Characterization of agricultural sprays using laser techniques

By D NUYTTENS¹, M DE SCHAMPHELEIRE², W STEURBAUT², K BAETENS³, P VERBOVEN³, B NICOLAÏ³, H RAMON³ and B SONCK¹

¹Ministry of the Flemish Community, Agricultural Research Centre Department of Mechanisation - Labour - Buildings - Animal Welfare and Environmental Protection (CLO-DVL), Burg. Van Gansberghelaan 115, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium Contact: d.nuyttens@clo.fgov.be ²Department of Crop Protection, University Ghent, Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium ³MeBioS, Department Biosystems, Catholic University of Leuven, De Croylaan 42, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

Summary

The characteristics of agricultural sprays belong to the most critical factors affecting spray drift, deposition on plants, spray coverage and biological efficacy. Hence, within the framework of a research project about agricultural spray drift, a measuring set-up for the characterisation of spray nozzles using a Phase Doppler Particle Analyser (PDPA) was developed. This set-up is able to measure droplet sizes and velocities based on light-scattering principles. It is composed of different parts i.e.: a climate room, a spray unit, a three-dimensional automated positioning system and an Aerometrics PDPA 1D system.

In total, 32 nozzle-pressure combinations have been tested. From the results, the importance of the nozzle type and size on the droplet size and velocity spectra is clear. In future, the results will be linked with the drift potential of different nozzle pressure combinations (based on field measurements) and used as an input for a Computational Fluid Dynamics spray drift model.

Key words: Agricultural sprays, Phase Doppler Particle Analyser, Spray droplet characteristics, driftability

Introduction

The droplet size (and velocity) spectra are important criteria in the application of pesticides. They affect the structure of the spray deposit. In addition, the characteristics of droplets determine their driftability (Taylor et al., 2004). Furthermore, droplet size may influence the biological efficacy of the applied pesticide as well as environmental hazards. Hence, the ideal nozzle pressure combination will maximise spray efficiency for depositing and transferring a lethal dose to the target, while minimising off-target losses such as spray drift and user exposure.

Over the last years, several techniques using laser instrumentation have been developed to determine droplet characteristics like laser diffraction (Malvern laser) (Barnett and Matthews, 1992; Buttler Ellis and Bradley, 2002), the optical area probe technique (Particle Measuring System) (Combellack et al., 2002) and Phase Doppler Particle Analyzer (Aerometrics) (Farooq et al., 2001). In this paper, a detailed description of a recently developed PDPA measuring set-up is presented along with some results.

Materials and Methods

Measuring set-up

A detailed description of the PDPA laser-based measuring set-up is already given by Nuyttens et al. (2005). The spray unit consists of different parts i.e.: an insulated spray liquid tank with a volume of 100 litres and a fluid level control system, a liquid temperature control system, a mechanical and hydraulic mixing system, a vertical in-line centrifugal pump and a pressure regulator with digital pressure gauge. In case of continuous spraying, a fluid temperature range from 5°C to 50°C is feasible. In the measuring set-up the nozzle under measurement can be moved by an automated XYZ-transporter with a traverse range of 2 m by 2.2 m. With this positioning system, a defined rectangular pattern is scanned to sample the entire spray cloud at a constant scanning speed. The laser measurements are performed in an insulated climate room provided with a temperature and humidity control system. Under normal working conditions, a temperature range from 5° C to 30° C and a relative humidity range from 30% to 90% are achievable. Hence, realistic outdoor climatic conditions can be simulated.

