



# VETERINARY CLINICS SMALL ANIMAL PRACTICE

## **Canine Distemper Virus**

## Vito Martella, DVM, Gabrielle Elia, DVM, Canio Buonavoglia, DVM\*

Department of Animal Health and Wellbeing, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Strada per Casamassima km 3, 70010 Valenzano, Bari, Italy

anine distemper virus (CDV) belongs to the genus *Morbillivirus*, family Paramyxoviridae, along with phocid distemper virus, measles virus, rinderpest virus, peste-des-petits-ruminants virus, and cetacean Morbilliviruses [1].

CDV is the causative agent of a severe systemic disease in dogs characterized by a variety of symptoms, including fever, respiratory and enteric signs, and neurologic disorders. Clinical disease caused by CDV has been known for centuries and is described unequivocally in books of the seventeenth century, reporting large epidemics all over Europe [2].

The introduction of the modified-live (ML) CDV vaccines in the 1950s and their extensive use has greatly helped to keep the disease under control [3,4]. Notwithstanding, the incidence of CDV-related disease in canine populations throughout the world seems to have increased in the past decades, and several episodes of CDV disease in vaccinated animals have been reported [5,6].

### CAUSE

CDV has an enveloped virion containing a nonsegmented negative-stranded RNA genome that encodes for a single-envelope-associated protein (M), two glycoproteins (the hemagglutinin H and the fusion protein F), two transcriptase-associated proteins (the phosphoprotein P and the large protein L), and the nucleocapsid protein (N) that encapsulates the viral RNA [1]. The H gene is a key protein for CDV itself and its animal hosts [3], because the virus uses this protein for attachment to receptors on the cell in the first step of infection. An adequate host immune response against the H protein may prevent CDV infection [7]. After attachment, the F protein promotes fusion of the cell membranes with the viral envelope. The F protein also promotes membrane fusion between the host cells, with formation of syncytia [8].

Field CDV strains do not replicate well in vitro, and virus adaptation to tissue cell cultures is fastidious. Canine or ferret macrophages may be used for adaptation of CDV to grow in vitro, whereas for propagation of cell-adapted CDV

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: c.buonavoglia@veterinaria.uniba.it (C. Buonavoglia).

strains (used in the vaccines), canine kidney cell lines or Vero cells are used. Because the signaling lymphocyte activation molecule (SLAM) acts as a receptor for CDV, Vero cells expressing canine SLAM (VeroDog SLAM tag) have been engineered that allow efficient isolation of field CDV strains [9]. CDV replication in cells usually induces formation of giant cells (syncytia) with intracytoplasmatic and intranuclear eosinophilic inclusion bodies (Figs. 1 and 2).

#### EPIDEMIOLOGY

CDV has a broad host range, and evidence for the infection has been obtained in several mammalian species in the families Canidae, Mustelidae, Procyonidae, Ursidae, and Viverridae. The infection has also been described in captive and free-ranging large felids [10–12], in captive Japanese primates [13], in collared peccaries [14], and in Siberian seals [15].

Like other enveloped viruses, CDV is quickly inactivated in the environment and transmission mainly occurs by direct animal-to-animal contact or by exposure to infectious aerosol. The virus can be detected at high titers from secretions and excretions, including urine [16]. Routine disinfections and cleaning readily abolish virus infectivity.

Temporal fluctuations in disease prevalence have been observed, with increased frequency during the cold season. Age-related susceptibility to infection (3–6-month-old pups are more susceptible than older dogs) correlates with the decline in maternally derived immunity, because young pups are protected by passive immunity and most adult dogs are protected by vaccine immunization.

CDV is a monotypic virus, as defined by polyclonal antisera, although a variety of biotypes exist that differ in their pathogenic patterns [17]. Molecular techniques are useful to study virus epidemiology and to investigate the dynamics of circulation of the various strains in susceptible animals. Comparative studies of CDV strains have revealed that the H gene is subjected to higher genetic and antigenic variation than other CDV genes. The amino acid sequence



Fig. 1. Vero cells infected by CDV. There is formation of giant cells (syncytia) with intracytoplasmatic and intranuclear eosinophilic inclusion bodies.



