Finding translation in stress research

Ahmad R Hariri¹ & Andrew Holmes²

In our ongoing efforts to advance understanding of human diseases, translational research across rodents and humans on stress-related mental disorders stands out as a field that is producing discoveries that illuminate mechanisms of risk and pathophysiology at a brisk rate. Here we offer a Perspective on how a productive translational research dialog between preclinical models and clinical studies of these disorders is being powered by an ever-developing appreciation of the shared neural circuits and genetic architecture that moderate the response to stress across species. Working from these deep foundations, we discuss the approaches, both traditional and innovative, that have the potential to deliver a new generation of risk biomarkers and therapeutic strategies for stress-related disorders.

The ubiquity of stress and stress-related illness

All organisms must overcome some adversity to survive and thrive in unpredictable and often unforgiving environments. The ubiquity of stress has shaped highly conserved biological machinery that functions to acutely mobilize bodily resources and generate responses to myriad environmental dangers that threaten injury or death. Higher animals, in particular, have evolved elaborate physiological and neurobiological systems to perceive, react and adapt to psychological stressors.

Central to these systems is the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, activation of which directs energy away from routine homeostatic functions, such as immunity and tissue repair, to processes such as increased cardiovascular activity and glucose metabolism that are necessary for immediate survival. In the brain, highly complex neural circuits distributed in cortical, limbic and midbrain areas integrate, encode and establish memories of stressful stimuli and events to guide future behaviors¹. The functional dynamics of these circuits are modulated, in turn, by equally complex and intersecting molecular signaling cascades and by the genes that encode their constituent components. Across species, the capacity of neural and neuroendocrine systems to mount an appropriate response to stress is a core facet of adaptive success and can even build resilience to subsequent stress challenges.

But stress has a dark side. Mental illnesses directly linked to stress, including anxiety disorders, depressive disorders and the newly

categorized trauma- and stressor-related disorders (which we hereafter refer to by the earlier diagnostic label of post-traumatic stress disorder or PTSD)², are now so widespread that their prevalence rivals those of emerging global health pandemics such as obesity³. The diagnostic symptoms of stress-related disorders are many and varied, but what they typically have in common is an excessive reaction to isolated or recurring stressful experiences that persists over time, such that it becomes difficult for the sufferer to lead a normal life.

What goes awry in the body and brain when the response to stress stops being a healthy reaction to life's inevitable challenge and starts to become a chronic illness? Why do seemingly similar stressors and stressful life histories make one person sick, but leave another unaffected? And how can we leverage an ever-increasing understanding of the brain and behavior to design new ways to alleviate the suffering of people afflicted by stress-related illness and, ultimately, prevent them altogether?

These are longstanding questions that continue to occupy the work of psychologists, neuroscientists and geneticists. The more circumscribed focus of our Perspective is to highlight the growing potential for marrying preclinical work, predominantly in rodents, with studies in healthy humans and clinical populations. Though the need for greater translational efforts is a common refrain across all of psychiatry, we believe that stress research, with its rich and illustrious history (**Fig. 1**), offers a particularly promising opportunity to integrate research at the bench, in the laboratory and in the clinic to provide real advances in our understanding of the biological basis of stress-related disorders and illuminate a clear path to new strategies for their treatment and prevention.

Stress recruits highly conserved biological machinery

Translational research on stress-related disorders is predicated on the existence of highly conserved biological machinery functioning to deal with the challenges encountered in the environment. Efforts to find translational applications of stress research are not new and can be traced back to the seminal research of the endocrinologist Hans Selye⁴. Selye's work was the genesis for the systematic study of stress manifest as both a critical adaptive physiologic response to the environment (eustress) and a maladaptive, nonspecific dysregulation of this same physiology (distress or toxic stress). In addition to detailing numerous effects of stress on the body, Selye identified the HPA axis as the anatomical brain-body substrate of the stress response and demonstrated the powerful regulatory role of glucocorticoid signaling in regulating this response⁵.