The PDPA laser used in this research is an Aerometrics PDPA 1D system. As for the PDPA, a droplet passes through a small sampling volume, scattering light by refraction. For this 1D system, velocity measurement is limited to the dominant vertical direction. The system comprises several units i.e.: an Argon-Ion laser, a fibre drive, a fibre-optic coupler, transmitter and receiver, a Real-time Signal Analyzer (RSA) and DataVIEW-NT software. When a spherical particle crosses the measurement volume (formed by the intersecting laser beams), the rays enter the sphere at different angles. Besides, the particle has a different index of refraction than the surroundings. Hence, the rays have to travel along different optical paths with different lengths. Because of the different optical path lengths, the light waves are shifted relative to each other. These phase shifts will result in an interference pattern in the field surrounding the particle. The spacing of the interference fringes depends on the beam intersection angle. The light wavelength and the spacing are inversely proportional to the diameter of the sphere. If a particle is moving with a velocity v through the intersection of the beams, light will scatter with a frequency f_d . This frequency f_d is equal to the velocity v divided by the fringe spacing δ_f . Hence, frequency and particle velocity are related through the following relation:

$$v = f_d . \delta_f = f_d . \frac{\lambda}{2.\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})}$$

with v = velocity of the scattering particle (m.s⁻¹);

 $f_d = Doppler frequency (s^{-1});$

 $\lambda =$ laser light wavelength (m);

 θ = angle between the two laser beams (°).

The fibre-optic receiver collects the scattered light when particles pass through the measurement volume created by the optical transmitter. Photomultiplier tubes convert the light into electrical signals to be processed for velocity and size information by the Real-Time Signal Analyzer (RSA). Finally, the DataVIEW-NT software contributes to the overall ease of use of the system and gives complete control over the presentation and acquisition of the data. In figure 3, some pictures of the total measuring set-up are given.

Measuring protocol

Prior to the laser measurements, the flow rate of each nozzle is tested at a pressure of 3 bar by the accredited Spray Technology Lab CLO-DVL (Beltest 259-T ISO 17025) (Goossens and

Braekman, 2003). A maximal deviation of 2.5 % is allowed compared to the prescribed nominal flow rate.

For the PDPA measurements three nozzles are selected for each nozzle-pressure combination to be tested. Each nozzle is tested three times. This makes a total of 9 measurements for each nozzle-pressure combination. Each scan yielded data for at least 10000 droplets. The BCPC reference nozzle fine-medium (Lurmark F 110 03 at 3 bar) is used as a reference nozzle to check for the repeatability of the measurements and the measuring equipment (Southcombe et al., 1997). All measurements are made spraying water with a temperature of about 20 °C at an ambient temperature of about 20 °C and a relative humidity of 60-70%. The nozzle is positioned 50 cm above the measuring point of the PDPA.

To enable the whole of the spray cone to be sampled, the nozzle was mounted on the transporter. A different scan trajectory was programmed depending on the type of nozzle i.e. 110° or 80° flat fan nozzle or cone nozzle. All measurements were carried out through the long axis of the spray cloud at a constant scan speed (Δx not applicable) (Table 1).



Table 1: Characteristics of the scan trajectory for the different nozzle types.

32 nozzle-pressure combinations (288 measurements) were tested including the BCPC reference nozzles (Southcombe et al., 1997) and the nozzle-pressure combinations used in a whole series of field drift measurements (Nuyttens et al., 2005) (Table 2). Different characteristics are calculated:

- $D_{v0.1}$, $D_{v0.5}$, $D_{v0.9}$: Diameter at which a volume fraction of 10, 50, 90 percent is made up of drops with

	diameters smaller than this value (μ m); $D_{v0.5}$ = VMD (Volume Median Diameter);
- V ₁₀₀ , V ₂₀₀ :	Percentage of total volume of droplets smaller than 100, 200 µm (%);
- D ₁₀ , D ₂₀ , D ₃₀ :	Arithmetic mean diameter, Surface mean diameter, Volumetric mean diameter (µm);
- D ₃₂ :	Sauter mean diameter; Diameter of a drop having the same volume to surface area ratio
	as the total volume of all the drops to the total surface area of all the drops (μ m);
- NMD:	Number mean diameter; Diameter at which 50% of the number of drops is smaller than
	this value (μm);
- RSF:	Relative Span Factor; Dimensionless parameter indicative of the uniformity of the drop
	size distribution defined as: $RSF = \frac{D_{v0.9} - D_{v0.1}}{VMD}$;
- V _{vol50} :	Velocity at which 50 percent of the total spray volume is made up of drops with velocities smaller than this value $(m.s^{-1})$.

