# WORK ALLOCATION IN COMPLEX PRODUCTION PROCESSES: A METHODOLOGY FOR DECISION SUPPORT

# Adriana Marotti de Mello, Mauro Zilbovicius, Roberto Marx

Department of Production Engineering – Politechnic School, University of São Paulo

### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to develop a Method for Decision Support of Work Allocation in Complex Production Processes, not based on Time and Motion studies, but considering other factors that might impact this decision. From a project developed on demand of a large Brazilian petrochemical company, researchers from the Production Engineering Department, University of São Paulo, developed a method based on the generation of alternative scenarios offering different possibilities for Work Allocation, using Action Research methodology.

### Keywords - Work allocation, Complex Operations, Scenarios

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

This article presents the development of a Methodology of Decision Support for Work Allocation in complex production processes. It is known that this decision is frequently taken empirically and that the methodologies available to support it are few and restricted in terms of its conceptual basis. The study of Time and Motion is one of these methodologies, but its applicability is restricted in cases of more complex production processes, as is the case, for example, of continuous flow operations intensively supported by automation technologies (Woodward, 1965).

The method presented here was developed as a result of a project coordinated by the authors, on demand by a large Brazilian petrochemical company, in one of its plants operating in Brazil. The work consisted in providing technical-conceptual support to help in the analysis of the process and modification of work allocation in the operational area of one target plant.

Since the late 1980s, the company in question has been under a restructuring process, in order to improve its financial and operational performance. Within this context, aiming to reduce labor costs, measures such as the increase in industrial automation, outsourcing of activities and reduction in operational staff were taken, using as parameter the comparison with similar plants in Brazil and abroad. The decision process was conducted empirically, based on the managers' experience and on several historical and conjuncture variables that certainly influenced the decision.

The company in question is a continuous processes industry, in which the technological (indivisibility of the process, high level of integration of equipments, centralization of operations control) organizational (non-dependence between work pace and productivity) and economical (fixed labor costs) characteristics and their complexity (interdependence of the operation variables, symbolic character of process variables, randomicity and unpredictability of operation) have implications for the organization and the type of work required, specially for the operational area (Woodward, 1965, Khurana, 1999), which makes the classical methodology for work allocation – the studies of Times and Motion – not applicable to this case (Zarifian, 1994).

This work therefore attempts to propose a method not based on Times and Motion (though it can be used cautiously as one more input) to support the work allocation decision in Complex Operations (such as the continuous processes operations), and that could be also used as a planning tool for the organization. To develop it, the following premises were used as starting points:

- The decision over work allocation is generally a decision of political nature, since different conflicting interests – both within and outside the company – are affected by it.
- There is not a method universally accepted to deal with the work allocation issue. The method to be employed depends on analysis of the productive process and on the identification of the factors that interfere in the relation among technology, productive system and the role played by operators in the process.
- Also, there is no "optimal" decision over the work allocation issue. It cannot be ignored that this decision is not just technically feasible and that it interferes in the interests articulated within (workers, managerial staff) and outside (unions, service and product suppliers, governmental institutions, shareholders etc) the organization, interests that gain or loose as the personnel contingent increases or decreases.

Based on these premises, an original methodology was generated, specially developed for the case in question, but which, as the work will attempt to demonstrate, may be replicated to other companies, with or without complex processes, in the industrial or services sector.

The method proposed is based on the generation of different alternative scenarios that show distinct possibilities for manpower allocation. Each scenario is oriented by certain premises and assessed by a set of significant efficiency indicators for the company.

Therefore, this article is organized as follows: in section 2, a discussion of the theme is made as from a bibliographical review; in section 3, the methodology used is presented; in section 4, an explanation is provided for the scenarios concept and its application for work allocation and, finally, in section 5, the conclusions, merits and restrictions of the methodology proposed are presented.

## 2 BIBLIOGRAPHIC REVIEW

2.1 Characteristics of Continuous Processes and their Implications for Organizing Work

The continuous processes industry is characterized by the continuity of its production. This type of process is found in different industrial sectors, as for example petrochemical, steel, paper and pulp and electric power generation. Technological and economic characteristics and complexity of this type of process have important implications for the work organisation in these industries, especially in the operational area (Woodward, 1965, Khurana, 1999).