A large component of stress research since then has focused on the importance of glucocorticoid signaling in mediating the adaptive and maladaptive effects of stress-triggered HPA-axis activity on the brain^{6,7}. This work reveals how dysregulated glucocorticoid signaling in animals subjected to chronic stress and in

¹Laboratory of NeuroGenetics, Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA. ²Laboratory of Behavioral and Genomic Neuroscience, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland, USA. Correspondence should be addressed to A.R.H. (ahmad.hariri@duke.edu).

Received 3 June; accepted 17 August; published online 25 September 2015; doi:10.1038/nn.4111

humans suffering from stress-related mental disorders is a nexus through which genetic and environmental risk impairs neural circuit functions to cause aberrant behavior. A wide array of stressors can produce such effects, ranging from the direct physical and chemical insults studied by Selye to more indirect and insidious stressors such as environmental instability. In fact, we now know that witnessing of traumatic events, nonphysical forms of childhood neglect and low levels of perceived social support are types of commonly encountered stressors experienced by individuals who go on to develop anxiety disorders or PTSD.

We also now have a deep understanding of how the brain perceives and processes these experiences. A tripartite corticolimbic circuit comprising the amygdala, hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (PFC) operates across species to regulate both the immediate response and the long-term impact of stress^{8,9}. The amygdala is a highly conserved brain structure with multiple functions^{10,11}, the best known of which is to detect potential danger, mount physiologic and behavioral responses to avoid these threats, and establish lasting memories to predict, and appropriately direct, behavior in the face of future threats. A series of studies dating back to the 1960s provided the first evidence that the amygdala regulated the HPA axis via projections to the paraventricular nucleus^{12,13}. The critical importance of the amygdala in the generation of cue-elicited or learned fear responses in rats was subsequently described in the early 1970s by Blanchard and Blanchard¹⁴—an observation replicated in many different settings in the years since¹⁵. In recent times, the field has been extraordinarily active in its efforts to delineate the subregions and neuronal subpopulations in the rodent amygdala that mediate both learned fear behaviors and the extinction of these responses^{8,16}.

The hippocampus and PFC are often considered to play supporting, but no less integral, roles to the amygdala in stress regulation. Two major contributions of the hippocampus in this regard are the encoding of complex, multisensory (that is, contextual) environmental information associated with threat and the provision of an important source of negative feedback to the HPA axis via glucocorticoid receptors. This is evidenced by the observation that rodents with hippocampal lesions fail to use context to adaptively gate responses to threat cues^{17,18} and exhibit elevated circulating levels of the glucocorticoid corticosterone after stress challenge¹⁹. With regard to the PFC, various subregions in the rodent (for example, anterior cingulate, prelimbic and infralimbic cortices) gate learned associations between cues and threat, but in some cases only in distinct settings, such as when associations have been extinguished or were formed in the remote past $^{20-23}$. These findings illustrate how the amygdala, hippocampus and PFC operate in a highly integrated neural circuit, along with critical input from other brain regions, including midbrain monoaminergic nuclei and the thalamus^{24,25}, to filter the immediate and lasting impact of stress.

One of the major pillars of translational research on stress is the highly conserved nature of these brain circuits²⁶. Clinical lesion and neuroimaging studies in humans dating back some 20 years demonstrate a prominent role for the human amygdala in processing and learning about sources of threat^{27,28}. Moreover, patients with stress-related disorders such as PTSD have been shown to display hyperactivity of the amygdala during fear conditioning and extinction, which correlates with their sustained levels of fear^{29–31}.

In a similar vein, some of the well-defined functions of the rodent hippocampus and PFC map onto analogous stress-related functions in humans^{21,32}. For example, functional neuroimaging studies in healthy humans have shown that the hippocampus is active during contextual processing of threat³³ and that both the hippocampus and

PFC are recruited during fear extinction^{34,35}. And in clinical populations, such as individuals with PTSD, deficiencies in extinction are closely linked to hypoactivity of the hippocampus (and ventromedial) PFC^{31,36}, as has been observed in rodents³⁷. The clear functional convergence of these and other well-defined stress-related processes is a boon to the cross-fertilization of parallel research streams in rodents and humans.



Figure 1 A brief timeline of some major milestones—past, present and future—related to the observation, classification and scientific study of stress and stress-related disorders. EPM, elevated plus maze; LD, light/dark exploration test; RDoC, research domain criteria.