Fig. 2. Vero cells infected by CDV. The focus of viral replication is revealed by immunofluorescence.

of the F protein shows approximately 4% variability among different CDV strains, which is in the range of variability of the other structural proteins, whereas the CDV H proteins vary by approximately 10%. Sequence variation in the H protein may affect neutralization-related sites with disruption of important epitopes. Based on the pronounced genetic diversity in the H gene, it is possible to characterize most CDV field strains into six major genetic lineages, referred to as America-1 and -2, Asia-1 and -2, European, and Arctic [18-22], that are variously distributed according to geographic patterns but irrespective of the species of origin. The greatest genetic and antigenic diversity is between the vaccine strains (America-1 lineage) and the other CDV lineages [5,23-27]. Sera raised against field CDV isolates may have neutralizing titers up to 10-fold higher against the homologous virus than against vaccine strains [10]. Although it is unlikely that such antigenic variations may affect the protection induced by vaccine immunization, it is possible that critical amino acid substitutions in key epitopes of the H protein may allow escape from the limited antibody repertoire of maternal origin of young unvaccinated pups, increasing the risk for infection by field CDV strains. Some CDV strains seem to be more virulent or are associated with different tropism, but this relies on individual variations among the various strains rather than on peculiar properties inherent to a given CDV lineage [17,28].

#### **CLINICAL SIGNS AND PATHOLOGIC FINDINGS**

The virus enters the new host by the nasal or oral route and promptly starts replication in the lymphoid tissues [29], resulting in severe immunosuppression. T cells are more affected than B cells [30]. The decrease in CD4+ lymphocytes is quick and persists for several weeks. Because the percentage of CDV-infected lymphocytes is low, the mechanisms of immunosuppression are not clear. Immunosuppressive activity has been displayed by the N protein of measles virus, and the same mechanisms likely trigger immunosuppression in CDV infection [31,32].

The incubation period may range from 1 to 4 weeks or more. Transient fever reaches a peak 3 to 6 days after infection and is associated with the initial virus spread in the body. Loss of appetite, slight depression, ocular and nasal discharge, and tonsillitis may be observed (Fig. 3). By days 6 to 9 after infection, CDV spreads by cell-associated viremia to the epithelial cells in most organs [33,34].

At this stage, the outcome of the infection and the severity of the signs vary markedly on the basis of strain virulence, the age of the animal, and the immune status. If the dog develops a strong immune response, the virus gets cleared from the tissues and the animal completely recovers from the infection. When dogs develop a weak immune response, the virus is able to reach the epithelial tissues and the central nervous system (CNS). The initial clinical signs disappear, but the virus persists for extended periods in the uvea, neurons, or urothelium and in some skin areas (foot pads). The CNS signs are delayed, and hyperkeratosis is observed in some dogs. In the dogs that fail to mount an immune response, the virus continues to replicate and spreads massively throughout the body. Localization in the CNS results in acute demyelinization, and most dogs die 2 to 4 weeks after the infection [34,35].

As a result of the epithelial localization, respiratory, intestinal, and dermatologic signs occur by 10 days after infection. The symptoms are often exacerbated by secondary bacterial infections and include purulent nasal discharge, coughing, dyspnea, pneumonia, diarrhea, vomiting, and dermal pustules. Enamel hypoplasia and hyperkeratosis of the foot pads and nose are typical signs of CDV infection and may be observed in dogs that survive subclinical or subacute infections (Figs. 4 and 5) [36].

Starting from 20 days after infection, neurologic signs may be observed, such as circling, head tilt, nystagmus, partial or complete paralysis, convulsions, and



Fig. 3. Dog with CDV infection. There is conjunctivitis with periocular discharge.





dementia. Involuntary jerky twitching or contraction of muscles and convulsions preceded by chewing-gum movements of the mouth are considered typical of CDV infection. Neurologic signs may also be observed at 40 to 50 days after infection as a consequence of chronic CDV-induced demyelination. The virus persists in the CNS, and the disease evolves discontinuously but progressively. Some dogs may still recover, but compulsive movements (eg, head pressing, continual pacing, uncoordinated hypermetria) tend to persist [36].

Intracytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusion bodies are present in the epithelial cells of the skin, bronchi, intestinal tract, urinary tract, bile duct, salivary glands, adrenal glands, CNS, lymph nodes, and spleen [36].

Demyelination is the prominent lesion in the brain of dogs that are infected with CDV. In acute infection, primary demyelination is not related to inflammation [37], because perivascular cuffs are not visible, and it is likely accounted for by metabolic dysfunction with decreased myelin synthesis in CDV-infected oligodendrocytes and by virus-induced activation of microglial cells [38].