From the technological point of view, continuous process is characterized by (Toledo, Ferro and Truzzi, 1986):

- Raw material indivisibility: since the productive process is composed of a sequence of chemical reactions and unit operations, most of the times it is not possible to distinguish inputs from final products.
- High level of integration among equipments: instead of isolated machinery performing different operations, a continuous process is characterized by a sequence of equipments interlinked and interdependent, resulting in low flexibility and interchangeability of equipments. The process is not, therefore, formed by discrete operations, but by process phases.
- Greater possibility of centralizing the operations control: since the interaction among the operators and the product is reduced and nearly all of it is subject to intermediation of equipments.

Besides these characteristics, a continuous process is usually characterized by a high level of automation and the use of computerized integrated control systems, which implies specific interactions among the workers and the task to be conducted: the main task of the operators thus becomes monitoring and controlling the process variables, aiming to maintain operational continuity, correcting occasional deviations and dealing with unpredictable flaws and variability in equipment performance (Buchanan and Bessant, 1985).

The economic characteristics that have implications for the work organization are (Toledo, Ferro and Truzzi, 1986, Zarifian, 1994):

- No direct dependence between the work pace and productivity: productivity is dependent on the operational output of the equipments, and not on the pace of human work.
- Capital-intensive industrial plants and fixed labor costs: the continuous process industry tends to require high investments in equipments, and the labor cost does not vary according to the volume produced, and may be considered fixed.

its Given technological characteristics interdependence of its variables, process indivisibilidty, randomicity and unpredictability, symbolic character of the operation (codificaction and abstraction) - the work operation and organization in continuous processes may be considered as complex. The operation of a complex process requires a work organization and an operator profile different from other types of operation (Khurana, 1999). The type of task performed by workers in a continuous and complex process significantly differs from the work developed in a manufacturing process: the operators work is basically monitoring, controlling process and equipment parameters, analyzing and taking action about deviations identified in relation to a specified condition known by the operator. One may argue that automated systems are also designed for this function, but they are not always able to perform it without some human interaction. In some situations, the operator is required to take full control of part of the operations and to

conduct one or more maneuvers independently of technology.

The perception to distinguish abnormal situations ("events") from trivial situations and the course of action to be taken in each case is an essential task for operators of this type of process. He/She must be able to make decisions about each task conducted, which requires relatively wide knowledge and an understanding of the whole process, attributes that are occasionally required, at a smaller scale, in operations characterized by discrete production processes.

Moreover, since the process and, therefore, the activities associated to it are indivisible and interdependent, the work is eminently collective, conducted by teams and not by an individual.

The work allocation method using the study of Times and Motion emerged in the works conducted by Taylor (1990). This method does not take into consideration important factors that might affect work allocation, although it is still used nowadays to support this decision in different environments - for example, Yeh, Lan and Lai (2005) for discrete manufacturing processes and Brennan and Orwig (2000) for engineering consulting companies.

The indivisibility and the interdependence of tasks and the dissociation between human work pace and the process productivity make Times and Motion method (from now on T&M) not suitable to complex and automated operations (Zarifian, 1994). The application of T&M presupposes the decomposition of the work into simple standardized tasks and with standardized execution time. The work in the operation of a continuous process cannot be divided and it cannot have its time standardized, either, due to its much more intellectualized character (monitoring, control and adjustment of parameters) and its imprevisibility (for example, it is very difficult to know when there will be one and how long a failure in a piece of equipment will last). Also, the T&M method fails to consider the collective character of the work in a continuous process, as it only analyzes the technical issue of the work and does not consider the capacity - and the necessity - for cooperation among workers (Zarifian, 1994).

It is also relevant to point out that, for T&M application, there is a fundamental differentiation between the productive and non-productive times. This distinction lies basically between the time in which the worker executes an operational task and the time in which he/she does not. In the case of continuous and intensely automated processes, this distinction makes little sense. How to identify between productive and non-productive time in process monitoring activities? Nevertheless, in the absence of alternative methods that support work allocation and that take into consideration the continuous process complexity and characteristics, many companies have attempted to use eminently quantitative methods (based on T&M) of work allocation, with less than encouraging results (Duarte, 1994). Most of these decisions, therefore, have still been made based on empirical criteria and on an ad-hoc basis. The next section attempts to develop the contribution of the present work, attempting to fulfill this conceptual and practical gap.

