



Infant Temperament: Repercussions of Superstorm Sandy-Related Maternal Stress

Jessica Buthmann^{1,2} · Jacob Ham^{3,4} · Katherine Davey^{3,5} · Jackie Finik^{1,3,6} · Kathryn Dana^{1,2,3} · Patricia Pehme^{1,2} · Wei Zhang^{1,3} · Vivette Glover⁷ · Yoko Nomura^{1,2,3,6}

Published online: 20 July 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

This study recruited a prospective cohort of 380 pregnant women before, during, or after Superstorm Sandy in 2012 to examine the association between disaster-related pre- and post-natal maternal stress and offspring temperament at 6 months-old. Mothers prospectively reported stressful experiences during the storm and rated their child's temperament 6 months postpartum. Results indicated that length of time without phone or electricity and financial loss was associated with offspring negative affect, whereas financial loss and threat of death or injury was associated with emotion dysregulation. Furthermore, offspring born before the storm had greater negative affect and lower emotion regulation than those born after the storm. Given the probable increase in the occurrence of natural disasters due to climate change in recent years (McCarthy, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001), our results highlight the necessity of education and planning to help ameliorate any potential consequences on the developing infant.

Keywords Prenatal maternal stress · Early life stress · Temperament · Natural disaster · Infant development

Introduction

The intrauterine period is a particularly vulnerable stage during which fetal insults may elicit structural and functional changes that can impact the offspring's neurobehavioral development [1, 2]. The fetus is particularly sensitive to prenatal maternal stress (PNMS) [3, 4], including symptoms of anxiety and depression [5, 6]. Increased production of the stress hormone cortisol readily crosses the placenta to the fetus and can contribute to a maladaptive structural and functional organization of the central and autonomic nervous systems [7–9]. Furthermore, exposure to excess cortisol during the early postnatal period as a result of stress-provoking environmental conditions is also thought to contribute to maladaptive development, as the brain grows rapidly during this period [10]. These pre- and post-natal alterations may increase the risk for difficulties in emotion regulation [11] and other psychiatric disorders later in life [12].

In animal studies, which can experimentally control the timing and intensity of PNMS, PNMS is frequently associated with increased risk for mental health deficits in offspring. Animal research has demonstrated that stress

✉ Jessica Buthmann
JButhmann@gradcenter.cuny.edu

✉ Yoko Nomura
Yoko.nomura@qc.cuny.edu

¹ Psychology, Queens College, CUNY, 65-30 Kissena Blvd, Flushing 11367, NY, USA

² Psychology, The Graduate Center, CUNY, New York, NY, USA

³ Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

⁴ Center for Child Trauma and Resilience, Mount Sinai Beth Israel, New York, NY, USA

⁵ Classics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA, USA

⁶ Hunter College, CUNY, School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA

⁷ Imperial College of London, London, UK

exposure during preconception, prenatal, or early postnatal periods is linked with aberrations that can lead to lasting changes in postnatal neurobehavior such as altered stress response, amygdala size, and Hypothalamic–Pituitary–Adrenal (HPA) axis function [13–19]. While animal models offer hypothetical mechanisms through which PNMS influences offspring development, they may not accurately inform human models of development because species-specific differences in length of gestation and stage of development at birth. However, most human studies must rely on measuring pre-existing levels and types of life stress that are rarely discrete and often confounded by other biopsychosocial factors, such as maternal genetics and psychopathology, which can also impact the long-term development of offspring.

It is crucial to detect risk for psychopathology in populations of children exposed to substantial stress in preconception, prenatal, and/or postnatal periods. Researchers have found associations between stress and maladaptive development in human studies. For example, bereavement-related preconception stress in humans was linked to a marginally significant increase in bipolar and schizophrenia development in offspring [20]. Maternal stress such as stressful life events [21, 22], mental health [23, 24], and elevated cortisol levels [25, 26] during the index pregnancy predicted child temperament, which relates to emotionality, personality, and risk for psychopathology. Notably, O'Connor et al. [27] found that prenatal maternal anxiety predicted emotion dysregulation, even while controlling for maternal postnatal anxiety. In the postnatal period poor maternal mental health and early life stressors including maltreatment have been linked with offspring suicide, autism spectrum disorders [20] emotion dysregulation [28, 29], internalizing symptomatology [30], and anxiety [26] later in life. Most of these studies, however, were limited by their correlational nature and many confounding variables.

In order to approximate the experimental clarity of animal studies in a human sample in which a cohort of pregnant women are exposed to the same stressful event, research groups have studied natural disasters. King conducted the first extensive, prospective study of PNMS using the Quebec Ice Storm of 1998 and found that PNMS had a lasting effect on most areas of physical, cognitive and behavioral development, and that these effects are often moderated by timing of exposure [31]. Researchers have also followed mothers exposed to the Iowa Flood of 2008 and found that PNMS predicts stress reactivity in offspring at 2.5 years of age [32]. Most recently, high levels of subjective PNMS related to the 2011 Queensland Flood predicted lower problem solving scores for girls, and the timing of the flood later in pregnancy predicted lower personal-social scores and delayed motor development for both sexes at 6 months of age [33].

Of relevance to this current study of early infant temperament, the Ice Storm project reported that higher levels

of subjective PNMS was associated with difficult temperament characteristics such as fussiness, dullness, and needing attention among 6-month-old offspring in an ethnically homogenous sample [34]. However, Tees et al. [35] studied Hurricane Katrina in 2005 in a majority Caucasian sample and found that objective measures of disaster stress did not predict infant temperament, although maternal PTSD, depression and hostility significantly correlated with ratings of “difficult temperament” at 2 and 12 months of age.

To further clarify and substantiate the impact of PNMS related to storm exposure on infant temperament, the current study reports findings from a prospective study of a diverse group of pregnant women exposed to Superstorm Sandy, which hit the New York metropolitan area in October 2012. The storm killed 117 people in the United States alone, 53 of whom were in New York [36]. Nearly 8 million residents lost electricity in the northeastern region of the U.S., widespread gasoline shortages occurred, and public transportation systems were shut down with damage that continues to be repaired [37]. 46% of the sample was pregnant before the storm, 44% of the sample was pregnant during the storm, and the remaining 10% became pregnant shortly after the storm occurred. After the disaster, measures of objective and subjective levels of stress resulting from the storm as well as timing of the exposure in relation to the pregnancy were obtained. Child temperament was reported at 6 months postpartum.