Fig. 5. Dog with CDV infection. There is hyperkeratosis of the foot pads (A) and nose (B).

In chronic forms of disease, the demyelination lesions are attributable to an inflammatory reaction elicited by a CDV-specific immune response and by persistence of CDV infection in the tissues. Experiments in vitro suggest that chronic inflammatory demyelination is attributable to an "innocent bystander mechanism" resulting from interactions between macrophages and virus-antibody complexes [39]. Perivascular cuffing with lymphocytes, plasma cells, and monocytes is present in the areas of demyelination.

A rare outcome of CDV infection is chronic encephalomyelitis of mature dogs, termed *old dog encephalitis* (ODE) [40]. ODE presents as a progressive cortical derangement with a wide range of clinical signs and usually occurs in dogs with a complete vaccination history. Frequent lesions associated with ODE are multifocal perivascular and parenchymal lymphoplasmacytic encephalitis in the cerebral hemispheres. The disease seems to develop in dogs after acute CDV infection when the virus gains the capability to persist in the nervous tissues. An ODE-like disease has been reproduced experimentally in a gnotobiotic dog infected with a neurovirulent CDV strain [41]. The molecular mechanisms triggering persistence of CDV in the CNS are not clear. Changes in proteins H, F, and M, or in their interactions, may affect CDV fusogenicity in vitro and are likely involved in the genesis of ODE [42,43].

#### DIAGNOSIS

CDV should be considered in the diagnosis of any febrile condition of puppies with multisystemic symptoms. Several laboratory tests are available to confirm CDV infection. Immunofluorescence (IF) on conjunctival, nasal, and vaginal smears (Fig. 6) is not sensitive and can detect CDV antigens only within 3 weeks after infection, when the virus is still present in the epithelial cells [3]. Virus isolation on cell lines from clinical or autoptic samples (eg, conjunctival swabs, buffy coat, spleen and lung tissues) is fastidious. Molecular assays, such as reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) [44–47] and real-time RT-PCR [16], are sensitive and specific. A nested RT-PCR system





with specific probes allows characterization of the various CDV lineages and distinction between field and vaccine CDV strains [48].

High antibody titers to CDV may be detected for several months after vaccination or after subclinical or clinical infection by ELISA, virus neutralization, or indirect IF assays. Virus-specific immunoglobulin M (IgM) persists for at least 3 months after infection and may be specifically recognized by ELISA [49,50] and used as a marker of recent CDV infection.

#### **TREATMENT AND PREVENTION**

Treatment consists of supportive care and antibiotics and is aimed at preventing the secondary bacterial infections that are frequent in immunosuppressed animals. Ribavirin, a purine nucleoside analogue, is capable of inhibiting CDV replication in vitro [51], but antiviral drugs are not available commercially.

ML vaccines are recommended for immunization of dogs. The vaccines elicit long-lasting protective immunity. Several vaccine strains (eg, Onderstepoort, Rockborn, Snyder Hill) have been used [3]. Some CDV vaccine strains may retain pathogenicity when used in wild-life animals [52] or when administered in conjunction with canine adenovirus-type 1 [53,54]. Also, immune depression induced by stress or by concomitant diseases may result in reversion to virulence of the vaccine [55,56]. Although vaccine-induced disease is always suspected in dogs that develop distemper shortly after immunization, in most cases, the disease is induced by wild-type CDV infecting pups before active immunization is elicited. Vaccine failures are mostly attributable to incorrect vaccinal protocols or to vaccine alteration after improper storage.

A recombinant viral vaccine for CDV has also been produced [57]. The vaccine proved to be effective and safe, because the virus vector does not replicate efficiently in mammals.

A major problem encountered in CDV vaccination of young pups is the lingering passive immunity of maternal origin that may prevent active immunization. Because measles virus is closely related to CDV, heterologous vaccination with the human Morbillivirus has been adopted to immunize pups in the face of maternally derived immunity. The vaccine seems to have limited efficacy [58] and introduces a human pathogen into the environment. The vaccine is not authorized in Europe, although it is available in the United States.

To overcome the interference of maternally derived antibodies, pups should be vaccinated with ML CDV vaccine at 6 to 8 weeks of age and again after 2 to 4 weeks. Annual revaccination is usually performed. Because protective immunity induced by ML vaccines persists for more than 3 years [59], vaccination of the animals is recommended every 3 years.