# 2.2 Work allocation– Factors to be considered

It is understood that different factors affect work allocation (see figure 1, as follows). These are:

- The economic and commercial context in which the company is inserted and its development strategy.
- Social and demographic dimension operators' characteristics: formation, individual and collective competencies, experience/ professional trajectory, time at the company and health situation.
- The production and its organization, including work organization (criteria for dividing and coordinating the activities).
- Technical dimension involves both the production processes themselves and the products (quality and diversity criteria).
- Investments in the existing installations or foreseen for new installations.
- Laws and regulations that may be related to the work and its organization.



Figure 1 – Factors affecting Manpower Allocation

Besides, the decision on work allocation must be made under consideration of the different perspectives that usually influence it at a larger or at a smaller scale:

• The company point of view, including the different managerial views

• Workers' point of view, including their union representatives.

• Technical point of view, that is, deriving from the application of principles and methodologies conceptually adequate, validated and available to help decision-making on work allocation.

• Other points of view: analysis of competitors, *benchmarking* related to installations and similar operations, tradition and cultural aspects, characteristics of the industry.

Work allocation necessarily undergoes a discussion which has to consider and make explicit these different points of view. And why is it important to make it? Without this discussion and the explanation of different points of view, there is a great risk of choosing a solution with results inferior to the ones desired or even of choosing an unfeasible solution, since possibly aspects relative to the work, to workers' demands, intermediate level personnel in the hierarchy, union, organization interests or of part of it failed to be considered.

#### 2.3 Use of Scenarios as a Planning Tool

A *Scenario* can be defined as a mental model accepted and shared with the world outside, involving descriptions of a possible future with internal consistency, that is, the outcome of a plausible trajectory (Van der Heijden, 1996). The use of scenarios comes from the Strategic Planning field, which have started with efforts conducted at Shell, that developed a method for planning future actions based on experts opinions from different knowledge areas. These scenarios expressed future possibilities, with a certain degree of uncertainty, but based on a coherent logic (Van der Heijden, 1996).

In this work, the use of scenarios does not intend a specific representation of the future, but to simulate the impact of work allocation in relation to critical indicators for the company management. Thus, the choice of impact indicators becomes a critical point of this method and the criteria for choosing them must necessarily contemplate the previously discussed factors (such as investment, social dimension, etc).

## **3 METHODOLOGY**

In this work, the option was for the Research-Action methodology, which is, the use of an academic and scientific view to study the resolution of problems of an organization together with those directly involved in the **Technologica** ughlan and Coghlan, 2002). Dimension

This article derives from a project developed by the team of researchers of the WTO (Work, Technology and Organization) area – from the Department of Production Engineering - University of São Paulo, on Social of a large Brazilian company in the

Dimperiorhamical sector, which needed support to work allocation decision for the operational area of one of its plants.

As expected results, the following were defined:

• The establishment of key indicators associated to relevant aspects for work allocation, allowing the assessment of scenarios;

• The building of coherent and consistent alternative scenarios assessed by these indicators;

• The development of a method, which might be replicated at any moment, at any plant in the company or in other organizations.

The building of scenarios was the main methodological element utilized. The scenarios were defined as situations in which different elements interfere in the work process, including technological, organizational, social, political and strategic factors. These factors are interlinked, building a certain logic that structures the organization of work. From the understanding of this logic and the analysis of the different factors, based on indicators developed to express the performance of the scenario in relation to factors of the organization interest, it was possible to build different new scenarios from the initial one, which represents the current situation of the plant studied.

The research-action process lasted approximately five months, along which part of the researchers team followed the work routine of the company full time, and it was developed in five phases, as follows:

Phase I - Delineation of the Current Scenario

Phase II – Building of Alternative Scenarios

Phase III - Analysis of Scenarios

Phase IV – Revision of Scenarios

Phase V – Presentation of Results

Phases II, III and IV were developed in three different

sequential cycles, as will be showed further on, so that the development of consistent and coherent scenarios could be reached.