The genetic architecture of stress moderation

Identifying the molecular mechanisms through which this conserved neural circuitry is modulated brings us one step closer to understanding the pathophysiology of stress-related disorders and, ultimately, to developing more effective therapeutic targets. Hence, a cornerstone of translational research is the identification of DNA sequence variation in organismal genomes that contribute to variability in the functioning of stress-modulating molecules^{38,39}.

To date, some of the most influential research in this area has not resulted from the sequencing of the reference human genome, as many had expected, but rather from the targeted study of candidate genes. In 1995, Lesch, Murphy and colleagues first described the existence of common functional DNA sequence variation in the human gene encoding the serotonin transporter (SLC6A4). This gene was targeted because the serotonin transporter regulates a neurotransmitter system long implicated in stress⁴⁰. The authors' findings that SLC6A4 variation associates with differences in trait anxiety across individuals represented a watershed not only for translational stress research but also for psychiatric genetics and imaging genetics⁴¹. This discovery was also instrumental in providing the impetus for the generation of rodent strains with functional mutations of the serotonin transporter^{42,43}. These rodent studies helped parse the neural circuit consequences of disrupting the serotonin transporter, stimulating work on the neural correlates of SLC6A4-related anxiety and threat processing in humans⁴⁴. Although defining the precise role of the SLC6A4 variant has proven contentious over the years, the type of research it stimulated remains a guide for a rational translational approach to the study of stress-related disorders.

A recent illustration of this approach is the case of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), a regulatory component of the brain endocannabinoid anandamide, which has been tied to stress-related behaviors and disorders by clinical and pharmacological studies alike⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸. A single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the human FAAH gene was found to be associated with reduced mRNA expression, enhanced fear extinction and lower scores on PTSD-risk personality traits in healthy subjects⁴⁹. At the neural level, imaging genetics studies mapped this phenotype to a capacity of the amygdala to

rapidly habituate to threat^{50,51}. Further insight into how this genetic variant might influence amygdala function to affect behavior followed from rodent pharmacological studies, which mimicked the effects of the low-functioning human gene variant by inhibiting FAAH activity. This, in turn, led to the demonstration that decreasing FAAH

Figure 2 Preexisting variability in a highly conserved neural circuitry mediating stress responsiveness predicts vulnerability for stress-related dysfunction. Top, individual differences in human amygdala reactivity to threat-related facial expressions predict psychological vulnerability to future stress. Participants with relatively greater amygdala reactivity (red) are more likely to experience symptoms of depression and anxiety if they encounter stressors up to 4 years later⁵⁸. Bottom, individual differences in the volume of the basolateral amygdala in mice predict sensitivity to fear conditioning. Mice with a smaller basolateral amygdala (red) are more likely to express relatively high conditioned fear⁵⁹.

activity enhanced fear extinction and protected against the damaging effects of chronic stress on the amygdala⁵². In parallel, studies in mice engineered to carry the low-functioning human FAAH variant indicated that the resultant improvement in extinction produced by this genetic mutation was associated with increased functional coupling between the amygdala and PFC⁴⁹. These multiple lines of evidence point toward a model whereby genetically driven variation in FAAH signaling titrates anandamide levels in the amygdala and PFC to moderate stress-related behavior. More generally, this work exemplifies how the dynamic back and forth between rodent and human studies can not only nominate new candidate genes but also stimulate novel directions for drug development.

Another recent example illustrates the way that candidate genes can be studied to provide a rich biological understanding of how genetic variants work through cellular signaling cascades and brain circuits to impart their effects on stress-related behaviors. Common genetic polymorphisms in FKBP5 (encoding FK506 binding protein 51) have been found to predict the occurrence of PTSD symptoms in people who had experienced varying degrees of abuse in childhood⁵³. This classic gene × environment effect was described not only in terms of behavior and clinical symptoms, but also at the level of neural circuit function. FKBP5 risk variants have been found to be associated with an exaggerated amygdala response to threat in individuals having suffered emotional neglect⁵⁴. Moreover, a comprehensive series of experiments in rodents, led by Binder and colleagues among others, detailed a mechanism by which FKBP5 acts to reduce the sensitivity of the glucocorticoid receptor to cortisol. Together these observations present a model whereby an FKPB5 gene variation regulates brain activity to buffer the effects of stress and mitigate risk for stressrelated disorders⁵⁵. The identification of the glucocorticoid system as central to these effects also brings us full circle to the work originated by Selve almost a century earlier.