#### SUMMARY

Vaccine-based prophylaxis has greatly helped to keep distemper disease under control [3,4]. Notwithstanding, the incidence of CDV-related disease in canine populations throughout the world seems to have increased in the past decades,

and several episodes of CDV disease in vaccinated animals have been reported [5,6], with nation-wide proportions in some cases [60]. In parallel, in the past decades, uncontrolled trading of low-cost and high-value breed pets from countries with low sanitation standards has been intensifying in several European countries, leading to emergence or re-emergence of infectious threats to the health of dogs [61]. Recently, the spread of unusual CDV strains (termed *Arctic* after their similarity to CDV strains identified in animals of the Arctic ecosystem) has been documented in Europe, and similar CDV strains have been identified in North America [22,62,63]. The reasons for and effects of these changes in CDV epidemiology are unknown. Increasing surveillance should be pivotal to identify new CDV variants and to understand the dynamics of CDV epidemiology. In addition, it is important to evaluate whether the efficacy of the vaccine against these new strains may somehow be affected.

#### References

- van Regenmortel HVM, Fauquet CM, Bishop DHL, et al, editors. Virus taxonomy. Seventh report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. New York: Academic Press; 2000. p. 556–7.
- [2] Blancou J. Dog distemper: imported into Europe from South America? Hist Med Vet 2004;29:35–41.
- [3] Appel MJ. Canine distemper virus. In: Appel MJ, editor. Virus infection of carnivores. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1987. p. 133–59.
- [4] Appel MJ, Summers BA. Pathogenicity of Morbilliviruses for terrestrial carnivores. Vet Microbiol 1995;44:187–91.
- [5] Blixenkrone-Møller M, Svansson V, Appel M, et al. Antigenic relationship between field isolates of Morbilliviruses from different carnivores. Arch Virol 1992;123:279–94.
- [6] Decaro N, Camero M, Greco G, et al. Canine distemper and related diseases: report of a severe outbreak in a kennel. New Microbiol 2004;27:177–81.
- [7] von Messling V, Zimmer G, Herrler G, et al. The hemagglutinin of canine distemper virus determines tropism and cytopathogenicity. J Virol 2001;75(14):6418–27.
- [8] Lamb RA, Paterson RG, Jardetzky TS. Paramyxovirus membrane fusion: lessons from the F and HN atomic structures. Virology 2006;344:30–7.
- [9] Seki F, Ono N, Yamaguchi R, et al. Efficient isolation of wild strains of canine distemper virus in Vero cells expressing canine SLAM (CD150) and their adaptability to marmoset B95a cells. J Virol 2003;77:9943–50.
- [10] Harder TC, Kenter M, Vos H, et al. Canine distemper virus from diseased large felids: biological properties and phylogenetic relationships. J Gen Virol 1996;77:397–405.
- [11] Roelke-Parker ME, Munson L, Packer C, et al. A canine distemper virus epidemic in Serengeti lions (*Panthera leo*). Nature 1996;379(6564):441–5.
- [12] Van de Bildt MW, Kuiken T, Visee AM, et al. Distemper outbreak and its effect on African wild dog conservation. Emerg Infect Dis 2002;8:211–3.
- [13] Yoshikawa Y, Ochikubo F, Matsubara Y, et al. Natural infection with canine distemper virus in a Japanese monkey (*Macaca fuscata*). Vet Microbiol 1989;20(3):193–205.
- [14] Appel MJ, Reggiardo C, Summers BA, et al. Canine distemper virus infection and encephalitis in javelinas (collared peccaries). Arch Virol 1991;119(1–2):147–52.
- [15] Likhoshway YeV, Grachev MA, Kumarev VP, et al. Baikal seal virus. Nature 1989;339(6222):266.
- [16] Elia G, Decaro N, Martella V, et al. Detection of canine distemper virus in dogs by real-time RT-PCR. J Virol Methods 2006;136:171–6.