## 3. 1 Phase I - Delineation of the Current scenario

In this phase, the aim was to get familiar with the work routine of the company and to collect the largest possible volume of information concerning the production and work process, in order to have a good understanding of the current scenario.

Then, in order to deepen the understanding of work in the operational area of the plant, *workshops* with operators were done. These *workshops* had the important role of making visible the strategies and courses of action developed by operators to conduct their activities.

After this, the establishment of the *indicators* for assessing scenarios was started. These indicators should express the criteria by means of which the scenarios would be developed and assessed.

Next, the premises defining the *contour conditions* – of technological and organizational nature - of the current scenario were identified. Also, the different levels of premises and the possibility of alterating each of them were identified.

So, an initial scenario of the current situation was developed. This scenario was exhaustively assessed with a group of different managers of the company, in order to improve it, specially regarding to the indicators used to assess it.

# 3.2 Phase II – Building of Alternative Scenarios

After finishing phase I, the building of new different scenarios was started. Each scenario has a driver or conductor for its development, that is, an opportunity for improvement identified in the previous phase.

A recursive test process of the scenarios was established in relation to the indicators chosen in phase I, collection of new information to clear doubts or obscure points, possible change and refinement of indicators besides the identificaction of premises not previously perceived. A first impact assessment exercise was conducted on the changes caused on indicators by the scenarios.

### 3.3 Phase III – Analysis of Scenarios

The current scenario, as well as a first version of the scenarios developed, was presented to the managers of the company, for identification of inconsistencies and possible assessment errors. As a result, there was a general assessment of the managers' reaction to the scenarios and to the indicators used, which allowed for some of the scenarios and for refining the set of indicators.

### 3.4 Phase IV – Revision of Scenarios

As a result of the previous phase, the project team started to revise the scenarios developed, implementing the agenda for collecting new relevant information. Fundamentally, attention was turned to observing operators', managers' and technicians' work, coupled to the new consultation to the documented data.

After collecting and analyzing the new data, phase II was resumed for developing new scenarios,

necessarily more consistent in relation to the ones previously produced, configuring a recurrent.

## 3.5 Phase V – Presentation of Results

Finally, phase V was that of presenting the final set of premises, indicators and scenarios, with the corresponding justifications and analyses, in terms of impacts caused and the benefit/ cost relationship of the alterations in relation to the current scenario.

# 4 THE SCENARIO CONCEPT AND ITS APPLICATION FOR WORK ALLOCATION DECISION

The building of scenarios is the main axis of the method to support the work allocation decision developed here. As already discussed, a scenario could be defined as a representation of situations where different elements interfering in the work process are interlinked building a certain logic which structures the organization of the work processes.

From the understanding of this logic and the analysis of the different factors, based on indicators developed to express the performance of the scenario in relation to factors of the interest of the organization, it is possible to build several new scenarios from the initial scenario, which represents the current situation of the company. These scenarios can then be analyzed in terms of the changes in the indicators chosen in relation to the current situation.

Thus, the decision makers concerned with work allocation could conduct sensitivity analyses of the current scenario as related to the changes likely to be introduced, verifying their impacts and converging in relation to more advantageous scenarios according to the goals of the company.

# 4.1 Example of the scenario concept applied to a fictitious company

Due to the secrecy commitment of the project team concerning the company that was the object of the research-action process, the next section will present an example of the application of the method, a plant from a fictitious company named "X", with continuous process in the petrochemical sector.

### 4.2 Scenarios for Manpower Allocation – Company "X" - Current Situation

### Premises

### 1. Technological

- The unit operation is automated, computer controlled (SDCD).

- There are 2 independent consoles (SDCD control panels) to control the productive process.

- There is medium automation level in the area. Many maneuvers are still manual.

# 2. Organizational

- Operation is conducted in 3 shifts. There are 5 operation groups.

- There is a task division between "Business Hours Team" (BHT) and the teams working in shifts: the administrative support and comunicaction activities with other areas of the company are attributions allocated to BHT and not to the shift teams.