The present study seeks to examine associations between maternal stress related to a natural disaster in the preconception, prenatal, and postnatal periods in a diverse population. Prior natural disaster studies have largely excluded children exposed postnatally from analysis. Following Laplante et al. [34], we hypothesized that objective and subjective measures of PNMS due to Superstorm Sandy would be associated with infant temperament characterized by high negative affect and low surgency/extraversion and emotion regulation in offspring. Departing from Laplante et al., we will analyze individual subscales of objective stress rather than a sum score to examine if any particular component correlates more strongly than others with temperament or the impact of all components on temperament were equal. Furthermore, we hypothesized that timing of the storm exposure would be related to temperament, such that in utero exposure to the storm would be associated with high negative affect and low surgency/extraversion and regulation than exposure after birth or before conception.

Methods

Participants

The study included 380 mother–child dyads part of an ongoing birth cohort study at two New York metropolitan area

prenatal obstetrics and gynecological (OB/GYN) clinics. Participating mothers were originally recruited from the prenatal OB/GYN clinic at Mt. Sinai Hospital, which draws patients from East Harlem and the South Bronx in New York City. In 2012, the study expanded recruitment to women in the OB/GYN Department at New York Presbyterian Queens, which draws patients from Queens and Long Island, New York. Mount Sinai Hospital is located approximately 1 mile from the East River, which surged and flooded major roadways in Manhattan. New York Presbyterian Queens is located approximately 2.5 miles from Flushing Bay, which also surged and resulted in flooding, and 15 miles from the Rockaway Beach area that was severely damaged by the storm. Criteria for exclusion included HIV infection, maternal psychosis, maternal age < 15 years, or life-threatening medical complications of the fetus. The study was approved by the institutional review board at Mt. Sinai Hospital, New York Presbyterian Queens Hospital, and CUNY Queens College. Written informed consent was obtained from all eligible women for all study procedures. Detailed information can be found in the study's cohort profile [38].

Measures

Child Temperament (Negative Affect, Emotion Regulation, and Surgency)

The 91-item Infant Behavior Questionnaire-Revised (IBQ-R; [39, 40]) was the primary outcome measure. It is a widely used, reliable, and validated parent-report measure of infant temperament. At 6 months postpartum mothers reported the relative frequency of specified infant behaviors in the past week on a seven-point Likert scale from 1 (never) to 7 (always), with an option to indicate that she had not observed her child in the situation in question. The mean age of the infants at the time the IBQ-R was completed was 6.96 months ($SD=2.71$, range = 11.9). The IBQ-R consists of 14 subscales which yield three composite scores (negative affect, emotion regulation, and surgency/extraversion), according to the formula prepared by the creators of the instrument [39, 40]. Negative affect consists of distress to limitations, sadness, fear, and reverse coded falling reactivity. Regulation consists of duration of orientation, cuddliness, soothability, and low-pleasure seeking. Surgency consists of high-pleasure seeking, activity level, smiling and laughter, approach, perceptual sensitivity, and vocal reactivity. These composite scales were reported to have high internal reliability, with a reported Cronbach's alpha of 0.91 for negative affect, 0.91 for emotion regulation, and 0.92 for surgency [39].

Superstorm Sandy Exposure Measures

Our main predictors included objective and subjective measures of Superstorm Sandy related stressors. Specifically, data assessing objective hardship (Storm32), subjective distress (IES-R), and timing of the pregnancy in relation to Superstorm Sandy (before, during, or after Superstorm Sandy) were collected immediately after the storm or at the time of enrollment. Each of these measures are described in detail below.

Gestational Timing in Relationship to the Storm The specific gestational timing during which Superstorm Sandy occurred was calculated based on the date of birth of the child and the day the storm hit the metropolitan New York area (October 29, 2012). They were grouped in three categories: children born before the storm who experienced it early in life (postnatal group, 46% of the sample), children in utero during the storm (in utero group, 44% of the sample), and children who were not exposed to the storm (pre-conception group, 10% of the sample).

Hardship Objective hardship due to Superstorm Sandy was assessed with the Storm32 measure developed by King and Laplante [41] based on Bromet and Dew's [42] review. The questionnaire produces four dimensions of hardship as objectively and systematically as possible. Those four dimensions include threat, loss, scope, and change. Threat measures the degree to which life or injury to self or others was threatened by the event (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.70$). Financial loss refers to loss of income and property damage (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.78$). Scope measures amount of time without electricity and telephone (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.90$). Change is the degree of disruption to living arrangements (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.38$). Each dimension was weighted equally on a scale of 0 to 8, ranging from no to high hardship due to the disaster. Convergent validity is demonstrated in the correlations among these four scales and with the subjective distress scale described below (all $p < .01$).

Subjective Distress The Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) was used to measure maternal subjective distress related to Superstorm Sandy [43]. Questions asked how respondents felt about the disaster in the 7 days after the event. The 22-item IES-R, which has a high internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.92$), assesses three dimensions of post-traumatic stress disorder (intrusion, hyperarousal, and avoidance). Items are responded to on a five-point Likert scale (0–4), producing a theoretical range of 0–88. Convergent validity is demonstrated in the correlations between this scale and all four subscales of objective hardship described above and the state anxiety measure described below (all $p < .01$).

Potential Confounders

A host of variables potentially related to child temperament or experience during Superstorm Sandy were collected, including demographic characteristics, pregnancy and birth outcomes, and maternal physical and mental health.

Maternal Demographic Characteristics Self-reported maternal age, education, parity (i.e., number of lifetime pregnancy including miscarriages and abortions), and marital status were collected at the time of enrollment in the study.

Obstetric and Birth Outcomes Traditional indicators of pregnancy outcomes (e.g., birth weight and gestational age), history of birth complications (e.g., C-section, forceps delivery, and premature rupture of membrane), and neonatal problems (jaundice, admission to the neonatal intensive care unit, and shoulder dystocia) were collected at birth via electronic medical records. The number of birth complications formed a birth complication index used for analysis.