- [17] Summers BA, Greisen HA, Appel MJ. Canine distemper encephalomyelitis: variation with virus strain. J Comp Pathol 1984;94:65–75.
- [18] Bolt G, Jensen TD, Gottschalck E, et al. Genetic diversity of the attachment (H) protein gene of current field isolates of canine distemper virus. Gen Virol 1997;78:367–72.
- [19] Carpenter MA, Appel MJ, Roelke-Parker ME, et al. Genetic characterization of canine distemper virus in Serengeti carnivores. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 1998;65:259–66.
- [20] Haas L, Martens W, Greiser-Wilke I, et al. Analysis of the haemagglutinin gene of current wild-type canine distemper virus isolates from Germany. Virus Res 1997;48:165–71.
- [21] Harder TC, Kenter M, Vos H, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of the lymphoid organs of dogs naturally infected with canine distemper virus. J Comp Pathol 1995;113:185–90.
- [22] Martella V, Cirone F, Elia G, et al. Heterogeneity within the hemagglutinin genes of canine distemper virus (CDV) strains detected in Italy. Vet Microbiol 2006;116(4):301–9.
- [23] Harder TC, Klusmeyer K, Frey H-R, et al. Intertypic differentiation and detection of intratypic variants among canine and phocid distemper Morbillivirus isolates by kinetic neutralization using a novel immunoplaque assay. J. Virol. Methods 1993;41:77–92.
- [24] Iwatsuki K, Tokiyoshi S, Hirayama N, et al. Antigenic difference in the H proteins of canine distemper viruses. Vet Microbiol 2000;71:281–6.
- [25] Örvell C, Blixenkrone-Møller M, Svansson V, et al. Immunological relationships between phocid and canine distemper virus studied with monoclonal antibodies. J Gen Virol 1990;71:2085–92.
- [26] Gemma T, Iwatsuki K, Shin YS, et al. Serological analysis of canine distemper virus using an immunocapture ELISA. J Vet Med Sci 1996;58:791–4.
- [27] Mochizuki M, Motoyoshi M, Maeda K, et al. Complement-mediated neutralization of canine distemper virus in vitro: cross-reaction between vaccine Onderstepoort and field KDK-1 strains with different hemagglutinin gene characteristics. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2002;9:921–4.
- [28] Lednicky JA, Dubach J, Kinsel MJ, et al. Genetically distant American canine distemper virus lineages have recently caused epizootics with somewhat different characteristics in raccoons living around a large suburban zoo in the USA. Virol J 2004;1:2.
- [29] Appel MJ. Pathogenesis of canine distemper. Am J Vet Res 1969;30:1167–82.
- [30] Iwatsuki K, Okita M, Ochikubo F, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of the lymphoid organs of dogs naturally infected with canine distemper virus. J Comp Pathol 1995;113(2):185–90.
- [31] Marie JC, Saltel F, Escola JM, et al. Cell surface delivery of the measles virus nucleoprotein: a viral strategy to induce immunosuppression. J Virol 2004;78(21):11952–61.
- [32] Kerdiles YM, Cherif B, Marie JC, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of Morbillivirus nucleoproteins. Viral Immunol 2006;19(2):324–34.
- [33] Appel MJ, Shek WR, Summers BA. Lymphocyte-mediated immune cytotoxicity in dogs infected with virulent canine distemper virus. Infect Immun 1982;37(2):592–600.
- [34] Winters KA, Mathes LE, Krakowka S, et al. Immunoglobulin class response to canine distemper virus in gnotobiotic dogs. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 1984;5(2):209–15.
- [35] Appel MJ, Mendelson SG, Hall WW. Macrophage Fc receptors control infectivity and neutralization of canine distemper virus-antibody complexes. J Virol 1984;51(3): 643–9.
- [36] Green EC, Appel MJ. Canine distemper virus. In: Green, editor. Infections diseases of the dog and cat. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders company; 1990. p. 226–41.
- [37] Vandevelde M, Kristensen F, Kristensen B, et al. Immunological and pathological findings in demyelinating encephalitis associated with canine distemper virus infection. Acta Neuropathol 1982;56:1–8.
- [38] Vandevelde M, Zurbriggen A. Demyelination in canine distemper virus infection: a review. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 2005;109:56–68.

