See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304249258

Servitization: Revisiting the State-of-the-art and Research Priorities

Article in International Journal of Operations & Production Management · January 2017

DOI: 10.1108/IJOPM-06-2015-0312

CITATIONS

32

READS

2,282

6 authors, including:



Tim S Baines

Aston University

102 PUBLICATIONS 3,208 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Ali Ziaee Bigdeli

Aston University

36 PUBLICATIONS 223 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Oscar F. Bustinza

University of Granada

49 PUBLICATIONS 563 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Victor Guang Shi

The University of Sheffield

31 PUBLICATIONS 213 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Gamification for Servitization: Transforming the Adoption of Product-Service Systems through Innovations in Applied Gaming Technology View project



Pebbled in collaborative group assessment View project

Servitization: Revisiting the State-of-the-art and Research Priorities

Tim Baines ^a, Ali Ziaee Bigdeli ^{a*}, Oscar F. Bustinza ^b, Victor Guang Shi ^c, James Baldwin ^c and Keith Ridgway ^c

^a Aston Business School, Aston University, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK. b University of Granada, Granada, SPAIN.

^c Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre University of Sheffield, Rotherham, S60 5TZ, UK.

Abstract

Purpose – This paper consolidates the servitization knowledge base from an organisational change perspective, identifying developed, developing and undeveloped topics to provide a platform that directs future research.

Design/methodology/approach – This paper addresses three objectives: a) it comprehensively examines organisational change management literature for selection of a theoretical framework, b) it classifies extant studies within the framework through a systemic literature review, and (c) it analyses 232 selected papers and proposes a research agenda.

Findings – Analysis suggests increasing global awareness of the importance of services to manufacturers. However, some topics, especially related to servitization transformation, remain undeveloped.

Research limitations/implications – Although the authors tried to include all publications relevant to servitization, some might not have been captured. Evaluation and interpretation relied on the research team and subsequent research workshops.

Practical implications – One of the most significant challenges for practitioners of servitization is how to transform a manufacturing organisation to exploit the opportunity. This paper consolidates literature regarding servitization, identifying progress concerning key research topics and contributing a platform for future research. The goal is to inform research to result eventually in a roadmap for practitioners seeking to servitize.

Originality/value – Although extant reviews of servitization identify themes that are examined well, they struggle to identify unanswered questions. This paper addresses this gap by focusing on servitization as a process of organisational change.

Keywords – servitization, organisational change, systemic literature review, advanced services

Article Classification - Research paper

1. Introduction

Interest in the role of services in manufacturing continues to grow (Bustinza *et al.*, 2015). Since Vandermerwe and Rada (1988) exposed the servitization phenomena in a manufacturing context, and Wise and Baumgartner (1999) highlighted the value of going downstream, research has progressed steadily. Between 1991 and 2000, 22 articles were published on the topic, increasing to 101 between 2001 and 2010 (Lightfoot *et al.*, 2013). These publications came from a range of communities; researchers of services marketing, service management, operations management, product-service systems, and service sciences are all contributing, establishing the field.

Conceptual foundations of servitization are consequently establishing. Definitions have coalesced as servitization being a process of building revenue streams for manufacturers from services (Johnstone *et al.*, 2009; Baines and Lightfoot, 2013; Smith *et al.*, 2014). A manufacturer can offer various forms of such services, ranging from those supporting a good to those supporting customers (Mathieu, 2001; Eggert et al., 2014). These broadly categorise into base (e.g., goods and spare parts), intermediate (e.g., helpdesks, training, maintenance, repairs, and overhauls), and advanced services (e.g., customer support agreements and outcome contracts) (Baines and Lightfoot, 2013). Examples of companies delivering advanced services include Alstom and ABB (Miller *et al.*, 2002; Davies, 2004), Thales Training and Simulation (Mulholland, 2000; Davies, 2004), and Rolls-Royce Aerospace (Howells, 2000). Advanced services are receiving significant attention from researchers (Spring and Araujo, 2009; Baines and Lightfoot, 2013).

The motives for and benefits to competing through advanced services are also becoming documented. These include growth in revenue and profit (Eggert *et al.*, 2014), improving responses to customer needs (Ostrom *et al.*, 2010), improving product innovation (Eggert *et al.*, 2011), building new revenue streams (Baines and Lightfoot, 2013), increasing customer loyalty (Gaiardelli, Songini, *et al.*, 2014; Saccani *et al.*, 2014), and setting higher barriers to competition (Oliva and Kallenberg, 2003; Durugbo, 2014). Collectively, these group into defensive (i.e., cost reductions for customers and competitor lockouts for providers) and offensive (i.e., business growth for both customers and providers) components (Baines and Shi, 2015). The potential for these benefits is stimulating manufacturers to explore servitization, and advanced services particularly. However, how to deliver these services is challenging many companies.

The literature recently explored the broad topic of organisational design. There is a recognition that delivering advanced services demands capabilities that differ from those used during production (Oliva and Kallenberg, 2003; Gebauer *et al.*, 2005; Datta and Roy, 2010; Ceci and Masini, 2011; Biege *et al.*, 2012). A variety of authors addresses this topic. Spring and Araujo (2009) provide a conceptual framework for intra-firm capabilities, and Roy and Cheruvu (2009) offer a similar framework, focusing on infrastructural factors. Baines and Lightfoot (2013) identify six practices and technologies that manufacturers deploy to deliver advanced services. Although many questions remain unanswered, or need to be answered more convincingly, a picture of how manufacturing organisations should be configured to deliver advanced services is emerging, but researchers have given less attention to processes of servitization.

Transforming a manufacturing organisation to compete through advanced services is a challenge to both researchers and practitioners, and only a few notable contributions address the topic. Martinez *et al.* (2010) argue that although there exists significant literature and theoretical frameworks in the general field of organisational change, no models explain change toward servitization. Identifying four types of service networks and the capabilities required for forming such networks, Gebauer *et al.* (2013) discuss transformation toward providing integrated solutions for products and services. In general however, research on this topic is fragmented and discursive, and a clear and inclusive research agenda has yet to be established. This is inevitably a barrier to the adoption of servitization.

A substantial body of literature regarding servitization of manufacturing is forming. This is further evidenced through review articles over the past decade; Baines *et al.* (2007) review 40, Baines *et al.* (2009) 58, Sakao *et al.* (2009) 103, Velamuri *et al.* (2011) 169, Beuren *et al.* (2013) 149, Lightfoot *et al.* (2013) 148, and Hou and Neely (2013) 166. These reviews provide invaluable signposts for researchers, reflecting debates and priorities at various times, and are cited extensively. Consequently, review papers have yet to address the processes of servitization highlighted above. This paper address this gap by consolidating the servitization knowledge base from the perspective of organisational change, and identifying topics both developed and undeveloped to direct future research.

Adopting a traditional approach to reviewing literature would be insufficient to achieve these objectives. Although traditional reviews succeed at identifying themes that the literature examines extensively, they struggle to establish questions that remain unanswered. We overcome this limitation by focusing on servitization as a process of organisational change, and adopting a theoretical framework from related literature (Pettigrew, 1988; Pye and

Pettigrew, 2005). We then conduct a systemic literature review (Tranfield *et al.* 2003) using the framework to elucidate the strengths and weaknesses found in research, synthesising an agenda for future studies. The goal is to guide researchers so they can develop a plan for manufacturers wishing to servitize.

2. A Theoretical Framework

2.1. Theoretical frameworks of organisational change

In markets characterised by innovative technologies, fluctuating customer preferences, and dynamic competition, it is not a question of whether firms should change, but of where, how, and in what direction they must change (Meyer, 2007). Organisational change represents a difference in form, quality, or state over time (Van de Ven and Poole, 1995), motivated by alignment between a firm's basic setup and environmental attributes (Rajagopalan and Spreitzer, 1997). It is a matter of continually renovating organisational structure and capabilities to serve evolving customer requirements.

Developing a holistic framework that evaluates organisational change has been an enduring quest of scholars in management studies for years. Often, theoretical frameworks and approaches to organisational change management are contradictory (Todnem By, 2005), focusing on specific change parameters. Researchers are inattentive to broad, systemic, simultaneous exploration of variables that are salient during organisational change (Self *et al.*, 2007).