Toward biomarkers of risk for stress-related disorders

As in other areas of psychiatry, and medicine more broadly, the hope has been that a growing knowledge base of genes that reliably predict stress-related phenotypes would allow us to forecast the



PERSPECTIVE

Figure 3 Translational research has revealed convergent processes at multiple levels of analysis associated with the stress-related disorders.

likelihood someone will succumb to a stressrelated disorder. We have not yet reached this point, and there remain no definitive genetic markers⁴¹, but the outlook may improve as the results of highly powered genomewide association studies (GWAS) emerge. Additionally, there are initial signs that quantifying individual differences in the structure and function of stress-mediating neural circuits moderated by genes might be a tractable path toward 'neural biomarkers.'

Work along these lines remains at the earliest stages, but one encouraging recent observation has again involved variability in the human amygdala response to threat. In this research, premorbid amygdala hyperac-

tivity predicts the likelihood of succumbing to a stress-related disorder, and does so independently of genetic or environmental risk. Separate studies have now shown that relatively exaggerated threatrelated amygdala reactivity is linked to greater risk for presenting with PTSD-like symptoms after combat exposure in soldiers⁵⁶ and the experience of a terrorist attack in civilians⁵⁷. Recently, research in one of our laboratories extended these findings by demonstrating that higher threat-related amygdala reactivity predicts broader risk for pathological mood and anxiety in response to common stressors, such as changing jobs or moving from home, that were experienced up to 4 years later⁵⁸ (**Fig. 2**). Strikingly, the variability in the magnitude of amygdala reactivity was, independently, a better predictor of vulnerability than were differences in self-reported symptoms, recent stressful experiences or childhood trauma⁵⁸.

Preclinical models, in which exposure to stressors can be carefully controlled and monitored throughout life, are in many ways ideally suited to study and elaborate on such premorbid neural risk biomarkers. However, a barrier to prospective studies of brainbehavior associations in rodents is that precise analysis of neural anatomy and function is often performed ex vivo. This technical hurdle will be increasingly easy to overcome with the availability of higher-resolution small-animal imaging or technologies permitting chronic, repeated sampling of neuronal activity in the same animal. An alternative method is to take advantage of isogenic rodent strains exhibiting stable interindividual and intergenerational variation in a neural phenotype of interest, such that one cohort of mice from each strain can be subjected to neural analysis and another cohort to behavioral testing. Using this approach, we have shown that reduced total volume of the amygdala, but not other brain regions such as the hippocampus, serves as a good predictor of higher learned fear behavior in mice⁵⁹ (Fig. 2). Here again, we find parallels in the human brain, where differences in the gray matter volume of the amygdala are reported across adults with stress-related disorders, with at least one study suggesting that smaller amygdala volumes may predispose soldiers to combat-related PTSD⁶⁰.

Future work along these lines will be valuable for defining a set of neural biomarkers that, when considered individually or collectively, has the power to reliably predict any given person's susceptibility to stress-related illness. Likewise, the identification of specific genetic and epigenetic differences in rodents that account for variation



in stress-related behaviors could prove key to pinpointing novel targets for studies in humans. This is not just an intellectual question, but a practical consideration, given that neuroimaging-based assessment of neural biomarkers is highly unlikely to be available in routine clinical settings. Continued translational research will help establish reliable genetic, epigenetic and molecular markers of risk-related neural circuit function that can be readily assayed from peripheral tissues, such as blood and saliva, and serve as routine proxies of individual risk.