Maternal Health Problems During Pregnancy Information on two of the most common forms of maternal medical illness during pregnancy, i.e., endocrine disorders and infection, was collected both via medical chart reviews and maternal self-report. Endocrine disorders included gestational diabetes mellitus, preeclampsia, and gestation-induced hypertension. Infection includes vaginal infection and sexually transmitted infection such as chlamydia, herpes simplex, group B streptococcus, and human papillomavirus (HPV).

Maternal Depression The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) [44], a widely utilized self-report inventory of pre- and post-natal depression, measured depression symptomatology during pregnancy. Mothers reported how they felt in the past 7 days on a four-point Likert scale. Response options included “yes, all the time,” “yes, most of the time,” “no, not very often,” and “no, not at all.” The total score on all items, after certain items were reverse scored, yielded the “maternal depression” scale. The inventory has acceptable reliability (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.74$ during pregnancy, Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.79$ after pregnancy), satisfactory sensitivity (79%) and specificity (85%). Depression symptomatology was assessed during the second trimester and repeated at postpartum when infants were assessed at 6 months of age. These two scores are highly correlated with each other and the maternal state anxiety and negative life events measures described below (all $p < .01$).

Maternal Anxiety The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [45] measures the temporary condition of “state anxiety” and long-standing quality of “trait anxiety.” Each type is

assessed by 20 statements that may or may not describe the participants, responded to on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 “not at all” to 4 “very much so.” The current study used state anxiety as a measure of prenatal anxiety during the second trimester (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.92$). Convergent validity is demonstrated in the significant correlations between this measure and stressful life events (described below), maternal depression, and subjective storm distress measures described above (all $p < .01$).

Stressful Life Events The Psychiatric Epidemiology Research Interview Life Events Scale (PERI) [46] assessed the occurrence of stressful events in five major areas of life: relationships, health, legal matters, work and financials, and friendships. Mothers reported their experiences with stressful life events in those five areas of life during the second trimester. They endorsed for specific events and reported the valence (i.e., positive or negative) associated with each. This measure is widely used, has been shown to have good validity with narrative reports of life events, and has low intra-category variability [47]. We used the total number of negative life events reported by the mothers as our measure of stressful life events. Convergent validity is demonstrated in the significant correlations between this measure and maternal depression and state anxiety measures (all $p < .01$).

Data Analysis

All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 23 (IBM, Inc.). First, descriptive statistics were calculated to evaluate the correlation, mean, and standard deviation (SD) among the three composite temperament scores (i.e., negative affect, emotion regulation, and surgency), nine measures of maternal characteristics, (i.e., state anxiety, stressful life events, prenatal depression, postnatal depression, the number of birth complications, maternal age, parity, education attainment, endocrine disorders and infections), three child characteristics, (i.e., birthweight gestational age at birth, and sex), and objective and subjective Sandy-related stress, and gestational timing of exposure (before, during, or after gestation).

Prior to the analysis, the three temperament subscales were evaluated for normality by examining univariate indices of skewness. These subscales were normally distributed and consequently no transformation was applied. The frequency of missing data in this sample was negligible (less than 0.6%) for all variables except temperament variables (5.2%). No substitutions or imputations were used. Subjects with missing data did not significantly differ from the rest of the sample. Followed by the initial descriptive statistics, multivariable analysis was conducted using a general linear model (GLM) to examine the main effects of Sandy-related stress variables (i.e., threat, loss, scope, change, subjective

distress, and exposure timing) simultaneously on each of the three temperament outcomes separately. All analyses were performed first without potential confounders (Model 1), then with potential confounders, including a priori determined maternal and child demographic confounders and prenatal problems (i.e., maternal age, parity, obstetric complication, maternal education, birthweight, gestational age, endocrine illness, and infection during pregnancy; Model 2), and finally with additional normative, non-Sandy related stress (i.e., stressful life events, state-anxiety, prenatal and postnatal depression symptomatology; Model 3). In order to control for Type I errors due to multiple testing (3 models), we made an adjustment using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure [48, 49].

Results

Demographic Characteristics of Participants

The mean age of mothers was 27. Mothers had, on average, approximately three pregnancies prior to the index pregnancy in the present study. The majority of participants were Hispanic (50%) or Black (24%), then White (15%) or Asian (10%). Slightly more than half of the mothers were single (55%), and 44% were either married or in a common law marriage. Approximately 50% were girls and 50% were boys. Approximately 46% of the total sample was pregnant prior to Superstorm Sandy and their offspring experienced the storm in the postnatal period. Of the postnatal group, a majority were Hispanic (45%) or black (38%), 66% had single mothers, 53.7% were male, and the mean age of the mother was 27.7 ($SD=6.2$). 44% of participants were pregnant at the time of Superstorm Sandy with offspring experiencing the storm in utero. Of the in utero group, more than half were Hispanic (56%), 44.4% had single mothers, 52% were male, and the mean age of the mother was 27.49 ($SD=5.67$). The remaining 10% were conceived after the storm. Of the pre-conception group, nearly half were Hispanic (47%), nearly half had single mothers (47.2%), 25% were male, and the mean age of the mother was 26.29 ($SD=6.3$). The mean age of the infants at the time the IBQ-R was completed was 6.96 months ($SD=2.71$, range 11.9). Additional demographic characteristics can be found in Table 1.

Correlations and Mean (SD) Among Predictors and Child Temperament Scales

Means and standard deviations (SDs) of the outcome variables are as follows: negative affect $M=3.42$, $SD=0.86$; regulation $M=5.32$, $SD=0.69$; and surgency $M=5.26$, $SD=0.86$. Means and standard deviations of the main predictors, Superstorm Sandy related stress, are as follows:

threat $M=0.44$, $SD=1.00$; loss $M=0.68$, $SD=1.29$; scope $M=0.43$, $SD=1.25$; change $M=1.08$, $SD=1.05$; and subjective distress $M=7.85$, $SD=12.88$. Means, SDs, and ranges of all variables used in the study can be found in Table 2. Table 3 shows the correlations among predictors and the outcomes: the three composite temperament scores of negative affect, emotion regulation, and surgency/extraversion.

General Linear Model Predicting Temperament

Results of the conducted multivariable general linear models predicting infant temperament dimensions of negative affect, emotion regulation, and surgency/extraversion are found in Table 4.