Several prominent studies, for instance, focus on the "content" (or the effect of change) on the people, processes, or organisations, evaluating the outcomes of events measured in terms of both performance (e.g., process improvement metric) and/or state (e.g., existence of a new technology). Such studies include Burke and Litwin's (1992) model, which explains how an individual behaviour and psychological condition are affected by organisational change; and Kanter's (2003) model captures how business structure and layers are influenced by change initiatives.

A second group of studies concentrates on the "contexts" (i.e., circumstances or situations of change), taking both internal and external perspectives (Armenakis and Bedeian, 1999). For instance, Haveman (1992) analyses legislative and technological factors and their effects on organisational change; and Cross *et al.* (2013) explain how variables in a business ecosystem influence organisational change.

A third group of studies focuses on the "processes" (i.e., the method of change), encompassing different phases through which organisational change progresses (Self et al.,

2007). An example is Lewin (1947), compromising unfreezing, moving, and freezing phases. Several scholars (Armenakis *et al.*, 2000; Kotter and Cohen, 2002) elaborate on Lewin's classic change model for practitioners to use as a framework for introducing organisational change.

Only a handful organisational change models draw together content, context, and process variables simultaneously. Prominent among them is a framework proposed by Pettigrew (1988), and later by Whipp *et al.* (1989) and Pye and Pettigrew (2005). Focusing on the organisation, the framework can be used to understand why change occurs (through analysis of outer and inner contexts), how changes take place (through analysis of processes), and subsequent effects on people, processes, and organisations (through analysis of content). This integrative structure complements our goal of conducting a broad and inclusive study of the servitization process, and so has been adopted for this study.

2.2. Development of a theoretical framework to review servitization

Pettigrew (1988) subdivides the categories of context, content, and process into a fluid range of descriptors regarding change. They deserve careful consideration to ensure they are a) relevant and meaningful to the study of servitization, b) broad, inclusive, and up-to-date, and c) sufficiently defined to study the topic. In this section we reflect upon the categories in the Pettigrew model and extend these to develop our own theoretical framework to study servitization.

Context of transformation

Pettigrew (1988) suggests that two aspects of "context" should be considered when evaluating change: a) the inner context, which refers to internal factors, and b) the outer context, which refers to external factors. More recently within strategic change literature (Kelly and Amburgey, 1991; Pye and Pettigrew, 2005; Hatch, 2012), these factors are extended to include (internal) organisational structure, corporate culture, power and leadership, political characteristics, strategic directions, degree of trust, and stage of board development, and (external) political, economic, social, technological, environmental, industrial, and regulatory components. Clearly all such factors have the potential to affect the adoption of servitization within a manufacturing organisation.

Process of transformation

Examination of organisational change literature shows "processes" are considered variously, causing confusion (Pettigrew *et al.*, 2001; Langley *et al.*, 2013). Pettigrew (1997) defines process as a sequence of individual and collective events, actions, and activities unfolding over time in context. Van de Ven (1992) and Van de Ven and Sun (2011) extend this by viewing process in three ways: a) as a logic or model that explains a causal relationship between independent and dependent variables, b) as a category of concepts of individual and organisational actions such as decision-making techniques, and strategy formulation and implementation methods, and c) as a sequence of events that describe how things change over time. These distinctions clarify process terminology, and so we adopted them for our framework as models, techniques, and pathways.

Content of transformation

"Content" deals with the effect or outcome of the process. Strategic management literature traditionally views content at the levels of function, business, and/or corporation (Wit *et al.*, 2010; Wheelen and Hunger, 2011). This view was extended recently to include organisational networks. Collectively, these four levels capture the results of change holistically, and so are incorporated in the theoretical framework

Descriptive and prescriptive orientation

The Pettigrew framework is often applied to retrospective studies of change, describing how events occurred (Stockdale and Standing, 2006). Although manufacturing practitioners are inspired by such studies, they invariably seek guidance concerning implementing change, and the research community responds. It is therefore important to ensure the framework expands to capture research that both describes how change occurred and prescribes how to approach change. These distinctions are interlinked and complimentary, but academics and scientists are drawn to descriptive contributions and evidence, whereas practitioners seek prescriptions to overcome businesses problems.

Resultant theoretical framework

These distinctions, combined with the three sets of factors outlined above, enable a theoretical framework that represents disparate aspects of organisational change. Table 1

Accepted for publication at International Journal of Operations & Production Management – 24 July 2016 DOI: 10.1108/IJOPM-06-2015-0312

demonstrates this, providing a platform for evaluating current knowledge regarding servitization from an organisational change perspective.

		CONTEXT OF THE CHANGE								PROCESS OF CHANGE		OUTCOMES OF CHANGE										
				External				Internal														
		Political	Economic	Social	Technological	Environmental	Industry	Regulation	Structure	Culture	Power	Political Characteristics	Strategic Directions	Level of Trust	Stage of Board Dev. (Age)	Models	Techniques	Pathways	Network Level	Corporate Level	Business Level	Functional Level
RESEARCH ORIENTATION	DESCRIPTIVE (when, how, and what change occurred)	What was the situation when the change occurred?										How o	did the o	_	W	hat cha	anged	?				
	PRESCRIPTIVE (when, what, and how should change occur)	When should the change take place?											w should inge occ		II	hat she chang		e				

Table 1. A theoretical framework developed to critique servitization knowledge stocks

3. Methodology

We explore servitization as a process of organisational change. The theoretical framework (Table 1) summarises factors a study in this domain expects to explore. By reviewing current knowledge on servitization using the framework, the strengths and weaknesses of extant research are revealed, and an agenda can be developed to guide future research.

3.1. Choice of review procedure

Servitization studies are conducted across several research communities (Baines *et al.*, 2009; Lightfoot *et al.*, 2013). Any review of the topic must be sufficiently broad to encompass these communities, and sufficiently rigorous to ensure results are reliable. A systemic literature review methodology (Tranfield *et al.*, 2003) was designed to manage diverse knowledge for academic inquiry. Our study therefore adopted the three generic stages of the systematic review process: a) detailed planning and scoping of the search, with precise definitions of the aim and objectives to be addressed, b) rigorous execution to identify and select publications, and assess the quality, relevance, and strength of results, and c) compiling, analysing, and reporting results of the review. A summary of each stage follows.

3.2. Planning and scope

Databases were identified to cover the broad range of relevant research communities comprehensively. These included Compendex, Inspec, Scopus, Web of Science, ProQuest, ABI Inform, and Emerald. For completeness, a similar search of Internet sources was planned using Google Scholar. One problem with the topic of servitization is that scholars use disparate terms to describe transformation toward advanced services (Baines *et al.*, 2009), and the boundaries of those terms remain blurred (Hou and Neely, 2013). A range of keywords were therefore identified initially, including *service operations*, *service integration*, *servitization*, *service economy*, *integrated solutions*, *product-related services*, *aftermarket*, and *service science*. Three more keywords combined with "services" were also used including *sustainability*, *classification*, and *framework*. Many of these keywords were combined with *manufacturing* and *product* to ensure their relevance to this study.

This breadth of databases and keywords resulted in a range of publications, with varying relevance to this review. To accommodate this, the review focused on journal publications available in English. We then used a simple grading system to rank papers according to the extent to which they address servitization, and associated topics of product-service systems

(PSS) and advanced services. Those papers that used these terms frequently were ranked highest and reviewed first.

3.3. Execution and results

Based on the process described above, 302 peer-reviewed journal articles were identified. An initial screening discounted manuscripts that a) lacked clear contributions to servitization research, focusing on the term to explore another subject/issue, b) focused on only service industries such as tourism, or c) focused on sustainability and supply chain management, with no relevance to servitization. The subsequent pool of articles was recorded in a spreadsheet. These steps condensed the dataset to 232 articles. The papers were written by 183 lead authors, and published between January 1988 and April 2015.

The articles were categorized according to broad academic disciplines and associated researcher communities (Lightfoot *et al.*, 2013). Table 2 shows principal communities contributing to servitization, with the bulk of contributions from operations management and with International Journal of Operations and Production Management (IJOPM) particularly a journal central to contributions. Further examination revealed a recent increase in servitization papers published in marketing and innovation/technology disciplines. The United Kingdom has the highest number of publications in the area of servitization (120 papers), followed by Finland (36) and Sweden (35).

Examples of highly cited journals	No. of articles	Discipline	Researcher community
IJOPM , JOM, IJPE, IJPR, EMJ,	131	Operations	Operations management
IMM, JM, JBIM	36	Marketing	Services marketing
Technovation, I&M	9	Innovation & technology	Innovation management
JSM, SQM, JSR,	48	Service	Service management
JCP	8	Ecological & environmental	Product-service systems
Number of core articles	232		

Table 2. Academic perspectives and communities in the servitization of manufacturing

Note:_IJOPM - International Journal of Production Management; JOM - Journal of Operations Management; IJPE - International Journal of Production Economics; IJPR - International Journal of Production Research; EMJ - European Management Journal; IMM - International Marketing Management; JM - Journal of Marketing; JBIM - Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing; I&M - Information and Management; JSM - Journal of Service Management; SQM - Service Quality Management; JSR - Journal of Service Research; JCP - Journal of Cleaner Production

3.4 Analysis using the theoretical framework

The dataset of 232 articles represents the current knowledge base on servitization. Analysis then set out to map this against the theoretical framework in three steps:

Categorisation: Each article was examined briefly and positioned provisionally in the subcategories of the framework (Table 1). For example, when a paper such as Kim and Yoon (2014) examined how regulations and policies have influenced the adoption of servitization in manufacturing firms, we labelled it as descriptive and outer context. Whereas, a paper such as Gebauer et al. (2005), which describes how to establish a service unit with a profit and loss responsibility, was labelled prescriptive and processes of transformation. The 232 articles were categorized using this approach. The prescriptive/descriptive distinction was particularly challenging. Papers nearly always reflected management implications of a study, irrespective of whether they were retrospectives by describing a phenomenon or translative by proposing a model and evaluating its influence. The descriptive/prescriptive attribute distinguished these two modes of research. The task was complicated by papers such as Davies et al. (2006), which although based as a retrospective, proposed new ways of working to manufacturers. In these instances, we categorised a paper based on the intentions of the author and the manuscript's style.

Evaluation: The papers in each subcategory were subsequently reviewed more thoroughly to establish the relative 'maturity' of contributions, and to confirm that the initial categorisations were appropriate. In instances in which there was a range of papers from disparate authors repeating a similar message, or convergent referencing suggested the contribution was institutionalising in a community, this was taken to indicate maturity and the papers were grouped as "Developed". Such a topic was the service paradox, which suggests the need to manage servitization to avoid overlapping financial benefits and costs under new service-business inclusion (Gebauer et al., 2005).

By contrast, papers classed under "Developing" were largely exploratory, proposing research questions, hypotheses, and propositions. In this group were papers such as Bowen and Schneider (2014), which analyses business motives that underpin servitization, and Eggert et al. (2014), which offers a granular view of financial performance implications from industrial service strategies. We were mindful that developing means research is being conducted, but this does not imply that results are conclusive, and so it was appropriate to summarise the area being researched rather than its conclusions.

This evaluation also exposed "*Undeveloped*" topics. This was achieved through a combination of a) subcategories in the theoretical framework (Table 1) that suggested topics, and b) examination of future research proposed by the papers. For example, in the external context, scholars with institutional theory perspectives suggested organisations adopt servitization strategies in response to market, regulatory, and competitive pressures. Future research is therefore required for detailed examination among types of institutional pressures, and their effects on the extent (i.e., symbolic or actual) of servitization adoption.

Interpretation: As papers were reviewed with increasing depth, their contributions established and clustered, and the topography of the topic in the literature became clearer (Table 3). Particularly, (1) the developed topics illustrate the research contributions and so relatively established knowledge, (2) the developing topics illustrate the growing concentrations and so opportunities for relatively incremental and confirmatory studies, and (3) the undeveloped topics represent significant opportunities for new and exploratory studies. The topics in Table 3 represent how the research community is developing servitization knowledge stocks. General observations can also be formed regarding this knowledge, and so macro-research opportunities develop. To achieve this, a research workshop was convened, with forty practitioners and researchers attending, to debate and extend the findings of this study. The state of servitization research and outcomes from the workshop are discussed next.

4. Discussion

This section is structured to first reflect on the topology of the research landscape, as represented by Table 3, and then moves to reflect on the wider aspects of the existing knowledge base on servitization.

4.1. Research concentrations

The literature review allowed us to summarise consolidated conclusions concerning servitization. Since early research from Wise and Baumgartner (1999), there has been increasing global awareness of the importance of services to manufacturers. Such strategies influence a manufacturing firm's performance positively, but relationships are complex (Bigdeli *et al.*, 2016), non-linear, and bounded (Gebauer *et al.*, 2005; Neely, 2009), and organisational change is necessary for manufacturers to deliver services (Davies *et al.*, 2007).

In this context, traditional language that describes fundamental units of exchange is unhelpful (Baron *et al.*, 2014), and traditional product-services distinctions are unnecessary (Ejermo and Bergman, 2014). Instead, typologies of service strategies are growing (Wise and Baumgartner, 1999; Raddats and Easingwood, 2010; Raddats and Kowalkowski, 2014; Cook, 2014), based on classifications of product-service value propositions (Gaiardelli, Resta, *et al.*, 2014; Smith *et al.*, 2014) and dimensions (Toossi *et al.*, 2013). These research concentrations are entirely descriptive in orientation, as yet there is no equivalent knowledge established for prescriptive studies.

4.2. Growing research concentrations

There are growing research concentrations with both descriptive and prescriptive orientations. Descriptive studies dealing with context expose fundamental weaknesses in statistical classifications to separate services and manufacturing (Christensen, 2013), and subsequent limitations of measurement systems. Broadly, they also explore international disparities of servitization adoption (Kim and Yoon, 2014), and the effects of demographics, education, regulation, firm size, and oligopolistic markets (e.g., government contracts). There is also increasing attention given to the role of value-creation systems, networks, ecosystems, and constellations in revealing market opportunities (Normann, 2001; Edvardsson *et al.*, 2014).

Descriptive studies dealing with inner contexts are advanced in rationalising business motives underpinning servitization, combined with influences from differing service strategies (Eggert *et al.*, 2014), their timing and rates (Falk, 2014), and effects on revenue, profit, and growth. They complement goods and services sales (Kastalli and Van Looy, 2013), and the importance of organisational focus (i.e., product versus services) and design on profitability of additional services (Suarez *et al.*, 2013; Eggert *et al.*, 2014). There is also increased attention on differing ways products and services businesses' innovations occur (Mina *et al.*, 2014), influences of service innovations on product innovation performance (Dachs *et al.*, 2014; Wang, 2014), and export performance (Kelle, 2013; Lodefalk, 2014).

In contrast, few descriptive studies exist that assess processes of servitization and the means through which organisational change occurs. Exceptions include Davies *et al.* (2007), which explores stages during change as manufacturing businesses adopt services, and Barnett *et al.* (2013), which examines the influence of incremental change in complex engineering services.

Much greater attention has been given to the content of servitization and the structures, processes, technologies, and people necessary to deliver services. These include co-design processes that blend industrial goods and services innovations (Durugbo, 2014), design (Clayton *et al.*, 2012), and search strategies to identify radical innovations (Nicholas *et al.*, 2013). The form of customer-supplier relationships (i.e., risk, information, operational, legal adaptations, norms, social capital, and trust) for differing service types (Sakao *et al.*, 2013; Kohtamäki *et al.*, 2013; Selviaridis and Norman, 2014), combined with disparities regarding buying processes between buying goods and services (Lindberg and Nordin, 2008), is also common. Research is also appearing that examines network structures/configurations, capabilities, and relationships with intermediaries (e.g., distributors, agencies, and dealers) to support types of services (Bikfalvi *et al.*, 2013; Kohtamäki *et al.*, 2013; Nordin *et al.*, 2013; Chakkol *et al.*, 2014). Inter-organisational power in complex networks (Finne *et al.*, 2015), degrees of collaboration (Fleury and Fleury, 2014), and triadic arrangements (Finne and Holmström, 2013) are emerging topics.

Looking into organisations, studies explore business-unit configurations and organisational designs (Baines and Lightfoot, 2013, Smith, 2013), arrangements (Gebauer *et al.*, 2010), structures (Biege *et al.*, 2012), systems (Durugbo, 2013), metrics (Jääskeläinen and Laihonen, 2014), capability acquisition (Paiola *et al.*, 2013; Raddats *et al.*, 2016), human resources implications, antecedents of service climate (Bowen and Schneider, 2014), and general traits, motivations, and skills of services-centred sales forces in B2B (Johnstone *et al.*, 2014, Sheth and Sharma, 2008). Similar attention has been given to the role of ICT (i.e., Internet of Things and big data) regarding service innovations, delivery, value creation, and differentiation (Belvedere *et al.*, 2013; Kowalkowski *et al.*, 2013; Chae, 2014).

Studies that prescribe organisational change focus on processes and content, and research is developing that demonstrates how to classify service offerings from a business-model perspective (Kindström, 2010; Barquet *et al.*, 2013; Storbacka *et al.*, 2013) and conduct portfolio analysis (Kastalli *et al.*, 2013). In particular, Gebauer *et al.* (2013) offer a process model to extend service businesses, and Kindström and Kowalkowski (2009) suggest development of industrial service offerings such that firms should concentrate on developing SSP (services that support the product) portfolios before SSC (services that support customer). Loyal, core customers should be targeted initially to develop service strategies. Firms should implement organisational designs across entire businesses, and decentralise decision-making when developing SSP portfolios before SSC (Eggert *et al.*, 2014).

Content studies prescribe what to change and propose methods to design service offerings (Nordin *et al.*, 2013), evaluating new service offerings from a viewpoint of customer acceptability (Lee *et al.*, 2015), how to deliver customer experiences (Carreira *et al.*, 2013), goods-services blueprinting (Geum and Park, 2011), and visualisations that aid uncertainty and communication. These include strategies that increase services (Dimache and Roche, 2013), new organisational structures (Biege *et al.*, 2012), techniques that support service cost estimating through life costs (Settanni *et al.*, 2014), dealing with uncertainty (Erkoyuncu *et al.*, 2013), pricing and bidding (Kreye *et al.*, 2014), techniques to develop cost sharing to create capacity to deliver services, simulations (Alix and Zacharewicz, 2012; Datta *et al.*, 2013), and activity-based process modelling (Kerley *et al.*, 2011).

4.3. Research opportunities

Table 3 suggests several research opportunities, and each topic mentioned above presents opportunities for incremental and confirmatory studies. This section deals with 'Undeveloped' topics in each of the categories, leaving the macro challenges to be addressed shortly.

From the perspective of descriptive, external context, there is a need for research on the impact of disruptive innovations and the dynamics of technology shifts, combined with broader environmental and social aspects of servitization (Tongur and Engwall, 2014). Internally, research is needed on legal and financial frameworks that support advanced services, the roles and advantages of active manufacturing technology innovation regarding supporting services, and the social and collective dynamics of business leaders who influence the propensity to servitize.

Considering both process and content, topics should focus on factors influencing the successful adoption of services, new business models, and paths to service business-unit development (Davies *et al.*, 2006; Martinez *et al.*, 2010; Gebauer *et al.*, 2012). Opportunities lie in change processes enacted when moving from product- to service-orientated climates (Bowen and Schneider, 2014), and evolutionary patterns within business models that unfold through service-innovation-driven change (Kindström and Kowalkowski, 2014). Similar opportunities lie with the dynamics of value propositions, co-creation of processes in broader networks, customer acceptance of service offerings, and greater understanding of customer behaviours (Roy *et al.*, 2009). Opportunities can also be found in the coexistence of products-and service-orientated climates in an organisation, B2C applications (i.e., current bias toward B2B or IPS2; Meier *et al.*, 2010), and links between inter-organisational relationships and

contributions intertwined between buyer and supplier in complex service strategies (Fleury and Fleury, 2014).

From a prescriptive orientation, few studies establish contextual conditions for servitization. Clearly, this is a delicate debate, with subtle treatments in descriptive studies that identify conditions where success occurred. However, opportunities exist to establish external and internal conditions conducive to service strategy adoption that maximise growth in revenue and profit (Reinartz and Ulaga, 2008). Complementing them, there exist opportunities for decision-support systems that aid managers during servitization, and holistic audits and processes of capabilities (Kindström and Kowalkowski, 2014).

4.4. Consolidation of research progression

Table 3 provides a basis for general observations regarding servitization research landscape, namely:

Knowledge regarding servitization continues to build and coalesce: Earlier, we mentioned that progressive literature reviews on servitization suggest a growing community. The articles considered during analysis support this view. While constructing Table 3, we recognised a cluster within the literature, with earlier definitions and priorities acknowledged regularly (Vandermerwe and Rada, 1988; Wise and Baumgartner, 1999; Baines *et al.*, 2007; Neely, 2009). We interpret this momentum and internal alignment of the literature as a positive reflection of research conducted in the community.

Theory building is growing: Servitization is studied from various perspectives such as service operations, service science, and service marketing, and yet there is a steadily growing link with guided theory. Particularly prevalent is resource-based and dynamic-capabilities views (Kindström and Kowalkowski, 2014). The assumption of these synthesis perspectives is that firms constitute bundles of resources and capabilities that support strategic actions and competitive positions. Hence, arguments focus on which resources and capabilities product-centric firms require for development and deployment of advanced services. Other theory-based servitization studies are based on service-dominant logic (SDL) (Vargo and Lusch, 2004, 2008).

Crossover is increasing, with topics of business model innovation and information and communication technologies: Business model terminology has been a part of servitization articles since they appeared, but early papers used it loosely. More recently, terminology has taken firmer interpretations (Kindström, 2010; Kujala et al., 2011; Barquet et al., 2013). For some, business model innovations subsume servitization, capturing customer interactions,

revenue architectures, and organisational changes associated with servitization. Those within the community limit business model discussions to customer interactions and revenue generation. A similar crossover is occurring with information and communication technologies, and under a variety of themes such as the Internet of Things (IoT), big data, and connected/smart products. For some, servitization is simply an information revolution (Industry Standard 4.0 suggests this), with the danger of neglecting structural and human implications. Generally, business-model and technology debates strengthen adoption of servitization, and are welcome.

4.5 Challenges to servitization research

The theoretical framework captures the state-of-the-art regarding knowledge about servitization. Although these topics classify as underdeveloped, each represents an opportunity, and when the framework is taken collectively, macro-research challenges emerge. Regarding research foci, these include:

Unified and foundational premises of advanced services: Understanding of the paths to servitization is growing (Gebauer et al., 2012), and various forms of service offerings exist. However, advanced services such as power-by-the-hour (Smith, 2013) are iconic and responsible for much interest in servitization. These demand that manufacturers move beyond a production mind-set and adopt a different business paradigm. Research rarely articulates this innovation holistically and consistently. An opportunity exists to form a premise for advanced services that is comparable to SDL (Vargo and Lusch, 2004, 2008). Such a platform would enable tighter and clinical studies. The premises of SDL (i.e., specialised skills and knowledge as a unit of exchange, goods as a distribution mechanism for service provision, and customer as co-creator of value) are a direct complement to servitization.

Processes of servitization: Outlined in the introduction, recognition that processes of servitization are at the research frontier stimulated the need for this review. Analysis suggests a lack of papers in this area, both describing how change has occurred and in prescribing how to servitize a manufacturing firm. Oliva and Kallenberg (2003) and other authors identify barriers and propose states, but few examine the dynamics of, or distinguish what is particular about, servitization versus general change.

Forward and reverse servitization: The premise of nearly all servitization papers is manufacturing transitioning from a focus on goods and production to a state at which substantial revenues are earned through services. There is an alternative strategy in which a

service firm builds technology innovation competencies and delivers advanced services (e.g. Story *et al.*, 2016). This is not simply a case of productization of services or increasing service objectivity; it is about arriving as a servitized manufacturer from a different route. We refer to this as reverse-servitization, and suggest it is an opportunity for research, businesses, and economies.

Threats of servitization: Other literature suggests servitization is always positive, especially for developed, Western economies. It dampens competitors and ensures some localisation of value capture. However, what happens if a service provider is not an indigenous manufacturer? What if suppliers who are locked out are from the host economy? Clearly, there are trade-offs with servitization, and advanced services particularly must be explored to develop a balanced view.