Advancing treatment of stress-related disorders

As is true across all of medicine, treatment of mental illness is costly, inefficient and, in the end, largely inadequate for a significant proportion of patients. The ultimate value of biomarkers is to provide a means to prevent stress-related disorders from developing in the first place. In the interim, the value of translational research lies in the development of more effective strategies for treatment. So has the remarkable pace of translational discoveries in stress-related research borne therapeutic fruit? Over the course of a half-century of research, involving 10,000 preclinical experiments on around 1,500 compounds, there has been a remarkable paucity of novel anxiolytic compounds that have successfully moved from the laboratory to the clinic⁶¹.

Much has been said about the reasons for this apparent failure and the fact that the blame should not be apportioned solely to the poor predictive validity of our animal models. Even targets with significant therapeutic potential in animal studies can often be challenging to 'make druggable' and, even after they are, may turn out to be unsafe or poorly tolerated in patients. There is also the somewhat contentious issue of whether the current structure of clinical trials is truly optimal for identifying new drugs, particularly those that are not necessarily more effective than approved treatments but do have a superior side-effect profile. Nonetheless, we clearly need to improve upon the drug process of discovering new antistress medications and, as we have outlined here, we are confident that the growing trend toward 'joined up' translational research that exploits the power of basic neuroscience tools, neuroimaging and detailed clinical profiling can move the field forward.

Here, it is important to consider how translational research can also encourage nonpharmaceutical approaches to treatment, including cognitive restructuring and direct, nondrug manipulation of neural

stress circuits. One example of the former comes from observations in rodents and humans that extinction-induced reductions in cueelicited anxiety and associated amygdala responses can be improved with relatively simple modifications to behavioral procedures⁶²⁻⁶⁴. Targeting the neural circuits that support fear learning and stress responsiveness has also already provided compelling therapeutic findings. Most appealingly, noninvasive methods such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) have been successfully used to manipulate neural circuits implicated in the pathophysiology of stress-related disorders65. For example, rTMS targeting the dorsolateral PFC, which is positioned to affect explicit top-down regulatory control of the amygdala via connections through the medial PFC, has resulted in reduced behavioral symptoms and HPA-axis reactivity associated with hyperarousal in patients with PTSD⁶⁶. It will be interesting to see whether equally effective outcomes may be possible with even more accessible, noninvasive techniques such as transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS)⁶⁷.

Though less applicable to all but the most severe cases, invasive techniques can get us even closer to the neural circuit nodes identified through translational research. Most prominent amongst these approaches is deep brain stimulation (DBS), wherein depth electrodes controlled by a subcutaneous pacemaker are implanted through stereotactic neurosurgery in target regions of interest⁶⁸. Unlike rTMS or tDCS, the effectiveness of which is limited to the cortical mantle just below the skull, DBS can target any brain structure. For example, based on translational research, areas adjacent to the ventromedial PFC are common targets in the DBS treatment of depression that can provide relief from lifelong debilitating illness in otherwise treatment-resistant patients⁶⁹. Although this is as yet untested, there is hope that DBS could provide therapeutic options in severe cases of other stress-related disorders, including PTSD.

It is further possible that invasive techniques such as DBS may one day allow direct translation of the increasingly precise mapping of neural circuits governing fear learning and stress responsiveness in preclinical models. Of course, such applications are predicated on convincingly demonstrating that analogous circuits exist in the human brain. Although this may be possible with human functional neuroimaging at higher anatomical resolution using greater magnetic field strengths, many methodological challenges remain before such advances may be achieved. In the end, however, successful access to, and therapeutic manipulation of, an increasingly complex and fine-scale neural circuitry in humans may only be made possible by expanding the catalog of druggable targets.

Concluding remarks

In addition to the highly conserved biological machinery positioned to adaptively manage ubiquitously experienced stress, the ability to employ essentially identical behavioral measures, such as fear conditioning and extinction paradigms, that produce parallel metrics of corticolimbic circuit function and recruit analogous molecular and genetic factors has driven translational discoveries in stress-related disorders (Fig. 3). Translational stress research is thus positioned to be a standard bearer for the charge toward the recasting of mental illness as manifestations of disordered brain circuits and the behavioral processes they subserve, as formalized in the National Institute of Mental Health's Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative⁷⁰. Though not all mental disorders might prove ready fertile ground for such rapid and convergent discoveries, preclinical models have been developed for a wide range of disorders including drug addiction, depression, autism and even schizophrenia. By emphasizing common environmental demands and resulting conservation of neural, physiological and

behavioral response repertoires across species, translational efforts around these disorders could find significant traction as they have in the field of stress research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.R.H. is supported by Duke University and by US National Institutes of Health grants R01DA033369 and R01AG049789. A.H. is supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Intramural Research Program, the Henry Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, and the Department of Defense in the Center for Neuroscience and Regenerative Medicine.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/ reprints/index.html.

