'from the same subjects', is hard to understand. Moreover $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \kappa v i \alpha a ~ ' r e c o n d i t e, ~ o u t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ w a y ' ~$ perhaps implies a contrast with reality and normality.
341. 23. $\sigma \tau \omega \mu u ́ \lambda \omega s$ кai $\gamma \lambda a \phi u p \omega ิ s . ~ \sigma \tau \omega \mu u ́ \lambda \omega s$ is normally pejorative in classical Greek, and denotes idle loquacity, as it does also, e.g. in Demetrius 151 ; but it may also (at least in later Greek) be wholly favourable: Anth. Pal. 9. 187, the Charites give Menander (the poet) $\sigma \tau \omega \mu u ́ \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \tau v \chi i \eta \nu . ~ \gamma \lambda a \phi u \rho \hat{s} s$, 'elegantly', is a standard term of stylistic description: Dion. Hal. Isocr. 2, Demosth. 40, and frequently in $C V$; [Longin.] 1o. 6, 33. 5. It is usually associated with a smooth or elegant style, not with bald simplicity. Here both words are used of subject-matter, not style, but the transference is easy.
341. 24 ff. Muses daughters of Memory: Hes. Theog. 54, and many later poets. Birth of Athena: Hes. Theog. 924, Pind. Ol. 7. 35; but see especially Aristid. Or. 37. We note that these stories are traditional and not inventions; presumably their point is to show the sort of thing one should or should not invent.
341. 27. ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s} \delta$ ', 'but otherwise', gives adequate sense. The point is that the $\pi \lambda{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma s$ is disagreeable in itself, though it may be
justified by allegorical interpretation (see [Heraclit.] Quaest. Hom. 19, F. Buffière, Les Mythes d'Homère, 284 f.).
341. 28-30. M. again refers (29) to his own work, probably the piece he alluded to above (i6). The reference to Homer recalls the fact that it is of Homer that Aristotle says just this (Poet. $1460^{\mathrm{a}} 18 \mathrm{ff}$.).
342. 3. Zeus and Themis: Hes. Theog. 90I ff., Pind. fr. 30. $\epsilon i$ for $\eta^{j} \nu$ seems necessary, but since $\eta_{\nu}$ occurs in the $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ clause below, one expects it also in the first half of the sentence, and we therefore supply it after $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. If Zeus was before all things, he was before Themis; if Themis (having been Cronos' wife) was before Zeus, then Zeus was not before all things. Heeren and Walz read: $\tilde{j}_{\nu} \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho o ̀$ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \Theta^{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu s$, i.e. 'for in that case Themis also was before all things; but if Themis was before Zeus, Zeus was not before all things'.
342. 6. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{S} \tau \hat{\omega} v \boldsymbol{v \in \omega \tau} \mathfrak{\epsilon} p \omega v$. Not identifiable. This kind of personification, in which the accoutrements of a figure are identified with qualities or virtues or vices, hardly seems to occur in pagan literature. In Cebetis Tabula 5, A $A \pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ (Deceit) offers a cup of $\Pi \lambda a^{\prime} \nu o s$ (Error) and \#月vooa (Ignorance) and this is something of the same kind. But the nearest parallels by far seem to be the Pauline passages about the whole armour of God (Eph. 6 : in ff., I Thess. 5:8).
342. 9. Mauravias. Pausanias of Caesarea, teacher of Aelian and Aspasius: details of his career in Philostratus (VS 2. 13, 2. 31. 3). He was a Cappadocian, ridiculed for his incorrect pronunciation. He died at Rome in extreme old age, somewhere around the end of the second century. See H. Diller in TAPA 86 (1955), 268-79. Pausanias is clearly treated here as an example of faults to be avoided.
342. 10. кarà $\mu$ '́pos. If right, this means 'partial'; the implication might be that Pausanias was not talented in a wider field. But

342. 10-12. Corruption may extend back to ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota$, since at 34 r. 30 and again at 342.5 new precepts begin with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota$, and this may have been so here also. Jacobs's conjecture would give the sense: 'it is also possible to unite ancient and new . . $\therefore$, i.e. to combine traditional with newly invented divinities. Kroll felt sure that ${ }^{\alpha} \chi \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} o \nu$ 'useless' should be read for ${ }^{\rho} \rho \chi a \hat{i} o v$ but he gave no complete explanation of the passage. If we combined $\dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \in \hat{i} o \nu$ with Z's $\tau o \hat{\imath} \tau o$, we should have the sense 'This is useless even in poetry . . ' This would suit the general sense rather better, but the passage remains baffling.
342. 15. кон廿от́́pav. 'Ingenious', 'elegant': not a very obvious concomitant of $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$, though the two notions together indicate the quality opposed to $\sigma \in \mu \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ and appropriate to human subjects.
342. 17. Meviav. Cf. Plato, Symp. 203 в ff., Bion F ${ }_{17}$ Kindstrand, Alciphron 1. 23.2 ( $=3.40$ Benner-Fobes), Lucian, Timon 31 ff.
 $(Z)$ as a personification.
 тaval) and perhaps also ibid. 31. 2, Ar. Ran. 96, the word seems to mean 'productive'; elsewhere (e.g. Lucian, Rhet. Praec. 23) it seems to mean 'noble'. It is difficult to say which sense predominates here. Both are covered by the basic sense of 'virile, full of reproductive power'.

342. 21-343. 16 (vii) and (viii): Deprecatory and precatory hymns

These are generally included in other types, but there are examples of them being used by themselves in Homer and Plato, and traces also in the orators.

 Z adds ${ }^{A} \tau \tau v \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \eta$. But M. is quoting from Il. 10. 278-9.
343. 3. $\dot{\text { ® }}$ фì $\lambda \epsilon$ חáv. The famous prayer at the end of the Phaedrus, 279 в.
343. 5 ff. Cf. M. Aur. $5 \cdot 7$ on the prayer of the Athenians: fov
 Similarly Diod. Sic. Io. 98 of Pythagoras ( $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \epsilon \hat{v}_{\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta a ́)}$
 $\tau a ̉ \gamma a \theta a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l)$.

 bona tribuerent, quia ii demum scirent quid unicuique esset utile.'
343. 9. ėmıむ̀v. A regular term for 'going through' a text: cf. e.g.

 $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi п \lambda \alpha \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇кдамßа́voı т̀̀ ка́кıбта.
343. 10. Heeren's $a i \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ gives the required sense. Kroll rightly saw that cis $\tau 0 \grave{v}_{S} \pi \mathrm{~m} \lambda i \tau a s$ was wrongly inserted, arising perhaps from tis тov̀s $\pi$ оגıтıко̀̀s in II. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ probably includes all prose-writers. The тодьтькоi of in are then orators, a subdivision of prose-writers. 343. 12. The passages quoted (De corona 1 and 141) are in fact real prayeıs, rather than $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a u$.
343. 17-26. An additional note: 'aporetic' hymns are not really a separate class. The text in $18-19$ is doubtful; we accept Bursian's
oiov (confirmed by Z) and suppose that the hymn-writers, not the theorists, are the assumed subject of $\delta i \eta \pi o ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$.
343. 19. "Eрштоs depends on $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a \lambda o \gamma i a s(Z)$, and $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ spoils the phrase. According to Hes. Theog. 120, Earth and Eros were the first creatures after Chaos. This kind of diaporesis is a variant on the common hymnic feature of addressing the god in such a way as to be sure not to offend by omission-'whoever you may be, whatever name you wish', etc.: see esp. E. Norden, Agnostos Theos, 145 ff . There is a good example from the Roman period in the Hymn to Attis (Heitsch xliv. 2) :

єïтє Kрóvov үє́vos єïтє $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ к а \rho ~ є i ̈ \tau \epsilon ~ ' P ' ́ a s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s, ~$ $\chi$ дîpє...
It is tempting to quote Milton, L'Allegro I I ff.
But come thou Goddess fair and free,
In heaven yclept Euphrosyne,
And by men heart-easing Mirth;
Whom lovely Venus, at a birth,
With two sister Graces more,
To ivy-crownèd Bacchus bore:
Or whether (as some sager sing)
The frolic wind that breathes the spring . . .
343. 25. Soph. fr. 740 Nauck ( 809 Pearson); nothing more is known of this passage, and the text here remains doubtful.
343. 27-344. 4. A further note: combinations of these types of hymn are suitable for prose, because they form complete laudatory speeches, and the orator (unlike the poet) is obliged to handle his subject exhaustively. Aristides' Manteutoi are exemplary.

Aristides' Sarapis (Or. 45) offers a close parallel to M.'s argument. The prooemium (I) makes the point that poets are 'tyrants over the thought' and can leave out anything they like. See A. Höfler, Der Sarapishymnus des Ailios Aristides, Tübinger Beiträge 27, 1935.

 $\alpha^{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ó $\mu \circ \hat{v}$ ('together').
344. 2. êv roîs Mavteutoîs. The title occurs in manuscripts of Aristid. Or. 37 (Athena), and was evidently the title of a collection of speeches suggested by dreams or prophecy: $3^{8}$ (Asclepiades), 41 (Dionysus), $4^{2}$ (Asclepius) were probably parts of this. In Or. $5^{\circ}$ ( $=$ Hieroi Logoi 4) 25, Aristides relates the circumstances in which he believed himself to have received literary guidance in dreams (cf. also Or. 42. 1 I) ; ibid. 30, he alludes to a third speech to Asclepius;
 wrote more in this 'genre' than we have; of the two pieces that M. appears to mention, the Asclepius may be Or. 42, the Hygieia is not to be identified with any extant speech.
344. 3-4. This corrupt sentence must contain a laudatory account of Aristides' achievement in these hymns; it is his 'workmanship' which is 'superhuman'. Nitsche's suggestion (see app. crit.) does not meet this point, and restoration of the text remains baffling.
344.5-14. Conclusion of the book: we pass from praise of gods to praise of lands and cities.
344. 6-8. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \mathbf{\xi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. . . кalpoîs. These clauses give the content of the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o v$ now completed, and are loosely attached to the main sentence.
344. 10. $\dot{a} v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime}$. The conjecture is based on a quite common use of ává $\gamma \epsilon i\rangle \epsilon i s$, 'refer to' a chapter or heading: cf. $345.7,384.2$.
 adequate sense ('the writing-up of the subject in . . .'). The $\tau$ ó $\mu$ o七 seem to be the same as the $\beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ of 5 above; neither term necessarily means a complete roll, both may simply refer to divisions of the subject-matter (C. Wendel, Die griechisch-römische Buchbeschreibung, $48,56)$. We should note however that 'Books' I and II are approximately the same length: 'Book' III (359 ff.) which is shorter, is obviously incomplete. In $\gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \eta \tau \pi a$, M. writes as if the plan of division into books has already been stated; thus we expect $\dot{\eta}$ єis $\tau 0{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \tau o ́ \mu o v s . .$.
344. 15-359. 15. Book II of this treatise deals with the encomia of countries and cities (a) in general, with reference to geographical features (344. 15-353. 3), (b) à àò үévous (353. 4-359. 15). Further development is reserved for Book III (359. I6 ff.).
Apart from early poetry (see E. Kienzle, Der Lobpreis von Städten und Ländern in der älteren griechischen Dichtung, Basel, 1936), the rhetors had at their disposal a good deal of material in classical prose that was relevant to this subject: not only Isocrates' Panathenaicus, but Xenophon's Mópot (1. 2-8) and Plato's Critias, and geographical descriptions in historians. The most influential work of the kind, however, was Aristides' Panathenaicus; M. refers also to his speeches on Cyzicus. Of later speeches, Libanius' Antiochicus (Or. in) shows a particularly close relationship to the advice given by M. On the need for truth (or at least for avoiding falsehood) in such speeches, see Liban. $E p .19 .8$ : 'if you were praising Cythera, you would not make much of its corn crops.' Brief precepts are given in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 257. 6-19 U.-R., Quintil. 3. 8.
344. 16-345.9. A country is praised either for its position or for its
nature；each of these may be considered under definite heads，viz． （i）＇position＇in relation to land，sea，or sky；（ii）＇nature＇in relation to mountainousness，supply of water，and fertility．

 usage：‘ ${ }^{a} \nu \omega$ dicuntur［notiones］quae sunt magis universales’（Bonitz， Ind．Ar． $68^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{50}$ ），e．g．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, Met．$\Delta 6$ ， $1016^{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{3}$ o．
344．17－18．катà фúбıv そ̀ кaтà $\theta$ éoıv．The detailed subdivision of these heads which follows is itself fairly clear，but Treatise II（383． Io ff．）appears to be saying something different（Introd．，p．xxxvii）． The air of philosophical thought which this antithesis possesses（cf． $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$ and $\theta$＇́coss in debates on origins of language e．g．in Plato＇s Cratylus）obviously recommended it to the rhetors and their audiences， even though it does not suit the needs of geographical description very well．Note that M．treats $\theta$＇́ $\sigma$ s first：so，e．g．Aristides in his self－ conscious（but charming）adaptation of the scheme to the subject of the Aegean Sea（Or．44．2；7）．
344．26－8．$\eta^{\prime} \delta \eta$ ．．．＂Eqтєрov．Nitsche wondered whether this pas－ sage should simply be deleted．Position cannot be indicated by reference to the risings of stars like this，though Hesperus is of course associated with evening，and so with the West，and the Pleiades and Hyades with cold or storm，so that they might be used to suggest a northern and inclement climate．It is perhaps worth adding that biblical texts sometimes confuse Arcturus and Arctos：TLL 2． 473. 31 ff．quotes Job 37：9：＇ab interioribus egredietur tempestas et ab arcture frigus．＇
 The reference of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is not clear（and is not made clearer if we accept Nitsche＇s deletion in 26－8），and we expect 〈rov́rovs〉 rov̀s ．．．

344．29－30．For $\hat{\omega} \rho a \iota$ see below，345． 3 1．
345．6．éká áváyovtas ėmaıveîv $\delta \in i ̂$ ．$\delta$ v́o cannot go with what precedes since the following discussion simply gives a number of suggested elaborations of all the topics which have been mentioned．It may be corrupt or to be deleted；but logic would be satisfied if it were taken with $\epsilon i \pi \omega \nu$.
 M．is first going to mention the two кєф́á入aıa which must always be employed，viz．those of pleasure and utility．These are called $\kappa \in \phi$ ádaıa in 8；the earlier occurrence of the word we think may be an interpolation．Alternative restorations are not out of the question： e．g．．．．$\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \kappa є \phi a ́ \lambda a \iota \alpha ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̂ ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma o v \tau a s ~ к \tau \lambda . ~ T h e ~ e s s e n t i a l ~ p o i n t, ~$ however，is to separate $\delta v_{0}$ from $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ ．
 rhetorical teaching, the use of concepts like these in deliberative speeches was regularly taught: Rhet. ad Alex. i. 4 lists $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho_{\rho}, \nu$ and
 such concepts are known as 'headings of purpose', $\tau \epsilon \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon \phi a ́ \lambda a \iota a$, and various lists are found, most of which do not in fact include these two as separate items: but see Syrianus (4. 70I Walz) for an example of their inclusion. Cf. Volkmann, 3oi f., Martin, 169 ff .
345. 9 ff . The treatment of the various possibilities now begins: 10-15 inland area, $15-17$ coast, $17-19$ island, $19-22$ peninsula. M.
 north 27-8, central area 28-31. This completes $\theta$ é $\sigma$ s.
345. 12-13. The vulgate correction of $\delta$ v́o to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ makes adequate sense but does not explain the corruption. We neither need nor expect the article. It is perhaps worth considering whether $\beta^{\prime}$ (i.e. 'two') was not a misunderstanding of $\beta a \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \iota$ 'deep', written with compendia: $\beta a \theta v^{\prime}$ s is a natural epithet of cornfields, cf. Il. 2. $147 \beta a \theta \dot{v}$ $\lambda \eta$ خ́iov. M.'s $\lambda \eta$ tious $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ is grandiose; but cf. 408. 25 for $\lambda \eta$ íla (also Themist. Or. 15. 189 B) and Method. Sympos. 2. 7 ( $\lambda \epsilon i \mu \omega \nu$ ) $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$ ä̀ $\partial \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu$.
345. 13-15. Crops apparently run truer to kind if not affected by the 'disturbances' of the sea; this is a curious idea, for which we know no parallel (but cf. e.g. Plu. Quaest. Nat. i for the inability of plants to draw nourishment from sea-water).
 'island' is meant.
345. 20. 'Apıoтóßou入os. FGrHist 139 F 12. Nonnus 40. 338-52 has an elaborate description of Tyre which illustrates some of the
 єікс́v.
mєрі Kuц̆iкou. See Or. 27. 5 ff. (pp. 126-7 Keil). Aristides makes the points that Cyzicus (i) is situated 'in front' of Asia, (ii) is served by three seas, (iii) has a fertile hinterland, (iv) is at once island, peninsula, and mainland, ( v ) is the $\dot{\jmath} \mu \phi a \lambda_{o} s$ of the world between Phasis and Gades. He compares it with Corinth, Crete, and Tyre; but he does not use the $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ / $\dot{\omega} \phi \in ́ \lambda \iota \mu o v$ arrangement that M. recommends.
345. 2 ff . Xenophon, Пópoc 1.2 ff. This famous passage contains many of the topics that later rhetors embellished: the mild climate of Attica, the fertile land and rich seas, the mines, the central position, the combination of mainland and island advantages, the remoteness from barbarian neighbours.
345. 25. корwvis. The ornamental flourish that marks the end of a text; hence 'end' (Meleager, Anth. Pal. 12. 257; Lucian, Hist. Conscr.
26). See E. G. Turner, Greek Manuscripts of the Ancient World, 14, with illustrations.
345. 28. úmóßoppov. The word is not attested elsewhere, whereas тго́б $\beta o \rho \rho o s$ is common (Eur., Aristot., Theophr., Strabo). For the combination of positive and superlative adjectives, see on 419. 18.
345. 31. Ẅpars . . єửkpatos. This gives the required sense: cf. Pl. Tim. 24 c , єu่крабià $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, Hippocr. De aere aquis locis 12, $\dot{\eta} \kappa \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$.
 $3^{1}$ ff. : mountainous country, $345 \cdot 3^{1-2}$; level, $345 \cdot 3^{2-346}$. I ; dry and waterless, $2-4$; rich and well-watered, $4-6$; fertile, $6-7$; infertile, $7-8$.
 Lucian, Patr. Encom. ıо, $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \mu \omega ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \phi u t o i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o ı s ; ~ L i b a n . ~ O r . ~}^{\text {Ot }}$ 11. 33, (ódoi) $\pi \eta \gamma a i ̂ s ~ \sigma \kappa \iota \epsilon \rho a i ̂ s ~ . ~ . ~ \delta ~ \delta \epsilon є i \lambda \eta \mu \mu e ́ v a \iota . ~$
 fr. 2 Nauck, $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ó $\sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \rho \iota \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i s \pi o ́ \delta a$.
346. 7. фı入oбoфєîv. Probably (as often in Christian texts, rarely in pagan) of living a simple and well-regulated life: cf. Lampe s.v. фıлобофє́ $\omega$ B. 3. b. Cf. also 347. 29 фıлобофєîv . . . картєрıко̀̀s єîvaı. We might expect here quotation from $O d .9 .27, \tau \rho \eta \chi \in \hat{\imath}^{\top} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\mathbf{\alpha}}{ }^{\alpha} \alpha a \theta \dot{\eta}$


346. 9-15. The topic of barren and desert countries reminds $M$. of the doctrine of 'paradoxical' encomia, e.g. on Death or Poverty. We pass next to encomia of cities.
 together with the corresponding sentence $\alpha{ }^{\circ} \delta o \xi a \ldots \phi a v \in \rho \circ \hat{v}$ (12-13; om. PZ) ; as Bursian saw, this could well be a Christian interpolation.
 Both these lists are adaptations to the purposes of epideictic rhetoric of the theory of genera causarum which applies to forensic subjects: for this, see esp. Ad Herenn. 1. 3. 5 (honestum, turpe, dubium, humile; some add also obscurum), with Caplan's note.) The field of encomium subjects is completely covered by the three terms M. gives here, excluding ${ }^{\circ} \delta o \xi a$; but note that A. Gell. Noctes Atticae 17. 12. I speaks
 what M. here seems to call $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta o \xi a$.
346. 17. For Alcidamas, see Radermacher, $A S$ B XXII. 12 (Cic. Tusc. Disp. 1. 48. 116), with P. Mich. 2754, edited in HSCP 75 (1971) by Koniaris and Renehan. A pupil of Gorgias and opponent of

Isocrates, A. is best known for his attack on 'those who write written speeches' and for the (lost) Movatiov which included much biographical and anecdotal material about poets. Aristotle (Rhet. 3. 3) found fault with some features of his style. A later teacher of rhetoric (Anon. Probl. Rhet. $28=$ Walz 8. 407) states the case of an orator whose є' $\gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \iota \nu$ Өavátov was so effective that many people committed suicide; the orator is imagined as charged with offences against the public. It is perhaps worthy of note that M. does not mention Polycrates, the most famous exponent of this kind of thing (Radermacher, $A S$ 128-32). See on 332. 26 ff.
346. 18. Пршт'єшs. Peregrinus Proteus, the Cynic philosopher from Parium, burnt himself alive at Olympia in AD 167: Lucian, De morte Peregrini (ed. D. Plooij-J. C. Koopman, Utrecht, 1915), Philostr. VS 2. 1. 13. There is no evidence for written works, but A. Gell. 12. I I speaks of his lessons; and $\pi \epsilon \nu i a s \notin \pi a \iota v o s$ is no uncommon theme (Stob. 3. $780 \mathrm{~W} .-\mathrm{H}$. for an anthology on the subject; see also W. Meyer, Laudes Inopiae, diss. Göttingen, 1915). However, Suda s.v. Philostratus, reports a work by the elder Philostratus called $\Pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \kappa \kappa v ́ \omega \nu \tilde{\eta}$ бoфı$\sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, and the allusion may possibly be to this. MmW's addition of $\hat{\eta}$ ro $\hat{v}$ is clearly wrong; see R. Kassel, Konsolationsliteratur, 15 n. 3 .
346. 20. $\psi$ a $\mu \mu \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ ıs. An addition to 345.2 and 346. I: the attention to sandy deserts is used by Nitsche to support his view that Genethlius of Petra is the author of Treatise I (Introd., pp. xxxvi ff.).

## 346. 26-353. 3 Encomia of cities: situation

346. 27-347. 2. Introduction. The praise of cities is a combination of the praise of countries (which gives the topics relating to situation) and that of individual men (which gives the other encomium headings of origin, actions, and accomplishments).
347. 2-10. Situation is considered in relation to: (i) climate and seasons, (ii) mainland, (iii) sea, (iv) territory, (v) neighbouring territories and cities, (vi) mountains, (vii) plains. (Water-supply and rivers fall under 'territory'.) In each section, 'pleasure' and 'utility' are to be considered.
348. 12-348. 14. (i) Climate and seasons.
349. 18-349. 2. (ii) and (iii) Mainland and sea.
350. 3-13. (iv) Surrounding territory.
351. 14-30. (? vi and vii) Mountains, plains, and rivers.
352. 31-350. 23. (v) Neighbouring territories and cities.
353. 24-351. 19. Geographical situation of the city itself, how far it is built on hills or in the plain; advantages of each.
354. 21-352. 5. Harbours.
355. 6-9. Bays.
356. 10-353. 3. Acropolis.

It will be seen that the execution of the proposed plan is not very clear. The passage 349. 14-30 might be regarded as a part of the account of 'surrounding territory'; in that case, 350. 24 ff . takes up the original promise to discuss 'mountains and plains' ((vi) and (vii)). However, 349. 14-30 interrupts the natural sequence of argument made up by $349.5^{-13}$ and 349. 3 I ff. ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o i v \nu \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 ferring the doubtful passage to follow 351. 19; this is attractive, but in a text of this kind, additions and inconsistencies are to be expected.
Praise of cities is dealt with in slightly different terms in Treatise II, 383 ff. In [Dion. Hal.] Rhet. 257.6 ff. U.-R. the headings are:
 traditions. Cf. ibid. 275. I9 ff. A concise account in Quintilian 3. 8. 26: 'laudantur autem urbes . . . pro parente est conditor . . . et virtutes ac vitia circa res gestas eadem quae in singulis; illa propria quae ex loci positione ac munitione sunt.' It appears from Aristid. Or. 1 7. 23 and Liban. Or. II. 150 that a section on the character of the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os was normally expected; there is nothing of this in M. Naturally enough, similar topics are to be found in geographical writings. Note the following from Strabo: 12.2.9, Mazaca is unfortified, and thus more suitable as a base for brigands, and is the central point of a well-wooded territory; i2.3. in, Sinope is $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa a i ̀(\mathrm{v} .1 . \phi v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ ) $\pi \rho о \nu o i ́ a ~ к а \tau \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ~ к а \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ in a good defensive situation; 12.3.39, Amisos likewise is well provided by nature to be the garrison town of a wide and fertile territory.
347. 3. äv. 'above', pleonastic with $\tau o v_{s} \epsilon$ єip $\eta \mu$ évovs; but the other meaning 'general' (cf. 344. I6) is not appropriate.

347. ir. Lacuna: the right sense 'give examples in order to . . .' is given by Bursian's supplement. Cf. 345. 5 f.
347. 27-30. PZ omit $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s . . . \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, W omits $\epsilon i{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} a \hat{v}$ ... $\lambda a \mu \beta{ }^{\prime} \nu \in \epsilon \nu$. These clauses cannot both stand, and the Ascra version is to be preferred: Ascra is a 'city' not a $\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho a$, and the $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \delta^{\prime}$ a $\hat{v}$ clause almost exactly repeats 346. 7-8. The reference is to Hes. WD 640:
 (" $A \sigma \kappa \rho \eta$, as in Hesiod, is also the form in later prose: Pausan. 9. 29. I, [Plu.] Vita Homeri 1. 2.) Ovid, Ep. ex Ponto 4.14 ingeniously uses the same stock of examples (Ascra, Ithaca) to praise the inhabitants of Tomi while abusing the place.
347. 31. karà tòv aúròv $\delta$ è öpov. M. has in fact given several distinct principles: 21 ff. praise advantages; 23 ff . turn disadvantages to account; 3I ff. explain disadvantages of the qualities opposite to those present.
348. І. кєкрац'́vas. sc. пó̀єts, presumably. But the wording of
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \epsilon ̈ \tau o v s ~ i ̈ \sigma o \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu . ~ S o ~ w e ~ s h o u l d ~ c o n s i d e r ~$ understanding $\stackrel{\circ}{\omega} \rho a s$ instead of $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$, and reading $\tau \grave{\alpha} s . . \quad\langle\dot{\epsilon} \nu\rangle$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \in \iota$ €́кá $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ (codd.) $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ v́ras. Liban., l.c., develops the topic at some length.
348. 13. The plurals $\gamma \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ and ${ }^{\circ} \psi \epsilon \epsilon \tau$ are unintelligible; we


 and we therefore prefer to obelize it in the text. The reference is presumably to crops and fruit, perhaps also to water-supply: Aristid.
 $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega ิ \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ форáv.
348. 19 ff. $\pi$ pòs $\theta$ á $\lambda_{a \sigma \sigma a v . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ s o c i a l ~ d a n g e r s ~ o f ~ a ~ c o a s t a l ~}^{l}$ situation, cf. Pl. Laws 3. 704 D, Cic. De rep. 2. 7-9; it is this philosophical tradition to which M.'s $\sigma 0 \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \nu(22)$ draws attention. It was no doubt a commonplace in speeches of the kind M. envisages: cf. Liban. Or. i 1.35 ff., and esp. $3^{8: \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ̇ ́ ~} \pi \iota \theta$ a $\lambda a \tau \tau i \delta \iota o \nu \nu a v \tau \iota \kappa \eta ̂ s$
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ io $\chi^{v} \epsilon \epsilon$. Antioch, like Rome and the ideal city of the Laws, was a reasonable distance (in fact 120 stades) from its harbour. Libanius again (Or. 18. 187) drily reports rival speeches made before Julian on behalf of inland Apamea and coastal Laodicea: Julian 'chose the city with the best men'. Procop. Gaz. Anastas. 2 (p. 49r CSHB) gives a conventional laudatio of Epidamnus on these lines.
 use of the word, which commonly means 'encircle' in a hostile sense (Dem. 4. 9). But LSJ are too credulous in accepting $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ (from MW), for which they adduce no parallel.
349. 5 ff. Position relating to $\pi \epsilon$ pıoккis. Some of M.'s metaphors can be found in Aristides, Panath. I I, the islands are to Athens as $\pi \rho o \pi v ́ \lambda a \iota a$ (cf. below, 350. 13) to a palace; Panath. 9, Athens $\pi \rho \frac{\prime}{\kappa} \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota$. . .
 acropolis as ò $\mu \not$ à $^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ of shield (cf. 349. ro).
349. 7. $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \circ \sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \pi \underset{\text { u }}{ }$. 'Front' or 'façade': cf. e.g. Pind. Ol. 6. 4 $\tau \eta \lambda a v y$ ès . . . $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$, a favourite passage with later writers (cf. Cic. Orator 15. 50, De oratore 2. 320 ; Plu. Praec. ger. r. p. 804 D, Lucian, Hippias 7, [Dion. Hal.], Ars 256. I8 U.-R.).
349. 10. $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ı a$ ท̀ àpXєîa. Aristid. Or. 46. 20 of Corinth: каi

 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s$ ö ö $\mu$ а́лı $\sigma \tau \alpha$ є̈ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$.
349. 24. Apıotei $\delta \eta$ s. Panath. 22-3, Attica is a $\mu i \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ of the whole world, $\dot{\eta} \sigma \check{v} \nu \check{\omega} \rho a \underline{\mu} \mu \dot{\xi} \iota s$ кai $\chi$ ápıs of plains and mountains.
 $\chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ v \omega \nu$. Since $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ and $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s$ are the nouns to which the article $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ belongs, we delete the repeated [ $\dot{\omega} s \pi \rho o{ }_{s}$ ].
350. 4. [ $\dot{\eta} v \underset{v}{v}$ ка入ounév $\eta$ 'Aoía]. This is puzzling: the passage is about $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ in relation to $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho a \iota$ and other $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$; it is not about provinces. The phrase might naturally be thought to apply to the Roman province of Asia as distinct from the continent. It should be noted, however, that the whole passage seems to have been interfered with in such a way as to make it apply to $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho a \iota$; hence the interpolated [ $\chi \omega^{\prime} \rho \alpha, s$ ] in 14 and [ $\chi \omega^{\prime} \rho a \nu$ ] in 21 . The phrase we propose to delete may have been added as part of this process, or it may be a gloss on $\mu \epsilon \gamma{ }^{\prime} \lambda \not \underset{\sim}{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \epsilon \iota$ (Nitsche [8] identifies the $\tilde{\epsilon} \theta \nu o s$ as the Galatians, and uses the passage to date the book under Aurelian).
350. 10. тоиิто ... 'A $\theta \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ v. A peculiarly superfluous sentence which Bursian may well be right to remove.
350. 18. à $\lambda \lambda$ ' oûv. 'At least'. Late position has classical precedent:
 $\dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu$ єivaı $\phi i \lambda \lambda o \iota$, 'at first they at least claimed to be your friends'. Denniston, Greek Particles, 445.
350. 25. тотькฑ̀v. sc. $\theta$ є́ $\sigma \iota v$. 'They mean by 'local situation" the nature of the place . . .' Or should $\phi$ v́rov be deleted?
350. 29. The supplement and corrections here are uncertain in detail, but the general sense is clear.
350. 30 ff . Heeren's systematic changes are not necessary. He forces the passage into the $\dot{\omega} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota a / \dot{\eta} \delta o v \eta^{\prime}$ scheme. However, security in war and in peace-including the security from disease offered by a healthy climate-makes an equally likely theme; the intrusive element then is кai $\pi \rho \circ \dot{s} \dot{\eta} \delta o v \dot{\eta} \nu$ in 30 , and this should probably be deleted; it is an addition meant to point out that clear air is not only healthy but pleasant.
350. 31. тоû ítтoкєє $\mu$ モ́vou. 'Adjacent', 'surrounding'; LSJ s.v. I. 2 suggest that the vulgate $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho-$ is an unnecessary change.
350. 32-351. 1. aủroфuès teíxos. Cf. Heliod. 2. 26.2 (on Delphi):
 ảmal $\omega \rho \in i ̂ \tau \alpha l$.
 here. But ov̉ $\mu \alpha \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau}$, , 'not to a very high degree', may be adequate.
$\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{v} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega}$ ．So $Z$ ．We take this to mean＇within sight＇：cf． Aristid．Or．17． 19 （of Smyrna）：tò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \gamma o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} v ~ o ̀ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ，toîs
 seems no justification for interpreting the other MS．reading evi $\dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega}$ as＇at a single glance＇；its natural meaning is＇with one eye＇，which makes no sense here．We therefore prefer $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda_{\mu} \hat{\omega}$ ， but deeper corruption cannot be ruled out．

351．8－10．тà є̇ $\lambda a \tau \tau \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a . . . \phi \in u ́ \xi \eta n$ ．The whole of this sentence should be regarded as a later accretion．It interrupts the argument and has no connecting particle．＂̈́qт८ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \lambda a \tau \tau \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$（ı）does not require（as Bursian seems to have thought）$\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \tau \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$ in 8； M．is simply following the pattern he used for cities on hills， 351． 1.

351． 20 ff ．Harbours are naturally a regular subject：much of the traditional material is in Liban．Descr． 7 （8．483），e．g． 7.2 on artificial and natural harbours．

352．1．то入入às xєípas тротєivєı．Aristid．Panath．io，toîs є̇к тоv̂

352．3．mo入úmגous．＇For many voyages＇，acc．to LSJ；but perhaps rather＇having many entrance channels＇．
352．5．á $\gamma x \nprec a \theta$ eîs．Od．5． 413 ，Pl．Critias，inia．
352． 6 ff．Cf．Aristid．Or．17． 22 on the gulf of Smyrna with its many inlets and harbours．

352．19－20．ќ่ $\lambda \eta$ ๆrı．Heeren＇s $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ gets no support from 350 ． ${ }^{14}-15$ ，since the point here is the position ${ }^{\prime} \nu \pi \lambda a \gamma i(\omega)$ ，viz．at the side of the city．Various senses of $\kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \eta s$ come into view ：（i）a small boat； in Synes．Ep． 4 （p． 643 Hercher）the pilot comes alongside in a $\kappa \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \circ \nu$ $\delta_{i \sigma \kappa a \lambda \mu o \nu, ~ w h i c h ~ h e ~ t i e s ~ u p ~ t o ~ t h e ~ m e r c h a n t m a n ; ~(i i) ~ a ~ r i d i n g-h o r s e, ~ a s ~}^{\text {a }}$ distinct from one harnessed to a vehicle，and so possibly an escorting
 implies the use of кє́d $\lambda \boldsymbol{\rho}$ as a horseman．We favour（i）．In any case $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{o} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha \iota$ is hard to explain；if $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ means literally ＇body＇，Heeren＇s approach is of course attractive，but the more general sense＇main mass＇is also possible．$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\langle a u ̉ \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ ）and $\pi a \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ suggest themselves；but this part of the problem is not soluble unless we can be sure about кéd $\eta \tau$ ．
 sures around a temple＇．Bursian＇s emendation makes $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{\iota \kappa}$ í，sc． otoai，＇basilicas＇surrounding the agora；and then precincts surround a temple．But the analogy between agora and acropolis does not seem very apt，and we expect a single simile．There remains some uncertainty：note the manuscript variations and the fact that

352. 30. Nitsche cannot be right here; no one builds a citadel so as to make it easy to attack. M.'s point is not quite clear; it seems
 feature to be praised here is a flat top or plateau. The paradosis is кai módıs or каi пódєı. One expects e.g. 'the whole city could have been put here', and this is how we (provisionally) translate. The minimum change which might be thought to yield this sense is кai $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s\langle\hat{a} \nu\rangle$; but it may well be that more is missing. We mark a lacuna.
353. 4-359. 15. Encomium of cities: origins
353. 8-30. (i) Founders (god or hero or man).
353. 31-354. 21. (ii) Settlers (Greek or barbarian, and of what race).
354. 22-355. 12. (iii) Date (ancient, 'middle', or modern).
355. 13-357. 11. (iv) Changes (colony, transfer of site, increase of size, change of name).
357. 12-359. 13. (v) Causes of foundation (divine, heroic, or human; advantage or necessity; joy or sorrow, justice or expediency). This is a regularly planned section on a standard theme; we may compare [Dion. Hal.] Ars 257. 7 ff., 275. I9 ff. U.-R.
353. 10. кarà тúxas. i.e. by status: LSJ s.v. IV. 3. Cf. Horace, Ars poetica 108 (fortunarum), 112 (fortunis); [Dion. Hal.] Ars 377. 17 U.-R.
353. 12 ff . Hermoupolis in Egypt founded by Hermes: cf. P. Argent. 481 ( $=$ XXIV Heitsch = Page, Greek Literary Papyri, n. 136)
 ăarv . . . Heliopolis in Egypt was traditionally the foundation of Aktis, son of Helios and Rhode (cf. Steph. Byz. s.v.: T. Hopfner, Fontes Religionis Aegyptiacae, 300) ; it was a celebrated centre in classical Greek times, in decay in the Roman period.
353. 16. The word-order suggests that $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ should be deleted; but we cannot say which of the many places called Heraclea is meant (H. Pontica-see below 358. 26 -was the most important; others in Lucania, Syria, Macedonia, Caria, Lydia, etc.).
353. 16-17. Sarpedon is said to have colonized Miletus with people from Miletus in Crete (Ephorus, FGrHist 70 F 127). But the centre of his cult was his native Xanthus, other Lycian cities honoured him, and he had an oracle in the Troad (Tertull. De anima 46) and was worshipped in Thrace (Zwicker in RE II A. 35 ff.). Minos was known from classical times as a great colonizer: Thuc. I. 4. The widespread
place-name Minoa perpetuated the memory of his activities. M. probably has no particular places in mind (he mentions Rheneia below, 358. 21 ).
353. 19 ff . This sentence contains some difficulties. (i) кai тò $\sigma \tau 0 \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \nu$ looks like a variant of $\theta \epsilon \omega \dot{\rho} \eta \mu \alpha$. (ii) If we accept Z's каi in 24 , and punctuate as in our text, coherent sense results: $\gamma^{i} \nu \in \tau \alpha$ is then grammatically the apodosis of the $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$. . . ${ }^{\prime} \delta o \xi o s$ clause (24), though the precept which is to follow does not come till \$atéov (26). Bursian made $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota a \delta o \chi \grave{\eta} a ̈ \delta o \xi o s$ the apodosis (but what would be the point?), and then supplied 'by ádogov I mean
354. I ff. $\mu \hat{\epsilon} v$ is answered by $\delta^{\prime} a \hat{v}$ (9). For the antiquity of the Phrygians, see the story in Hdt. 2. 2. There is no objection to M.'s $\Lambda \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ (3) ; they are quite royal enough. M. is thinking of the 'royal Scyths' (Hdt. 4. Io etc.), and of the Ethiopian kings descended from gods and heroes (e.g. Heliod. 4. 8).
 true superlatives, so that $\ddot{\eta} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ is not logically satisfactory, because the possession of the other $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau a i$ also must be 'in the highest degree': i.e. the races are e.g. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota^{\prime} \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ or $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \in \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$. This sense is best obtained by deleting $\ddot{\eta}$; Jacobs's $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\jmath} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, 'as much as possible', gives an inappropriate limitation, though it might be taken strictly with $\ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s$, as a self-corrective, because one cannot possess all virtues in a degree superior to everyone else. However, the illogicality of which we complain is perhaps tolerable in a writer like M., and we obelize rather than adopting any other solution.
354. 9. We retain $\gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ of MmW . The train of thought begins at 353. 31, and $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ in 354. I is answered by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in 354.9 .
354. 10 ff. Hdt. I. 142 ff . is the locus classicus for the three races, but does not explain why the Aeolian should here be called 'strongest'.
354. 22 ff. Šaıpoú $\mu \in v o v$. Kroll conjectured this, now known from Z, and Nitsche conjectured $\lambda_{0} \swarrow \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$. M.'s division of periods is interesting; he treats the middle epoch as coming down to Roman times, so that foundations by Alexander and the diadochi would fit in here. The examples are traditional : the autochthonous Athenians (e.g. Pl. Menex. 237 в ff.), the Arcadians as $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \nu o \iota$ (e.g. Ap. Rhod. 4. 264, with the learned scholia referring to Eudoxus, Theoros, and Ariston of Chios), and the Delphians created after the flood by the stone-throwing of Deucalion and Pyrrha.
354. 24. Heeren's deletion of ф'́aк $\omega \mu \in \nu$ makes the sentence much smoother.


355. 18. The synoecism of Megalopolis took place in $368 / 7$ : Pausan. 8. 27, Diod. Sic. 15.94. The city revived in the second century $A D$ and minted coins under the Severi.
355. 19. Aristid. Smyrn. (Or. 17) 2: ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ov̉ $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i ̀ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \dot{\eta}$


 тóסє катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \tau \dot{\prime} \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$. (M. refers to the whole context in Aristides.)
355. 26. Various names of Attica and Peloponnese, known from early poetry (thus Cranaa from Ar. Ach. 75, Aves 123, Apia from Aesch. Ag. 256 or Soph. OC 1303, Acte perhaps from Eur. Helena 1673) and hence common grammarians' knowledge. As M. observes, this sort of thing does not give much scope for encomium except by using e.g. the mythical personalities of Cecrops or Pelasgus. Virgil, however, finds a use for the topic, Aen. 8. 329: 'saepius et nomen posuit Saturnia tellus.'
356. 5-6. Cf. 356. $1 \mathrm{I}-12,22-3$; 357. 1-6. Note the repeated, pedagogic reminders of what has just been said. We keep what seerns
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{2}-$ in 23 . But there seems no reason in this variation.
356. 12 ff. Change of site: Hermogenes, De inv. 2.2 (1og ff. Rabe) gives rather similar advice when discussing a deliberative speech concerning a migration. Thus people move either (i) because they have lost an advantage, or (ii) because unexpected trouble has come.
356. 17. ëктuтa aúrฑ̂s. Marcell. Vit. Thuc. 44 reports the view that Thuc. VIII was $\delta \iota$ ' $\epsilon \in \tau \cup \dot{\prime} \pi \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \in \eta$, 'written in rough outline', i.e. it was an unfinished first sketch. M.'s meaning at any rate is clear: the earlier settlements were preliminary models for the later. J. J. Pollitt, The Ancient View of Greek Art, 272 ff. discusses тúmos and related words at length, though without adducing this passage. Whatever the arguments about the sense of $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \sigma$ and $\neq \epsilon \kappa \tau v \pi a$ in other contexts may be, M. and Marcellinus seem to be using ếктuтa
 and $\tau \dot{u} \pi \mu\left(E N\right.$ I $_{2} 7^{\mathrm{b}} 14$ ).
356. 24 ff. So Antioch (Liban. Or. ir. 69) remained small 'while it was better to be small', but grew in later days.
357.4-5. xidíapxos. A classical term for an officer in various armies (Xen. Cyr. 2. I. 22 f., Arrian, Anab. 5. 23. 7), but later also the standard equivalent of tribunus militum. גoхaүós has a similar history: commander of a small company in Persian and Spartan armies, later occasionally equivalent of centurio.
357. 9. The contents of the lacuna may be inferred from 355. 24-32.

 and below, 358. 19 ff .
357. 21 ff. (i) Rhodes: Pind. Ol. 7. 54 ff. knows this story as ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu \pi \alpha \lambda a \iota a i ~ \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \epsilon s$. In his version, Helios was absent at the drawing of lots, and when Zeus offered a new allocation ( ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \pi \pi \alpha o \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ 6I, compare M.'s $\not{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ávaк $\eta \eta \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ), Helios declined on condition that Rhodes, which he could see rising from the sea, should be his; so M. varies the tale somewhat (24). (ii) Delos: legend familiar from (e.g.) the Homeric Hymn to Apollo, Callimachus' Hymn to Delos, Hyperides' Deliacus.
357. 27. Salamis in Cyprus: see Horace, Odes 1. 7, with NisbetHubbard's notes. Since it was destroyed as a result of a Jewish revolt in Trajan's time and an earthquake, and not restored until the time of Constantine, the city can hardly have been a flourishing place when $M$. was writing ; but this is irrelevant, since he is simply choosing examples out of history or myth.
357. 28. Amphilochian Argos: Thuc. 3. 105.
357. 32 ff . $\dagger$ olov vulvouv $\dagger$. Semiramis, after the death of her husband Ninus (the founder of 'Ninus' or Nineveh), founded Babylon and reigned there. Her son Ninyas conspired against her, but was forgiven; and when Semiramis miraculously disappeared, she commanded her subjects to obey Ninyas (Diod. 2. 4-20, from Ctesias; Semiramis was known to Herodotus (1. 184, 3. 154) and her legend (which took various forms and is the subject of the Greek 'romance' known from Pap. Berol. 6926, PSI 1305; see e.g. B. E. Perry, Ancient Romances, 155 ff .) is based on the historical figure of Sammuramat, a ninth-century Babylonian princess who was wife of an Assyrian king).
Various attempts have been made to restore M.'s text, and others are possible. (i) The reading given in Walz and Spengel, oîov Nivov $\Sigma . \dot{\psi} .$, cannot be right: Semiramis is never regarded as the founder of Nineveh, and the relation between Babylon and Nineveh becomes obscure. (ii) Hence Heeren and Bursian favoured solutions in which both cities were mentioned. Heeren read óтоîaı ai $\langle\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Nivov каi〉
 $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, 'as those related of Nineveh and Babylon, the former of which Ninus built, the latter Semiramis'. Bursian's version of this idea (see app. crit.) is less free: 'Or as Ninus and Semiramis built Nineveh . . .' This associates Semiramis with her husband's foundation. (iii) We have entertained the possibility that the son Ninyas is concealed here, e.g. öт $N \iota \nu v u_{a} \sum \epsilon \mu i \rho a \mu \iota s \dot{\psi} \kappa о \delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, 'she built it for Ninyas' but the letters of the corruption $\nu v i v o v \nu$ do not encourage this, and it is in any case somewhat recondite. (iv) We tentatively propose $\boldsymbol{o}_{\boldsymbol{\circ} \tau} \dot{\eta}$

Nivov $\Sigma \in \mu i \rho \alpha \mu \iota s \dot{\oplus} к о \delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ 'that Ninus' wife S. built it'; cf. 430.
 leads us also to a view of the problem at 358 . 1 , where Bursian and others have favoured $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \epsilon \hat{\nu} v a i \quad \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota$, 'to be their royal residence'. (M. does not have $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma$ elsewhere, but he does have $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha u \grave{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu$ 338. 15.) We delete [ $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota a v ~ \epsilon i v a i ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma i]$ on the ground that it is a false explanation of the ellipse with the genitive Nivov, taken wrongly as the city and not the husband: 'he means she was queen of it'.
358. 6 ff . No example of foundation $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\grave{l}} \gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ is given in what follows; if there was one, it will have fallen out after 'H申aiarov (9).
358. 8. Mépфıv. Hephaestus ( $=\mathrm{Ptah}$ ) is the patron deity of Memphis; but its founder is usually said to be Epaphus or Apries or King Menes, and Aphrodite ( $=$ Hathor) is not, in Egyptian terms, the consort of Hephaestus ( $=\mathrm{Ptah}$ ), who is rather Sechmet ( $=$ Artemis). But of course in Greek terms Aphrodite and Hephaestus are a pair; it is therefore a not unnatural fantasy to identify Ptah's consort with Hephaestus' Homeric wife. According to Strabo also ( 607 c ) Aphrodite/Selene, as well as Hephaestus, was worshipped at Memphis.
358. 10 ff . There are two ancient explanations of the name of Thessalonica: according to Strabo 7 epit. 21, it was named after Cassander's wife; according to Steph. Byz. s.v. it was named for Philip's victory over the Thessalians. The first account is the true one, but the lady, a daughter of Philip II, was herself presumably named for her father's victories. M. follows a wrong tradition.
358. 12. Nicopolis 'by Actium' (so called to distinguish it from others, e.g. the suburb of Alexandria also founded by Augustus) was an important city in imperial times, capital of Epirus from Nero's reign, and significant still in the fourth century (Pan. Lat. if. 9, Mamertinus' address to Julian).
358. 14. Bucephalus (Bucephala, n. pl. or f. s., is also found) was founded in 326 on the upper Hydaspes: Diod. 17.95, Curtius 9. 3. 23, Arrian, Anab. 5. 19 and 29.
358. 16. Antinoopolis was founded to commemorate the death by drowning in the Nile of Hadrian's favourite Antinous, in 130. SHA Hadr. 14, Pausan. 8. 9. 7, Dio Cassius 69. in, Aurelius Victor, Caes. 14. 7-9.
358. 21. Rheneia: Thuc. 1. 4, Minos as oikıo $\tau \boldsymbol{\prime} s$ of Cyclades.
358. 23. Alexander's motive was probably at least as much commercial prosperity as honour and renown (P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, 3) but M.'s account is conventional (cf. Plu. Alex. 26. 2,
 є́avtov каталıтєîv).
358. 26. Heraclea, a sixth-century Megarian colony, developed a lively intellectual life and much local history and mythology; the association of the area with Heracles' adventures (Amazons, Argonauts) was well established by the fifth century (Herodorus, FGrHist $3^{1}$, with Jacoby's notes). It is a natural exaggeration that he should be regarded as the founder of a settlement here.
358. 27. ảváтt́́ $\lambda \lambda \omega v$. 'Repulsing', cf. Xen. Anab. 5. 4. 23: тov́tovs

358. 28 ff. The Carpi, a Dacian people, were active raiders in Dacia, Moesia, and Thrace in the third century. The record of their defeat and settlement is confused. According to SHA Aurel. 30, they were decisively defeated by Aurelian, and Aur. Victor, Caes. 39. 43, appears to state that some of them were settled in Roman territory by that emperor. The decisive defeat however came under Galerius in 294, after which they were settled in Pannonia (Amm. Marc. 28. i. 5 for settlement by Diocletian around Sopianae [Pécs]). At least two areas of settlement seem in question: one in the Dobrudja (around Carsium [Hirșova]) and one or more in Pannonia. We cannot say for certain to which stage of the settlements M. refers, so that the value of the passage for dating the treatise is not great. Introd., p. xxxix ; Bursian, pp. 16-17; C. Danoff, Kl. P. s.v. Carpi; A. Mócsy, Pannonia and Upper Moesia, 272.
359. 3. The point is that $\delta_{i \kappa \alpha \iota o v ~ a n d ~ к а \lambda o ́ v ~(f o r ~ e x a m p l e) ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~}^{\text {co }}$
 would seem to follow that we should read ai $\left\langle\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}_{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\right\rangle \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a s$, i.e. 'derived from those of the heads of purpose which go beyond bare necessity'. The phrase $\tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i \alpha a s \kappa \notin \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota a$ is found in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 371. 13 U.-R.
359. 10-11. Human causes are anyway probable, and so do not need $\pi i \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \iota$.
359. 16-361. 10. Book III: praise of cities on the ground of their accomplishments
359. 18-22. 'Accomplishments' are classified as constitutions, sciences, arts, and capacities.
359. 22-360. 16. (i) Constitutions: monarchy, aristocracy, democracy, their perversions and their combinations.
360. 17-24. (ii) Sciences: astronomy, geometry, music, grammar, philosophy.
360. 25-32. (iii) Arts, liberal and otherwise ('banausic').
361. 1-3. (iv) Capacities: (?) rhetoric, athletics.
361. 4-10. An additional heading is added here: 'activities'. This covers good order in the city.