- Work allocation in the current scenario foresees operation in normal situation. In case of emergency, the team and technical support have to be reinforced

Indicators	Analysis	Developments
Hability to conduct inspections and (area) readings and/or panel procedures	The operator of area I cannot accomplish all his tasks within the shift. Normally, inspections and product sampling are delayed.	There may be malfunctioning of equipments for lack of adequate inspection. Lack of laboratory analysis may undercover severe process problems.
Volume of overtime work	On average, each operator works <b>y</b> hour overtime/month (number considered excessive by the company), to cover vacations, training and absences.	The operation excessively depends on overtime work.
Availability for developing individual/ collective competencies	Operation focused on routine activities- time for updating, reading and training is reduced. Training is usually conducted during	<ul> <li>Need to make operational training viable to allow for the flexibility of OP and for continuous capacitaction of more inexperient supervisors and operators to compensate turnover.</li> </ul>
	free time. Time of training procedures, technical courses and capacitation in the console is of, approximately, <b>w</b> hh/year.	Current need for training – corporative, procedure updating, technical courses and capacitation in console takes approximately <b>y</b> hh/year.
Availability for proposing innovations (improvement in process, procedures and others)	Operation focused on operational continuity. Innovations and process improvements are the BHT personnel's role.	Process engineers have little availability of time for proposing innovations and improvements in processes, as they are involved in other projects in the company and do not devote attention to operational problems.
Organizational atmosphere	Excess of work and overtime causes dissatisfaction among the operational staff.	Dissatisfaction has been increasing "turnover" year after year. About <b>n</b> people a year resign or get transferred, increasing the need for new operators training time and capacitation.
Operational safety and occupational health	The occurrence of doubling, overtime and training during free time has decreased resting time.	Increased probability of human fault during operation.
-		

- This scenario contemplates 5 people in the BHT – 1 Manager, 2 Process Engineers, 1 Maintenance Technician and 1 Administrative Assistant - and 35 people working in shifts.

### 3. Related to the Knowledge in the Ooperation

- Competence level/ experience is not homogeneous among operators. The realocation of the operators within the team is defined by the supervision.

- The lead time for a newly employed operator to be able to take on a responsibility position in the operation requires training that varies between 6 months to 1.5 year.

### Relevant points to be treated in the scenarios presented as an alternative to the current one:

- Non-compliance with Operational routine in the area
- High Volume of Overtime
- Time Availability for training
- Organizational Atmosphere Dissatisfaction
- Little involvement of operators in proposing innovations and solutions to problems

# Alternative Scenario 1

#### .1.1 Goals

- Full compliance with the area routines
- Increasing time availability for training.
- Reducing overtime to cover for vacations and absences.
- Improving organizational atmosphere
- Involving operators in innovation activities

### Revised Premises (note that of the 3 groups of premises, those concerning "Technological" were not modified in relation to what can be observed in the current scenario, reason for which they are not mentioned below)

# 2. Organizational

- Flexibility in the functions for area operators

- Operators and supervisors participate in continuous improvement projects and in the solution of problems, together with Process Engineers.

- Addition of 1 Operator in each shift, in the "Reserve Team", to cover for absences due to training, vacations, illness, etc.

### 3. Knowledge

- On the job training for supervisors and operators, conducted during BHT, with exclusive dedication, that is, without operation responsibilities.

- Continuous training and improvement of operators, aiming at the replacement of workers due to retirements and dismissals.

Analysis of Alternative Scenario 1

This article sought to contribute to the lack of literature and of structured methods on the theme, starting from a presupposition that it is necessary to develop a method that organizes information, as much as possible explicits the premises and the consequences that the decisions on work allocation might cause. One of the main possible merits of this method is to show the critical decisions concerning work allocation, as it takes into consideration the different factors and the different points of view (lower staff, managing staff) that

presents some restrictions: its application depends on mobilization of different actors and of an internal

disposition of the organization to simultaneously decide

on different variables. Furthermore, as it demands

discussion and search for a consensus among the

different parts involved, its application could take time and be tiresome, mainly in complex environments in

As a pioneer work on an alternative method for

decision support of work allocation in complex production processes, a new front emerges for further

researches in the area, for discussing and improving

the method, its applicability to other types of activities

and processes, specially those requiring predominantly

intellectual and intensive work in terms of knowledge.

the different acceptions of the word.