Manufacturers as customers of advanced services: Servitization research treats manufacturers as providers of advanced services; rarely are they considered customers. Yet manufacturers are customers of advanced services, particularly during acquisition of complex manufacturing technologies such as machining, forging, and casting. This topic complicates questions about the relevance of servitization to manufacturing, and requires fuller exploration.

Challenges also exist concerning approaches authors use during research:

Language and style: Evident in many theoretically strong papers are laudable intentions to influence manufacturing performance, but the language and style of many papers represent a barrier to these ambitions. Consequently, the potential value of many contributions is unrecognised in practice. There are, however, excellent examples of papers that balance this well (Suarez et al., 2013; Kowalkowski et al., 2015), and so an opportunity exists to reflect on these in future research.

Adventures in future research proposals: Nearly every journal article proposes future research opportunities. Too often, however, they are conservative, simply suggesting further testing of propositions and frameworks. There is an opportunity to be ambitious and to suggest wider-ranging studies. There are also opportunities to reach beyond conventional research communities, to engage in multidisciplinary (i.e., other fields related to the topic but that retain their disciplinary objectives), interdisciplinary (i.e., involving several disciplines in a manner that requires them to cross-object boundaries), and transdisciplinary (i.e., building on interdisciplinary research but involving non-academic partners such as industrial actors

and customers to create new knowledge and research regarding common concerns) research (Tress *et al.*, 2005).

Business logic to complement theory: There is growing infusion of theory in servitization research, with references to RBV and TCE appearing frequently. This reflects increasing pressures on researchers to provide theoretically robust foundations. Although this is critical, there is also a need for papers that expound business logic, combining theory, evidence, and examples to create bold propositions for business. Wise and Baumgartner's (1999) paper is an example, and its contributions are valued highly.

Overcoming gaps with practice: It has been over a decade since Oliva and Kallenberg's (2003) and ten years since Davies et al.'s (2006) seminal papers were published that focus on ways of developing integrated product-service solutions based on in-depth studies of industry practices. Yet the uptake of the concepts and language of servitization is still not widespread among mainstream practitioners. New techniques are needed to fill these gaps and minimise theory-practice disparities as much as possible.

<< Insert Table 3 >>

5. Conclusion

The most significant challenge facing both researchers and practitioners of servitization is how to efficiently and effectively transform a manufacturing organisation to exploit this opportunity. This paper consolidates literature regarding servitization, identifying progress concerning key research topics and contributing a platform for future research. The goal is to inform research to result eventually in a roadmap for practitioners seeking to servitize.

Underpinning this agenda is a systemic literature review set against a theoretical framework based on organisational change. Some 232 publications have been identified and reviewed, and has ultimately resulted in the agenda presented in Table 3, with the key research topics identified. To summarize, a) developed topics illustrate research contributions, and so represent established knowledge, b) developing topics illustrate growing concentrations, and so are opportunities for incremental and confirmatory studies, and c) undeveloped topics represent opportunities for new, exploratory studies. In addition, a set of grand challenges are identified for the research community.

As with all research, limitations are inevitable. In the context of this paper, limitations can be divided into those related to data collection/analysis methods and those related to topics that appear in Table 3. Although the literature review should have identified all

publications relevant to servitization, we might have overlooked some studies. Furthermore, evaluation and interpretation relied on the research team and a subsequent workshop. This was negated by cross-checking papers independently, but errors might have occurred.

This paper orchestrates the direction of future research on servitization. Table 3 presents a range of topics for such studies, and is foundational to the grand challenges identified in the discussion. The future opportunities are extensive, and we hope to be able to reflect on the progress of the community against these at some point in the future.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by EPSRC Grants Ref EP/K014064/1, EP/K014072/1, EP/K014080/1 'Transforming the adoption of Product -Service Systems through innovations in applied gaming technology'; a joint project with Aston Business School, and the Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre, University of Sheffield.

6. References

- Alix, T. and Zacharewicz, G. (2012), "Product-service systems scenarios simulation based on G-DEVS/HLA: Generalized discrete event specification/high level architecture", *Computers in Industry*, Vol. 63, pp. 370-8.
- Armenakis, A.A. and Bedeian, A.G. (1999), "Organizational change: A review of theory and research in the 1990s", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 25, pp. 293-315.
- Armenakis, A.A., Harris, S.G. and Feild, H.S. (2000), "Making change permanent: A model for institutionalizing change interventions", *Research in Organizational Change and Development*, Vol. 12, pp. 97-128.
- Baines, T. and Lightfoot, H. (2013), Made to Serve: How Manufacturers Can Compete through Servitization and Product Service Systems, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Baines, T. and Shi, G. (2015), "A Delphi study to explore the adoption of servitization in UK companies", *Production Planning & Control*. Vol. 26, pp. 1-17.
- Baines, T.S., Lightfoot, H.W., Benedettini, O. and Kay, J.M. (2009), "The servitization of manufacturing: A review of literature and reflection on future challenges", *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 20, pp. 547-67.
- Baines, T.S., Lightfoot, H.W., Evans, S., Neely, A., Greenough, R., Peppard, J., Roy, R., Shehab, E., Braganza, A., Tiwari, A., Alcock, J.R., Angus, J.P., Basti, M., Cousens, A., Irving, P., Johnson, M., Kingston, J., Lockett, H., Martinez, V., Michele, P., Tranfield, D., Walton, I.M. and Wilson, H. (2007), "State-of-the-art in product-service systems", *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, Vol. 221, pp. 1543-1552.
- Barnett, N. J., Parry, G., Saad, M., Newnes, L.B. and Goh, Y.M. (2013), "Servitization: Is a paradigm shift in the business model and service enterprise required?", *Strategic Change*, Vol. 22, pp. 145-56.
- Baron, S., Warnaby, G. and Hunter-Jones, P. (2014), "Service(s) marketing research: Developments and directions", *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol. 16, pp. 150-71.
- Barquet, A.P.B., De Oliveira, M.G., Amigo, C.R., Cunha, V.P. and Rozenfeld, H. (2013), "Employing the business model concept to support the adoption of product-service systems (PSS)", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 42, pp. 693-704.
- Belvedere, V., Grando, A. and Bielli, P. (2013), "A quantitative investigation of the role of information and communication technologies in the implementation of a product-service system", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 51, pp. 410-26.
- Beuren, F.H., Gomes Ferreira, M.G. and Cauchick Miguel, P.A. (2013), "Product-service systems: A literature review on integrated products and services", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 47, pp. 222-31.
- Biege, S., Lay, G. and Buschak, D. (2012), "Mapping service processes in manufacturing companies: Industrial service blueprinting", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 32, pp. 932-57.
- Bigdeli, A. Z; Baines, T; Schroeder, A; Brown, S; Musson, E; Guang Shi, V; Calabrese, A. (2016), "Measuring the Adoption of Servitization in Manufacturing Firms." *Proceedings of the 76th Academy of Management Annual Meeting*, California, United States.
- Bikfalvi, A., Lay, G., Maloca, S. and Waser, B.R. (2013), "Servitization and networking: Large-scale survey findings on product-related services", *Service Business*, Vol. 7, pp. 61-82.
- Bowen, D.E. and Schneider, B. (2014), "A service climate synthesis and future research agenda", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 17, pp. 5-22.
- Burke, W.W. and Litwin, G.H. (1992), "A causal model of organizational performance and change", *Journal of management*, Vol. 18, pp. 523-45.
- Bustinza, O. F., Bigdeli, A. Z., Baines, T. & Elliot, C. (2015). "Servitization and Competitive Advantage: The Importance of Organizational Structure and Value Chain Position". Research-Technology Management, 58, 53-60.
- Carreira, R., Patrício, L., Jorge, R.N. and Magee, C.L. (2013), "Development of an extended Kansei engineering method to incorporate experience requirements in product-service system design", *Journal of Engineering Design*, Vol. 24, pp. 738-64.

- Ceci, F. and Masini, A. (2011), "Balancing specialized and generic capabilities in the provision of integrated solutions", *Industrial and Corporate Change*, Vol. 20, pp. 91-131.
- Chae, B. (2014), "A complexity theory approach to IT-enabled services (IESs) and service innovation: Business analytics as an illustration of IES", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 57, 1-10.
- Chakkol, M., Johnson, M., Raja, J. and Raffoni, A. (2014), "From goods to solutions: How does the content of an offering affect network configuration?", *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, Vol. 44, pp. 132-54.
- Christensen, J. L. (2013), "The ability of current statistical classifications to separate services and manufacturing", *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, Vol. 26, pp. 47-60.
- Clayton, R.J., Backhouse, C.J. and Dani, S. (2012), "Evaluating existing approaches to product-service system design: A comparison with industrial practice", *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 23, pp. 272-98.
- Cook, M. (2014), "Fluid transitions to more sustainable product service systems", *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, Vol. 12, pp. 1-13.
- Cross, R., Ernst, C. and Pasmore, B. (2013), "A bridge too far? How boundary spanning networks drive organizational change and effectiveness", *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol. 42, pp. 81-91.
- Dachs, B., Biege, S., Borowiecki, M., Lay, G., Jäger, A. and Schartinger, D. (2014), "Servitisation of European manufacturing: Evidence from a large scale database", *Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 34, pp. 5-23.
- Datta, P.P. and Roy, R. (2010), "Cost modelling techniques for availability type service support contracts: A literature review and empirical study", *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, Vol. 3, pp. 142-57.
- Datta, P.P., Srivastava, A. and Roy, R. (2013), "A simulation study on maintainer resource utilization of a fast jet aircraft maintenance line under availability contract", *Computers in Industry*, Vol. 64, pp. 543-55.
- Davies, A. (2004), "Moving base into high-value integrated solutions: A value stream approach", *Industrial and Corporate Change*, Vol. 13, pp. 727-56.
- Davies, A., Brady, T. and Hobday, M. (2006), "Charting a path toward integrated solutions", *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 47, pp. 39-48.
- Davies, A., Brady, T. and Hobday, M. (2007), "Organizing for solutions: Systems seller vs. systems integrator", *Industrial marketing management*, Vol. 36, pp. 183-93.
- Dimache, A. and Roche, T. (2013), "A decision methodology to support servitisation of manufacturing", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 33, pp. 1435-57.
- Durugbo, C. (2013), "Competitive product-service systems: Lessons from a multicase study", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 51, pp. 5671-82.
- Durugbo, C. (2014), "Strategic framework for industrial product-service co-design: Findings from the microsystems industry", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 52, pp. 2881-900.
- Edvardsson, B., Kleinaltenkamp, M., Tronvoll, B., Mchugh, P. and Windahl, C. (2014), "Institutional logics matter when coordinating resource integration", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 14, pp. 291-309.
- Eggert, A., Hogreve, J., Ulaga, W. and Muenkhoff, E. (2011), "Industrial services, product innovations, and firm profitability: a multiple-group latent growth curve analysis", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 40, pp. 661-70.
- Eggert, A., Hogreve, J., Ulaga, W. and Muenkhoff, E. (2014), "Revenue and profit implications of industrial service strategies", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 17, pp. 23-39.
- Ejermo, O. and Bergman, K. (2014), "Services vs. manufacturing: How does foreign and domestic sales impact on their R&D?", *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, Vol. 14, pp. 367-91.
- Erkoyuncu, J.A., Durugbo, C., Shehab, E., Roy, R., Parker, R., Gath, A. and Howell, D. (2013), "Uncertainty driven service cost estimation for decision support at the bidding stage", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 51, pp. 5771-88.
- Falk, M. (2014), "The impact of new goods and service products on firm growth: Evidence from Austrian-linked firm-level data", *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, Vol. 23, pp. 378-97.
- Finne, M. and Holmström, J. (2013), "A manufacturer moving upstream: Triadic collaboration for service delivery", *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 18, pp. 21-33.

- Finne, M., Turunen, T. and Eloranta, V. (2015), "Striving for network power: The perspective of solution integrators and suppliers", *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 21, pp. 9-24.
- Fleury, A. and Fleury, M.T.L. (2014), "Local enablers of business models: The experience of Brazilian multinationals acquiring in North America", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 67, pp. 516-26.
- Gaiardelli, P., Resta, B., Martinez, V., Pinto, R. and Albores, P. (2014). "A classification model for product-service offerings", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 66, pp. 507-19.
- Gaiardelli, P., Songini, L. and Saccani, N. (2014). The Automotive Industry: Heading Towards Servitization in Turbulent Times. *Servitization in Industry*. Springer.
- Gebauer, H., Edvardsson, B. and Bjurko, M. (2010), "The impact of service orientation in corporate culture on business performance in manufacturing companies", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 21, pp. 237-59.
- Gebauer, H., Fleisch, E. and Friedli, T. (2005), "Overcoming the service paradox in manufacturing companies", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 23, pp. 14-26.
- Gebauer, H., Paiola, M. and Edvardsson, B. (2012), "A capability perspective on service business development in small and medium-sized suppliers", *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, Vol. 28, pp. 321-39.
- Gebauer, H., Paiola, M. and Saccani, N. (2013), "Characterizing service networks for moving from products to solutions", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 42, pp. 31-46.
- Geum, Y. and Park, Y. (2011), "Designing the sustainable product-service integration: A product-service blueprint approach", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 19, pp. 1601-14.
- Hatch, M.J. (2012), Organization Theory: Modern, Symbolic and Postmodern Perspectives, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Haveman, H.A. (1992), "Between a rock and a hard place: Organizational change and performance under conditions of fundamental environmental transformation", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 37, pp. 48-75.
- Hou, J. and Neely, A. (2013), Barriers of Servitization: Results of a Systematic Literature. *Proceedings of 2013 Spring Servitization Conference*, Aston University, UK.
- Howells, J. (2000), *Innovation & Services: New Conceptual Frameworks*, Centre for Research on Innovation and Competition, The University of Manchester, UK.
- Jääskeläinen, A. and Laihonen, H. (2014), "Applying performance measurement in service operations: Analysis of contextual differences", *International Journal of Business Performance Management*, Vol. 15, pp. 243-61.
- Johnstone, S., Dainty, A. and Wilkinson, A. (2009), "Integrating products and services through life: An aerospace experience", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 29, pp. 520-38.
- Johnstone, S., Wilkinson, A. and Dainty, A. (2014), "Reconceptualizing the service paradox in engineering companies: Is HR a missing link?", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 61, pp. 275-84.
- Kanter, R.M. (2003), Challenge of Organizational Change: How Companies Experience It and Leaders Guide It, Simon and Schuster, New York, USA.
- Kastalli, I.V. and Van Looy, B. (2013), "Servitization: Disentangling the impact of service business model innovation on manufacturing firm performance", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 31, pp. 169-80.
- Kastalli, I.V., Van Looy, B. and Neely, A. (2013), "Steering manufacturing firms towards service business model innovation", *California Management Review*, Vol. 56, pp. 100-23.
- Kelle, M. (2013), "Crossing industry borders: German manufacturers as services exporters", *World Economy*, Vol. 36, pp. 1494-515.
- Kelly, D. and Amburgey, T.L. (1991), "Organizational inertia and momentum: A dynamic model of strategic change", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 34, pp. 591-612.
- Kerley, W., Wynn, D.C., Eckert, C. and Clarkson, P.J. (2011), "Redesigning the design process through interactive simulation: A case study of life-cycle engineering in jet engine conceptual design", *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, Vol. 10, pp. 30-51.
- Kim, B. and Yoon, S. (2014), "Servitization of South Korea economy: Causes for sluggishness and policy issues", *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, Vol. 11, pp. 1692-702.
- Kindström, D. (2010), "Towards a service-based business model: Key aspects for future competitive advantage", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 28, pp. 479-90.