- Roozendaal, B., McEwen, B.S. & Chattarji, S. Stress, memory and the amygdala. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 423–433 (2009).
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders edn. 5 (APA Press, Washington, DC, 2013).
- Kessler, R.C. *et al.* The global burden of mental disorders: an update from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) surveys. *Epidemiol. Psychiatr. Soc.* 18, 23–33 (2009).
- Selye, H. A syndrome produced by diverse nocuous agents. Nature 138, 32 (1936).
- Szabo, S., Tache, Y. & Somogyi, A. The legacy of Hans Selye and the origins of stress research: a retrospective 75 years after his landmark brief "letter" to the editor of Nature. *Stress* 15, 472–478 (2012).
- McEwen, B.S. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators: central role of the brain. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 8, 367–381 (2006).
- de Kloet, E.R., Joels, M. & Holsboer, F. Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 463–475 (2005).
- Tovote, P., Fadok, J.P. & Luthi, A. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 317–331 (2015).
- Maren, S. & Holmes, A. Stress and fear extinction. *Neuropsychopharmacology* doi:10.1038/npp.2015.180 (2015).
- Maren, S. & Quirk, G.J. Neuronal signalling of fear memory. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 5, 844–852 (2004).
- Janak, P.H. & Tye, K.M. From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. Nature 517, 284–292 (2015).
- Knigge, K.M. Adrenocortical response to stress in rats with lesions in hippocampus and amygdala. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 108, 18–21 (1961).
- Gray, T.S., Carney, M.E. & Magnuson, D.J. Direct projections from the central amygdaloid nucleus to the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus: possible role in stress-induced adrenocorticotropin release. *Neuroendocrinology* 50, 433–446 (1989).
- Blanchard, D.C. & Blanchard, R.J. Innate and conditioned reactions to threat in rats with amygdaloid lesions. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* 81, 281–290 (1972).
- LeDoux, J.E. Emotion circuits in the brain. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 23, 155–184 (2000).
- Pape, H.C. & Pare, D. Plastic synaptic networks of the amygdala for the acquisition, expression, and extinction of conditioned fear. *Physiol. Rev.* **90**, 419–463 (2010).
- Bouton, M.E. Context, ambiguity, and unlearning: sources of relapse after behavioral extinction. *Biol. Psychiatry* 52, 976–986 (2002).
- Fanselow, M.S. & Poulos, A.M. The neuroscience of mammalian associative learning. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 56, 207–234 (2005).
- Herman, J.P., Ostrander, M.M., Mueller, N.K. & Figueiredo, H. Limbic system mechanisms of stress regulation: hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 29, 1201–1213 (2005).
- Frankland, P.W. & Bontempi, B. The organization of recent and remote memories. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 119–130 (2005).
- Milad, M.R. & Quirk, G.J. Fear extinction as a model for translational neuroscience: ten years of progress. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 63, 129–151 (2012).
- Fitzgerald, P.J. *et al.* Durable fear memories require PSD-95. *Mol. Psychiatry* 20, 901–912 (2015).
- Goshen, I. et al. Dynamics of retrieval strategies for remote memories. Cell 147, 678–689 (2011).
- Do-Monte, F.H., Quinones-Laracuente, K. & Quirk, G.J. A temporal shift in the circuits mediating retrieval of fear memory. *Nature* **519**, 460–463 (2015).
- Singewald, N., Schmuckermair, C., Whittle, N., Holmes, A. & Ressler, K.J. Pharmacology of cognitive enhancers for exposure-based therapy of fear, anxiety and trauma-related disorders. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **149**, 150–190 (2015).
- Cryan, J.F. & Holmes, A. The ascent of mouse: advances in modelling human depression and anxiety. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 4, 775–790 (2005).
- LaBar, K.S., LeDoux, J.E., Spencer, D.D. & Phelps, E.A. Impaired fear conditioning following unilateral temporal lobectomy in humans. *J. Neurosci.* 15, 6846–6855 (1995).