Timing of Storm Exposure

As hypothesized, the timing of the occurrence of Superstorm Sandy in relation to the timing of the pregnancy (i.e., before, during, or after the pregnancy) predicted negative affect after controlling for confounders in Model 2 ($p=.03$) and Model 3 ($p=.02$, partial $\eta^2=0.01$), such that children exposed to these factors postnatally had the greatest negative affect scores. Exposure timing was also marginally associated with emotion dysregulation in Model 1 ($p=.06$) and significantly associated in Model 2 ($p=.05$) and in Model 3 ($p=.03$, partial $\eta^2=0.02$). Similar to negative affect, this suggested a decline in emotion regulation among offspring if they were exposed to the storm during pregnancy or shortly after the birth of the infants. Exposure timing was not significantly associated with surgency.

Disaster Related Hardship

As hypothesized, objective measures of hardship related to the storm correlated with negative affect and emotion regulation. Scope of time without phone or electricity was associated with an increase in negative affect ($p=.05$). This association remained significant when other demographics, obstetric, and maternal illness risks were controlled for in Model 2 ($p=.04$), and with further adjustment of prenatal stress unrelated to the storm in Model 3 ($p=.02$, partial $\eta^2=0.03$). Financial loss was also ($p<.01$) associated with increased negative affect. The association remained significant in both Model 2 ($p=.02$) and Model 3 ($p=.05$, partial $\eta^2=0.01$).

Financial loss was associated with decreased emotion regulation in Model 1 ($p=.05$). The association remained significant in both Model 2 ($p=.01$) and Model 3 ($p<.01$, partial $\eta^2=0.01$). Threat of injury was only marginally ($p=.06$) associated with decreased emotion regulation in Model 1, until confounders were adjusted and the associations became

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the sample population

	Total sample (<i>N</i> =380)		Postnatal (<i>N</i> =175)		In utero (<i>N</i> =169)		Preconception (<i>N</i> =36)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Parents' age								
Mother's age	27.45	5.97	27.67	6.24	27.49	5.67	26.09	6.3
Father's age	29.84	7.20	30.03	8.05	29.94	6.39	6.34	1.05
Parity	2.78	2.01	3.23	2.25	2.43	1.62	2.31	2.07
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
Race								
Hispanic	189	49.7	78	44.6	94	55.6	17	47.2
Black	92	24.2	59	33.7	25	14.8	8	22.2
White	55	14.5	19	10.9	29	17.2	7	19.4
Asian	37	9.7	17	9.7	18	10.7	2	5.6
Other	7	1.8	2	1.1	3	1.8	2	5.6
Marital status								
Single	207	54.5	115	65.7	75	44.4	17	47.2
Married	147	38.7	50	28.6	79	46.7	18	50.0
Common law marriage	21	5.5	8	4.6	12	7.1	1	2.8
Divorced/separated	3	0.8	1	0.6	2	1.2	0	0.0
Widowed	2	0.5	1	0.6	1	0.6	0	0.0
Education level								
Primary school	13	3.4	4	2.3	7	4.1	2	5.6
Some high school	48	12.6	31	17.7	13	7.7	4	11.1
High school GED	84	22.1	47	26.9	30	17.8	7	19.4
Some college	100	26.3	39	22.3	47	27.8	14	38.9
Associate degree	39	10.3	15	8.6	22	13.0	2	5.6
Bachelor degree	54	14.2	22	12.6	27	16.0	5	13.9
Graduate/professional	42	11.1	17	9.7	23	13.6	2	5.6
Child sex								
Boys	190	50.0	94	53.7	87	51.5	9	25
Girls	190	50.0	81	46.3	82	48.5	27	75

significant in Model 2 ($p = .01$) and Model 3 ($p < .01$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.01$). There was no notable association with surgency and disaster related hardship.

Subjective Distress

Subjective distress related to Superstorm Sandy was not significantly associated with any of the three temperament dimensions.

Discussion

The present study examined the effects of maternal experience with Superstorm Sandy (hardship and distress) as well as the timing of the exposure to the storm on infant temperament at 6 months of age. This study had four main

findings. First, in line with our hypotheses, subscales reflecting objective financial loss and scope of time without electricity or telephone were associated with greater negative affect. Decreased emotion regulation was associated with financial loss and threat of injury to self or others. Second, the timing of the exposure to the Storm (before, during, and shortly after) was associated with increased negative affect and decreased emotion regulation, such that infants who experienced the storm early in the postnatal period had the highest negative affect and lowest emotion regulation. Third, these associations remained significant after controlling for other risk factors, including demographics, obstetrics, maternal stress unrelated to the storm, maternal health problems during pregnancy, and maternal prenatal and postnatal depression. Fourth, contrary to expectations, we found no notable associations with the temperament dimension of surgency and storm variables.

Table 2 Means and standard deviations for outcomes and predictors

	Total sample (<i>N</i> =380)			Postnatal (<i>N</i> =175)		In utero (<i>N</i> =169)		Preconception (<i>N</i> =36)	
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Child characteristics									
Surgency	5.26	0.86	4.33	5.17	0.89	5.29	0.80	5.21	0.83
Regulation	5.32	0.69	3.30	5.32	0.68	5.15	0.67	5.11	0.57
Negative affect	3.42	0.86	4.86	3.44	0.92	3.60	0.77	3.67	0.72
Birth complications	0.17	0.37	1.00	0.20	0.40	0.15	0.36	0.10	0.30
Birthweight (kg)	3.19	0.61	4.56	3.15	0.57	3.30	0.56	3.09	0.66
Gestational age	38.93	2.02	18.34	38.92	1.98	39.06	1.98	38.55	2.42
Maternal characteristics									
Postpartum depression	5.7	5.2	24	5.6	5.2	6.1	5.1	6.3	4.8
State anxiety	38.3	11.7	54	37.8	11.9	37.9	11.7	42.3	10.5
Negative life events	1.5	2.0	10	1.6	2.0	1.4	2.0	2.1	2.9
Endocrine illness, <i>N</i> (%)	48	13%	–	30	17%	16	10%	2	6%
Infection, <i>N</i> (%)	77	20%	–	39	22%	31	18%	7	19%
Disaster related factors									
Threat	0.46	1.02	8	0.43	0.08	0.53	0.08	0.31	0.13
Loss	0.73	1.31	8	0.61	0.09	0.85	0.11	0.80	0.22
Scope	0.45	1.28	8	0.44	0.09	0.48	0.12	0.38	0.12
Change	1.20	1.01	8	1.01	0.08	1.18	0.08	1.20	0.23
Subjective distress	7.85	12.89	88	7.04	0.91	8.52	0.98	8.62	3.26