- Kindström, D. and Kowalkowski, C. (2009), "Development of industrial service offerings: A process framework", *Journal of Service Management*, Vole. 20, pp. 156-72.
- Kindström, D. and Kowalkowski, C. (2014), "Service innovation in product-centric firms: A multidimensional business model perspective", *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 29, pp. 96-111.
- Kohtamäki, M., Partanen, J. and Möller, K. (2013), "Making a profit with R&D services: The critical role of relational capital", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 42, pp. 71-81.
- Kohtamäki, M., Partanen, J., Parida, V. and Wincent, J. (2013). "Non-linear relationship between industrial service offering and sales growth: The moderating role of network capabilities", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 42, pp. 1374-85.
- Kotter, J.P. and Cohen, D.S. (2002), *The Heart of Change: Real-life Stories of How People Change Their Organizations*, Harvard Business Press, Boston, USA.
- Kowalkowski, C., Witell, L. and Gustafsson, A. (2013), "Any way goes: Identifying value constellations for service infusion in SMEs", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 42, pp. 18-30.
- Kowalkowski, C., Windahl, C., Kindström, D., & Gebauer, H. (2015). What service transition? Rethinking established assumptions about manufacturers' service-led growth strategies. Industrial Marketing Management, Vol 45, pp. 59-69.
- Kreye, M.E., Newnes, L.B. and Goh, Y.M. (2014), "Uncertainty in competitive bidding: A framework for product-service systems", *Production Planning and Control*, Vol. 25, pp. 462-77.
- Kujala, S., Kujala, J., Turkulainen, V., Artto, K., Aaltonen, P. and Wikström, K. (2011), "Factors influencing the choice of solution-specific business models", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 29, pp. 960-70.
- Langley, A., Smallman, C., Tsoukas, H. and Van De Ven, A.H. (2013), "Process studies of change in organization and management: unveiling temporality, activity, and flow", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 56, pp. 1-13.
- Lee, S., Geum, Y., Lee, S. and Park, Y. (2015), "Evaluating new concepts of PSS based on the customer value: Application of ANP and niche theory", *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 42, pp. 4556-66.
- Lewin, K. (1947), "Frontiers in group dynamics II. Channels of group life; social planning and action research", *Human Relations*, Vol. 1, pp. 143-53.
- Lightfoot, H., Baines, T. and Smart, P. (2013), "The servitization of manufacturing: A systematic literature review of interdependent trends", *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 33, pp. 1408-34.
- Lindberg, N. and Nordin, F. (2008), "From products to services and back again: Towards a new service procurement logic", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 37, pp. 292-300.
- Lodefalk, M. (2014), "The role of services for manufacturing firm exports", *Review of World Economics*, Vol. 150, pp. 59-82.
- Martinez, V., Bastl, M., Kingston, J. And Evans, S. (2010), "Challenges in transforming manufacturing organisations into product-service providers", *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 21, pp. 449-69.
- Mathieu, V. (2001), "Service strategies within the manufacturing sector: Benefits, costs and partnership", *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 12, pp. 451-75.
- Meier, H., Roy, R. and Seliger, G. (2010), "Industrial product-service systems—IPS2", *CIRP Annals: Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 59, pp. 607-27.
- Meyer, R.J.H. (2007), Mapping the Mind of the Strategist: A Quantitative Methodology for Measuring the Strategic Beliefs of Executives, Erasmus Research Institute of Management (ERIM), Canada.
- Miller, D., Hope, Q., Eisenstat, R., Foote, N. and Galbraith, J. (2002), "The problem of solutions: Balancing clients and capabilities", *Business Horizons*, Vol. 45, pp. 3-12.
- Mina, A., Bascavusoglu-Moreau, E. and Hughes, A. (2014), "Open service innovation and the firm's search for external knowledge", *Research Policy*, Vol. 43, pp. 853-66.
- Mulholland, D. (2000), "Technology threatens sector's profits, companies need to shift business to service, upgrade sales", *Defence News*, Vol. 7.
- Neely, A. (2009), "Exploring the financial consequences of the servitization of manufacturing", *Operations Management Research*, Vol. 1, pp. 103-18.

- Nicholas, J., Ledwith, A. and Bessant, J. (2013), "Reframing the search space for radical innovation", *Research Technology Management*, Vol. 56, pp. 27-35.
- Nordin, F., Lindahl, I. and Brege, S. (2013), "The applicability of integrated solutions offerings: Differential effects of product complexity", *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, Vol. 12, pp. 59-78.
- Normann, R. (2001), Reframing Business: When the Map Changes the Landscape, Wiley, Chichester, UK.
- Oliva, R. and Kallenberg, R. (2003), "Managing the transition from products to services", *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 14, pp. 160-72.
- Ostrom, A.L., Bitner, M.J., Brown, S.W., Burkhard, K.A., Goul, M., Smith-Daniels, V., Demirkan, H. and Rabinovich, E. (2010), "Moving forward and making a difference: Research priorities for the science of service", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 13, pp. 4-36.
- Paiola, M., Saccani, N., Perona, M. and Gebauer, H. (2013), "Moving from products to solutions: Strategic approaches for developing capabilities", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 31, pp. 390-409.
- Pettigrew, A.M. (1988), The Management of Strategic Change, B. Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1997). "What is a processual analysis?" *Scandinavian journal of management*, Vol. 13, (4), pp.337-348.
- Pettigrew, A.M., Woodman, R.W. and Cameron, K.S. (2001), "Studying organizational change and development: Challenges for future research", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 44, pp. 697-713.
- Pye, A. and Pettigrew, A. (2005), "Studying board context, process and dynamics: Some challenges for the future", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 16, pp. S27-38.
- Raddats, C. and Easingwood, C. (2010), "Services growth options for B2B product-centric businesses", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 39, pp. 1334-45.
- Raddats, C. and Kowalkowski, C. (2014), "A reconceptualization of manufacturers' service strategies", *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing*, Vol. 21, pp. 19-34.
- Raddats, C., Baines, T., Burton, J., Story, V. M., & Zolkiewski, J. (2016). "Motivations for servitization: the impact of product complexity", *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 36(5), 572-591.
- Rajagopalan, N. and Spreitzer, G.M. (1997), "Toward a theory of strategic change: A multi-lens perspective and integrative framework", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 22, pp. 48-79.
- Reinartz, W. and Ulaga, W. (2008), "How to sell services more profitably", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 86, pp. 90-96.
- Roy, R. and Cheruvu, K.S. (2009), "A competitive framework for industrial product-service systems", *International Journal of Internet Manufacturing and Services*, Vol. 2, pp. 4-29.
- Roy, R., Shehab, E., Tiwari, A., Rexfelt, O. and Hiort Af Ornäs, V. (2009), "Consumer acceptance of product-service systems: Designing for relative advantages and uncertainty reductions", *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 20, pp. 674-99.
- Saccani, N., Visintin, F. and Rapaccini, M. (2014), "Investigating the linkages between service types and supplier relationships in servitized environments", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 149, pp. 226-38.
- Sakao, T., Ölundh Sandström, G. and Matzen, D. (2009), "Framing research for service orientation of manufacturers through PSS approaches", *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 20, pp. 754-78.
- Sakao, T., Rönnbäck, A. Ö. & Sandström, G. Ö. (2013). "Uncovering benefits and risks of integrated product service offerings— Using a case of technology encapsulation". Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering, pp. 421-439.
- Self, D.R., Armenakis, A.A. and Schraeder, M. (2007), "Organizational change content, process, and context: a simultaneous analysis of employee reactions", *Journal of Change Management*, Vol. 7, pp. 211-29.
- Selviaridis, K. and Norrman, A. (2014), "Performance-based contracting in service supply chains: A service provider risk perspective", *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 19, pp. 153-72.
- Settanni, E., Newnes, L.B., Thenent, N.E., Parry, G. and Goh, Y.M. (2014), "A through-life costing methodology for use in product-service-systems", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 153, pp. 161-77.