- [39] Griot C, Burge T, Vandevelde M, et al. Antibody-induced generation of reactive oxygen radicals by brain macrophages in canine distemper encephalitis: a mechanism for bystander demyelination. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 1989;78:396–403.
- [40] Lincoln SD, Gorham JR, Davis WC, et al. Etiological studies of old dog encephalitis. I. Demosntration of canine distemper viral antigen in the brain of two cases. Vet Pathol 1971; 8:1–8.
- [41] Axthelm MK, Krakowka S. Experimental old dog encephalitis (ODE) in a gnotobiotic dog. Vet Pathol 1998;35:527–34.
- [42] Plattet P, Rivals JP, Zuber B, et al. The fusion protein of wild-type canine distemper virus is a major determinant of persistent infection. Virology 2005;337:312–26.
- [43] Plattet P, Cherpillod P, Wiener D, et al. Signal peptide and helical bundle domains of virulent canine distemper virus fusion protein restrict fusogenicity. J Virol 2007;81:11413–25.
- [44] Frisk AL, Konig M, Moritz A, et al. Detection of canine distemper virus nucleoprotein RNA by reverse transcription-PCR using serum, whole blood, and cerebrospinal fluid from dogs with distemper. J Clin Microbiol 1999;37(11):3634–43.
- [45] Rzeżutka A, Mizak B. Application of N-PCR for diagnosis of distemper in dogs and fur animals. Vet Microbiol 2002;88:95–103.
- [46] Saito TB, Alfieri AA, Wosiacki SR, et al. Detection of canine distemper virus by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction in the urine of dogs with clinical signs of distemper encephalitis. Res Vet Sci 2006;80:116–9.
- [47] Shin YJ, Cho KO, Cho HS, et al. Comparison of one-step RT-PCR and a nested PCR for the detection of canine distemper virus in clinical samples. Aust Vet J 2004;82:83–6.
- [48] Martella V, Elia G, Lucente MS, et al. Genotyping canine distemper virus (CDV) by a heminested multiplex PCR provides a rapid approach for investigation of CDV outbreaks. Vet Microbiol 2007;122:32–42.
- [49] Blixenkrone-Møller M, Pedersen IR, Appel MJ, et al. Detection of IgM antibodies against canine distemper virus in dog and mink sera employing enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). J Vet Diagn Invest 1991;3:3–9.
- [50] von Messling V, Harder TC, Moennig V, et al. Rapid and sensitive detection of immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG antibodies against canine distemper virus by a new recombinant nucleocapsid protein-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. J Clin Microbiol 1999;37: 1049–56.
- [51] Elia G, Belloli C, Cirone F, et al. In vitro efficacy of ribavirin against canine distemper virus. Antiviral Res 2008;77(2):108–13.
- [52] Durchfeld B, Baumgärtner W, Herbst W, et al. Vaccine-associated canine distemper infection in a litter of African hunting dogs (Lycaon pictus). Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 1990;37(3):203–12.
- [53] Cornwell HJ, Thompson H, McCandlish IA, et al. Encephalitis in dogs associated with a batch of canine distemper (Rockborn) vaccine. Vet Rec 1988;122(3):54–9.
- [54] McCandlish IA, Cornwell HJ, Thompson H, et al. Distemper encephalitis in pups after vaccination of the dam. Vet Rec 1992;130(2):27–30.
- [55] Max JG, Appel MJ. Reversion to virulence of attenuated canine distemper virus in vivo and in vitro. J Gen Virol 1978;41:385–93.
- [56] Krakowka S, Olsen RG, Axthelm M, et al. Canine parvovirus infection potentiates canine distemper encephalitis attributable to modified live-virus vaccine. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1982;180(2):137–9.
- [57] Pardo MC, Bauman JE, Mackowiak M. Protection of dogs against canine distemper by vaccination with a canarypox virus recombinant expressing canine distemper virus fusion and hemagglutinin glycoproteins. Am J Vet Res 1997;58:833–6.
- [58] Appel MJ, Shek WR, Shesberadaran H, et al. Measles virus and inactivated canine distemper virus induce incomplete immunity to canine distemper. Arch Virol 1984;82(1–2): 73–82.

- [59] Gore TC, Lakshmanan N, Duncan KL, et al. Three-year duration of immunity in dogs following vaccination against canine adenovirus type-1, canine parvovirus, and canine distemper virus. Vet Ther 2005;6:5–14.
- [60] Ek-Kommonen C, Sihvonen L, Pekkanen K, et al. Outbreak of canine distemper in vaccinated dogs in Finland. Vet Rec 1997;141:380–3.
- [61] Decaro N, Campolo M, Elia G, et al. Infectious canine hepatitis: an "old" disease reemerging in Italy. Res Vet Sci 2007;83:269–73.
- [62] Pardo ID, Johnson GC, Kleiboeker SB. Phylogenetic characterization of canine distemper viruses detected in naturally infected dogs in North America. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43(10):5009–17.
- [63] Demeter Z, Lakatos B, Palade EA, et al. Genetic diversity of Hungarian canine distemper virus strains. Vet Microbiol 2007;122:258–69.