Our findings are largely consistent with the results of Laplante et al. [34] who studied a cohort of mothers and infants exposed to the 1998 Quebec Ice Storm and unlike the results of Tees et al. [35], who found that maternal mental health, but not exposure to a disaster (i.e., Hurricane Katrina) predicted infant temperament. Interestingly, we found that subjective maternal distress related to Superstorm Sandy did not predict any temperament dimensions (negative affect, emotion regulation and surgency), whereas Laplante et al. found it predicted three temperament dimensions they measured: fussy/difficult, dullness, and need for attention. This is similar to another study that found the timing rather than the subjective distress of the Queensland Flood predicted interpersonal skills in offspring [33]. Laplante et al. used the Infant Characteristics Questionnaire (ICQ) [50]. We used the Infant Behavior Questionnaire-Revised (IBQ-R) [39, 40], which covers a wider range of temperament characteristics than the ICQ and is more strongly correlated with the Revised Infant Temperament Questionnaire (RITQ), which closely follows the nine temperament dimensions identified by The New York Longitudinal Study (NYLS) [51]. Furthermore, it is worth noting that Laplante et al. assessed temperament in children exposed in utero, Tees et al. assessed children who were exposed in utero or shortly before conception with unexposed children, and we assessed children in utero before, during, or after the storm.

Results from this study, along with the similar findings from the 1998 Quebec Ice Storm [34], strengthen the idea

that PNMS related to a natural disaster has negative consequences on developing infants. Our findings that financial adversity and the occurrence of the disaster during the early postnatal period correlated with poor temperament outcomes underscore the importance of psychosocial stability for mother and child. Importantly, these findings held in a diverse sample following one stressful event while accounting for a host of potentially confounding variables, including prior stress. Although the stress caused by natural disasters might not be preventable, mental health services and other support for expectant mothers and mothers with young children who experience disasters and other stressful events may mitigate their impact. Increased awareness that stress brought by natural disasters to mothers may have a negative impact on infant temperament, which has been repeatedly linked to risk for development of future behavior problems is warranted [52, 53]. Notably, none of the variables related to the storm were associated with surgency, which may indicate that characteristics related to surgency, such as activity level and approach, are more resilient to early life and prenatal stressors. Taken together, our findings add to the growing body of literature suggesting that periods of early development are especially vulnerable to stressors.

The limitations of the current study highlight several avenues for future research. Although mothers, our sole informants, are generally considered the best informant of her child's behavior, especially at young ages, multiple informants or independent observation of child temperament

Table 3 Correlation coefficients, means and standard deviations (SD) for outcomes and predictor variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Temperament dimension	-																					
1. Surgency	0.52**	0.16**	-0.07	0.06	-0.06	-0.16**	-0.04	-0.15**	-0.02	-0.11*	0.02	-0.02	-0.11	-0.02	-0.01	-0.08	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06	0.04		
2. Regulation ^a	-	-0.26**	-0.15**	-0.01	-0.15**	-0.22**	0.02	0.02	-0.05	-0.05	0.04	-0.02	-0.04	-0.01	0.01	-0.05	0.01	-0.03	-0.06	-0.13*		
3. Negative affect	-	-	-0.18**	0.14*	0.18**	0.24**	-0.06	-0.05	-0.01	-0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.04	-0.01	0.09	0.07	-0.08	0.04	0.11*	0.11*		
Maternal characteristics																						
4. State anxiety	-				0.29**	0.66**	0.37**	-0.01	-0.07	0.05	-0.05	0.03	0.13*	-0.09	-0.07	0.14**	0.11*	0.02	0.06	0.20**	0.08	
5. Stressful life events	-				-	0.39**	0.19**	0.01	-0.19**	0.14*	-0.15**	0.05	0.16**	-0.04	0.05	0.13*	0.03	-0.04	-0.06	0.09	-0.01	
6. Prenatal depression	-				-	-	0.49**	0.05	0.01	-0.04	-0.04	0.09	0.13*	-0.05	0.02	0.15**	0.10	-0.04	0.18**	0.24	0.04	
7. Postnatal depression	-				-		-	0.07	-	0.05	0.17**	-0.01	0.03	0.07	-0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.06	-0.03	0.23**	0.05	
8. Birth complications	-				-		-	0.14**	0.04	0.02	0.84**	0.01	-0.10	-0.08	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.06	-0.09	
9. Mother's age	-				-		-	-	0.19**	0.39**	0.13*	-0.12*	0.08	-0.06	0.01	0.11*	0.12*	0.07	0.06	0.06	-0.06	
10. Parity	-				-		-	-	-0.18**	0.04	0.02	0.02	-0.06	-0.17**	-0.01	-0.01	-0.07	-0.12*	-0.05	-0.19**		
11. Education	-				-		-	-	-	-	0.03	-0.16**	0.13*	-0.02	-0.09	0.11*	0.14**	0.06	0.03	0.07		
12. Endocrine illness	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-0.09	-0.09	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	-0.12*	
13. Infection	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.08	0.06	0.06	-0.05	-0.09	-0.07	0.08	-0.04	
Child Characteristics at Birth																						
14. Birthweight (kg)	-				-		-	-	-	0.58**	-0.05	0.07	-0.02	0.07	0.03	0.06						
15. Gestational age	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.01	0.05	-0.05	0.08	-0.03	-0.01	
Disaster-related Factors																						
16. Threat	-				-		-	-	-	0.34**	0.29**	0.19**	0.28**	0.28**	-0.01							
17. Loss	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-	0.40**	0.40**	0.24**	0.07						
18. Scope	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-	0.33**	0.18**	0.01							

Table 3 (continued)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
19. Change																						
20. Subjective distress																				0.07	0.07	0.07
21. Exposure timing																						0.05