- Furmark, T., Fischer, H., Wik, G., Larsson, M. & Fredrikson, M. The amygdala and individual differences in human fear conditioning. *Neuroreport* 8, 3957–3960 (1997).
- Bremner, J.D. et al. Positron emission tomographic imaging of neural correlates of a fear acquisition and extinction paradigm in women with childhood sexual-abuserelated post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychol. Med. 35, 791–806 (2005).
- Pitman, R.K. et al. Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13, 769–787 (2012).
- Milad, M.R. et al. Neurobiological basis of failure to recall extinction memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol. Psychiatry 66, 1075–1082 (2009).
- 32. Likhtik, E. & Paz, R. Amygdala-prefrontal interactions in (mal)adaptive learning. *Trends Neurosci.* **38**, 158-166 (2015).
- Alvarez, R.P., Biggs, A., Chen, G., Pine, D.S. & Grillon, C. Contextual fear conditioning in humans: cortical-hippocampal and amygdala contributions. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 6211–6219 (2008).
- Delgado, M.R., Nearing, K.I., Ledoux, J.E. & Phelps, E.A. Neural circuitry underlying the regulation of conditioned fear and its relation to extinction. *Neuron* 59, 829–838 (2008).
- 35. VanElzakker, M.B., Kathryn Dahlgren, M., Caroline Davis, F., Dubois, S. & Shin, L.M. From Pavlov to PTSD: the extinction of conditioned fear in rodents, humans, and in anxiety disorders. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **113**, 3–18 (2014).
- Linnman, C., Zeffiro, T.A., Pitman, R.K. & Milad, M.R. An fMRI study of unconditioned responses in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Biol. Mood Anxiety Disord.* 1, 8 (2011).
- Whittle, N., Hauschild, M., Lubec, G., Holmes, A. & Singewald, N. Rescue of impaired fear extinction and normalization of cortico-amygdala circuit dysfunction in a genetic mouse model by dietary zinc restriction. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 13586–13596 (2010).
- Andero, R. & Ressler, K.J. Fear extinction and BDNF: translating animal models of PTSD to the clinic. *Genes Brain Behav.* 11, 503–512 (2012).
- Casey, B.J. *et al.* Brain-derived neurotrophic factor as a model system for examining gene by environment interactions across development. *Neuroscience* 164, 108–120 (2009).
- Holmes, A. Genetic variation in cortico-amygdala serotonin function and risk for stress-related disease. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 32, 1293–1314 (2008).
- Caspi, A., Hariri, A.R., Holmes, A., Uher, R. & Moffitt, T.E. Genetic sensitivity to the environment: the case of the serotonin transporter gene and its implications for studying complex diseases and traits. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **167**, 509–527 (2010).
- Holmes, A., Murphy, D.L. & Crawley, J.N. Abnormal behavioral phenotypes of serotonin transporter knockout mice: parallels with human anxiety and depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 54, 953–959 (2003).
- Kalueff, A.V., Olivier, J.D., Nonkes, L.J. & Homberg, J.R. Conserved role for the serotonin transporter gene in rat and mouse neurobehavioral endophenotypes. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 34, 373–386 (2010).
- Hariri, A.R. & Holmes, A. Genetics of emotional regulation: the role of the serotonin transporter in neural function. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 10, 182–191 (2006).
- Neumeister, A. *et al.* Elevated brain cannabinoid CB1 receptor availability in posttraumatic stress disorder: a positron emission tomography study. *Mol. Psychiatry* 18, 1034–1040 (2013).
- Hirvonen, J. *et al.* Reduced cannabinoid CB1 receptor binding in alcohol dependence measured with positron emission tomography. *Mol. Psychiatry* 18, 916–921 (2013).
- Hill, M.N. *et al.* Reductions in circulating endocannabinoid levels in individuals with post-traumatic stress disorder following exposure to the World Trade Center attacks. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 38, 2952–2961 (2013).
- Gaetani, S., Cuomo, V. & Piomelli, D. Anandamide hydrolysis: a new target for anti-anxiety drugs? *Trends Mol. Med.* 9, 474–478 (2003).