The reference nozzles are used to define 6 spray categories ranging from Very Fine (VF), Fine (F), Medium (M), Coarse (C), Very Coarse (VC) and Extremely Coarse (EC). This classification is based on the comparison of the droplet size spectrum ($D_{v0.1}$, VMD and $D_{v0.9}$) produced by a spray nozzle at a certain pressure with these reference spectra.

Table 2: C	<i>Solution of the tested</i>	l nozzle–pressure co	mbinations.

Nozzle	Pressure (bar)	Nozzle	Pressure (bar)	Nozzle	Pressure (bar)
Delavan 110 01*	4.5	Albuz API 110 06	3	Hardi ISO F110 03	2; 3; 4
Lurmark 110 03*	3	Albuz AXI 110 02	3	Hardi ISO F 110 04	3
Lechler 110 06*	2.0	Albuz AXI 110 04	3	Hardi ISO F 110 06	3
TeeJet 80 08*	2.5	Albuz AXI 110 06	3	Hardi ISO LD 110	3
TeeJet 80 15*	2.0	Albuz ADI 110 02	3	Hardi ISO LD 110	3
Albuz ATR80 blue	3	Albuz ADI 110 04	3	Hardi ISO LD 110	3
Albuz ATR80 green	3	Albuz AVI 110 02	3	Hardi ISO Injet 110	3
Albuz ATR80 orange	3	Albuz AVI 110 04	3	Hardi ISO Injet 110	3
Albuz API 110 02	3	Albuz AVI 110 06	3	Hardi ISO Injet 110	3
Albuz API 110 04	3	Hardi ISO F 110 02	3	Hardi ISO Injet 110	3
		*BCPC reference	ce nozzles		

Results and discussion

Figures 1 and 2 present the volumetric droplet size distribution and the volumetric velocity distribution cumulatively for different types and sizes of Hardi spray nozzles together with the five BCPC reference nozzles. In Table 3, an overview is given of different droplet characteristics of the tested nozzle-pressure combinations. Droplet sizes vary from a few micrometres up to some hundreds of micrometres depending on the nozzle type and size. For the same nozzle size and pressure, cone nozzles produce the finest droplet size spectrum followed by standard flat fan nozzles, low-drift flat fan nozzles and air injection nozzles (Table 3). The bigger the ISO nozzle, the bigger the droplet size spectrum. As expected, the 5 BCPC reference nozzles cover the entire range of measured droplet sizes (Fig. 1). Analogue with droplet size spectrum, each nozzle pressure combination produces a droplet velocity spectrum with velocities varying from about 0 m.s⁻¹ up tot 15 m.s⁻¹. Moreover, there is a strong correlation between droplet sizes and velocities. In general, bigger droplet sizes correspond with higher droplet velocities. For air injection nozzles, droplet velocities are smaller than expected probably due to the presence of small air bubbles in the droplets which makes them less heavy.