See Supplemental Table 2 for means and standard deviations for each variable

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$

^aRegulation = emotion regulation

could have strengthened our findings. We controlled for mother's depressive symptomology at the time she reported temperament, yet readers should be mindful that maternal perception could have influenced her report of temperament in an unforeseeably systematic way. Future studies may consider collecting biological samples of cortisol or measures of autonomic functioning in mothers and children, which could provide an objective measure of stress response to strengthen interpretation of temperament scores. Maternal cortisol during pregnancy would have also substantiated our measures of stress. Additionally, only 10% of the sample became pregnant after the storm occurred. There may have been insufficient power to detect alterations in temperament in this group, and future research should aim to increase the proportion of the sample falling in this group. It is also possible that being pregnant or having a young child during a natural disaster makes the event more stressful. Moreover, identification of trimester-specific vulnerability to PNMS was not the focus of this study, but would greatly improve understanding of neurobehavioral development during gestation. Lack of data about the father of the child constitutes a further limitation. Roughly half of the mothers in the sample were single and unable to provide information about paternal stress or mental health, which would have helped form a more comprehensive picture of factors contributing to the child's development. We must cautiously interpret our findings in relation to other forms of stressors expecting mothers face. Press coverage, environmental cues, and other lasting consequences of natural disasters may make associated stressors substantially different. Relatedly, we also would like to acknowledge that reliability of one of the disaster specific stress scales (i.e., change) was poor. We considered dropping this subscale from the analysis, however, as it is one of the four scales the instrument we used extract, we thought the benefit of keeping it in the analysis outweigh than the limitation due to poor reliability of this particular scale. Nevertheless, it requires caution when interpreting the results. Lastly, we must take into account the small effect sizes of the associations found in this study and proceed with caution when drawing conclusions.

Despite limited generalizability to pre- and post-natal stressors other than a natural disaster due to factors discussed above, this evidence is a part of a growing body of literature of human and animal models pointing to the existence of critical periods for neurobehavioral development. It is notable that we included postnatally exposed children in this study. Laplante et al. [34] and Tees et al. [35] did not, yet this was the group with negative temperament characteristics most strongly correlated with Superstorm Sandy. Future investigation of potential mediators and moderators of the relationship between early life and gestational stress and poor infant temperament profile will aid the development of strategies to prevent this outcome in the face of

Table 4 Summary of multivariable general linear model

	A) Negative affect						B) Emotion regulation						C) Surgency/extraversion					
	Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b		Model 3 ^c		Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b		Model 3 ^c		Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b		Model 3 ^c	
	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>
Threat	0.06	0.05	0.09 ⁺	0.05	0.07	0.06	-0.05	0.05	-0.11**	0.04	-0.12**	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.10 ⁺	0.06
Loss	0.04	0.04	0.11*	0.05	0.10*	0.05	-0.06 ⁺	0.04	-0.06**	0.04	-0.09**	0.04	-0.05	0.04	-0.22	-0.04	-0.02	0.05
Scope	-0.06 ⁺	0.04	-0.11*	0.05	-0.13**	0.05	0.15	0.03	-0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04	-0.02	0.04	0.01	0.05	-0.03	0.06
Change	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	-0.08	0.04	-0.02	0.05	-0.01	0.05	-0.01	0.05	-0.03	0.06	-0.04	0.07
Distress	0.02 ⁺	0.01	-0.01	0.08	-0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.02
Exposure timing	0.09	0.07	0.12**	0.03	0.15 ⁺	0.08	-0.16*	0.05	-0.13**	0.06	-0.16**	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.09
Maternal age	-	-	-0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-	-	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01	-	-	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01
Parity	-	-	0.01	0.03	-0.01	0.03	-	-	-0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	-	-	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03
Complication	-	-	0.31	0.27	0.38	0.29	-	-	0.13	0.21	-0.01	0.22	-	-	-0.58*	0.26	-0.65*	0.29
Education	-	-	-0.01	0.04	-0.04	0.04	-	-	0.02	0.03	0.40	0.03	-	-	-0.04	0.03	-0.06	0.04
Birthweight	-	-	-0.01	0.11	-0.15	0.11	-	-	0.04	0.08	0.01	0.09	-	-	-0.16	0.10	-0.14	0.12
Gestational age	-	-	-0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	-	-	-0.01	0.08	-0.04	0.03	-	-	0.01	0.03	-0.14	0.11
End illness ^d	-	-	-0.27	0.29	0.32	0.03	-	-	-0.26	0.23	-0.40 ⁺	0.24	-	-	0.63*	0.28	0.77*	0.32
Infection	-	-	0.04	0.13	0.14	0.02	-	-	-0.03	0.09	-0.10	0.10	-	-	0.01	0.12	-0.02	0.14
Life events ^e	-	-	-	-	0.04	0.03	-	-	-	-	-0.04	0.02	-	-	-	-	-0.04	0.03
State anxiety	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.02	-	-	-	-	0.01 ⁺	0.01	-	-	-	-	-0.01	0.02
Pre depression ^f	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	-	-	-0.01 ⁺	0.01	-	-	-	-	-0.01	0.01
Post depression ^g	-	-	-	-	0.03*	0.01	-	-	-	-	0.02 ⁺	0.01	-	-	-	-	-0.01	0.02

⁺ $p < .1$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$

^aModel 1 includes only Superstorm Sandy related trauma, including threat, loss, scope, change, distress, and the time the participant was exposed to the disaster (prenatal, during, and postnatal)
^bModel 2 includes all predictors in Model 1 after adjusting for maternal age, parity, pregnancy complication, maternal education, birth weight, gestational age, endocrine illness and infection (in pregnancy)

^cModel 3 additionally include stressful life events, state anxiety, and depression during pregnancy and postnatal depression

^dEnd illness denotes endocrine illness

^eLife events denote stressful life events

^fPre depression denotes prenatal depression

^gPost depression denotes postnatal depression

events such as natural disasters. These factors may include epigenetic functioning, mother–child bond, or presence of social support structures including therapeutic intervention.

The compounded importance of the present study comes from recent calls to increase replication of research from the National Institutes of Health [54]. Psychological science has suffered from a lack of reproducibility, calling the validity of the results of many studies into question. This has been particularly difficult in natural disaster research, which presents the unique challenge of collecting data in a timely manner and accurately quantifying participants' storm experience. Additionally, we are able to infer that natural disasters with different characteristics, e.g., ice versus rain, have similar effects on offspring. Replication extending these findings to other extraordinary events such as earthquakes and wild fires will further our understanding of the ways in which these occurrences can be passed on from one generation to the next.