All this（except the last subsection）corresponds in the city to à $\alpha \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta$＇＇education＇，in the individual：cf．Aphthon．Progymn．
 $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu$ каì $\nu o ́ \mu o v s . ~(C f . ~ P l . ~ M e n e x . ~ 238 ~ c, ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \rho о ф \eta ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ éviviv．）Doxopatres＇comment on Aphthon．l．c．$(=2.43 \mathrm{I}$ Walz） seems therefore misleading：he thinks that tónos corresponds，in the encomium of a city or a harbour，to human $\dot{\alpha} v a \tau \rho o \phi \eta$ ．But M．＇s subdivision would seem to have no close parallel and is presumably an ingenious ad hoc construction．It is to be noted that he uses the form $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \dot{\delta} \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ for the commoner $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a$ which prevails in Treatise II（Introd．，pp．xxxvii f．）．（The term also occurs in［Dion．Hal．］$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon ' \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ 377． 16 U．－R．and the author distinguishes it from $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a[380.22]$ ．）

359．22－6．M．here repeats，broadly speaking，a standard political theory of three $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha$, and their perversions．This goes back to the sophistic period（the Persian debate in Hdt．3． 80 ff ．is evidence of this）and is the basis of most subsequent discussion（e．g．Pl．Rep． VIII－IX，Aristot．Pol．III，Polybius 6． 3 ff．）．Aristid．Or．26． 90 （cited by M．， 360.3 ff ．）has tyranny and oligarchy as bad forms， Baбidєia and aristocracy as good，and democracy $\epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \quad \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho o \nu$ ào $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ ．There are，however，peculiar features in M．＇s terminology． （i）$\pi$ лоитократia（ 25 ；cf． 360.2 ）is attested elsewhere only in Xen． Mem．4．6．12，where it is contrasted both with d́ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho a \tau i a$ and with $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho а т і \alpha$ ；the verb $\pi$ лоитократєì is cited only from M．（ii）лаократía and $\lambda$ дократєíध $\theta$ a（26，cf．31）appear to be unique：．the usual term for the perversion of democracy is óxдократia（Polyb．6． 4 and 57，and later writers including even Sardianus，Comm．in Aphthon．145． 18 Rabe）．

359．24．таракєíцєvaı ．．．какía．M．＇s terminology is loose，as
 of ethics or style－criticism ：$\pi a \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ ，though not an Aristotelian term，is appropriate to the＇proximity＇of a fault to a virtue which it superficially resembles：e．g．Demetrius 114：тара́кєıтаı фаи̂入á тıวa

 Adamietz on Quintil．3．7． 25.

359．25．ò $\lambda_{\text {tүapxia }}$ is here identified with $\pi$ лоитократia，and in the rest of the sentence $M$ ．gives only one name for each deviation （ $\tau$ роа⿱亠䒑is，$\lambda$ аократía）．We are therefore inclined to suggest $\langle\dot{\eta}\rangle \kappa \alpha i$ тлоитократía $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu$ є́ $\eta$ ．
359．26－8．Mixed constitutions：Plato（Laws 712 c ff．）and Aristotle （Pol． $1265^{\mathrm{b}} 35$ ）discuss the＇mixture＇in the Spartan constitution； Dicaearchus（fr． 67 ff ．Wehrli）and the Stoics（see esp．Polybius 6.

3, 6. го. 6-1 I, 6. 18. I-8 on Rome) are also interested in this way of analysing institutions. Aristid. Or. 26 ( $\epsilon$ is ' $P \omega{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ ) 90 (p. 118 Keil) discusses the кра̂бっs in Rome, and it is no doubt this discussion that M. has most in mind. See in general K. von Fritz, The Theory of the Mixed Constitution in Antiquity (1954).
359. 30. év toîs Nıкок $\lambda_{\text {eioıs. In Or. } 2 \text { ( } \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ N \iota к о к \lambda \epsilon ́ a) ~ a n d ~ O r . ~}^{\text {O }}$ 3 ( $N \iota \kappa о \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ) Isocrates addressed the son and successor of Evagoras in Cyprus, and his subjects. He concerned himself with the duties of prince and people to each other, and rejected the sharp antithesis of Bacı $\lambda \epsilon$ ús and túpavvos which we find in Plato and Xenophon. M. is in general right about the tendency of these speeches: they adduce the advantages of $\mu$ ovapxia (e.g. Or. 3. 25 ff .) and give Nicocles, though admittedly a cúpavvos, the qualities of a $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ús.
360. 1. Isocr. Panath. $114-50$. In this long passage Isocrates praises the Athenian constitution as it originally was, and excuses its degeneration as a deliberate choice made because of the need for maritime supremacy. He thus blurs the distinction between good and bad forms of rule by the many, in somewhat the same way as he handled monarchy in the Nicocles speeches.


360. 3 ff. Plato, Laws 4. 712 c ff.; Aristid. Or. 26. 90: крâoıs

360. 8 ff. Aristid. Panath. $3^{88}$-6, Isocr. Panath. 114 ff.
360. 13-16. M. makes the same point again, 363 . II ff. Text uncertain: (i) to say that a single mólıs controls all the Roman $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ is not very apt; (ii) P's gap after $\mu \iota$ is of about six letters, so that $\mu$ uâs alone does not fill it.
360. 17-20. This repetitive and scrappy sentence can hardly be what M. wrote. The tradition offers no help. Excision of $\epsilon i$. . .
 simple structure, very like that of 36 I . I ff. In any case, it should be the citizens not the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \iota$ who are $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \delta^{\prime} к \iota \mu о \iota$. Should we perhaps change $\pi$ ódıv to rav́ras (so Cumanudes) and bear with the rest, however repetitive?
360. 20 ff . The reference is presumably to Lesbian lyric and to the traditional Theban skill with the aulos (cf. Plu. Alc. 2. 5). The omission in PZ of $\Delta \eta \lambda i o v s \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \chi \circ \rho о \sigma \tau а \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$, and the lack of a connecting particle, suggest that this is a later addition: Delian dancing will have been famous, e.g. from the Homeric Hymn to Apollo 149 ff ;

Alexandria in M.'s time ( $\epsilon \not \tau \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ v v ̂ \nu$ )-if we allow that he includes the preceding generation or two-had its mathematicians
(Diophantus), philosophers (Ammonius Saccas), and scholars (Apollonius Dyscolus and Nicanor are of the second century; but the tradition clearly continued down to the time of Claudian and Palladas at the end of the fourth).
360. 25 ff. M. has defined as $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \eta \mu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ the branches of knowledge which are usually reckoned as $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu a l$ è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \iota o \iota$, artes liberales, viz. music, grammar, rhetoric, mathematics: see in general, H. I. Marrou, Histoire de l'éducation dans l'antiquité, 244 ff ; id., St. Augustin et la fin de la culture antique, 187 ff.; A. Stückelberger, Senecas 88. Brief, Heidelberg, 1965, 39 ff . He is therefore left with a division of $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a l$ in which the common manual arts are (as is traditional: Aristot. Pol. 8. $1337^{\text {b }}$ ff.) $\beta$ ávavaoı, while painting and sculpture are $\dot{\epsilon}^{\lambda} \epsilon v \theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota o$. . These last often form a separate group, even when M.'s $\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$ are reckoned as $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a \iota$ (artes); cf. Philo, Spec. leg. i.


360. 28-9. The lost mention of é̀ $\lambda \in \nu \theta$ éfıo $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu a \iota$ probably comes in 28 , as Spengel thought. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ oṽv . . . áкрí $\beta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ may perfectly well apply to both groups of arts, even if the examples that follow all come from those regarded as $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon$ 'poo. The content of the lacuna may be (in part at least) inferred from the examples. For the pair $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s} /$ а́крißєєа cf. 362.26.
360. 30. Croton : Hdt. 3. 125 ff. mentions the physician Democedes; M. may also be thinking of Alcmaeon.


 many reports of this definition in later rhetors. M. does not think of his classes of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \rho$ as given in order of merit or importance, and we should therefore not take him as representing rhetoric as of lower status than $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$ and $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu a l$. Editors have strained at this: hence 'gymnastics' and 'hunting' have been proposed.
361. 3. As the text stands, Hermoupolis (like Aegina in Pindar's time) is famous for athletics, and no example of rhetoric is given. It is likely that there is either (i) a lacuna after $\mu \in \gamma$., naming some other city as famous (probably) for rhetoric, or (ii) a lacuna after ' $E_{\rho} \mu o v-$
 360. 29-30.) (ii) is to be preferred; it is a reasonable expansion of the legend that Hermes founded Hermoupolis that the city should be famed for an art that he invented. It is curious that in P. Berol. 21849 (Introd., pp. xxxiv f.) the town at which Victor asks his brother Theognostus to hand over some rhetorical books (including M.'s $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$ etc.) is in fact Hermoupolis!
361. 10. Dio, Or. 33 (Tarsica) 48: єv̉тakia and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma u ́ v \eta$ are shown in the dress of the women. They are well covered up, and do not look to right and left. They have veiled faces, but open hearts. This seems close enough to M.'s point. Bursian, however, considered Or. 31 (Rhodiaca) 162 more relevant; here, Dio praises Rhodes for its dignified manners displayed in walking, hair-styles, dress-regulations and quiet and orderly funerals.
361. 10-365. 9. Encomium of city based on its actions

This material is to be grouped under the heads of the four cardinal virtues:
361. 17-363. 26. Justice, with its subdivisions, viz. piety (361. 20363.3 ), fair dealing (363.4-14), and due respect for the dead (363. 15-26).
363. 27-364. 9. Temperance.
364. 10-16. Wisdom.
364. 17-365. 9. Courage, especially in war.

This classification goes back to the early days of rhetoric: we find something very like it in Agathon's speech in Plato's Symposium and in Xenophon's Agesilaus (cf. Introd., pp. xiv f.). Demosth. De corona 215


 that $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \in ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \alpha$ is here simply a subdivision of $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma u ́ v \eta ; ~ M . ~ t h u s ~$ follows a 'philosophical' (and particularly Platonid) scheme rather than the kind of division of morality into relations with man and with god which A. Dihle discusses in Der Kanon der zwei Tugenden (1967). Here again, the rhetor agrees with the inherited ideas of literary and philosophical classicism: see on 362.30 ff .
361. 16. Nitsche and Kroll are essentially right here: M. means the public actions of communities. Nitsche's $\pi$ ódets is to be preferred.
361. 17. кaì aùràs. The virtues, as we are about to be told, have $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$, not the actions; so M. wrote aùvàs.
361. 17-18. For this division of $\delta_{\iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma u ́ v \eta ~ s e e ~ D i o g . ~ L a e r t . ~ 3 . ~ 83, ~}^{\text {, }}$ where it is attributed to Plato: $\delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha}$ oovov relates (a) to the gods

 Schol. Eur. Hipp. 656 attributes the same division to Aristotle. See H. G. Ingenkamp on Plato, Def. 412 e 14, A. Dihle, RAC s.v. Gerechtigkeit, 271. In any case, we here find M. using an AcademicAristotelian classification. Cf. also [Aristot.] $V V 1250^{\mathrm{b}} 19 \mathrm{ff}$., where סıкaloov́v $\eta$ is owed to gods, $\delta a i \mu o v \epsilon s$, country, parents, and the dead,
and $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \iota a$ ，ócıó $\eta \rho$, ả $\lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota a, \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ ，and $\mu \iota \sigma o \pi o \nu \eta \rho i a$＇accompany＇ justice．
 $\tau \eta s$ to cover this field is interesting：note the late usage of $\dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{o} i a$ ＇funeral＇，as in Iambl．VP 30．184，and also the etymology of Osiris suggested by Plutarch，Is．et Os．61， 375 D：ढ̇к $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ốoiov 〈каi〉

 тробаүорєи́єєข．
 1936）．
361． 20 ff．M．＇s vocabulary is again slightly idiosyncratic．（i）
 $\theta \epsilon o ́ \tau \eta s$ ，not approved by Pollux（1．21），is sometimes used by patristic writers（Lampe s．v．）．（ii）$\theta \epsilon o \phi i \lambda \eta^{\prime} s$ may mean either＇loved by god＇ （as first in Herodotus）or＇loving god＇；the corresponding noun is $\theta \epsilon \circ \phi \iota \lambda i a$ and lexica give no evidence except M．for $\theta \epsilon o \phi \iota \lambda o ́ t \eta s$. The form $\theta \in \dot{\prime} \phi \iota \lambda o s$ is commoner as a proper name than as an adjective， but where it occurs it means＇loved by god＇．

For M．＇s distinction between $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{o}_{0} \theta \epsilon o s$（＇loving ．．．＇）and $\theta \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \eta_{\gamma}$ （＇loved by ．．．＇），cf．（e．g．）Philo，De Abrahamo 50，кai mávтas фı入o日є́ovs
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂ . ~$

36i．27．M．proceeds by $\delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ，rejecting one alternative at each stage：he does not discuss piety in words，nor private piety（cf． 36 I ． 30 ff．）．So we need $\left\langle\delta \eta \mu\right.$ ó $\left.\sigma \iota a \delta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\ddot{\eta}$ for каi．

36i．30．ékeîva refers to $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon i \neq \mu a \tau a$ and we think therefore that є́ $\gamma \kappa \omega ́ \mu \iota a$（though it can mean＇encomiastic topics＇）should be deleted．

361． 30 ff．Athens：Hdt．8．55；Aristid．Panath． 40 ff．；Apollodorus 3．I4．I with Frazer＇s note．Rhodes：Pind．Ol．6．64；Strabo 16． 450. Corinth：Pausan．2．1．6；［Dio］Or． 20 （Corinthiaca）II with Barigazzi＇s note（Favorino di Arelate，p．321）on sources．Delphi：Aesch．Eum． I ff．；schol．Pind．Pyth．，hypothesis，p．2． 5 Drachmann；Eur．IT 1247 ff．，etc．
362．4－20．As the text stands，the proposed scheme is not com－ pletely worked out．＇Most gods＇（8－11），＇best gods＇（II－12），＇greatest honours＇（12－14），＇most honours＇（14－16），and＇most necessary honours＇（ $16-18$ ）are all present－－but not＇first honours＇as promised in 6.
362．ıo．aủzoùs．Sc．тoùs AA $\begin{gathered}\text { nuaious．}\end{gathered}$
362．13．Athens and corn：e．g．Aristid．Panath． 36 ff．
362． 17 ff ．Egyptian claims to the invention of astrology date from Hellenistic times：Diod．1．9．6，1．50．1，I．81．6，5．57．2－4；Pease on

Cic. div. r. 2. Invention of geometry: Hdt. 2. 109; Diod. 1. 69; Proclus in Eucl. 1. 64, etc. These arts are justified here on grounds of utility (i.e. land-surveying, calendar, seafaring).
362. 18 ff. Obscure. As we observed above (on 4-20), $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau a \iota s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~$ $\tau \tau \mu a i s$ is not illustrated. On the other hand, there is here an illustration apparently not forecast in $4-7$, viz. the Athenians' gift of eloquence and philosophy. But it will hardly do simply to replace $\mu$ á $\lambda_{\iota} \sigma \tau \alpha$ (18) by $\pi \rho \dot{́} \tau a \iota s$; the problem is more complex. We obelize $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$. There are two available solutions, neither wholly satisfactory. (i) Z's rewriting of the sentence, giving the sense: ${ }^{〔}$. even if this is supposed to have belonged especially to the Athenians'. We should have to read $\epsilon i \kappa a i[\tau \dot{d}] \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$. The effect is to make the Egyptians claim philosophy as well as astronomy and geometry: cf. Diog. Laert. i. I. (ii) The interpretation of the rest of the paradosis adopted by previous editors. This treats philosophy and eloquence as further examples of 'necessary' gifts. 'This is especially true of eloquence and philosophy . . '
362. 20-2. The general sense of this transitional passage is clear, but the paradosis does not allow easy or convincing emendation. Perhaps M. wrote something like: oṽ $\omega \omega s$ $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ oûv $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o \phi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$
 must consider what is called $\theta \epsilon \circ \phi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \eta s$ for the purposes of our craft.'

362. 30 ff . M.'s complaint about lack of personal piety accords with his attachment to traditional cult. He sees that the cult of the Olympians is confined now to public ceremonial; of the new cults, and all the varieties of individual religious experience that characterized Hellenistic and Roman times, he has not a word to say.
363. 15 ff . There are considerable obscurities here, perhaps because M.'s account is very brief. A 'Solonian' law (Dem. 43. 62) required that the $\epsilon \kappa \phi \quad \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ should be before sunrise. The $\pi \rho \rho_{0} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma-$ 'laying-out' or 'wake'-normally lasted until the early morning of the third day (counting inclusively from the day of death). Cf. M. Alexiou, The Ritual Lament in Greek Tradition, 207 (n. 30) : D. C. KurtzJ. Boardman, Greek Burial Customs, 144 ff. Reports of Charondas' laws for Thurii (Diod. 12. I I-18) do not include this point. We should presumably take it that M. (i) refers to Solon's law about the éк $\kappa о \rho \alpha$; (ii) states that the wake at Thurii was confined to the night; (iii) adds that there was a 'fixed day' for the wake at Athens. What does this last point mean? We may recall the great interest of the rhetors in the $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \neq \circ$ of the Athenian war-dead (cf. 418.5 ff .) ; in this case, a date was indeed fixed for the $\pi \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ of the bones (Thuc. 2. 34), and it could well be this to which M. alludes. Kroll's $\tau \rho i \tau \eta$ for $\tau \grave{o}$
(20) can hardly be right: it is the éкфорá that takes place on the third day.
363. 21. Of the transmitted readings, ö $\sigma \iota a$ is impossible because the
 monies', is a possibility (Greg. Naz. Or. 40. i (PG 36. 360 в)) and we retain it: annual remembrance ceremonies are known from many places and under many names (Wyse on Isaeus 2.46 , note esp. ̇̇vıaúaıa (Bekker, An. Gr., vol. ı, p. 187. 17)). Cf. also Ammon. Diff.

 But $\mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ (Kroll) or (e.g.) $\tau \dot{\alpha}\langle\pi \epsilon \rho i$ iov̀s $\tau a ́ \phi o v s\rangle$ ö $\sigma \iota a$ are also worth considering.
 to the dead were unlucky (cf. Pl. Laws 800 D and scholia ad loc.).
363. 32. тoîs á $\mu a \rho \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota v$ тoîs ákóб haps 'offences against good order', as opposed, e.g., to fraud or violence.
364. r. үuvaıkovórous. See in general C. Wehrli, Mus. Helv. ig (1962), 33-8. Characteristic of aristocracies (Aristot. Pol. 1300²4), this magistracy was found elsewhere; at Athens it appears to have been introduced by Demetrius of Phalerum. Plu. Solon 21 discusses various restrictions on women's mourning, and adds that in his own city ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau о i ̂ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho o \iota s \nu o ́ \mu o \iota s)$ such things were subject to the $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa o-$ ขо́моь.
364. 2-4. Restrictions on public appearances of women: cf. Phintys ap. Stob. 4. 23. 6ı a (= Thesleff i5If.), esp. p. 593. i ff. Hense:



364.6. Olympia: married women were forbidden as spectators, and if caught were rolled down a steep hill, Paus. 5.6.7. A good rhetorical subject: [Aeschin.] Ep. 4. 5 relates the story of the elderly lady banned by the Hellanodicai though three of her sons were Olympic victors.
364. 12. $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o v$ énıк $\lambda_{\eta} \rho \omega \mathrm{v}$. M. may be thinking primarily of Attic testamentary law, with its complicated rules for the disposal of widows and orphans.
 on 388.17 .
364. 20-4. Considerable corruption appears to have taken place here. Three aspects of war are considered : result, cause or pretext, feats of arms. It is clear from the examples of Marathon, Thermo-

applies to $\pi \rho \alpha \xi_{\epsilon \epsilon \iota}$. The basic errors in the transmission then appear
 $\pi \rho \circ \phi$ á $\epsilon \iota s$ каì, and (ii) displacement of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \circ \phi{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ by $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ roîs ö $\pi \lambda o \iota s \pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ in 24 . If this is accepted, the general sense is clear. Courage in war has to be related to result, cause, and actions. 'Result' means attitude to victory and defeat; 'causes' relates to wars with Greeks or barbarians, for more or less reputable reasons; the quality of 'action' depends on a combination of 'result' and 'cause'. It may be said that this is not all strictly a matter of courage; but the heading of courage is the only place that the scheme provides for all matters relating to wars.
364. 2r, $\pi$ pòs $\tau$ à $\tau \in ́ \lambda \eta$. We take this as a heading, repeating $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\tau \dot{a} \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ of the previous clause (the phrase we supply in 20 ), and $\nu і к \eta \nu . . . \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ as explaining it. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is intrusive.
364. 26. Cf. 359. 3.
365. 2. M. makes no moral judgement on the destruction of Melos: the $\tau$ '่́ ${ }^{\prime}$ os is 'good' simply because it was an Athenian victory (cf. 364. 21). Aristides, Panath. 302, attempts to rebut charges concerning Melos and Scione on the ground that these acts tended to the maintenance of Athenian power and the general security of Hellas. But he also (ibid. 3ro) mentions the Athenians' repentance over Mytilene, and then again the Scione affair. It is at least worth considering whether Mvтi入 $\eta \nu a i o u s ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ~ r e a d ~ i n ~ o u r ~ p a s s a g e, ~ a n d ~ M v \tau i \lambda \eta \nu a i \omega v ~$ in Aristid. Panath. 302. P's Mincoiovs, however, does not support this; it is much more likely to be a slip for Minious. And Proc. Gaz. Anastasius io (p. 50I. 8) shows that Melos and Scione were the traditional pair of examples: кai $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\omega}$ тov̀s $\sigma o \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s ~ A \theta \eta \nu a i o u s ~ o ̈ \pi \omega s$ $M \eta \lambda i o u s ~ к а i ~ \Sigma \kappa \iota \omega \nu a i o u s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \theta \eta \kappa а \nu$.
365. 3f. Recovery of the Cadmea: Xen. Hell. 5. 2, Plu. Pelopidas and De genio Socratis. A romantic episode of adventure, without doubts or shadows.
365. 4. We expect $\pi \rho a^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, not $\dot{v} \pi \circ 0 \theta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ to be classed as private or public: but see 365.20 .
365. 6. Thyrea: Hdt. 1. 82-3. The surviving Spartan (Othryades) stripped the Argive corpses and remained on the field to claim the victory. A common rhetorical theme: Sen. Suas. 2. 16, Val.' Max. 3. 2. ext. 4, Lucian, Rhet. Praec. 18, Hermog. De inv. 3. 15 (170. io Rabe) ; see Bompaire, Lucien écrivain, 336 n. 2.
365. 8. This promise is not fulfilled in the extant parts of the book. Cf. Introd., p. xxxvii.
365. 10-30. Further notes: (a) 'honours' conferred on cities are not really a separate topic (10-18) ; (b) a part of the city (e.g. baths or
harbour) may form a complete subject (18-24); (c) encomia of cities may be concerned wholly with particular occasions, e.g. festivals (25-30).

This last point leads on to an important subject-the true $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \gamma v$ pıкòs גójos which is separately treated in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 225 ff . and with which Treatise II deals incidentally in the special cases of $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$ and $\Sigma \mu \iota \nu \theta \iota \alpha \kappa o ́ s$.
365. 14. є̇тьхєiрŋца. Strictly, the 'epicheireme' is a rhetorical argument comprising four premisses and a conclusion: Cic. De inventione I. 57 ff., Ad Herennium 2. 28 (with Caplan's note), Solmsen, A7P 62 (194r), 39 ff ., 169 ff . Here, however, the term is used in a broader sense, including various logical forms. The extant treatise $\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ( 1.417 ff. Spengel $=1.343$ ff. Spengel-Hammer) is attributed to Nicagoras or Minucianus; the latter is probably the younger rhetor of that name, the teacher of Genethlius and of Porphyry (O. Schissel, Klio 21 (1927), 301 ff.). It lists a very large number of $\tau$ '́тoc in two groups, 'paradigmatic' and 'enthymematic', i.e. those based on similarity to past (or other remote) events (exempla and similes) and those based on 'rhetorical syllogisms'. Among the latter

 $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{̀} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \theta$ ovs кєкрєкóтos. Cf. also Hermog. Progymn. p. 8, 7 Rabe, 'Cornutus' i. 450 Sp. (= p. 35. I Graeven), Fronto, Ad M. Caes. 3. 8 (this last on epicheirēmata as bases of similes), Quint. 5. in. $3^{6}$ (крícıs $=$ auctoritas).


365. 16-17. Wording quite uncertain. 光фoठos is quasi-technical for 'method of reasoning' (see Ernesti s.v.) so that $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ єै $\phi o \delta o s$ probably means 'argument based on these things' viz. '่̇ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$. If this is so, $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ is probably the predicate with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha$. In other words, M. should be saying: 'You may learn about this sort of argument from the treatise on epicheirēmata; we are at present concerned with the general topics of encomia of cities.' To obtain this requires extensive changes, and perhaps transpositions: e.g.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \tau о \dot{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$. . . We translate on these lines. The reference is to something like the treatise of Minucianus.
365. 20 ff. Aristid. Or. 27 (temple at Cyzicus), Or. 39 (well in precinct of Asclepius), Or. 53 (water at Pergamum) are relevant examples.
365. 22. троoф $\omega v \epsilon i v$. Here 'make an address' in general; not in the sense of an address to a public man, as in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 272 ff., and below in Treatise II, 414.31 ff .
365. 22-4. Reading uncertain; we translate PZ's $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ aù ${ }^{2}$ ò $\mu o ́ v o \nu$
 This has weaknesses, but the general sense is clear.
365. 30-366. 13. Principles of encomia concerned with festivals; these may be praised either on general grounds, or on special ones related to circumstances.

366. 5. [rà тєєıбтатıкà ка入оú $\mu \in v a$ нópıa]. The phrase does not fit the syntax of the sentence. It is, however, a correct explanation of what M. means : cf. Volkmann, 36 f., Augustin. Rhet. i4r Halm, for these 'circumstantial points' (quis, quid, quando, ubi, cur, quemadmodum, quibus adminiculis), which go back at least to Hermagoras (fr. 7 Matthes). The presence of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ marks the difference between a particular subject ( $\dot{v} \pi \delta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ) and a general $\theta$ '́ $\sigma \iota s$, such as that just mentioned ('the advantages of festivals').
366. 8. ámò тóтоu. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 257. 23, $\mu \eta \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta \eta s$ то̀̀ то́тоу.
366. ıо. àmò xpóvou. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 258. 2 ff., $\epsilon i$ iè̀v $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̆ a \rho ~$

 similarly summer means that the endurance of spectators is taxed, and autumn that the festival spells relaxation after harvest). Cf. below, 408. 6 ff .
366. 14 ff. The last extant section deals in more detail with коьда́ (366. 15-18) and then with the first three of the $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu$ ópıa, viz. (i) 'persons' (366. 18-28) under the three advertised heads: (a) god, hero, or king in whose honour the festival is held; (b) those who hold it ; (c) those who attend it-and then (ii) place (366. 28-367. 2) and (iii) time (367, 2-8).
366. 16. Isocr. Paneg. 43.
366. 19 f. Palaemon: Paus. I. 44. 7, Apollod. 3. 4. 3. Archemorus (or Opheltes) : Eur. Hypsipyle, Paus. 2. 15. 3.
366. 20. $\Sigma \in \beta$ áoreta. Greek cities often rededicated existing festivals in honour of Augustus; see e.g. $S I G$ 8o2, $I G$ iv. 795. The Roman Augustalia (from AD I4) commemorated the return of Augustus from the East, 12 Oct. i9 bc.
366. 26 ff. J. Juster (Les Juifs dans l'empire romain, ii. 173) observes that M . is wrong to speak in the present tense of Jews meeting annually
at Jerusalem for the Passover. (i) Philo (De spec. leg. i. 6. 9) and Josephus (B7 6. 425) do indeed speak of vast numbers at Jerusalem, and Josephus specifies the Passover. This of course applies to the period before the destruction of the Temple. (ii) Visits to Jerusalem were evidently allowed from Vespasian's time, but forbidden by Hadrian (Juster, i. 357 f., ii. 73 f.). Evidence of pilgrimage in the third century exists (ibid. ii. 173 n. 2) but Constantine renewed Hadrian's edict; and the only official respite was under Julian, who contemplated rebuilding the Temple. (iii) Philo, l.c., speaks of the multitudes flocking from all points of the compass to enjoy inapà єíduria. We should consider whether the Feast of Tabernacles is not meant here; and we should certainly retain the possibility that M. is not clear what festival of the Jews is in question. What he says is derived from statements like those of Josephus and Philo, and is probably not true of his own day; he is very liable to historical inaccuracy. Cf. $336.8 ; 366.3^{2} ; 409.4$.
366. 29 ff. The Pythia collects people from the ends of the earth; this is a traditional topos, well used by Plutarch, De defectu 409 E ff., where Demetrius the grammarian, en route from Britain to his native Tarsus, meets the Spartan Cleombrotus who has travelled from the Red Sea 'as eagles and swans are said to meet at Pytho, at the Navel'.
366. 32 ff. We can find no explanation why M. should say that the road to Olympia was so difficult. The place was fortified in the third century-evidence of some danger or disturbance-but the games continued till 393. The journey from the sea, even if not quite easy (cf. Paus. 5. 6. 7), has no special physical problems. If M. confuses it with Mt. Olympus, we have once again a disconcerting view of the level of his culture.
367. 6. We mark a lacuna before каi $N \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \alpha$, since the Nemean and Isthmian games were not annual but 'in the third year'.
367. 8. Daía入a. This festival was the subject of a treatise by Plutarch (frs. 157-8 Sandbach), largely concerned no doubt with philosophical and allegorical interpretations. Paus. 9. 3. 3 ff. gives some details. The ordinary $\Delta \alpha i \delta a \lambda \alpha$ were held quite often, at intervals not exceeding six years, but there was also a major festival of the same name, in which all Boeotians took part $\delta \iota \iota^{\prime}$ é $\xi \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau о \hat{c}$ є́єоия. This is said to commemorate the long interval between a celebration before the destruction of Plataea in 429 and a fresh celebration after the restoration of the city; Plataea was restored c.380, but again destroyed in 374; Philip (in 338) and later Alexander again restored it. See Nilsson, Griechische Feste, 50 ff .

## TREATISE II

368. 1-377. 30. I-II. Basilikos Logos (Imperial Oration)

Analysis
368. r-8. Definition: the Basilikos is entirely concerned with a subject of universally acknowledged excellence.
368. 9-369. 17. Prooemia.
(a) Difficulty of the task: 9-15.
(b) Necessity of the task: ${ }^{15-17}$.
(c) 'Fear God and honour the king': i7-21.
(d) Expansion by examples : 21-369. 7 .
(e) Second prooemium: Homer and Orpheus would be needed: 369. 7-13.
(f) Third prooemium, leading into main speech: diaporesis: 369. 13-17.
369. 17-370. 8. Origin : native city and nation.
370. 8-371. 3. Origin : family. How to cover up when subject is unfavourable.
371. 3-17. Birth.
371. 17-372. 2. Education, qualities shown in youth.
372. 2-13. Accomplishments ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau a)$ to be followed by actions ( $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ ).
372. 14-25. Importance of separate prooemia and comparisons ( $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ) to enhance the importance of the subject (cf. 377. 2 ff .).
372. 25-373. 6. $\Pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \in \iota s$. War comes before peace, and we should divide material according to the cardinal virtues.
373. 6-375. 6. Courage in war (374. 6-19: recipe for an interlude to relieve the serious tension).
375. 6-376. 2. Justice.
376. 2-13. Temperance.
376. 13-23. Wisdom (this concludes the section based on the virtues).
376. 24-31. The emperor's Fortune.
376. 31-377. 10. Final comparison.
377. 10-30. Epilogue, closing with prayer.

This whole chapter was transcribed c. AD 1300 by Joseph 'Rhakendytes' (cf. Introd., p. xliv), and was clearly popular and well known in Byzantine times. See in general L. Previale, 'Teoria e prassi del panegirico bizantino', Emerita 17 (1949), 72-105.
The Latin panegyrici are less close to M.'s scheme than their Greek contemporaries, though naturally they use many of the same topics.

Illustration may often be found in the following: Aristid. Or. 35 (commonly thought spurious and dated in the third century, but see C. P. Jones $7 R S 62$ (1972), 134 ff.) ; Julian, Or. 1; Liban. Or. 59; Themist. Or. 2, 3, 4; Procop. Gaz. Anastasius, CSHB i. 489 ff . There are interesting parallels also in Philo, Legatio ad Gaium 143-51, and Corpus Hermeticum 18 (Nock-Festugière 2. 247). We have confined ourselves to a brief selection of this material.

The chapter is unusually full and explicit: note also $369.3-4$ and 369 . 14 , where M . draws a wider lesson from the point he is making. The natural inference is that this is in fact the first of the series, as both P and MmW have it (Introd., p. xlvi).
 istic of an encomium that it admits no debate, but is based on what is commonly acknowledged. See Aristot. Rhet. 1362 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 29, Nic. Progymn. 48. 20 Felten; Isocr. Helena 12, with V. Buchheit, Genos Epideiktikon, 79 ; J. Bompaire, Lucien écrivain, 269. Cf. Introd., pp. xix f.
368. 10 ff . Commonplace: Thuc. 2. 35 makes Pericles admit the difficulty, but without this sort of modesty. Cf. Liban. Or. in. 6,






 є̇таіршә.


368. ir. $\lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\omega} \omega$ appears to weaken the force of the clause, and has perhaps been wrongly inserted, a scribe's eye having been caught by the following dórous.


 ăva日єiŋ $\mu \epsilon \nu$. Cf. also Corp. Herm. 18. 15-16, esp. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ रà̀ каì тov́тoıs

368. 19. $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$. The corruption into $\tau o ́ \lambda \mu \alpha(-\mu \eta)$, common to all the manuscripts is very difficult to explain.
$\pi \in p i \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$ éas. This is the reading of pX , the other manuscripts

 to infer from these passages that they were written under a plural
reign (e.g. Diocletian and his colleagues), since the plural may simply generalize the statement. Contrast 378. 32 with note. For the thought
 21, фоßоv̂ тòv $\theta \epsilon$ óv, ví́, каi $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ́ a$. Cf. also Menandri Sententiae p. 132 Jaekel.
368. 22. ảopívicv. The opposite of $\dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ éva. M. means examples which do not involve specific names or circumstances. p's $\dot{a} \pi i \sigma \tau \omega s$ is probably dittography of áopiat $\alpha \nu$.

 There is something inept about $\tau o i ̂ s j \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i s$ especially if we recall
 97 c, etc.), where the point concerns measuring the volume of the sea. Deletion should be seriously considered.
 a formal oratorical kind, sharply distinguished from $\lambda_{a} \lambda_{\iota} i_{i}$ and distinguished by consistently periodic sentence-structure: see Soffel, 195-6. M. distinguishes two types of epithalamium as ov́vzovos and
 oemia. Cf. also 399. I7, 4 I4. 29.
369. 5. rò крєítтov. As Bursian points out, this is a favourite expression in Treatise II ( $370.23 ; 375.15 ; 377.20 ; 38 \mathrm{I} .22 ; 407$. 2 I; 411. 19; 422. 8; 427. 19; 437. 14, 22; 438.4). Its absence from Treatise I, given the pious tone of that work, is noteworthy, and reinforces the hypothesis of separate authorship (Introd., pp. xxxvii f.).
369. 6. ü $\mu v o \iota s$ кai ápєтaîs ì $\lambda a \sigma \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. Cf. 444. 16 (as emended). ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha i{ }^{\prime}$ (if the reading is right) means 'recitals of wonderful deeds'; it is characteristic of the praise of a god to relate his actions (cf. Ter. Ad. 535, 'facio te apud illum deum: virtutes narro') and these were often miracles-hence the often pejorative associations of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ doyia (R. Reitzenstein, Hellenistische Wundererzählungen, 9 ff.). M.'s usage here seems odd, an account of the emperor's ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \in \tau a i$ is essential to the encomium, and the analogy with $\tau$ ò к $\rho \in i ̂ \tau \tau o \nu$ is weakened by the addition of the word here. If $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha i \hat{s}$ is to be suspected, we should consider either deletion or perhaps corruption from $\lambda_{\iota \tau a i s}$ 'prayers'.
369. 7. Bursian's transposition, which we adapt, makes the sense clear and enables us to retain $\delta \epsilon$.
 poetical grandiloquence.
369. 9-11. Aristid. Or. 35. ı0, ov̉ $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o u ̉ \delta \grave{~} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Movâ̂ $\nu$. These hackneyed moves are often made in a form of praeteritio.
369. 15. трокатарктькท. Cf. e.g. Hermog. De ideis 2.9 (p. 369. 6 Rabe). Here the $\pi \rho$. $̈ v v o l a$ serves to explain what is to come.
369. 16. oiov. The paradosis offers $\lambda$ loımóv. This word has both an inferential ('therefore') and progressive ('and') use (J. Blomqvist, Greek Particles in Hellenistic Prose, Lund, 1969, 100 ff .), as well as a temporal one ('now': see below on 372. 13). None of these fits well in this participial clause, and we expect an example of the function of 'introducing' : oiov meets the case.

סıaropoûvtos. This conventional move is based on Od. 9. 14,
 with Gow's note; also e.g. Liban. Or. 59. 10, $\pi \delta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ồv ä $\rho \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ кало́v;
369. 2x. тuyxávn. See K-G ii. 67 for the use without a participle: it is quite common in M., at least in Treatise II: 379. $3^{2}$; 400. 1; 403. $8 ; 403.13 ; 408.2 ; 419.7 ; 425.22$. It seems to be a poetical and Hellenistic usage, and is said by Phrynichus ( 242 Fischer, 244 Rutherford) to be incorrect Attic.

 Eleans', Ael. VH 3. 42, ai $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ tov̀s iotov̀s $\epsilon i \hat{\chi} \circ \nu$.
369. 29 ff. The contrast between Greek and Italian (i.e. Roman) qualities is traditional: Virg. Aen. 6. 847 ff . ('excudent alii . . .') with Norden's note, Cic. Tusc. Disp. 1. 1-6, Aristid. Or. 26. 51. No disparagement of either party is meant here, though the comparison is often made tendentiously (H. Fuchs, Der geistige Widerstand gegen Rom, 50 ff. ; Liban. Or. 4. 18, 43.5 ; Ep. 95 I-a complaint that students are learning Latin and Roman law; cf. A. F. Norman on Or. I. 154). M. shows no sign of anti-Roman sentiment, unless the passages in Treatise I (360. 13; 363. II) about the universality of Roman law may be so regarded; indeed, he appears at least once to identify with the Roman ruler ( $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu 387.27$ : see notes).
369. 31. Гa入atêv. Gauls rather than Galatians.

Matóvwv. i.e. Pannonians. Greek writers liked to use ancient names for new peoples, a habit which persisted through the Byzantine period: E. L. Bowie, Past and Present 46 (Feb. 1970), 33 cites Iberia, Eridanos, Keltike, Hipponion and Musoi for Moesi. The Maioves (a Macedonian tribe) are identical with the Pannonii, e.g. in Arrian, Indica 4. 16, Herodian 6. 7. 6; they later become the Hungarians (Nicander Nucius, Å [?1546]).
Traditionally warlike ('Pannoniusque ferox', Stat. Silv. 1. 4. 78), the Pannonians produced warrior emperors in the third and fourth centuries (Decius, Probus, Maximian, Jovian).
The connection between country and character may also be ex-

tam barbarum ac triste apud Pontum quam quod illic Marcion natus est，Scytha tetrior，Hamaxobio instabilior，Massageta inhumanior， Amazone audacior，nubilo obscurior，hieme frigidior，gelu fragilior， Istro fallacior，Caucaso abruptior．＇
370．2－3．M．is here discussing ${ }^{\epsilon \prime} \theta \nu \eta$ and has dismissed $\pi o ́ \partial \epsilon \iota s$ （369．26）．Hence we favour deletion of $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau o \iota a u ́ \tau \eta s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \eta ̈$ ，although the type of argument in itself could be applied to cities as well as to nations．
370．14．Callinicus of Petra（FGrHist 281）practised at Athens as a sophist and wrote various rhetorical，historical，and encomiastic works，including a Prosphonētikos to Gallienus（Suda）．He was mur－ dered at a place on the Euphrates known later to Libanius（ $E p$ ． 21．5），who clearly did not approve of him．Suda s．v．Genethlius tells us that C．was a rival of Genethlius（himself a pupil of Minucia－ nus）at Athens．The only fragment of C．（F i）shows a subservient attitude to Rome．Whether the M＇́ $\gamma$ as Baoı入ıкós is the same as the address to Gallienus has been needlessly disputed．Nitsche＇s argument that it cannot have been，because Gallienus was of distinguished birth and the speech is adduced here as an instance of how to handle the problem of humble origin，is decisive，though whether Nitsche is right in claiming also that Callinicus＇Baбıגıкós was addressed to Aurelian is more doubtful．
 роウ̀ тov кád入ovs．So the church on earth is said by some to be＇̇є－
 6．35，p．104．19 ff．Koetschau）．
 $\dot{\omega}_{s} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \beta \beta \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ．The word means（I）＇deposition＇of seed；（2）foundation，of a building and so of the universe ；（3）＇descent＇ from above．The predominant sense here is（ I ），though（3）may also be present．
 negative main clause is in accord with Attic usage（ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{G}$ 2．I．214）， but the force of $\dot{\omega}$ is unclear，and it is this which gives Nitsche＇s $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ôvt $\omega$ s its plausibility．But perhaps＇except in virtue of being ．．．＇ conveys the nuance in $\dot{\omega}$ ．
371．2．кai $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ．＇Well，we shall do just this ．．．＇
37x．5－8．We have made，with some hesitation，a number of small changes in text and punctuation to improve the logic and articulation of the sentence．See app．crit．for details．Doubt about the traditional readings and punctuation arises because（a）the treatment of $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \iota s$ is not solely a matter of $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta \circ \lambda a$ ；（b）кa $\alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta े \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$（8）is exceedingly awkward and repetitive if it is taken closely with rooov́тoıs $\tau \iota \sigma i$ ．
371. 7. Romulus (e.g. Plu. Romulus 2), Cyrus (Hdt. i. io8), Pericles, Alexander are among the stock examples: cf. Liban. Or. 59. 23 for a modification of the topos-'the birth of our emperor needed no such signs'.
 $\phi$ ф८vó $\mu \in \nu o \nu$. In $\tau \grave{o}$ фaıvó $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ we may have an extension of the technical sense 'celestial phenomenon' (e.g. Geminus 12. II) to the meaning 'heaven', ó фаıvó $\mu \in \nu o s$ кó $\sigma \mu$ оs.


371. 24. Chiron's food for Achilles was lions' marrow: Hermog. Progymn. 16. 2 Rabe, Nicolaus, Progymn. 52. 8 Felten. Earlier versions of the tradition: Stat. Ach. 2. 383 ff., Philostr. Heroicus 20. 2; see Frazer on Apollodorus 3. 13, 6.
 advice. The orator is to say why mai $\delta$ cia is relevant; it is because it displays the subject's intellectual qualities. In the preceding phrase, $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota$ - would be more apt, since $\beta$ oúخomal $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$. is introductory to the statements which are to be made.
371. 29. Presumably 'noted for literature and philosophy': an adjective (e.g. $\pi \rho \circ \notin \chi \chi \omega \nu$, 白 $\pi a \nu \nu \epsilon \tau o ́ s, ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \xi o s)$ would be natural in the first half of the sentence. There is a further difficulty in the
 lems would be solved by reading é $\lambda \lambda o ́ \gamma \iota \mu$ os for év $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o \iota s$.
371. 32. тро $\boldsymbol{\nu} \eta \sigma \tau \in \cup \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$. An uncommon verb; but metaphorical use of the noun $\pi \rho \circ \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho \iota a$ is classical (Eur. Hipp. 589).
372. 2. For Heracles' education see Theocr. 24. 104 ff., Apollod. Bibl. 2. 4. 9. M. may also be thinking of his infant exploit in killing the snakes. There seems to be no special tradition about Castor and Pollux, though both excelled in fighting (and Pollux especially in boxing) in their early youth.
372. 2-4. There are considerable uncertainties here. (i) $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ' $\epsilon \xi \epsilon$. Does this mean (a) 'will give scope for discussion' or (b) 'will take the place of discussion'? If (a), $\pi a \rho \in ́ \xi \in \iota$ would be more suitable, if (b), we ask what it is that is to be discussed. (ii) Spengel's $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \dot{\beta} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ is strongly recommended by 384 . 20 ff . But it leaves us with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \in \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ as identified with $\eta^{\eta} \theta \eta$, which can hardly be right: it would be truer to say that they result in character-qualities ('abeunt studia in mores') or, as M. goes on to say, 'give an indication' of character. Interpolation (probably of the whole sentence $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$. . . $\eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ) must be strongly suspected.
372. 5. ${ }^{\eta}$ Oous ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \phi$ aбıv. Plutarch's Lives offer the most obvious
illustrations of this : see D. A. Russell, PCPS 1966,37 ff., on Alcibiades' education and its relation to his character; and, in general, F. Leo, Die gr.-röm. Biographie, 185 f.



 бофía каi סекаוобúrך.
372. 10. Aristides (Panath. 49 ff.) proceeds from $\gamma \in ́ v o s, \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta$, and gifts from the gods to the $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ of the Athenians, and in particular ( 50 ff .) to $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \nu \tau a \chi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi o v \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{v} \pi \sigma \delta o \chi \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ таранvөia. M.'s катафєúrovтas (12) picks up Aristides' тóлєıs каi
 to the story of the Heraclidae.
373. 13. 入otmòv. 'Now': cf. 385. 6. A good example of this sense


372. I4 ff. The section on $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \in \leqslant$ forms a separate chapter in manuscripts and editions before Spengel. This is inconsistent with the practice (which seems natural for Treatise II) of making each speech the subject of a single separate chapter.
372. 17 ff. M. recommends a prefatory section at the beginning of each $\kappa \in \phi$ á $\lambda a \iota v$ (i.e. race, education, etc.) and also (372.21) a $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$. What he says about the 'prefaces' is in accordance with the standard doctrine that their aim should be to make the hearer well disposed, attentive, and ready to learn (Quint. 4. 1. 5; cf. Rhet. ad Alex. 29. I, Aristot. Rhet. 1415 ${ }^{\text {a }} 35$ ). Less traditional is his remark that making the hearer attentive and expectant also leads to avj $\eta \sigma \sigma \iota$, but this is natural in the context of an elaborate and lengthy speech.
372. 18. 乌ทंт point is that the hearer should be clear about the articulation of the chapters which the writer has sought.
372. 23. kai tà totaûta naturally goes with what precedes. The corruption is then localized to $a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha$, p's $\alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$ (which we print) being a possible but not wholly convincing emendation.
372. 26-7. In view of the way in which the sentence proceeds
 $\epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \nu$ каi $\tau$ às кaлà $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$, but the variatio given by the reading of the manuscripts remains possible.
373. 7-8. Bursian deleted $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha i \quad$. . . ф $\rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s, ~ c o m p a r i n g ~ 415$. 24 ff ., and believing that the interpolation here was due to a rearrangement of chapters as a result of which basic information once given in Prosphonétikos now had to be included in Basilikos. This is
implausible；the work is not composed with this degree of course－ planning，and reminders of things like the four cardinal virtues are in place anywhere．Deletion here is too great a compliment to the writer．
373．9．Aristid．Or．35．1о：каì таи̂та ov̉ $\mu$ óvov $\pi \rho \alpha o ́ \tau \eta \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~$

373．20．An adjective to pair with $\kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \iota s$ is needed：$\lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \circ \iota$ and $\pi \epsilon \delta \iota \nu o i$（cf． 345. I）are possible．Perhaps $\epsilon i\langle\lambda \epsilon \hat{i} o \iota \eta \eta\rangle \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu \omega \dot{\partial} \epsilon \iota s$ is to be preferred（a）on palaeographical grounds，（b）because the order of the pair $\psi \iota \lambda o i / \delta a \sigma \epsilon i s s$ suggests that＇smooth＇might be ex－ pected to precede its opposite in the subsequent clause．
373．26．The tradition is divided between $\delta \iota a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ and $\delta \iota \alpha-$ бкєvàs $\epsilon i s$ ，palaeographically virtually identical．It is unanimous for
 We have then to choose between（i）סцa⿱кєvá⿱㇒日七s，＇you will elaborate＇， which requires the plural imтонахias to balance $\pi \epsilon \zeta о \mu a x i a s$ ，and（ii） $\delta_{\iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ i m \pi o \mu a x i ́ a \nu, ~ '(y o u ~ w i l l ~ d e s c r i b e) ~ t h e ~ e q u i p m e n t ~ o f ~ c a v a l r y ~}^{\text {a }}$ for a cavalry battle＇．（ii）is to be preferred：it gives i $i \pi \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ a distinct function in the sentence，and avoids the further slight change of $i \pi \pi о \mu a x i a \nu$ ．M．perhaps has in mind descriptions of cavalry armour and armament．

373．30．M．refers to Theopompus again，398．9．He was often
 Ad Pomp．6）， $\mathrm{F}_{263}$（［Longin．］43），T 23 （Hermog．De ideis 412 Rabe）， T 30 （Theon，Progymn．4）etc．F 5 （Marcellinus，Vit．Thuc．5）suggests that his narrative of the＇second battle of Cynossema＇was remem－ bered；in any case，his Hellenica，which continued Thucydides，must have abounded in battle－descriptions．
374．1．ämarav iס́́av．The heroic examples suggest that what is intended should be the emperor＇s skill and warlike presence．But the meaning of iठéa remains uncertain．If it means＇beauty＇，the addition of ${ }^{2} \pi a \sigma \alpha \nu$ seems odd．We have entertained the possibility that M．
 every expedient＇，though in fact the acc．there is adverbial．
374．6．ảveival．See on $400.8 ; 4$ II． 28 ff ．
374．7．$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} v \nu \epsilon \omega \tau^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$. M．indicates by this that no example of a digression of this kind was found in the classical models．
374． 8 ff．ка⿴囗́ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ év $\delta \rho a ́ \mu a \tau r$. M．＇s example is in fact from Homer， Il． 21.214 ff ．

374．14－15．тоıทтєкòs in the first occurrence clearly means＇able to compose poetry＇．It is tempting to consider whether in the second occurrence it means rather＇described in poetry＇，like Latin poeticus （e．g．Cic． $\mathcal{N D} 3.3$ I．77）．Against this（i）there seems to be no evidence
for the Greek word so used, though the Latin usage may be held to imply it; (ii) more seriously, the shift in meaning would be forced and out of keeping. We should therefore retain the same meaning in both occurrences. It is possible that тоьךтко̀s before $\Sigma_{\kappa} \alpha \mu a \nu \delta \rho o s$ is an accidental repetition and to be deleted, or that $\Sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s ~ i s$ itself an interpretative interpolation.
This passage of Homer had attractions for panegyrists, especially when concerned with campaigns on the Danube, an essential theme from Flavian and Trajanic times onwards (cf. Plin. Paneg. 12, 16, 82), and especially during the wars of the late second and third centuries. Close parallels, however, are later. Themistius, Or. ıо. 133 b , contrasts Danube and Scamander: Scamander showed anger, Danube calmed himself to bear the ships that offered peace (Valens' peace with the Goths, 369). Cf. also Symmachus, Laud. in Val. 26: 'eat nunc Troiani carminis auctor inlustris et pro clade popularium Xanthum fingat iratum, artatas cadaveribus undas scriptor decorus educat: nescivit flumina posse frenari.'
374.27 ff . This 'third' virtue follows courage and wisdom in conduct of war. It consists in sparing the vanquished, the 'parcere subiectis' of Aen. 6. 853 (see Norden ad loc.), the familiar slogan and apologia of imperial Rome. In the emperor, $\phi i \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ may be said to embrace all other virtues (Themist. Or. i) ; it is his highest virtue (see A. Dihle, RAC, s.v. Gerechtigkeit p. 274). However, uópıov . . . סıкаıoбv́vך -'justice is a part of philanthröpia'-remains a strange proposition. $\delta_{\iota \kappa} \iota o \sigma v{ }^{\prime} \eta$ is a traditional cardinal virtue, and, traditionally again, embraces all others (Theognis 147, Aristot. $E \mathcal{N} 1129^{\mathrm{b}} 29$ ). We have three choices: (i) to follow m's omission of the clause, which could well be a Byzantine conjecture rather than an accidental slip; (ii) to adopt Nitsche's reading, 'philanthropia is a part of justice'; (iii) to retain the text of the paradosis, interpreting the clause as a rather paradoxical statement about the emperor-'his humanity includes justice-justice is only a part of that great imperial virtue-because, in victory, he mingled vengeance and mercy in just measure.' With this interpretation ö $\tau \iota$ (29) means 'because' and does not introduce a quotation: the 'fair copy' now begins at $\mu$ ópıov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ (cf. 375. 10 for absence of introductory particle). Though (ii) has attractionsespecially in view of $385 \cdot 20$, where $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ appears in an account of actions grouped under $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma v \eta$-we adopt (iii), since it is the paradosis and the objections do not seem insuperable. Cf. also Plu. Cato Maior 5, for रрךбтóтŋs ('kindness') as wider in range than ठıкаьoov́v--because we feel it towards animals as well as men. 'Kindness' and 'humanity' are essentially virtues of the superior towards the inferior.
374. 32. We take the structure of the sentence to be as follows:

 cannot stand.
 . . . $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota o v ̂ ~ \pi a ́ \theta o v s . ~$
375. 21. $\sigma u \lambda \lambda$ до́́as. Tax-collectors: cf. Polyaen. Strateg. 2. 34, $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda о \gamma \epsilon ́ a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ карт $\omega \hat{\nu}$.
375. 22-4. каi тоû $\sigma \iota \tau \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma$ iou $\tau \hat{v} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon u \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$, ö $\tau$. . . We transpose ö $\tau \iota$ from before $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \tau \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma i o v$ to follow $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. The decisive objection to the traditional order is that it should go without saying (and anyway is not relevant to $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma v v^{\prime}$ ) that the emperor cares for the army's commissariat. What is relevant, and needs to be said, is that he cares for his subjects, and wishes to ease the burdens they must bear.
376. r. Nitsche's deletion of av่ $\hat{\varphi}$ yields the sense that the tyrant knows what is, in general terms, 'expedient', not necessarily what is expedient 'for him'.
376. 5. Cf. Hor. Odes 4. 5. 21-3: 'nullis polluitur casta domus stupris / . . / laudantur simili prole puerperae.'
 єортаі $\theta \epsilon о ф \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$; [Dion. Hal.] Rhet. 259. 17 U.-R.: $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ỡ ôtı
 Anastasius 515. I: ai módєıs єùठaí $\gamma v^{\prime} \rho \in \sigma \tau \nu$.
376. 9-13. The empress. Cf. Plin. Paneg. 83. 4, 'tibi uxor in decus et gloriam cedit' (with the context).
376. 22. M. has in mind Thuc. i. 138. 3 (on Themistocles):


377. 1. äтєXvov. Here 'unworkmanlike': cf. Pl. Politicus 274 c, Soph. 219 A, etc.
 and it should be active.
377. 9. For comparison with Alexander, cf. e.g. Themist. Or. 1. 26 a, Or. 2. 39 c, Or. 4.57 c; Pan. Lat. ıo. io (to Maximian) ; Pan. Lat. 12. 5 (to Constantine).
377. 10. Aristid. Or. 35.37 on security by land and sea.
 phora: $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \eta ~ \mu \grave{\iota} \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \gamma a i ̂ a ~ к а к \omega ̂ \nu, ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̀ \eta ~ \delta \grave{~} \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ (Hes. WD ıог).
377. 13. $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon} i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \theta \alpha ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$. Cf. Musonius XVIII B p. 104. 1
 p. 5 I. 54 CSHB; and below, 4or. 23.
377. 17-18. An interesting light on the blessings of Roman rule. In the second century, recruitment of slaves had become difficult, and prices rose. The renewed frontier wars of the following period appear to have led to an increase in supply, to which this passage testifies. Similarly, in Gaul, the settlement of conquered barbarians provided needed agricultural labour: Pan. Lat. 8. 9 (to Constantius) : 'arat ergo nunc mihi Chamavus et Frisius . . . cultor barbarus laxat annonam.'
377. 24. єủtuxoûvtal. 'Are happily vouchsafed to us'. See on 439 . Io for the transitive use of $\epsilon \dot{v} \tau v \chi \epsilon i v-' o b t a i n '$-of which this seems to be the passive.
377. 28. Aristid. Or. 35. 39: $\sigma \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon ́, \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ \epsilon ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$, кa兀' ỉXvos єïך Baiveiv tov̂ $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$.

## 377. 31-388. 16. III : Epibatērios Logos (Speech on Arrival)

 Analysis377. 32-378. 4. Introduction. The epibaterios is either (i) an address to one's native city on return, or (ii) an address to a city one visits, or (iii) an address to a visiting governor. (Without saying so, M. treats the last case first, and does not go on to the address to a city till 382. 1о.)
378. 4-16. Prooemia expressive of joy. The speaker shares the pleasure of the city at receiving so good a governor, or of the governor at his arrival; or else he feels pleasure himself at setting eyes either on the city or on the governor. M. gives an example of what might be said in the last-named situation.
379. 16-379. 2. The subject population: the topic is to be treated differently according to the previous circumstances: if these were bad, 'day comes after night'; if good, previous prosperity will now be surpassed. Thanks are due to the emperors.
380. 2-4. Praise of emperors: this should be brief, so as not to compromise the unity of the speech.
381. 5. Beginning of the praises of the governor; if he has done great deeds, praise these.
1. 6-13. If not, discuss his native city or nation, or his family. (It is this possibility which is now discussed at length.)
2. 13-380. 9. On this basis, forecast his virtues: justice (379. 13-24), courage (24-9), temperance and wisdom (379. 30-380.9).
3. 9-381. 5. No comparison of actions being possible in this case, comparisons with the families of the Heraclidae or Aeacidae are in order.
4. 6-22. Epilogue: greeting of the governor as a saviour by the whole population.
5. 23-9. Modification of the scheme to suit a governor who has visited the city before: in this case, his 'actions' are arranged under the heads of virtues.
6. 1-9. Differences between epibatērios and prosphōnētikos.
7. 10-14. We turn to the address to one's own city on return. Material is derived from your love for it and its beauty and history.
8. 15-24. Example of introductory passage of joyful greeting.
9. 24-31. This leads into an encomium of the city and of its founder; if he was an emperor admired by the present regime, make much of this; if he was a 'tyrant', conceal the fact.
10. 31-383. 9. Amplification by contrast of present joy with previous distress. (This is intended to follow straight after the joyful greeting, 382. 24.)
11. 9-10. Praise of founder.
12. 10-384. 14. Praise of the position of the city; relation between these two last themes.
13. 14-25. Other encomium topics relating to the city; 'nurture' and 'accomplishments'.
14. 25-32. The speaker should repeat expressions of his desire and enthusiasm. 'This is what brought me here . . ''
15. 1-8. A note inserted to show the difference between the epibatērios and a patrios logos which has no special occasion for personal joy, but which otherwise has the same content.
16. 8-386. 1о. To resume: we proceed to the 'actions' of the city, grouped under the cardinal virtues ( $385 \cdot 9$ : justice and humanity; 22 : temperance; 28: wisdom; 386. 7: courage, shown especially in outspoken representations to the emperor).
17. 10-21. Comparisons, particular and general.
18. 21-9. Epilogue: description of the city.
19. 29-387. 2. Useful reading: Callinicus, Aristides, Polemon, Adrianus.
20. 3-388. 15. Scheme for a Tröikos Logos.
21. 7-15. Encomium of the country.
22. 15-30. Its history.
23. 30-388. 14. Encomium of the city and its situation.
24. $14-15$. This is to be followed by the rest of the general scheme (i.e. as from 384. 14).

The combination in this chapter of speeches for essentially different occasions makes it confusing. It evidently presupposes knowledge of the prosphönetikos (382. I ff.) ; the chapter devoted to this ( 414.32 ff .) goes into more detail about the virtues, but there remains a good deal of overlap between the two, and M.'s account of the difference is unclear. It is also noteworthy that he fails to distinguish, in his
detailed treatment, between 'homecoming' and 'visiting a foreign city', though in the introductory sentence he represents these as distinct. We should not make too much of these difficulties. The rhetor has no comprehensive system or classification of these speeches; what he is doing here is perhaps to meet a number of requests from a pupil-for a speech to demonstrate his skill on returning home, for a suitably polished speech of welcome to the provincial governor, and for a historical and patriotic oration, not necessarily confined to occasions of arrival; particular attention is given to a speech for Alexandria Troas. Cairns (19 ff.) says that the difference between prosphōnētikos and epibatērios is one of 'amount of treatment of subjectmatter rather than the presence or absence of subject-matter'. It is rather one of emotional tone, as M. makes clear by his stress on joy ( 382 . $1-6 ; 385.7$ ), and desire ( $384.25-32$ ) ; we may compare the relationship between the various funeral speeches, of which the epitaphios emphasizes the encomiastic element, the monödia the lament, and the paramuthētikos the consolation.

 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \tilde{\delta} \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \nu$.
 cf. 413. 6, $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \nu \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{~} \dot{o} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.

378. 4. єúӨús. Probably to be taken with the preceding clause, rather than with $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$, though the latter cannot be ruled out.

 is virtually a parenthesis.
378. 10 ff . Cf. 378 . 22 ff . A reminder that this sort of expression was already conventional in classical Athens is given by Aristoph. Eq. 1319-34:


(H. Kleinknecht, Hermes 74 (1939), 58-65).
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$, which is clearly unsatisfactory. There are two alternatives: (i) Bursian's $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o ́ \lambda o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ a ̉ \kappa \tau i s ~ a ̈ \nu \nu \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu ~(t h i s ~$ supported by pB ) ; (ii) deletion of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, which could then be regarded as an intrusive gloss on the preceding ${ }^{z} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$. We follow Bursian, with slight hesitation; the transposition is not a drastic one.

Such light imagery for fortunate arrivals is traditional and common:

Od．16．23，$\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \epsilon, T_{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu a \chi \epsilon, \gamma \lambda v \kappa \epsilon \rho \dot{\partial} \nu \phi$ дáos is used by Libanius as the opening of his $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa$ ós to Julian（Or．15）；but cf．also Il．17．615， Aesch．Ag． 22 ff．and goi，Anacreon 380 PMG（ $=$ Himerius，Or． 47，p． 189 Colonna）：LSJ s．v．$\phi \hat{\omega}$ ，II．I．Cf．381．16－18 and note．

378．19．סıarumம்бts．Cf．on 423 ．19．A technical term for a vivid description with high emotive content：Volkmann，267，Martin， 289.

378．24．roivov as first word is characteristic of non－Atticist prose； J．Blomqvist，op．cit．（on 369．16），i 30 ff ．
378．25．$\pi a \rho a \delta \rho a \mu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$（codd．）is an unhappy repetition of $\pi a \rho a \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon i \hat{s}$ just above．Our correction is suggested by Dem．18．188，
 which M．doubtless had in mind．

 be a proverbial expression for unbroken happiness and relaxation： Herodas 5． 85 exploits it with an added point．
378．29－30．A supplement on the lines of Bursian＇s and Nitsche＇s seems essential：an infinitive is needed after $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ and $\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa a \lambda \lambda_{t}{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ cannot be right，since the future event has to be as good as or better than the past．
378． 32 ff．roîs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} เ v$. In view of катє́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu$（379．2）we must agree with Nitsche that the plural here（as in 415 ． 13 ff ．）is adequate evidence of a reference to joint emperors．See Introd．， p．xxxix．Note，however，that there is no variant $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega v$ for $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$ at 379． 3.
379．4．For Nitsche＇s $\mu \dot{\eta}$ èv $\nu \iota a \tau \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，cf． 4 I5．8， 4 I7．2．The point is that if the encomium of the emperor were given in full，the speech would effectively have two subjects．Cf．the protheöria to Liban．Or． 59：the encomium on Constantius and Constans，after a section in which the actions are treated separately，$\pi \alpha{ }_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \iota \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ к о \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~$

379．8－9．oîov in 9 presupposes 〈oîov＞in 8．The reason for deleting $\chi \dot{\rho} \rho a s \ddot{\eta}$ is that $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha$ ought not to be mentioned twice，and the example（Rome）is a city．
379．11．ámopoins．Cf． 380.9 for the situation here intended．
 assumes that the governor already has $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \xi \in \iota$ to his credit；this is first envisaged at 381． 24 ff ．Hence $\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \mathrm{mo} \mathrm{\rho oins}$ cannot be right；it is interesting that $P$ before correction（and also $Y$ ）gives $\mu \dot{\eta}$ єv̀mopoíns， correct in sense though less likely than $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ оороins．Bursian makes the alternative suggestion of adding 〈 $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v} \gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$ ovs $\rangle$ ：＇if you have available actions by 〈the family of〉 the person you are praising ．．．＇In this，
 right.
379. 12. aúXu $\quad$ pà̀v кaì äyovov. 'Dry and sterile'. The metaphor is still alive: M. thinks of the $\dot{v} \pi o{ }_{0} \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ as infertile soil. Thus the related but distinct sense of aưx $\mu \eta \rho o$ ós, 'squalid', is not prominent here, and the parallel with Hermog. Progymn. 23. 14 Rabe is not close: äv
 and referring to the subject of an $\stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \rho a \sigma t s)$. See also on $402.3^{\circ}$.
379. 15. Nitsche's $\gamma \epsilon \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ is necessary, since it is the governor's future conduct of which the speaker is persuaded.
379. 21-2. oú xapai . . . סíkaıos. The phrase is modelled on Pl.
 s.v. Menandros, n. 16 col. 764) observed that the sentence had an almost oriental ring; in view of the Plato reminiscence, this is hardly so, despite the slightly odd word-order, $\lambda$ óyos . . . íккаıos.
379. 24. The additions in pZ are due to failure to recognize the

 central authority will be as good as an embassy sent on the city's behalf.
 general sense from Plato onwards (Phaedo 75 D 2); but cf. schol. Hermog. Siat. (7. 425. 24 Walz ) : є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ òs каi $\beta \in \beta a i \omega \sigma \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, for use in a rhetorical context like this.
380. 8. $\sigma u \mu \phi \phi^{\prime} \rho \in เ v$. The infinitive after ópâ̧s is not impossible:
 3. 7. 15, ov่k $\epsilon i s \mu \alpha \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \in ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ are relevant. Other verbs which normally take a participle ( $\pi v \nu \theta$ ávo $\mu \alpha \iota$, $\dot{u} \pi o v o \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, oî $\delta a$ ) are also sometimes found with infinitives in Atticist prose (Schmid iii. 8o, iv. 83 on Aelian and Philostratus). But the proximity of $\dot{\omega} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu{ }^{\prime} \delta \delta \eta s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ makes the irregularity seem harder: m's $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ would remove it.
380. 15 ff . A good example of the periodic style: the negatived $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. . . $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ sentence is characteristic of Demosthenes (e.g. Or. 9. 27,
 ingenuity is also noteworthy: while denying the possibility of a бúyкрıбts, he proposes a motif which effectively introduces one.
380. 26. Cf. Pliny, Paneg. 4. 5-6, esp. 'at principi nostro quanta concordia quantusque concentus omnium laudum omnisque gloriae contigit!'
381. 2. Text uncertain in minor details. All four cardinal virtues were presumably mentioned, and manuscripts preserve various portions of the text.
381. 6-7. mWY have oîs for $\dot{\omega}$; otherwise there are no variants.
 think of the speaker as 'greeting' his fellow subjects; (ii) $\delta \in \xi \iota v \neq \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ below (io) refers to the subjects greeting the governor, and the same situation should be intended here. Possibilities include: (a) roîs
 theme of) the population greeting him . .'; (b) $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota o v \mu e ́ v \omega \nu \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{v} \pi \eta \kappa o ́ \omega \nu$, 'on the supposition of the population greeting him'; (c) replacement of $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$ by e.g. $\delta \epsilon i \xi a s$ or $\delta \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu o s$, 'showing . . .' (b) and (c) seem to us the most likely.
381. io. $\sigma$ vatí $\mu a \tau a$. 'Societies', 'parties', or 'guilds'. The word is used to render 'collegia': H. J. Mason, Greek Terms for Roman Institutions (1974), 90, with literary and inscriptional examples.
 M. regarded this constructio ad sensum as an ornament; it has models in Thucydides (J. P. Ros, Mєтaßod $\eta^{\prime}, 197$ f.) and is recommended by Moeris (p. 2 Pierson): àvév $\delta \delta \hat{\eta} \mu о s$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa \omega \bar{s}$. But note that it disappears on repunctuation and the acceptance of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi a \rho \eta^{\prime} s$, which is undoubtedly the paradosis:

 style of address is shown by the Egyptian hymn to Sesostris III -'a bulwark which protects the faithful'-cited by Wilamowitz (Hermes 62 (1927), 257) and Fraenkel (on Aesch. Ag. 876-901, Clytemnestra's welcome to Agamemnon). But while $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ and $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}(E u r$. Hipp. 1122) are common in such contexts, $\tau \in \hat{i} \chi o s$ is less so. The Latin examples, Ovid, Met. 13. 280 ('Graium murus Achilles'), fr. trag. incert. $35 \mathrm{Klotz}=$ Quintil. 8. 6. 1o ('ferron an fato murus Argivom occidit?') perhaps presuppose a Greek $\tau \epsilon \bar{i} \chi o s ~ A \chi a \iota \omega ̂ \nu$ for Achilles; the Homeric metaphor is $\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma o s$ (of Ajax, Od. ri. 556, cf. Eur. Alc. 311). In Plu. De Alex. fort. 344 D Alexander's companions are a $\tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi o s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ to protect him in battle. Amid much banal metaphor, this word perhaps retains some freshness.
381. 12. троф́́a. Cf. Plin. Paneg. 26-7 on Trajan's alimenta.
381. 14. M. is probably thinking of Eupolis' Пódeєs. $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, though only in $p$, should be accepted. It is not clear how far the cities' words extend: they could well go down to креírтoб॰ (22). Cf. 418.2 for cities represented as women (but there it is in a painting).
381. 16-18. Again very conventional imagery: Aesch. Persae


381. 19. Literary competitions at festivals were common in late Hellenistic and in Roman times, and often included epideictic and
protreptic speeches and prose encomia as well as poetry: A. Boulanger, Aelius Aristide, 33 ff ., gives some evidence, which is abundant from the first century bc onwards. The precise difference between $\rho \mathfrak{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \in s$ and $\lambda о$ оотоtoi is not clear; perhaps $\lambda_{0}$ оотоьoi compose historical or


381. 20. ä́couvl. For this active future, cf. Rutherford, The New Phrynichus, 377 ff.
381. 22. $\dot{\delta} \mu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon v$. In view of the preceding imperatives, the correction of indicative to subjunctive is desirable.
381. 23-6. There are some uncertainties about the text here, though we translate the paradosis. The repetition of $\epsilon i \rho \eta$ ́к $\alpha \epsilon \nu$ (in 24 and 27) raises suspicions, especially as $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau a \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$. . . єipク̀ка $\mu \epsilon \nu$ does not seem natural. More serious is the difficulty of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ aù $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ oia (26). 378. 3-to which M. refers here-suggests that $\pi \rho o o i \mu \iota a$ should be mentioned (cf. also 382. 3) : $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota a ~ . ~ . ~\langle\dot{\omega} s\rangle$ $\epsilon i \rho \eta \eta_{\kappa} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ is a possible way of effecting this.
381. 29. We punctuate after $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o \nu$, not after $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s$. There is then a sudden transition from the emperor to the $\gamma \epsilon{ }^{\prime} v o s$ of the governor : cf. 415.5 ff . for a similar train of thought. It remains possible that

 deleted. This seems less likely. The procedure we expect includes a brief encomium of the emperor (cf. 379. 2).
382. 2. karà. 'Over', 'in comparison with': this shade of meaning is not known to LSJ. mapà + acc. is the usual way of expressing this relationship.
382. 3 ff. At 378 . 16 ff . $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \eta \kappa o ́ \omega \nu$ follows the prooemia. Since this section is concerned mainly with the joy of the subject population, there is no contradiction with the present passage. Cf. esp. 378 . 29-30. M. goes on to say that, although it is generally held ( $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ) that expressions of joy subsequent to the prooemia are the essential difference between epibatèrios and prosphönētikos, there is no reason why you should not proceed immediately from the prooemia to the regular encomiastic topics about emperor and governor. In this, he comes near to destroying the formal identity of the epibatērios altogether; but his concern is presumably to advocate flexibility of approach. Cairns, 19-20, rightly sees problems in this passage, but does not explain it.
382. 6-10. The note on the number of prooemia interrupts the argument. We suspect it of being a later addition or amplification, but it may be none the less the author's own. Its presence, we suggest, made

382. 12. It is the speaker's goodwill towards the city that is meant: $\hat{\eta} \nu$ expresses this much more clearly than ${ }^{\omega} s$.
382. 14. ék $\mu \in$ Oódou may go either with what precedes or (as we take it) with what follows. The phrase normally relates to $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \alpha$ (394. $3 ; 4^{12} 3^{1} ; 4^{29} .14$ ), which have a regular scheme; it is perhaps slightly more likely that $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota a$, 'historical traditions', should be thought of as having such a recognized pattern than that this should be said of the speaker's goodwill and the beauty of the city.
382. 17. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v$. The paradosis is evidently $\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu$, which Nitsche preferred. But it is clear from 20 ff . that this model speech refers to the speaker's own city, and that he identifies himself with it.
382. 19. [rà $\epsilon \xi a i \rho \in \tau \alpha]$. Acceptable as object of $\epsilon \hat{i} \delta o \nu$, this is meaningless after $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \eta \theta \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$, and unnecessarily repeats $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \iota \rho \in ́ \tau \omega \nu$ (17).
382. 19-20. This 'priamel' recalls Sappho, fr. 16 ( $P L F$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { oỉ } \mu \grave{\iota} \nu \text { ì } \pi \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \tau o \nu \text { oi } \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \delta \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

See Horace, Odes i. r. i, i. 7. 1, with Nisbet-Hubbard's notes.
382. 22-3. $\mathfrak{\eta} v$. . . . фaveis. Elaborate language, with an unusual use of '̇ктєivєє, 'extend' (but $\tau \epsilon ' \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used of light: e.g. Pl. Rep. 6i6 в,

382. 29. The city 'belongs to' the whole world : Kroll's $\langle\kappa \tau i \sigma \mu a\rangle$ is less effective and duplicates $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \ldots \hat{\eta}$ оiкоv $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ below.
383. 1. Ėvavtiou aű乡ทotv. 'An amplification of the opposite circumstances'. This is satisfactory sense. It is also true that the procedure amounts to an amplification of the original situation 'by means of the opposite', ' $\xi \mathcal{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau i o u$, but there is no compelling reason to make M. say this.
 example in LSJ, but cf. Synes. Insomn. 12 (1 70.14 Terzaghi), óv $v i \rho \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \theta \in \dot{\alpha} \mu$ о $\alpha$ as.


 see commentators on Aesch. Ag. 839, i Cor. 13: 12.
383. 10. $\sigma u ̛ ́ \mu \mu \in \tau \rho o v$. Cf. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 289, 5 U.-R.: $\sigma u ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o s$ סє́ $\gamma \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ ó $\begin{gathered}\text { émalvos (and cf. below, 414. 28). }\end{gathered}$
 is common in the manuscripts. $\theta \epsilon \in \epsilon \omega s$ is clearly necessary at 383 . 29 and 30 , where the manuscripts vary, and $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$ at 384.3 where pZ
have $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$. However, it appears from 384. 2 ff . that, in the case of $\chi \omega ́ \rho a, \phi u ́ \sigma \iota s$ and $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota s$ are identical: $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota s$ indicates $\tau$ ò $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, while $\phi v ́ \sigma \iota s$ includes crops and mountains etc., and so includes $\theta$ é $\sigma \iota s$. The paradosis may therefore be retained, and we retain it, though with hesitation. On the relation between the doctrine here and 344 ff . (Treatise I) see Introd., p. xxxvii.
383. 12. тpòs ả́́pas. Plural again below, 25; 387. 10; 417. 22; 426. 9 and $25 ; 43$ I. 3. In the title of the Hippocratic treatise $\pi \in \rho i$ d́ $\varrho \rho \omega \nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu \nu \dot{v} \delta \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ the plural means 'climates', and in Pl. Phaedo 98 cd it means 'things like áńp' (cf. aitépas in same context). The usage here is different, and to be noted. Something very like it is found in Strabo 4. 5.2 (200 B).

 is a storehouse of the relevant topics, and a very effective piece of writing.
384. 10. We accept $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \in \chi \in \iota$, 'includes', rather than the inept $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota$ 'offers'.
384. 14 ff. Cf. 359.16 ff . Treatise I passes straight from 'origins' to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, these being probably considered as corresponding to $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime}$ (see notes ad loc.). The present passage is somewhat different: ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \alpha \tau \rho o \phi \eta^{\prime}$ is something special, e.g. the divine provision of food by Demeter to the Athenians, and we pass straight to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta$ $\delta \epsilon \dot{u} \mu a \tau \alpha$ (I8 ff.) only if we have nothing to say under this head.
384. 16. Aristid. Panath. 31 ff. In fact, he does not mention Demeter till $3^{6}$, and then only as foster-mother of Triptolemus.
384. 20 ff. Cf. 372. 2-5 and notes.
384. 28-30. таûтá $\mu \epsilon \ldots$. . $\alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon$. M. (like some other late authors) writes here in accordance with Wackernagel's observation about the position of enclitics (Indogerm. Forschungen, I (1892), 333446).
385. r-387. 2. The question should be asked whether this section
 with the $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \circ s)$ envisages some specific city, as Alexandria Troas is envisaged at 378. 3 ff . The clues-the tax situation, the presence of courts and a provincial assembly, and the excellence of the local lawyers-leave a wide field of choice among the administrative centres of Asia Minor and Syria; in Asia alone, Laodicea, Ephesus, Smyrna, and Pergamum suggest themselves (cf. A. H. M. Jones, Cities of the Eastern Roman Provinces ${ }^{2}$, 64 ff., for discussion of the conventus and possible centres under the early principate; later reorganizations only increase the field of possibilities).

385． 4 ff ． Z is probably right to omit $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i o u s$ ．In $5-8, \mathrm{M}$ ．repeats himself a good deal，but perhaps not more than a teacher might do．
385．7－8．Cf． 382 ．1－3．
385．8．Bursian＇s correction，though not certain，gives the required sense．One could also retain $\theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ and add $\langle\kappa \alpha i \delta \iota a \iota \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota\rangle$ before $\epsilon i s$ ．
385.9 ff ．For the cardinal virtues，cf．361．io ff．；373． 7 ff ．

385．Ir－12．The language here would suit the conditions of the Athenian empire，but is also appropriate enough to a provincial capital of Menander＇s own time；cf．below，30－1．
385．13．ảץ тoîs $\theta \in o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ v \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l$.
385．21．тò тє́入os фортıкòv．Puzzling．（i）фортıкóv，despite its position，may be an attributive adjective；if so，M．presumably means a tax on cargoes（фopria），but no corroborative evidence is known to us．（ii）If the word－order is thought objectionable，but the sense just suggested right，$\tau \epsilon \in \lambda$ os could be deleted as a gloss．（iii） We should consider reading фортєк⿳⺈s，＇crudely，oppressively，without any decent consideration＇；cf．Zosimus 2．34．I，Constantine exacted

 $\pi \lambda o v \tau i \zeta \omega \nu$ ．

385．24－6．E̋xouarv ．．．ẺXovтas．Cf．369． 29 and note．
385．30－1．Cf．II－I2；here，however，the parallel between classical and contemporary conditions is made explicit．
386．1．［кoıvoû］repeats кoเvóv of the previous line and anticipates коเข $\hat{\omega} \gamma \epsilon \in ย \epsilon$（i．e．the whole human race）in the following line；we delete it，but it remains possible that the interpolation extends to the
 federal assembly＇－seems out of keeping in this highly literary and archaizing passage．
386．4－6．This passage implies that the city $M$ ．has in mind is celebrated for its lawyers．By the fifth century，Berytus was the most noted law－school；later again，it was Constantinople．There were famous jurists of Greek origin（Callistratus，Hermogenianus）and the combination of the professions of lawyer and sophist was known （Bowersock，Greek Sophists， 56 f．）．This is the most promising clue to the identity of the city，but our scanty knowledge of the legal profession at this time is an obstacle to conjecture．
386．19．Isocrates，Helena 23 ff．
386．30．（i）Callinicus：see on 370．14．（ii）Aristides：clearly the most potent influence among the $\nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$, mentioned here primarily for Or． 13 （Panathenaicus）， 17 and 21 （on Smyrna）， 24 （Rhodes）， 27 （Cyzicus）， 44 （Aegean Sea），and 46 （Isthmus of Corinth）．（iii）

Antonius Polemon (= Polemon 10, RE 21. 1320 ff ; $P_{1 R^{2}}$ A 862), c. 90-145, an eloquent advocate of the needs of his native Laodicea under Hadrian, and the author of a famous oration (not extant) for the opening of the Olympieion at Athens in 131/2. Life in Philostratus, VS 1. 24; see also Bowersock, Greek Sophists, 17-18, 44 ff. Extant are declamations (ed. M. Hinck, 1873) and Physiognomica (ed. G. Hoffmann, 1893; see E. C. Evans, TAPA 99. 5 (1969), ili-15). Polemon's reputation among later rhetors was equivocal. The Byzantine Johannes Sikeliotes (Comm. on Hermogenes, Walz 6. 94) groups him with Aspasius and Procopius of Gaza (a close follower, it would seem, of M.'s precepts) as persons who were insufficiently critical of their own work (this is a stock form of literary disapproval, cf. Sen. Controv. 2. 2. 12 on Ovid, Quintil. Io. I. 130 on the younger
 she will have derived this not from reading Polemon but perhaps from Michael Psellus, who speaks of $\tau o \hat{v}$ Aalavov̂ Mo入є́ $\mu \omega \nu o s$ (On the Rhetorical Style of Gregory of Nazianzus, ed. A. Mayer, BZ 20 (191 1), 48. i6). (iv) Hadrianus of Tyre ( $P I R^{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) was a pupil of Herodes Atticus, and served as ab epistulis graecis under Commodus. Life in Philostratus, VS 2. io. A short declamation attributed to him survives, Walz I. 526 ff ., and he is also credited with 'Metamorphoses', so that it is possible that M . is thinking of him at 393. 3.
387. 3-388. 15. This appendix on the Troikos, like the final chapter on the Sminthiakos, clearly envisages a speaker from Alexandria Troas. It is unfortunately badly preserved.

 that of the $\epsilon \pi \pi \beta a \tau \eta$ роos.
 either Treatise, despite the common occurrence throughout of the second person singular future as a command.
387. 12. kai imm ${ }^{3} \pi v$ is omitted in one group of manuscripts, and is inappropriate between $\dot{\delta} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ and $\pi \epsilon \delta i \omega \nu$. Perhaps the addition is due to a reader who wished to recall Troy's fame for horses.
387. 17-28. The textual and historical problems of this section are interlocked, and we have no complete solution to offer in either field.
 between Z's - $\sigma \nu \tau \sigma o s$ and Bursian's $\epsilon \dot{v} \tau v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \nu$. In either case, the verb governs the acc. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$ (cf. 439. 16). If $\kappa \alpha i$ (17) is answered by кai (20), a finite verb would be normal, but we cannot feel certain that M. could not have written the participle. (b) We have then to remember that Tros is the grandson of Dardanus, and the mean and treacherous Laomedon the grandson of Tros. The order of the names
is thus very strange, and it seems unlikely that Laomedon should have been viewed as a great hero.
(ii) 20-2. Since the whole section is supposed to be made up of ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi a i ̂ a ~ \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ (16), the natural hypothesis is that M. is still talking about the past. So oi $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \in \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ are our ancestors, presumably at the time of the Trojan War. It follows that áp $\alpha o v \sigma \iota \nu$ (2I) should be deleted. The point made in this section is that the location of the Troad on the mainland of Asia made it the basis of an unlimited empire, not constrained (as the Greeks were) by the limits of islands or peninsulas. The amplification ov $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \bar{\omega} \nu . . . \Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda о \pi o ́ v \nu \eta \sigma o s$ thus justifies roı ${ }^{\prime}$ áco ( 17 ), the causal link with the section on $\theta$ ө́̃ıs.
 of a conquering king (Priam?) is inserted. It seems simpler to follow the lead of $Z Y$ and change verbs and participle to the plural. For the notion of Troy as an imperial power in Asia, cf. Lycurgus, C. Leocr.

(iv) $24-8$. It is natural to take $\dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ as referring to the same period as the preceding aorists. If this is right, Egyptians, Blemmyes, and Eremboi are thought of as Trojan allies in the siege of Troy. This is, we think, the most plausible interpretation also of the following clause: (a) $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$, 'since', introduces the evidence for the statement, and Bursian's oint $\rho$ is not necessary ( p 's aùroi for $\Lambda u \delta o i$ is
 phase of the siege', not 'in recent times' (note that Dem. 9. 23 says toutovai rov̀s $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i o u s ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o u s ~ w h e n ~ h e ~ m e a n s ~ ' t h i s ~ l a s t ~ p h a s e ') ; ~ ; ~$ (c) $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu$ has its natural sense of 'us Trojans'-not 'us, citizens of the Roman empire, which has its origins in Troy'; (d) ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi i a \iota s$ $\kappa \alpha i{ }_{\kappa} \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ refers to the Trojans' summoning of allies, and especially the Ethiopians under Memmon; (e) фаivovтal viлaкои́ovтєs means фaiveтaı ö $\tau \iota \dot{v} \pi \eta^{\prime} \kappa о v o \nu$; the present participle stands for an imperfect tense, see K.-G. 2. 1. 200 Anm. 9, and e.g. Thuc. 1. 2, фaiveval $\dot{\eta}$ ${ }^{'} E \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s$. . . ov̀ $\pi a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \beta \epsilon \beta a i \not \omega s$ oiкov $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ('it is evident that Greece was not securely settled . . '). There is of course no Homeric or other evidence for these particular exotic allies in the Trojan War. The tradition has been coloured by more recent events, and the passage offers clues, though uncertain ones, to the provenance and date of the book.
(v) The Eremboi appear in Od. 4. 84, among the peoples visited by Menelaus in his wanderings; the name is later used for various Ethiopian, Indian, and Arab peoples (RE s.v. Eremboi, 413 ff . [Tkać]). The Blemmyes first appear in literature in Theocr. 7. I14. They were a nomadic people of lower Nubia, and remained troublesome to the

Romans in Egypt. They were of topical interest at various times in the third century. (a) Blemmyes, Arabs, and Saraceni were displayed in Aurelian's triumph after the defeat of Zenobia, according to SHA Aurel. 33. 4, the Blemmyes and Saraceni having been recently associated with Firmus, the merchant of Seleucia who is said to have rebelled in Egypt in 273 (SHA Firmus 3). Nitsche (13) takes these events as determining the date of our treatise. Given the fictitious nature of SHA, this is a very hazardous procedure : on Firmus, see R. Syme, Ammianus and the Historia Augusta, 55 n. 3. (Blemmyes are also mentioned as defeated by Probus, SHA Probus 7. 2.) (b) Much better attested are the events recorded in Procopius B. Pers. 1. 19. 28-37, to which Professor G. W. Bowersock has drawn our attention. Diocletian (after 298) settled the Nobatai (an Ethiopian tribe, for whom the classical name Eremboi would be appropriate) and Blemyes [sic] on the Nile, subsidizing them and maintaining them rather than treating them as tributary. If the above analysis of $26-8$ is wrong, and the sentence is to be taken as referring directly to the present, it is to these events that it should be related. If, on the other hand, that analysis is right, the allusion is less direct, and 298 cannot be used as a terminus post quem for the book, because it is sufficient explanation of the writer's elaboration of the legends to say that Blemmyes and Eremboi are well-known names.
(vi) One other historical remark may be added, though its relevance is uncertain. SHA Claudius ir. 9 tells us that some believed Claudius II to be descended from Dardanus and Ilus. The possibility of an allusion to a victorious monarch of Trojan origin should at least be considered, though in view of other considerations concerning the date, it is unlikely that we have to do with any event as early as 269-70. Cf. Introd., p. xl.
388. 1. Walls of Laomedon: Il. 21. 441 ff .
388. 2 ff . (i) Bursian proposed that $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ldots \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho o ́ \pi о \lambda \iota \nu$ should come immediately after $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi i \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu$; but he did not see that $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho_{\omega}^{p}$. . . $\nu 0 \mu i \zeta_{0 \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma}$ (6) amplifies $\delta \mu \circ \gamma \nu \omega \mu \circ \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ (5), and should be placed after á $\mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota$. (ii) Difficulties remain. The argument is that Troy can go one better than Athens, because the consensus of gods in her case is more glorious to her than their quarrel over Athens: cf. Aristid. Panath. 40, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \mu o ́ v \eta s ~ \tau а u ́ \tau \eta s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \eta ้ \rho ı \sigma а \nu ~ к а і ~ к а \tau а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о ́ \pi о \lambda \iota \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ иогархía . . . oi $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. A possible solution is (a) $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ (Spengel) or $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ A \theta \eta \nu a i o v s, ~$ i.e. 'against the Athenians', with (b) replacement of $\epsilon \hat{i} \tau a$ by a verb, e.g. $\epsilon_{\rho \in i ̂ s . ~ W e ~ t r a n s l a t e ~ t h i s . ~ B u t ~ t h e ~ c o r r u p t i o n ~ m a y ~ b e ~ d e e p e r: ~}^{\text {a }}$ $\epsilon \ddot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho$ oṽv (2) is an unexpected connection, and the $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \iota s$ in question in 3 might be expected to be that between the gods.
388. 6 ff. Cf. 444.6 for the (unhistorical) association of Alexander with the foundation of Alexandria Troas. For Alexander's rivalry with Heracles, cf. Arrian, Anab. 3. 3. 2, for Dionysus ibid. 5. I. 5, 5. 2. I.
388. 7. m's conjecture 'that the writer is Alexandrian' is not a valid inference from the text: see Introd., p. xxxviii.
388. 14-15. i.e. encomium of $\chi \omega \rho a$ (which ended 387.30 ) is followed by origin of city ( $387 \cdot 3 \mathrm{I}-388$. 12) and from this point we go on to $\theta$ é $\sigma \iota s$ of city. This seems again in conflict with Treatise I, in which origin ( $\gamma$ '́vos, i.e. foundation, 353. 4 ff .) follows the entire treatment of $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ and $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota s$.
388. 17-394. 31. IV. Lalia (The Talk)

Analysis
388. 17-389. 2. Various uses of laliai in deliberative and epideictic oratory.
389. 3-390. 13. First example : encomium of a governor.
389. 4-9. Consider his qualities shown in various activities.
389. 10-27. Special need for historical examples and narratives.
389. 27-390. 4. Herodotus is a useful source and there are other models of the appropriate simple style, e.g. Xenophon and some sophists.
390. 4-13. Invent dreams or messages which you can 'report'.
390. 14-39x. 18. Other examples and uses.
390. 14-17. Advice on concord.
390. 17-391. 5. Advice on taking interest in oratory.
391. 5-10. Satirical comment in laliai.
391. 10-18. Use of lalia to prepare your audience.
391. 19-28. Irregularity of order of topics in lalia.
391. 29-392. 9. A further example: how to treat in this form your return home after a long absence.
392. 9-14. The general principle repeated : no regular pattern.
392. 14-18. How to write about Athens in this form.
392. 18-28. Other topics that can give a pleasant effect in laliai: mountains, rivers, Dionysus, etc.
392. 28-393. 1. Use of Plutarch's Lives.
393. r-5. Use of books on 'metamorphoses' and the like.
393. 6-16. Use of poets.
393. 17-30. Simplicity of style, brevity, moderation.
393. 31-394. 12. Lalia on leavetaking (syntaktikē).
394. 13-29. Epibatērios lalia.
394. 29-31. Universal usefulness of lalia.

This chapter deals, not with speeches for a particular occasion, but with informal composition in general. It was perhaps a standard treatment: an anonymous rhetor of later Byzantine times (later than
 treatment of $\lambda_{a \lambda ı \alpha}$ than he can give (Introd., p. xxxvi). He makes the point that the $\lambda a \lambda_{\iota} \alpha^{\prime}$ can be composed quickly when time is pressing (cf. also Walz, 3. 6oo).
Rhetorical performances which deliberately lack formal structure are an important feature of the Second Sophistic. B. P. Reardon (Les Courants littéraires grecs, 165 ff .) calls the lalia 'a bridge between rhetoric and literature'. This is not the right way of putting itall formal oratory in this period is essentially 'literature'-but the practice of the lalia certainly made it possible for writers to handle a wide variety of topics in an imaginative and untrammelled way. The result is a tradition of something like the English 'essay'. There are no clear classical antecedents, though Plato and Xenophon gave hints (cf. [Aristid.] Rhet. 2. 539 Sp., Xenophon's work is oủ $\chi$ ©s
 no doubt a connection with Hellenistic philosophical or moral sermons, such as modern scholars commonly call $\delta_{\iota a \tau} \rho \ell \beta a i$. The similar term $\delta \iota a ́ \lambda \epsilon \xi \iota s$ comes near to being a synonym of $\lambda_{a} \lambda_{\iota}{ }^{\prime}$ as with the popular philosophical $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ of Maximus of Tyre. Lucian is the greatest exponent of this kind of writing. (See Introd., p. xvii, and J. Bompaire, Lucien écrivain, esp. 286 ff .) As M. indicates (391. гo ff.), the $\lambda_{a} \lambda_{\iota}$ can be used as an introduction; it is then sometimes called $\pi \rho o \lambda^{2} \lambda_{\iota}{ }^{\prime}$ (on this, see K. Mras, 'Die $\pi \rho o \lambda^{\prime} \lambda_{\iota}{ }^{\prime}$ bei den griechischen Schriftstellern', WS 64 (1949), 7 1-81). This too can be called $\delta \iota a ́ \lambda \epsilon \xi \iota s$; in Choricius of Gaza, an informal piece so titled regularly precedes the formal model declamations. Among later authors, Himerius deserves mention: see Or. 22 Colonna, 44 (a birthday piece), 63 (entitled $\delta \iota a ́ \lambda \epsilon \xi \iota s$, on return to Athens), 64, 68 (a protreptic lalia).
388. 17. ávסpi $\sigma 0 \phi \quad \sigma \tau \mathfrak{n}$. Here alone does M. imply that his pupil is a potential $\sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$. Contrast 331. 16 (oi ка入оú $\left.\mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \sigma.\right), 332.27$
 $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \kappa \kappa \dot{\nu}$ єíठos). So in Treatise II the word is not pejorative: it applies to practitioners of rhetoric who not only taught but gave public performances (E. L. Bowie, Past and Present 46 (Feb. 1970), 5 n. 4).
388. 24 ff. Advice: 390 . 14 ff. Expression of feelings: 390 . 19 ff .
388. 28 ff . $\sigma \times \eta \mu a r i \sigma a t$ Stávotav. i.e. give a special turn to the thought. See in general Martin, 274 f., and [Dion. Hal.] $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ é $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a-$ $\tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu 2.295 \mathrm{ff}$. U.-R., where many examples are given of passages
or whole works whose form does not correspond with the purpose： e．g．Plato＇s Apology is not only a defence speech but an attack on the Athenians and a statement of the principles of a philosophic life． M．suggests various ways in which a serious object can be conveyed in a comic or satirical vein（cf．below，391． 6 ff ．）．p＇s omission of $\pi \rho o a \iota \rho o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ regularizes the syntax．The power to handle $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota-~}$ $\sigma \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{V} \alpha \iota ⿱ ㇒ 扌 \zh20 \pi o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ was much admired：Polemon＇s skill in them is defended against critics by Philostratus（VS 1．25）．
388． $\mathbf{3}_{1} \mathbf{f f}$ ．The promise made here seems to be fulfilled 393.17 ff ． at the conclusion of the examples and some additional advice on models．$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ú úódoıra tov̂ $\gamma$＇́vous will then be（i）the discussion of
 $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \iota \kappa \eta$＇（a separate chapter，but closely cohering with this）． There is an overlap between 393.30 ff ．and the whole later chapter on

 тò av̉𧰨тךрòv каì катєттv $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$ ；Greg．Nyss．Vit．Mos．2．p． 131 Musu－

 has hardly any complimentary nuance，but the fact could doubtless be represented in terms of personal dignity．The orator has to inquire into（ $\zeta_{\eta \tau \epsilon i \nu)}$ ）such features of character before deciding how to handle them．
 commonly associated with each other，and with＇simplicity＇（ $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha$ ）． The differences between these qualities are slight and mainly verbal
 Hermog．De ideis $33^{\circ} \mathrm{ff}$ ．Rabe（with Hagedorn， 48 ff ．），［Longin．］ 34． 2 ff．，Dion．Hal．$C V$ II，Aristid．Quintil．2． 10.
389．14．Finckh＇s $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 㪟 $\gamma \underset{\varphi}{ }$（cf．393．16）is strongly supported by 389． 28.
 Priam after the defeat of Laomedon，Elis to Phyleus after killing Augeas，Sparta to Tyndareus after killing the sons of Hippocoon （Diod．4．32．4，33．4－5）．

389．22．This story about Agesilaus is not attested elsewhere，and its source is not known．It presumably refers to his return home in 394.

389．27．ท் iбторía＇Hpoઠóтоu．Cf．［Hermog．］Progymn．4．i4 Rabe：



 402．13 $\tau$ aîs $\pi \rho \circ \chi \circ \alpha i ̂ s ~ ' E v i \pi \epsilon \omega s . ~$
389. 28 ff . év oís. We punctuate strongly before this, because the subsequent sentence makes it clear that the antecedent is not 'the $\delta_{i \eta \gamma} \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ in Herodotus' but narratives generally (note $\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a 3$ 1).

 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \hat{v}^{\prime} \mu \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega}^{\prime} s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu \epsilon \in ้ o \nu$. The enthymema is a rhetorical form of argument, or incomplete syllogism (Aristot. Rhet. 1. 2, $1356^{6} 4$; Demetr. $3^{2}$; Quint. 5. 14. 24, etc.), and the period stands to it as form to content (Demetr. 30), even though it may be an accident of an enthymema to be periodic (ibid. 33, D. M. Schenkeveld, Studies in Demetrius, 48 ff .).
 are not commonly combined, though they are of course similar in sense: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o u ̂ s$ however indicates absence of complication, $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in \lambda \eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$ absence of abundance or pomposity. Cf. à $\phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к а і ~ a ́ \pi \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau о s ~$ 4 II. 25.
390. 1. For a similar list of models, cf. 4 I I. 3 Iff .
(i) Xenophon : cf. e.g. Hermog. De ideis 404.22 ff. Rabe : ध̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \tau$


 Cynegeticus, Symposium, and the stories of Abradates and Panthea and of Tigranes and Armenia in Cyropaedia. See also Cic. Brutus 132, 292 ; Quintil. 10. 1. 82 ; Dion. Hal. De imit. 208, 1 ff. U.-R.; [Longin.] 8. I ; and in general K. Münscher, 'X. in der gr.-röm. Literatur', Philol. suppl. 13.2 (1920).
(ii) Nicostratus: a Macedonian sophist of the second century, regarded also by Hermogenes as a model for á申é $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota a}$ (De ideis 329.

 $\mu \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \circ \iota$ брацатькоí, $\gamma а \mu \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
(iii) Dio. Apparently the earliest evidence for the nickname $\chi \rho v \sigma o ́-$ $\sigma \tau o \mu o s$. Somewhat later are Themistius, Or. 5.63 d ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \chi \rho v \sigma o v ̂ \nu \tau \eta े \nu$ $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha \nu)$, Synesius, Enc. Calv. I ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha \nu$ ), Dio 1
 (ôv ̇̇ $\pi \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o v \nu X \rho v \sigma o ́ \sigma \tau о \mu о \nu)$. The word is also familiar in the name of St. John Chrysostom, bishop of Constantinople, a pupil of Libanius.
(iv) Philostratus. The three (or four?) Philostrati, sophists from Lemnos of the second and third centuries, were confusing to ancient scholars as they are to modern. M. means the second of the family, the author not only of the Eiкóves and Heroicus, but of the lives of Apollonius and of the sophists (H. Gärtner, Kl. Pauly 4. 780 ff.; but see also Bowersock, 2 ff., Benner and Fobes, in the Loeb edition of

Alciphron, Aelian, Philostratus: Letters, 388). He is again coupled with Dio by M. at 4II. 32 .

This group of authors recalls not only the recommendations of Hermogenes but those of the later rhetor Metrophanes of Lebadea (Suda M 1oio) who wrote on the styles of Plato, Xenophon, Nicostratus, and Philostratus. His date is uncertain: perhaps early fourth century.
 $\mu \grave{~}$ є́ $\rho \rho \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ (v.l. $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \rho \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu$, a commoner expression for 'broken, disjointed': Polyb. 3. 58. 3, Demetr. 13, etc.).
390. 4 ff. Aristides, who took dreams seriously, naturally uses this motif: Or. 37. 1, 38. 1, 41. 1, Hieroi Logoi 4. 14, 31, 89. The device was old and easily ridiculed : Sen. Contr. 2. 1. 33, 'Otho Iunius . . . edidit . . . iv libros colorum, quos belle Gallio noster Antiphontis libros vocabat: tantum in illis somniorum est. Et hoc vitium ab antiquis qui artem dicendi tradebant duxerat; illi enim colores probabant qui non possunt coargui, non ut somnia, sed ut non essent aliquo nomine offensui.'
390. 14 ff . The two themes selected-concord and encouragement of audiences-may both be illustrated from Dio Chrysostom: see Or. 39 (on concord, at Nicaea) ; 40 (on good relations between Prusa and Apamea), Or. 32 (to the Alexandrians, a people fond of frivolous entertainment but impatient of sound advice).
390. 15. Bursian's $\tau a \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota ~ a n d ~ H i l l y a r d ' s ~ a ̀ v \tau \iota \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v a \iota ~ b o t h ~$ give satisfactory sense. In favour of the former, cf. Lucian, Phalaris i.

390. 19. èvv ímodei $\gamma \mu a t$. According to Phrynichus (4 Fischer $=$ p. 62 Rutherford), vimó $\delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ is non-Attic for Attic $\pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$. But see Xen. Eq. 2. 2.
390. 20. ai ákpoácets. Here not the lectures, nor the lecture-halls (as in Plu. Mor. 58 d, Cic. Ad Att. 15. 17. 2), but rather 'audiences'; we know no close parallel, but the development from the sense 'lecture-halls' seems quite natural, cf. Lat. auditoria (Plin. Ep. 4. 7). With Kroll's $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \kappa \rho o a ́ \sigma \epsilon t s$, the word has the normal meaning 'lectures', but the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \iota \tau \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ is left undefined.
390. 21 ff . The orator ( $=$ Apollo) pretends that the audience ( $=$ the Muses) is complaining of his absence from them, and that Zeus therefore tells them to ask him to perform more often. For content and manner, cf. 438.30 ff., 442.26 (note $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \hat{v}^{\prime} \rho a \nu$ as at 390.31 and 400.15 ), and other 'mythical' parts of the 'Sminthiac' speech. Note also that this is $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ e ́ \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ '́vos (below, 28), a way of dealing with an embarrassing situation.
 тоюои́т $\omega \nu$.
391. 1. Isocrates published the Panegyricus in the summer of 380 BC , around the time of the Olympic festival; but he did not, or so it is generally believed, follow the example of Gorgias and Lysias in delivering the speech himself, because of his poor voice. But the tradition does not seem to have been certain: [Plu.] V. Isocr. 837 в,


391. 12. є́ $\pi i$ imapóסou. Dem. Or. 9. ir, Ep. 3. 29, uses $\pi$ ápoóos in a similar sense of a public appearance.
 oû̀ $\pi a ́ v v ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ vimèp $\in \mathfrak{\epsilon} a \tau \tau o \hat{v}$ ('very modestly'-Lucian's ironical comment on a boastful speech) ; schol. Ar. Vesp. 64, where $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ (pace LSJ) is used as a comment on a diminutive, i.e. an understatement. M. here recommends some modest remark to elicit goodwill.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \iota \xi$. We accept P's reading, though with hesitation. It implies that the cicada is inferior to 'singing birds': cf. Virg. Ecl. 2. 12-13 'raucis . . . cicadis'. But it is not clear that the Greeks thought this:
 $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \rho \iota o ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu} i \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ stands at the beginning of a long tradition in which cicada is not only garrulous ( $\lambda$ ádos) but musical (cf. the myth in Pl. Phdr. 259 b-c). Note Clem. Al. Protr. I (the cicada who sang on the lyre-player Eunomos' broken string) and Anth. Pal. 9. 380 : єi ко́кки
 These examples tend to favour $\tau \epsilon \in \tau \tau \iota \gamma a s$ with $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \dot{\omega} \delta \iota \kappa o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ o j \rho \nu i \theta \omega \nu$ in apposition, and the word oj $\rho \dot{i} \theta \omega \nu$ is no objection: Lucian Musc.
 be at all 'modest' for the speaker to claim that 'he imitates the cicadas, those winged musicians', and he would not thereby achieve the desired effect of $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ тодо $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, it would meet the case perfectly well if he represented himself as a small, but sweet-voiced creature. We regard this consideration as decisive. M. may have in mind a passage of Archilochus (fr. 223 West) paraphrased by Lucian (Pseudologista I) in which the provoked poet compares himself to a cicada, which makes an even louder noise if you catch it by the wing. The context is quite different-M. is not provoked to anger-but the allusion may still be present.
$\mu \mu \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l$. The third person is in keeping with $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ (12), though M. changes abruptly to the second person with air $\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$. If we were to read $\tau \in ́ \tau \tau \iota \gamma a s$, it would be possible (though not attractive) to take 'the audience' as the subject of $\mu \mu \epsilon i \tau \alpha a$ and make the clause refer to the approving hum of the crowd.
391. 14-15. Sophists often gave extempore speeches (cf. Himerius,

Or. 16, Or. 64 Colonna; Philostr. VS i. 5 of Alexander). As von Arnim points out (Dio von Prusa, 172), audiences were excited by the sense of participation in the speaker's composition, and tended to be less critical. Attitudes to prepared and impromptu speaking varied: e.g. Sen. Contr. 2. 5. 20, 'L. Vinicius . . . ex tempore causas agebat, sed non desiderabat hanc commendationem ut ex tempore agere


 For early views on improvisation see Alcidamas in Radermacher $A S$ B XXII. 15; G. A. Kennedy, The Art of Persuasion, 172 f.
391. 16. тàs ámapxàs. M. clearly envisages a student returning from his rhetorical school to his native city.
391. 18. $\theta a \lambda$ úcta. The only festival mentioned in Homer (Il. 9. 534); it involves the giving of first-fruits after the winnowing.
391. 23. кaтà т $\omega \hat{v}$ aủtûv. Apparently 'on the same track'; Nitsche's $\delta \iota a ̀$ restores more normal usage. For other somewhat unusual uses of кazà in M. cf. 353. 21 ; 382. 2.
391. 27. The paradosis gives $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ $\mu$ óvov потє which is unsatisfactory; the point of these instructions is that the order of the encomiastic topics is fluid, not that a lalia can be constructed out of one topic. We print äd $\lambda \lambda o \tau \epsilon$, though with hesitation. In $28, \mathrm{p}$ may well be right to omit $\mu \iota \hat{\alpha} s$.
392. 1. Od. 5. 463 combined with Od. 13. 25 1 ; cf. also 13.354 .
392. 3-4. Bursian's transposition of кo $\nu \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a s ~ l e a v e s ~ \dot{\omega} s \mu \grave{\eta}$. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to follow directly $\dot{a} \nu \omega \nu v \dot{\prime} \mu \omega s \mu^{\prime} \hat{v} \tau o \iota$, as it should.

 $a v ่ \tau \omega ิ \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta$.
392. 6-7. The paradosis is intelligible, though it is odd that $\tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau a s$ 'is in 6 applies to the present state of affairs, but in 7-8 ( $\tau \in \lambda$ ov̂v $\quad$ as $\epsilon i s$ naî $\delta a s$ ) to the past.
 Cf. below, $433 \cdot 7$.
392. 10. $\tilde{a}^{\sim} v$ is obligatory in such clauses in Attic prose, but not in classical poetry, and the Attic rule is by no means universally kept in later prose: see Radermacher, $\mathcal{N T}$ Gr. 177 n. 1, Schmid i. 245 (Lucian), iv. 90 (Philostratus).
 $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu)$ seems preferable to Bursian's $\lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, and is

$\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ aias. A Doric word (from tragic lyric, Theocritus, etc.) which had some vogue in late prose (Lucian, Alciphron).
392. 18-28. M. here recommends the use of what is really primary grammatical knowledge (cf. Marrou, $H E A^{6}, 233$ ) : lists of musicians, artists, prophets, mountains, rivers, and so on. Handbooks of such things were universal: a late Roman example well illustrates the kind of thing-Vibius Sequester, De fluminibus fontibus lacubus (ed. P. G. Parroni, 1965), a set of alphabetical lists of geographical names, entirely drawn from the classical Latin poets.
392. 19. Sıaфópwv. 'Outstanding' rather than 'various': cf. єúסoкi$\mu \omega \nu$. . $\epsilon \in \delta o ́ \xi \omega \nu, 20-\mathrm{I}$.
392. 23. Пıepias. Presumably the district of Macedonia associated with the Muses, and so naturally linked with Helicon (e.g. 432. $3^{1}$; Liban. Progymn. $10=8.360$ ), though, unlike the other items of the list, it is not a mountain. It is, however, most unlikely that M. means the Syrian mountain of this name near the mouth of the Orontes.
392. 28 ff . An interesting testimony to Plutarch's influence. Cf. Himerius, Or. 7. 4 Colonna, where Himerius' son Rufinus écaiv éк

 nections can be seen to reinforce a continuous tradition of Athenian higher education from the second century to the fourth.
392. 31. áтофӨ́́ $\mathbf{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ata abound in Plutarch's Lives, and could easily be excerpted from them (cf. the collections preserved in Moralia 1 72 в ff., whatever their precise origin) ; xpeîat ('anecdotes') are also numerous (see B. Bucher-Isler, Norm und Individualität in den Biographien Plutarchs (1972), 82 f.) but it is a little surprising to find proverbs ( $\pi а \rho о \iota \mu i a \iota)$ mentioned.
393. r-4. Bursian may well be right to delete $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$. . . $\delta \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ ( $1-2$ ). The sense is repeated in the following sentence, and the distinction between $\phi \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon ́ v \delta \rho \omega \nu$ is pointless in this context.
393. 3. L. Septimius Nestor of Laranda in Lycaonia was a celebrated poet of the third century ad. He wrote (see Suda s.v.) various didactic and historical poems, and the tour de force of an Iliad in which each of the twenty-four books was written without one of the twenty-four letters of the alphabet ('İıàs $\lambda_{\iota}$ тоүра́ $\mu \mu a \tau o s$ ). There are honorific inscriptions to him from Paphos, Ephesus, Cyzicus, and Ostia, and Anth. Pal. contains some hexameter passages which are probably from the Metamorphoses (9. 128, 129, 364, 536, 537). See R. Keydell, Kl. Pauly s.v.
 like this is common (but not invariable) practice in Photius'
 Syntactica 1. 3 for both Latin and Greek usages of this type.
ooфıotaîs. Cf. 386.30 on Hadrianos. An extant work of this
kind is that of Antoninus Liberalis (ed. Papathomopoulos, 1968); on the genre in general, see S. Jannaccone, La letteratura greco-latina delle Metamorfosi (1953).
393. 8. тap' $̂$ iv. The antecedent is probably the persons praised and blamed by the poets, rather than the poets themselves. We punctuate and translate accordingly.
393. 9. Archilochus as model: cf. Quint. 1o. 1. 59-60, and Plu. Mor. 803 A, for a favourable view of his usefulness.
393. 12 ff. Poets as counsellors of kings: there are many traditions M. may have in mind: Homer and Midas (Vit. Hom. I I) ; Hesiod and the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s$ of the Works and Days: Simonides and Thessalian rulers; Simonides and Hiero; Pindar and Hiero; Ibycus and Polycrates; Timotheus with Philip and Alexander; Euripides and Archelaus.
393. 15. èrrф $\dot{\omega} v \eta{ }^{2}$ s. A word with a wide range of application: e.g. of the ritual cry 'Talasio', Plu. Pomp. 4; of spells or incantation (Corp. Herm. fr. xxiii. 14) ; of an epiphonema, [Plu.] Vit. Hom. 65. Here

 тò $\tau \rho a \gamma \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$. . . oṽтшs . . .

 and Aelian have $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha+$ superlative occasionally (Schmid i. 45, iii. 61), so has [Hermog.] De inv. 133. 17 Rabe ( $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma a \phi ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau a \tau a$ ).
 (Herodian p. 460, in Moeris, ed. Pierson).
393. 17. The sense is incomplete without a mention of 'exhortation': so add 〈лротрє́тєє каі〉.
393. 22. Cf. 389.32.
393. 23. Cf. 391. 19 ff. ; 392. in ff.
393. 25-6. Mras (art. cit. 75) thinks M. is probably thinking of speeches by Dio Chrysostom in which the prolalia forms the prologue to a longer speech, viz. Or. 12, 32, 33, 35 .
393. 27-30. p's reading means: 'just as garrulity is not good, nor is . . . for this is acknowledged to be in bad taste'. The reading offered by the rest of the tradition, however, gives quite satisfactory sense.
393. 31 ff. Cf. the separate chapter on $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa$ ós 430 . io ff . It appears that $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ is followed by $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \alpha \nu i \zeta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ (394. I); we then
 elegant but perfectly possible sentence. It is worth considering, however, whether $\epsilon^{\dot{\epsilon}} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ is without a finite verb, the participle $\mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{0 \nu \tau \epsilon s}$ serving instead, and the parallel main verbs are $\epsilon \mu \phi a v i ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ and $\eta{ }^{\eta} \xi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu(3)$.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$. It must therefore be the speaker's grief that is expressed.
 $\dot{a}^{\dot{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\iota} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \mu \in \theta a$ would give the required sense. Our translation follows these lines.
394. 5 ff. The Eleusinian mysteries flourished in the first and second centuries. Philostr. VA 4. I7 speaks of the populousness of Athens at the time of the festival. The sanctuary at Eleusis was partly destroyed by the Costoboci in 170, the occasion of the 'Eleusinian' oration of Aristides (Or. 22). Restored by M. Aurelius, the shrine continued to be very much frequented until the Gothic invasion of 395, though fortification against barbarian incursions had apparently become necessary in the middle of the third century (G. Mylonas, Eleusis, $156,165)$. In this instance, then, there is nothing anachronistic in M.'s account.
394. 6. i.e. the proclamation commanding the mustai to set out for Eleusis, on 19 Boedromion, or possibly the preliminary proclamation (prorrhēsis) on 15 Boedromion (L. Deubner, Attische Feste, 69 ff.). This passage is also evidence for a ceremonial proclamation before the return to Athens. Note 'Eגєvoivvá $\delta \epsilon . . .{ }_{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \delta \epsilon$, an appropriate archaism.
394. 14. $\mu$ ккр $̣ ̂$ тро́o $\theta \epsilon v$. 391. 32 ff . The subject of this $\lambda a \lambda_{1} a^{\prime}$ is the same as that of the type of epibaterios discussed 382. 1o ff. The pattern is not the same (the lalia lays more emphasis on personal emotion) but many details of course correspond.
394. 24. Text uncertain. There are two main possibilities: (i)

 then lacuna. (i) is better: remembrance of one's country is naturally associated with affection for its people. Our translation follows this suggestion.
394. 26-7. A conjunction is expected: $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta}\langle\ddot{\eta}\rangle$ would give this. But reference to the speaker's father is surprising here, and the variant $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \tau \rho i \delta i o s$ is unacceptable because this has been the subject of the entire address. Should $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\tau \grave{o} \tau o \hat{v} \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$ be deleted as an incorrect first writing of the following words $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} i \grave{i} \tau \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ ?
395. 1-399. 10. V. Propemptike Lalia: the propemptic talk

## Analysis

395. 1-4. Definition: a speech speeding the departing traveller on his way with praises. Delicacy of style and narrative content are needed.
396. 4-12. Type I : superior to inferior, emphasizing advice.
397. 12-20. Type II : equal to equal, emphasizing affection.
398. 21-6. Type III : inferior to superior, emphasizing encomium.
399. 26-32. In all types, affection and desire are important.
400. 1-399. 10. The rest of the chapter consists of a detailed scheme for Type II : a young student sends off a contemporary.
401. 3-11. Complaint of desertion, addressed to Fortune and the Erotes.
402. II-15. Alternative (?) complaint, addressed to the audience as judges in the case.
403. 15-21. Mythological and animal exempla.
404. 21-3. Recollection of past comradeship.
405. 23-31. Encomia of city, introduced as an argument for not leaving.
406. 31-397. 9. Further complaints of betrayal and loss.
407. 9-12. This concludes the first part of the speech.
408. 12-16. The second part begins with a further complaint, but with resigned acceptance of the decision that the friend has made.
409. 16-398. 23. This leads to an encomium of the person concerned, which includes many regular encomiastic topics, though not in formal order.
410. 17 ff. Family and city to be congratulated.
411. 22 ff. Cardinal virtues displayed in his promise for the future.
412. r-6. Caution against exaggeration.
413. 6-14. Educational achievements.
414. 14-23. Physical beauty and personal integrity-with a caution against possible embarrassing misunderstanding.
415. 23-6. Praise of the friend's native city.
416. 26-9. The speech moves to its conclusion: ask him to remember old friends.
417. 29-399. io. Description of journey and prayer for safe passage. Cairns (esp. 7 ff .) discusses parts of this chapter. He is right ( $9-\mathrm{Io}$ ) to observe that the situation envisaged is typical of the rhetorical school : the master encourages pupils to compose valedictory speeches for those who have completed the course. We have no means of knowing whether or not M. composed prescriptions for the other two types of speech which he enumerates. Neither is as well suited to the lalia form as the 'equal to equal' situation.

There is a long tradition of poetical 'propemptica', much discussed by modern scholars. Obviously relevant texts include: Sappho fr. 5 L.-P.; Ar. Eq. 498 ff.; Hipponax fr. 115 West; Erinna fr. 2 D; Call. fr. 400 Pf.; Theocr. 7. 52-89; Anth. Pal. 12. 171 ; Hor. Odes

1. 3, 3. 27 ; Prop. 1. 8; Ov. Am. 2. 1 ; Stat. Silv. 3. 2. See Cairns, 284 ff . for a fuller list of texts, and his index for discussions of particular poems; also Nisbet-Hubbard on Horace, Odes 1. 3; K. Quinn, Latin Explorations, 239-73; and the sensible scepticism of D. Wachsmuth, Kl. Pauly s.v. Propemptikon. The theme of speeding the departing traveller is of course a natural topic of lyric poetry, and a natural ingredient in narrative and drama. It is, as Wachsmuth says, Gattungsungebundenes. Some later poetical instances (esp. Stat. Silv. 3. 2) have a scale and elaboration that suggest rhetorical influence on the poet; but there is no reason to believe that the Augustan poets, for example, had anything like M.'s prescriptions before them, though they will of course have been familiar both with what was actually said on such occasions and with classical Greek lyric, drama, and epigram. Known prose propemptica are late; we should take seriously the statement of Himerius (Or. io. i Colonna) that this was a new
 oưv $\epsilon \xi \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta$ к каi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$. This introduces an ingenious dialogue, with various episodes and modulation of style. Cf. also Him. Or. 12, 15, 31 (to a proconsul of Achaea) and 36 (Colonna).
Johannes Sardianus (Comm. in Aphthon. 142. 7 ff. Rabe) reports


 This is interesting as an attempt to define the purpose of the speech by reference to encomium and psogos, the primary epideictic themes; M . does not make this point, though the prescription he proceeds to give contains in fact the same two conflicting elements.
2. 3-4. We are here confronted with a choice between the shorter version of this sentence in $p$, and a fuller one in the other manuscripts. Both make good sense (in the fuller version, we would take калатокi $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with the second clause as well as with the first, i.e. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \kappa \kappa \circ \nu o \hat{v})$. We print and translate the shorter version, as being more appropriate to the concise manner of this introductory definition. The longer version means: '(The propemptic lalia) . . . takes pleasure in being diversified by delicacy and by the charms of agreeable narratives.'
3. 30. aưtoîs. Sc. $\tau$ oîs є̇ $\rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \circ$ îs $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$.
1. 4. $\sigma \chi \in \tau \lambda_{\text {táá } \epsilon \text { t. Cf. 435. io } \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \text {. A common enough }}$ word in Attic usage and an established term in rhetoric from early times: Aristot. Rhet. 1395a9, ढ̀̀ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ каi $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$. Ex-

 Epilogues ('Cornutus' 356. 18 Sp.-H. = 5. 15 Graeven) and emotional narrative (Apsines 1. 357.28 Sp .) are characteristic contexts for $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a \sigma \mu$ ós and $\delta \epsilon i \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ which are often thought of mechanically as produced by exclamations: $\phi \in \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\text {oí } \mu о \iota}$ exemplifies $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a \sigma \mu o ́ s$, $\theta \epsilon ́ a \mu a \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \nu$ is $\delta \epsilon i \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ (Apsines 1. 406. 9 Sp.). The term $\epsilon^{\pi} \pi i \rho \rho \eta \mu a$ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ is common in scholia, applied to interjections like $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$, iov. See in general Volkmann, 498, Martin, 257, 343.
 process of handing in the accusation to the magistrate (Dem. 18. 54), not to bringing it before the court.
1. 17. The reference is to $I l .2$. 563 ff . : Euryalus accompanies Diomedes and Sthenelus, Diomedes being in general command of the contingent. This, as Finckh observes, is sufficient to make M.'s point. However, since a pair of friends would have been a better instance than a trio, mW may be right to omit каi $\Delta \iota \nprec \eta \eta^{\delta} \eta \mathrm{s}$ : this could easily have been added by a reader who remembered the passage in Homer. Spengel's addition of Nisus, on the other hand, is unlikely: the friendship of Nisus and Euryalus in Aen. IX is probably Virgil's invention (so R. Heinze, Vergils epische Technik, 245), and it would be quite surprising to find an allusion to it in a Greek author, despite the evidence of interest in Virgil in the Greek world (for this see F. Vian, Budé edn. of Quintus of Smyrna, i. xxxii ff.).
1. 19. The social feelings and affection of animals-often seen as superior to mankind-were a commonplace theme: Plu. De soll. an., passim, Aelian, NA 5. 48, 6. 2.
1. 25. тpítov. M. seems to think of his plan as follows: (a) $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a \sigma \mu$ ós addressed to Fortune; (b) address to the audience; (c) encomium of the city. But this neglects $2 \mathrm{I}-3 \pi \rho \circ \ddot{̈} \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ etc., and the arrangement is thus not quite clear.
1. 26-31. oủס' oütws . . . xapı́́vтفs; Probably a question: 'Does not desire for Athens so move you even so (i.e. in view of these splendid features) . . . ?' Cf. Him. Or. 12. 12 Colonna, ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{i} \tau \dot{a} s$
 of the sentence then changes from a question to a mere list of topics ("Apeıos $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \gamma o s$. .), so that we seem to have hints of treatment rather than the full wording. After $\chi$ apiév $\tau \omega s$ (31), pB introduce an
 then would readily despise?'-which may be a relic of the original version. Reconstruction must be hypothetical; perhaps the nouns
 depending on катафро $\eta^{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$.

 were a feature of academic life in the sophistic period. See e.g. Aristid. Or. 51. 30 ff. (he defeats an Egyptian sophist at Smyrna), Liban. Or. 1. 19 (with Norman's note), Ep. 405 (lengthy rivalry with Eubulus), Eunapius, $V S_{483}{ }^{3} 5$ Boissonade ('town and gown' riots in fourth-century Athens, also fighting between pupils of rival teachers of rhetoric), Himerius, Or. 69 Colonna. P. Wolf, Vom Schulwesen der Spätantike, Baden-Baden, 1952, 49 ff.; G. W. Bowersock, Greek Sophists in the Roman Empire, chap. VII, 'Professional Quarrels'.
2. 3. Il. 2. 339 ( $\sigma \nu \nu$ өєбiаı тє каі ӧркıа).
 but destroys the anaphora oios . . . oiov.
1. 5. m gives the correct $\mu \iota \sigma a ́ v \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, perhaps by emendation;
 The choice between каі . . . є̇льк $\lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ and $\overline{\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ is difficult: we follow the consensus of PW. Timon, whose retreat into misanthropic solitude was a topic of Old Comedy (Ar. Lys. 805 ff ., Av. 1547 ff .), became the typical $\mu \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, of whom many stories were told: Plu. Ant. 69-70, Alc. 16; Call. Epigr. 3. 4; Cic. Tusc. Disp. 4. 25, Laelius 87 ; Lucian, Timon; Liban. Decl. 12 (5. 534 ff.).
 ג̀úкочя.
1. 9-12. Bursian's correction gives adequate sense, though the sentence is clumsy and odd (note esp. the repeated $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$, 12) and may perhaps include some interpolation, since $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ тov́rots ... калà $\delta_{\iota a i} \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ adds nothing new, and is awkwardly expressed.
2. 16. $\sigma u v \delta \rho a ́ \mu \omega \mu \epsilon v$. 'Concur'. The metaphor is dead, the word common in legal or administrative senses. Cf. 398. 6.
1. 18. тฑ̂s $\beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$. 'Their child'. Apparently a poetical term: LSJ cite Soph. OC 972, OT 717.
1. 21. бúбтaбıv. 'Confirmation': cf. Hermog. De ideis ı. ıо ( $=$ p. 276. 24 Rabe) $\sigma$ úqтабл каi $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota s$.
1. 27 ff. These orators did not take part openly in public life: Isocrates, a poor speaker, published his principal speeches as pamphlets; Isaeus and Lysias composed forensic speeches for clients, and Lysias was also a metic. So they are not fit models for a future sophist who is also to be a political figure. Cf. Liban. Declam. 23. 31 (=6.



 is just what M.'s pupil cannot expect either. If the text is right, тoぃov̂тos ö $\mu$ oıos is a striking pleonasm.
2. 2-3. We follow p, thus retaining $\delta о к є \hat{\imath}$ (3), though $\delta o ́ \xi \in \iota$ may be thought to fit better with the following $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon s$.
3. 7. $\mu$ ourcíwv. We take this to mean places which are in some sense sacred to the Muses, e.g. schools (cf. 392. 17) ; but Movatia is also the name of a festival, and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ could be taken in a temporal as well as a local sense.
1. 9. See Theopompus $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ( $F$ GrHist 115 ) on evidence for T . and Ephorus as pupils of Isocrates. There seems to be no other evidence for this story of a monthly prize.
1. 16. oios $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ í $\delta \epsilon i v$, oîos $\delta^{\prime}$ ỏ $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a u$. This mysterious expression recurs 404 . 9 ; p's $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ for $i \delta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ is therefore presumably a wrong conjecture. We tentatively take the sense to be: 'How wonderfully his eyes glance, how wonderful he is to see!' But the combination of active and passive infinitives of the same verb with different meanings is mannered and awkward. A pointed use of active and passive is seen in Plu. Antony 67. 1, [Antony] éкєivךv [Cleopatra] ovz' $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ouv' $\omega \not \omega \phi \theta \eta$ 'neither saw her nor was seen by her', and Heliod. 7.
 passages suggest another possible interpretation of M.: 'What a wonderful person to see and be seen by!'-with some erotic overtones.
1. 18 ff . Cf. 404. I for similar advice on how to avoid scandal.
2. 21. $\dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} v$. Slightly odd after $\hat{\eta} \theta o s$ above, nor is the combination '́ $\gamma \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \alpha \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ('moral continence'?) very happy. We should consider reading $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ('self-control over pleasures').
1. 29 ff . The journey forms an obvious and common topic: e.g. Stat. Silv. 3. 2. 83 ff., IoI-22. The land journey is apparently from Athens via Thrace into Asia Minor. The sea journey (399. I) is not located; presumably the Aegean is in mind.
2. 3. Cf. Stat. Silv. 3. 2. 35, 'hinc multo Proteus geminoque hinc corpore Triton | praenatet, et subitis qui perdidit inguina monstris | Glaucus adhuc patriis quotiens adlabitur oris | litoream blanda feriens Anthedona cauda.'
1. 5. Dolphins as escort : Apollo took this guise, Hom. hymn. Apoll. 400, 494: cf. also Ap. Rhod. 4. 933 ff., Opp. Hal. ı. 670 ff., Sen. Oed. 466 ff . and Ag. 449 ff ., with Tarrant's note. But there are also echoes of the progress of Poseidon, Il. 13. 27 ff : ${ }^{2} \tau \tau a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \delta \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ' $\dot{u} \pi$ ' aùrov̂. See D'Arcy W. Thompson, Glossary of Greek Fishes, 52-6.
1. 7-8. Od. 13. 88-9:


2. 11-405. 13. VI. Epithalamios (Wedding Speech)

## Analysis

399. 12-16. Definition and general characteristics.
400. 16-19. These are two types, one more formal (av́viovos), one less so ( $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi ı к o ́ s) . ~$
401. 20-400. 6. Prooemia of the formal epithalamium.
402. 7-28. Prooemia of the less formal sort.
403. 29-402. 20. General 'thesis' material for the locus on 'marriage': this is common to both types (402.22).
404. 21-403. 25. Encomia of the families.
405. 26-404. 14. The bride and bridegroom.
406. 15-29. The bridal chamber, prospect of children, closing prayer.
407. 29-405. 13. Some possible alternative arrangements for the ekphrasis of Hymen or Eros.
M.'s distinction between epithalamios and kateunastikos roughly corresponds to that between gamikos ([Dion. Hal.] Ars 270. I; 271. 5; equivalent to gamélios in M. 399. 12) and epithalamios in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 260 ff . This latter division is more in accordance with tradition, the 'epithalamium' being strictly the song sung by the bride's unmarried girl contemporaries outside the thalamos or bridal-chamber. Another word for the same thing is катакоь $\quad$ тько́s (Arg. Theocr. 18). We should therefore compare the present lay-out with that of the gamèlios or gamikos of [Dion. Hal.] (Appendix p. 365). This is as follows:
408. 20-26I. 13 (U.-R.) (i) A personal introduction and definition.
409. 25-264.7. (ii) General 'thesis' material, shown to be a very valuable part of the speech: marriage is from the gods, it is natural, it is advantageous for reputation and for comfort. (Cf. below, 400. 29 ff .)
410. 7-13. (iii) Famous marriages.
411. 14-265. 6. (iv) Prayer for good fortune and children.
265.6-266.3. (v) Encomium of the couple, which comes at the beginning or at the end.
412. 4-12. (vi) Some special points to be considered if the bridegroom himself is making the speech.
413. I3-I6. (vii) The style should be simple.

Another theoretical statement is found in the $\pi \rho \circ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$ of Himerius, Or. 9 (Colonna); here poetical language is recommended, and four main topics are distinguished : an account of the choice of speaker, the general 'thesis', encomium of the people concerned, ekphrasis of the bride.

These rhetorical precepts stand of course at the end of a long and rich tradition of wedding songs and speeches: see in general A. L. Wheeler, AJP $5^{\text {I }}$ (1930), 205-23, and commentators on Catullus 61, 62. Among earlier poets, Sappho was pre-eminently the model (cf. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 270.4 ff . = Appendix, p. 370). From the point of view of our evaluation of the rhetors, however, Theocritus 18 (epithalamium for Helen and Menelaus) is especially interesting: it contains praise of the bride's family (19), her beauty (20-31), her accomplishments ( $32-7$ ), and prayers for happiness (49-55). It thus demonstrates that a Hellenistic poet could choose to work up the epithalamium theme by using rhetorical topics of encomium: i.e. Theocritus is doing essentially what M. recommends. Cf. Introd., p. xxxiii and PCPS 205 (1979) 104 ff.
399. 12. $\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \lambda^{\prime}$ ıos. Ammonius, Diff. voc. 114 Nickau makes a


 Similar distinctions between $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} \delta \iota o \nu$ and $\theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o s$ ( 178 Nickau) are attributed to Tryphon and Aristocles of Rhodes.
399. 13. тaбтáסas. See Jebb on Soph. Ant. 1207 (with Appendix),
 marriage seems to mean 'verandah' or 'pillared corridor' (Xen.
 is sometimes distinguished from $\theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha a \mu o s ~(A n t i p a t e r, ~ A n t h . ~ P a l . ~$
 and apparently signifies a part of the bridal chamber, perhaps a curtained recess or alcove containing the bed. Whatever the precise sense, the word is a prominent feature of the conventional language of wedding celebrations (cf. 400.4).
399. 17. $\boldsymbol{\sigma u v \tau o ́ v \omega s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \sigma u ү ү р а ф ı к \omega ́ t є р о v . ~ S e e ~ o n ~} 369.4 ; 400.7$ ff.; 41I. 27; 4II. $32 ; 434.7$. The difference is primarily a stylistic one, in which the predominance or otherwise of syntactical periods is an important feature (cf. Soffel, 193), though choice of vocabulary and differences in dignity and seriousness of theme are also involved.
399. 18. ouvéбrpaitral. 'Concentrated', 'compacted'. The term is often used in connection with the completeness and economy of rhetorical periodic writing. Cf. Demetrius 20, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \notin \dot{\rho} \eta \tau 0 \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta o v$ бvvєбтра $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o s ~ к а i ~ к v к \lambda \iota к o ́ v, ~[H e r m o g] ~ I n. v . ~ 173 . ~ 13 ~ R a b e ~$ (an antithesis may be either expressed in full with many cola or concentrated [ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ ] to form a single period).
399. 19. то $\lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \omega \rho$. The contrast intended here is like that between


Ars 2. 459 and 512 Sp. M. has in mind the 'handling' (cf. $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \rho i-$ oavio 399. 16) of the subject rather than thought and style. [Aristid.] Ars 2. 513. $7 \mathrm{ff} . \mathrm{Sp}$. is therefore relevant: $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \iota \alpha \phi о \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha} \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \rho i-$

 $\tau i ́ \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha i \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ó $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$. Explicit and self-justificatory prooemia

399. 19-20. ràs áperàs. We retain the tradition (cf. [Aristid.] Ars
 тєкòs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s)$, but with some hesitation because ápєтaí do not consort well in a list with $\pi \rho o o i \mu ı$. Consideration should be given to $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau$ $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \alpha$.
399. 21. ('่̇v oîs) グ $\mu \in ́ \gamma \in \theta$ os $\pi \epsilon p ı \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$. Text uncertain. What follows (down to 400.28 ) is a detailed prescription for the prooemia in both kinds of speech. mW's $\tilde{\alpha} \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ is attractive but entails a


399. 23. $̇$ '่v aủroîs. i.e. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ toîs $\pi \rho o o \iota \mu i o \iota s$.
399. 23 ff . The topic of a special connection between speaker and bridegroom is used by Statius, Silv. 1. 2. 256 ff., near the end of an epithalamium:

> Me certe non unus amor simplexque canendi causa trahit: tecum similes iunctaeque Camenae . . .
400. 2 ff . Cf. Demetrius 132-3 for $\chi$ ápıs of thought and word. M.'s distinction here seems forced, but he may be thinking of myth and narrative as a source of suitably pleasing $\begin{gathered}\text { év } \\ \text { votal } \\ \text { : cf. Hermog. }\end{gathered}$ De ideis 330 ff . Rabe ( $\gamma \lambda v \kappa v ́ \tau \eta s$ ).
 in the same connection below (402.24) as the opposite of $\sigma \dot{v} v \tau o v o s$. The 'monody' also is ävetos (437.4) and relaxing digressions in the course of formal encomia are $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ (cf. 374.6 ff .). Dionysius (e.g. De Isocr. 13, De Dem. 13, 44, 46) uses the contrast èmíracıs/à $\nu \in \sigma \iota s$, i.e. increase/decrease in rhetorical force and tension. M.'s use is not unlike this, and Soffel ( 193 ff .) is right in thinking that style, especially periodic structure, is the primary criterion (see on 399. 17). (ii) Soffel also (l.c.) discusses the sense of $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi$ ккós. For this 411 . 28 ff . is especially important; but see also 434. 7. LSJ's 'more suited to prose' is clearly wrong; so is Schmid's 'historisch' (Der Atticismus iv. 8). There is no precise connection with the doctrine of Demetrius 19 ff . (and cf. Cic. Orator 62 ff .) of different types of period, historical, rhetorical, and dialogical. The essential notion in all usages of ovy $\quad$ padıкós etc. is naturally that of the written as opposed to the
spoken word. This contrast, however, can be seen in more than one way. In Pl. Phaedo 102 D 3, $\sigma v \gamma \rho a \phi \iota \kappa \hat{s}$ is applied to a formal antithetical sentence, inappropriate to conversation. In M., the point is rather the absence of rhetorical urgency and tension and the admissibility of a more varied and discursive style. The use is common later: an exercise in Libanius (8. 267), entitled ' ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \kappa \omega ́ \mu \iota o \nu$ ßoòs ov$\gamma \gamma \rho a \phi ı \kappa \hat{\omega}$ रаракт $\hat{\eta} \rho$, is marked by short sentences, fairly simple syntax, and a good deal of antithesis.
 difficult to represent clearly. Prooemia which are ধ̇ $\gamma \kappa \alpha \tau a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a$ would include articles of argument; it would be possible to do without these and yet write with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta o \lambda \eta$-roughly the same as $\alpha \tilde{v} \xi \eta \sigma t s$, 'expansion', 'amplification' (cf. Hermog. De ideis i. II with Hagedorn, 43 ff .) -but this again is not what is here required. That is rather the explicit, uncomplicated exposition of the basic ideas (for the sense of $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$, cf. 420.6 ; schol. Soph. Trach. 678).
400. 14. véos $\neq v$ can hardly apply to the speaker; we transpose it to make it agree with $\AA \neq \pi_{o} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, but other positions in the sentence are possible. Dionysus and Ariadne are a standard exemplum for marriage ; see esp. Xen. Symp. 9. 2 ff., Diod. 4. 6I. 5 ( ${ }^{\circ} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ aù $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ is $\left.\gamma v v a i ̂ \kappa a ~ \gamma a \mu \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu\right)$, Catull. 64. in 6 ff ., with Kroll and Fordyce, Choricius, Or. 6. 12 (Foerster-Richtsteig). Place and circumstances of the wedding are variously recorded, and rhetors and poets had a wide choice: thus Himerius (Or. 9. 5 Colonna) makes Pan play
 $\dot{e} v u ́ \mu \phi \in v \in \nu$.
 5; Him. Or. 9. 3 Colonna.
400. 15. Peleus and Thetis: for variations in the tradition see J. Bramble, PCPS 196 (1970), 22-41; R. Reitzenstein, Hermes 35 (1900), 73 ff . For the Muses at the wedding, note Sid. Carm. 10. 17: 'hic et Pipliadas induxerat optimus Orpheus / chordis voce manu carminibus calamis.'
 and effective ; $\pi \rho o ̀ s\langle\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a \rho\rangle \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ could be considered.
400. 23. Megacles and Agariste: the primary source is Hdt. 6. 126-30. The story was much embellished later in books about luxury and pleasure: cf. Chamaeleon fr. 8 Wehrli ( $=$ Athen. 273 в). There seems to be no parallel for the expansion here, with its anachronistic inclusion of orators and prose-writers at the feast; but the story is an obvious school theme.
400. 28. Kroll's $\delta \in \tilde{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ may well be right: 'the same thing has happened a second time.'
 are ö $\sigma \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \omega$ а́ $\rho \mu o ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$.
400. 32 ff . For $\theta$ écıs about marriage, cf. esp. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 261. I3 ff. It was, as [Dion. Hal.] says, a common subject set for elementary $\theta$ évıs writing (cf. e.g. Aphthonius, Progymn. 42 Rabe; Liban. Progymn. 13 (8. 550 ff.)). [Dion. Hal.] mentions, as important topics: (i) the divine origins of the institution; (ii) the natural need for marriage for the perpetuation of the species; (iii) advantages of marriage for one's reputation and for facing the trials of life; (iv) marriage as a primary society, from which households, villages, cities developed. M.'s suggestions are less heavily moralizing and he assigns a less important place to this material in the speech as a whole. See Appendix, p. 365 .
401. 4. 'Е $\mu \pi \epsilon \delta$ oк $\lambda \hat{\eta}$ s. See B 17, B 27. Empedocles speaks of $\phi \quad \lambda_{o} \tau \eta s$, but the interpretation of this as "Epws is natural, and was doubtless common: cf. Plu. Amat. 756 D, De facie 927 A. M. has devised a variation on the common theme of the production of cosmos out of chaos by the separation of the elements ( $\tilde{\sigma}_{\pi} \pi \nu \tau \alpha \delta_{\iota \epsilon \kappa \rho i} \theta_{\eta}$ ) and has coloured his account with language suggesting the traditional 'marriage of earth and heaven'. These themes have a long history: for the philosophical background, see W. Spoerri, Späthellenistische Berichte über Welt, Kultur und Götter, 1-117; poets also made much use of these ideas: e.g. Ap. Rhod. Argon. I. 496 ff., Virg. Ecl. 6. 35 f., Hor. Odes 1. 3. 21, Ovid, Met. 1. 21 ff.
401. 9-1 . Note the curious double sense of $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega \nu$ : 'conflict' in 9, 'position' in 1 I.
401. 12. Útroßaivav . . . épeîs. For the formula, cf. Hermog. De invent. 4. Io, 200. 6 Rabe.

40r. 16 ff. Again a stock theme: Liban. Or. 5. 27, кai â $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ròv

401. 22. The tradition has ópi弓єтаו: our suggested $\pi о \rho i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ seems closer than m's $\chi$ aןi' $\dagger \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ('gives as a favour').
401. 23. $\pi \lambda$ єíta.. See on 377. 13.
401. 25. кaì vópot kaì mo入ıreíal. This point is stressed in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 264. 4 ff. Cf. also Liban. Progymn. 13 (8. 554, 2 ff.) : moîa





401. 29. Alpheus and Arethusa: again an old and much-used theme (e.g. Ibycus fr. 42 PMG, Pind. Nem. i. i, Timaeus, FGrHist 566 F 41, Virg. Ecl. ıo. 4, Ovid, Met. 5. 573-641, Stat. Silv. r. 2.

203-8, Anth. Pal. 9. 362, Philostr. Ep. 47). Choricius l.c. under-

401. 31-2. We feel some doubt about the text here. Should $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \alpha \rho u \zeta^{\zeta} \omega \nu$ and $\zeta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ be interchanged? $\zeta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$ can be used of passion, and the sense would be: 'seething with passion like an ardent bridegroom, goes bubbling through the sea to the isle of Sicily . . $\therefore$ Or is $\zeta \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ a gloss on $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \alpha \rho u ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ ?
 much of this kind of material) gives the grafting of a shoot of the female palm-tree on the male as his main illustration. For this, cf. Philostr. Imag. i. 9. 6, Nonnus 3. 142 f., G. Anderson, Lucian: Theme and Variation, 28. This is not in M., either here or at 408 . 15 . We should compare rather the intertwined branches of trees in the Beautiful Garden, Ach. Tat. 1. 15: ‘̈ $\theta a \lambda \lambda \lambda o \nu$ oi $\kappa \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \delta o \iota, ~ \sigma v \nu є ́ \pi \iota \pi \tau \tau \nu$
 $\phi u ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \circ \lambda a i, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \mu \pi \lambda о \kappa \alpha i ́$ (the same passage has ivy winding round pines, smilax hanging from planes, vines supported on reeds). Cf. also the Garden of Venus in Claudian, Nupt. Hon. Mar. 65-8: 'vivunt in Venerem frondes, omnisque vicissim / felix arbor amat; nutant ad mutua palmae / foedera; populeo suspirat populus ictu, / et platani platanis alnoque adsibilat alnus.'
 have come at 401. 14. The story of Poseidon and Tyro comes originally from Od. II. 235 ff. (note 242 ढ่v $\pi \rho \circ \chi \circ \hat{\eta} s$ тотa $\rho \circ \hat{v}$, whence M.'s $\left.\pi \rho o \chi o \alpha i{ }^{\prime}(13)\right)$. The daughter of Salmoneus fell in love with the rivergod Enipeus, in whose shape Poseidon came to her and gave her the twins Pelias and Neleus. A notable use of the story in love-poetry is to be found in Prop. 1.13 .21 ff. Cf. also Him. Or. 9. in (Colonna), Nonnus I. 122 ff., Philostr. Ep. 47.
402. 11. $\delta \in u ́ t \in \rho o v$. No need for $\delta$ v́o. For this idiom, see Radermacher, $\mathcal{N T G r . 7 1 . ~ C f . ~ 4 0 9 . ~ 1 7 . ~}$
402. 13-14. [тотацòs . . . 'Evıtтús] seems almost certainly a gloss, as Spengel took it.
402. 14. Europa: Il. 14. 32 I ; Moschus, Id. 2 (with W. Bühler, Die Europa des Moschos (1960), and Europa: ein Ueberblick über die Zeugnisse des Mythos (1968)); Hor. Odes 3. 27, etc. Io: Aesch. Suppl. 291 ff., PV 589 ff ., Ovid, Met. I. 583 ff ., etc.
402. 17. इarøфoûs. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 270. 4 ff . refers to the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta a \lambda \alpha{ }^{-}$


 Him. Or. 9. 4, 9. 16, 28.2 (Colonna).
402. 18. The reference to Homer is presumably to the story of

Ares and Aphrodite, the Deceiving of Zeus, and perhaps the portrayal of Nausicaa. For Hesiod, see Merkelbach-West, Fragmenta Hesiodea, I ff. (this passage is given as a testimonium, p. 2).
402. 22. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \gamma^{\gamma} \mu \circ u v_{v} \tau \omega v$. Distinct from $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \nu \mu \phi i \omega \nu$, the bridal pair, who are discussed 403.26 ff . ; M. presumably means the persons (families) arranging the marriage: LSJ s.v. $\gamma$ a ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon$ II II. 2. He proceeds accordingly to deal with ancestry and more recent family connections.
402. 26 ff . Two alternative procedures are suggested. The reader therefore expects $\ddot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in 26 , to prepare for $\ddot{\eta}$ ov̀ $\sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \psi \epsilon \iota s$ in 32. In the first method, we avoid a $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$, because this involves a relative order of value: cf. [Nic.] Progymn. p. 60. 5 Felten : $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma i s$


 $\tau a \sigma \iota \nu$, i.e. taking the points of distinction of the two families in turn. (Cf. 404. ${ }^{6-7}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \xi \in \notin \tau a \sigma \iota s$ not involving a direct comparison between the parties.) The difficulty with this procedure is said to be $\dot{\alpha} \sigma a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota a$ and aù $\chi \mu \eta \rho o ́ \tau \eta s$ : the latter term (cf. 379. 12, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ aủ $\chi \mu \eta \rho \alpha{ }_{\nu}^{\nu}$
 or 'barrenness', and J. F. Lockwood proposed instead á auvóт $\eta$ s, 'obscurity', a closer relative of $\dot{a} \sigma a ́ \phi \in i a$. The second method ( 32 ff .) is a straightforward pair of encomia, first of the bridegroom's family, then of the bride's.
403. 6. тоиิтo rather than $\tau a v i \tau \eta \nu$, because it is the professed purpose that needs to be thus defined, not the subject.
403. 12. фı入otıpias. Probably 'acts of generosity': cf. $4^{11}$. 17; 413.2 ; LSJ s.v. I. 4.
403. 20. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{v} v \eta v$, èmıєixєıav. These are virtues which may be manifested in fairly humble circumstances, and so are appropriate here. Word-order is odd, and it is tempting to think of transposition or omission; but if the phrase is a gloss, it is a sensible one.
 with the discussion of the families without anyone noticing it'. We know no close parallel, but Pm's таракалє́ซav $\alpha$ is clearly wrong, and there are analogous uses of $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ : e.g. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 304. 3
 impression of being on the King's side without anyone noticing'; ibid. 369 . 15. Cf. also above, 372. 18 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ [sc. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \epsilon a ̂ s] ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \in \phi а \lambda a i \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.
 $\tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, катд̀ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda о \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \grave{\text { en }}$. The examples make the meaning clear. The first method is that illustrated by 'wonderful is the young man, wonderful too the maid', the second by 'Who would not praise the
virtues of both?' The $\dot{a}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \xi{ }_{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ therefore consists in the separate statements made of each party, the $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda о \kappa \eta$ in the fact that (whether or not there are separate clauses) the two parties are considered together. Various rhetorical senses of $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda о \kappa \dot{\eta}$ are given by Ernesti and LSJ: (i) combination of arguments (as at 339.7); (ii) interweaving of topics, as often in Demosthenes; (iii) a figure in which the same word is placed at the beginning and end of a sentence. None of these seems to fit here.
403. 29. Since lyre-playing is a possible accomplishment for the girl (cf. e.g. Ovid, Am. 2. 4. 25, AA 3.311), and the rest of the sentence consists of pairs of contrasting clauses, one has good reasons for thinking that M. may have written 〈 $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i v \eta \delta^{\prime}\right\rangle{ }^{\prime} \nu \lambda \nu_{v} \rho a$, , though the paradosis (which we retain and translate) makes adequate sense.
404. $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{f f}$. A third alternative is to develop separate ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi} \pi \alpha \iota \nu 0 \iota$ for each of the two. This, however, is inadvisable with regard to beauty, where the method of $\dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \xi \xi^{\prime} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ is obligatory. Why? Perhaps (1) because male and female beauty have distinct qualities, so that the method of 404. I ff. would not work; (2) because it is important to avoid embarrassments (cf. 404. II), and a separate encomium of each might well lead to these.
404. 7. Comparison of the beauty of bride or bridegroom to a handsome plant is traditional. Sappho (115PLF) of the bridegroom:
 pares his impression of Nausicaa with that made on him by the palmtree at the altar of Apollo at Delos. (Palm and olive or bay were the trees clasped by Leto in her labour: see Allen-Sikes on Hom. hymn. 3. 117.) For a rhetorical example, very much in M.'s tradition, see Greg. Nyss. Cons. in Pulcheriam p. 463. 2 ff. Spira: $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \psi i к к о \mu о \nu ~$ фоiviка (= Theodosius) . . . $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ к $\kappa \eta \mu a \tau i \delta a$ (= Flaccilla)

 єiкá⿱㇒al $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa$ кóp $\nu$. Unusual perhaps to compare the bridegroom to a


404. 9. Cf. 398. 16.
404. II ff. Avoidance of scandal is sometimes achieved by appropriate fiction: e.g. in Claudian, Epith. Hon. et Mar. 24I ff., Venus is represented as amazed at Maria's beauty. If M. is to be trusted as a witness to social history, the passage throws an interesting light on the degree of segregation of the sexes expected in his world: only a relative, it appears, can safely know what a girl looks like. This is nothing surprising in the eastern Mediterranean, in pre-Islamic times as well as later; and we suspect that $M$. is here accurately reflecting the
world he knew, rather than that of classical Athens (contrast his 'moral archaism' at 361. 10 ff ).
404. 13. Bursian's supplement or something like it is necessary: the speaker can plead either that, as a relative, he is bound to know what the girl looks like, or that he has hearsay evidence.
404. 15-16. Nitsche objected, perhaps rightly, to the future infinitive $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, and so deleted it. This would avoid the need for Bursian's supplement $\langle\tau \dot{\alpha}\rangle$ and make the sentence resemble more closely the parallel passage, 403. 26.
404. 23. †бuүкирஸ́бouotv ávartveiv $\dagger$. The verb $\sigma v \gamma \kappa v \rho o v ̂ \nu$ is not attested elsewhere, and its presumed sense ('ratify') does not seem appropriate. Neither of the two main senses of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{i}-$-'recover' or 'breathe forth'-is at all apt, and the construction of the dative is obscure. No wholly satisfactory conjecture is to hand: Spengel proposed ovyкрov́aovav or $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho o \tau \eta \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ ('put together'); $\sigma v \gamma$ $\kappa \in \rho a ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ('mix together') is also possible, but none of these helps with the meaning of $\alpha \nu a \pi \nu \epsilon i v$. However, Musonius p. 68. 16 Hense has $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \mu \dot{\delta} \zeta_{\nu \gamma \iota} \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu \epsilon i v$, and this suggests something like à àaүќáovaı $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu \epsilon i \nu$ 'will compel them to breathe as one . . .' assuming an exchange of initial syllables between the words.
404. 26 ff . The prayer for children is of course a standard feature: Catull. 61. 211 'et brevi liberos date.' Elaborate version in Sidon. Carm. 1 1. 131, 15.189 ff.
404. 29 ff. M. here returns to possibilities for the early part of the speech (for $\theta$ ध́ $\sigma \iota s$, see 400. 29-402. 20). The suggestion of an ekphrasis of the ever-young Gamos or Eros makes for a lighter tone than the usual moralizing $\theta$ écos material. The picture of Gamos recalls Agathon's Eros (Pl. Symp. 195 c), with the addition of Hymen's torch, and eyes that drip desire; all highly conventional.
405. 2. The ekphrasis of Eros, suggested as an alternative, has much in common with that of Gamos.
405. 8. ท̉ï'té $\omega$ v. Il. 22. 127. For the wedding-feast cf. Il. 18. 491 ff.; ibid. 605 (not a wedding but a dance) for the acrobats.

## 405. 14-412. 2. VII. Kateunastikos (Bedroom Speech)

Analysis
405. 15-24. Definition : this speech is an exhortation to intercourse.
405. 24-8. Heracles is a useful paradeigma.
405. 28-406. 7. Encomium of the bride should concentrate on her beauty; encourage the bridegroom, but beware of vulgarity.
406.8-29. The exhortation: comparison with athletic competitions; night the appropriate season.
406. 29-407. 4. Other topics to use: e.g. the guests.
407. 4-6. The bridal chamber.
407. 6-12. The beauty of the bride.
407. 12-17. The speaker has dreamed of good fortune.
407. 17-20. The bridegroom should pray to Eros, Hestia, and the Theoi Genethlioi.
407. 20-4. The speaker prays for the couple's happiness and for their children.
407. 24-9. Special topics to use if you are (a) a relative;
407. 29-408. i. (b) a married man;
408. r-6. (c) a stranger, young or old [see notes].
408. 6-8. 'Produce children.'
408. 8-26. The season of the marriage : spring, summer, autumn, or winter.
408. 27-30. 'Fulfil the prayers of your friends!'
408. 30-409. 8. Mythological parallels.
409. 8-14. Exhortation to the guests to dance and sing.
409. 14-22. This material cannot of course all be used on any one
occasion; and the order also will vary according to circumstances.
409. 22-9. There is in fact no traditional 'division'; but M. suggests the following.
409. 29-410. 9. (a) Short prooemium.
410. 9-18. (b) Exhortation to the young man.
410. 18-25. (c) Time : evening, night.
410. 25-30. (d) Exhortation based on difficulties of the courtship.
410. 30-4II. 2. (e) Seasons.

4II. 2-5. (f) Myths and histories.
41I. 5-7. (g) Beauty of girl, bridal chamber, etc.
41I. 7-9. (h) The gods of marriage.
41I.9-13. (i) A brief 'thesis': the gods instituted marriage for mankind.
411. 13-18. (j) Benefits of marriage.
411. 18-21. (k) Prayer for prosperity, harmony, and children.
411. 21-412. 2. Advice on how to maintain the requisite charm throughout the speech; simplicity of style; the lalia manner; useful models-Plato, Xenophon, Dio, Philostratus.
The chapter thus falls into two parts: (a) a somewhat chaotic list of topics (to 409. 22) ; (b) a suggested 'division', which does not cover precisely the same range of themes. M.'s prescriptions have an agreeable lightheartedness about them, all the more evident when we compare [Dion. Hal.]'s more serious Epithalamios (269. ig ff. U. - R. $=$ Appendix, p. 370). This admits a much more straightforward analysis:
269. 19-270. 15. Definition: useful material to be found in the poets.
270. 16-18. Necessity and advantages of marriage.
270. 18-271. 8. The persons concerned; general pleasure caused by the event.
271. 9-2 I. Exhortation to concord: 'thesis' on concord.
[Dion. Hal.] thus completely omits all the $\pi \rho \circ \tau \rho \circ \pi \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \mu$ $\pi \lambda o \kappa \eta, \nu$ which is the key theme in M . The difference of taste is very marked. The omission of our chapter in PB is perhaps due to a feeling that it is somewhat unsuitable.
405. 15. Finckh's ovvтoú́тatos is undoubtedly right: $\sigma$ v́vтovos is an epithet of the formal style (cf. the two types of epithalamium, 399. 12-16) and quite inappropriate here. Cf. Theon, Progymn.


405. 19 ff . For an extreme development of this kind of exhortation in poems, cf. Claudian's Fescennini for the marriage of Honorius and Maria ( 4.5 ff .) : 'ne cessa iuvenis comminus aggredi, / implacata licet saeviat unguibus / . . . tum victor madido prosilias toro / nocturni referens vulnera proelii.'
405. 24. M. doubtless thinks especially of the fifty daughters of Thespios, or of the story of Auge, the mother of Telephus.
406. Iff. Text and interpretation doubtful. mapaıveiv, if it has a personal object, takes it in the dative. Possibilities are:

 ( 405.31 ). We should then read $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ in 406 . I, and understand the object of тapaıvoûvтєs.
(ii) $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\rho} \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ is a gloss on $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a$ and to be deleted. Then read $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \epsilon a \nu i \sigma \kappa \omega$ and omit $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$.
(iii) Insert 〈є่ $\pi a \iota \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ 〉 before $\pi a \rho a \iota \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ to justify the accusative.
We have translated (i).
406. 8-24. These topics are an adaptation of what could be said by way of exhortation to athletes: [Dion. Hal.] Ars 283 ff. has a chapter on $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa \dot{o} s \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a \hat{\imath} s$, which should be compared.
 groom is traditional: e.g. Sappho ino(b) PLF (= Demetrius 167); Theocr. 17. 9 ff.; Nonnus 3. 103 ff . (the crow reproves Cadmus: $\tau i \quad \nu v ́ \mu \phi \iota \epsilon \nu \omega \theta \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ \delta \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota s ;)$.
406. 18. Bursian's correction ('rites performed') is confirmed by 424. io and 425. I I.
406. 22. Bursian's vó $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ v regularizes the syntax: vouíoas may
have arisen under the impression that $i^{i} \theta \iota$ was its main verb；this is not so，since $\ddot{\imath}_{\imath \iota} \theta_{\tau} \lambda \lambda$ ．is the imagined $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ of Hymenaeus．
406．26．Ütai日pos．＇Clear＇；but the word usually means＇in the open air＇and the sense required here is known to be borne by
 doubt therefore attaches to the text．
406．27．катаuүábॄє тò фаıvó $\mu \in \mathbf{v o v}$ ．Cf．371．16，ката入á $\mu \pi \omega \nu$ тò




407．9．Likeness of children to parents；cf．below，23．Another conventional topic：e．g．Hes．WD 232，Catull．61，221，Hor．Odes 4．5．23，Ovid，Tristia 4．5．31．

407．9－12．M．combines Hes．WD 374 （ai $\mu v ́ \lambda a ~ к \omega \tau i \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a) ~ w i t h ~$

 $\eta^{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \notin \kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi \epsilon$ vóov．

407．12－14．［ $\pi \rho \circ \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \eta$ ．．．кá $\lambda \lambda$ dous］．This is a clumsy repetition of what has been said above， 407.6 ff ．
407．14．It seems odd that the speaker should prophesy that the couple will have a vision；one expects him to have had one himself， and we should perhaps read $\epsilon \hat{i} \delta o \nu$ ，＇I have seen．＇

407．15．Cf．Him．Or．47． 17 Colonna：$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma u \mu \beta_{\eta}^{\prime}-$入oıs aioioıs $\tau$ à $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda$ дov $\alpha$ ．

407．19．$\gamma \in v \in \theta \lambda_{\text {ios．}}$ ．Here gods of birth，naturally associated with Eros and the household divinity Hestia：cf．Him．Or．9． 21 Colonna：

 $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma i \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime} \in \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．
407．22．$\dagger$ ध́ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{a} \boldsymbol{a} \dagger$ ．Undoubtedly corrupt，perhaps suggested by ＇Earia（19）．mW＇s à avaciav＇inconstancy＇gives the reverse of the sense needed：$\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega$＇＇prosperity＇，$\epsilon \dot{v} a \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau i ́ a \nu ~ ' s a t i s f a c t i o n ', ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha '-$ $\theta \epsilon t a \nu$＇stability＇，are all possibilities，but none imposes itself．
 $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ ckíav．The syntax strictly implies that M ．is considering the problems posed by different ages of speaker．In this case，the older speaker should not＇rouse the suspicion that he is feeble＇．But this seems pointless，and one expects two exhortations to the bridegroom：
 the other．We conclude that $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \grave{\omega} s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a \nu$ applies to the bridegroom，and $\mu \grave{\eta}$ סov̂vaı $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．is what is said to him（see Rader－ macher， $\mathcal{N T G r}$ ．ı8o for the construction，and note infin．Sov̂val in
imperatival sense, IG XIV. 2333). M. is then not quite logical; perhaps he assumes that an older bridegroom is likely to have an older friend to make the speech. The deletion of $\pi \rho o \dot{s} \nu$ '́o $\nu$ would cut the knot, as there would then be no doubt that $\nu \epsilon$ 'os and $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu$ $\theta \grave{\omega} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda_{\iota \kappa i} \dot{\omega}$ referred to the bridegroom.
408. 9 ff . Descriptions of seasons (9-16 spring; 6 - 9 autumn; 19-24 winter; 24-6 summer) naturally contain many traditional elements. The ধ̈apos є̈ккф Hubbard on Horace, Odes 1. 4, Rohde, Der griechische Roman, 335 (36o), with (e.g.) Procop. Ep. 8. 69, Him. Or. 47. 3 (Colonna), Nonnus, Dion. 3. 1 ff., and the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \rho \alpha_{\sigma \epsilon \epsilon s}$ in the collections of Progymnasmata (Hermogenes, Aphthonius, Libanius (8. 479), Theon, Nicolaus). For the adaptation of a season-description to a wedding,



 $\lambda \epsilon i \not \psi a \nu o \nu, ~ r o v ̂ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi \rho o o i ́ \mu o \nu . ~ C f . ~ a l s o ~[D i o n . ~ H a l] ~ A r s ~ 258 . ~.$. I ff. U.-R. (= Appendix, p. 364) for the use of the characteristics of the seasons to commend a festival.
408. io. кatauougi'̆oural. 'Charming them with their music'. No other example in LSJ, but mW's кaтa (cf. Jul. ep. 1o) gives the wrong sense.
408. I5. íva... үá $\mu \mathrm{os}$. Presumably 'so that this becomes their marriage rite'. The force of the iva-clause may be consecutive, rather than final, as often in late Greek.
408. 16 ff . In his suggested description of autumn, M. uses the traditional notion of the marriage of heaven and earth, and the motherhood of earth, made fertile by the rain. M. and his pupils would be well acquainted with such classical texts as Aesch. fr. 44 N (Danaides), Eur. fr. 839 N (Chrysippus), both of which are standard anthology pieces. Cf. also Lucr. i. 250 ff.
408. 19 ff. Cf. Donne, Epithalamium made at Lincoln's Inn, 49: 'Oh winter days bring much delight, / not for themselves, but for they soon bring night.'
408. 21. $\pi$ rávia. $^{2}$ Acc. sing. masc. or neut. plural? Kroll's $\pi a ́ v \tau a s$ may well be right.
408. 22. Kroll's $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota$ seems preferable to Bursian's $\epsilon^{\pi} \pi \epsilon^{i} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$.
408. 24 ff. toîs $\dot{\omega}$ paioss (as Nitsche saw) lacks a noun parallel to $\lambda \eta_{i ̈ \alpha}$ and $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho i \delta \in s$; we need a word meaning 'fruit-trees', and we suggest ảк $\kappa o ́ \delta \rho v a$; Nitsche has $\kappa \hat{\eta} \pi о \iota$, 'gardens'.
408. 26. $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma^{i} \alpha$ тâбa. Sc. ката́коноs, in the sense that all cultivated plants are in leaf.
408. 31. $\dagger$ vєavias $\dagger$. Given $\delta \dot{v} v \delta \rho \omega \nu$ é $\rho \omega \tau \alpha$ (cf. 402. 7) we expect something like a reference to the Arethusa story: $\nu v \mu \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ is possible, and we translate accordingly.
409. 1. Dionysus and love: cf. e.g. Eur. Bacch. 773-4, oìvov ס̀̀
 Cic. quotes Ter. Eun. 732: 'sine Cerere et Libero friget Venus.' Pease's ample collection of parallels does not include our passage.
409.4. A unique variant of the legend, Aegina being in fact the mother of Aeacus by Zeus. Presumably a mistake, and, if so, evidence of the shakiness of M.'s learning (cf. 366.26 n .).
409. 6. Telemachus and Polycaste: Hesiod fr. 221 M.-W. Hadrian was informed by the Pythia that Homer was the son of this couple: Anth. Pal. 14. 102.
409. 18 ff. $\dot{\eta} \theta \in \omega \rho i \alpha-n a m e l y$, the continuous theoretical discussion which has been given-will show you the whole range of topics, of which you will only be able to use a few on any given occasion.
409. 19. то入入áкıs. It is tempting but not necessary to read $\pi о \lambda \lambda$ а́кıs $\tau \dot{\alpha}{ }_{\rho} \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha$. This would make M. advise 'frequently changing the order of the points listed', rather than 'changing the order of points frequently made'.
409. 23. єip$\eta \mu$ év $\eta v$. The division is, in fact, to follow ( 27 ff .). The use of the perfect participle is thus unexpected, and we should consider emending to $\rho \dot{\rho} \theta \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$. But the scholastic language of this passage (from 409. 14) has a number of strange features, which it is perhaps more prudent to leave untouched.
409. 25. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta^{\delta} \dot{v} v a$ ó $\mu o ́ \tau \epsilon \chi$ vov. We accept Bursian's correction; the
 not to the intervening clause. M.'s claim to originality is typical of rhetors (cf. [Longin.] 17. r) and need have no basis in fact. However, the passage perhaps lends some support to our view (cf. Introd., p. xxviii) that rules for these varieties of epideictic speech were a comparatively recent development in M.'s time.
410. II. p has $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} s$, and $\eta \eta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \iota \nu$ after $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \varphi$. Anaphora of $\eta \eta \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ is acceptable, and it would make sense if the word is placed after $\delta \epsilon \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . \mathrm{mW}$ omit this $\eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \nu$, and have $\tau \alpha i \hat{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s$. We accept p's fuller version, as being more appropriate in a rather elaborate piece of 'fair copy'.
410. 17. каi ékovta каì äкоvта. The reluctant bridegroom again: cf. 406.8.

таратє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon เ v$. Cf. Lucian, D. Mar. 5. 1, Peleus and Thetis $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$

 reminiscere noctes').

410．28－9．It is difficult to believe that the speaker identifies himself with the couple so closely as to speak of＇our success＇（ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu ~ \kappa a \tau \omega \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$ ）； we therefore propose $\dot{v \mu i \nu}$ ，with deletion of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ in 29.
410．32．троєір $\eta$ та．At 408.8 ff ．
［ámò ．．．$\delta \eta$ ］．This clause duplicates the sense；we think Nitsche was right to omit it，as also the gloss［ĕapos ．．．$\theta$＇́fovs］（3I－2）．

 spoils the sense．The thought is that of Od．6．182－3（quoted by

 ov̀oias，＇property＇：cf．Joh．Lydus，De magistratibus 2．27，éк $\pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a s ;$ Lampe s．v． 3.
411．77．The generous services are to be conferred on the city： cf．413．2．Neither branch of the tradition is right；we take it that both have corrupted an original $\pi a \tau \rho i \delta \iota$ ，correctly conjectured by Finckh．
4II． 21 ff．$\chi$ ápıs and $\omega_{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \rho$ are thus secured（a）by the narrative element，（b）by stylistic simplicity reflecting the $\hat{\eta} \theta$ os of the speaker， （c）by a certain kind of elaboration in diction，as in Plato，Xenophon， etc．

411．26－9．See on 369.4 ；399．17；400． 7 ff．Cf．also 414．29．It is clear that M．here advocates lack of connection between sentences and absence of periodic structure；we note also that the $\lambda_{a} \lambda_{\iota} a^{\prime}$（see on 388．i 7 ff ．）is associated with the term $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十 一$ s．For other ancient views on asyndeton，see Arist．Rhet．3．14i4 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 19，［Longin．］19，Deme－ trius 194，Hermog．$\mu \epsilon \theta$ ．$\delta \epsilon \tau \nu .426$ Rabe，Ad Herennium 4．30．41，Quint． 9． 3.50.

41I．29．M．turns from sentence－structure to diction as a means of achieving the required type of style．

41I． 31 ff．Cf．390．I ff．and［Dion．Hal．］Ars 266．i3 ff．：
及aivovia．M．however seems here to make a distinction between the simplicity derived from the $\hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau o s ~(4 I I .26) ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ s o p h i s-~$ ticated style to be acquired from the models．The＇other sophists＇ must at least include Nicostratus（see on 390．r）．

412．3－413．4．VIII．Genethliakos（Birthday Speech）
Analysis
412．4－5．The prooemium．
412．5－12．Praise of the day．
412．12－19．Encomium of the person，following usual divisions，
with comparison under each head, and general comparison at the end.
412. 19-22. Praise of the day again.
412. 23-413. 4. A special case: the birthday of a young man.

This chapter, very short by comparison with the preceding one, is clearly incomplete. We have a somewhat fuller prescription in [Dion. Hal.] Ars 266. 19 ff. ( $=$ Appendix, p. 368), where this speech also follows a wedding speech. He deals (a) with the 'praise of the day' (226. 19-267. 14) ; (b) with seasons and festivals, as appropriate (267. 15-268. 3) ; (c) with the birthplace ( $268.4^{-15 \text { ) ; (d) with the }}$ personal encomium based on the scheme of 'past, present, and future' (268. 16-269. 11) ; and finally (e) with the closing prayer for future happiness.
For birthday celebrations in general, see W. Schmidt in $R E 7$. 1144 ff .; for rhetorical and other literary material, Burgess, 142 ff ., Cairns, 283. Note Aristid. Or. 30 (Genethliakos to Apellas), Him. Or. 44 Colonna (not at all like M.'s prescription) and, among Latin poets, Stat. Silv. 2. 7 (Genethliacon Lucani, combining funeral with birthday topics in an anniversary commemoration), Silv. 2. 3 and 4 (both with some birthday themes), and Ausonius, Parentalia I3 (Geneth. ad Nepotem).
412. 5-12. This topic is handled more elaborately by [Dion. Hal.] Ars 266-7, who has much on lucky days (those sacred to various divinities).


412. 10. Seasons: so also [Dion. Hal.] Ars 267. 15 ff. with the point that a winter birth signifies courage!
412. 16-19. Cf. 372.14 ff., 377. 2 ff. (Basilikos) ; 386. 1o ff. (Epibatērios) ; 4 17. 5 ff. (Prosphönētikos). The insistence on order makes it clear that the Genethliakos is a more formal and public speech than the wedding speeches with which it is associated both in M. and in [Dion. Hal.]. Birthdays of emperors and governors were naturally occasions of public celebration.
 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ just above. Bursian's $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ i $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v$ ('concerning an older man') for $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ is ingenious; it is only the older man who has $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ to his credit, and so can be the subject of a full encomium (cf. 379. Io ff.). But perhaps the whole sentence should be deleted.
412. 30. [тoû véou] has no construction; we delete as a gloss or relic of a variant form of the following clause (e.g. тov véov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉ $\left.\delta \in ́ \pi \omega \pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota s \in i \sigma i \nu\right)$.
413. 5-414. 30. IX. Paramuthētikos (Consolation)

Analysis
413. 6-15. This speech is based on the same material as the monōdia.
413. 15-22. But, after the first section of lamentation, it proceeds to concentrate on consolatory topics. Thus:
413. 23-414. 2. 'Better die than live' : example of Cleobis and Biton.
414. 2-6. Inevitability of death.
414. 6-8. Cities and nations perish.
414.8-12. Death is a relief.
414. 12-16. If life is a gain, he has had it enough; if a misfortune, he has escaped it.
414. 16-27. Perhaps he is in Elysium, or with the gods.
414. 28-30. Appropriate length and style.

This prescription presupposes the monödia (Introd., p. xlv). It is indeed difficult to separate M.'s paramuthētikos, epitaphios (418. 6 ff .), and monōdia (434. Io ff.) clearly, for they use much the same material and draw on the same tradition. Broadly speaking, however, M. seems to think of one of the three elements of the classical epitaphios as predominant in each of his three types: consolation in this chapter, praise of the dead in the epitaphios, lament in the monody. We may compare the distinction in content between epithalamios and kateunastikos. [Dion. Hal.] gives one type only, which he calls epitaphios: see on $4{ }^{18}$. 6 ff .

The standard modern treatment of 'consolations' is R. Kassel, Untersuchungen zur gr. und röm. Konsolationsliteratur (1958). This traces both the philosophical and the rhetorical tradition and contains a valuable collection of material in the form of notes on ps.-Plut. Consolatio ad Apollonium. Much material (as on all forms of 'death' speeches) in Soffel, 6-89, and full bibliography, ibid. 277 ff. We confine ourselves to illustrating $M$.
 as the explicit reference in 413.9 , shows that this chapter follows that on monody.
413. 10-11. We delete [ $\gamma$ '́vous . . . $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \in \omega \nu$ ] as a (correct) gloss on $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\varphi} \gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. The connection of thought appears to be: (a) the paramuthētikos uses the material of monody; (b) monody is made up of encomiastic elements (cf. 434. 21 ff.) ; (c) but it will not keep the usual order of encomia because of the intense emotion, but use instead the 'past, present, future' scheme (cf. 435.16 ff .). In all this, the procedures of 'consolation' and 'monody' coincide. Bursian's
deletion of $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \pi \rho \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ ( $9-\mathrm{Ir}$ ) produces a more difficult connection: (a) the paramuthêtikos uses the material of monody; (b) but ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) it will not maintain the 'encomiastic' order. This seems to leave out a step necessary for the intelligibility of the whole.
413. 14. Cf. 435.16.
413. 25. Eur. fr. 449 N. Kassel (op. cit. 76) discusses the use of this hackneyed passage, referring specially to [Pl.] Axiochus 368 A. Note the connection with Herod. $5 \cdot 4$ (story of the Trausoi).
413. $3^{1 . \pi \alpha \rho \psi \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t s . ~ H e r e ~ o f ~ a d a p t a t i o n ~ a n d ~ a l l u s i o n, ~ n o t ~ i n-~}$ volving any element of burlesque; cf. Hermog. $\mu \epsilon \theta$. $\delta \epsilon \omega \nu .30$ ( 447 R.) : verse quotations may be incorporated either кал $\alpha$ кó $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ 'by sticking them on'-i.e. quoting them entire and unchanged-or $\kappa a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho \omega \delta i ́ a \nu$ (i.e. with a part of the passage in the original form, but the rest in a prose version adapted to the syntax of the context).
414. 1. Cleobis and Biton: Hdt. 1. 31, Cic. Tusc. Disp. 1. 113, Axiochus 367 c , [Plu.] cons. Apoll. 14, Choricius, Or. 8. 40.
414. 4-5. Tíяas . . . Oávatos. From Dem. Or. 18. 97.
 note esp. Serv. Sulpicius ap. Cic. Ad fam. 4. 5.
 is meaningless. Cf. Pl. Apol. 41 D, $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu a ́ v a \iota ~ k a i ~ a ̀ m \eta \lambda \lambda a ́ \chi \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ (cited with other examples by Soffel, who, however, does not draw the conclusion that $\dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \omega \nu$ must go).
414. 15. We take $\pi i \pi \tau \in \tau \nu$ as 'to die' and $\tau u ́ \chi \eta$ of good fortune. 'In this world, it is lucky to fall.' The words $\tau u ̛ \chi \eta s . . . \epsilon \in \nu a ́ \delta \epsilon$ may be part of an iambic line.
414. 16. p's $\mu$ ıapà is much less apt than ávıapá. Cf. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 282. 14 U. - R. : $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau o \hat{v} \beta$ iov.
414. 16 ff . The idea of the dead man in heaven, with the heroes of old, is a traditional one : M. has it again 42 r . 16 ff ., [Dion. Hal.] Ars has it at 283. 9 U.-R. Classical instances include Pl. Apol. 41 A-c, Hyper. Epitaph. 35 ff., Stat. Silv. 3. 3. 22 ff. See in general A.-J. Festugière, La Révélation d'Hermès Trismégiste iii. 137 ff . Note Him. Or. 8. 23 Colonna (of his son Rufinus) : кä̀ $\epsilon i ̂ \tau a[\notin s \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Reiske]
 $\epsilon i \kappa o ̀ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a ̀ ~} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
414. 20. $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { in. From Pl. Phaedr. } 264 ~ B, ~ \psi u \chi \grave{\eta} \pi \hat{a} \sigma a ~ \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~}$

414. 21. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi є \tau a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \theta p \eta v o u ̂ \sigma ı v . ~ K a s s e l ~ 98, ~ S e n . ~ C o n s . ~ a d ~ P o l y b . ~$ 5. I: 'nulli minus gratum esse dolorem tuum quam ei cui praestari




8ı a, [Pl.] Axiochus 366 a, etc. But see also Virg. Aen. 6. 730, 'igneus est ollis vigor et caelestis origo / seminibus', with Norden's notes and R. G. Austin, Virgil, Aeneid VI (1977), 220 f.
414. 23. In Euripides' Orestes (i633 ff.), Apollo snatches Helen away when Orestes tries to kill her, and makes her immortal. As a goddess, she had sanctuaries in various places, the most famous at Sparta. Other variants of the legend make her live on in the Isles of Blest, with Achilles or with Menelaus (Lucian, Vera Historia 2. 8).
414. 25-7. M. proceeds a further step: not only is the deceased 'with the gods', he is a hero or even god himself, to be placated as a daimon. We should not seek here evidence for precise belief- ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \omega s$, $\theta \epsilon o ́ s$, and $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ are undifferentiated and seem quite vague-but it is worth noting (with Soffel) that orators of the imperial age tend not to speak of the dead as $\theta \epsilon o i$, except for emperors. Themist. Or. 20. 3 makes an exception of his father. The term $\mu$ ккарí $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ is appropriate both to gods and to the blessed dead: R. Lattimore (Themes in Greek and Latin Epitaphs, 5 I ff.) observes that the use of this and kindred words in epitaphs implies a vague idea that survival in paradise means the same as being deified. See also Festugière, op. cit. iii. 140 (with references) and in general F. Pfister, Der Reliquienkult im Altertum, 581 ff. ('Heroisierung und Apotheose').
414.26-7. eikóvas (here painted pictures, it would seem) are a normal honour to the living as well as to the dead (cf. 417.30 ). M. seems to have added a detail which is inept in this context.
414. 27-30. [Dion. Hal.] Ars 283. 16 ff . recommends $\sigma v \nu \in \sigma \tau \rho a \mu-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \iota s$ in the argumentative parts, Platonic elevation in the 'grand' parts. M. is less specific, and (once again) conceives his task somewhat more modestly.


414. 29-30. See on 369. 4 ; 399. 17; 400. 7 ff. ; 41 I. 26-9.

## 414. 31-418.4. X. Prosphōnētikos (Speech of Address)

Analysis
414. 32-415. 5. Definition: this speech is not a full-scale encomium of the ruler, but is based primarily on his actions.
415. 5-23. A proposed division: prooemium, brief praise of emperor, praise of the ruler himself, as far as possible on the ground of his actions, but with a mention of family also.
415. 24-417. 4. Division of 'actions'.
415. 24-416. 4. (a) Wisdom : legal experience, foresight, capacity
for business and appreciation of orators. Exempla: Demosthenes, Nestor.
416. 5-17. (b) Justice: clemency, gentleness, accessibility, impartiality. Exempla: Aristides, Phocion, famous Romans.
416. 17-23. (c) Temperance: self-control in pleasure and laughter. Exempla: Diomedes, Hippolytus.
416. 23-417.4. (d) Courage: shown in behaviour towards emperors, and in standing up for his subjects. Exempla: Ajax, Pericles, Alcibiades.
417.5-17. Comparisons, general and particular.
417. 18-23. Praise of the city.
417.23-418. 4. Epilogue. The city's welcome.

This chapter evidently precedes the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \beta a \tau \eta \eta_{\rho} \iota o s:$ cf. 382 . I and 7 . Bursian regarded it as the first chapter of the whole work. It gives detailed and unsurprising advice for one of the commonest forms of formal speech. [Dion. Hal.] gives a similar $\mu \epsilon \in \theta_{0} \delta o s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\nu}$ (272-7 U.-R. = Appendix, p. 37I), which may be analysed as follows: (a) 272. 1-273. 6: usefulness of this universal practice of address; (b) 273. 7-15: say something about your own qualifications; (c) 273. 15-22: be courteous to the governor; (d) 273. 23-274.6: encomium of emperor; (e) 274. 7-275. 18: encomium of governor; (f) 275. 19-276.9: praise of city: (g) 276. 10-15: exhort governor to be generous; (h) 276. 15-22: further mention of the speaker himself. [Dion. Hal.] concludes (276. 23-277. 2) with advice on appropriate style. The main difference between his prescription and M.'s is thus his stress on the speaker's personal position; the difference in terminology ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu a \tau \kappa \kappa o ́ s)$ is insignificant: manuscripts of Liban. Or. 13 (to Julian) vary between the two forms for the title of this speech.

Complimentary addresses to rulers and important personages are naturally commonplace, especially in Hellenistic and Roman times. The practice is well illustrated by a famous anecdote. M. Cato at Antioch ( 64 BC ) encountered a reception party at the gates, garlanded and in their best clothes. It annoyed him that his attendants had not prevented this $\tau \iota \mu \eta$ кai $\delta \epsilon \xi^{i} \omega \sigma \iota s$, but he took it with a good grace-only to find that the show was intended for an influential slave of Pompey called Demetrius (Plu. Cato 13, Pomp. 40, Julian, Misopogon 29, 358a ff.). The practice was universal and no doubt often ridiculous. The literary examples preserved are naturally special cases (e.g. Aristid. Or. 21 (Keil), to Commodus; Liban. Or. 13 to Julian).

Cairns ( 18 ff .) uses the term prosphōnētikos for a 'genre' (in his sense) of welcoming address which embraces, e.g. Aesch. Ag. 855-974,

Theocr. 12, Stat. Silv. 3. 2, 127-43, Juvenal 12 . Of course, the situation reflected in M.'s prosphönētikos and epibatērios is of the same general type (a welcome after a journey) as those depicted in these various poems. But there is little in the present chapter of M. (and not much in the chapter on the epibatèrios) which is of use for the interpretation of poets.
415. 2. i.e. a full encomium would involve origin, birth, education, as well as actions (cf. the Basilikos, 369.17 ff .). The restriction seems arbitrary : contrast [Dion. Hal.] Ars 274.8 ff ., who allows the whole range of єं $\gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota \sigma \tau \iota к о і$ то́тоь. Cf. 416. 32 ff .: the prosphōnētikos is a sort of miniature model of an encomium, in which many topics are not worked out.
415. 5 ff. Cf. 375 . 10 ff .
415. 9. $\beta$ acı $\lambda$ '́ $\omega v$. Here and at 4 I6. 24 the tradition varies between singular and plural : at 415 . in and in we have only the plural. It looks as if we may safely infer that this passage was written during a plural reign. (Cf. 368. 19; 378. 32 ; Introd., p. xxxix.)
415. 15. kai. The preceding words oío . . . $\gamma$ '́vous are an extract of the proposed speech. M. now continues his advice. The reading of the manuscripts ồ gives too close a connection: we propose кai.
415. 24 ff. Cardinal virtues: cf. 36 I . 10 ff .
415. 29. áviıүpádєıv. See F. Millar, The Emperor in the Roman World, 216 ff ., 313 ff ., for correspondence between emperor and governor. The emperor more often answered points raised by the governor than initiated correspondence; but letters to the governor were also the natural vehicle for the communication of decrees.
416. 1. The examples do not seem to tally very well with the points just made; but a mention of Demosthenes is perhaps appropriate in connection with the governor's mai $\delta \in v \sigma \iota 5$ ( 415.27 ), and one of Nestor in connection with his wisdom in counsel (415.28); he is also to be commended for his legal knowledge (26), hence the 'lawgivers'.

 'stimulates' cities by benefaction, encouragement of building and festivals, etc.
416. 12. Plutarch's parallels to Phocion and Aristides are the two Catos.
416. 15. Suatpóaoסos. Undoubtedly the expected word (cf. Xen.

 Pan. 48, Themist. Or. 15.190 c.)
416. 15. $\delta \omega \rho 0 \delta \epsilon ́ к \tau \eta$. This form is found in biblical Greek, $\delta \omega \rho o-$ סóкos being apparently the more classical form.
 sophical ethics that excessive laughter is undignified and undesirable: Aristot. $E N{ }_{112} 8^{2} 4, E E 1234^{\text {a }} 9$, Epictetus, Enchiridion 33. 4, and esp. Simplicius ad loc. ( $=$ p. 113 Dübner) : laughter is necessary sometimes, but not often, and it is better to smile than to laugh outright.
416. 20. Diomedes is praised for his modesty (Plu. Aud. poet. 29 A), and his action against Aphrodite is judged in M.'s way by schol.
 $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$. (Observations of this kind help to explain the existence of the moralizing element in the so-called exegetic scholia on the Iliad.) Somewhat different is the allegory in Heraclit. Quaest. Hom. 29, where Aphrodite $=$ á $\phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$, 'folly', i.e. the foolish behaviour of the Trojans.
416. 32. We take $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\eta}$ as future. It is not part of the $i v a$ clause, and we therefore punctuate after $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$.
417. 2. We hesitantly add $\langle\dot{\epsilon} \nu\rangle$. The point is that the speaker is not to 'dwell upon' any of the encomiastic topics as he would in a complete encomium.
417. 11. Il. 22. 158.
417. 21-3. Cf. $348 . \mathrm{I}$; 351. 20 ff . These are regular topics of 'praise of cities'.
417. 22. ả́́p $\rho \boldsymbol{v}$. See on 383. 13.
417. 24. тои̂то тò छí申os. What sword is this? $\xi i \phi o s$ can apparently sometimes stand for the ius gladii: Philostr. VA 4. 42, Tiүє $\lambda \lambda i v o s$
 an actual sword.
417. 25. Note that Deimos and Phobos are here children of Ares (it is very unlikely that M. uses macoiv in the classical sense 'slaves'), but they are usually his attendants, as at 341.13 .
417. 27. For these (late) forms of the imperative, cf. Blass-Debrunner, § 84 .
417.29. Xpóvous . . . $\pi$ גtiovas. 'Further years' in office; a not uncommon meaning in late Greek; see Arndt-Gingrich, s.v. $\chi$ póvos p. 896 b.
417. 30. The tradition of allegorical paintings of this nature goes back to classical and Hellenistic times; famous examples were displayed in the triumphs of Aemilius Paullus ( 168 bc) and M. Fulvius Nobilior (187 BC).
418. 1-2. The construction of $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi i v a \kappa \iota$ is not clear, and Nitsche's $\langle\dot{\epsilon} \nu\rangle$ may well be right.

## Analysis

418. 6-419. 10. Introduction. Athenian epitaphioi were delivered over the war-dead. In later imitations (as those of Aristides) the lapse of time between the present and the historical events recorded makes the elements of dirge and consolation pointless, there remains only encomium : contrast Thucydides. The time elapsed since the death is an important consideration.
419. 11-422. 4. Scheme for the 'emotional' epitaphios on an individual lately dead. The emotion should be combined with each of the usual encomiastic sections:
420. 16-24. Family.
421. 24-420. 4. Birth.
422. 4-9. The 'dirge' element should be present throughout and the style simple.
423. 9-12. All encomiastic topics should be used.
424. 12-14. Nature-beauty and mental qualities.
425. 14-24. This should be supported by treatment of nurture, education, and accomplishments.
426. 24-7. Actions.
427. 27-31. Fortune.
428. 31-421. 10. Comparisons (but we must not rule out making separate comparisons under the other headings).
429. 10-14. 'Dirge'.
430. 14-24. Consolation topics.
431. 25-32. Advice to children and wife.
432. 32-422. 2. Praise of family for not neglecting funeral.
433. 2-4. Closing prayer for the family.

This speech is to be compared with the paramuthētikos and the monödia. It is the most elaborate of the three, and consists for the most part of an adaptation of the regular encomium topics. It is an exercise in a long tradition, which combines classical models of various kinds; M.'s introduction makes this clear. Cf. in general Soffel; Martin, 192-4; Pfister, Reliquienkult, 550 ff.; Boulanger, Aelius Aristide, 317 ff. The models for the 'speech on the fallen', only an archaizing exercise in M.'s time (cf. Polemon's speeches on Cynegirus and Callimachus; Himerius, Or. 6 Colonna), are Gorgias (fr. 6), Thuc. 2. 35-46 (and note Plu. Per. 8 on Pericles' alleged speech of 439) ; Pl. Menexenus, and the epitaphioi by (or attributed to) Lysias, Demosthenes, and Hyperides. Early individual speeches are lost (Mausolus' death in 353 was commemorated by a rhetorical competition which Theopompus won), but we have various specimens from later Greek oratory, some
of them tending to the emotional monódia rather than the formal encomium : Dio Chrys. Or. 29 (Melancomas); Aristid. Or. 3I (Eteoneus), 32 (Alexander of Cotyaeum); Him. Or. 8 Colonna (his son Rufinus) ; Liban. Or. 17 and 18 (monodies on Julian); Themist. Or. 20 (on his father); Greg. Naz. Or. 7, 8, 18, 43; Choricius, Or. 7, 8; Greg. Nyss. In Meletium, In Pulcheriam, In Flaccillam.

Once again we are face to face with a tradition rich in banality. Ach. Tat. 3. 25 amusingly calls the phoenix who has buried his father $̇ \pi \iota \tau a ́ \phi \iota o s ~ \sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \prime s$. [Dion. Hal.] Ars naturally also gives a prescription for the epitaphios. It is as follows (Appendix, pp. 373 ff .) :
277. 6-13 (U.-R.) Death is inevitable, so this is a necessary subject.
277. 14-278. 2. There are two types: (i) the public; (ii) the private or individual.
278. 2-14. Examples of (i) may be found in Thucydides, Plato, Lysias, Hyperides, Demosthenes, Naucrates; of (ii) in poems and many prose works, ancient and modern.
278. 15-18. Up to a point, the topics are those of encomia.
278. 18-279. 7. Thus the subject's native country is a topic, but more important in a public speech than a private one.
279. 7-18. Ancestors and natural ability.
279. 18-280. 8. Upbringing.
280. 9-14. Protreptic not essential in type (i).
280. 15-281. 2. Protreptic not essential in type (ii).
281. 2-19. Consolation and grief. In the case of the war-dead, their glory, quick death, public funeral, and eternal renown may console.
281. 20-283. 8. In individuals, use topics based on age: those the gods love die young, the middle-aged are most missed, the old have had a good life.
283. 8-10. Immortality of the soul, the deceased's place among the gods.
283. 10-15. Special qualities, e.g. of a literary man.
283. i6-1g. Appropriate style.

The awkwardness of combining the two types of speech in one prescription is even more evident in [Dion. Hal.] than in M.

Quite extensive parts of the beginning of this chapter are transcribed in Johannes Sardianus, Comm. in Aphthonium, 121 1-2 Rabe; Sardianus seems to have used a text of the type of p (note $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \varphi ิ \tau о$, 418. 30).
418. ro. These speeches of Aristides are lost (cf. Boulanger, 317 n. 3) and the one fragment (in the scholia, 3. 127 Dindorf) is unilluminating. Himerius' Polemarchikos (Or. 6 Colonna) is in the same genre.
 date around AD 70, i.e. some 200 years or more before M.'s time.
418. 16. èmi $\boldsymbol{\tau 0 i ̂ s}$ 'Peitoîs. Bursian and Soffel regard these words as a learned interpolation, referring to the cavalry skirmish of Thuc. 2. 19. 2. They argue that Thuc. treats the Funeral Speech as concerned with all the casualties of the year. But the error may be M.'s, and as two branches of the tradition have the phrase ( $\rho \eta \tau 0 i$ is is a natural corruption) we retain it.

 Soffel takes ö $\tau \iota$ as 'why' and explains by reference to Thucydides' exposition of the merits of Athens: 'he showed also the grounds through which they had the strength to go to their deaths'. This seems to us less plausible than the simple point 'they were capable of dying'. Bursian takes Pm's є́ $\delta \dot{v} v a \tau o$ and adds $\langle\tau \grave{o}\rangle$ before $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ : 'he showed what their death meant'.
(ii) We accept Finckh's 〈iòv〉 . . . тómov which gives a natural object to $\epsilon \notin v \lambda a ́ \xi a \tau o ~(' w a s ~ o n ~ h i s ~ g u a r d ~ a g a i n s t '), ~ w h i c h ~ o t h e r w i s e ~$ needs to be construed with $\delta a \kappa \rho \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ (so Soffel). This is against the run of the sentence. Moreover, tò $\pi o \sigma o ̀ v$ is difficult ('to a certain extent, by and large', Soffel).
(iii) The key to the following phrase is [Dion. Hal.] Ars 306.18 ff .,

 dead and the living as members of one society, all of whom may be taken to be the speaker's audience, no further emendation is needed except Spengel's ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ for ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \pi \epsilon \rho$ : 'It was not the orator's business to weep for those whom he was exhorting to fight.' However, the addition of $\langle\pi o t \epsilon \hat{\nu}\rangle\rangle$ which we have tentatively made makes the logic easier. An alternative would be $\pi \rho о є \tau \rho \in ́ \psi a \tau o:$ he ought not to weep for those whom he had (formerly) urged to fight.
419. 3. Isocrates, Or. 5. The ancient hypothesis to this speech makes the same point as $M$. This epitaphios contains no lament or consolation because (i) Evagoras has been dead some considerable time, and (ii) consolation is out of place when lamentation is not needed. Cf. Introd., p. xv, for the importance of the Evagoras in the epideictic tradition.
419. 10. M. does not give a clear definition of the $\pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ òs $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \iota o s$ but it is presumably that which is delivered within a few months of the event (or a year or so, if the death is in the family).
 'sobald man sie angesprochen hat'. But the phrase (cf. éк $\mu \epsilon \theta_{o ́ \delta o v) ~}^{\prime}$ means rather 'in accordance with the manner of handling' (cf.

LSJ s.v. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$ II. 8). In the present context, the $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon i \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ suggested is the $\delta \iota a \pi$ óp $\eta \sigma \iota s$ leading to the topic of $\gamma \in \mathfrak{c}$ suggests a way of leading in to the encomiastic topics. At 435.8 he says that such lamentations should be developed, 'in accordance with the handling', out of the topics just mentioned. Whereas '̇ $\kappa \mu \epsilon \theta$ ódov refers to a regular technique, $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ seems to refer rather to a particular ad hoc arrangement. Cf. Sopater, Progymn. fr. 8 Rabe: $\theta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega s .$.
419. 15-16. mW's $\ddot{\omega} \pi \bar{\omega} s$ (which we adopt) requires fut. ind. or pres. subj.
419. 18. $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ v . .$. évסołózatov. It is clear from what follows that it must be the distinction of the $\gamma$ évos that is meant here. The combination of positive with superlative has classical antecedents
 common in later Greek (Max. Tyr. 30. 3 Hobein has three examples in one short section). The superlative here is intensive in sense, and is qualified by $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{0 \nu}$. Cf. 423. 17.
419. 19-2I. For the imagery, cf. e.g. Him. Or. 8. 7 Colonna:

419. 29. $\dagger \ddot{\omega} \delta \iota v \epsilon \dagger$. We suspect that this is a false repetition of $\dot{\omega} \delta i v o s$ above, and that $\epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon$ should be read: the mother 'has seen' signs (cf. 37 I .5 for signs at the birth of heroes).
419. 30. $\dagger$ aữà $\dagger$ must be corrupt, probably for $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha}$.
420. I. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\theta} \theta a \sigma \epsilon$. For the idea of the 'mockery' of fate, cf. e.g. Him. Or. 8. 6 Colonna: $\dot{\eta} \pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau u ́ \chi \eta s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon a$, Choricius, Or. 29
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in$.

420. 7. It would be strange to speak of the 'brilliance of the circumstances' ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha$ ) in a dirge, and p's $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ is much better.
420. 8. $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \eta \eta$. The reading of the paradosis (- $\eta \tau \alpha \iota$ or $-\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ) is perhaps affected by the preceding $\phi$ aiv $\eta \tau a \iota$. The vulgate $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon$ gives an abrupt change to the imperative: we tentatively read $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$
 use of the second person.
420. 18-20. There are several textual problems here. (i) There is a strong possibility that $\delta_{1} \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \omega \nu(\mathrm{I} 8)$ is wrongly repeated from 17 ; (ii) we accept Bursian's $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s ~ \tau \rho \epsilon \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, ~ i . e . ~ ' h e ~ d i s p l a y e d ~ h i s ~$ natural ability and quickness from the time when he was nurtured'; (iii) we accept p's $\tau \iota \theta$ eis (19), and repunctuate and supplement to give the meaning 'putting quickness second, and natural ability first'. Soffel's articulation (257) of the whole sentence ( $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \in i \in s \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

right，but is not destroyed by the parenthetical clause $\delta \in u \tau \in \rho \rho a \nu .$. ． túbuià，taken in the way we propose．
420．21．Again a difficult sentence，though the general sense is clear．（i）Is the subject of $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu v \epsilon$ the person，or $\dot{\eta} \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon_{i}$ ？（ii） What does $\overline{\epsilon \pi i} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ Bursian）．Kroll＇s $\in \delta o ́ \kappa \in \iota$ removes the first difficulty．We retain the paradosis with hesitation，taking $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu v \epsilon \ldots \pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ in the sense
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ means：＇that he demonstrated his native ability in this also（i．e． in his education），and gave proof of his superiority to his fellows＇．

421．7．Text and logic unsure．We obelize $\dagger \hat{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu \dagger$ ．Nitsche＇s
 second to none；or rather these qualities attached to him in a higher degree ．．．＇Bursian thought on the same lines；but there are alter－ natives，e．g．$\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega^{\prime} \omega \nu$ for ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ，＇second to none of mankind＇：or $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$（i．e．the demigods and men of note），proposed by J．Fettes．
421．9．$\dot{\epsilon}^{2} \delta \delta o ́ \xi \varphi$ does not，as Soffel suggests，apply to $\beta \dot{\prime} \omega$ ．The words кало仑 ка入入iova make it certain that the comparison is between persons．

421．ro．One expects mapaßá $\lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a$ ，subordinate to the infinitive
 M．＇s sense of syntax is perhaps not sure enough to exclude an ir－ regularity of the kind presented by the tradition．
421．13．入o七тòv．Synonymous here with $\eta ้ \delta \eta$ ：＇at this stage＇the speech dispenses with encomiastic features，so as to concentrate on the lamentation．Cf． 422.29.
421．17．Cf． 414.17 ff ．
421．19－24．If a woman＇s personality is to appear＇dignified＇， some special emphasis must be laid on her $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ ．Thuc．2．45． 2 makes Pericles allude only briefly to the widows：women＇s honour consists in not being noted among men，either for good or for bad． M．thus expounds here an attitude based on classical literature， rather than on the normal views of his time（cf． $362.30 ; 404$. 11）． Contrast 436．24－6 where the husband delivers a monödia over his wife；in Roman practice，as Soffel points out，laudationes of women are normal，and require no preliminary apologies．Cf．Stat．Silv． 5．1，epicēdion on Priscilla．
421．24．Kroll＇s $\tau \hat{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ should probably be accepted；Soffel， however，prefers to explain $\tau \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ as＇genitive of cause＇．
421．31．Exhortation to children：cf．e．g．Thuc．2． 45.
422．2－4．As Soffel points out，a prayer is a normal conclusion for many kinds of encomium and epideixis（e．g．cf．399．9），and a
prayer of this kind would be very natural in the circumstances of private bereavement．Extant epitaphioi and the like are usually of public figures，or of the speaker＇s own relatives（see introd．note to this chapter），and it is no surprise that we have no close parallels to M．＇s recommendations．

## 422．5－423．5．XII．Stephanōtikos（The Crown Speech）

Analysis
422．6－13．Prooemium．The city gives the emperor a golden crown， and crowns him also with speech．
422．13－15．Encomium of family，if appropriate．
422．15－19．If not，then Fortune．
422．19－20．Education．
422．20－9．Virtues ：courage and peaceful virtues．
422．29－423．3．The city crowns him for good service and relies on his philanthröpia．

423．3．Read the honorific decree．
423．3－5．Length of the speech should not exceed $150-200$ lines． This speech and the next deal with occasions which must often have confronted M．＇s clientèle．For embassies，see the discussion in Millar， op．cit． 217 ff．：for crowns，ibid． 140 ff ．，Ganschinietz，$R E$ xi． 1599 f． The practice of giving gold crowns（i．e．wreaths of solid gold）to victors or monarchs goes back to classical times；in the Hellenistic and Roman periods there are innumerable examples．P．Oxy． 1413 records a discussion about the payment for a crown sent to Aurelian by Oxyrhynchus；Themist．（Or．3）presents a golden crown to Constantius in 357 in a speech ten pages（say 300 orixol）long； another good instance from late oratory is to be found in Synesius， De regno 3， 2 c．It should be remembered that the $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o s$ or＇crown＇ of this practice is not an emblem of royalty，but a prize of victory．

422．8．тò крєîттоv．Cf．369．6；370．22；427．19；Corp．Herm．
 be a Christian alteration．
422．II．Cf．427．29：$\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ is to be deleted，for $\dot{v} \pi \eta \kappa o ́ \omega \nu$ is an adj． with $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．

422． 15 ff．Cf． 370.17 ff．
422． 21 〈каi〉 үáp тot．Nitsche’s тo九үápтo七（cf．422．30；440．21； 377．24）gives a strong inferential sense which is not appropriate here．ö $\iota \iota$ is the particle introducing a quotation．

422．22．кai ĩ $\pi \pi \omega$ ，which Pp omit，reads strangely between $\delta o u \rho i$ and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \iota$ ，which themselves seem to go closely together．
422. 28. The manuscripts show some disorder here. The expected sense is given by $\epsilon^{\nu} \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$.
423. 3. Cf. 434. 7 and 437. I for similar prescriptions stating a desirable limit of lines ( $\sigma \tau i \chi o \iota$, or as here $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \eta$ ). These standard lines are approximately the length of a hexameter (hence "̈mos is an appropriate term for them), but methods of calculation appear to have varied. We should be safe in thinking of M.'s standard as rather less than a line of print, say thirty-five to forty letters. See F. W. Hall, Companion to Classical Texts, 9; E. G. Turner, Greek Manuscripts of the Ancient World, 8; C. H. Roberts in Literature and Western Civilization ed. D. Daiches, vol. I (The Classical World), 448.
423. 6-424. 2. XIII. Presbeutikos (Ambassador's Speech)

## Analysis

423. 7-11. If you are sent as ambassador on behalf of a city in distress, you must make much of the emperor's mercifulness.
424. 12-14. But praise also his warlike deeds and peacetime achievements.
425. 14-2 1 . Two topics about the city: the former glories of Ilion and a vivid description of the present state of affairs.
426. 21-25. Mention especially the things emperors take thought for: baths, aqueducts, public buildings.
427. 25-424. 1. Appeal for mercy. The city speaks through the ambassador.
428. 1-2. Ask him to deign to receive the decree.

Like the next chapter (klētikos) and the Sminthiakos, this speech envisages the situation of Alexandria Troas (423. 16 ff.). Elaborate water-supply works had been proposed here by Herodes Atticus (Philostr. VS 2. 3) and there are remains of baths and an aqueduct. This elaborate system may well have been in decay by the -later third century. The chapter presupposes the $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega \tau \iota \kappa$ ós and is of similar scale and form: both close with the handing over of a decree.
423. 7. кauvoúaŋs. E.g. by war, plague, or earthquake: cf. Aristid. Or. 19, the letter to Marcus and Commodus about Smyrna after the earthquake (ad i78).
423. 8. à троєір $\boldsymbol{\text { tal }}$. Presumably the encomium of the emperor prescribed in the preceding chapter.

 I. 55.107 the first 'locus misericordiae' is that 'per quem quibus in bonis fuerint et nunc quibus in malis sint ostenditur'. See Martin, i6i.
423. 17. For combination of positive and superlative, cf. 419. 18.
423. 19. є̇к $\delta \iota a \tau u \pi \dot{\omega} \sigma \in \omega$ s. Cf. [Longin.] 20. ı. This 'vivid description' of troubles gives the fifth of Cicero's 'loci misericordiae' (l.c. 107): 'per quem omnia ante oculos singillatim incommoda ponuntur, ut videatur is qui audit videre'.
423. 28. Cf. Libanius, Ep. II4. 5 (to Datianus, urging him to




423. 29. [vó $\left.\mu \ell \zeta_{\epsilon}\right]$ is wrongly repeated from 28. Scribes perhaps found difficulty with the acc. and inf. in the relative clause, but this is normal and classical : K.-G. ii. 550 .

## 424. 3-430. 8. XIV. Klētikos (Speech of Invitation)

This repetitive and complex chapter on invitations to rulers and governors appears to belong to the same series as the stephanōtikos and presbeutikos, but is very much fuller. It too assumes Alexandria Troas as a subject ( 426.12 ; 427. 22 ; 429. 1), though it also envisages others (492. 23 ff .). The prescription seems to presuppose another 'festival' speech (424. 21-2) ; cf. Introd. p. xxxvii.
424.4-425. 21. (A) Invitation to a festival.
424. 4-10. Prooemium: occasion of the invitation.
424. 10-15. Encomium of the paneguris.
424. 15-17. Encomium of the city.
424. 17. Encomium of the governor.
424. 18-24. (Note: this is the right prder, the paneguris is the main subject.)
424. 24-425. 8. Repeated invitation to the panëguris.
425. 8-10. Comparison with other festivals.
425. 10-21. Refusal would be disastrous both for the speaker and for the city.
425. 22-429. 22. (B) Invitation in circumstances other than a panēguris.
425. 22-30. (i) The prooemium is different.
425. 30-426. 7. Second prooemium on the speaker's distinctions and qualifications. Beware of vulgarity.
426. 7-15. Encomium of city, based on actions, not on position or climate.
426. 15-18. Encomium of governor.
426. 18-428. 6. (ii) First Hypothesis : this is his first visit.
426. 18-20. Description of the country and the city.
426. 21-427. 2. Encourage him to come : the city's literary achievements, its Athens-trained orators.
427. 2-10. Comparison with other cities. The special distinction of ours reinforces the governor's feeling for it.
427. 10-13. Description of the journey.
427. 13-16. Description of the city.
427. 16-30. Epilogue. The city is ready to welcome you, as it does Apollo at the Sminthia.
427. 31-428. 6. The speaker's pride in his office.
428.7-429.22. (ii) Second hypothesis: he has visited the city before.
428. 7-10. You should then remind him of the city, using the same sequence of headings (? as in 426. 18-21).
428. ro-r6. But manage the encomium differently: 'lovers long to behold their beloved again and again'.
429. 16-18. Let us review the whole scheme on this hypothesis.
428. 18-30. Prooemium (cf. 425.24 ff.).
428. 3x-429. 7. Encomium of city with transition to virtues of the governor (cf. $4^{26.7} 7$ ff.).
429. 8-13. Encomium of governor (cf. 426.15 ff.)
429. 13-22. Position and beauty of the city: but 'all this is little compared with seeing you' (cf. 427. 13-16). We then proceed as for the First Hypothesis (i.e. as in 427 . 16 ff .).
429. 23-7. (C) A note on procedure to be followed if the city has no historical traditions: 'position' of country or city supplies the place of the encomium.
429. 28-430. 8. (D) A note on an alternative method, in which the qualities of the city and those of the governor are linked at each point (e.g. 'the city is humane, but so is he'). In this procedure, it is better to put the governor first.
For the first part of the chapter, [Dion. Hal.] Ars 255-60 (= Appendix, pp. 362 ff .) is relevant.
424. IIff. There are noticeable variations and omissions in the manuscripts. We accept Finckh's ' $\bar{\prime} \phi$ ' $\hat{\eta} \nu$ in II, though the whole clause $\epsilon \phi^{\prime} \hat{\eta}_{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ is clearly not essential to the sense; we also delete [ $\tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{s} \pi \alpha \nu \eta \gamma \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \omega \mathrm{\omega}$. . . $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \omega \nu$ ] (13-14), a passage which impedes the sense and seems to be made up of phrases in $10-11$ and 15 .


 Hellenistic logic; cf. [Longin.] 44. 12, $\pi \rho \circ \eta \gamma 0 \cup \mu \notin \nu \omega s . . . \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
424. 23. ${ }^{\text {é }} \dot{\phi} \alpha \mu \in v$. A similar point is made ( 378 ff .) with regard to $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \dot{\rho} \epsilon \iota \alpha$ in the $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \tau \eta \rho_{\rho} \iota o s$ and ( 434 ff .) with regard to lamentation in the $\mu \circ \nu \omega \delta i \alpha$. But it is not clear that M. is referring to these passages,
and it is possible that we have here an indication of a lost chapter; this suspicion is strengthened by кai ô̂̃os ó dójos ('this speech also') which implies another in which the panëguris is primary. ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \omega s$ seems anyway an unavoidable change in 22.)
424. 28. тoùs ápívious goes more naturally with $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a ́ s$. Then
 course were a regular feature of many festivals: M. selects aulos and kithara for mention, and says nothing of salpiktai or drama or dancing, also common events.
 probably, $\tau \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \alpha$ behaves as í $\sigma \omega s$ sometimes does in later Greek:

 macher, $\mathcal{N T G r}$. 160. Examples are found in manuscripts even of classical prose authors (e.g. Isaeus 10. 18), but these are usually (and probably rightly) corrected by editors.
425. 19. ó үàp . . . $\pi$ ó $\lambda \in \omega$ s. Cf. 423. 28. The sentiment is not very appropriate here. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ (20) signifies the act of compliance and thus refers back to the idea conveyed in $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$. The clause therefore interrupts the argument and one is tempted to delete it.
425.23. $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \eta v$ gives adequate sense; but cf. 424. 11, 12, and below, 425. 29, which lead us rather to expect $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi{ }^{\prime} \eta \nu \nu$.
 Oxy. 1163. 4 (c. AD 5) and other late texts (Lampe s.v.). Similar usage in Latin from the third century AD onwards (LeumannHofmann, 746-7).
426. 5. Cf. 426.29 ff . The orator is Athens-trained and has returned to his native Alexandria Troas. It is natural to infer that these instructions are given him by his teacher in Athens. тpóфıцоv. 'Alumnum', cf. Palladas (Anth. Pal. 1o. 52. 2) : Movô̂v каi Xapítcu $\tau \rho o ́ \phi \iota \mu o s$ (of the poet Menander), [Liban.] Ep. 1550 (a Christian text) бофòs каì $\theta$ єобє $\beta$ єías тоо́фıцоs.
 depends on mólıs. For the word-order, cf. 389. 27. The $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ will naturally be the mythical tales of Troy. But 429. I has normal word-order; transposition or deletion here must be considered.
 But serious doubts remain about the whole passage. If ov่ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda \epsilon i \pi \sigma \nu$ is indeed original, and means 'nothing lacking', єं́v向 $\sigma \epsilon \iota \leq$ completes the restoration of the passage satisfactorily-'you will find nothing lacking'-though one might also consider $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau v \chi \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ('you will be lucky enough to get . . .', cf. 387.19 ; 439. 16), as nearer the $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau v \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ offered by the manuscripts. But it is also possible that oúס̇̇v $\lambda_{\epsilon} i ̂ \pi o \nu$
is a scribal note, indicating either (i) the opinion that there is no lacuna or (ii) a lacuna after the word oủסév. Difficulty continues in the next two lines: in 26 , the accusative is necessary, since $a \partial \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau a$ must be parallel to $\hat{\eta} \theta o s$ and $\mu \in \tau \rho \stackrel{\prime}{\tau} \eta \tau a$.
 by ô ßovidouaı є́pavíqaoӨaı 433. 32, both in similar contexts.
427. 8. $\mu$ єiYov. In sense of superlative: K.-G. II I. 22, Radermacher, $\mathcal{N T G r} 70$.
427. 11. Cf. 398. 30 ff . which suggests that mW's ôv $\delta \dot{\eta} \delta \iota i ̈ ̈ \nu$ is to be accepted. We obelize $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda$ оүıкóv; it is tempting to delete it, but it should be remembered that a reference to the epilogue is not
 and especially the use of this topos as the epilogue of the propemptike, 398. 26 ff. ( $\epsilon \pi i$ тoúvoıs ä ãacıv).
 to mean $\pi о \lambda \cup \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon i \eta \sigma \iota \nu o ́ o \iota o$, and this is said to signify $\lambda$ v́racts. Bursian $^{\prime}$ wrongly thought that this gave adequate grounds for preferring p's áкп $\bar{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu$ in the present passage.
427. 22 ff. 'Once upon a time', gods and men had much closer connections; they feasted together (e.g. Hesiod fr. 1. 6, Theog. 507 ff. with West's note p. 306), gods actually appeared at festivalsespecially Apollo (Nilsson, G. Gr. Rel. I 516) at Delos or Delphi, and so here at the Sminthia-and these appearances were a mark of a happier age.
428. 1-2. Il. 9. 522-3: spoken by Phoenix.
428. 7. The context ensures that $\phi \theta$ á $\sigma a s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \theta ́ \epsilon ́ a \nu ~ m e a n s ~ \phi \theta a ́ \sigma a s ~$ $\theta \epsilon a \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ (or $\theta_{\epsilon \alpha} \alpha \sigma a \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ), 'has already seen'; but lexica give no examples of this construction.
428. 10. M. presumably means the order suggested 426. 20 ff : description of $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$ and of city. Cf. 429. 14.
428. 15. $\dagger \epsilon \dot{u} \theta \dot{u} s \dagger$. An adverb is needed, but $a \hat{v} \theta_{\imath s}$ ('again') or $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ('all the more') would be nearer the expected sense.
 of a hexameter.

429. 2-3. Text uncertain. Bursian's addition of $\langle\tau i\rangle$ after à $\gamma \nu o o u ̂ \nu \tau \iota$ is helpful: 'why should I tell you, when you are fully aware . . . ?' The first ov́ $\delta \stackrel{\epsilon}{c}$ in 2 should be retained: the governor is not ignorant
 second ov́ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ is more doubtful: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho ’$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ remains unspecified, and two cures are possible, Nitsche's deletion ('the antiquities of our city') or the addition, e.g. of $\langle\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\epsilon \xi a u \rho \in ́ \tau \omega \nu\rangle$ after $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu-$ 'the antiquities and our special glories'. We follow Nitsche.
 by her historic attractions, but this time she does not so much claim to be seen again (this would be.vanity!) as desire to see the governor because of his virtues. mapo $\theta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ ('be overlooked') is intelligible only if $\mathfrak{a} \xi \iota o v \sigma \alpha$ could bear different applications in its two occurrences, viz. (i) 'not claiming to have been overlooked', (ii) 'asking to see you' at some future time. This seems intolerably awkward.
429. 21-2. The address for which instructions are here given is to the governor who has been to the city before: ö $\sigma a \ldots \pi \rho \circ \in i \rho \eta \tau a \iota$ refers to 424.25 ff . (though the emphasis there is on different contests), and that passage (see Analysis) belongs to the prescription which is common to 'first visit' and 'second visit' invitations. However, it is odd that, in a passage dealing wholly with ó $\phi \theta$ á $\sigma a s$ a back-reference should be made $\epsilon \pi i \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{v} \phi \theta$ ávavtos. We tentatively suggest 〈 $\mu \dot{\eta}\rangle$ $\phi \theta$ áбavzos and the deletion of $[\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa о \hat{u}]$. Interpolation may however
 ('and the like'), the rest being a confused cross-reference to the earlier passage.
430. 6 Cf. Him. Or. 3I. 8 Colonna: $\pi \rho$ âos єî; коıvòv каì тov̂тo $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha$.

## 430. 9-434. 9. XV. Suntaktikos (The Leavetaking)

## Analysis

430. 10-30. Leave-taking involves grief, or at least the appearance of it. The basic example we are to follow is Odysseus' farewell to Arete and Alcinoos.
431. 30-431. 30. General scheme for a speech on departure:
432. 30-431. 15. (a) Encomium of the city you are leaving.
433. 15-22. (b) Mention of your destination; is it your home, or a strange city?
434. 22-8. (c) Prayer for those you leave, and for your own journey.
435. 28-30. (d) 'If you have children, you will send them.'
436. 31-432. 26. Scheme for taking leave on your departure from home (with an interruption, 432. 1o-26, which applies only to departure for home).
437. 31-432. 2. (a) Expressions of love and distress.
438. 2-9. (b) Encomium of destination.
439. 9-10. (c) Necessity of going.
440. 10-26. 'The law commands return'-this is a topic that applies when you are returning home, not when you are leaving, and should follow immediately after the initial expressions of affection.
432.27-433. 13. Continuation of speech to be made on leaving home.
441. 27-32. (a) We return to the theme of leaving home, recalling the topics of 432. 2-9.
442. 32. (b) You are still young enough to study rhetoric.
1. 32-433. 9. (c) When you have studied, you will return to benefit your native city.
2. 10-13. (d) Prayer for the city and for yourself.
3. 13-434. 9. Some general points.
4. 13-19. (a) There is opportunity for similes, narratives, and descriptions. Take care to project a suitable personality.
5. 19-32. (b) The city you are addressing should be praised first. This is a general principle. If your destination is less distinguished, stress the necessity of your journey.
6. r-9. (c) If the speech is a lalia, make it short. If the 'leavetaking' is your only speech, it can be 200-300 lines long; style should be free.
The confusions in this chapter-which combines two situations, leaving home and going home-are presumably due to careless composition. Cairns, 38 ff ., makes the whole seem more orderly than it is.

See Cairns also for literary (mainly poetical) parallels. M. himself begins with Od. 13. 38-41; cf. also Soph. Phil. 1452-71, Eur. Hec. 445-83, Phoen. 625-35. Latin poetical treatments of kindred themes include Cat. 46, Tib. i. io, Prop. 3. 21, Virg. Aen. 4. 333-6i, Juv. 3, Rut. Nam. 1-164. Greg. Thaumaturg. Prosphon. Origen. (ad 238) contains an element of the suntaktikos cf. esp. chaps. 16-19 (§§ 184206), with the Introduction of H. Crouzel, 42 ff .

The chapter has affinities with the epibatērios (same initial formula, cf. 377.3 1) and covers ground partly covered under lalia (393. 31 ff .).
430. 12. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau$ tкóv is no doubt right, and the thought is picked up at 431. 32. It is, however, true that $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \nu a$ can itself mean 'fall in love with' (Plu. Amat. 749 D ), so that the omission of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ in p leaves adequate sense.
430. 12-13. троӥ入aßє . . . каі̀ rои̂то тò єỉoos. Cf. 437. 20. This sentence (note кai) suggests that the chapter follows 'monōdia' (cf. 434. II).
 Mapia $\dot{\eta}$ тô $K \lambda \omega \pi \hat{a})$. Rare in Greek, the ellipse is normal in Latin.
 first, especially as Alcinoos and the Phaeacians have been mentioned
before Arete. One wonders if $16-28$ (to $\tau \dot{\alpha} \hat{\epsilon} \xi \xi \hat{\eta} s$ ) is an addition to an original brief reference to the passage.
 pect it to be specified: $\epsilon \dot{\varepsilon}\langle\tau \hat{\eta} a \dot{v} \tau \hat{n}\rangle \dot{\rho} a \psi \varphi \delta_{i ́ a}$ or $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\eta}\left\langle\nu^{\prime}\right\rangle \dot{\rho}$.? For the meaning of $\dot{\rho} \alpha \psi \omega \delta i \alpha$ cf. Lucian, Charon 7, where a seasick Homer vomits up $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\rho} \alpha \psi \omega \delta \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$.
430. 28. кai $\tau \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} s$. Viz. a wish that the gifts may profit Odysseus and he may find all well at home, while the Phaeacians enjoy home and prosperity and freedom from public troubles. Cf. $43^{1}$. 22 ff., 432. Io ff., for analogous themes.
430. 28-30. Cf. 434. 17-18, for the idea that the orator has to 'work up' material from the poets.
431. 5. éraavéซєt. We assume that the change from third person to second person does not occur till $\sigma v \nu \in \cup j \xi \eta$ (23); but there can be no certainty about this.
431. 6. Bursian's $\mu$ оvaєía is confirmed by 396.28.
431. 10 ff . The situation is obviously that of a student leaving Athens. This type of speech-like the 'propemptic'-forms an obvious exercise for such occasions.
431. 19 ff. Greg. Thaum. Prosphon. Origen. 184 ff. compares himself in these circumstances to Adam driven from paradise.
431. 32. લ̇p $\omega \tau \iota \kappa$ á supports, and is supported by, є̇ $\rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ in 430.12 ; cf. also 432. 25. But $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota a-' n a t i o n a l ~ t r a d i t i o n s, ~ l o c a l ~ h i s t o r y '-~$ can hardly be combined with it, and we must consider deletion or emendation. In favour of the latter is $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ at 432 . 2, which leads us to expect here a reference to the first part of the speech. Wilamowitz's $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ meets the need; one might also consider the alternative $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ o i ́ \mu \iota \alpha$.
 plov.
432. 12. kai ois. The manuscripts are divided between $\dot{\omega}_{s}$ and öcov, neither of which gives acceptable sense. We adopt Kroll's кai oîs, 'and to the [laws] which the father . . . laid down', as the best suggestion available.
432. 14 ff . The admission that the writer has strayed from his subject is odd and casual. But it is not unnatural, and the original theme is resumed at 432.27 ff . with a clear indication. The whole section reads like an ill-composed lecture; and it is probably better to suppose that this is what it is, rather than to speculate whether


432. 31-2. Exact wording uncertain. $\neq \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$ (all manuscripts except p) cannot be right. At the same time, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ seems inappropriate,
since there is no special indication of the speaker's age, and no reason why he should be thought of as being beyond the age when it would be natural for him to make the speech. However, the point may simply be that $\lambda_{o}{ }^{\prime}$ ovs moveîv is a young student's business, and anyone more adult may therefore decently make some excuse: cf. Plu.
 $\kappa a i ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon a \rho i \zeta \omega \nu$ тоîs $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho a \kappa i o t s$. For the form of expression, cf. Dio

433. 5. $\Sigma \in \iota \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma$. (i) The paradosis undoubtedly has " $I \beta \eta \rho \sigma \iota$, but this is difficult to understand: it is not well supported by Hdt. 1. 163, where the Phocaeans decline Arganthonius' offer of a settlement-site in the area of Tartessus, and he supplies them with money for their fortifications at Phocaea. Later versions of this episode are less precise, and Appian (Iber. 3) is closer to what would suit M.'s purpose, in so far as he makes some of the Phocaeans remain in Spain. (ii) äv $N \eta \rho \eta \iota \iota \iota$ (B, and cf. p's ä $\nu \eta \eta \rho \eta \sigma \iota$ ) appears to be an attempt to make sense of a corrupted version of the paradosis. The extra ${ }_{a}{ }^{2} \nu$ is not an objection, and Nereids are at least mythological creatures, and so go better with the Lotophagi than do the Iberians. But it is still not good enough. Though men occasionally saw Nereids, as the Argonauts did, there is no evidence that they were charmed by them in this way; it is rather nymphs who are sinister and dangerous (as to Hylas) and we can hardly invoke the similarity between nymphs and Nereids, or the fact that in more recent Greek folklore nymphs are called Neraides. (iii) What is wanted is another Homeric example, and the Sirens are obvious and apt. Thus Himerius (Or. 30 Colonna) makes Odysseus so love Ithaca as to make the land of the Lotophagi seem barren to him, and his desire for his own city the effective earplug against the Sirens (cf. also Max. Tyr. 30. 2 Hobein). This is commonplace, and we expect the commonplace in M. We accept Cobet's conjecture, as did Wilamowitz.
433. 7. Od. 9. $34+$ r. 58. Homer has $i \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon v o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а \pi \nu o ̀ v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \nu о \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ / ~$ $\hat{\eta} s$ 人ains. M. modifies the line.
433. 13 f . єiкóat . . . tapaßo入aîs. On the difficulty of defining these terms and relating them to the sense 'simile', see esp. M. H. McCall, Ancient Rhetorical Theories of Simile and Comparison, 24 ff., 130 ff ., 187 ff . M.'s distinction is perhaps that of Minucianus (1. 418. $29 \mathrm{ff} . \mathrm{Sp}$.) : mapaßoдai and єiкóvєs are related to mapaסєíү $\mu a \tau a$ but
 $\epsilon i \kappa \omega \nu$ is the same as the rapaßo $\lambda_{\eta}^{\prime}$ but makes the sentiment $\dot{\epsilon} \nu a \rho-$ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$; when Demosthenes ([Dem.] 25. 52) compares Aristogiton walking through the agora to a snake or a scorpion raising its sting, and darting this way and that, the phrase 'like a snake' is a $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta$ ',
while the descriptive part ('raising its sting . . .') applies both to the
 We may perhaps also compare Victorianus (p. 228. 9 ff. Halm RLM), for whom єiкćv ('imago') compares appearances ('os umerisque deo similis') and $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta$ ('conlatio') inner natures ('qualis mugitus, fugit cum saucius aram taurus'). [Longin.] 37 also puts єiкóves and тараво入ai together, but his further discussion is lost, so that it is not clear how he distinguished them.
433. 17. ท̂ 0 os . . . $\delta \epsilon \xi$ tóv. 'Kindly' : LSJ s.v. $\delta \in \xi$ tós, V.
433. 19. каӨaıpєîv. 'Depreciate', opp. aü $\xi \in \iota v$ cf. (e.g.) Ar. Rhet. 1 $376^{\text {a }} 34$.
433. 32. $\delta \iota$ ' ékeivns. Presumably the city to which the speaker is going; but if this is so, the point of $\mu$ ó $\lambda_{\iota s}$ is obscure. We should consider reading $\langle\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta}\rangle \delta \iota$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \dot{i} \nu \eta s$, i.e. 'this advantage could scarcely be gained except by using the resources of that city'.
434. 3 ff. Cf. 393.24 ff.
434. 7. $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi ı \kappa \omega \bar{s}$. Cf. $4^{11}$. 28. On the suggested length cf . 423. 3; 437. I.

## 434. 10-437. 4. XVI. Monōdia (Monody)

Analysis
434. xi-18. Homer gives a model in the lamentations over Hector.
434. 18-19. Lamentation and expression of pity is the object of the monody.
434. 19-23. If the speaker is not closely connected with the deceased, he should lament the death and combine encomium with lament.
434. 23-6. If he is closely related, he should grieve also for his own bereavement.
434. 26-31. If the deceased is a prominent citizen, make use of encomia of the city.
434. 31-435.7. If he was young, make use also of this fact.
435. 7-9. In fact, use all relevant circumstances as a starting-point for the lament.
435. 9-14. Topic of 'complaint against fate'.
435. 15-16. A note emphasizing that detailed prescriptions will not be given (?)
435. 16-436. 4. Division of the subject by time: present (17-23), past (24-8), future (28-436.4).
436. 4-10. His relations with the city.
436. 11-15. The funeral.
436. 15-21. Description of the physical beauty of the deceased.
436. 21-437. 1. Monodies are usually delivered on the young, but may be e.g. given by a husband over his wife.
437. 1-4. Length limited to 150 lines: style 'relaxed'.

As M. says, the earliest model for such lamentations is in the speeches of Priam (Il. 22.416-28), Hecuba (22.431-6, 24.748-59), and Andromache (22. 477-514, 24. 725-45) over Hector. (He does not mention Helen's short piece, Il. 24. 762-75.) These epic laments are themselves the reflection of a tradition which was old, no doubt, in Homer's time and has persisted in various forms throughout Greek history: M. Alexiou, The Ritual Lament in Greek Tradition (Cambridge, 1974), gives much valuable background. M.'s monödia, taken with the paramüthëtikos ( 413.9 ff .) and epitaphios ( 418.6 ff .) completes his set of funeral speeches; 'lament', not consolation or praise, is its predominant feature. Its name $\mu \circ \nu \omega \delta i \alpha a$ comes from drama: it is a solo, not a choral song. As solos were often laments, the term came to mean this also: the Suda and Photius, Lex. identify it with $\theta_{\rho} \hat{\eta} v o s$, and it is used by later orators for prose lamentations of various kinds: see introductory note on Epitaphios, 418.6 ff . It is noteworthy that M. gives no rules for what appears to be a common type of monödia in the Second Sophistic, namely the lament over a town ruined by sack or natural disaster: Aristid. Or. 18 (Smyrna), 22 (Eleusis); Liban. Or. 6o (Daphne), Or. 6ı (Nicomedia).
434. 11. "O 0 р pos. For Homer as 'inventor of rhetoric' see Radermacher, Artium Scriptores, 9-10, [Plu.] De vita et poesi Homeri 161-74. Only M. uses Homer as a source for lamentation; in early times this was not a rhetorical theme (though Quint. Io. I. 47 mentions consolationes in Homer). For other uses of Homer in M., see 393. 5-9; 430. 12-30; 437. 16-18.
434. 14. oikєious éкáбтழ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. An important virtue: father, mother, and wife lament differently.
434. 17-18. Cf. 430. 28-30.

434-31 ff. Cf. Greg. Nyss. In Melet. 852 M, 44 I. 14 Spira: ỉoò $\gamma$ á $\rho$,
 $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \varsigma$ аірєтєко́s, каї ó $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \omega ิ \nu$ ойк є̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
435. I. véos. 'This is the characteristic case for an impassioned lament. Dio 29, Aristid. 31, [Plu.] Cons. ad Apoll. 16, with Kassel, Konsolationsliteratur, 80-6.
435. 3 ff. Cf. the parody of such sentiments in Lucian, De luctu 13:



 $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \eta$.
435. 3. àmò $\tau \omega ิ v ~ \sigma u \mu \beta a ́ v \tau \omega v$. 'From the event'. Bursian's $\sigma u \mu \beta a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$
$\left\langle{ }^{\prime 2} \nu\right\rangle$ ，＇what would have happened＇，is attractive in view of the refer－ ence in what follows to the hoped－for marriage，but（i）we expect $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha$ to refer to the bereavement：cf．Basil，Ep． $300,{ }^{\epsilon} \phi{ }^{\prime}$＇oís．．． $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \theta \eta \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \nu, ~(i i) ~ t h e ~ s e q u e n c e ~ d a ̀ o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a s$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．．．à $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ makes good sense．
435．4．öтı $\dagger$ ávúovit $\dagger$ aùtヘ̂．（i）Soffel may be right to delete ảvv́ $\omega \nu$ as dittography from $\sigma u \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ；it may also（less probably，cf． 435. 2,5 ）conceal a verb of saying（ $\lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$（cf．436．4），or the like）． （ii）The construction of ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \ldots \stackrel{\stackrel{ }{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is also difficult，and an

 A Avtiүóvך $\alpha$ ávúтovoav is indeed not parallel（ảvúrovaà＝＇attain to＇） but might nevertheless be in M．＇s mind，and suggest this unusual word．（iii）A further possibility is that the original reading was $\dot{\alpha} \nu o i \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and that this was corrupted into various forms of $\dot{\alpha} \nu v v^{\prime} \omega$ （a type of corruption facilitated by Byzantine pronunciation）：＇His wedding chamber was soon to be opened．＇
The special pathos of death before or on the eve of marriage is a common topic（it is a recurrent theme，for instance，in Sophocles＇ Antigone）．Hymeneal songs give way to dirges，wedding－torches to the funeral fire，the thalamos to the grave．The parallelism between wedding and funeral ritual reinforced this point：Alexiou，op．cit． 58，120，155．There are many literary expressions of all this：e．g． Bion，Epitaph．Adon． 87 ff．，Anth．Pal．7．185， 712 ；Ach．Tat． 1.13 （in the rhetorical tradition，and very reminiscent of M．＇s prescriptions）； Xen．Eph．3．7．2；Greg．Nyss．In Melet．442． 20 Spira，тó $\tau \epsilon$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \iota o \nu, \nu \hat{v} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau a ́ \phi \iota o \nu \not a ̆ \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu$（of spiritual marriage of bishop and congregation）．
435．8．èk $\mu \in \tau а х є เ \rho i ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$. See on 419． 14.
 4 （and note），397．13；also Aristaenet．Ep．2． 7 （ $\pi \rho$ oेs $_{\tau} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \cup ́ \chi \eta \nu$ ），i． 6 （ $\tau \grave{o} \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu$ ）．The topos of＇unjust fate＇is again banal：Him．Or．8．1， 2， 6 Colonna；Julian，Or．18．2；Aristid．Or．31．13；Liban．Or． 17．6；Anth．Pal．7．439，468， 602.
435．II．p＇s omission of äסıкоv ．．．ópícaozav is a saut du même au même and there can be no doubt about the tradition．Soffel is right against Nitsche in saying that a certain fullness or combination of synonyms is characteristic of M．；deletion of $\pi \rho o \dot{s} \mu о i \rho a \nu ~ a ̈ \delta \iota к о \nu$ should not be seriously considered．

435．14．то入入ákıs taủrà．We accept Bursian＇s correction though with hesitation．M．does not want to keep repeating himself；his point is that praise and $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \lambda_{l} a \sigma \mu$ ós must be combined in each part of the speech．This is clear in everything said in 434．20－435．9，and
may be seen also in 435. $9^{-1} 3$, since the exclamatory oiov implies praise of the deceased. By $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ тoıaútas úmo月́́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ( $15-16$ ) he seems
 то́л̀ь.
435. 16. Cf. 413 . 14 ff . for the scheme of 'three times'. Soffel regards the use of this scheme as an innovation of M.'s. In the state of our knowledge, this is not demonstrable. The scheme is sometimes used (Alexander Numeniu, 3. i Sp. ; cf. Introd., p. xxiv) to distinguish the three $\epsilon i \delta \eta$ of rhetoric: deliberation concerns the future, forensic oratory the past, encomium the present and future. But the contrast of past and present is inherent in the expression of loss: Him. Or. 8. 5 Colonna, $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon v \delta a i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu, ~ v v \nu i ̣ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma a ́ \theta \lambda \iota o s . ~$
 ntl. Griechisch, § 246 for this 'double comparative' which is not uncommon: Ev. Marc. $7: 36$, $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, Hermas sim. ix. 28.4, $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ є̇ $\nu \delta o \xi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o u$.
435. 20 ff . The sentence is awkwardly expressed but (a) $\epsilon i \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{a}$ $\theta$ ávatos (21) is parenthetical, and explains 'the manner of death'; (b) in 22 Bursian's $\langle\ddot{\eta}\rangle$ suffices.
435. 23. Cf. 43 6. 14 .
435. 31. mW's imperfect tense seems necessary.
436. 55 ff . The description of the beauty that has gone merges into description of its fading and corruption ( $\mu \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon i s .$. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha)$ : the contrast between past and present gives the required pathos. Cf. e.g. Him. Or. 8. 7 Colonna: ris катé $\chi \epsilon \iota$ кóvıs $\tau$ às ípàs


 Nyss., In Pulcheriam 464. 21 ff. Spira: ö $\tau \alpha \nu$. . . кад $\lambda \phi \theta \hat{\eta}$. . . $\tau 0$ îs

 $\chi \epsilon$ ìdous äv ${ }^{2}$ os.
 adjective ('curling'?). This is unnecessary, and spoils the effect. The 'curves' of the eyelids have flattened and lost their springy movement.
436. 25 ff. M. appears to be content with exempla which are traditional but not wholly apt. The ox and the horse lament their yokefellows (cf. Virg. Georg. 3. $5^{15} 5 \mathrm{ff}$., Stat. Theb. 9. 82 ff.), the swan his companion ( $\tau \grave{v} \nu \quad \sigma v ́ v \nu o \mu o v ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ n e c e s s a r i l y ~ m e a n ~ ' m a t e ' ; ~ ; ~$ nor can Bursian's $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \dot{v} \nu o \mu o \nu$ be confidently accepted), and the swallow (Philomela or Procne?) perhaps her child.

thus made by the wind: Aesop, Fab. $4{ }^{1} 6^{\text {b }}$ Halm, ${ }^{\circ} \tau \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$




436. 30. We accept Nitsche's transposition, with Soffel's addition of $\langle\dot{\eta}\rangle$ before $\chi \epsilon \lambda \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$. Without this, ò $\delta \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ and катоסט́ $\rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ (32) are both applied to the swallow in the same sentence, and this is intolerable. Given the change, ódvoó $\mu \in \nu \alpha$ (29) is taken up (a) by ${ }^{\circ} \delta \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ (30) of the swan, (b) by като $\delta v^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota$ of the swallow.
 weeps for Itylus $\delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ є́v $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota ~ к а \theta \epsilon \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ;$ cf. also Ar. Ran.

437. 1. Cf. Themist. Or. 20 init. (an epitaphios) : $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. . . $\dot{\omega}_{s} \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 given number of $\sigma \tau i \neq \circ$ cf. 423.3 ff .
437. 4. ävєтоs. See on 4 II. 28 ff.

## 437. 5-446. 13. XVII : Sminthiakos (The Sminthiac Oration)

Analysis
437.6-15. First prooimion: a speech is appropriate to the god of speech, who had given us this power among other benefits. We should always praise gods.
437. 15-27. Second prooimion: Homer and Pindar praised Apollo, but gods accept humbler offerings, and I pray Apollo to give me strength for this enterprise.
437. 27-438. 9. How can I begin?
438. 10-29. How should we address Apollo? I pass over philosophical views of his nature and turn to myth.
438. 29-440. 15. His 'origin': Delos or Lycia? Homer is evidence for Lycia, and it is likely that Apollo should then come first to the Troad, and only later to Delphi, however great his honours there.
440. 15-20. Encomium of the country (reason for this order is given later, 440. 28-32).
440. 20-4. Apollo is our helper.
440.24-441. 2. Transition to the 'powers' of the god:

44․ 3-442. 8. (i) Archery.
442. 9-23. (ii) Prophecy.
442.24-443. 12. (iii) Music.
443. 13-444. 2. (iv) Medicine (birth of Asclepius).
444. 2-20. Transition to the city, its foundation by Alexander, and its great festivals.
444. 20-6. Description of festival and brief 'thesis' on festivals.
(444. 26-32. A note on a general principle : a brief general 'thesis' should precede any account of an acknowledged good-e.g. musicbut must be kept within bounds.)
445. 1-24. Description of temple and statue.
445. 25-446. 13. Final appeal to the god, under his many names. This elaborate chapter contains an unusual amount of actual 'fair copy'. The theme was known to later rhetoricians (cf. Nicolaus,
 presumably from M.'s treatment. Cf. Introd., p. xxxvi.
The cult of Apollo Smintheus is first known from Chryses' appeal to the god in Il. I. 39 and this Homeric testimony must have contributed greatly to its perpetuation and dissemination. It was not confined to the Troad, but found also in the Aegean islands e.g. Lesbos, Ceos, and Rhodes (for Rhodes, see A. Tresp, Fr. gr. Kult-
 $\Sigma \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \epsilon i \omega \nu)$. But the main seat of the cult was at Chryse, south of Alexandria Troas (Strabo 13. 1. 48) where the temple-site has been known since 1855 . Various legends were told of its origin here (schol. Il. 1. 39 ; Ael. $\mathcal{N} A 12.5$; Strabo, l.c.) : they agree in connecting it with a plague of mice, which Apollo destroys. The cult-statue at Chryse, represented on coins, shows Apollo with his foot on a mouse. It is noteworthy that M. shows no clear knowledge of this famous peculiarity, nor of the temple itself (but see 445.20 ff . for knowledge of the area). His speech, however, is obviously (cf. 444.3 ff .) meant to be delivered at Alexandria, presumably by a pupil.
For the cult in general see Nilsson, Gr. Feste 142 ff., W. Fauth in Kl. Pauly, s.v. Apollon, 447. Modern theories as to its origin vary: Nilsson accepts the view that the god wards off field-mice from the crops, others suppose him rather a god of pestilence, as he is shown in the Iliad.
437. 6. The chapter begins abruptly, without stating what speech is to be discussed ; this is unique in M., and some prefatory sentence is probably missing. He envisages several $\pi \rho \circ o i \mu \iota \alpha$; cf. 425. 32.
437. 15. $\mathfrak{\rho} \mathfrak{q} \theta u \mu \epsilon i ̂ v . ~ C f . ~ 409 . ~ 32, ~ \dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu o v ̂ \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \gamma \omega v i a s$, and 444. 14 (s.v.l.).
437. 16. 〈каi є̇v〉 Ü $\mu$ voıs. We accept Bursian's correction though with some hesitation. The alternative is to delete $v_{\mu \nu o \iota s}$ and take $\kappa \alpha i$ as 'even'. But what then is the point of identifying the epics as $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma a^{\prime} \lambda \eta \pi o \not \eta \prime \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ? They must, we think, be contrasted with the hymns; $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o u s$ as a title and $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o v s$ below in a more general sense co-exist tolerably in the same sentence.

437．19．Cf．perhaps the prologue of Hesiod＇s Theogony，where the Muses sing the praises of all the gods，including Apollo and Ar－ temis（14）．
437．20．$\pi \rho \circ$ ö $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$ ．Cf． 430 ．12．Pindar（fr． $5 \mathrm{I}^{\text {a－d }}$ Snell）wrote a hymn єis $A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \alpha$ Птب̂ov．
437．22－4．A variation of the common prefatory theme of modesty， based on the idea that the gods willingly accept a poor gift from those who can give nothing better：cf．Hor．Odes 3．23；Tibull．1．1．37－8；






437．25－6．The repetition of $A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \iota$ is very awkward，but may be defended on the assumption that M．is giving two separate sug－ gested sentences and does not imply that $\epsilon \check{\sim} \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．should come immediately after the preceding sentence in the actual speech． Cf．the repeated $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \ldots \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ below（29），where－again if the text is right－M．may be suggesting alternatives．

437．31．$\dagger$ tis $\dagger$ ．We think a verb necessary but it is not clear whether this is to be supplied（e．g．〈єictévai〉 $\epsilon i s$ ）or $\epsilon$ is is itself a relic of a transitive verb，e．g．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ ．The general sense is not in doubt．
438．1．$\sigma \in \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ v \tau \omega v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v ~ \tau \rho \iota \pi o ́ \delta \omega v . ~ C f . ~ 439 . ~ 24, ~ \kappa \iota \nu \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \rho i ́ m o \delta a s . ~$ Call．Hymn．2． 1 є́ $\sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \tau o ~ \delta a ́ \phi \nu ı \nu o s ~ o ̂ \rho \pi \eta \eta \xi$ is explained by the scholia

 La Mantique apollonienne à Delphes，31，compares Lucian，Bis accusatus I，and associates the＇shaking＇with divination by lot，not with un－ controlled movement in trance．
438．2．катато $\lambda \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ тои̂ т та́үभатоя．Cf．Jo．Chrys．Hom．37． 2


438．5－6．Pind．Ol．2． 1 ；cf．Hor．Odes 1.12 with Nisbet－Hubbard．
438．8．тoû $\gamma$＇́vous．The topic is postponed to 438.29 ；the inter－ vening $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o s$ is philosophical and scientific，i．e．a фuo兀кòs $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o s$ as described in Treatise I， $33^{6-7}$ ．M．seems to be refining on the more natural order recommended by Alex．Num．（3．5． 5 ff．Spengel）， viz．（1）$\gamma^{\prime}$ voos，（2）identification of the god，e．g．identification of Apollo with the sun．By doing so，he eases the transitions to be made to＇encomium of the country＇（ 440.15 ）and＇powers of the god＇（ 440. 25）．

438．12．The traditional question $\tau i v a \quad \sigma \epsilon$ र $\bar{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i v ; ~(c f$. E．Norden，Agnostos Theos， 144 ff ．，Fraenkel on Aesch．Ag．160） is given alternative answers：（a）Apollo is the sun（cf．337．I）：this is
a common identification, first known from tragedy (Aesch. Supplices 213 ff., Eur. fr. 781 N) and perhaps originally a Pythagorean idea, but certainly widespread in Hellenistic times, especially under Stoic influence (cf. Cic. ND 2. 68 ff . (with Pease's notes), Cornutus 65 . I Lang, ps.-Heraclit. Quaest. Hom. 6) and disputed by opponents of the Stoa (Plu. Pyth. Or. 12, 400 c ). (b) Apollo is vov̂s. The validity of this (and of the subsequent identifications) rests on Apollo being also the sun. Again, there are some Stoic elements: Cleanthes (SVF I. 499) took the sun to be the $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ огккóv of the кó $\sigma \mu$, and the same doctrine is to be seen, e.g. in Pliny, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$. 12, 'mundi totius animum ac planius mentem'. M.'s $\theta \epsilon o \lambda o \gamma o u v \tau \epsilon s$ naturally cannot be identified: Stoic and Platonist thought tends to be expressed in very similar language, and it is noteworthy that we have here both the notion of vous . . . $\delta \iota \eta \kappa \omega \nu$, 'penetrating' or 'passing through' the universe, which is characteristically Stoic, and the contrast between 'this world' ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ ) and the regions of light from which illumination comes, which is much more Platonic. For late Platonizing examples of the idea (which is suggested already by Pl . Rep. 7.517 c , where light is related to the sun in the visible world as truth to vov̂s in the intelligible) note


 Apollo is the creator. Here we have clear Hermetic parallels: Corp. Herm. 16. 5, oủpavòv каi $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ o ́ ~ \delta \eta \mu \iota o v ̂ \rho \gamma o s, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ~ \delta ~ \grave{\eta}$ ơ $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$, ibid. 16. 18, $\pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ o ́ ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ s, ~ \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \eta ̈ \lambda ı o s ~(s e e ~ N o c k-~$ Festugière ad loc.). (d) The 'demiurge' is of course also the 'second god', so that M.'s third and fourth interpretations are really the same. Asclepius 29 makes the identification with the sun: 'secundum etenim deum hunc crede, o Asclepi, omnia gubernantem omniaque mundana inlustrantem.' The function of the 'second power' in M. is to separate the elements and put an end to chaos (cf. Anth. Lat. 389.5 ff . in laudem solis: 'nam chaos est sine sole dies').

This passage has been discussed briefly by K. Reinhardt, Kosmos und Sympathie, 373, and W. Spoerri, Späthellenistische Berichte über Welt, Kultur and Götter, 82 f . There is clearly nothing original in it, but neither is it a complete reproduction of a coherent cosmogony. This kind of 'solar religion' gathers to itself the ideas of Plato, the Stoics, and the traditional view of creation as the separation of elements once confused in chaos. On the general background, see F. Cumont, La Théologie solaire du paganisme romain, and M. P. Nilsson, G. Gr. Rel. 2. 486 ff .


àvapuoorós $\tau \epsilon$ форá．We take the paradosis to be represented best by P＇s $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$（but $\dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \gamma \hat{\eta}$＇unmixed＇－though apparently read by Reinhardt，l．c．－is the opposite sense to what is required）．Finckh defended $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$＇unsmiling＇from Opp．Hal．4．24，̇̇к $\chi$ ́́єоs ．．． $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \in o s$ ．But what is wanted is another word for＇disorderly＇：$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ （Bursian）is recommended by Pl．Tim． 30 A，Plu．De sup．171 A； Wilamowitz＇s $\alpha, \nu$ é $\delta \eta \nu$ and our $\pi a \mu \mu \gamma \hat{\eta}$ are also possibilities．None carries complete conviction，but the general sense cannot be in doubt．

438．22．oủpavi $\omega v$ á $\psi i \delta \omega v$ ．A Platonic touch，which suggests that
 （see de Vries ad loc．）．
438．25．бoфفิv maıテi．Cf．442．30．Again Platonic（Lawes 6． 769 в，oi $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \alpha \alpha^{\phi} \omega \nu \pi a \hat{i} \delta \epsilon s$ ）and common in later literature from Dion． Hal．onwards（W．Schmid，Der Atticismus 1．23，2．139）．

438．26．$\mu \cup \forall$ o $\lambda_{0}$ yoúvt $\omega v$ ．M．now passes from＇philosophical＇ to＇mythical＇matters and this change ought to be expressed；$\mu \nu \theta_{0} \lambda_{o-}$ रov́v $\tau \omega \nu$（or $\mu \nu \theta^{\prime} \theta_{o v s} \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma}{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ）is required．

438．27．oútos ．．．ó $\lambda$ óyos．The myth of the god＇s birth，now to follow，will not be inappropriate for him，because it conceals the
 $\delta \eta \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \iota_{\imath}^{\prime}$ av̀тov̂ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ồva，Cornutus 67． 3 Lang）and of Mv́кıos （the wolf devours sheep as the sun＇s rays evaporate moisture：Macrob． Sat．1．17． 36 from Cleanthes（SVF I．541）；cf．F．Buffière，Les Mythes d＇Homère dans la pensée grecque，194）．



439．5．$\dagger$ €́ $\tau$ ́́poss тóкoıs $\dagger$ ．Hes．Theog． 921 （and cf．Apollod．1．3．3） makes Hebe，Ilithyia，and Ares children of Zeus and Hera；in Il． 1. 572 ff．Hephaestus is also their child．But Hera＇s family plays little or no part in legend．Yet，unless there is some specific reference，the point seems frigid．On the other hand，neither Bursian＇s $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho о ́ \tau \rho о \pi о s$ nor Kroll＇s $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon i s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ 〈 o v ่ \kappa 〉 ~ \epsilon ́ \phi u ́ \lambda a \tau \tau \epsilon \nu ~ i s ~ s a t i s f a c t o r y ; ~ M . ~$ will hardly have recommended an explicit reference to Zeus＇s un－ faithfulness in this pious context．

439．8．If $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ s is what M．wrote，it should be taken with каталан－ $\beta$ ável；she was glad to get there in time．

439．10．єútuxŋ̂бaı．Cf．377．24；387．19；426．24．The transitive sense is not uncommon：Herodian 3．10．5，$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$
 Proc．Gaz．Ep．48，$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ रà $\rho \dot{v} \delta \alpha u \mu o ́ v \omega \nu ~ \epsilon v ่ \tau u ́ \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s . ~$
439．11．Cf．Him．Or．38． 2 Colonna：［Delum］v̌фa入ov $\tau \in ́ \omega s$ vimò $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
439. 12. The insertion of $\langle\delta \dot{\epsilon}\rangle$ is an alternative to emending $\dot{\alpha} v a-$ $\sigma \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ (10) to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \chi o v o \alpha \nu$ (Bursian).
439. 13. oí $\delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \grave{v}$. Answered perhaps by $\epsilon \kappa \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Иvкías ктл. (21).
439. 15. Il. 4. iot and 1 19: Pandarus' prayer to Apollo.
439. 20. kaì $\tau i ́ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o u ̉ . ~ C f . ~ P h i l o s t r . ~ V A ~ 1 . ~ 12, ~ v ̇ m o \theta \rho u ́ n \tau \tau \omega \nu ~ e ́ a v \tau o ̀ v ~$

440. 3. The variations in the manuscripts make it as legitimate to read $\dot{\epsilon} \pi o i \eta \eta \epsilon$ as Spengel's pluperfect $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \circ \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ which is much less common with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$.
 'joy', is needless.
440. 10. єủ $\epsilon \rho \eta$ ク's, 'easy-going', is not a word of high praise: cf. 406. 7 for $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi a \rho \hat{\eta}$.
440. 11. $\mu$ avtei $\omega v$. The sense required is 'oracles' not 'prophets'. A minimal change suffices.
440. 19. We take it that $\tau \hat{\eta} \chi \underline{\omega} \rho \underset{̣}{c}$ was a false reading which was
 which then itself infiltrated the text.
440. 25. The four $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon t s$ are seen also in Callim. Hymn 2. 42 ff.:
iŋ $\tau \rho o i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \alpha ́ \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \theta a \nu a ́ \tau o \iota o . ~$

Cf. also Diod. 5. 74. 5. The idea gives an attractive scheme for an encomium on the same scale as the four cardinal virtues in the encomia of human beings, or the adaptation of this for laudes imperatoris in Cic. De imp. Cn. Pomp. 28 ff . (scientia, virtus, auctoritas, felicitas).
440. 28-32. Sıà тои̂то . . . ék тои̂ тóтоu. This is not part of the model, but the rhetor's explanation of what he has been doing. Bursian is probably right to cut out the elementary definition of ${ }_{v} \mu \nu 0$ in 30 (the term has been used freely without explanation, e.g. at 438.8). Cf. also 434. 22, and note that M. there wrote ámòú $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ s.

44i. 6. $\beta$ oúdopal $\delta \grave{\text { è }}$ тàs áp $\epsilon$ tás. This is part of the 'fair copy' not of the instructions. The genitive $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is unintelligible and we read the accusative.
441. 13. Tityos: Ap. Rhod. I. 759:


$\mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ Өapoa入є́шs Tıтvòv $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$.
 mention the version according to which the serpent also attacked Leto (Hyginus 140).

44I. 15 ff. M. exemplifies the kind of $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \iota} a$ appropriate to myth : the narrative begins with asyndeton, the öc $\eta$-clause (18-19) has no verb, there are many short and simple cola (кai . . . oưסév 23,
 (25-6).
441. 23. Cf. Ovid, Met. I. 440, 'tantum spatii de monte tenebas'.
441. 28. M.'s version of the way in which Apollo possessed himself of the oracle resembles that in Apollod. 1. 4.4 (i. 27 Frazer) ; for variants, see Amandry, op. cit. 202.
442. 1. W's false $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau i \boldsymbol{\imath} \iota \iota$ was presumably suggested by the thought of Phoebus as the sun. M. presumably means that Apollo kills the serpent with the same arrows as Tityos.
442. 4. Castor was not at Troy (cf. Il. 3. 234 ff.), nor was Apollo angry with Achilles for anything done to him. The reading of $p$ adds the true reading "Eктора to the false; Finckh's solution of the problem must be right.
442. 5. The continuous 'fair copy' breaks off here; what follows
 not worked out in detail.
442. 11 ff . The 'thesis' developed here on the blessings of $\mu a \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ' lays special emphasis on the colonizing impetus of the great oracles. So also Call. Hymn. 2. 55 ff.:


$\kappa \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \in ́ \imath \eta \sigma^{\prime}$.


Cf. Cic. De div. ı. 3; A. S. Pease, CP 12 (1917), 1-20.
442. 17. Cf. $387.24 \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \notin \dot{\varphi} \alpha \nu$ ä $\pi a \sigma a \nu$. Bursian's transposition gives a much better ascending series.
442. 21. Branchidae (Miletus) and the Smintheum, though important oracular sites, do not seem to be credited elsewhere with any influence on colonization.
442. 24. $\dagger \tau \omega ิ v \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega v \dagger$. These headings are not $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ but $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau a i$ or $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s$ though (like the cardinal virtues in normal encomia) they provide a framework for the account of $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \in \epsilon$. We suspect that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \xi_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ has displaced a verb of saying or the like which is necessary for the sentence: $\left\langle\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime}\right\rangle \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o \neq \eta{ }^{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota s$ (cf. 443. 12) would meet the case.
442. 26 ff . Here again M. touches on philosophical speculation, based on the identification of Apollo with the sun, as the player of the cosmic harmony, in a central position in the universe. This again is a set of ideas current from the time of the early Stoics, and
much used in the＇solar theology＇of later periods．Cf．SVF 1．502：




 The central position is important．In M．Apollo makes the nódos revolve about him，if（as we believe）$\pi \epsilon p i \delta i v \in \hat{i} \nu$（3I）is transitive and aútòv（32）is Apollo himself．Cf．e．g．Cic．Somn．Scip． 4.4 for the ＇Chaldaean＇system of the planets，in which the sun is central， with Saturn，Jupiter，and Mars beyond him，and Venus，Mercury， and the moon on the side nearer to the earth．This centrality is much


 446．i．

442．28．Nitsche＇s supplement－giving the sense that Apollo ＇dances＇with the Muses－is supported by 390．26，but is not essential．
443．3－7．For the evidence for this familiar aspect of the legend of Orpheus，see Kern，Orphica， 14 ff ．（he does not include this passage）．
443．17．тротарабкєиáそouбal．We suggest that the paradosis $\pi \rho o \delta \iota a r \iota \theta \epsilon i \sigma a l$ is due to anticipation of 443． 18 （where W is correct）， and that what is needed is a verb which itself，without the addition of a word for＇favourably＇，expresses the idea of the preparatory ＇conditioning＇of the hearer：Nic．Progymn．4． 7 Felten supplies the


443．26．$\pi$ тє́тоva．If right，this means＇gentle＇．M．perhaps recalls
 a known title of the god，perhaps $\pi$ aı讠 cation of $\pi \alpha \iota \hat{\alpha} \alpha$, and to be deleted？
 comes presumably from $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$＇ward off＇，and is unlikely to be the result of corruption or emendation．We take m＇s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \in \alpha \dot{\partial} \dot{\delta} \delta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ as a
 as an emendation．
443．28．кai 〈 $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi є i ̂ s 〉 ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \pi a v \tau є s . ~ T h e ~ s u p p l e m e n t ~ s e e m s ~ n e c e s-~$ sary for the sense：каi ．．．каi must be＇both ．．．and＇and we need a class of persons to contrast with the poets．
443．32－444．2．A note of general application，not applying speci－ fically to $\gamma \in \dot{\prime} v \in \sigma \iota s \neq \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota o \hat{v}$ ．
444．1．$\pi \alpha \tau \rho i \omega v$ ．Cf．e．g．382．14；394．25．$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota a$ is a regular title for works dealing with local traditions：A．Cameron，Claudian，

7 ff ．The addition of such material helps to relate the general account of the qualities of the god to the particular circumstances of a city， to which the orator now passes，beginning a passage of fair copy at тогароиิv（444－4）．
 though the extent of it is uncertain．This is not because $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is ap－ parently unanswered by $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$（this would not matter），but because it involves an extremely awkward change of subject to take $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \beta o \lambda \alpha$ as the subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa i \nu \eta \sigma \in \nu$（Alexander can hardly be said to＇set in motion＇ the portents himself），and this objection is particularly strong in what is evidently a carefully written passage．Bursian＇s 〈aùzòv〉 clarifies the sense，but does not meet this difficulty．A verb meaning＇observed＇ or＇accepted＇would be natural ：e．g．（ $\kappa a \tau-) \epsilon \nu o ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu,(\kappa a \tau-) \epsilon \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon \nu$ or the like．

This foundation legend（cf．388．6）is anyway unhistorical．The city was founded as Antigonia，c．310 bc，and later renamed Alex－ andria，probably after Ipsos（301）．W．Leaf，Strabo on the Troad， 233 ff ．gives an outline of the history．
444．10．тpoфaivovios（W）is to be preferred．The word is ap－ propriate to indications given by an oracle（Demosth．21．54，Plu． Mor． $149 \mathrm{D}, 244 \mathrm{E}$, etc．），and the variant $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \sigma \nu \tau o s$ may well be due to the influence of каталє́ $\mu \pi о \nu \tau o s$ above．
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ i \lambda \alpha \sigma \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．Bursian was on the right lines，but presumably did not observe this close parallel with the present passage．



444．26－32．Another general rule（cf．443．32），applicable to all such uses of thesis material concerning $\dot{o} \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma o v \mu \in \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$ or other
 （Aristot．Rhet． $132^{6}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} 9-29$ gives a list：cf．Isocr．Helena 14 ）and are the normal material of encomium．By тà каӨ＇є́кабто⿱（30），M．seems to mean local or traditional details：cf． 443.28 ff ．where the myth of Asclepius follows the＇thesis＇on the blessings of medicine．

445．Iff．The description of the temple is generalized and the author gives no signs of actual knowledge（e．g．the cult－statue is mentioned（ 16 ff ．）without the famous mouse on which Apollo rested his foot：Strabo 13．1．48，Leaf，op．cit．241 f．）．
445． 2 ff ．Text uncertain．There are various possibilities，but we
 （note $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu, 4$ ）．
445．3．†toùs roเoúrous $\dagger$ ．Nitsche suggested tàs roıav́ras（sc．
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \circ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s)$, but this does not help: the comparison is with any citadel, not with citadels of a certain kind. Aristid. Or. 27. 19 (a classic description and encomium of a temple) says of the temple at
 the temple is as impressive as a whole sacred area. This suggests that we should consider $\tau 0{ }^{\prime} s, \pi \in \rho \iota \beta$ ódovs ('precincts') in this passage. We translate on these lines.
445. 4. Cf. Aristid. Or. 27. 17, $\dot{\delta} \nu \epsilon \grave{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \epsilon \iota$ (as a landmark from the sea).
445. 5. Bursian rightly takes $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oj $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ as repeated from the line above. We adopt a variation on his conjecture $\lambda^{i} \theta$ oov. The temple was, it seems, of Cyzicene marble.
445. 6. The direct speech of the 'fair copy' begins at ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota$ and continues to $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \eta$ in 7.
445. 8-12. If we read oṽ $\tau \omega$ for $a \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\omega}$ in 9 , and take it with all three rhetorical questions, the sense is satisfactory, though it is tasteless to mention Amphion's lyre as well as Apollo's, and intolerable to have the 'Theban walls' as an exemplum, so soon after Amphion. M. may be giving alternative suggestions; but if anything is to be deleted, it should be $\pi \circ \hat{i} a . . . \Theta_{\eta} \beta a i ̂ a$, not (as Nitsche proposed) the whole passage єïroıs . . . A $\theta$ quaioıs. Cf. Aristid. Or. 27. 38 : moîaı $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$

445. 14-15. So again Aristides on Cyzicus, Or. 27. 18: Homer and


445. 15. There is no such difference in meaning between катабкєvá $\zeta_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ and $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v p \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ as to make it plausible to retain $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ as 'correcting' the previous statement by alleging a superior activity on the part of the gods concerned. We suggest $\delta \in \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma \eta \kappa \in \nu$. The point is that the two divinities most closely associated with craftsmanship, viz. Athena and Hephaestus (mW's reading is clearly preferable), now take the place of the single 'earth-shaker' Poseidon in the Homeric story of Laomedon's walls, and Alexandria is more glorious than her predecessor because she had the help of three gods not two, and professional builders at that.
445. 20. For the bay ( $\delta \dot{\alpha} \phi \nu \eta$ ) as Apollo's plant, cf. Amandry, op. cit. 126; L. R. Farnell, Cults of the Greek States, 4. 124. Apollo himself, like his prophetesses, is often represented garlanded with bay or holding a branch.
445. 22. Chryse and the temple are about 15 miles south of Alexandria. The site of the temple 'lies among gardens and orchards' (Leaf, 226), i.e. it is well watered, as M. says.

 $\mu \in \sigma \theta a$ каi '̇s $\triangle i ́ a ~ \lambda \eta ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ Moîбaı with Gow's note.
445. 28 ff . A list of titles such as this is also conventional: cf. Call. Hymn. 2. 69-71, Aristid. Or. 43. 30 (titles of Zeus). Stat. Theb. 1. 696-720 (hymn to Apollo, including also other features of M.'s Sminthiac). Of the titles here given, most are familiar: for Lykeios and Delios see Nilsson, G. Gr. Rel. 1. 505, 52 I ; Aktios, from the cult at Actium, is important especially in the Roman period, when Apollo Patroos, as in classical Greece, continued to be much worshipped (Nilsson, op. cit. 2. 312). Amyklaios from Amyclae in Laconia is well attested also; Branchiates is explained by Metrodorus of Scepsis (FGrHist 184 F 16) as derived from the name of a Thessalian youth name Branchus. Apollo Askraios, from Hesiod's home town, is however unique, so far as we know. M. L. West suggests to us the possibility of corruption from $A_{\kappa}$ кaios, a title appropriate to Apollo as dwelling on a height (cf. his cult-title Akpizas at Sparta, Paus. 3. 12.
 IG $\mathrm{II}^{2}$ 2891-931). But it seems safer to assume that M. knew (or thought he knew) of an Ascraean Apollo.
446. 3. For the 'syncretism' with Mithras cf. Stat. Theb. 1. 719-20, '. . . seu Persei sub rupibus antri / indignata sequi torquentem cornua Mitram'; Nonnus, Dion. 40. 399 f.
$\epsilon і ̈ \tau \epsilon \sum \dot{\alpha} \rho a \pi \iota s$ ё $\phi \nu s$
 'Hé̀ııos Baßu入へ̂vos.

The identification with Horus is first found in Hdt. 2. 144 and is common later. Plu. Is. et Os. 375 F is typical: $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu . . . \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$
 M. derives the name Horus from $\hat{\omega} p a \iota$ as e.g. Porphyry does ( $D e$

 Cf. the verses quoted in J. Lydus, De mensibus 2. 5:


446. 4. E. Rohde (Psyche, E. T. 288 and n.) uses our passage to demonstrate the possibility of this identification in later times. Cf. also Apollo Báкхıos, Orph. hymn. 34. 7; Dio Chrys. Or. 31. 11, каíтoı
 aủzóv. In fact, it is clear that the close association of Apollo and Dionysus at Delphi did lead, by the Hellenistic period, to representations of Apollo indistinguishable from those of Dionysus: Amandry, op. cit.

198, gives references. Both gods are Movoך $\begin{aligned} & \text { є́ } \tau a l \text {, and this lends some }\end{aligned}$ colour to Spengel's Movoza in 446. 6 (see below).
446. 6. $\dagger$ Ooupaı $\dagger$. This could conceal another kind of maenad, but Wilamowitz's ©pıai (from Callim. Hymn. 2. 45) is very uncertain; the scholium ad loc. says $\theta \rho \iota a i$ are $\mu a \nu \tau \iota к а i ~ \psi \hat{\eta} \phi \circ \iota ~ d i s c o v e r e d ~ b y ~ t h r e e ~$ nymphs (on whom see also Amandry, op. cit. 27-8). On the whole, Spengel's Movoaı seems better. For $\theta v i \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s$ see Alcman, $P M G 63$. 446. 7. See on 442. 26 ff .

## APPENDIX

## Pseudo-Dionysius, On Epideictic Speeches

The seven chapters here translated are the surviving parts of a treatise on various types of epideictic orations, very like 'Treatise II' in Menander. They are attributed in Parisinus 1741, which is the sole primary source for them, to Dionysius of Halicarnassus; but they bear no resemblance to his genuine work, and probably date from much the same period as our 'Treatise II'. The stylistic exuberance and the interest shown by the author in Plato (cf. 'Treatise I') make it plausible to regard them as products of a Platonist rhetor, a common class of man in the third, fourth, and fifth centuries. There are modern editions by H. Usener (i89r) and L. Radermacher (1905). We follow Radermacher's text, and indicate divergences from it in our brief notes. References are to Radermacher's pages and lines (Dionysius Halicarnaseus, vol. $6=$ Opuscula vol. 2, Leipzig, Teubner, 1905).

## PANEGYRICS ${ }^{1}$

Festivals are an invention and gift of the gods, granted us for a relaxation from the continual labours of life, as Plato ${ }^{2}$ has it, because the gods took pity on the weary race of man. They were convened by wise men and established by cities by common decision for the delight and amusement of those present. Different people make different contributions to festivals: from the rich comes expenditure of money, from the rulers splendour in the festival and abundance of the necessary provisions; athletes do honour with their physical strength, the

[^91]servants of the Muses and Apollo with their music. A man who is [256] concerned with literature, and has dedicated his whole life to it, may properly, I fancy, make his contribution to the splendour of the occasion by such means, ${ }^{3}$ pursuing his speech with art, so that it is not as the common herd might make it.

Allow me then, Echecrates, ${ }^{4}$ to be your guide on a road that the many have not trodden, and tell you, for this purpose, what I have inherited from the fathers of my wisdom, and they and their predecessors (so they said) acquired from Hermes and the Muses-no less a gift than the shepherd of Ascra had from those same Muses on Helicon. ${ }^{5}$ So let us pursue our speech with the aid of art in this way.

A god is always the leader and namegiver of any festival: Olympian Zeus of Olympia, Apollo of the festival ${ }^{6}$ at Pytho. So let the beginning of the speech be the praise of this god, whoever he is; a far-gleaming front ${ }^{7}$ of the speech, as it were. You should then praise him on the ground of his attributes: if it is Zeus, he is the king of the gods and creator of the universe; if Apollo, he is the inventor of music, and identical with the Sun, ${ }^{8}$ who is the cause of all good things for all; if Heracles, he is the son of Zeus, and you can say what he gave to [257] human life. In general, the passage will be made up of what each god invented or provided for mankind. These points should be brief, so that the preliminary speech is not too long in comparison with what is to follow.
One must next tackle the praise of the city in which the festival is held; ${ }^{9}$ its position, its origin-including the identity of the founder god or hero and anything that can be said about him-and what the city has done in war or in peace. It will also be in place to speak of its size if it is great, or, should it be small, to say that it is preeminent in beauty and, though small, yet equal to the great in influence. Add also its splendours, e.g. temples or the dedications in them, public and private holidays (e.g. Herodotus speaks of the buildings in Babylon that have five or six roofs ${ }^{10}$ ), and any river that is there, large or clear or doing a service to the inhabitants of the country. Myths told about the city would also lend much sweetness to the speech.
After this, one must speak of the competition itself: its beginning and establishment, the reason for its foundation, whether a myth or

[^92]some other ancient tradition. At this stage, do not simply pass over
[258] the topic; you must institute a comparison with other games. You will have no difficulty about this in the speech, e.g. by making a comparison about the season: ${ }^{11}$ if the festival is in spring, this is the best balanced time; if in winter, it is in the strongest and (one might say) manliest season; if in summer, the spectacle was devised for the exercise of the spectators, and is a test of their determination, to the extent that the spectators would have to struggle even in the absence of athletes! You can praise autumn similarly, on the ground of the harvesting of crops and the rest that men now have from their labours.
One must also consider the nature of the arrangement of the competition. If it is both 'musical' and athletic, it is the most perfect competition, a comprehensive combination of bodily strength, beauty of voice, and other kinds of 'music'. If it is solely athletic, it has rejected 'music' as making the mind effeminate, and has chosen bodily strength. This type of competition (you may add) is useful for developing courage in war.
Do not pass casually over the crown itself, whatever the prize is, for there will be no lack of opportunity for praise at this point either. The oak is sacred to Zeus, and is the first and oldest food of men; $;^{12}$
[259] it is not dumb, but one spoke at Dodona. If the crown is of olive, this is sacred to Athena, is a cure for pains, the ancients made trophies of this tree, it is the symbol of victory, and Athena garlanded herself with it first, when she defeated Poseidon; ${ }^{13}$ moreover, it is specially appropriate to the competitors-gymnastic exercise involves the use of olive-oil-and it contributes to the speech by which festivals are honoured. ${ }^{14}$ Of the bay, you will say that it is sacred to Apollo, and a prophetic tree; if you care to touch on the myth of Daphne, ${ }^{15}$ this will not be out of place. Similarly, if there is any other crowne.g. of wheat or pine ${ }^{16}$-you will have much to say of it. Nor will a comparison between the garland and those used elsewhere fail to confer prestige.
Let the climax of your whole speech be praise of the emperor, because he who presides over peace is really the organizer of all festivals, since it is peace that enables them to be held. Some speakers have praised the actual organizers of the games, if they are dis-

[^93]tinguished, saying that they have previously been of service in other ways, and are specially ambitious in this. If you have nothing more important to put forward, make the point that this is the greatest and most truly Hellenic foundation of patriotic ambition.
The diction should vary according to the individual's talents or [260] intention. If my opinion is to prevail, I am inclined to advise that it should not be homogeneous, but varied and mixed, treating some topics with simplicity, some with Isocratean antitheses and parisoses, and some with elevation. I know that the chief and leader of our choir ${ }^{17}$ almost always, or at least generally, proceeded in this way, unless he had planned to write in a particular manner. The material gave him the impetus for each style. One must in fact adopt a style that follows the lead of the thought, e.g. by treating narrative passages or those relating to myth with simplicity, anything concerning emperors or gods with grandeur, and anything involving contrasts or comparisons in the style of public rhetoric-unless indeed one offers a single uniform style with a view to one's dignity. What I have recommended however is more suitable for epideictic performance, and more likely to give popular pleasure.

## II PROGEDUREFOR MARRIAGE SPEEGHES ${ }^{18}$

It would have been delightful to be with you, and dance and revel with you, my dear friend, and sing the praises of the marriage shortly to be made, and indeed to chant the hymeneal song proper to the wedding. But since our present bondage, as it were, to literature and current teaching seems to separate us bodily and spatially-God forbid that we may ever be separated in soul, in attitudes and in the goodwill that comes from these!-let there be at least a gift from me as a contribution to, and adornment of, the wedding; I should not wish you yourself to be without knowledge of what is commonly said about these things, whether you wish to keep it for yourself or make a present of it to someone else. No doubt you have yourself on other occasions struck up some preliminary strains in this sort of speech, when you were pursuing the first paths of rhetoric with me as a very young man, writing and composing the exercises and practice pieces of rhetoric, and especially what are called theses, and,

[^94]among these，the arguments relating to marriage itself and the ex－ hortation to it．${ }^{19}$ The subject of the desirability of marriage is set to young students for writing more often than any other．The topic now under consideration is not very far removed from the pursuit of this type of composition．It naturally 〈employs〉 the same sort of topics we use in theses：〈e．g．〉 the topic based on the gods，viz．that
［262］they discovered and showed the way to marriage for mankind，for Zeus and Hera 〈were gods〉，the first who joined and coupled； Zeus is called father of all，and Hera＇Zygia＇，from the joining of male with female，and it was from these divinities that the choir of the other gods came into life，those who are acclaimed at marriages and are called gods of marriage and birth．Marriage is the cause of these gods＇being named and honoured；for without marriages their honours would not have come into human ken．

You should next introduce the argument about nature，and explain that begetting and conceiving are her work，and her work ${ }^{20}$ per－ meates all things，both animals and plants．We shall then adduce the peculiar characteristic of human copulation and association，namely that animals copulate simply and in any fashion，but man has devised an order and law of marriage，not allowing copulation in herds like the wild beasts，but contriving a union and association of two as the most serviceable for an entire life．It was through marriage （we should add）that men freed themselves from their bestial and erring life．It is by the union and association of marriage that the
［263］human race has become immortal，like a fire kindled and handed on to the next comers in the succession of generations by human procreation，and never extinguished．This one might well call the fairest contribution ：it is not of money or property of any kind，but of nature herself and of the race．

You should next examine the advantages that accrue to the married． First，in reputation：they are the better reputed，because，with their marriage，they at once enjoy the fairest part of virtue，namely tem－ perance．For marriage at once gives men a reputation for temperance， and such persons are thought to have given up promiscuous sex and to look each to his own wife and to her alone．From this，men in－ evitably become more highly thought of，and come to be regarded as more reliable and loyal to their country in every respect，because they have given their country hostages as it were，in the form of their children，for whose sake they are bound to be taken more into con－ sultation．

[^95]Marriage is also of the greatest use in facing the pains and distresses of life；it makes them lighter burdens as it were，because we share our distresses with our wives and are comforted by their companionship． At the same time，pleasures must appear more delightful，because we do not keep our enjoyment to ourselves but have children and wives and other relations to share our celebrations and pleasures． Feasts and celebrations are delightful because they take place in the company of many．Marriage too must enlarge family connections． From marriage arose，first，joint households，then villages，then cities．From marriage connections came greater knowledge and kinship with foreign parts．
Now is the time to adduce and mention famous or historic marriages and the benefits that have accrued to mankind through them，and how distress is averted by marriage ：e．g．how Menelaus became immortal because of his marriage with Helen，${ }^{21}$ and Peleus because of his mar－ riage with Thetis，${ }^{22}$ and how Admetus escaped his destined death through Alcestis．
When you have dealt adequately with these points，you should make use of a prayer containing a wish for good fortune in the marriage and the birth of children，and for the averting of mis－ fortune．You must then give a vivid description，in prophetic words as it were，of their future life with their children；how a group of children is particularly delightful，if it is vouchsafed to him，to an old man，and how it gives him in a sense a rejuvenation and a new strength，in the company of his children．${ }^{23}$ At this point，it is necessary to remind him of what he himself did in youth；the memory of what happened in our childhood is also pleasant．Again：there is nothing else that makes us live our lives anew from the beginning．If a man takes pleasure in seeing an inanimate image of himself，〈how much more pleased will he be＞when he sees not an inanimate image but an animate one，and not one but perhaps many！Here you should again mention historical instances of people who have been fortunate in their children or have found escape from evil by their means，as Anchises through Aeneas．
Nor should you omit the personalities of the partners in the mar－ riage，but praise them also．Sometimes，this topic should be used at the beginning，sometimes at the end：if the personalities have great prestige，at the beginning；if not，postpone it and put it at the end． The praise will contain the elements of encomia，and the topics are

[^96]the same, viz. native country, family, nature, upbringing; <they are to be represented as $\rangle$ both equal, alike, and reliable, and descended from parents and ancestors of the same type. If they are of the same city 'they have long been brought close together by their proximity'; if of different cities, 'the gods have brought them together in their union'. If they are of the same family, 'an increase and closeness of kinship has been added to that which existed, a firmer and securer bond'. When they are of different professions, the one from the military world, the other from that of education, 'this is the best harmony, the coming together in one of wisdom and of courage:
[266] what children may one expect to be born of such a pair!'
If it is the bridegroom himself who makes the speech, he must make an elegant prooemium on this very point, as you yourself must do. 'If lovers praise their beloved, much more should one praise marriage.' 'One must use one's eloquence and education for this purpose too.'
[. . . speaking a prelude, as it were, and making a prophecy about the children, to the effect that they too are likely to excel in education. ${ }^{24}$ ]
The style to be used should be simple, on the lines of Xenophon or Nicostratus, ${ }^{25}$ but occasionally raising the tone to one of dignity, if this is required by the thought.

## III PROCEDUREFOR BIRTHDAY SPEEGHES ${ }^{26}$

Next you have the speech on the birth of children. (Birth of course is connected with marriage.) It should be composed on the following principles.
Since the day on which a person was born is the beginning of his being, it is necessary to say a little about the day, composing a kind of encomium of its qualities, and noting if it has any special characteristic which other days have not. Thus if it is the new moon, it is the beginning of the month, and beginnings are best, and all things come from a beginning: it is indeed half the whole, or rather, as Plato says, ${ }^{27}$ it is the whole. If it is the sixth or seventh of the month, these days are sacred to the Two Goddesses, and the facts of the birth assure a community with the divine power. Of the ninth, you can also say that it is sacred to the sun, and it is natural that such a man should be distinguished and probably a benefactor, as is the Sun's nature.

[^97]If it is the fifteenth，this is the day of Athena，and at this point the moon＇s orb is perfect，and such a birth as he enjoys will naturally be without deficiency．So with other days：relate them to beginning or end，consider their qualities，and so try to compose a praise of them．

After the day，it is necessary to consider the time．For one thing，this means the season－winter，spring，or the other divisions of the year－ just as in praising a festival we note the peculiarities of the season， attributing courage to one，cheerfulness to another，to summer the fullness of the earth with good things，and to the remaining season rest and relaxation from work．We should also sometimes note what is incidental to the season ：e．g．whether a person was born at some festival， such as the Dionysia or the mysteries．All these things will give you［268］ opportunities for praise．

After this we should proceed to the place of birth．First the environ－ ment－Asia，Europe；the race ${ }^{28}$－Greek or barbarian；and also the wisdom，courage，or other qualities of the race．Next the circum－ stances within the environment：his city，his metropolis：＇The city is close to a metropolis in honour－great，populous，fertile in manly virtue＇－or any other human excellence it may possess．Next again， circumstances within the city：what sort of household did he come from？＇Not humble，not without prestige．＇＇Nor was his family without prestige．＇Who were his ancestors and parents？〈Compose〉 a brief praise of these on the basis of their qualities．

One should next proceed to the praise of the subject of the enco－ mium，whose birthday it is．What are his natural qualities－physique， strength？If he is tall，compare him to Ajax；if handsome and brave， to Achilles；if＜eloquent or〉 wise and just or temperate，to the ap－ propriate characters－Nestor，Themistocles，Aristides，Phocion．If he is a good man，＇he combines spirit with gentleness，is quick to under－ stand＇．If he is small，＇he is greater in virtue of soul，like Tydeus or ［269］ Conon＇．${ }^{29}$ What is he like in his way of life？A man of taste？Of magnificence？
What about his relations with individuals，with the city，his genero－ sity in the public service？

If he is an expert in some branch of knowledge，such as medicine or rhetoric or philosophy，introduce praise on these subjects in the form of a thesis，enumerating the fields in which each of these arts is useful．This will give the speech richness．
What has he been like？What is he like now？What is he going to be like in the future？

[^98]At this point, it would be quite in place to pray to the gods, including those of birth, for his future life, for its being better than the past, and for his completing many cycles of years and coming to a fresh and prosperous old age.

## [IV] PROGEDUREFOR THE BRIDAL-GHAMBER SPEECH (EPITHALAMIOS) ${ }^{30}$

The speech delivered at the bridal chamber precedes that on birth; it nearly escaped my attention because of the disorder and confusion of departure. ${ }^{31}$ It naturally follows the marriage speech, and is indeed [270] almost identical, except for the difference of time, since it is spoken at the conclusion of the marriage. In other respects it is in keeping with the speech already described, and is sung at the wedding in place, as it were, of the hymeneal song. There are some examples of this type in Sappho, songs entitled simply 'epithalamioi'. But since poetry and prose have very different methods of handling material, and differ in thought as well as in metre, I think you will find it appropriate to handle this subject also by making the point at the start, in the prooemium, that 'others sing the hymeneal song, we sing in prose instead, not with flutes or lyres or any such beauteous sound, but with praises and hymns honouring the newly married pair'.
Adduce next the argument that marriage is necessary for human beings, because it preserves the race, and enumerate the blessings of marriage. Then pass to the personalities of the two who are coming together in the marriage. In this section, you will speak of their origin and upbringing, physical beauty and age, advantages of fortune,
[271] accomplishments, personal eagerness for the wedding and the union, the attitude of friends, strangers, and the whole city as a community. 'Everyone longs for this wedding. It is like a festival, a day of the new moon, ${ }^{32}$ a public feast of the city.' Just as, in the marriage speech, we recommended consideration, among other things, of the question whether they are both from the same country or race, so here also you should be careful not to make too little of this section of the speech.
After the praises and encomia, let there be an exhortation to the married couple, to be fond of one another and live in concord as far as possible. Explain the blessings that are sure to follow with such concord and affection, and proceed from the general to the particular. 'Concord is the source of blessings for all mankind, but especially for

[^99]the married.' For this, one should take up the passage of Homer, employing an 'authoritative judgement': 'there is no greater good
than when in agreement of mind, a man and a woman dwell in their house together'. ${ }^{33}$
Explain also the painful consequences of this for their enemies, and the pleasant consequences for their friends. Finally, make use of a prayer, that children may be born as soon as possible, so that you may live to see their marriage also, and sing their wedding song, and have a subject for this sort of speech once again.
[V]
PROCEDURE FOR ADDRESSES
[272]
( $\mathrm{PROSPHONEEMATIKOI)}^{34}$
My dear Echecrates: Isocrates-your friend and mine-says in his advice to the son of Hipponicus, ${ }^{35}$ that the quality good men need, as much as any, is affability. This means, as he says himself, speaking to people one meets. But if it is necessary to do this to individuals, so as to ensure by such affability that we make them as far as possible more friendly and better disposed to ourselves, the business-i.e. this kind of address-is much more essential if we are dealing with men of authority and official position, and particularly those who come from time to time to our nations and cities from the emperors, so as to make them also, by this means, more amicably disposed to our native countries. Of course the practice is universal, and there is a sort of law or principle generally observed, under which cities make a public address to these persons at their first entrance within the gates, as one might say, by the mouth of some individual chosen from those noted for their education, who speaks as it were with the public voice and makes an address on the common behalf. Let us therefore discuss these speeches also, and explain how we may best and most easily handle them.

In general, the manner of these speeches involves a sort of recommendation of one's country to the future rulers. This is not however the only point to handle in the speech; in this context one must not neglect oneself either. In my view, therefore, the most essential beginning is to speak of oneself and one's plans, why one has been chosen out of all the rest to give the speech, and how the theme of the speech is essential for oneself. This passage should contain some courtesies to the governor, assuming that he welcomes all such persons and as it were stretches out his hand. 'This is why I agreed
the more readily. One could indeed learn this of him by hearsay at once, but it has become much more evident by the actual sight of him; his character and his ready attitude to these things become apparent from the brightness of his countenance.' After these preliminaries to the speech, you should proceed to the encomium of the emperor, making this brief, and indeed making a point of this, by saying that
[274] 'the whole of time would not be enough for this', and that it belongs to a different occasion from the present one. You should conclude the encomium with the point that one of the emperor's noble features is that he chose a man like this to send down to your ${ }^{36}$ nation, a man after his own fashion.
At this point the encomium of the governor should begin. Here too one should use the encomiastic topics-family, nature, upbringing. If these are well known, go into them in detail. If they are obscure, proceed by probable conjecture: 'A man thought worthy of such great honours is bound to be unsurpassed by any in race, nature, and upbringing: it is these that won him the preference.' Well-known facts should be noted more precisely: e.g. 'If this is what he was like in his youth, what should we expect for the future?' Or, 'He is young in years but old in wisdom.' If he is old: 'Having given proof of his virtue in many things, it was natural that he should be entrusted with this office.' And: 'Part of his good fortune is to be in full vigour in his old age.' If there is a grandeur about his personality, do not pass over this hastily. If he is a scholar, make an encomium of education: 'the educated are especially deserving of office and of a command
[275] of this kind'. If he is educated in the Latin language, compare him to the best of the Romans; if in Greek, to the best of the Greeks. For this reason, ${ }^{37}$ he is just, temperate, and precise in giving judgement: here too one must use examples of persons-Aristides, Themistoclesadapting and comparing, and showing how he is superior to them.

One should also add-when these are available-previous actions and offices held, whether on campaigns or in civil administration. If we are in a position to mention honours conferred on his ancestors, these too must be included. There should follow an exhortation and invitation to show goodwill to the city, making the point that they have good hopes of him. 'This is clear from the fact that he has accepted the city in this way, has met and talked with everyone with humanity and easy accessibility. And it was natural that he should do this: the city is one which shows gratitude to those who use her well.'

Next comes the praise of the city, if you wish : its origin, its power in its revenues, the culture of the inhabitants, the tributary territory
${ }^{36}$ This must be the sense, whether we read P's aùzov̂, or emend to éautov̂ (Radermacher) or $\sigma a u \tau o v ̂$.
37 We translate the paradosis $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov̂zo, but the connection of thought is obscure.
from which the revenue comes: if this is extensive, reckon it to the city's strength; if small, 'she is content even with this'. You must then mention the founder, whoever he is, god, hero, ancient or recent monarch. If we have myths relating to the city, these should not be omitted either. After this comes the greatness of the city, its beauty, its position-whether it is a mainland city, or coastal, or an island. If we are in a position to mention honours from emperors, these must not be omitted either, any more than past actions, ancient or recent, or previous honours conferred by us on past rulers. With all this should be combined the exhortation and invitation to think well of the city: 'good rulers should assign their kindnesses to such cities'. At the end, we shall employ a prayer for the emperor and the governor himself. We shall say something again about ourselves. If we are beginners, 'we shall win honour and glory from this'. If we are of those who have already won a reputation and made speeches, we shall speak of ourselves inoffensively and conclude our speech by declaring that we have some hope not only that our reputation will be preserved by this, but that it will be much advanced for the future. A mixed style will be most appropriate for a speech of this typesometimes periodic, sometimes elevated, simpler in the myths. Clarity of style must be a particular concern of the speaker throughout.
[In general, speeches on panegyric subjects are composed in this fashion.]

## [VI] PROCEDURE FOR FUNERAL SPEEGHES <br> (EPITAPHIOI) ${ }^{38}$

The methodical and scientific student of rhetoric must not be without experience of this type of speech either. We pray that such things may not happen, but since we are human and have entered upon human life, it is inevitable that they should. For a human being, as human life, it is inevitable that they should. For a human being, as
Callaeschrus' son, ${ }^{39}$ the member of the Thirty, says: 'Nothing is certain, save that, once born, one must die, and one may not in life walk apart from trouble.'
Two speeches have been devised that relate to burial. One is common to the whole city and people and is spoken over the wardead. The other is private and individual, relating to events that frequently happen in peace, when people die at various ages. Both not ous for the future however have the same name, epitaphios. There are examples of them

[^100]in the old writers：of the public or common kind，the sons of Olorus and of Ariston，${ }^{40}$ Lysias，Hyperides，the Paeanian，${ }^{41}$ and Isocrates＇ pupil Naucrates ${ }^{42}$ have given us many such models．Nor shall we lack examples of individual speeches：poems are full of them（they are called epikëdeioi and thrēnoi），and there is likewise an abundance of works of this kind written in prose，both among the ancients and among our recent predecessors．Nor shall we cease ${ }^{43}$ even now，so long as the human race exists and necessity dominates．We shall therefore consider them both on the following lines．

In a word，the epitaphios is a praise of the departed．This being so， it is clear that it must be based on the same topics as encomia， viz．country，family，nature，upbringing，actions．${ }^{44}$ Up to a point， at least，one must go the same way：e．g．in saying of the subject＇s native land that it is great，famous，and old，or（maybe）the first land that came to men，as Plato ${ }^{45}$ says of Attica；or，if it is small：＇Through these men and their virtue and fame it has now itself become famous， as Salamis did through Ajax or the valour of those who fought in the
［279］naval battle，and Aegina through Aeacus．＇We may indeed have something to repeat which is related of it，as Apollo called Salamis ＇divine＇：${ }^{46}$ 〈or〉 that it was founded by a god，like Ionia，Byzantium， and other cities．${ }^{47}$ When speaking of the war dead one can be lavish with these things．In speeches on individuals，on the other hand， a long passage on the native land is not essential．We should proceed straight to ancestors：were they autochthonous and not incomers？ Or，if incomers，they nevertheless seized the best land by deliberate choice，not chance．They were either of Dorian race（the bravest）， or Ionian（the wisest）；and they were Greek．If there is some story of good fame about an individual－that his fathers and ancestors were distinguished－a brief praise of these may also be given，explaining their public and private character，their manner of speech and life， and any other acts or deeds they performed．Alternatively，if the quality of the person affords such an opening because of his natural endowment，＇he is naturally fitted for everything＇．［But this 〈topic〉 is of common application．${ }^{48}$ ］

[^101]Coming then to upbringing, in the public speeches we shall consider the form of polity-democracy or aristocracy-while in the private speeches we shall look at upbringing, education, and accomplishments. Among actions, the public speech will include deeds of war and how they died, as was done by Plato, Thucydides, and the others. 49 On the other hand, when we speak of an individual, we shall discuss his virtue-e.g. courage, justice, wisdom-and show how he behaved in these respects both as regards the city and in private-how he treated friends and enemies-and, finally, how he behaved to his parents, and also in any office he may have held.
After this, in public speeches we shall make the transition to the exhortatory part, exhorting the survivors to like deeds. This is an extensive topic. We proceed then to the consolation of the parents, both those still capable of producing children, and those past the age. This also is in Thucydides. In private speeches, on the other hand, we sometimes do not even include the exhortatory section, because (it may be) the deceased persons are children, and at other times we treat it briefly-except in the case of the very famous, where there is no objection to using this theme extensively: e.g. if the funeral speech deals with a governor or similar personality, his children should be urged to imitate their parents and aim at similar goals. The consolatory topic, however, is more essential, because we are consoling the relatives. The procedure of the consolatory section also must be understood. We <must> ${ }^{50}$ not mourn or bewail the dead-this would not be to comfort the survivors but to increase their sorrow, and the speech would appear not to be a praise of the deceased but a lamentation, based on their dreadful fate-but only, in the course of the consolation, give way to the survivors in their feelings, and not resist too sternly; we shall win them over more easily like this, and the speech will also contain an element of praise, if we say that it is not easy to bear things gently ${ }^{51}$ in such circumstances. However, since those who fall in war are alike in age, we shall have no means of proceeding to a consolation on these grounds, except by saying that they died honourably for their country, and such a death is swift and not felt, and they are removed from tortures and the evils of disease; moreover they have a public burial-this is enviable also to their posterity-and their glory is undying.

In the case of individuals, the speech will have many opportunities for consolation, arising out of the <circumstances〉 and ${ }^{52}$ ages of the

[^102]deceased. (i) If a man dies suddenly and painlessly, 'his end has come upon him in a blessed fashion'. If he dies of illness, having been
[282] ill long, 'he endured his illness with courage'; if in war, 'he died fighting for his country'; if on an embassy, 'in his country's service'; if on a journey, 'it makes no difference, for as Aeschylus says, "one single road leads to Hades" '; 53 if at home, 'he died in the land that he loved and that bore him, among all his dearest ones'. (ii) Age: if he dies young, 'the gods loved him-for they love such ${ }^{54}$ —and they snatched away many of the heroes of old-such as Ganymedes, Tithonus, Achilles-not wishing them to be involved in the troubles here on earth or have their souls long buried in the body as in a tomb or prison, or be slaves to evil masters, but wishing rather to free them. Blessed were they, for they escaped the pains of life and the sorrows that befall men, countless and infinite, loss of eyes, feet, or other parts of the body; in truth disease is most painful.' If he has died in middle age, 'he was at the prime of his life and mental powers and had given proof of his virtue; moreover he left life much desired, not yet an object of dislike because of old age, but in his prime'. If a man has
[283] died in old age, 'time has been measured out for him for the full enjoyment of the good things of life'. Here one must mention all the joys of festivals, marriages, children, honours from his country, for a longer period of life usually gives these. Again, 'he lived, like Nestor, "a rich old age"', 55 and stayed here to become an example to othersespecially if he was a personage of distinction. At the end, it is essential to speak of the immortality of the soul, and to say that it is reasonable to suppose that such men are better off, because they are among the gods. ${ }^{56}$ The personality itself will sometimes furnish special topics which are not of common application: e.g. if he is a literary person, that it is right to praise him with words: or, if he had made a speech of this kind once for others, 'we must make the same contribution for him in turn'-or whatever the particular circumstances of the person suggest.

The style should be varied, periodic in the argumentative parts, elevated and grand, and approaching that of Plato in the portions which possess splendour and grandeur, such as the parts concerning the soul.

[^103]Following and, one might say, contiguous with this, ${ }^{58}$ Echecrates, is the speech delivered at these festivals, but addressed not to the festival itself but to the contestants at the festivals, called [284] 'exhortation' to competing athletes. ${ }^{59}$
We have first to consider who the speaker is. Sometimes it may be a citizen, sometimes a person involved in the competitions; I have seen the organizer (agönothetēs) become the performer of the oration, as I know has happened at Olympia in my time, and at Pytho, and in many other places to various people. ${ }^{60}$ One may very properly start from this point. If he is a citizen, 'he obeyed the organizers and the law of the city and therefore entered the competition and ventured on a risk no less laborious, for the main contest is of the body, and this is of the mind. Now contests of the mind are more severe than those of the body, since in the bodily struggles the victory is plain and open to the eyes, which are the clearest of the senses, and moreover there is an umpire in charge, who is above envy of others. Here, on the other hand, the judgement is not in one man's hands, but in those of many, and they judge not by the eyes, but by opinion, which involves many conflicting factors-ignorance, ambition, envy, and finally the reluctance of the audience to believe that the things praised are made more important by the speech delivered about them.' A further suggestion must be made here, namely that of removing the objection based on the quality of the person: athletes should not despise words because their activity is one of deeds. 'For speech is appropriate for all purposes, and gives strength for any effort: soldiers need the speech and exhortation of the general for war or battle, and then excel themselves in strength. Athletes particularly need the encouragement and exhortation of speech. They are the pupils and imitators of Hermes and Heracles, of whom one is the inventor of speech (or else speech itself), while the other successfully accomplished his orders by Athena's aid-and what is Athena but intellect and speech? Athletes also have people like these to encourage

[^104]them continually every day in their exercises.' And then you should explain how much more significant this praise is than the other, for the following reasons: (i) the other form of praise is for casual givers, this is from persons well tested in life and speech and reputation; (ii) the other comes as the result of a certain custom, this from the law of the city and the decision of the government; (iii) the other is a shout of applause, no better than an inarticulate cry, this is encouragement accompanied by praise and demonstrative argument. Again: it follows that those who are interested in physical beauty should also
[286] be interested in beauty of mind-all the more so, inasmuch as the mind is of higher value than the body-not necessarily to the extent of practising themselves, but by listening to those who do. This involves no difficulty or hard work, especially as persuasion is for the benefit of those persuaded. For, just as in an army, the most genuine soldiers, having heard speeches from their commanders, are most ambitious for victory, so it is with those who receive exhortations in the proper spirit at the games : they will be most anxious to win.
[Those who promise physical safety ought to tell the truth. ${ }^{61}$ ] Add here: 'If a man can desire this, even if the reputation that comes from it is unsure, and if he can desire it without a motive and with no necessity to compel him, how much more 〈likely〉 is it that those who are here for this purpose, who have committed themselves, engaged in exercise for the same purpose to such an extent, and subjected themselves to control, should be seen to listen to the man provided for this purpose by the city, the agōnothetēs and the law?'

If the speaker should be an organizer, it will be well to say alsoor before these points-that if the organizer of the games was not afraid to set himself up as a competitor and submit to the judgement of the audience for the sake of the games and their prestige, greatness,
[287] and popularity, then this is clearly even more necessary for the competitors, who are to inherit the prestige of the games.

For a foreign orator, it would be proper to say that the speech is an appropriate task for him, because of his presence as a visitor and his participation in the spectacle; for those who gave him a part in the spectacle have also a part in the speech relating to it, for various reasons, but especially to increase the prestige of the festival. It is not only the man whose name is on the roll who is the genuine citizen, but rather the friend of the city, whose enthusiasm for fine things is devoted solely to the fine things that are the city's. And if a noncitizen has obeyed the command to enter the competition, it is much

[^105]more necessary for the athletes to show enthusiasm，for they are as it were the citizens of the games．

Of these openings，some may also suit the panegyric，if the per－ sonalities taking part are of these kinds，and if similar qualities are involved．After an introduction of this kind，the general discourse on festivals should be inserted，including praise of those who establish them on the ground that they stopped cities warring and quarrelling，and brought them together as it were in a single city that should be the common country of all，so that men came and sacrificed and feasted to－ gether，forgetting all that went before．Nor was this all．They suggested innumerable spectacles and performances，not only for amusement but for use，educating us by musical shows，and training us for war by gymnastics．It is good therefore for visitors to take an enthusiastic interest in the spectacle；but much better for the contestants to do so． The spectators have pleasure for the moment，the contestants glory that docs not die．For the moment，everyone of them comes to be praised and pointed out for the most glorious reasons，to win，to be crowned，to be proclaimed，becoming through a single action and victory 〈a citizen〉 not of a single city but，one might say，of the whole world．For every person present，accepting him with goodwill for his virtue，appropriates him as a fellow citizen of his own．As Homer says，＇they behold him as a god as he goes up and down＇62 the festival－ and not only this，but after the festival also，whenever they so much as see him．This happens at every competition when the athlete takes part．And when he has finished，the rewards of victory endure all his life，and give him prosperity in abundance，while after his death his memory survives in statues and pictures so that his reputation is recorded not only by being preserved in men＇s memories but by its abiding for all time in written histories．

After this，you should introduce the theme of the city：the city itself imposes enthusiasm for the contest．However，this praise should be moderate in scale，since it is not our main subject．Enough open－ ings have been given in the procedures suggested for the panegyric speech．Similarly，you should speak next about the festival itself， how it started，who instituted it，in honour of which gods it is per－ formed，and who gave it its name．The praise should be brief，based on the same openings which were suggested in the other connection． At this point，a comparison with other festivals is essential．This comparison will be based on the place of the festival（e．g．〈that it is very distinguished $\rangle^{63}$ ），on the time（that it is very ancient or，if new， makes up by reputation what it lacks in age，and，if an object of enthusiasm now，will be even more in the future）．If the name comes
from a god, state the attributes of the god; if a hero, his actions; if it is a funeral commemoration, 'he deserved the contest and its institution because of his virtue'. You should also compare the city with others on grounds of size, beauty, founder, special character-
[290] istics: this has already been discussed. This said, one might very appropriately introduce the address to the athletes in the words of Thucydides: 'For such a city and such a contest many others have long shown zeal and enthusiasm for the victory. ${ }^{.64}$

Since the persons vary-some being distinguished, some less so, some having won many crowns, some just beginning-we shall endeavour to encourage each in specially appropriate ways. Thus those who have many crowns should be told that it is good not only not to disgrace these but to add more; for their glory will increase with the crowns. Those who have won but few may be told not to be content with these, but to confirm, by competing and winning more, that those they have were genuine and honest victories. Beginners may be reminded that 'well begun is half done', the defeated that it is good to fight back, to make it seem that the defeat was a matter of chance, not of failure of mind or body. Some should be exhorted by appeals to shame, some by appeals to honour; for those who have many crowns and earlier victories, it would be disgraceful to be defeated by those who have never won, while for the others it would be honourable and unsurpassably glorious to have defeated the victorious and won their glory too through a single crown. We should then en-
[291] deavour to demolish the reasons which lead some to become corrupted, by using the topics of disgrace and dishonour. It is disgraceful to abandon victory for money, and this concept of disgrace can be applied to both parties, the givers and the receivers. (i) The receivers. They get money instead of reputation. Here you should explain how different these are : money is for the moment, reputation is immortal; money is taken away by fortune, time, and war, reputation is immune from this; money pleases during life, reputation makes us envied after death; money can come from wickedness, reputation only from virtue and excellence of character. They are worse than traitors, for traitors sell others, and these men sell themselves. They are like male prostitutes in taking money for their bodies; yet the prostitutes are perhaps deceived because of youth while these people give themselves away for base gain. ${ }^{65}$ (ii) The givers. They win in appearance, but really they have purchased their victory. They win not glory but

64 Cf. Thuc. 2. $4^{1}$.
65 These sentences ('They are worse than traitors . . . for base gain') clearly, as Schott pointed out, refer to those who accept the bribes, not those who offer them. We therefore transfer them to this place (i.e. 291. 12) from 291. 21-292. 2 (i.e. after '. . . a win that is a reproach.').
shame, for a victory which is not genuine tends to disgrace the winner rather than give him glory; further, even if they are not detected, they know themselves that they have done wrong; others think they have won, but in their own hearts they have lost ; there is no pleasure in such a victory, and shame is always with them to bear witness to a win that is a reproach. Again : they do not escape detection-they are easily recognized by their physical condition, exercises, and pre- [292] vious performances. At this point you may add: 'And what are the consequences? Whippings, insults, maltreatment, things that happen to slaves, not free men; being cursed by the spectators instead of being praised, applauded and crowned; sometimes also penalties and expulsion from the race-courses and competitions; finally, proud as they are of freedom, they see themselves fall into punishments reserved for slaves. If they notice a slave competing they accuse him and exclude him as unworthy of taking part, while, as for themselves, they get a verdict of freedom from the organizers at the same time as they pass a verdict of slavery on themselves.'

In this context, one must also remember past history and produce examples of famous athletes; some because they were undefeated, others because they won many victories, others again because they won few, but notable ones-and all honestly! They became the men they were through temperance, self-control, and practice. And the consequence for them was of the same kind: $:^{66}$ many were judged godlike, some of the victors of old are actually honoured as gods.

66 Or should roıồтov (292.22) be deleted, as a faulty repetition of roıỗoc in the previous line?

## ADDENDA

p. xiv. On Plato's Symposium and its relation to encomium see now K. J. Dover, Plato's Symposium (Cambridge, 1980), i I f.
p. 233. íтотєфикótas (335. 2) is perhaps supported by

p. 26I (on 360. 20 ff.). See also R. W. Smith, The art of rhetoric in Alexandria (The Hague, 1974), esp. 120 ff., 150 ff.
p. 262. At 361. 7 f. тò aúrò cannot be right, since the 'appropriate' style of living for women is not the same as that for
 give the required sense.
p. 265 (on 362. I 8 ff .). A further possibility is that каì $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau a}$ ... סокєí ( $18-20$ ) has been misplaced and should follow $\gamma \in \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ in I 6 , not $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in 18 . This makes 'philosophy and literature' a specially important component of the 'most numerous' honours of the Athenians.
p. 266 (on 363. 21). tàs óaias is, however, quite possible; for ó óia 'funeral' see e.g. Sopatros 83. 2; 86. 18; 88. in (Walz, $R h . g r .8)$. Cf. on 36 I .20.
p. 270 (on 366.32 ff ). We have considered the possibility that M. is thinking of a festival called 'Olympia' but held elsewhere, e.g. at Antioch or Prusa (A. B. Cook, Zeus 2. 1191, 964); but if this were so, M. would be guilty of the absurdity of including such a festival in a list of famous classical ones.
p. 272. Further material on the theme of the Baaideoós in R. C. McCail, 'Pap. Gr. Vindob. 29788: hexameter encomium on an unnamed emperor', $\mathcal{F H S} 98$ (1978) 38-63.
p. 273 (on 368. 22). If the expression oiov $\dot{\omega}$ s is thought odd, it can perhaps be defended by a parallel from Hephaestion 13.2, where Consbruch deleted $\omega$ s despite its attestation by almost all the MSS.
p. 276 (on 371. 16). At Himerius Or. 6. 19 тò фalvó $\mu$ evov seems to mean 'the sea'.
p. 291 (on 387. 12). In view of its absence from one part of the tradition, it seems less likely that $i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ is corrupted from the name of some other geographical feature; but the possibility of e.g. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ should be borne in mind,
p. 292. One could also consider taking $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ adverbially as 'in the early stage'.
p. 299 (on 391. 13). Note also Himerius, Or. 48. I I ą ${ }^{2} \delta o v \sigma \iota$



p. 322 (on 408. 3 I). Perhaps $\nu a \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$-loves 'of springs', like Arethusa-is preferable to $\nu v \mu \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, as being more exactly parallel with $\delta \epsilon \in \delta \rho \omega \nu$.
p. 330 (on $4^{16}$. 22). Cf. in above for ${ }^{\epsilon \prime} \sigma \tau \omega$. It seems to follow that M. means in effect 'let Hippolytus be mentioned' rather than 'let (the subject) be a Hippolytus'.
p. 34 I (on 426.28 ). We accept $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu v^{\prime} \nu \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, despite its relatively weak attestation (it may perhaps be a correction by an intelligent scribe of a mistake in the archetype). It must be right, because it is the present-day Athenians who are proud of their past.
p. 343 (on 430. 15). Cf. also e.g. Philostr. Heroicus, p. 675

p. 345 (on 432. 31 ff.). On this passage of Plutarch see now H. Martin, $A \mathcal{J P}$ 1oo (1979) 99 ff .
p. 354 (on 438. 26). An equally acceptable solution to this small problem is to read $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\left\langle\mu v^{\prime} \theta_{o v s}\right\rangle \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.
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$\phi$ خ $\lambda$ ooo $\phi \in i ̂ v ~ 248$
фортікós 290
фv́oıs／$\theta$ évıs 246

## INDEX OF PROPER NAMES

[References are given by the pages of this edition, and are to the English translation, including the translation of ps.-Dionysius (pp. 362-381)]

Abundance (cf. Plenty) 9, 2 I
Academy ${ }^{131}$
Achaeans 217
Achelous 123
Achilles 83, 87, 369, 376
Acropolis 131
Acte 51
Actium 57 ; Actian Apollo 223
Acusilaus 17
Admetus 7, 367
Aeacids 99
Aeacus 97, 99, 153, 374
Aegina, Aeginetans 61, 153, 374
Aeneas 367
Aeolians 49
Aeschylus 376
Agariste 137
Agathon 9
Agesilaus 117
Ajax 87, 131, 169, 369, 374
Alcaeus 21
Alcestis 367
Alcibiades 169
Alcidamas 33
Alcinous 195
Alcman 9
Alexander 57, 113, 187, 191, 219
Alexandria, Alexandrians 57, 6 I
Alpheus 139
Amphiaraus 55
Amphilochus 55
Amphion 123, 219, 223
Amphitryon 81
Amyclaean Apollo 223
Anacreon 7
Anchises 153, 367
Andromache 201
Anthedon 135
Antinoopolis 57
Antinous 57
Aphrodite 3, 11, 21, 27, 57, 137, 141, $145,149,151,153,157,167$
Apia 51
Apollo 3, 7, 11, 13, 21, 27, 55, 57, 63,

113, 119, 137, 189, 207, 209, 211, 215, 217, 221, 223, 363, 364
Arcadia, Arcadians 49, 51
Archemorus 73
Archilochus 123
Arcturus 29
Areopagus 109, 131
Ares 23, 65, 169
Arete 195
Arethusa 139
Argos 13
Argos (Amphilochian) 55
Ariadne 137
Arion 123, 219
Aristides (Athenian statesman) 99, 167, 369, 372
Aristides (Aelius) 27, 31, 33, 39, 41, 51, 59, 61, 83, 107, 111, 171
Ariston [father of Plato] 374
Aristophanes 9, 23
Artemis 9, 13, 55, 211
Asclepius 27, 89, 219
Ascra 35, 223 (Ascraean Apollo), 363
Asia 39, 169, $217,219,369$
Asopus 153
Athena 23, 63, 143, 223, 364, 369, 377
Athens, Athenians 27, 41, 49, 51, 61, $63,65,67,69,73,83,105,107,109$, $113,121,125,129,171,185,187$, 223
Attica 31, 51, 374
Babylon 55, 223, 363
Bacchus 157
Bacchylides 7, 13
Biton 163
Blemmyes 113
Branchiates (Apollo) 223
Bucephalus 57
Byzantium 374
Cadmea 69
Callaeschrus [father of Critias] 373
Callinicus 8I, in I

Calliope 79
Caria 113
Carpian towns 57
Castalia 119, 213
Cecropia ${ }^{51}$
Celeus 17
Chaldaeans 223
Chaos 27
Chiron 83
Chryse ${ }^{11}$
Chryses 11
Cilla 11
Cleobis 163
Cleopatra 57
Cnidus II
Conon 369
Corinthians 63
Cranaa ${ }^{51}$
Crete 49
Cronus 23, 139
Crotoniates 6I
Cupids ( $=$ Erotes) 137, 157
Cyprus 1i, 55
Cyrus 8 I
Cyzicus ${ }^{1}$
Daedala 75
Danube (cf. Ister) 87
Daphne 364
Dardanus 113
Death (Thanatos) 23
Demeter 17, 19, 107, 121
Demosthenes 167 (cf. 'Paeanian')
Dio(n) (Chrysostom) 63, 117, 159
Diomedes 129, 167
Dionysia 369
Dionysus 3, 17, 21, 63, 113, 121, 125, 137, 153,223
Dioscuri 83, 165
Dodona $3^{6} 4$
Dorians 374
Echecrates 363, 371
Egypt, Egyptians 57, 65, 113
Eleusis, Eleusinian mysteries 75, 125
Elysian Fields 163, 177
Empedocles 7, 15, 139
Enipeus 141
Envy 25
Ephesus 49
Ephorus 133
Erembili3
Eros 9, 21, 23, 27, 145, 157 : cf. Cupids
Ethiopians 49

Euripides 163
Europa 141
Europe 169, 219, 369
Euryalus 129
Eurymedon 123
Fear (Phobos) 23
Flight (Phugē) 23
Fortune (Tuchê) 27
Ganymede 376
Gauls 79
Glaucus 135
Graces (Charites) 21, 143, 145, 149, 211
Hades 55, 376
Hadrian 57
Hadrianus (sophist) III
Health 27
Hebrews 73
Hector 87, 217
Hecuba 201
Helen 165,367
Helicon 119, 123, 197, 199, 217, 363
Heliopolis 47
Helios 55 , 63
Hellas 49
Hellenes 47, 49
Hellespont 51, 117, 217
Hephaestus 21, 57, 65, 223
Hera 15, 366 (Zygia)
Heraclea 47, 57
Heracles 57, 81, 83, 111, $113,117,129$, 147, 165, 177, 179, 363, 377
Heraclids 99
Hermes 21, 117, 137, 363, 377
Hermopolis 47, 61
Herodotus 21, 87, 117, 163, 363
Hesiod 17, 21, 123, 141, 207
Hesitation (Oknos) 7
Hesperus 29
Hestia ${ }_{151}$
Hippolytus 167
Hipponicus [father of Demonicus] 371
Homer 11, 23, 79, 87, 121, 123, 125, 141, 147, 169, 195, 199, 201, 203, 207, 211, 213, 371
Horus 223
Hours (Horai) 21
Hyades 29
Hymen 145, 149, 153, 157
Hyperides 374

Icarius 17
Ida 123, 215
Iliad 11
Ilium 113, 181
India 57
Io 141
Ionia, Ionians 49, 51, 105, 117,374
Isaeus 131
Isocrates 33, 59, 61, 73, 83, 111, 119, 131, 133, 173, 221, 371, 374
Ister (Danube) 57, 87, 123
Isthmus, Isthmian Games 63, 73, 75
Italy, Italians 79, 105
Jealousy (Zēlotupia) 23
Lacedaemonians (Spartans, Sparta) 59, 69, 71, 109, 11 , 223
Laomedon I 13, 223
Leda 153
Leto 7, 17
Ligurian 1 I
Logos 23
Lotus-eaters 199
Lyceum 13I
Lycia, Lycians 211, 213, 223
Lycurgus III
Lydia 113, 135
Lysias 131, 374
Macedonians 57
Marathon 69
Marriage (Gamos) 137, 139, 145, 157
Megacles 137
Melos 69
Memnon 163
Memory (Mnemosyne) 23
Memphis 57
Menelaus 163, 367
Miletus 13, 217, 223
Minos 47, 57, 97, 99, 1 II
Mithras 223
Muses 7, 9, 11, 23, 79, 119, 133, 137, $143,187,189,207,217,363$
Mytilenaeans 6i
Naucrates 374
Nemea 65, 73, 75
Nereus 135
Nestor (in Homer) $153,167,369,376$
Nestor (poet and sophist) 123
Nicopolis 57
Nicostratus 117, 368
Night 63, 157

Nile 123
Ninus 55
Ocean 123
Odysseus 195
Olorus [father of Thucydides] 374
Olympia 65, 69, 73, 75, 119, 149, 157, 171, 223, 363, 377
Olympus 123, 215
Orion 157
Orpheus 7, 17, 21, 79, 123, 217
Paeanian (Demosthenes) 374
Paeonians 79
Palaemon 73
Palestine 73
Pan 9, 25
Panathenaea 121, 125
Pandion 19
Parmenides 7, 15
Parnassus 123, 213, 215
Patroos (title of Apollo) 223
Pausanias (in Plato) 21
Pausanias (sophist) 25
Pelasgia 51
Peleus 79, 137, 153, 163, 217,367
Peloponnese 51, I 13
Pericles 169
Persians 49, 223
Phaeacians 195
Phaedrus 9
Philostratus 117,159
Phocion 99, 369
Phocis, Phocians 167, 215
Phrygia, Phrygians 49, 135
Pieria 123, 197, 199, 217
Pindar 207, 209
Plataea 75
Plato 9, 11, 15, 19, 21, 25, 59, 159, 362, 368, 374, 375
Pleiades 29
Plenty (Poros) 9, 21
Plutarch 123
Polemo 1 II
Polycaste 153
Poseidon 55, 63, $113,135,223,364$
Poverty (Penia) 9, 21
Priam 201
Procne 19
Prometheus 139
Proteus (in Homer) 135
Proteus (Cynic) 33
'Pythagorean' hymns 15

Pythia (priestess) 209, 213
Pythia (festival) 73, 75, 157
Pythian Apollo 27, 223
Pytho 149, $215,363,377$
Rhadamanthus 97, 99, 111, 163
Rhea I 39
Rheitoi 171
Rheneia 57
Rhodes 49, 55
Rome, Romans 49, 55, 57, 59, 6i, 67,
$69,71,73,85,97,187$
Romulus 8i

Salamis 374
Salamis (Cyprus) 55
Sappho 7, 9, I41
Sarpedon 47
Satyrs 123
Scamander 87
Scythians 49
Sebasteia 73
Semiramis 55
Sicyon 137
Silenuses 123
Simonides 7
Sirens 199
Sleep 23
Sminthia, Sminthius etc. 189, 207, 209, 211, 213, 221, 223
Smyrna 49, 51
Socrates 9
Solon III
Sophocles 27
Sparta, Spartans, v. Lacedaemonians
Sthenelus 129
Strife (Eris) 25
Sunium 211
Syracuse 49
Syria II

Tartarus 21I
Telemachus 153
Tenedos II
Tereus 19
Terror (Deimos) 23
Teucer 55
Thebes, Thebans 61, 2 19, 223
Themis 23, 63, 169, 2 I 5
Themistocles 369,372
Theopompus 87, 133
Thermopylae 69,71
Theseus ini, i29, 177
Thessalians 57, 79
Thessalonica 57
Thetis $153,163,367$
Thrace 135
Thucydides (historian) 87, 171, 375, 380
Thurii 67
Thyiades 223
Thyrea 71
Tiber 123
Timon 131
Titans 211
Tithonus 376
Tityos 215
Tros 113
Troy 153 (see also Ilium)
Tydeus 369
Tyre 3i
Tyro 141
Tyrrhenian Sea 219
Xenophon 31, 87, í7, i59, 368
Zeus 5, 7, 15, $21,23,25,55,63,65,73$, 8i, II5, II7, II9, 139, 14i, 153, 179, 191, 211, 215, 223, 363, 364, 366
Zoster I 7

## GENERAL INDEX

## [References are to the pages of the Commentary]

Alexander Numeniu 229
allegorical paintings 330
Apollo, winter and summer residences
235 ; identified as the sun 235
Aristides 229, 244, 247, 252, 253, 256, 261
asyndeton 323
burial customs 265
Callimachus 355, 356
Cato, M., at Antioch 328
children, their resemblance to parents 320
constitutions, classification of $\mathbf{2 6 0}$
constructio ad sensum 286
dead received in heaven 326
demiurge 353
diaporesis 244, 274
dolphins 308
Doxopatres 260
Eleusinian mysteries 303
encomia 229, 245 ; paradoxical 248 f .
exhortation to athletes 319
extempore speeches 300
future tense, active form of 287
genethlius 226
gods and men, close relations between $34{ }^{1}$
hymns: prose 229; 'Pythagorean' 236; types of (cletic, apopemptic, etc.) 230 ff .
informal composition (lalia) 295
Isocrates 26 I
Jewish festivals 269
Libanius 245, 250, 251, 253, 256
liberal arts 262
light imagery 283
lyric poetry, classification of 228
Menander's inaccuracies 235
Mytilene 267
paean and huporchëma 228
palm-trees, male and female 314
periphrasis $24^{\circ}$
personification 242
Phoenix 332
Plato, Phaedrus 237A 233
pleonasm ('double superlative') 302
poets as counsellors of kings 302
positive and superlative together 334
prefatory theme of 'modest offering' $35^{2}$
Proclus 228
propemptica 304 f .
Rhodes 263
rivalries between rhetors 307
Rome, attitudes towards 274
Sardianus, Johannes 228, 260
scandal, avoidance of 316
Scione 267
sea, dangers for a city in being close to 251
slaves, recruitment of 281
Sopater 226
swans 349
Theon, instructions on $\mu \hat{v} \theta o s$ and $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \mu a$ in 238
titles of gods 360
Virgil 306
virtues, cardinal 263 ff .
women: legislation relating to 266 ; personality of 335


[^0]:    7 Below, p. xxxvii.
    ${ }^{8}$ e.g. [Dionysius], Ars rhetorica 298. I Usener-Radermacher.
    9 L. Radermacher, Artium Scriptores, 9-10, conveniently collects the evidence. See Menander 430 . 13 and 434 . 11, with notes.

[^1]:    ${ }^{10}$ In general, see T. C. Burgess, Epideictic Literature, Chicago Studies in Classical Philology, 3 (1902): J. Martin, Antike Rhetorik (1974), 177-210; V. Buchheit, Untersuchungen zur Theorie des Genos Epideiktikon (1960).
    in Martin, 178.
    ${ }_{12}$ [Dion. Hal.] 283 ff. = Appendix, p. 377. ${ }^{13}$ Thuc. 2. 34 ff.
    14 Hyperides, Or. 6, Lysias, Or. 2, Demosthenes, Or. 60.
    15 See e.g. Aristot. $E E 1219^{\mathrm{b}} 8 \mathrm{ff}$.

[^2]:    16 Polycrates: Radermacher, op. cit. 128 ff. Alcidamas: ibid. 132 ff.
    17 Isocr. Helen ( $=$ Or. 10) 14.
    ${ }^{18}$ See 373.5 ff.

[^3]:    19 Evagoras (=Or. 9) 5 .
    ${ }^{20} 368$ ff., esp. 372.7 ff.
    ${ }^{21}$ F. Leo, Die gr.-röm. Biographie, 90 ff.; D. Krömer, Xenophons Agesilaos, diss. Berlin, 1971.
    ${ }^{22}$ See below, pp. xxv, ff. on progymnasmata.
    ${ }^{23}$ See 368. 9; 370. 10; 369. 18, and also Theon, Progymnasmata 68. 27 Spengel (Rhet. Gr. ii).

[^4]:    24 Diogenes Laertius 2. 55, 5. 22; Aristotle's commemorative dialogue $\Gamma_{\rho} \tilde{v}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o s$ (frs. 68-9 Rose) was about rhetorical theory.
    25 A. Gellius 10. 18.
    ${ }^{26}$ Theon, Progymn. 2, 8 (2. 68, 2. 1 1o Spengel). Cf. FGrHist 115 F 255-6.
    ${ }^{27}$ Diogenes Laertius 4. 15 : P. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, ii. 939.
    ${ }_{28}$ Susemihl, Gesch. alex. Lit., 2. 405 n. 44.
    ${ }^{29}$ FGrHist 39. Cf. [Longin.] De subl. 3.2.
    ${ }^{30} \mathrm{FGrHist} 69 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{T} 2$.
    ${ }^{31} I G$ vii. $4^{14^{-1}}$; A. Boulanger, Aelius Aristide, 34 .

[^5]:    ${ }^{32}$ See notes on 414.31 ff .
    ${ }^{33}$ i.e. chaps. III, V, X, XII, XIII, XIV, XV of Treatise II, in our (and the conventional) order. ${ }^{34} 423.28$, with note. ${ }^{35}$ G. W. Bowersock, Greek Sophists in the Roman Empire, 5 and 11 .
    ${ }^{36}$ i.e. chaps. IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, XI, XV, XVI (V and XV involve both public and private subjects).
    ${ }^{37}$ e.g. Lucian's Dionysus, De calumnia, Dipsades, De domo, Harmonides, Herodotus, Muscae encomium, Patriae encomium; Bompaire Lucien écrivain, 269 ff . on 'éléments rhétoriques épidictiques' in Lucian. The lalia (see below) is especially a Lucianic form. Many of Dio's speeches have deliberative themes or philosophical lessons; but both formal epideictic elements and the conscious informality of the lalia (cf. H. von Arnim, Dio von Prusa, 438 ff .) are ubiquitous.
    ${ }^{38}$ e.g. De fortuna Romanorum, De Alexandri virtute, De gloria Atheniensium, De esu carnium, De vitando aere alieno.

[^6]:    39 See commentary at 419 ff., 422 ff. Lucian's $\prod_{\epsilon \rho i ̀ ̀ ~}^{\pi \epsilon ́ v \theta o u s ~ p a r o d i e s ~ e m o t i o n a l ~}$ funeral speeches, and so testifies to their frequency and banality.
    ${ }^{40}$ Pliny's purpose in the Panegyricus required him to emphasize the bad features of the Domitianic era (Menander might have found this ä $\tau \in \chi \nu 0 \nu$, cf. 377. 1), the circumstances of Trajan's adoption (but not his early life), and the particular qualities which made him acceptable to the senate. The result is something very different from Menander's $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{\iota}$ ós.

[^7]:    ${ }^{41}$ See especially the survey in H. von Arnim, Dio von Prusa, chap. i.
    ${ }^{42}$ See commentary on 331. A. Hellwig (cited in commentary), 120.

[^8]:    43 Hellwig, 126.
    ${ }^{44}$ Cf. the plan of our Treatise I, and also Quintilian 3. 7. 6 (below, p. xxiii).
    45 See O. Angermann, De Aristotele rhetorum auctore (diss. Leipzig, 1904), 38 ff . ( $=$ Rhetorica, ed. P. Steinmetz (1968), 248 ff .), for Caecilius and Quintilian.
    ${ }^{46}$ See Buchheit, op. cit. 169 ff.

[^9]:    47 Cf. Cic. De oratore 2. 34 I : 'magis legendi et delectationis aut hominis alicuius ornandi quam utilitatis huius forensis causa . . $\therefore$
    ${ }^{48}$ See esp. the recent text of M. Fuhrmann (Teubner, 1966) and his Untersuchungen zur Textgeschichte . . . (Ak. d. Wiss. u. d. Lit. Mainz, Geistes- u. soz. wiss. Kl., 1964, 7).

    49 Fuhrmann, ed., xl ff. See also Buchheit, 208-31.
    ${ }^{50} 1440^{b} 12$; note the absence of the fourth cardinal virtue.

[^10]:    ${ }^{51}$ 1. 2. 1; 3.15 ff. See the notes of H. Caplan (Loeb edn.), and D. A. G. Hinks, 'Tria genera causarum', CQ 30 (1936), 170 ff.
    52 Cf. Theon, Progymn. 109. 20 Spengel.
    53 The theory of 'types of issue' ( $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota s$, status) elaborated by Hermagoras (Hermagorae Fragmenta, ed. D. Matthes, 1962) is clearly the main achievement of Hellenistic rhetoric, and it is narrowly forensic in its scope.
    54 e.g. Pl. Gorg. 477 c, Aristot. EN $1098^{\text {b }}$, Rhet. Alex. $14^{22^{a}}$; Caplan, op. cit. 174. The familiarity of the idea of 'control by the mind' may be further illustrated from the preface to Sallust's Jugurtha ('dux atque imperator vitae animus est').

[^11]:    ss But not without ethical emphases: § 70 'laudandi vituperandique rationes... etiam ad honeste vivendum valent.' It would be unnatural for Cicero to treat any rhetorical topic without reference to its moral bearing.
    56 Cf. Menander 371 . 5.
    57 § 74 (on genus) may be compared with Menander 370. 18-371. 3 .
    ${ }^{58}$ This interpretation seems natural in the context; but Cicero himself, in Philippic 2. 44-119, follows a roughly chronological order.

[^12]:    59 This fragment was printed twice over in Spengel's Rhetores, at the end of vol. ii and at the beginning of vol. iii. The author flourished under Hadrian, and wrote a lament on the death of Antinous, as well as an extant treatise on figures (see T. Schwab, Alex. Numeniu $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, Würzburg, 1916) and a $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$ much used by 'Anonymus Seguieranus' ( $=$ Spengel 1.427 ff .: edited as Cornuti artis rhetoricae epitome by J. Graeven, 1891 (reprint 1973)).

[^13]:    ${ }^{60}$ Cf. Aristot. EE $1219^{\mathrm{b}} 8$, Rhet. 1. $9.1367^{\mathrm{b}} 35$ (interpolation).
    ${ }^{61} \mathrm{Cf} .438 .12$ with notes.
    ${ }^{62}$ Cf. (e.g.) $369-77,419$ ff.; adaptation of the scheme for cities, 353 ff .
    ${ }^{63} 1444^{\circ}{ }^{2} 24$ : 'genealogy'-childhood-youth-actions grouped by cardinal virtues.
    64 See in general Kroll, RE Suppl. VII. 1118 f.; D. L. Clark, Rhetoric in Greek and Roman Education, 177 ff.

[^14]:    65 K. Barwick, Hermes 63 (1928), 283.
    
     ceeded by more elaborate ones, involving perhaps several of these items at once, as in the suasoriae and controversiae familiar from the Roman rhetorical schools (Seneca, 'Quintilian').

    67 H. Gärtner in Kleine Pauly, s.v.
    68 See note on 331. 8.
    ${ }^{69}$ Cf. [Longin.] De subl. 4. 3.

[^15]:    ${ }^{71}$ p. 22 Rabe. Aphthonius was a pupil of Libanius. The accentual clausulae in his 'fair copies' suggest a date not earlier than the late fourth century.
    ${ }^{72}$ Nicolaus of Myra, a pupil of the Neoplatonists Plutarch and Proclus, came to Athens before 430 . Ed. J. Felten (1913).
    ${ }^{73}$ Note 49.13 ff : : he knows about some of the specific forms handled in Treatise II. viz. epibatērioi, prosphōnētikoi, epithalamioi, epitaphioi.

[^16]:    ${ }^{74}$ 3. 7. 26.
    75 See on 344. 15 ff.
    76 Phot. Bibl. Cod. 239, $319^{\text {b }}$ ff. : see notes on 33 I .20 ff .

[^17]:    ${ }^{77}$ Sex. Emp. Adv. math. 1. 263 f. : notes on 333.2 ff.
    ${ }^{7} 8$ De feriis Alsiensibus 3 (Naber 224 ff.).
    79 Cf. 369-77; 379-80; 384. 14-25; 385-6; 397-8; 402-4; 413. 10; 419-20; 428-44. [Dion. Hal.] assumes the same plan: see 265, 268, 274, 278 (App. pp. 367 ff .).
    ${ }^{80}$ Possibly Minucianus, on whose Progymnasmata Menander commented.

[^18]:    ${ }^{81}$ H. North, Traditio 8 (1952), I ff.
    82 See especially [Dion. Hal.] $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\iota} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu, 293-358$ U.-R. Homer is this author's favourite quarry-naturally, in view of the dominant position he held in school education.

[^19]:    84 See notes on 441. 14; 442. 11.

[^20]:    ${ }^{85}$ See especially Silvae 2.6,3.3 (Consolatio), 2.7 (Geriethliacon), 3.2 (Propempticon), 5. 1, 3, 5 (Epikēdeion).

[^21]:    331- PMW Tit. $\hat{\eta}$ T $\Gamma \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda i ́ o v ~ \delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s ~ P ~ c o r r .: ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta ı a i ́-~$ $\rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ PMW : $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \theta \lambda \iota o \nu$ סıaip $\epsilon \sigma \iota s$ Valesius $\quad 5$ єis MW: om. $\mathrm{P} \quad 6$ 白 $\nu+\tau o i s \mathrm{~W} \quad[\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau o \iota \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i \omega \nu]$ hic MW, post $i \delta i \omega \nu \mathrm{P}$ : del. Heeren $\quad 7 \dot{\epsilon} \nu^{2}$ P: om. MW Boulaîs Walz e Ricc. 2 : बu $\mu$ ßoudaîs PMW $9\langle\tau 0 i \hat{S}\rangle$ nos: $\langle\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu\rangle$ Bursian $10 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta \nu$ Heeren : $\tau \rho \omega \omega \nu$ codd. 11 ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$ MW: $\dot{\omega} \rho \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{P}$ : fortasse delendum $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ vulg.: $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ M W: ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ P ~ o ̈ \lambda \eta s ~ W a l z: ~$ нóvov codd.: кäólov Bursian 12 тavтòs $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ M W: \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s \mathrm{P}$ ( $\mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ Kroll) $\quad 12-13$ parum intellecta $\quad 15$ ס $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{P}$ : om. MW 18 voivvn MW: om. P $19-20$ suppl. Heeren
    
     ... є́ $\rho \gamma a \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ (332. 7). Sane nulla harum sententiarum ratio haberi videtur in iis quae postea ( 332.9 sq.) de divisione hymnorum

[^22]:    * Throughout the translation, the sign (?) indicates doubt about the meaning of the immediately preceding word (or sentence); it is meant as a warning to the reader to consult the Commentary.

[^23]:    alterum $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{PM}: \tau \dot{o} \mathrm{~W}$ ，fort．recte

[^24]:    
    
     Heeren:-єто P єv่ $\chi \hat{\jmath} s$ Heeren:-aîs $\mathrm{P} \quad 30$ ép $\mu \eta \nu \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ nos: -єia $\mathrm{P}\langle\tau \circ \hat{v}\rangle \pi \rho o ̀ s$ Heeren $\quad 3^{2}$ suppl. Spengel
    338. 4 seclusimus

[^25]:    23 T $\eta \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ Finckh : $\tau i s \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{PZ} \quad 26$ ó Z : om. $\mathrm{P} \quad 28$ suppl. Heeren $\quad 32$ seclusimus, cf. supra 22
    
     5 є́ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ante $\sigma \pi \alpha v i \omega s$ vulg.

    5-6 ढ้̇ $\ddagger$ Heeren : © $_{s} \mathrm{Z}$ : om. P
    6 suppl. Spengel

[^26]:    8 secl. Nitsche 9 secl. Spengel 10 toùs . . . toùs
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^27]:    nos: $\tau о \hat{v} \mathrm{P}$ : тò Z : ro七 Bursian
    $25\left\langle{ }^{\circ} \tau \iota\right\rangle$ Ricc. 2 : om. PZ
    27 sic interpunxit Bursian $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega s \delta^{\prime}$ nos: $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\tau} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{P}: \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \mathrm{Z}$ :
     P: є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma u \mu \phi \omega v \in i ̂ Z$
    
    
    $\theta \epsilon \grave{o} \nu \mathrm{PZ}$

[^28]:    
    
    
    
     oṽ $\tau \omega \mathrm{Z}$ : oั $\pi \omega s \mathrm{P}$ : $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}} \mu \mathrm{oi} \omega \mathrm{s}$ Bursian

[^29]:     кала̀ $\tau \grave{a}$ aùrà Z , edd. $\quad 30$ тробєvктккоі Z : -os P
    
    
    
    ov̌̃as Bursian $\quad 9$ єủxàs P : aitias Z

[^30]:    13-23)
    
    
     Heeren: $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ со́татоя $\mathrm{P}: \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v \epsilon s$ Z $\quad 30$ suppl. Heeren $3^{1}$ ката-
     Z
    
    
    
    
    

[^31]:    8 каi ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{s}$. . . кацроîs PZ: secl. Bursian
    9 suppl. Ricc. 1
    
     PZ [ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ' P: secl. Heeren: $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ Z: $\pi \dot{\omega}$ Walz 13 т $\hat{\nu}$ Heeren: toîs P: om. Z
    
     non legitur) : фúaı PZ

[^32]:     т $\rho$ òs aírıaiois Nitsche 29 jà $\rho$ suspectum num〈roúrous〉
    
    345. 1-3 $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ et кaí, єǔфорos et äфорos mire confunduntur in codd.:
     (Z obscurus)

    5 बं $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{MmW}$ : om. PZ
    7 seclusimus

[^33]:    Bursian

[^34]:    
    
     P: по入úтаıठぇ Z

[^35]:    347. 3 т тótovs codd.: тóтous Bursian 4 є́тє́ $\rho o v s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v a s ~$
     $\dot{\omega} \phi \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ Bursian
[^36]:    II lacunam statuit Heeren, quam ita suppl. Bursian< $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a \tau a$
     $22 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}+\gamma \epsilon Z$
    $23 \pi a \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{MmW}: \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{P}: \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{Z}$ 26 єv̉ap $\mu$ octoîs Zm (Finckh) : ảvap $\mu-\mathrm{PMW}$

    27-8 єi ס́́ $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$ ... $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ MmW: om. PZ 28-9 єí $\delta$ ’ $a v$. . . $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ PZ: om. MmW 32 какג̀ Heeren : ката- codd.
    348. $2 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \varphi$ vulg.: $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad P$ ante corr. ut videtur: om. Z, verbis leviter mutatis (é ${ }^{\prime}$ ' iк. $\left.\pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu . ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu\right): ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \epsilon ́ \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$
    
    

[^37]:    ．．．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \rho i ́ a ~ P m W: ~ \epsilon ̆ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \rho i ́ a ~ Z ~$
    9 калà Heeren：кai PmW
    $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \in ́ \sigma \nu \mathrm{~mW}: \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \in \mathfrak{i} \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{P}$ ：om． Z

[^38]:    
     Z alterum кai PZ: om. MmW $29\langle\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota\rangle \theta \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ Nitsche
     пó $\lambda_{\iota s}$ Gudianus lacunam indicavimus: fortasse supplendum 〈äv〉 ӧ $\pi \epsilon \rho \operatorname{PmW}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{Z}$

[^39]:     $27 \kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma+\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{Z}$

    28 фаú $\lambda \eta \nu$. . . єì $\eta \phi \omega \omega_{s} \mathrm{PMmW}$ : aṽ фaû入ov Z 29 iкavŋ̀̀ post $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ M m W ~$

[^40]:     $\gamma \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v$ Finckh : é $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau о v$ codd. $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o u s$ PZ: $\gamma \epsilon \in \nu o u s$ MmW
    
     Z (Kroll) : om. cett. ( 25 фá $\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ om. Z) 25 хб́pà + каì PZ 28 suppl. vulg.
     $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha \tau \downarrow \mathrm{P} \quad \pi a \rho \dot{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{Mm}: \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\mathrm{PW}}$

[^41]:     codd. $25 \tau \grave{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{MmW}$ : om. PZ $28 \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ "I $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu$ Jacobs: $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{P}: \kappa a \tau \grave{a} \mathrm{ZW}: \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu(\pi о \tau a \mu \hat{\nu} \nu) \mathrm{Mm} \quad 30$ Kap $\quad$ ias PMW: Kpađias Z: om.m 31 тoút $\omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ Spengel : $\tau o \iota o v i \tau \omega \nu$ codd. 359. I $\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ai $Z$ : $\eta$ ท̀ cett. 3-4 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a i ́ \omega \nu$
     PMmW: $\pi \epsilon \rho i \mathrm{Z} \quad 6 \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \rho \nu \mathrm{PMmW}: \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau o \nu \mathrm{Z}$

[^42]:    
    〈каi〉 тоîs ảкóбноıs Kroll
     Jacobs : aúvaîs PMmW : ais Z, qui $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ pro $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ praebet 3 ả $\gamma o \rho a ̂ s ~ P Z: ~ o m . ~ M m W ~$

[^43]:     i.e. tractantur eventus, praetextus, res gestae; harum autem qualitas ( 27 sqq.) ex illis pendet. ita fere interpretamur $21 \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon t s$
    
     23 ขiкәข ZMmW : viкал P: viкая vulg. 30 secl. Bursian
    
    
    

[^44]:    〈 $\mathbf{0}\rangle$ suppl．Heeren
     $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{Z}: \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \eta_{\nu} \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ cett．
    366． 5 i $\delta i \omega \nu$ codd．：i iठıa Spengel $5^{-6}$ seclusimus 〈катà〉 $\grave{\alpha}$
     ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mathrm{MmW}$ ：ápєтai PZ

[^45]:     є̈rous Jacobs : toúrous codd. 12 oiovtaı P: oióv $\tau \in$ cett. 13 äv
     Bursian $14 \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}$ (Heeren): $\sigma \in \mathrm{P}: \sigma 0 \iota \mathrm{MmW} \quad 15$ úmá $\gamma \chi \eta$ PZ: $-\xi \eta \mathrm{MmW} \quad 18$ lac. ind. Heeren : alii aliter suppleverunt, sensus autem minime dubius est, e.g. 〈iठícuv $\delta \epsilon ́, ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi o v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v \cdot\rangle$ $\dot{\eta} \pi a \nu \eta \not \gamma v \rho \iota s \quad 20 \Sigma \epsilon \beta a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota a$ Ricc. $1:-\alpha ́ \sigma \tau \iota a$ codd. 21 $\sigma \nu \nu-$
    
    
     prius $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ om. W) $\quad 27 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ PMm: $\pi \epsilon \rho i \mathrm{Z} \quad \Sigma u \rho i a \nu \mathrm{PMmW}$ :
    

[^46]:    vulg．：$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \iota$ codd．：$\langle\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \omega \nu\rangle \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \iota$ Bursian 30 ó $\rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$
     cett．
    
    ȯvı入へ́gıos PMmW：om．Z

[^47]:    $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \hat{\text { ĝs } \mathrm{mV}: \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon i s ~} \mathrm{P} \quad 25$ hóvov mWX: $\mu$ óvov cett. $\mu$ óvou + тov̂ Rhak. rò Pmp: om. cett. 27 alterum $\mu \grave{\eta} \mathrm{XYp}: \mu \eta \delta \dot{e}$ cett.
     30-1 $\omega_{s} \tau \dot{\text { co }}$. . . Пaióverv codd. : om. VRhak. 31 Галатиิข каі
    

[^48]:    371. 5 addidimus 6, 8 [кai] seclusimus 8 т om. m: habent cett. vulgo post 8 $\gamma$ éve $\varepsilon \tau \nu$ interpungunt
[^49]:    372. 4 á $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ Spengel, cf. 384. 20 sq.: ả $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$
     II $\grave{\pi} \iota \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \delta \epsilon v \mu a \mathrm{mXY}:-\mu a \tau \alpha \mathrm{PW}:-\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{Z} \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ codd : secl. Spengel
[^50]:    
    
    

    PZmWXY: om. p
    PZmW, тıs aùròv XY manu recentiore: om. Pm 13 тis p: $\tau i \mathrm{Z}: \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ cett. äv+ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{c}$ 14 тoùs áp $\rho \omega \sigma$ ovov̂vas ZWXY: tòv -a P
    
     20 ขó $\mu \omega \nu$ PmWXY: ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega ิ \nu \mathrm{Zp}$

[^51]:    mWXYp: кaì ov̉ $\sigma u ̛ v \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \cdot \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{̀} \gamma v v a \iota \kappa \epsilon i o v(+\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mathrm{Z}) \phi \hat{v} \lambda_{o \nu} \mathrm{PZ}$ 14-15 лрооьцьакаîs PZmXY: -абтькаîs p

    I5 $\dot{\omega}$ є́ $ф а \mu \epsilon \nu$ codd.:
    

[^52]:    
     $22 \dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{Zp}: \dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ P m W Y$
    
    PZp: о́рıら-Y: торıら-mW

[^53]:    
    
    PZmWY: -os p 19 secl. Nitsche $19-383.7 \gamma \grave{a} \rho \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ om. Y
    $26 \tau \bar{\omega} \mathrm{ZmW}: \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}$ : om. p

[^54]:     $\kappa \pi i \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{Y} \quad 10 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{e ́ \chi \epsilon \iota}$ Nitsche: $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$ codd. 13 ш́s Finckh: of PZp: om. mWY 19 é $\theta \eta$ codd. : $\bar{\eta} \theta \eta$ Spengel,
     -os codd. $\quad 28 \mu \epsilon \mathrm{Yp}: \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{PZW}: \mu \epsilon \neq \grave{\alpha}$ (om. $力 \mathrm{\eta} \nu) \mathrm{m} \quad 30$ prius $\tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha \mathrm{PZp}$ : $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тo九av̂ra $\mathrm{mWY} \quad \mu \epsilon \mathrm{Zmp}$ : om. PWY

[^55]:    385. 4 тarpioıs PmWYp: om. Z: $\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ B u r s i a n: ~ n u m ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ? ~ ? ~$
     $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s}$ codd. $\quad$ Io $\lambda \eta_{\eta} \psi \eta \mathrm{PZmWY}: \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \psi \eta \mathrm{p}: \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ Spengel : $\pi a \rho a-$ Bursian (recte?) $12 \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \mathrm{mWYp}: ~ \dot{\eta} \mu i \hat{\nu} \mathrm{P}: \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Z}$
    
     ovัтє $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ PZmWY: om. p num $\pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{\omega \nu}\langle a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\rangle$ ? 21 тò тé̉os форлıкòv parum intellectum 22-4 öтı $\mu \eta \delta$ è . . . ката-
[^56]:    -oos Pp : $-\eta$ s cett.
    
     $26 \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \tau \iota \rho \rho o a ́ s ~ c o d d .:-o i a s$ Bursian e Vind. $\quad 28$ jp:om. cett.
     32 тó $\pi \omega \mathrm{PmWY}$ : тò $\pi \hat{a} \nu \mathrm{Zp}$ 387. 3 тov̂ PZp: om. mWY

    6 suppl. Bursian
    $7 \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{p}$ :

[^57]:     recte II ante $\mu \epsilon \gamma_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ add. cis $\mathrm{Pp} \quad$ cis PZp : om. mWY 18 dúo PZWYp: סuciv m 20 äpХovia Bursian: -as codd. $21 \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ codd.: fortasse $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta \nu \quad 26$ aú $\nu 0 \hat{v}$ Finckh: aủ $\hat{\omega}$ codd. $\quad 27 \tau \iota \nu \alpha+\dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{Z}$, Є̇ $\alpha u \tau \circ \hat{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~mW}$ ante $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ add. каì p 29 alterum $\ddot{\eta}$ PmWYp: кai $Z \quad$ з $\pi \rho о \alpha \iota \rho о \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu о \nu$ PZmWY: om. p : secl. Bursian

[^58]:    
     $\kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \iota \nu$ add. єiтєìv codd. praeter p $\quad 6$ оіко $\delta о \mu \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu+\kappa a i$ vulg. 8 prius кai p:om. cett. 9 secl. Bursian io av̉roí PmWYp: om. Z

[^59]:    
     ante $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ add. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ codd. praeter $\mathrm{p} \quad 30$ тotâs ZWP : $\pi$ oias cett.
    
    
    
    
     of ZmWY : num roúrou? às Zp : om. cett. 14 dià dàıâs p :
    

[^60]:    
    
     тétrıyas cett. $15 \hat{\eta} \mathrm{Pp}$ : om. mWY

[^61]:    392． 2 गु Bursian：${ }^{\eta} \mathrm{p}$ ：om．cett．
    3 〈каi〉supplevimus коьข $\hat{\eta}$ пáv $\nu a s$ hic Bursian：post $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~(4) ~ h a b e n t ~ c o d d . ~ 4 ~ i ́ s ~$
     PmWY：$\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\eta} \beta$ ous $\mathrm{p} \quad \epsilon i \delta \epsilon s$＋tov̀s Pm 〈ov̂s〉 $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon s$ Bursian
    
    fort．recte，cf．393． 18
    $14 \chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \iota \mu о \nu \mathrm{Pp}$ ：$\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \mathrm{mWY}$
    I5 aủt $\hat{\nu}$ codd．av $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Bursian
     $\sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ codd．$\quad \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Pp}: \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{mWY}$

[^62]:    19 єióéval codd. (sed $\delta e ̀$ eival P) : סiêéval Bursian
    21 каi
    
    
    Pp: $\pi a \rho \rho^{\prime} \chi \in \iota \mathrm{mWY}$ pretatur Bursian 25 Xopeíau ut Maenadum nomen inter-
     29-30 $\pi a v \tau o \delta a \pi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{PY}:-\eta े \nu ~ c e t t . ~$ $32 \gamma \mathrm{à} \rho \mathrm{p}$ : om. cett.

[^63]:    393. r-2 locus nondum sanatus: $\delta \epsilon i ̂ . . . \delta \epsilon ́ v \delta \rho \omega \nu$ secl. Bursian, kai
    
     ( $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mathrm{m}$ ) $\delta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu \pi \circ \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{mWY}$ 9-12 каi. . . тảd $\delta \rho \rho^{\prime}$ in parenthesi esse videntur $12 \gamma$ à $\rho$ p:
    
     - às cett. $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ Finckh : $\lambda_{o} \gamma \omega \nu \mathrm{Pp}$ : om. mWY 17 supplevimus
    
    
[^64]:    
    
     12 äv Pp : om. mWY 15 úmapxov́ 1 19 àфaıpєítal Pp: áфaıpєî mWY 22 бứ $\pi \pi a \sigma a \nu$ PmYp: $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi a \nu \mathrm{~W}$

[^65]:    $\kappa a i\rangle$ Eủpúa, ${ }^{2}$ Spengel 20 prius кai: in hoc verbo desinit $Y$
     -o $\theta \dot{\mu} \mu \in \theta a \mathrm{P} \quad 25$ є่ $\pi i$ Pp: $\pi \rho$ òs $\mathrm{mW} \quad 26-397.7$ in p lectu difficillima: omisisse videtur ov̀ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$. . . $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (27), quae verba in B omittuntur $\quad 26$ oṽт $\omega \mathrm{Pp}$ : om. mW

[^66]:    29 sqq. Apє८os $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: sententia parum expedita
    
    $30 \kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda o s$
    
    397. 2 oíos $\gamma$ à $\rho$ codd.: $\grave{\eta} \lambda i ́ \theta$ ıos Nitsche $\quad \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda о ф \rho o \nu \omega ̂ \nu$ p: $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a$ $\phi \rho o \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{PmW} 3$ тòv $\phi_{i} \lambda_{0 \nu}$ codd. : $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ Bursian 4 каi
    
    
    

[^67]:    Ape日ov́ans PWp: om. m
    32 ётєєб兀 Bursian: ä $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \mathrm{PW}$ :
    
    
    

[^68]:    403. $3 \pi \rho o \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ Finckh: $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ codd.
    $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \operatorname{codd} . \quad 6$ тov̂̃o nos: $\tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ codd.
    $5 \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ Bursian:
    
[^69]:    
    404. 2 ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \omega$ р p - $-\omega \nu \mathrm{PmW} \quad 3-4$ èvvá́pXovaà $\mathrm{p}: \dot{v} \pi-\mathrm{PmW}$ 6-7 кал入íc $\omega$ Walz: - $\omega \nu$ PmW: om. p

[^70]:     12－14 кád入os ．．．тaû̃a mWp：om．P 14 ante áкๆко́a $\kappa \in \nu$ add．тò m ：expectes öт七 16 द́ $\rho \in i ̂ \nu \mathrm{mWp}$ ：om．P：secl．Nitsche I 3 suppl．Bursian 15 suppl．Bursian
    17 ämaбa nos：ä $\pi$ as
    
    
     $27 \mu \alpha \iota \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ Finckh：$\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ codd． 28 єu่ $\quad$ ク̀̀ $\nu \mathrm{mWp}$ ：
    
    
     32 คadıvós PmW：คo ${ }^{2} \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ p ~$

[^71]:     31 тò p : om. mW
    406. I ante тои̂то add. кaì $\mathrm{mW} \quad \delta \epsilon \grave{m W}$ : om. p $2 \pi a \rho a \iota \nu o u ̂ \nu-$
    $\tau \epsilon_{\mathrm{s}}+\kappa \alpha \mathrm{mW} \quad 4 \tau \hat{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~S}+\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \hat{s} \mathrm{~mW} \quad 6$ тà Wp: $\tau \grave{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{m}$
    
    

[^72]:    31 vєavías codd.: num $\nu \nu \mu \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ?
    
     p : aữท̂ mW

[^73]:    $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ secl. Bursian
    $12 \phi u \lambda a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ vulg.: - $\epsilon \iota s$ vel $-\epsilon \iota \nu$ codd. $13 \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ Spengel: $\mu \eta \theta \nexists \nu \mathrm{P}$ : $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \in \mathrm{Mmp} \quad 15$ проєі $\rho \eta \tau a \imath$ codd.
     $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùvêv, i.e. ab iisdem locis quibus utitur monodia 17 ขéos Pp : vóaos Mm qui in hoc verbo desinunt oũt P : тov̂тo p
    

[^74]:    seclusimus oiov codd. : num totov̂тov? $\quad 16$ àvıapà $\mathrm{P}: \mu \iota a \rho a ̀ \mathrm{P}$ 17 оікєîv p: оік $\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{P} \quad 22$ $\theta \epsilon i o u$ p: $\theta \epsilon o \hat{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{P}$

[^75]:    Westermann ：ধ่ $\pi i$ тoîs $\dot{\rho} \eta \tau 0$ îs PW ：om．mp ：secl．Bursian $\quad 18\langle\tau \grave{o}\rangle$
    
     Spengel：ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ codd．〈$\quad\langle\pi \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu}\rangle$ dubitanter addidimus：〈 $\epsilon i \leqslant\rangle \delta a ́-$ $\kappa \rho \cup\langle a \sigma v \gamma \chi\rangle \in i ̂ \nu$ Nitsche

[^76]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^77]:     $16 \AA$ ®̈ PmB: p non legitur

    17 à̇тò codd.: aùт $\hat{\text { Kin }}$ Kroll $17-18 \kappa \rho \eta \pi i s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{p}: \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{P}: \chi \rho \bar{\jmath} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \mathrm{mW} \quad 18$ え̀a $\mu \pi \rho \dot{\partial}$
     tato Westermann: -os $\mathrm{Pp}:-\epsilon \nu \mathrm{mW} \quad 22 \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta \mathrm{~s}$ Westermann:
    
    
     $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \phi \eta_{\eta} \tau \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon+\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mathrm{m}$

[^78]:    30 aủ $\frac{1}{a}$ codd.: $\tau$ à Soffel : fortasse $a u ̀ \tau \hat{\eta}$ : locus nondum expeditus
     $32 \pi a \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \rho \iota \nu$ Pmp: -єıs W
    
     $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ codd. : $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ Nitsche 〈єivaı $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu\rangle$ Nitsche: 〈 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\rangle$... $\theta \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \omega \nu\langle\epsilon i \nu a i\rangle$ iam Bursian $\quad 7 \pi \rho o \sigma \omega ் \pi \omega \nu$ p: $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ PmW
    
    
    
    
    

[^79]:     $\pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu v$ vel $\pi a \rho a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ codd. 13 入oı $\pi \grave{\nu}$ Bursian: $\lambda_{0} \pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ mWp : om. P $\quad 15$ ante $\pi \rho o o_{s}$ add. кoovn̂ B et fortasse p
     PrnW $24 \tau \hat{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ Kroll : $\tau \hat{\eta}_{S}-\hat{\eta} S$ codd. : num $\langle\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}\rangle \tau \hat{\eta}_{s} \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ? 26 то́тог $\operatorname{PmW}$ : 入óyov p

[^80]:    423. $4 \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{p}: \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu \mathrm{~mW}$ : $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ o \nu \mathrm{P}$
    
    
    
     mus $\quad 30 \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v i \tau a s+\kappa \alpha i \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau$ às $p$, unde coni. Bursian каі $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau а s$ каі $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau i \delta \alpha$ s
[^81]:    
    

[^82]:     $\mathrm{mWp}: \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{P} \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{mWp}$ ：om． $\mathrm{P} \quad$ ı 6 §̀̀ Pp ：$\gamma$ à $\rho \mathrm{mW}$
    
     $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{P}: \pi \rho \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \mathrm{mW}$ $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} s \mathrm{p}$ ：om． mW ：є $\dot{\nu} \rho \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ Kroll 24 єข่тขхท⿱㇒日， Pas ： 24－5 оข่ঠє́тот＇PWp：
     $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ t \eta \tau o s ~ c o d d$.
    $28 \sigma \epsilon \mu v v_{v o v \tau a \iota ~}^{\mathrm{mW}}$ ：є̇ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ v́vovтo Pp

[^83]:    
    
    
    
    
     -ov m 2 каi... $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon ́ к т \eta \mu \alpha ~ P W p: ~ o m . ~ m ~ 4 ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ W ~$ (Finckh): $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{mp}: \tau \grave{\partial} \mathrm{P} \quad 5$ suppl. Bursian 8 〈кал $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}$ 〉 $\overleftarrow{\epsilon}_{\chi} \chi \epsilon \iota$ Bursian, fortasse recte

[^84]:    
     $\nu 0 \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ transponendum

[^85]:    434. I бo兀 PmWY: oov p $\quad 2$ ס $\dot{\epsilon}$ PWYp: om. m: num $\gamma$ à ?
    $5\langle\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\rangle \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \epsilon_{\iota} \xi \iota \nu$ Spengel 434. 10 hoc caput habent PmWp
    $6 \mu o ́ \nu \eta \nu$ mWY (Walz) : $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu$ Pp
    $13 \tau \hat{\eta}$ suspectum
[^86]:     W）：del．Walz，Finckh $\quad 5 \bar{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ p：$-\epsilon \nu$ Pm：om．W： $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu$
    
     ä́ıко⿱ ．．．ópívacal PmW：om．p 13 ante oiov add．oiov $\gamma \alpha{ }_{c} \rho$ PmW 14 то入入áкıs тav̉zà Bursian：$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тoıav̂тa codd．

[^87]:    
     $22\langle\ddot{\eta}\rangle$
    
    
    436. I à $\nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \dot{u} a \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{P}$ : $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon-\mathrm{P}: \sigma_{\nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \delta a \sigma \epsilon \nu} \mathrm{~m}: \delta_{\iota \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \delta a \sigma \epsilon \nu} \mathrm{~W}$

[^88]:     $\mathrm{PmW} \quad 29 \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{PmW}: \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{p}$ ( $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o \hat{v}$ ü $\mu \nu o v$ Bursian)
    439. I Taprápov mW: -oıs P: - $\omega \nu \mathrm{p} \quad 4$ '́ $\xi \in \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ P p: ~$
    
    

[^89]:    $10 \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ Bursian : $-\omega \nu \mathrm{p}: \pi \rho o ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~mW} \quad \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \tau \circ$ Bursian : $\epsilon i \chi \epsilon \operatorname{codd}$.
    
     codd.
    $24 \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu+\kappa \alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{mW}}{ }$
    26 олокли́pous p: ö ${ }^{2}$ ous mW

[^90]:    
     $\delta \epsilon i v \mathrm{~mW}$ : secl. Nitsche $\quad$ II suppl. Bursian $\quad 14$ ó̀ $\dot{\rho} \theta \theta v$ -
    
     17 крєítrova codd.: $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ крєítrove Bursian $18 \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{p}: \delta \grave{\eta}$
    
     Isocr. Panegyr. 44

    27 тò то๐๐ûтo p : тоûтo mW : тоиิто 〈тò〉

[^91]:    ${ }^{1} T \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \nu \eta \gamma \nu \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. This chapter does not correspond with any in M. (though $K \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta{ }_{s}$ and $\Sigma \mu \iota \nu \iota \iota \kappa o ́ s$ are related to it), and a brief analysis may assist the reader:
    255. 3-256. 13. General introduction : festivals are gifts of the gods, instituted by wise men and cities, adorned and assisted by the participants according to their tasks and abilities.
    256. 14-257. 5. Praise of the god is thus the first topic.
    257. 6-19. Next comes praise of the city.
    257. 20-258. in. Origin of the festival and comparison with others: seasonal advantages.
    258. 12-19. Types of competition.
    258. 20-259. 15. The prizes.
    259. 16-24. Concluding praise of the emperor.
    260. 1-17. Appropriate style.
    ${ }^{2}$ Laws 2. 653 C-D.

[^92]:    ${ }^{3}$ 256. 4: we follow Hermann in omitting $\lambda$ éyous.
    4 Unknown; the name is most familiar as that of a character in Plato's Phaedo, and is not common in imperial times.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hes. Theog. 22-34. ${ }^{6}$ 256. 16: read $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ [sc. $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \gamma u ́ \rho \epsilon \omega s$ ] for $\tau 0 \hat{v}$.
    7 Pind. Ol.6.3. ${ }^{8}$ Cf. M. 337. 1, 448. 12, and notes.
    ${ }^{9}$ In general, see M. 346 ff .
    ${ }^{10}$ Hdt. 1. 180 ('three or four' roofs, not 'five or six').

[^93]:    ${ }^{11}$ Cf. M. 366. 10.
    ${ }^{12}$ Acorns are traditionally the food of primitive humanity: see e.g. Virg. Georg. 1. $14^{8 .}$
    ${ }^{13}$ Cf. e.g. Aristid. Panath. 41.
    14 i.e. orators have to burn the midnight oil to compose their speeches. A scholion (cited by Radermacher) alludes to Demosthenes' speeches 'smelling of the lamp'.

    15 i.e. the story of her metamorphosis, as in Ov. Met. I. 452 ff.
    ${ }^{16}$ As at the Isthmia : cf. Plu. Quaest. Conv. 5. 3 ( 675 D ff.) for lore on this subject.

[^94]:    17 Presumably Plato.
    ${ }^{18}$ Mé $\theta_{0} \delta o s$ ya ${ }^{2} \lambda_{i} \omega \nu$. See on M. 399. 1 I ff. It is probably only coincidence that the chapters of [Dion. Hal.] which have $\mu$ é $\theta_{0} \delta \delta_{o s}$ in the title (II-VI) are also those which correspond with subjects treated in $M$. There seems no special significance in the term $\mu$ é $\theta o \delta o s$; the chapters which do not have it (I and VII) cohere together, but are indistinguishable in scope and pattern from those which do.

[^95]:    19 Cf．M．400． 32 ff．and notes．
     $\alpha u \dot{u} \hat{\eta} s$ is an interpolation．

[^96]:    ${ }^{21}$ Od．4． 563.
    ${ }^{22}$ A variant of the normal legend，which makes Peleus die in Cos；his＇im－ mortality＇does not seem to be mentioned elsewhere．
    ${ }^{23}$ We retain P＇s $\epsilon i$ in 264． 19 （oi Rad．），and provisionally accept Sylburg＇s ＜$\pi ⿰ 丿 ⺄ ⿱ ㇒ 日 \epsilon$ ì in 20 ，which at least gives the sense required．

[^97]:    24 This fragment of a sentence (266. 10-12) is clearly out of place here. Since ancestry is discussed ( $265.14-18$ ) under the two heads of (i) similarity, (ii) dissimilarity, we may expect a similar treatment of 'education and accomplishments'. This fragment may therefore be a portion of a passage which belongs before ötav $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$. $(265.21)$.
    ${ }^{25}$ Cf. M. 390. I.

[^98]:    ${ }^{28}$ Text and logic uncertain，and perhaps affected by interpolation．We transpose
    

    29 Tydeus：Il．5．8or．Conon：we have been unable to find other evidence for this fact．

[^99]:    30 Cf. M.'s катєuvaбтıкós, 405.14 ff ., with notes.
    ${ }^{31}$ A curious touch of realism; the author is so disturbed, not now by academic duties (as at 261. 4 ff .) but by some domestic move, that he forgets to write out the ' $\boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \theta a \lambda a ́ \mu \iota o s$ before the $\gamma \in \nu \in \theta \lambda \iota a \kappa o ́ s$.
    $32 \nu \in о \mu \eta \nu i a ;$ but perhaps $i \in p o \mu \eta \nu i a$ should be read?

[^100]:    ${ }^{38}$ The manuscript indicates that 'Book II' begins here. For epitaphios, cf. M. 418. 6 ff. with notes.

    39 i.e. the sophist and poet Critias.

[^101]:    ${ }^{40}$ Thucydides and Plato．
    ${ }^{41}$ Demosthenes．
    ${ }^{42}$ This man composed a speech for the death of Mausolus．Cf．Radermacher， $A S 193 \mathrm{f}$ ．
    ${ }^{43}$ i．e．＇cease from composing such things＇．But $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \xi \mathbf{\xi} v \sigma$ for $\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$（＇nor will such subjects cease ．．${ }^{\prime}$ ）is perhaps to be preferred．
    ${ }^{44}$ Read $\pi \rho \alpha \hat{\xi} \epsilon \omega \nu$ for $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{\omega}$（278．18）．
    45 Menex． 237 D ff．
    ${ }^{46}$ Oracle in Hdt．7． 142.
    ${ }^{47}$ Cf．M． 353.4 ff．；but＇$I \omega v{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ a is inexplicable，and we suspect corruption ； perhaps a lacuna should be marked after $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi i \sigma \theta \eta$（279．3）i．e．before＇like Ionia＇． ${ }^{48}$ We accept Sauppe＇s $\dot{o}$ тótos oútos（279．18）．

[^102]:    49 Plato, Menex. 244 D; Thuc. 2. 42.
    50 Read $\theta_{\rho \eta \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu}\langle\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}\rangle$ in 281. 3. ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \pi a \theta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu(281.4-7)$ is a parenthesis.
    ${ }^{51} \pi \rho a ́ \omega s$ (Brinkmann) for fộov (281. 12).
    52 Radermacher is right to suspect an omission: we translate 〈ảmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu>\kappa a i$ ảлò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \hat{\omega} \nu(281,20)$.

[^103]:    53 Aesch. Telephius fr. 239 Nauck.
    s4 Cf. Menander, Monostichi 583 Jaekel = Stob. 4. 52, 27: ôv oi $\theta \in o i l ~ \phi ı \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~$
    
    ss $\lambda_{\text {ıtapòv }} \gamma^{\eta} \rho a s:$ Od. 1 1. 136, 19. 368, 23. 283; not used in Homer of Nestor.
    

[^104]:    57 This chapter has no parallel in M. It should follow closely on I (Panegyricus), and this is strongly suggested by 283. 22 ff . Four main topics are handled: (i) 284. $4-287.15$, consideration based on the speaker's identity and position; (ii) 287 . 16-289. 2, thesis on festivals; (iii) $289.3-8$, encomium on the city; (iv) 289.8 290.5, on the festival itself. The rest is mainly a warning against cheating.

    58 i.e. with the content of chap. I, see previous note.
    59 The general sense of 284 . 2-4 is clear, however uncertain the wording. Possibly
    
     an alternative is to place this clause ('as I know . . . various people') after 'competitions'.

[^105]:    ${ }^{61}$ Radermacher rightly observes that this sentence is out of place; the point of it is anyway obscure.