- Sheth, J.N. and Sharma, A. (2008), "The impact of the product to service shift in industrial markets and the evolution of the sales organization", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 37, pp. 260-69.
- Smith, D.J. (2013), "Power-by-the-hour: The role of technology in reshaping business strategy at Rolls-Royce", *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, Vol. 25, pp. 987-1007.
- Smith, L., Maull, R. and Ng, I.C.L. (2014), "Servitization and operations management: A service dominant-logic approach", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 34, pp. 242-69.
- Spring, M. and Araujo, L. (2009), "Service, services and products: Rethinking operations strategy", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 29, pp. 444-67.
- Stockdale, R. and Standing, C. (2006), "An interpretive approach to evaluating information systems: A content, context, process framework", *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 173, pp. 1090-102.
- Story, V. M., Raddats, C., Burton, J., Zolkiewski, J., & Baines, T. (2016), "Capabilities for advanced services: A multi-actor perspective". *Industrial Marketing Management*. In press
- Storbacka, K., Windahl, C., Nenonen, S. and Salonen, A. (2013), "Solution business models: Transformation along four continua", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 42, pp. 705-16.
- Suarez, F. F., Cusumano, M.A. and Kahl, S.J. (2013), "Services and the business models of product firms: An empirical analysis of the software industry", *Management Science*, Vol. 59, pp. 420-35.
- Todnem By, R. (2005), "Organisational change management: A critical review", *Journal of Change Management*, Vol. 5, pp. 369-80.
- Tongur, S. and Engwall, M. (2014), "The business model dilemma of technology shifts", *Technovation*, Vol. 34, pp. 525-35.
- Toossi, A., Lockett, H.L., Raja, J.Z. and Martinez, V. (2013), "Assessing the value dimensions of outsourced maintenance services", *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, Vol. 19, pp. 348-63.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D. and Smart, P. (2003), "Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 14, pp. 207-22.
- Tress, G., Tress, B. and Fry, G. (2005), "Clarifying integrative research concepts in landscape ecology", *Landscape Ecology*, Vol. 20, pp. 479-93.
- Van De Ven, A.H. (1992), "Suggestions for studying strategy process: A research note", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 13, pp. 169-88.
- Van De Ven, A.H. and Poole, M.S. (1995), "Explaining development and change in organizations", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20, pp. 510-40.
- Van De Ven, A.H. and Sun, K. (2011), "Breakdowns in implementing models of organization change", *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol. 25, pp. 58-74.
- Vandermerwe, S. and Rada, J. (1988), "Servitization of business: Adding value by adding services", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 6, pp. 314-24.
- Vargo, S.L. and Lusch, R.F. (2004), "Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of marketing*, 68, 1-17.
- Vargo, S.L. and Lusch, R.F. (2008), "Service-dominant logic: continuing the evolution", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 36, pp. 1-10.
- Velamuri, V.K., Neyer, A.K. and Möslein, K.M. (2011), "Hybrid value creation: A systematic review of an evolving research area", *Journal fur Betriebswirtschaft*, Vol. 61, pp. 3-35.
- Wang, C.H. (2014), "Does service innovation matter in high-tech industry?", *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, Vol. 9, pp. 42-55.
- Wheelen, T.L. and Hunger, J.D. (2011), *Concepts in Strategic Management and Business Policy*, Pearson Education, USA.
- Whipp, R., Rosenfeld, R. and Pettigrew, A. (1989), "Managing strategic change in a mature business", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 22, pp. 92-9.
- Wise, R. and Baumgartner, P. (1999), "Go downstream: the new profit imperative in manufacturing", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 77, pp. 133-41.
- Wit, B.D., Meyer, R. and Heugens, P. (2010), Strategy: Process, Content, Context: An International Perspective, South-Western Cengage Learning, London, UK.

	CONTEXT OF THE T	TRANSFORMATION					
	External	Internal	TRANSFORMATION	CONTENT AND RESULTS OF CHANGE			
	Research on 'the external situation when change occurred' is: Developed in understanding: • There is a rise in the importance and adoption of industrial services globally	Research on 'the internal situation when change occurred' is: Developed in understanding: • Service strategies influence the financial performance of manufacturing firms positively, but evaluation is complex and relationships are non-linear and bounded	Research on 'how change occurred' is: Developed in understanding: Organisational change takes place as manufacturing businesses adopt services	Research on 'what was changed' is: Developed in understanding: • Language for describing the fundamental unit of exchange; traditional goods-service distinctions are unnecessary • Typologies of service strategies and classifications of product-service value			
DESCRIPTIVE RESEARCH (when, how, and what change occurred)	 Developing in understanding: Inherent weaknesses in the statistical classification to separate services and manufacturing International differences in the adoption of servitization and the role of demographic, educational, and regulatory factors Differing characteristics of goods and service sectors regarding international trade Impact of oligopolistic markets (e.g., government contracts) and how this affects contracting Role of value-creating systems, networks, ecosystems, and constellations in stimulating companies to reveal or anticipate market opportunities Endogenous and exogenous factors influencing a manufacturer's ability to servitize 	 Developing in understanding: Business motives underpinning servitization Impact of differing service strategies, their timing, and rate affect the revenue, profit, and growth of a firm Complement between goods and services sales Role of organisational focus (goods versus services) on profitability of additional services; service success depends on a supportive organisational design Role of product complexity and technology in reshaping business models Differing ways goods and service businesses innovations occur Influence of service innovation on product innovation and export performance Mediation of a separate service department for advanced service delivery on management commitments 	Developing in understanding Stages in the change process as manufacturing businesses adopt services. Influence of incremental change in complex engineering services	 Developing in understanding the: Co-design that blends industrial goods and services innovation design strategies to identify radical innovation Customer-supplier relationships (i.e., risk, information, operational, legal adaptations, norms, social capital, and trust) for differing service types; disparities in buying between buying goods and services Network structures/configurations, capabilities, and relationships with intermediaries (e.g., distributors, agencies, and dealers) to support types of services; inter-organisational power in complex networks, degrees of collaboration, and triadic arrangements Business-unit configuration and organisation design, arrangements, structures, systems, metrics, and capability acquisition. Human resources implications; antecedents of service climate and general traits, motivation, and skills of services-centred sales force in B2B Role of ICT (i.e., IOT, IES, and big data) in service innovation, delivery, value creation, and differentiation 			
	 Undeveloped in understanding: Influence of disruptive innovation and dynamics of technology shift on servitization Broader environmental and social aspects of servitization 	 Undeveloped in understanding: The manufacturer as a customer of advanced services Legal and financial frameworks that support advanced services Role and advantages of active manufacturing technology innovation in supporting services Social and collective dynamics of business leaders that influence the propensity to servitize Leadership styles How services support the business of the firm Influences of organisational size 	 Undeveloped in understanding: Factors influencing adoption of servitized business models Pathways of service business-unit development Change processes enacted in moving from a goods- to service-orientated climate Evolutionary patterns within business model that unfold through service-innovation-driven change 	 Undeveloped in understanding: Dynamics of value propositions and cocreation in broader networks Customer acceptance of service offerings and customer behaviour Coexistence of goods- and service-orientated climates in an organisation B2C applications (i.e., current bias toward B2B) Link between inter-organisational relationships and contributions are intertwined between buyer and supplier for complex service strategies Application of systems thinking to service strategies of manufacturers 			

 Table 3. Overview of proposed agenda and summary of research topics regarding manufacturers' adoption of advanced services

	CONTEXT OF THE	TRANSFORMATION					
	External	External	TRANSFORMATION	CONTENT AND RESULTS OF CHANGE			
	Research on 'the external situation when change should occur' is:	Research on 'the internal situation when change should occur' is:	Research on 'how change should occur' is:	Research on 'what should be changed' is:			
PRESCRIPTIVE RESEARCH (when, what, and how should change occur)	Undeveloped in understanding: External conditions that influence the right time to adopt a service strategy to maximise growth of revenue and profit The profit of the	Undeveloped in understanding: Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and profit Internal conditions needed for service strategy adoption and growth in revenue and	 Developing in understanding: A classification of service offerings from a business-model perspective, portfolio analysis, and transitioning strategies Proposed degrees of service-strategy development A process model for extending service business and development of industrial service offerings Firms should concentrate on developing SSP (services that support the product) portfolios before SSC (services that support customer) Loyal, core customers should be targeted initially to develop service strategies Firms should implement an organisational design across entire business, and decentralise decision-making on developing SSP portfolios before SSC Maturity models are valid for evaluating new service development and IS support during implementation of services business Roadmaps for technology to support product-service integration 	 Developing in understanding: Design of service offering Evaluating new service offerings from the viewpoint of acceptability to customers and customer experience Goods-service blueprinting; visualisations to aid uncertainty and communication Evaluation of strategies to increase services (e.g., PSS) Identification of new organisational structures Techniques supporting service cost estimating through life costs, dealing with uncertainty, pricing, and bidding Techniques developing cost sharing to create capacity to deliver services Support systems design frameworks, simulations, and enterprise imaging; activity-based process modelling Techniques for analysing information requirements for design and delivery of complex engineering systems 			
			Undeveloped in understanding:Decision support systems that aid managers during servitization	Undeveloped in understanding: • Holistic audits and capabilities for servitization			

Table 3. (continued) Overview of proposed agenda and summary of research topics regarding manufacturers' adoption of advanced services