- Dincheva, I. FAAH genetic variation enhances fronto-amygdala function in mouse and human. Nat. Comm. 6, 6395 (2015).
- Hariri, A.R. *et al.* Divergent effects of genetic variation in endocannabinoid signaling on human threat- and reward-related brain function. *Biol. Psychiatry* 66, 9–16 (2009).
- Gunduz-Cinar, O. *et al.* Convergent translational evidence of a role for anandamide in amygdala-mediated fear extinction, threat processing and stress-reactivity. *Mol. Psychiatry* 18, 813–823 (2013).
- Gunduz-Cinar, O., Hill, M.N., McEwen, B.S. & Holmes, A. Amygdala FAAH and anandamide: mediating protection and recovery from stress. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 34, 637–644 (2013).
- Binder, E.B. *et al.* Association of FKBP5 polymorphisms and childhood abuse with risk of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adults. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 299, 1291–1305 (2008).
- White, M.G. et al. FKBP5 and emotional neglect interact to predict individual differences in amygdala reactivity. *Genes Brain Behav.* 11, 869–878 (2012).
- Zannas, A.S. & Binder, E.B. Gene-environment interactions at the FKBP5 locus: sensitive periods, mechanisms and pleiotropism. *Genes Brain Behav.* 13, 25–37 (2014).
- Admon, R., Milad, M.R. & Hendler, T. A causal model of post-traumatic stress disorder: disentangling predisposed from acquired neural abnormalities. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 17, 337–347 (2013).
- McLaughlin, K.A. *et al.* Amygdala response to negative stimuli predicts PTSD symptom onset following a terrorist attack. *Depress. Anxiety* **31**, 834–842 (2014).
- Swartz, J.R., Knodt, A.R., Radtke, S.R. & Hariri, A.R. A neural biomarker of psychological vulnerability to future life stress. *Neuron* 85, 505–511 (2015).
- Yang, R.J. et al. Variation in mouse basolateral amygdala volume is associated with differences in stress reactivity and fear learning. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33, 2595–2604 (2008).
- Morey, R.A. *et al.* Amygdala volume changes in posttraumatic stress disorder in a large case-controlled veterans group. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 69, 1169–1178 (2012).
- Griebel, G. & Holmes, A. 50 years of hurdles and hope in anxiolytic drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 12, 667–687 (2013).
- Monfils, M.H., Cowansage, K.K., Klann, E. & LeDoux, J.E. Extinction-reconsolidation boundaries: key to persistent attenuation of fear memories. *Science* **324**, 951–955 (2009).
- Schiller, D. et al. Preventing the return of fear in humans using reconsolidation update mechanisms. Nature 463, 49–53 (2010).
- Agren, T. et al. Disruption of reconsolidation erases a fear memory trace in the human amygdala. Science 337, 1550–1552 (2012).
- Marin, M.F., Camprodon, J.A., Dougherty, D.D. & Milad, M.R. Device-based brain stimulation to augment fear extinction: implications for PTSD treatment and beyond. *Depress. Anxiety* **31**, 269–278 (2014).
- Osuch, E.A. et al. Repetitive TMS combined with exposure therapy for PTSD: a preliminary study. J. Anxiety Disord. 23, 54–59 (2009).
- Brunoni, A.R. *et al.* The sertraline vs. electrical current therapy for treating depression clinical study: results from a factorial, randomized, controlled trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 383–391 (2013).
- Holtzheimer, P.E. & Mayberg, H.S. Deep brain stimulation for psychiatric disorders. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 34, 289–307 (2011).
- Lozano, A.M. *et al.* A multicenter pilot study of subcallosal cingulate area deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *J. Neurosurg.* **116**, 315–322 (2012).
- Insel, T. *et al.* Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **167**, 748–751 (2010).