Indicators	Potential Impacts of Manpower Attoe attoe by this decision. Another
Hability for making inspections	With flexibility of functions among areas beraters, in the usa wind britistive recent on which
and (area) readings and/or	to comply with the whole of the inspection safety and a
panel procedures	whole, by means of using a tool that induces the
Valuma of avartima	<u>Collective discussion of the variables and alternative</u>
volume of overtime	which an extra operation to cover for waysetor ongentizing the loperation es,
Availability for developing individual/ collective competencies	With an extra operator to cover for warkers on vacation and the method in the company in there is time availability for trainifile middle showed the method in the company in Overtime to make training viable.
Availability for proposing	Involvement of operators and service or to deal with the so-called events, typical of
innovations (improvement of	processes provides greater development of find in this management of the processes. The
process procedures and	opportunity cost of not being able to count on the
others)	number of operators and the respective adequate
	qualification for dealing with "events" is a fundamental
Organizational atmosphere	With more training, greater involvement of has erables considered in the inalysis of the improvement projects and reduction of the improvement projects and reduction of the training of the training of the second
Operational safety and	Respect to resting time and reinformation revision revision and reinformation revision and reinformation revision and reinformation revision and revision revision and revision revision revision and revision revisio revisio revisio revisio revisio revisio revisio r
occupational health	fatigue and accident risks necessary for complete training operators to be able to
	do all the work, specially those considered more
Impact on the Benefit/ Cost	There is an increase of 5 operators, or aptesenting an interpretate by coordinate processes
Relation in the scenario	labor cost, but there is significant readviction in the trasteofisovertimie (with intherent to the
	paid with an additional value). process of increasing personnel, a characteristic that
	Greater availability of time for training allows accelerating the qualification of this nature. The decision allows accelerating the qualification process of inexperient operators and reduces negative impacts of turnover on the team.
	Nevertheless the methodology here proposed also

Table2 – Analysis of Alternative Scenario 1

The application of the method proposed would go on from this point with the following activities:

- Development of a larger number of scenarios as alternatives to the existing one.
- Analysis of each alternative scenarios, identifying their impacts from the point of view of the indicators chosen to analyze them.
- Presentation of all scenarios, both the current and the alternative ones to the managers' group assessment.

# 5 CONCLUSIONS: MERITS AND RESTRICTIONS OF THE METHODOLOGY PROPOSED

# **6 REFERENCES**

BRENNAN L., ORWIG, R. – A Tale of Two Heuristics: Conflict Work Allocation Approaches in Engineeirng Consulting – Engineering Management Journal, Vol.12, No.3, pp.18-25, Set.2000

BUCHANAN, D. e BESSANT, J. – Failure, Uncertainty and Control: The Role of Operators in a Computer Integrated Production System – Journal of Management Studies, Vol.22, No.3, 1985

COUGHLAN, P. e COGHLAN, D. - Actions Research for Operations Management - International Journal of Operations

and Production Management - Vol.22, No.2, pp.220-240, 2002

KHURANA, A. - Managing Complex Production Processes - Sloan Management Review, Vol.40, No.2, pp.85-97, 1999

TAYLOR, F.W. - Principles of Scientific Management, New York, Harper, 1947

TOLEDO, J.C., FERRO, J.R. e TRUZZI, O.M.S. – Indústrias de Processos Contínuos: Novos Rumos para a Organização do Trabalho – **Revista Administração de Empresas**, Vol.26, No.1: 103-105, jan/mar 1986

VAN DER HEIJDEN, K. - Scenarios: The art of Strategic Conversation - Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 1996

YEH, L.,LAN,T e GAUNG,L. – A model of optimal manpower allocation for chiphandling in manufacturing – International Journal of Computer Applications in Technology, Vol.24,No.1, pp. 49-54, 2005

WOODWARD, J. Industrial Organizations: theory and practice. New York: Oxford University Press, 1965.

ZARIFIAN, P. Travail collectif et modèles d'organisation de la production. Le Travail Humain, v.57, n.3, p.239-49, 1994.