Urgency for understanding the impact of natural disasters on child development is increased by the recent devastation in the United States brought by Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria as well as reports that the frequency of disasters of this magnitude will increase as climate continues to change [55, 56]. Methods for preventing or modifying poor temperament and other potentially long-lasting outcomes following exposure to these events are imperative. The most effective of these will likely stem from research identifying both the biological underpinnings of this relationship and psychosocial factors that mitigate the impact.

Summary

This quasi-experimental study examined the effects of prenatal and postnatal maternal stress as a result of disaster-related stress on offspring temperament at 6 months-old in a prospective cohort of 380 pregnant women before, during, or after Superstorm Sandy in 2012. 46% of the sample were pregnant before, 44% during, and the remaining 10% after the storm. Mothers reported on stress experiences during the storm, demographic information, medical history, and mental health at the time of enrollment. At 6 months postpartum mothers reported infant temperament using the Infant Behavior Questionnaire. Results of multivariable general linear models indicated that negative affect was associated with the scope of the storm (i.e., time without phone/electricity) and with loss of income or property damage and that emotion regulation was associated with threat (i.e., threat to life and danger) and loss. These associations remained significant after controlling for demographic confounders, obstetric complication, and maternal illness during pregnancy, as well as mother's stress other than the storm. Furthermore, the timing of exposure to the storm was associated with negative affect and emotion regulation such

that offspring born prior to the storm (i.e., exposed postnatally) had greater negative affect and lower emotion regulation than those born after the storm. There was no notable influence of storm related stress with surgency/extraversion. Our findings are consistent with a similar study of a cohort exposed to the Quebec Ice Storm of 1998 [34] and provide further evidence supporting the impact of storm-related stress and the timing of the exposure on child temperament. Given that experts predict an increase in the occurrence of natural disasters related to climate change [57], our results highlight the necessity of education and planning for early detection and intervention to help ameliorate any potential consequences on the developing infant.

Funding This study was supported by National Institute of Mental Health (US) (Grant No. R01 MH102729).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Ethical Approval All research had approval from the appropriate Institutional Review Board and is in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

References

1. Barker DJP (1998) In utero programming of chronic disease. *Clin Sci* 95:115. <https://doi.org/10.1042/CS19980019>
2. Drake AJ, Tang JI, Nyirenda MJ (2007) Mechanisms underlying the role of glucocorticoids in the early life programming of adult disease. *Clin Sci* 113:219–232. <https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20070107>
3. DiPietro JA, Hodgson DM, Costigan KA et al (1996) Fetal neurobehavioral development. *Child Dev* 67:2553–2567. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131640>
4. Wadhwa PD, Sandman CA, Garite TJ (2001) The neurobiology of stress in human pregnancy: implications for prematurity and development of the fetal central nervous system. *Prog Brain Res* 133:131–142
5. Glover V (2011) Annual research review: prenatal stress and the origins of psychopathology: an evolutionary perspective. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 52:356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02371.x>
6. Van den Bergh BRH, Mulder EJH, Mennes M, Glover V (2005) Antenatal maternal anxiety and stress and the neurobehavioural development of the fetus and child: links and possible mechanisms. A review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 29:237–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2004.10.007>
7. Chrousos GP (1992) The concepts of stress and stress system disorders. Overview of physical and behavioral homeostasis. *JAMA* 267:1244–1252. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.267.9.1244>
8. Sandman CA, Wadhwa PD, Chiczo-DeMet A et al (1999) Maternal corticotropin-releasing hormone and habituation in the human fetus. *Dev Psychobiol* 34:163–173