combinations or 32 nozzle-pressure																																		
(m/s)	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	с. 0	0.5	0.2	9.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3		
Vvol50	1.7 ±	3.9 ±	4.4 ±	9.6 1	€.6±	3.5±	2.6±	1.2 ±	2.1±	3.5 ±	4.8±	1.6±	3.5±	5.1±	2.7 ±	3.0±	4.5±	5.3±	5.5±	2.4 ±	3.8 ±	3.9 ±	3.3 ±	4.6±	6.6±	2.6±	4.4±	5.2±	4.6±	4.8±	5.6±	J 5.9±	Coarse	
(mu) 0	6±3.3	6±3.5	7±1.6	8±2.8	3±5.9	.6±23	I±18.5	I ± 10.1	3±1.9	2 ± 1.9	2±3.6	5 ± 2.2	7 ± 2.0	0±3.8	3±3.8	5±13.0	.1± 20.6	4±11.3	7±9.6	1±5.6	8±2.6	9±5.1	8±5.7	7±2.1	8±2.6	2 ± 3.0	5±5.4	7±3.3	.1±17.9	6±35.4	4 ± 13.8	0 ± 17.0	tremely	
WN	2 59.	8 68.	4 74.	5 89.	2 99.	7 88	9 2.1	85.1	1 62.	161.	3 66.	7 58.	1 70.	9 69.	9 70.	3 83.6	3 111	103	5 98.	964.	3 71.	2 67.	2 72.	967.	9 70.	3 76.	4 82.	7 81.	4 122	4 152.	7 134.	3146.	Щ Ш С	
(unt)	.3±5.	7 ± 11.	1 ± 10.	4 ± 13.	7 ± 7.	4±5.	.8±6.(.5±4.8	.7±5.1	.1±5.`	.6±4.0	8 ± 4.1	.7±6.'	.9±8.(5±18.	5±21.	8 ± 20.	.3±9.4	.0±7.(1 ± 10.	.2±6.0	9 + 8.	5 ± 21.	.1±9.(.3±6.(.8±7.8	0 ± 17 .	.9 1 5.1	7 ± 20.	8 ± 12.	4 ± 19.	5±16.	Irse; Xi	
032	136	3 206.	285.	365.	452	6 246	6 218	2 167	173	7 214	3 255	171	3 221	5 247	0 282.	4 289.	0 372.	6 431	9 426	181.	5 201	3 227	215.	3 252	283	0 245	2 279.	275	9 4 22.	6464.	7 481.	5499.	ILY COS	
(iu rl)	6 ± 4.4	6.8±8	7±6.1	5±9.7	8±8.4	3 ± 11.) ± 11.	1±6.2	5±3.4	2±3.7	6±5.8	8±2.7	4 ± 3.6	4±7.5	4 ± 14.	2 ± 18.	3 ± 23.	2±12.	3 ± 11.	2±9.1	7 ± 4.5	9 ± 6.8	± 15.5	1±5.3	2±5.1	1±6.0	I±15.	1 ± 4.1	0 ± 13.	3 ± 19.	0±18.	0 ± 15.	C = Ve	
D30	9 . 6	141.	3 180.	8 234.	5 285.	3170.0	4 160.(9 130.	121.	5 139.	162.	117.	151.	9 162.	1 184.4	2193.3	2 254.0	3 281.3	5 275.3	126.	138.	8 152.	4 148	163.	5 181.	r 168.	9185.	§ 186.	8 290.(332.	8 325.(1 340.(arse; V	
(iun)	2 ± 4.3	5±7.1	9±4.8	8 1 8	1±8.5	7±15.	0 ± 13.	7±6.9	l.6±3.	2±3.6	7±6.1	6±2.2	1±3.1	4±6.9	0 ± 12 .	9±17.	2±23.	1 ± 13.	4 ± 12.	4±8.4	2 ± 4.1	3±6.8	7 ± 13.	2±4.(9±4.5	0±5.7	8±13.	9±3.6	3±13.	.2 ± 22	0±17.	5±15.	00 = 0	
D20	85.	117	9 143	3 187.	5 227.	1 142.	3 137.	114	10,	112	129.	97.	125	131	5 149.	2 157.	7 210.	2 227.	4 221.	105	115	125	3 122.	131.	2 144	5 139.	5 150.	0 152	8 240.	2 281	5 267.	3 280.	dium; 0	
(uni)	3±3.8	7±5.7	.6±2.9	.4±5.9	.1 ± 7.5	7 ± 18.	2 ± 14 .	7 ± 6.8	4 ± 2.3	7 ± 2.8	0±5.2	2 ± 1.7	9±2.5	8±5.4	.1±8.0	3±15.	8±20.	3±12.	7±11.	7±6.9	2±3.2	0±5.9	+ 10.	7 ± 2.6	1±3.	.8±4.0	7 ± 10.	.3±3.(1 ± 13 .	2 ± 23.	4 ± 14.	2±13.	e We	
010	- 71.	92.	108	139	164	114.	113.	97.	. 81.	. 85.	97.	. 77.	97.	. 99.	110	119.	158.	164.	159.	1 83.	i 93.	96.	97.1	98.	. 107	107	116.	117	181.	216.	198.	209.	ine; M	
(%) 00	4 ± 3.9	8±4.6	4 ± 1.9	0±1.0	r ± 0.4	0 ± 1.7	6±2.2	2±3.0	9±3.2	4±1.7	5±1.3	6±2.4	1±2.3	7 ± 2.4	2±2.8	3±3.0	9±1.6	9±0.7	± 0.5	2±4.0	5±2.6	5±2.9	7±8.5	1±1.8	2±1.4	9±2.3	8±3.2	5±0.9	r ± 0.5	2 ± 0.5	8±0.6	8 ± 0.4		
V2	. 67.	30.	14.	7.0	3.7	21.	28.	55.	45.	28.	18.	46.	26.	19.	13.	13.	5.6	3.6	4.1	43.	33.	24.	28.	20.	14.	19.	14.	14.	3.7	2.2	2.8	2.3	ery Fine	
(%) 00	3 ± 2.4	5 ± 1.2	7±0.3	2 ± 0.2	5 ± 0.1	2 ± 0.7	3 ± 1.2	5 ± 2.0	7±1.0	4 ± 0.6	3±0.4	2 ± 1.0	2 ± 0.4	3±0.5	1±0.5	3±0.5	7±0.3	5±0.1	5 ± 0.1	4 ± 1.8	5±0.9	7 ± 0.7	3 ± 2.1	0±0.4	2±0.3	3 ± 0.4	7±0.8	1±0.2	5 ± 0.1	3 ± 0.1	3 ± 0.1	3 ± 0.1	ν Ε = Υ	
. 1	5 18	.3 5.	.5 2.	 -	7 0.1	.5 3.	.2 3.	1 7.5	4 8.	.1 5.	.4 3.	2 9.	5	1 3.	7 2.	.2 1.1	.7 0.	.7 0.3	0.0	.7 - 6.	.0 6.1	ю С	.8 5.	.1 3.1	.9 2.	.2 2.	4 2.	.9	.2	2 0.	.6 0.	.7 0.3	ation: V	
mu) 6.1	.5±7.	1 ± 20	.5±19	l.5±7.	.4±4.	4 ± 33	0 ± 12	i.5±9.	i.8±8.	.7 ± 13	.5±18	i.0 ± 6.	.9±16	i.0 ± 7.	.0±9.	.9 ± 41	4 ± 10	.8 ± 10	.0±7.	.9 ± 29	.0 ± 28	.9 ± 21	3 ± 17	.3±34	7 ± 10	0±16	l.3±8.	.2 ± 11	7 ± 34	.1 ± 8.	.5±16	3 ± 15	assific:	
Dvd	0 263	.0 389	.7 573	.2 630	3 757	0.474	2 409	3 286	2 325	7 424	9 508	0 330	3 416	9476	.0 492	.3 509	.7 589.	1 684	.0 684	9 343	7 426	9 421	.2 399	.3 518	5 538	7 440	.1 509	4 499	7 644	.9 689	.2 7 33.	.2 758.	zzle cl	
(Wrf) (1	4 ± 8.	0 ± 14	0 ± 10	0 ± 17	.8 ± 8.	6 ± 14	.2±7.	.1±5.	.3±6.	.6±5.	.4±3.	.0±5.	.5 ± 7.	.2±6.	7 ± 22	1 ± 23	4 ± 23	.5±9.	8±10	.2±7.	.5±6.	6 ± 10	4 ± 28	4 ± 10	.1±5.	.9±9.	2 ± 14	.2±6.	§.8±2`	4 ± 16	0±23	0 ± 21	PC no	
WA (u	3 165	.2 251.	.7 355.	.5 453.	1 561	2 298.	4 256	0 191	9 208	9 263	6 315	1 207	5 265	.6 302	4 341.	.4 351.	.9 450.	.5 526	9 524.	.7 214	5 246	9 273.	.5 265.	7 303.	3 345	6 294	.9 348.	7 331	506	.2 537.	.6 584.	.6 610.	*	
uri) F	7 ± 4.3	2 ± 10	8±10	8±12	.7 ± 7.	i.1 ± 5.	.5±9.	.9±7.	.0±4.	.9±5.	.1±6.	.0±4.	.5±6.	1 ± 10	7±16	6±15	4 ± 19	3±10	.2±9.	5±10	.5±5.	.1±8.	2 ± 16	.9±7.	.1±7.	.4±7.	8±18	.1±3.	.6 ± 1	3±11	3±18	2±12		
D v 0.	F 79.	127.	C 170	C 231.	EC 292	150	137	109	106	129	157	104	139	154.	182.	181.	242.	280.	276	112.	117	144	131.	154	176	157	169.	175	281	324	321.	331.		
BCbC∗ Iste (I∖uuju)	45 VFJ	18 F/h	93 M./	88 C/V	9 VC/8	94 M	41 M	78 F	8.	.6 M	.4 M	⊔ 80	.6 M	.4 M	.8 M	.6 M	0 0	.6 VC	4 VC	8 8	39 F	.2 M	98 M	.6 M	.4 M	.8 M	.2 M	.4 M	×	2 70	.6 EC	4 E		
Pressure (bar) Worninal flow	4.5 0.	Э. Т	2 1.	2.5 2.	2 4	3 1.	3	о Э	3 0	3	3 2	о Ю	с Т	3 2	3	3 1	3	3	3 2	3 0	4 1.	т М	2	3 1	3 2	3 0	3 1	3 2	0 0	т М	3 1	3 2		
	01	03	96	~	5	olue	reen	ange	02	04	90	02	04	90	02	04	02	04	90	0 02	0 03	0 03	80	0 04	0 06	0 02	0 03	0 04	10 02	10 03	10 04	10 06		
zle type	110	rk 110 .	sr 110 (et 80 0.	et 80 1:	JTR 80 E	TR80 gi	R 80 or	VPI 110	VPI 110	VPI 110	VXI 110	VXI 110	VXI 110	VDI 110	VDI 110	VI 110	VI 110	VI 110	OF 11	O F110	OF 11	O F110	OF 11	OF 11	0 LD 11	D LD 11	0 LD 11	Injet 1	Injet 1	Injet 1	Injet 1		
Nozi	Delava	Lurma	Lechk	Teed	Teed	Albuz A	Albuz Al	Albuz AT	7 Znql∀	Albuz A	4 ZndlA	Albuz ≜	Albuz A	Albuz ∉	4 zndlA	7 ZnqlV	7 Znql∀	Albuz A	Albuz 4	Hardi IS	Hardi IS	Hardi IS	Hardi IS	Hardi IS	Hardi IS	Hardi IS(Hardi IS(Hardi IS(Hardi ISO	Hardi ISO	Hardi ISO	Hardi ISO		

Figure 1: Cumulative volumetric droplet size distribution for different Hardi nozzles and the 5 BCPC reference nozzles. Table 3: Droplet characteristics (a

verage + standard deviation) of 32 nozzle



Figure 2. Cumulative volumetric droplet velocity distribution for different Hardi nozzles and the 5 BCPC reference nozzles

Different characteristics have already been measured by other researchers using different techniques. For the BCPC reference nozzle, 17 references ($D_{v0.1}$, VMD and $D_{v0.9}$) were found in total (Western et al., 1989; Barnett & Matthews, 1992; Miller et al., 1995; Hewitt et al. 1998; Porskamp et al., 1999; Womac, 1999; Nilars et al., 2000; Womac, 2000; Herbst, 2001; Powell et al., 2002; Van De Zande et al., 2002). The spreading of these measurements is presented in Figure 3 together with our measuring results.



Figure 3. Spreading of measuring results from different researches on BCPC reference nozzles.

It is clear that absolute results differ significantly depending on settings and type of measuring equipment. Differences increase with droplet size. This confirms the need for (BCPC) reference nozzles to classify sprays. In table 4, the BCPC classification for the tested nozzle-pressure combinations is compared with the results of 5 other investigations, also using laser techniques but not considering droplet size class 'extremely coarse'. Despite the wide range of absolute measurements (Fig. 3), classification was identical in 73% of the cases. This quite uniform classification confirms the usefulness of these reference nozzles.

				·				*	00						
Nozzle type	Pres- sure (bar)	PDPA Laser	I	II	Ш	IV	V	Nozzle type	Pres- sure (bar)	PDPA Laser	I	II	III	IV	v
Delavan 110 01	4.5	VF/F		F				Albuz AVI 110 02	3	С		VC			
Lurmark 110 03	3	F/M		М				Albuz AVI 110 04	3	VC		VC		//////	
Lechler 110 06	2	M/C	//////	С				Albuz AVI 110 06	3	VC		VC			//////
TeeJet 80 08	2.5	C/VC	/////	С				Hardi ISO F 110 02	3	F	F	F	М	F	F
TeeJet 80 15	2	VC/EC		VC				Hardi ISO F110 03	4	F	М	М			F
Albuz ATR80 blue	3	М	·/////					Hardi ISO F 110 03	3	М	М	М	М	М	F
Albuz ATR80 green	3	М	/////					Hardi ISO F110 03	2	М	М	М			F/M
Albuz ATR80 orange	3	F	1/////					Hardi ISO F 110 04	3	М	М	М	М	М	F/M
Albuz API 110 02	3	F	1/////	F			F	Hardi ISO F 110 06	3	М	С	С	С	С	M/C
Albuz API 110 04	3	М	1/////	М			F/M	Hardi ISO LD 110 02	3	М	М	М	М	М	
Albuz API 110 06	3	М	1/////	С			MC	Hardi ISO LD 110 03	3	М	С	М	М	С	//////
Albuz AXI 110 02	3	F	1/////	F			F	Hardi ISO LD 110 04	3	М	С	С	М	С	
Albuz AXI 110 04	3	М	·//////	М			F/M	Hardi ISO Injet 110 02	3	VC	VC	VC			
Albuz AXI 110 06	3	М		С			MC	Hardi ISO Injet 110 03	3	VC	VC	VC			
Albuz ADI 110 02	3	М		М				Hardi ISO Injet 110 04	3	EC	VC	VC		//////	
Albuz ADI 110 04	3	М	1/////	С				Hardi ISO Injet 110 06	3	EC	VC	VC			1//////
I: Hardi nozzles prod II: Huygebaert et al., III: Nillars et al., 2000 IV: Nillars et al., 2000 V: BCPC nozzle card <i>italic: BCPC reference</i>	uct guic 2004) Aerom) Dante I e <i>nozzl</i> e	de netrics c	VF: M C VC: V EC: Ext	Very F: Fir : Med : Coa Very (remel	Fine ie ium rse Coarse ly Coa sified	rse									

Table 4: Comparison of BCPC nozzle classification with different other investigations.

Conclusion

Within the framework of a research project about agricultural spray drift, a measuring set-up for the characterisation of spray nozzles using a Phase Doppler Particle Analyser (PDPA) was developed and a measuring protocol was set up. This PDPA is capable of producing huge amounts of useful and informative data, but absolute results differ depending on settings and type of measuring equipment. From the results, the importance of the nozzle type and size on the droplet size and velocity spectra is clear. In future, results will be linked to the drift potential of different nozzle-pressure combinations and used as an input for a Computational Fluid Dynamics spray drift model.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Ministry of the Flemish Community IWT-Vlaanderen and the Federal Public Service Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment, DG Plants, Animals and Food. The authors wish to thank the technical staff of CLO-DVL and especially Jo Neirynck for the technical support.

References

- **Barnett G S, Matthews G A. 1992.** Effect of Different Fan Nozzles and Spray Liquids on Droplet Spectra with Special Reference to Drift Control. *International Pest Control.* pp.81-85.
- Buttler Ellis M C, Bradley A. 2002. The influence of formulation on spray drift. *Aspects of Applied Biology, International Advances in Pesticide Application.* 66:251-258.

- Combellack J H, Miller P C H, Tuck C R, Christian C B. 2002. Some performance characteristics of a novel design of twin fluid nozzle. *Aspects of Applied Biology, International Advances in Pesticide Application.* 66:237-244.
- Farooq M, Balachandar R, Wulfsohn D, Wolf T M. 2001. Agricultural sprays in cross flow and drift. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*. **78**(4):347-358.
- Goossens E, Braekman P. 2003. Accreditation as a safeguard for the quality of the inspection of sprayers and the spray technology laboratory. Stoso (wanie Agrochemikali (w, XI Krajowe seminarium. Pulawy, Poland.
- Herbst A. 2001. Droplet sizing on agricultural sprays A comparison of measuring systems using a standard droplet size classification scheme. *Proceedings ILASS Europe 2001*. Zurich, Switzerland.
- Hewitt A J, Valcore D L, Teske M E, Schick R J. Droplet size classification for agricultural sprays. 1998. Proceedings Ilass 11th Annual Conference on Liquid Atomization and Spray Systems, Sacramento, CA, May 1998.
- **Huygebaert B, Jaeken P, Nuyttens D. 2004.** Etude de moyens de réduction de la dérive project driftreducerende maatregelen, Fonds budgétaire des Matières premières Service Public Fédéral Santé publique, Sécurité de la Chaîne alimentaire et Environnement. Projet N° : FF 03/01 (425), juin 2004.
- Miller P C H., Smith R W, Tuck, C R, Walklate, P J. 1995. The classification of agricultural sprays based on droplet size distributions and the results from wind tunnel tests. *Proceedings of the British Crop Protection Conference Weeds*. 1125 1134.
- Nilars M S, Spragge P, Tuck C. 2000. Measuring techniques for drop size measurements In-flight measuring of droplet sizes from agricultural nozzles, a comparison of two commonly used laser systems. *Hardi International Application Technology Course 2002*. 47-55.
- Nuyttens D, De Schampheleire M, Steurbaut W, Baetens K, Verboven P, Nicolaï B, Ramon H, Sonck B. 2005. A PDPA laser-based measuring set-up for the characterisation of spray nozzles. *Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences*. 57th International Symposium on Crop Protection, University Ghent, Belgium, 12 pp.
- Nuyttens D, Brusselman E, De Schampheleire M, Steurbaut W, Baetens K, Verboven P, Nicolaï B, Ramon H, Sonck B. 2005. Spray drift as affected by meteorological conditions. *Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences*. 57th International Symposium on Crop Protection, University Ghent, Belgium, 12 pp.
- **Porskamp H A J, Van De Zande J C, Holterman H J, Huijsmans J F M. 1999.** Classification of spray nozzles based on driftability. DLO Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, Report 99-02. Wageningen, 22 p.
- Powell E S, Orson J H, Miller P C H, Kudsk P, Mathiassen S. 2002. Defining the size of target for air induction nozzles. Aspects of Applied Biology, International Advances in Pesticide Application. 66: 65-72.
- Southcombe E S E, Miller P C H, Ganzelmeier H, van de Zande J C, Miralles A, Hewitt A J. 1997. The international (BCPC) spray classification system including a drift potential factor. *The Brighton Crop Protection Conference – Weeds*. pp. 371-380.
- **Taylor W A, Womac A R, Miller P C H , Taylor B P. 2004.** An attempt to relate drop size to drift risk. Proceedings of the International Conference on Pesticide Application for Drift Management. pp. 210-223.
- Van De Zande J C, Porskamp H A J, Holterman H J. 2002. Influence of reference nozzle choice on spray drift classification. Aspects of Applied Biology. 66: 49-55.
- Western N B, Hislop E C, Herrington P J, Jones E I. 1989. Comparative drift measurements for BCPC reference hydraulic nozzles and for an airtec twin fluid nozzle under controlled conditions. *Brighton Crop Protection Conference – Weeds.* 6B-6: 641-648.
- Womac A R, Maynard R A, Kirk I W. 1999. Measurement variations in reference sprays for nozzle classification. *Transactions of the ASAE*. 42: 609-616.
- Womac A R. 2000. Quality control of standardized reference spray nozzles. *Transactions of the ASAE*. **43**(1):47-56.