9. Phillips DIW, Jones A (2006) Fetal programming of autonomic and HPA function: do people who were small babies have enhanced stress responses? *J Physiol* 572:45–50. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2005.104695>
10. Sánchez MM, Ladd CO, Plotsky PM (2001) Early adverse experience as a developmental risk factor for later psychopathology: evidence from rodent and primate models. *Dev Psychopathol* 13:419–449. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579401003029>
11. McEwen BS, Seeman T (1999) Protective and damaging effects of mediators of stress. Elaborating and testing the concepts of allostasis and allostatic load. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 896:30–47
12. Jaffee SR, Moffitt TE, Caspi A et al (2002) Differences in early childhood risk factors for juvenile-onset and adult-onset depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 59:215. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.59.3.215>
13. Van den Hove DLA, Leibold NK, Strackx E et al (2014) Prenatal stress and subsequent exposure to chronic mild stress in rats; interdependent effects on emotional behavior and the serotonergic system. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 24:595–607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2013.09.006>
14. Ehrlich DE, Rainnie DG (2015) Prenatal stress alters the development of socio-emotional behavior and amygdala neuron excitability in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 40:2135–2145. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.55>
15. Howell BR, Grand AP, McCormack KM et al (2014) Early adverse experience increases emotional reactivity in juvenile rhesus macaques: relation to amygdala volume. *Dev Psychobiol* 56:1735–1746. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21237>
16. Keen-Rhinehart E, Michopoulos V, Toufexis DJ et al (2009) Continuous expression of corticotropin-releasing factor in the central nucleus of the amygdala emulates the dysregulation of the stress and reproductive axes. *Mol Psychiatry* 14:37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.91>
17. Sanchez MM, McCormack K, Grand AP et al (2010) Effects of sex and early maternal abuse on adrenocorticotropin hormone and cortisol responses to the corticotropin-releasing hormone challenge during the first 3 years of life in group-living rhesus monkeys. *Dev Psychopathol* 22:45–53. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579409990253>
18. Shachar-Dadon A, Schulkin J, Leshem M (2009) Adversity before conception will affect adult progeny in rats. *Dev Psychol* 45:9–16. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014030>
19. Langley-Evans SC (2007) Metabolic programming in pregnancy: studies in animal models. *Genes Nutr* 2:33–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12263-007-0005-x>
20. Class QA, Abel KM, Khashan AS et al (2014) Offspring psychopathology following preconception, prenatal and postnatal maternal bereavement stress. *Psychol Med* 44:71–84. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713000780>
21. Austin M-P, Leader LR, Reilly N (2005) Prenatal stress, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, and fetal and infant neurobehaviour. *Early Hum Dev* 81:917–926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhdev.2005.07.005>
22. Zhu P, Sun M-S, Hao J-H et al (2014) Does prenatal maternal stress impair cognitive development and alter temperament characteristics in toddlers with healthy birth outcomes? *Dev Child Neurol* 56:283–289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12378>
23. Huizink AC, de Medina PGR, Mulder EJH et al (2002) Psychological measures of prenatal stress as predictors of infant temperament. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 41:1078–1085
24. McGrath JM, Records K, Rice M (2008) Maternal depression and infant temperament characteristics. *Infant Behav Dev* 31:71–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2007.07.001>
25. Baibazarova E, van de Beek C, Cohen-Kettenis PT et al (2013) Influence of prenatal maternal stress, maternal plasma cortisol and cortisol in the amniotic fluid on birth outcomes and child temperament at 3 months. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 38:907–915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.09.015>
26. Davis EP, Glynn LM, Schetter CD et al (2007) Prenatal exposure to maternal depression and cortisol influences infant temperament. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 46:737–746. <https://doi.org/10.1097/chi.0b013e318047b775>
27. O'Connor TG, Heron J, Golding J et al (2002) Maternal antenatal anxiety and children's behavioural/emotional problems at 4 years. Report from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. *Br J Psychiatry* 180:502–508
28. Pagliaccio D, Luby JL, Bogdan R et al (2014) Stress-system genes and life stress predict cortisol levels and amygdala and hippocampal volumes in children. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39:1245–1253. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2013.327>
29. Tottenham N, Hare TA, Quinn BT et al (2010) Prolonged institutional rearing is associated with atypically large amygdala volume and difficulties in emotion regulation: previous institutionalization. *Dev Sci* 13:46–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00852.x>
30. Hanson JL, Knodt AR, Brigidi BD, Hariri AR (2015) Lower structural integrity of the uncinate fasciculus is associated with a history of child maltreatment and future psychological vulnerability to stress. *Dev Psychopathol* 27:1611–1619. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579415000978>
31. King S, Dancause K, Turcotte-Tremblay A-M et al (2012) Using natural disasters to study the effects of prenatal maternal stress on child health and development: natural disasters and prenatal maternal stress. *Birth Defects Res C* 96:273–288. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdrc.21026>
32. Yong Ping E, Laplante DP, Elgbeili G et al (2015) Prenatal maternal stress predicts stress reactivity at 2½ years of age: the Iowa flood study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 56:62–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.02.015>
33. Simcock G, Kildea S, Elgbeili G et al (2017) Prenatal maternal stress shapes children's theory of mind: the QF2011 queensland flood study. *J Dev Orig Health Dis* 8:483–492. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174417000186>
34. Laplante DP, Brunet A, King S (2016) The effects of maternal stress and illness during pregnancy on infant temperament: project ice storm. *Pediatr Res* 79:107–113. <https://doi.org/10.1038/pr.2015.177>
35. Tees MT, Harville EW, Xiong X et al (2010) Hurricane katrina-related maternal stress, maternal mental health, and early infant temperament. *Matern Child Health J* 14:511–518. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-009-0486-x>
36. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013) Deaths associated with Hurricane Sandy–October–November 2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 6:393–397
37. CNN Library Hurricane Sandy Fast Facts. In: CNN. <https://www.cnn.com/2013/07/13/world/americas/hurricane-sandy-fast-facts/index.html>. Accessed 13 Mar 2018
38. Finik J, Nomura Y (2017) Cohort profile: stress in pregnancy (SIP) study. *Int J Epidemiol* 46:1388–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw264>
39. Gartstein MA, Rothbart MK (2003) Studying infant temperament via the revised infant behavior questionnaire. *Infant Behav Dev* 1:64–86
40. Putnam SP, Helbig AL, Gartstein MA et al (2014) Development and assessment of short and very short forms of the infant behavior questionnaire–revised. *J Pers Assess* 96:445–458. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2013.841171>
41. King S, Laplante DP (2005) The effects of prenatal maternal stress on children's cognitive development: project Ice Storm. *Stress* 8:35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890500108391>
42. Bromet E, Dew M (1995) Review of psychiatric epidemiologic research on disasters. *Epidemiol Rev* 17:113–119

43. Weiss D, Marmar C (1997) The impact of event scale-revised. In: Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD. Guilford Press, New York, pp 399–411
44. Murray L, Carothers AD (1990) The validation of the Edinburgh post-natal depression scale on a community sample. *Br J Psychiatry* 157:288–290
45. Spielberger CD (1983) Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory STAI. Mind Garden, Palo Alto
46. Dohrenwend BS, Askenasy AR, Krasnoff L, Dohrenwend BP (1978) Exemplification of a method for scaling life events: the PERI life events scale. *J Health Soc Behav* 19:205–229. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2136536>
47. Dohrenwend BP (2006) Inventorying stressful life events as risk factors for psychopathology: toward resolution of the problem of intracategory variability. *Psychol Bull* 132:477–495. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.3.477>
48. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D (2001) The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Ann Stat* 29:1165–1188
49. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc B* 57:289–300
50. Bates JE, Freeland CAB, Lounsbury ML (1979) Measurement of infant difficultness. *Child Dev* 50:794–803. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1128946>
51. Chess S, Thomas A (1977) Temperament and the parent-child interaction. *Pediatr Ann* 6:26–45
52. Lahey BB, Van Hulle CA, Keenan K et al (2008) Temperament and parenting during the first year of life predict future child conduct problems. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 36:1139–1158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-008-9247-3>
53. Rettew DC, McKee L (2005) Temperament and its role in developmental psychopathology. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 13:14–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10673220590923146>
54. Collins FS, Tabak LA (2014) NIH plans to enhance reproducibility. *Nature* 505:612–613
55. Khan A (2017) Fires, droughts and hurricanes: What's the link between climate change and natural disasters? *Los Angeles Times*
56. Grinsted A, Moore JC, Jevrejeva S (2013) Projected Atlantic hurricane surge threat from rising temperatures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 110:5369–5373. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1209980110>
57. McCarthy JJ, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2001) Climate change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge