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[^0]ABSTRACI: Twenty-two species in the Spissipes Section of Calex (Mclanoconion) are recogrnaed and wo taxonomic changes are made: Culex alvares Sutil Oramas, Pulido Tlorenzano and Amarista Mencses is synonymired with Culex spissipes (Theobald), and Culcx nicuroenss. Duret has been delermined not to belong to the subgenus Melanoronien and is here treated as a member of the genus culex without subgeneric asstgment. Descriptions of adult female and male, fenale cibarium, and genitatia of both sexes are provided. Eight groups and thee subgroups ate characterzed. Available data on distribution and bionomics of each species are given. Miaps stowing the entire known range of the speemes are given. Keys for specie, identification based on morphological characters of adults and mate genitalia are provided.

## INTRODUCTION

The subgenus Melanoconion of Culex is considered to be of medical importance beenuse sceveral species arc actual or potential vectors of arboviruses (Reisen and Monath 1988, Shope et al. 1988. Walton and Grayson 1988) and many species readily bite humans. Previous taxonomic studes have emphasized the importance of morphological characters of the male genitalia, adults, and female cibarium to differentiate specics (Rozeboom and Komp 1950. Galindo 1969; Sirivanakam 1978. 1983; Foratimi and Sallum 1992). However, these works are limited in scopo, and lack complete species descriptions and identification keys.

The history of taxonomic treatments of Culex (Mcleanoconion) was well documented by Sirivanakarn (1983). Sirivanakam distinguished 3 sections (Ocellatus, Spissipes, and Melanoconion) within the subgenus. based mainly on the shape of the aedeagal sclerite of the phallosome, shape of the scales on the dorsal surface of the vertex, and presence or absence of black spots on the antealar and supraalar areas of the seutum. Larvae and pupac also possess characters of importance to the identification of these sections. Pecor et al. (1992) determined that the Ocellatus Section did not belong to the subgenus and it remains without subgencric assignment. No modern revisionary study has been published since Sirivanakarn (1983). Great difficulty has been encountered in identifying individual species and groups. The key given by Sirivanakarn (1983) does not make identification at the species level possible. There are no up-to-date keys for individual species in each of the 2 sections and their respective groups, making specific doterminations very difficult. As specific recognition is fundamental for ecological, biological, epidennological, and vector capacity studies, the present study was carried out in order to search for morphological characters of the adult and male genitalia that might be useful for the accurate identification of species within the Spissipes Section. Based on extensine dield collections conducred during ecological studies carried out in the Riberra Valley and in other localites in Sao Paulo State, Brazil, a more detailed taxonomic study is now possible.

## MATERIALS ANI) METIIODS

The material examined during this study canc from collections made in Sao Pialo State. Brazil, and trom the Entomological Collection of the Department of Tpidemiology ot the School of Public Health, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil (FSP-USP). Type specimens of nomital species were cxannined except for the following: Culex alvarezi Sutil Oramas, Pulido Florenzano and Amarista Meneses. Culex haynei Komp and Curry, Culex delpomei Duret, Culex pereyrai Duret, Culex portosi Senevet and Abonnenc, C"ulex cayennensis Floch and Abonnenc, Culex ocossa Dyar and Knab, and Culex simulator Dyar and Knab (larva). Adults of Culex epanastasis: Dyar were not examined and the male genitalia are those of the holotype. Female specumens were identified by means of comparison with the adult maie and male genitalia aud, when available, with specinneus associated with immature stages.

The format of the species treatment is traditional. The symonymy for each species follow's that given by Pecor et al. (1992) with a feu alterations, where necessary. Detailed descriptions of the adult mate and temale and male genitalia are given only for Culex spissipes (Theobald); the other species are compared with $C x$. spissipers and only the characters that are not common to both species are given. A taxonomic discussion, information on bionomics, medical importance, and distribution, and a list of material examined are also provided.

Collection data are given as follows: COUNTRY, State. City, Locality, collection diy/ month/year, collector determiner, year of identification, collection method, habitat, number of specimens examined, and life stages. Life stages are indicated by the synbols $\delta, 7,2$; the letter $G$ denotes genitalia and cib denotes cibarium. We avoided repetition of collection data by omitting similar data. The type spocincos examined are listed in the "Material examined" section for each species.

Illustrations of the male and femate genitalia and cibarial armature of the temale are based on specimens examined. Some of the illustrations were published in previous works (Forattini and Sallum 1985, 1989a, 1989c. 1990, 1992).

Examinations of some adults and female cibarium were made in a JEOL, JSM-P15 scanning electron mieroscope. Measurements were taken from specimens mommed on microscope slides. Lixcept for the female cibarium, all other morphological chatacters were measured by using an ocular micrometer (WIL.D-MMS-235) in a WILD-M5 APO stereomicroscope. Mcasurements were based on 3-5 specimens, when available.

Distribution data are based on literature rec-
ords and on coflection data associated with specimens examined. The specimens studied have been deposited in the School of Public Health, University of Sas Paulo (FSP-USP) and in the Department of Entomology, National Muscum ol Natural Ilistory (NMNH). Smithsonian Institution. Washington, DC.
Morphological terminology follows Itarbach et al. (1984) except for the wing, which follows that recommended by Belkin (1962), and the sensilla trichodea, which follows Melver (1982).

# KEYS TO SECTIONS OF CULEX (MELANOCONION) AND SPECIES OF THE SPISSUPES SECTION 

Adult

1. Decumbent scules of tertex boad, spatulate. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Melanoconion Section "partim"Decumbent scules of vertex mainly or partially narrow, falcate; hrond spatulate scales iestrieted tosuall patch on lateral sides,2
2(1). Narrow faleate scales of vestex numerous; lateral path of broad spatulate seales small, almostindistinct in dos sal view (Figs. I1A, IIB) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Spissipes Seetion "partim"Narrow falcate scales of veriex resticted to a mall patch on median dorsal area of vertex; lateralpatch ol broad spatulate seales large, well evident in dorsal view (Figs. 11C-IIF)3
3(2). Pleurah integument yellowish or light cream, sharply contrasting with light brown to dark brounscutal integument. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Spissipes Section "partim"Pleural incgument similar in color to seutal integument or with striking pattern of dark and palestripes on mesepimeron and mesokatepisternum . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Melanoconion Section "parim"

## Spissipes Section

I. Hindtarsomeres with distinct or indistinct white or pate rings on joints, hindtarsomere 5 pale or white-scaled. ..... 2
Hindtarsomeres dark-scated. ..... 10
2(1). Pleura with a distinct patch ol broad spatulate white seales on upper corner of mesokatepister num: seutal scales mainly dark, few light golden scates in variable position and on prescutelar area ... $\}$Pleura without a distinct patch of broad spatulate scales on upper corner of mesokatepisternum,rarely with 2,3 scales; seutal scales cotally dak or with few light golden scales on preseutellararca . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6
3(2) Pontspiracular area with patch of white scales, tarely absent on male; capitellum whitish6
Postspiracular area without patch of scales; capitellum dank ..... 5
4(3). Pedicel of antenna yellowish, slightly datker on inner part ..... ('x. akritos
Pedicel of autenna entirely dark. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . C . . . $k$ kelos
5(3). Hindtarsomeres 1-4 with white rings on joints, 5 entirely white-scaled . . . . . . . . . . . Cx. tueniopusHindursomere 1 dark-scaled, 2-4 with indistinct pale rings. 5 slighlly paler . . . Cx. cedeced "purtim"
6(2). All lemora with distinct patch of silver white scales att apex. ..... 7
All Iemora without patch of silver white seales at apex ..... 8
7(\%). Mate; palpomeres 2-4 minely dank, palpomere $\mathbf{5}$ with sinall patch of white scales on base of dorsal surface ..... ('x. pedroi
Mate: palpomeres $2-5$ with distinet ting of white scales at base ..... Cx. epanastasis
8(b). Pleural integument light brown withoul patern of dark spots; hindtarsomeres with mdistinct palerings on joints of $1-4,5$ pale . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cx paracrybdaPleural incegumen yellowish with distinct pattern of datk and pale spots; handarsomeres withdistinct white rings on foints of $1-4,5$ white-sealed9
9(8). Pleural integument with dark spots on upper and lower parts of mesepmeron, upper comer andanterior surface of mesokatepisternum, postspiracular and subspitacular areas, prealar knob, post-pronotum, and proepisternum: preseutellar area with dark scales; capitellum dark . . . . Cx. wacchenaePleural integunent with dark spots on postpronotum, proepisternme postspiraculur area, prealarknob, and anterior part of mesokatepisternum, upper corner of mesokatepisternum with indistinct
dark spot, mesepimeron entirely yellowish; prencutellar area with light golden scales; capitelum whitish . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . C. . perevrai
(1). Mesokatepisternum with parch of broad. spatulate seales on upper corner ..... 11
Mesokatepisternum without patch of broad spatulate scales on upper comen ..... 12
Itrio). Vertex with erect forked scales totally dark; narrou falleate seales dark on median dorsal atea along coronal suture and whitish laterally
. . . (iv. cedecci "prrim" (Nearetic), ('x. ribetrensis (Neotropical)
Vertex whth erect forked scales light goiden on median dorsal area, dark laterally: matrou falcatesaiales entirely light golden or, occanionally, with bronzy sheen along cononal suture . . . .Cx. adamesi
2f(10). Acrostichat setae present along area ..... 13
Acrostichal setac absent or present on posterior protion of ara. ..... 14
13(12). Female: scutum with dak brown scales and a patch of golden seates on anterior two-thirds. oron anterior half, or on anterior promontory and lateral and posterior portions of seutal tossa andon antealar and prescuteltar areas; male: scontum entrely with bronzy seales; rerga II-VII entirelydatk-scaledC'd, spissipes
Female: scutum wilh dark brown to blackish scales: male: scutum oceasionally with fight goldenscales on prescutelat area; terga [I-VII with basolateral patches of white scales, occasionallybecoming narrow basat white band.Cr. lopesi
14(12). Mesepumeron with a patch of small light gelden setae on median portion ..... Cx. vomerifer
Mesepimeron without a patch ol setae on median portion ..... 15
15(14). Terga II-VII entirely dark-scaled a. jubiter, (x. simntato
Terga II-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patehes of white scales ..... 16
16r15). Vertex with ereet forked weales tolally light golder or yellowish: male: palpometes 4.5 without setae or with tew weak short setae. Cr. micarocnsis (subgents uncettain
Vertex with ereet forked scates totaly dark brown; male: palpomeres 4, 5 strongly setose ..... 17
17816) Plemral integument yellou ish with or without pattern of dark spols ..... 18
Pleural imegument light brown or dark brown kithout conspicuous pattern of dark spots ..... 21
18(17). Plcural intcgument yellowish, dark brown on dorsal part of postpronotum, sharply contasting With the dark color of scutum . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cx. delpontePleurad integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum, postspiracular area. and, osed-sionally, on prealar knob.19
19(18). Narrow falcate scalcs of vertex dark anterionly, whitish posterionly ..... Cr. portesiNarrow falcate seales of vettex dark on median donsal atea dong coronal suture, whitishlaterally:20
20(19). Pleural integument yellow ish with dark spots on postpronotury, postspracular area, prealar knob.and anterior portion of mesokatepisternumCr ocossa
Pleural integument yelfowish with dark spots on postpronotum and postspiracular arca Cx panocossa
21(17). Vertex with etect forked scales totally light brown, namow falcate seales lieht bronzy. . Cx fuuranVertex with ereet forked scales dark brown to black, namon falcate scales dark on median dorsalarea along coronal sutureCr. crybda

## Male genitalia

1. Aedeagal selenite naron, curved in lateral view narrowly connected to the lateral plate
Acdeagal sclerite broad, curved in latenal view. broadly connected to the lateral plate . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

## Spissipes Section

1. Gonostglus with a robust preapieal spine on ventral side; paraproct crown with robust blades (Fig. 36) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . CX. nicaroensis (subgenus uncetan) Gonostylus without robust spine on sentral sida; paraproct erown with marrow simple blades ( Cig . 21).

2(1). Gonostylus irregulat on distal pant. ventral surtace concave with an acule projection belote apical snout, dorsal side uith a subapical acute projection ending as a long, sinuous, slender flament; apical snout hooklike; gonostylar chaw long, hooked lateral process of lalcral plate of phallosome serrated at apsx: tergum IX lobe large. hill-like with a small projection on base (Fig. 18)

Gionostylue not as above; lateral process of lateral plate of phallonone smooth, or absent iergum IX lobe variable in shape, not as above
3(2). Gonosty lus with conspicuous subapical crest of long, slender spicules on dorsal side; gonocoxte with an elongate process, bearing a subapical slender seta on the hase of suhapical lobe; distal division of subapical tobe with an apical broad, asymmetrical, curved. folifom seta ( $l$ ) a sub)apical strong. curved. hooked seta ( $h$ ): posimal division with lyaline, branched processes (Fig. 34) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cx lopest Gonostylus without crest of spicules on donsal side: gonocoxite without an chongate proces on hase of subupical lobe; distal division of subupical lobe varable, not as above; proximal division withour branched processes.4

4(3). Proximal division of subapical lobe with an apical mundibutar byaline expansion. a subapical hyaline, hooked-fateifom seta and few slender setac on basal pontiont dival diviston of subapical lobe divided into tho arms (Fig. 21)5 proxamal divasion on subapical lobe withour infundibular hyaline expansion, hooked-laleform setil and slender setace on basal portion; distal division of subapical tobe nor divided (Tig. 27).10
$5(4)$. Distal division of subapical bobe divided near base, bearing 2 unequal arms: tergum IX lobe small, club-shaped or moundlike (Fiw. 24) ..... 6

Distal division of subapical lohe divided neat middle, bearing 2 subequal atms: tergum IX lobe small. comical ( $\mathbf{T i g}, 22$ ).8
( $\$$ ). Latead plate with apical, ventral, and lateral processen: tergum $L X$ lobe small, club-shaped ( $[\mathrm{m}$. 26). Ca pejevrai Lateral glate without ventral and bitalal processes, apical process pesent, tergum [X lobe amall. nuoundike (Fig 24).7
7(6). Distal division of subapicab lobe with the proximal arm well endaged; apical process of lateral phate of phallosome nearly straight, blunt at apex (Fig. 24) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cr. delpomeri Distal division of subapical hobe with the proxinal arm poorly enlanged; apieal process of lateral plate of phallosome cul ved. hooked at apex (Tig. 25) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cr. panariybia
8(5) Ventai process of latent plate of pinallosome shont; tatem process short. bifid at apex; apical margin concave (fig. 23) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cx. epenastasis Ventrai process of lateral plate of phaflosone leng: hateal process long, tapered, ponted; apical naryin nearly stamgt (Jtig. 21 ).9

9(8). Gonocoxite with sparse pateln of short seta on tergomesal susface proxinal to subapical bobe; distal division of subapical lobe marmow, elongate (fig. 21) . . Cx adancsi, Ci. pedor. Cx. rabeirensis Gonocoxite $x$ ith a more dense patch of modetately long setac on tergontesal surface proximal to subuplal tobe: disab division of subrpical lobe shorter, more robust than dowe (Fig. 22). . . . .
 apical lobe of gonocoxite with a targh, asymmerrcal folitom sea ( $l$ ) at apex (Fig. 27). . . . . . . If Gonostylus without hyalme expansion near middle on vential side; distal disision of subapical lobe varrable, not as above (Fig. 19) 13
1!(10). Tetgum IX lobe with long and snuous setac ( $\mathbf{( t i g} .28$ ) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cx. portesi Tergum IX lobe with shoit, slender. sparse setace ( F "ig 27) 12
12(11). Gonocosite with patch of $6-10$ small seate on tergomesal surface proximal to subapical Johe; foliform seta (l) of distal division of subapical lobe nearly rounded on distal margin (1¹g. 29).

Gonocosite without a patch of $6-10$ small setae on terquiesal surface proximal to subapical lotwi; lolitorin setat ( $l$ ) of distal division of subapoal lobe angulat on distal margin (Fig. 27) i. wonerifer

13(10). Teigum IX lube columnar, distally w'inkled, proximal dix inion of subapical lobe short. strong. more rohust than distal division: lateral plate of phallosome with apeal process short, broad att base, distally rounded (Fig. 19)14
'Fergum IX lobe different in shape; proxinal division of subapical tobe long, columnar: lateral plate of phallosome with apical process shont, neaty triangular, weakly seleroticed, or absent
1.4(13). Tergum IX lohe elongate. fingelike, rounded at apex. interbobar area concave: ventral process of lateral plate of phallosome short (lig. 19).17

Tergun XX lobe shonter, rounded or blunt at apex, interbobar area nearly straight; rental process of tateral plate of phatlosome long (Fiy 20-2)
15(14). Foliform seta ( $l$ ) ol distal division of subapical fobe narrow: mone tanned on proximal side (Fig. 19).
C.x. raeniopus

Foliform seta (l) of distal division of subapical tobe moderately wide, nearly spoonike in shape,
 tapered, nearly ponned at apex, evenly tanned; tergum IX lobe columnar, munded at apex (Fig. 20-2) Cx. ahritos

Foliform seta ( $l$ ) of distal division of subapical lobe wide, asymmetrical, petiolate, striate: tergum IX lobe neaty recangular in outline (Fig. 20-3) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . C'x
17(13). Distal division of subapical lobe with a stender, pointed. flexible seta ( $/$ ) on base of long saberike scta (s); apmeal protess of laterul plate of phallosome short, nearly triangular, weakly sclerotired; tergomesal surface of gonocoxite with a long, slender, pointed sta on base of distal division of subapical lohe of gonocoxite (Fig. 35). Cx. faurani

Distal division of subapical lobe with a wide foliform seta ( $)$ : lateral plate of phalosome without aphal process; temonesal surface of gonocoxite with a tolifom sela on base of distal division of subapical lobe or near base of gonostylus.
18(17). Tergum IX lebe small, broad at base; distal division of subapical lote of gonocoxite with a long, robust, hooked apical seta ( $h$ ) and a wide asymmetrical, strate seta near banc; tergomesal surface of gonocoxite with a foliform seta near base of gonotylus (Fig. 30).
Tergum IX lobe large; distal division of subapical lobe of gonocoxite with 8 setac; tergomesal surlace of gonocoxite with a wide, asymmetrical, petiolate. striate seta on base of distal division of subapical lobe (Fig. 33)
19(18). Gonoss) lus with a short apical snout; seta $h$ of distal division of subapical lobe ol gonocoxite with a strong hook at apex, this seta subequal in length to distal division (Fig. 30) . . . . . ('x. ocossa Gonostylus with an elongate apical snout, seta $h$ of distal diviswon of subapical lobe with a wak hook at apex, this seta longer than distal division (Fig. 31) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cx. panocossa
20(18). Goncooxite whath a heavily pigmented poluberance with 10. II alveoli near base of gonostylus on lateral side; lateral surface with sparse pateh of moderately developed setae and few short, slencher setac from base to the level of subapical lobe ( $F_{1 g} .33$ ) 33) Cx: juhifer Gonoconte without a heavily pigmented protuberance rear base of gonostylus on lateral side: Iateral surface with a patch of fong. robust setac from subapieal lobe to near bane of gonosiglus (FIg. 32) . .Cx. smalator

## SPECIES TREATMENTS

## Spissipes Group

Prosenty, ouly Ca. spissipes belongs to this group. Adults can be casily recognized by hating: nartow decumbent scales of vertex mainly light golden posteriorly, bronzy anteriorly, crect forked scates totally dark; acrostichal setac present: pleural integument of thorax similar in color to scutal integunent, light to dark brown: upper corner of mesokatepisternum wirhout a patch of scates; and tarsi dark-scaled. The female has a patch of golden scales anteriorly on the scutum. The male has the scumm totally dark-scaled. palpomeres dark, and abdomimal terga 11 -VII dark-scaled without basolateral patches of white scales. The fenale has cibarial tecth spatulate in outline; dorsal surface of cibarial bar irregulanly folded; woth origin line present, irregular, poorly evident; sensilla trichodea at least 8 in number; and cibarial done with long. triangular. sharply pointed denticles. The male genitalia can be recognized by: shape of gonosiylus, gonoilylar claw long, apically curved, gonostylus, without spicules on basal half of medial side; gont ocoxite stocky, robust, without scales on proximal parl of ventrolateral surface, distal division
of subapical lobe columnar, unique. with a wide, asymmetrical foliform seta ( $n$ on subapical position and 4 apical setae, which include a large hooked seta ( $h$ ), a saberlike seta ( $s$ ), and 2 short, pointed sctac ( $f$ ); tateral plate of phallowome with apical, lateral, and ventral processes, apical process short. broad with the apical margin convex and smooth, lateral process bladelike, serrate at apex: and tergum IX lobe hill-like with a small, basal, inner projection.

## Culex (Melanoconion) spissipes (Theobald, 1903)

Melanoconion spissipes Theobald, 1903:242 ( ${ }^{*}$ ). Holotype 9: Trinidad (NHM).
Bourroul 1904:70 (Bracii): Howard. Dyar and Knab $1915: 312$ ( 8 ; tax.): Borne and BomeWepster 1925:268 (in part ©; Surinam: misidertification of j); Dyar 1925b:169 (Panama); Dyar 1925c:214 (Venecuela); Martinn 1935:60 (Mexico, Belize); Foote 1954:94 (tax.); Barreto-Reyes 1955:60 (Colombia): Prosen et al. 1963:110 (Bolivia): Belkin 1968 : 20 (type info.); Takahashi 1968:329 ( $\delta^{\circ} .7^{*}$; tax.): Stone 1970:164 (Honduras): He inemann
and Belkin 1979:108 (Ecuador); Dassie and Hobhs 1982:73 (Guatemala): Sirivanakarn 1983:278 ( $\mathrm{d}^{+} . \mathrm{P}^{\circ}$ ).
Melanocomion fur Dyar and Knab. 1907a: 13 (尔). Holotype 8 : Colon, Canal Zone, Panama (NMNH).
Bome-Wepster and Bonne 1921:20) (syn. with Cx. spissipes): Lane 1951:334 (resurrected from syn.); Stonc et al. 1959:275 (syn. with Ca. spissipes): Belkin et al. 1905:54 (type info.): Takahashi 1968:329 (tax.).
Culex (Ifelcopompa) menvtes Dyar. 1918:125 ( $\sigma^{*}$ ). Holotype $\delta:$ Trinidad River, Panama (NMNH).
Dyar 1923b:190 ( $\delta^{*}$ ): Dyar 1928:286 ( $\delta^{\wedge}$ ): Floch and Abomenc 1942:6 (French Guiana: as Cx. menytes); Roacboom and Komp 1950: 93 ( $0^{2}$ : type loc. info.); Belkin et al. 1965:54 (type info.): Cova (iarcia et al. 1966a:213 ( $\delta^{\circ}$ ); Takahashi 1968-3.31 (syn.).
Culex (Upsiloporpa) haynei Komp and Curry, 1932:82 ( $\mathbf{\delta}^{*}$ ). Holotype $\delta$ (only genitalia). Mojinga Swamp. lower Chagres River. Canal Zonc. Pamama (NMNH).
Komp 1935:3 (syn. with Cx. menytes): Stone and Knight 1957:59 (type into.); Belkin et al. 1965:54 (lype info.).
Culex (Melanoconion) alvarezi Sutil Oramas. Pulido Fiorenzano and Amarista Meneses. 1987:85 ( $0^{*}$ ). Holotype $\dot{0}$ : Chiricoa. San Camilo, Apure, Venerucla (DEIRM). New Synonymy.
Female. Body covered with dark brown scales and with a patch of goldern scales on scutum. Hewd: Amenna dark. length about 1.80 mm: flagellum normal, whorls with 6 setac. Proboseis entirely dark-scaled, length $1.95-2.15$ min ( $\bar{x}=2.06$ mmn). Maxillary palpus darkscaled, length $0.40-0.42 \mathrm{~mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=0.41 \mathrm{~mm})$. about 0.20 length of proboscis. usually with 4 palpomeres, oceasionally with a mall palpomere 5. Vertex (Fig. 1/A. 1/B) with narrow falcate scales. these light golden posteriorly. bronzy anteriorly, a small patch of broad appressed dingy white scales laterally; erect forked scales numerous, black: occipital region with some natrow whitish falcate scales. Cibarium: Length about $202 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : cibartal bar concave. weakly sclerotized. dorsal surface irregularly folded. posterior margin inegular; about 10-14 cursed. spatulate. and blunt teeth: tooth length about $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : line of origin integular; hollow area of teeth moderately lirge. nearly trizugular. Cibarial dome nearly pentagonal. concavoconvex, surface with kong, triangular. sharply point-
ed. posteriorly directed denticle. Palatal setae variably separated from one another, situated on lateral edges of anterior hard palate. 4 in total number. Sensilla trichodea disposed in 2 imegular rows of 4-6 single setac on eath side. Thorax: Integument light brown to dark brown. Scutum with falcate scales, background scales dark brown with reddish reflectoons and with pattern of golden scales extending as a patch on anterior two-thirds, or on anterior half, or on anterior promontory and on lateral and posterior portions of scutal fossa and on antealar and prescutellar areas. Scutal setae prominent, brownish black with reddish or golden sheen; acrostichal setae present, disposed atong acrostichal arca (Figs. 12A. 12B): 3 pairs of empty alveoli present on anterior portion of prescutellar area. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales; median lobe with golden scales or with golden scales mixed with a few dark scales and with 8 large sctac; lateral lobes dark-scaled, each with 3. 4 large setae. Antepronotum without seales. with scattered dark setae. Postpronotum with narrow fakeate golden scales. with 4-6 large setac on posterodorsal margin. Plcural integument light to dark brown, slightly darker on postpronotum, proepisternum, postspiracular, prespiracular, and subspiracular arcas, anterior region of mesokatepisternum, prealar knob, and lower mesepineron. Pleural setae golden, dark brown with golden reflections on prealar knob: 1015 upper proepisternal. 6-8 prealar: 9. 12 upper mesokatepisternal, 12-15 lower mosokatepistermal, 12-18 upper mesepimeral, and 1 kower mesepimeral. Pleura with scales on mesokatensisternum only: a row of nearly colorless spatulate scales on posterior margin, sometimes reaching the level of upper corner (Fig. 13B). Metepisternum with 3. 4 minute setac. Mesopronotum dark. bare. Wing: Dark-scaled. Length 3.59-4.07 min ( $\bar{x}-3.92$ $\mathrm{mm})$; cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 5.22-5.61$ of vein $\mathrm{R}_{2-1}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=5.39)$ : cell M: 0.84 of cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$; subcosta intersects costa slightly distal to fircation of $R_{1}$. Dorsal scaling (Figs. 14C, 14D): appressed spatulate scales on costa, subcosta, R, R,$R_{1} \ldots$ distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{M}_{1-2}$, $\mathrm{M}_{-4} \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Cu}_{4}, \mathrm{Cu}_{3}$, and 1 A ; linear plume scales on $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{2+1}$, proximally on $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, proximally on $\mathrm{R}_{2}$. M. and proxinal 0.3 of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$, ; inclined narrow spatulate scales on $R_{2}$ and $R_{;}$; remigium with appressed spatulate ncales and 2-4 distal setac. Ventral scaling (Figs. 14E. 14F): appressed spatulate scales on costa, subcosta. $\mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{2}$, proxi-
 plume scales on proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Cu}_{1}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, and on middle of 1 A ; inclined narrow spatulatc scales on distal 0.8 of $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{i}, R_{1}$, . distal 0.5 of $M_{1}=M_{2}$, and distally on $1 \Lambda ; C u$ and proximal 0.5 of $1 \wedge$ devoid of scales. Halter: Scabellum and pedicel whitish: capitellum dark.

Legs: Anterior surface of forecoxa with patch of dark scales; anterior surface of mideoxa with vertical line of dark scales; anterior surface of hindeoxa with vertical line of nearly colorless scales. Antcro- and posteroventral surtaces of foretrochanter with dark scales: anterovenural surface of midtrochanter dark-scaled: anteroventral surface of hindtrochanter and posteroventral surface of mid- and hindtrochanters with whitish scales. Femora mosily covered with Jight creamcolored scales on ventral surface. Tibiae and talsi totally dark-scaled. Abdomen: lergum I with a median posterior parch of dark scales; terga II-VIII entirely dark-scaled or with small basolateral patches of light cream-colored scales. Sterna 11-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of whitish scales: sternum VIII without scales or with a few dark scales on lateral portions. Genitalia (Fig. 18): Tergun IX narrowed in middle with sinall flattened lateral lobes. each bearing $23-30$ slender setac. Upper vaginal lip distinci. narrow: lower vaginal lip and insula indistince. with 8,9 clustered insular setae. Upper vaginal sclerite distinct. nearly rectangular in outline. Postgenital lobe short, rounded distally, uith $9 .$. 12 setae on either side of midline, mostly on ventral surface.

Male. Like female cxcept for the following sexual differences. Head: Antenna strongly verticillate; lenguh about 1.20 mm . Maxillary' palpus dark-scaled; length abrout 1.47 mm , extending beyond proboscis tip by length of apical 0.7 of palpomere 4: palpomeres 4 and 5 densely setose, palpomere 3 with 14-16 strong setae on outer apical area. Thorar: Scutum entirely covered with brownisth scales with goiden or reddish reflections Scutellar scales same as scutal scales. Abdomen: Terga 11-VII totally darkscaled: tergum VIII with basolateral patches of whitish scales and a decp V-shaped emargination. Sterna dark-scaled. Gcnitatia (Fig. 18): Tergum IX as figured. Gonocoxite stocky, outer margin convex. inner margin nearly straight; ventrolateral setae strongly developed, ventromesal surface with small setae scattered from base to level of subapical lobe, setae stronger basally, lateral surface with a patch of long. slender setac (lsp) at level of subapical lobe, tergomesal surface with a few short setae proximal to subapical lobe; proximal part of ventrolateral surface without scales; subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions separated; proximal division uniquc. clongate, columnar. with 2 nearly parallel, long, robust, apically hooked setae (setac $a$ and $b$ ), seta $b$ simuous. stronger than $a$, distal division elongate. columnar with 4 apical and 1 subapical setae, apical setae include a long, strong, booked seta ( $h$ ), a relatively long, pointed. saberlike seta (s). and 2 short, narrow, ap-
pressed, pointed setac ( $/$ ). subapical seta includes a large. asymmetrical. foliform seta ( $l$ ) more tanned on proximal side and slightly curved at apex. Gonostylus slender, curved at midlength, distal part irregular, ventral surface concave with an acute projection before apical snout: distal 0.5 of concave side of ventral surface with a prominent subapical crest of spicules, distal 0.25 of dorsal side bearing an acute projection that ends as a long, sinuous, slender lilament; apical snout hooklike; gonostylar claw long, hooked at apex: messal side with 2 smatl setae before gonostylar claw. Phallosome with lateral plates and aedeagal sclerites equivalent in length: aedeagal sclerite broad and curved in lateral view, broadly fused to lateral plate; lateral plate with apical, lateral, and ventral processes; apical process short. broad, apical margin convex and smooth in lateral view; ventral process heavily sclerotized. long. pointed at apex. curved laterally; lateral process bladelike, serrate at apex; base of lateral plate with stout dorsal process. Aedeagal sclerite not connected by dorsal aedeagal bridge, basal piece triangularshaped with blunted extremitics. Proctiger elongate; paraproct narrov distally, expanded basally. crown with a row of $7-11$ simple blades. Cercal sclerite long and narrow; 2-4 cercal setae. Tergum $X$ somewhat triangular in outline, inner margin slightly concave.

Material examined. 14 6. 14 6G, 70 7,6 icib, 3 YG. Cx. spissipes, Holotype: TRINIDAD, C. W. Hewlett. Cx. fur, IJolotype: PANAMA, Canal Zone, Colon. Cx. menyres, Holotype: PANAMA, Trinidad River: Other specimens: BRAZIL, Sao Paulo State. Pariquera-Açu County, Experimental Station, 9 Apr 1981, Forattini et al. coll., Sallum det. 1990. CDC light trap. 1 ठ, 1 dG; 18 Mar 1982, 1 o: 18 Sep 1980, 1 ¢; 9 Apr 1981, 1 9 ; 7, 21 Jan 1982, 2 8; 18 Mar 1982, 3 \%. 1 ¢G; 21 Nug 1978, 1 ¢: 14 Jan 1980, 1 오, 1 ¢G; 7 Jan 1982, 1 ¢; 18 Mar 1982, 3 9: 7 Apr 1982. 4 8: 18 Mar 1982, 1 \&; 23 Nov 1978. 1 \%, 1 २G: 9 Feb 1981, 1 i: 9 Oct 1980, E. X. Rabello coll., det. 1980, 1 qcib: 18 Mar 1982. det. 1982, 1 Qcib: 22 Apr 1982, 2 pcib; 7 Dec 1978. Forattini et al. coll., det. 1990, Shannon trap, 1 ; 5 Feb 1979.1 ㅇ:3, 6 Dec 1979, 2 ; 18 Sep 1980 , 1 if: 12 Mar 1981. । १: 26 Mar 1981, 1 S. 1 óG; 9 Apr 1981. 19, 2 б. 2 бG; 26 Mar 1981. 1 i; 22 May 1984. battery-powered aspirator, 1 ס. 1 ठG; 4 Mar 1985, 2 б. 2 हG; 9 Apr 1985, 2 o. 2 dG; 22 May 1984, manual net. $1 \delta, 1$ бG: 9 Apr 1985, $1 \delta, 1$ óG; Pariquera-Açu County. Pariquera-Mirim District, 10 Apr 1985, battery-powered aspirator; $2 \delta, 2 \delta \mathrm{G}$; Cananeia County, Itapitangui District, Folha Larga Farm, 23 Jan 1984, CDC light trap. 1 ©; 23 Jul 1986,
manual net. 1 ot, $1 \quad \delta \mathbf{G}$; Iguape County, Palmeiras Farm, 19 Jun 1989. CDC light thap supplemented with dry ice. 59, 2 qcib; 21 Fub 1989, CDC light trap, 4 : Amazon State, Parauari River. Mar 1937. Woront/ow coll., J. Lane det. 1946. 1 ; Parque Nacional do Jau. Carabinani River, left margin. 12.13 Apr 1994. Hutchings and Ferreira coll., Sallum det. 1994. CDC light trap 1 m above ground. $59: 13.14$ Apr 1994, 1 \&: 14, 15 Apr 1994, 2 8:8-16 Apr 1994, Malaise trap, 3 个; 10-13 Apr 1994. 1 \%: 10-13 Apr 1994, Shammon trep. I O: 14 Apr 1994. manual net, I 9 ; right margin, 8,9 Apr 1994. CDC light trap 1 m above ground, 19 ; 11, 12 Apr 1994. $2 \bigcirc$; 15,16 Apr 1994. 4 ? ; 8,9 Apr 1994, CDC light trap, ground level. 1 9: 10. $11 \mathrm{Apr} 1994,2: 9: 15,16 \mathrm{Apr}$ 1994. 1 Q: 14 Apr 1994, manual net, 1 \&: Miriti River, lef( margin, 4-6) Jun 1994, Malaise trap, 12. VENFEZUELA, Caraipito State. Sul 1937, Anduze coll., Lane det. 1946, 1 \%.

Distribution (Fig. 1). Known from Central and South America, including Belize, Bolivia. Brasil, Colonbia. Ecuador. French Guiana, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Panama. Surinam, Trinidad, and Venczuela (Pecor et al. 1992).

Bionomics. According to literature records. immature stages of Cx. spissipes were collected in the following habitats: margins of lakes in forest. margins of swamps, and in ground pools. The water was permanent or temporary, clear or colored, always fresh, with or without scum. with abundant grassy and floating aquatic vegetation or with dense accumulation of fallen leaves. The breeding places were heavily or partially shaded. Adult females were collected on human bait in forest areas as well as in cultivated areas and near margins of lakes. Adults were attracted to CDC light traps, CDC light traps supplemented with dry ice, CDC traps baited with a small rodent, chicken, or pigcon. in Chamberlain and Shamoon traps, using bat-tery-powered aspirators and hand nets. in primary or second-growti) forest, and in shaded swamp forest, partial forest, and cultivated areas (Takahashi 1908; Heimemann and Belkin 1978a, 1978b, 1979; Heinemantret al. 1980; Darsie and Hobb 1982; Forattimi et al. 1989b).

Culex spissipes is a potential vector of Biniti, Camaparu. Oriboca, and Itaqui siruses of the family Bunyaviridae and strain III-B of Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEE) virus of the family Togaviridac (Shope et al. 1988. Walton and Grayson 1988).

Discussion. Theobald (1903) described Cx. spissipes from a lemale from Trinidad. Later. Dyar and Knab (1907a) described Cx. fur, which was considered conspecitic with Cx. spissipes by Bonnc-Wepster and Bonue (1921), Later, Bonne
and Bonne-Wepster (1925) published a drawing of a male genitalia identified as Cx. spissipes. Even considering that the association of male and female could not be correct, Rozeboom and Komp (1950) reproduced the Bonne and BomeWepster's male genitatia drawing. Later. Lane (1951) resurrected Cx. fur from synonym) with Cx. spissipes, and consideted Culex chrysonotum Dyat and Knab and Culex theobaldi (I.utz) synonymous with Cx. spissipes. Foote (1954) did not agrec with Lane's suggestion but he made no mention of Ca. fur. Later. Stone er al. (1959), ynonymized Cx. fur with Cx. spissipes and. finally, Cakahashi (1968) synonymized Cu lex menves. Dyar with Cx. spissipes and considered Culex harnei Komp and Curry conspeetfic with Cx spissipes as was suggested by Komp (1935). As a result, Cx. fur, Cx. meneres, and $C_{x}$ : haynei ate considered to be synonymous with Cl. spissiper Sutil Oramas et al. (1987) described (x. alvarezi, and although it has not been possible to examine the type material of C. alvarezi, we are considering this species conspecific with Cx. spissipes. While examining male specimens of Cx. spissipes frons Brazil and the drawings of different authors reproduced in the Melamocmion catalog by Pecor et al. (1992). it was possible to compare these with the drawing and description of Cx. atvarezi. Special attention was given to male genitalia characters. and it becane erjdent that there were striking similarities between Cx. afvaresi and Cr. spissipes, especially in the gonosylus, tergun IX lobes. and lateral plate of the phallosome. However, some dilferences observed in the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite could be due to an inaccurate position of the genitalia structures. and consequently. the drawing of the structures was inadequate.

According to Sirivanakarn (1983), Cx. spissipes can be ensily recogniced by having acros(ichal setac. However, these setae were observed in Culex lopesi Sirivanakarn and Jakob, Cx portesi, Culea sacchentae Sirivanakarn and Jakob, and Culex vomerifer Komp. Although the acrostichal setae are disposed along the acrostichal area in Cx. lopesi, in the last three species thes are found on the posteriot part (Foratimi and Salum 1989a, 1990). Furthermore. the female of Cx. spissipes can be easily recognized by having a conspicuous pattern of golden scales extending as a patch on the anterior $0.50-0.75$ of the scutum or as a lateral band from the anterior dorsocentral line to the posterior part of the seatal fossa, with a narrow extension dorsally. The male adult of Cx. spissipes differs from the lemale in having the scutum entirely covered with bronzy scalen. However, in both male and femate the abdominal terga are totally dark-sealed
or, sometimes, the female has small basolateral patches of erean-colored scales on terga IIVIII. Based on the cibarial armature, Cx. spissipes can be easily recognized by the features poined out by Forattini and Sallum (1992). The male genitalia of Cx. spissipes can be easily characterized by several unique diagnostic and differential characters. These characters are represented by the uniquely shaped gonostylus (Fig. 18): tergum IX lobes are hill-like, showing a small projection on inner basal portion; the lareral plate of the phathosome has apical, lateral. and ventral processes. apical process shori. broad, apical margin convex, smooth in lateral view, lateral process bladelike. serrate al apex; proximal division of subapical lobe of gonocoxite not divided, columnar, with 2 strong apical, newly parallel setae ( $a$ and $b$ ); distal division of subapical lobe elongate, columnar, bearing 5 setac, i subapical and 4 apical, subapical seta is a wide. asymmetrical, foliform seta (l) that is curved at apex, apical setac include a long, strong, hooked seta ( $/$ ), a relatively long, saberlike seta (s) and 2 short, narrow, appressed, pointed setac ( $f$ ).

## Taeniopus Group

The Taeniopas Group includes Culex tueniopus Dyar and Knah. Cutex cedecei Stone and Hair, Culex akritos Forattini and Sallum, and Culex ikelos Forattini and Sallum. Adnlts of these species can be recognized by having: narrow falcate black seates on a smatl arca along coronal suture and dingy white seales on lateral sides: acrostichal setac absent: scuturn covered with dark brown scales and small patches of light golden scales, these variably placed: a small patch of broad, spatulate white scales on upper corner of mesokatepisternum; abdominal lerga dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Male adults have small patches of white scales on base of palpomeres 4 and 5 . The female cibarial amature differs from all other groups of the Spissipes Section in possessing apatulate-shaped teeth: hollow area small; cibarsal bar with numerous spicules on dorsal surface and on distal margin and the absence of the line of origin of the cibarial teeth. Nale genitalia of the group ean be recognized by: the slape of the gonostylus. which has an elongate apical snom, smati spicules on basal half of mesal side, and 2 unequally deseloped setae on mesal side of widened part: the proximal division of subapical lobe is unique, shorter and stronger than distal
division, forked at apex, hearing 2 strong setae ( $d$ and $b$ ); distal division of subapical lobe elongate, columnar, with 8 setae, which include ! long apically hooked seta ( $h$ ), 2 saberlike setae (s), 3 subequal. narrow, appressed sctae ( $f$ ). a slender, flexibie. pointed seta ( $f$ ). and a foliform seta ( $l$ ); tergum [X lobes wrinkled distally; lateral plate of phallosome with apical. ventral, and lateral processes, apical process broad, short, distal margin convex and smooth: and tergum $X$ somewhat rectangular in outine, narrowed at inidiength, forning a prominent rounded apical lobe.

## Culex (Melanoconion) taeniopus

 Dyar and Knab, 1907Culex taeniopus Dyar and Knab, 1907b:100(9). Holotype 8: Blucficlds, Nicaragual (NMNH).
Rofeboom and Komp 1950:96 (in part. tax.; misidentification of $\delta^{*}$ ); Barreto-Reyes 1955: 59 (Colombia): Galiudo 1969:83 (tax.); Sirivanakarn and Belkin 1980:8 (3, 7; tax.); Sirivanakarn 1983:265 ( $\left.8^{*}, 8 *\right)$.
Melanocomion annulipes Theobald, 1907:512 ( $\varrho^{\pi}$ ). Holotypc 9 : Red Hills. (Kingston, Surrey), Jamaica (NHM).
Edwards 1932:213 (rejected name); Belkin 1969a:28 (tax.: syn.): Belkin 1969h:68 (reemphasis of Edwards 1932): Tow nsend 1990:43 (type info.).
Culex (Mctanoconion) opisthopus Komp, 1926: 44 ( $\delta, \%$ ). Lectotype 6 : Puerto Castillo. Honduras (NMNH).
Dyar 1928:294 (5) ; Pralt et al. 1945:245 (Puerto Rico); Rezeboom and Komp 1950:94 ( ${ }^{4}$ ): Lanc 1953:403 ( $\delta^{* *}$. $L^{*}$ ): Carpenter and LaCasse 1955:310 (in part, $0^{\circ}$; misidentitication of L-; Mexico); Stone and Kuight 1957:54 (lectotype desig.); Belkin et al. 1970:
 lize); Scherer et al. 1971:969 (Venesucla): Fauran and Pajot 1974:106 (French Guiana); Belkin and Heinemann 1975:372. 377 (Bahamas, Cayman Islands); Mattingly 1976:227 ( C ) ; Cupp et al. 1979:1060 (Guatemala); Sirixanakarn and Belkin 1980:7 (syn.).
(ulex (Mochlostyrau) mychonde Komp, 1928 (in Dyar 1928:295) ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ). Holotype © (onl) genitalia): Alrnirante, (Bocas del Tom), Panama (NMNH). Komp 1935:3 (syn. with Cx. opisthoptes).

Tig. 1. Disoribution of the Spissipes Group (Culen wisupes).


Female. Similar to Cr. spissipes, differing as follows. Body almost entirely covered with dark brown scales: hindtarsomeres with white rings on joints of segments $1-4$ and segment 5 entirely white. Hoad: Antennal length about 2.03 mm ; proboscis length $1.61-1.79 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=1.70 \mathrm{~m} 1 \mathrm{~m})$ : maxillary palpus length $0.30-0.34 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=$ 0.32 mm ), about 0.20 of proboscis. Vertex with narrow falcate seales, these black in a sinall median dorsal area and dingy white on lateral sides. Cibarium ( Pig .19 ): Cibarium length about 216 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar with small spicules; 10.11 cibarial teeth; tooth length about $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : line of origin of teeth not evident; hollow area of teeth small. somewhat triangular, more or less restricted to base. Palatal setac variably separated from one another, 5 in total number, 2 more-developed pairs on lateral margins of anterior hatd patate and 1 smaller seta situated anteriorly, or 2 pairs of stronger setae on one margin of anterior hard palate and the smaller seta on the opposite. Sensilla trichodea arranged in linear series of 3, 4 elements on each side. Thorax: Integument dark brown to brownish black. Scutal scales dark brown with coppery rellections, occasionally with small patches of light golden scales on anterior promontory and on prescutellar and supraalar areas: acrostichal setae absent. Prescutellar area sometimes with a small triangular protuberance on posterior region. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales, totally ditrk or mixed with light goken scakes lateral lobes each with 3, 4 large sctac, median lobe with 6 large setac. Postpronotum with narrow falcate scales. totally dark brown or sometimes with a patch of white broad spatulate scales on possteroventral matgin: 6 dark setac on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument dark brown with darker areas as in Cx. spissipes, mesepimeron dark with a paler spot on anteromedian region. Pleural setae dark brown with reddish reflections, upper procpisternal, less developed lower mesokatepisternal and upper mesepimeral setae yellowish: 10, 11 upper proepisternal. 6 prealar. 9-11 upper mesokatepisternal, 11 lower mesokatepisternal, I 3 upper mesepimeral, and 1 lower mesepimeral. Pleura with patches of white spatulate scales on upper corner and on lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum. Wing: Length about 2.58 mm ; cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 4.14$ of vein $R_{21}$ : cell $\mathrm{M}_{2} 0.89$ of cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$; subcosta intersects costa slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathbf{R}_{\text {.. }}$. Dorsal scaling as in Cx. spissipes: remigium with 2, 3 distal sctue. Ventral scaling: lincar plume scales
on proximal 0.5 of $R_{1}$, proximal 0.5 of $R_{i, 1}$ : in clined narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {i, }}$. Halter: Capitellum dark, ventral surface with whitish scales. IAgs: Anterior surface of forecoxa with a patch of dark scales. sometimes with pale scales at base, midand hindcoxac with vertical line of nearly colorless scales. Anteroventral surface of midtrochanter with dark or whitish scales. Mid- and hindfemora with a few white scales at apex. Tibiae and tarsi of fore- and midlegs dark; hindtibia with a few white scales at apex: hindtarsomeres 1-4 each with distinct rings of white scates on the base and apex. 5 entirely white Abdomen: Terga II-VIII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Sternum VIll dark-scaled with a few white scales laterally. Genitalia (Fig. 19): Tergum LX with $6-9$ slender setae on cach small lateral lobe; 8 insular setac. Postgenital lobe short, trapezoidal, distal margin nearly straight, with $8-10$ setae on either side of midline.

Male. Yike female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal length about 1.59 mm . Maxillar's palpus dark-scaled, palpomeres 4 and 5 with small basal patches of white scales, exceeding proboscis by about apical 0.5 of palpomere 4 (length not measured); palpomere 3 with 15,16 long setae on outer apical area. Abdomen: Tergum II dark-scaled with median anterior and basolateral patches of white scales; terga III-V with basal bands and basolateral patches of white scales (remaining terga not examined). Sterna IT-V mostly dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Geniralia (「ig. 19): Like Cx. spissipes, differing as follows. lergum IX lobes approximated, columnar, fingerlike, distal part wrinkled, with slender serae mostly on distal part: interlobar arca concave. Lateral surface of gonocoxite with a patch of short sparse setae ( 1 sp ) at level of subapical lobe; subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated; proximal division a single, short, robust, apically forked arm with 2 long. apically hooked setae ( $a$ and $b$ ), seta $a$ robust, sinuous, seta $b$ rodlike, nearly stratight; distal division elongate, columnar, less robust than proximal division, with 8 apical setae, which include a long, strong, apically hooked seta ( $h$ ), a shott saberlike sera ( $s$ ), a relatively long, saberlike seta ( $s$ ). a moderately broad. asymmetrical foliform seta ( $I$ ), darker on proximal side. 3 short, subequal, narrow, appressed setae $(f)$, and a shon, slender, apically pointed seta (f). Gonostylus slender. curved, widened distally on lateral side, tapering

Fig. 2. Distribution of the Taenopus Group (Culex akrtos, (x. cedecei. Cr. ikelos, and Cix. aeniopus).

to apex. bearing an inconspicuous, subapical crest on ventral side of expanded part and a few scattered spicules on basal part of mesal side; widened part with 2 setae on mesal side: apical snout clongate: gonostylar claw short. leaflike. Lateral plate of phallosome with apical, ventral, and lateral processes: apical process short. broad. apical margin convex and smooth: ventral process shorl, somewhat triangular in shape, pointed. slightly curved laterally: lateral process shorter, similar to ventral process. Paraproct crown with 6-8 simple blades; 2.3 cercal setac. Tergum $X$ large, somewhat rectangular in outline with a large rounded apical lobe.

Material examined. 7 ठ. 7 бG. 9 ¢, 1 ¢G, 2 Qcib. Cx. taeniopus, Holotype: NICARAGUA. Bluefields. Cx. annulipes, Holotype: JAMAICA, Red Hills, M. Grabham. Cx. opisthopus, Lectotype: HONDURAS, Puerto Castillo. Cx. mychonde, Holotype: PANAMA. Almirante, (Bocas del Toro). Other specimens: GUATEMALA. kocality unknown, S. Sirivamakarn 77, Sallum det. 1992, 4 ס. 4 sG. 2 9, 1 ficib. MEXICO, Quintana Roo (Q Roo), Cancun, 29 Nov 1974, D. J. Pletsch coll., Sallum det. 1992, 1 i: 20 Dec 1974, D. Najera coll., 4 i, 1 ¢cib, 1 ifG; Guerrero (GRO), Ixtapa, 9 Aug 1974, D. J. Pletsch coll., 2 ठ, 1 お

Distribution (Fig. 2). Known from Bahamas, Belize, Cayman Islands, Colombia. Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, French Guiana. Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica. Mexico. Nicaragua, Panama, Puerto Rico, and Venezucla (Pecor et al. 1992). This distribution could be incorrect, however, as this species has been confused with Cx. cedecei. It will be necessary to confirm the literature records by examination of specimens from throughout the geographic range.

Bionomics. Adults of Cx. taeniophs were captured resting in dense vegctation. in secondgrowth vegetation, in coral limestone fissures, and in crab holes. Resting places were partially or heavily shaded. Aclults were atracted to haman bait near sunset and also to CDC and New Jersey light traps in forest, in second-growth vegetation, and along the edges of swamps and rivers (Belkin and Heinemann 1975: Ifinemamn and Belkin 1977b, 1978a). Larvac have been tound in stagnant water (Pratt et al. 1945).

Culex taeniopus was found to be susceptible to infection by VEE virus strain I-E under laboratory conditions (Cupp et al. 1979). This spccies is also considered to be a potential vector of Ossa, Guana, Ananindeua, Bimiti, Mirim,
and Guaratuba viruses of the Bunyaviridae (Shope et al. 1988: Walton and Grayson 1988).

Discussion. Adults of Cx. taeniopus differ from Cx. cedecei in having conspicuous white rings on the base and apex of hindtatsomeres 14, hindtarsomere 5 entirely white. The species difliers from Cx akrios and Cx. ihelos by the absence of a patch of broad, spatulate, white scales on the postspiracular area and in having the capitellum dark. From Cx. akritos it differs in having the pedicel of the antenna dark. Adult males of Cx. taeniopus can be casily distinguished from those of Cx. akritos in laving the proboscis totally dark. Culex taeniopus also differs from Cx. akritos and Cx. ikelos in possessing 10 or 11 cibarial leeth and 5 palatal setac, 4 being subequal and posteriorly placed and one smaller and anteriorly placed. The inale genitalia of Cx. taeniopus differ from those of Cx. cedecei, Cx. akritos, and Cx. ikelos in possessing a moderately broad foliform seta ( $l$ ) that is darker on proxintal side and from Cx . akritos and Cx . ikelos in having an inconspicuous crest on the ventral side of the widened part of the gonostylus, tergum IX lobes fong, fingerlike. apically rounded, interlobar area concave. and lateral plate of phallosome with a very shorl ventral process.

## Culex (Melanoconion) cedecei Stone and Hair, 1968

Culex (Melanoconion) cedecei Stone and Hair, 1968:39 ( $\delta^{*}, \mathrm{P}^{*}$ ). IIolotype $\delta$ : Mahogany Hammock. Dade, Florida, USA (NMNII).
Wirth 1945:205 ( $\delta^{*}$; as Cx. opisthopus): Pratt et al. 1945:245 ( $\mathrm{P}^{*}$, L*; as Cx. opisthopus); Foote 1954:78 (P*, L*; as Cx. opisthopus): Carpenter and LaCasse 1955:310 (in part, $\mathrm{L}^{*}$; as Cx. opisthopus); King et al. 1960:113 (Adult, L; as Cx. opisthopus); Belkin 1969a: 27 (syn. with Cr. annulipes); Belkin 1969b: 68 (syn. with $C x$. opisthopus): Mattingly 1976:228 ( $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ ); Cupp 1986 (in Weaver et al. 1986:619) (resurected from syn.).

## Female. Not examined.

Male. Similar to Cx. spissipes, differing as follows. Body almost entirely covered with dark brown scales; hindtarsomeres dark or with inconspicuous rings of pale scales on joints of tarsomeres 2-4, hindtarsomere 5 pale. Head: Antennal length and proboscis not measured; max-

Fig. 3. Distribution of the Pedroi Subgroup, in part (Culfe pedroi).



Fig. 4. Distribution of the Pediol Subgroup, in part (Culex adamesi, Cx. crybda, Cx. epamastasis, and Ca. ribeirensss).
illary palpus (not measured) exceeding proboscis by about apical 0.5 of palpomere 4 ; palponere 3 with long setac on ouler apicat area (setae not counted). Vertex with narrow falcate scales, these black in a small median dorsal area and dingy white on lateral sides. Thorax: Integument light to dark brown. Scutal scales dark brown with coppery reflections, light golden scales on prescutellar area; acrostichal setae absent. Scutellar seales light golden; lateral Iobes each with 3 large sefae, median lobe with 6 large
setae. Postpronotum with scales similat 10 scutal scales, totally dark brown, with 4 dark setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light to dark brown with darker areas as in Cx. spissipes, mesepimeron with an anteroposterior pale band on mid-region. Pleural setae dark brown with reddish reflections. upper proepisternal, less developed lower mesokatepisternal, and upper mesepimeral setae yellow: 6 prealar, 6 upper mesokatepisternal. 7-9 lower mesokatepisternal, 5-7 upper mesepimeral. and 1 lower mescpi-


Fig. 5. Distribution of the Patacrybda Sulgroup (Culex delpemtes and (x. paracrabda) and the Pereyrai Suhgroup (C'x. pereyrai).
meral (upper proepisternal not counted). Pleura with small patches of white spatulate seales on upper corner and on lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum. W'ing: Jength. cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and vein $R_{2}$, ratio and cell $M$, and cell $R$. ratio not measured; subcosta incersects costa slighty proximal to furcation of R. :. Dorsal scaling as in Cx. spissipes; remigium with 2, 3 distal setac. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate ccales basally' on $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$, hasally on $\mathrm{R}_{3}$, proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{N}_{1, \ldots}$ proximal 0.3 of $M_{i}, \ldots .5$ of $R_{4-4}$; inclined nai-
row spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{: ~, ~}^{1}$, distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{M}_{1-}$, distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{M}_{3-1}$. Ihtter: Capitellum dark, ventral surface with whitish scules, legs: Anterior surface of forecoxa with a patch of dark scales, sometimes with pale scales at base: mid- and hindcoxac with vertical line of nearly colontess scales. Anteroventral burface of midtrochanter with dark or whitish scales. Tibiae and tarsi dark or sometimes, hindtatsomeres $2-4$ with indistinct pale rings at goints and tarsomere 5 indistinctly pale.


Fig. 6. Distribuion of the Vomenifer Group (Culex portesi, Cx. sacrhenter, and Cx. womerifer).

Abdemen: Tergum 11 dark with a emall median anterior patch of white scales; terga III-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales, extending dorsally as narrow basal bands on terga III--V; tergum VIII without scales. Sterna II--VIII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Genitatia (Fig. 20-1): Tergum IX lobes approximated, columnar, fingerike.
distal part wrinkled with slender setac mosily on distal part; interlobar area concave. Lateral surtace of gonocoxite with a patch of short sparse setac (lsp) at level of subapical lobe; subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated: proximal division a single, short, robust, apically forked arm with 2 long, robust, sinuous, apically hooked setae ( $a$ and $b$ ); distal division elongate,

Fig. 7. Distribution of the Ocossa Group (Culex oconsa and Cx. panoconsa).



Fig. 8. Distribution of the Jubifer Group (Culex jubifer and Cex. simutator).
columnar. less robust than proximal division. with 8 apical setac including a long, strong. apically hooked seta (h), a bhort saberlike seta ( $s$ ). a relatively long, saberlike seta ( $s$ ), a moderately broad. asymmetrical foliform seta (l) evenly tanned, spoonlike in lateral aspect, inserted on lateral side. 3 short. subequal, narrow appressed seta ( $f$ ), and a short. slender, pointed seta ( $f$ ). Gonostylus slender, curved, wideried distally on lateral side, tapering to apex, hearing an inconspicuous. subapical crest on ventral side and a few scattered spicules on basal part of mesal
side; widened part with 2 setac on mesal side: apical snout elongate: gonosty lar claw short. Icaflike. Lateral plate of phallosome with apical. ventral. and lateral processes: apical process short. broad, apical margin convex, smooth; ventral process short, somewhat triangular in shape, pointed, slightly curved laterally: lateral process very short, similar to ventral process. Paraproct crown with 8 simple hlades: 2 cercal setac. 'lergum X large, somewhat rectangular in outline with a well-developed rounded apical lobe.

[ig. 9. Distribution of the lopest Group (Culer lopesi).

Material examined. 4 な. $2 \delta G$, Paratope: USA, Georgia, Atlanta, 21 Jan 1967. Stone and Hair det. 1 S, 1 © $C$ (no. 69792). Oiher specimens: Florida, Vero Beach \& Everglades Pk. I.t.. 10 Ont 1966 . Stone and Hair det., $3 \delta, 1$ o $G$ (NMNH).

Distribution (Fig. 2). Reported from Florida, USA.

Bionomics. I arvae of Cr. redecei were collected from solution holes on Big Ficus Ilanimock, apparently preferting permanent water for breeding (Stone and Hair 1968). Thes were taken from lohes of the land crab Cartlisoma
ghanhumi Yatr. (Pratt et al. 1945) and also from potholes in coral limestone rocks (Hair 1968).

Adult populations appeared to reach a peak in October and November in the Everglades area (Hair 1968), showing a decrease after December (Stone and Hair 1968). Vector competence studies suggested that $C x$. cedecei might be a vector of VEF: virus, strain I-AB, strain I-E, and strain II (Weaver et al. 1986). This species exhibited preference for mammalian blood, especially that of rodents (Edman 1979).

Discussion. Culex cedecci differs from C.x. taeniopus, C.x. akritos. and C.x. ikelos in having

lig. IO. Distribution of the Famrani Croup (cilex fourami).

 (Mel) sp. Aratus (iroup of the Melanoconion Section.
the apex of all femora dark and the hindtarsoineres entirely dark or, sometimes. with inconspicuous pale rings at joints of bindtarsomeres $2-4$ and tarsomeres 5 pale. It difters from C.r. akritos and Cx. ikelos in not having a patch ol broad spatulate white scales on the postspiracular area and in having the capitellum dark and also from $C^{\prime}$ a. akrios in possessing the pedicel of the antenna dark. Based on male adult leatures. Cx. cederei differs trom Cx. ikelos in having the proboscis totally dark. The male genitalia of $C x$. cedece $i$ differ from those of C.x. taeniopus, C.x. akritos, and C.r. ikelos in having the foliform seta ( $l$ ) wider than that of $C$. tacniopus; but narrower than those of $C \cdot x$. akritos and Cx. ikelos, and foliform seta (l) somewhat spoonlike in outline. It also differs from Cx: akritos and Cx. ikelos in possessing an inconspicwous erest on the ventral side of the subapical widened part of the gonostylus and in having the 9th tergal lobe long, fingerlike, apically rounded, interlobar area concave, and the lateral plate of plallosome with a very bhort ventral process.

## Culex (Melanoconion) akritos Forattini and Sallum, 1995

Culex akritos Forattini and Sallum. 1905:125 ( $0^{*}, 9^{\circ}$ ). Holotype $0^{\circ}$ : São Paulo, Brazil (I'SP).
Culex (Mc/anoconion) taeniopus ol Fomatini et al. 1989b:14; Forattini et al. 1901a:129; Forattini and Sallum 1992:72 (in part, specimens ftom Sio Pato State, Brazil).
Female. Similar to Čr. spissipes, differing as follows. Body mostly covered with dark browin scales; hindtarsomeres 1-4 with conspicuous white rings on joints, 5 entitely white. Head: Antennal length about 2.47 mm ; pedicel yellowish, but light brown on inner area; proboscis lengel $2.06 \cdot 2.33 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}-2.18 \mathrm{~mm})$ : maxillary palpus length $0.38-0.41 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=0.40 \mathrm{~mm})$, about 0.20 of proboseis. Vertex with narrow fatcate scales. these black in a small median dorsal arca. becoming dingy white on lateral sides and along margin of eyes. Cibarium: Ciharium length about $249 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; dorsal surface and distal


Fig. 12. Dorsal aspect of scutum, temale. A. B. (ulex (Mel.) spissupes, ateroctichal netae present along the arca. C. D. Cx. (Mel.) sacchettae, acrostichal setae present on posterior part. E. Cג. (Mel.) pedroi, acrostichal setac absent. F: Cx (Met) pedroi, alveoli present on anterior portion of prowutellar arca.
margin of cibarial bat with smatl spicules of different size: 10-20 cibarial teeth: toosh length about $18-20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; line of origin of teeth not evident, hollow area of teeth small, somewhat triangular, more or less resiricted to base. Palatal setae 6 in total number, 3 on each side; anterior pair of palatal setae situated slightly apart from the posterior pairs, smaller in size. Sensilla trichodea in linear suries of 2-4 elements on cach side. Thorax (Fig. 13D): Integument light brown to brownish black. Scutum with background scates dark brown with coppery reflections and with patches of light golden seales variably placed as follows: always on suproalar and preseutellar ateas and/or on anterior promontory and/or anteriorly and/or posteriorly on scutal fossa and/or scutal angle and/or along acrostichal area. Scutal setae variable in color, totally dark brown with reddish or golden reflections, or median anterior promontory, median scutal fossal, autealar: supratar setae. and dorsocentral sctac goiden; acrostichal setac absent. I'rescutellar area with a small triangular protuberance centrally. Scutellar scalcs variable in color. to-
tally light golden or, sometimes. mixed with dark brown seales; lateral lobes each with 3, 4 large setae. median lobe with 6 large setas. Postpronotum with dack brown scales and a small parch of white, broad spatulate scales on posteroventral margim; with 4-7 dark setac on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light brown to brownish black, darker areas similar to those of Cx. spissipes. but mesepimeron datker on posterior surface: pleural setae yellowish with golden reflections. prealar setae and the largest upper proepisternal setac darker: $10-15$ upper proepisternal. $6-9$ prealar. 7,8 upper mesokatepisternai, 14-16 lower mesokatepistemal, 17-21 upper nesepimeral. and I lower mesepimeral. Pleura with small patch of white spatulate scales on postspiracular area and on upper cormer and lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum, occasionally with a few white, spatulate scales on upper mesepimeron. Wing: Length $3.39-3.76 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\bar{x}=3.55 \mathrm{~mm}:$ cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ 4.12-4.96 of vein $R, .,(\bar{x}=4.6 \mathrm{~J})$; cell $\mathrm{M}_{2} 0.83$ of cell R.; subcosta intersects costa slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{2+}$. Dorsal scaling: ap-


Fig. 13. I.ateral aspect of thonax, fomale, A. Culer (Afel.) pedrot, lower mesokatepistemal pateli of seales not extending dorsally from above lower mesokatepisternal setae. B. Cx. (Hel.) spissipes, louer mesokatepisternal patch of scales reaching upper mesokatepisternal setae. C. Ca. (Mel.) ribeirensis, pateh of sates on upper corner of mesokatepisternum. D. Cx (Mel.) akrotos, postspiracula scales. E. Cr (Mel) portest, mesepimeron. F. Cx. (.1/ch.) womerifer, midtle area of mesepimeron with small setae.
pressed spatulate scales on $M_{1} \therefore$ linear phune scales proximally on $\mathrm{M}_{1-2}$ : remigium with 3.4 distal setae. Ventral sealing: appressed spatulate scales proximally on $R_{n}$. proximal 0.5 of $R_{3}$. proximal 0.4 of $\mathbf{M}_{1} \therefore$ lincar plume scales on proximal 0.3 of $R_{1}$, proximal 0.4 of $R_{1}$ a: inclined manow spatulate scales on distal 0.7 ol $R_{1}$. distal 0.5 of $R_{\text {, distal } 0.6 \text { of } R_{\text {_ }} \text {. distal } 0.6 ~}^{6}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. Halter: Capitellum whitish. Legs: Anterior surface of torecoxa with pateh of dark scales and few white seales on the base: anterior surface of mid- and hindeoxae with vertical line of nearly colorless scales: anteronentral surface of midtrochanter with whitish seales. Femora with a lew white scales at opex, more evident on hindfemur. lïbiae dark-scaled with few whitish scales at apex on ventral surface. Jindtarsomeres $1-4$ with distinct white rings on the base and apex, 5 largely white. Abdomen: Tergum Il dark with median anterior and basolateral patches of whitish seakes: terga III-VII darkscaled with basolareral patehes of white seales.
occasioually becoming narrow basal white bands on terga III-VI, tergum dark-scaled, sometimes with pate scales laterally. Stemat IIVII dark-sealed with basolateral patches of white scales. more evident on posterior sterna; sternum VIII without scales, occasionally with whitish scales anterjorly and dark scales posteriorly. Genitalia: Tergum IX lobes bearing 11 .17 slender setac. Postgenital lobe trapezoidal, with $10-15$ setae on either side of midline.

Male, Like female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal kength about 2.14 mm . Palpomeres 4 and 5 with basal patches of white scales: maxillary palpus length not measured. palponere 3 with 14 , 15 strong setac on outer apical area. Thorux: Postspiracular area with, or without. a small patch of white, spatulate scales. Abdomen; Terga II-VI dakk-scaled with basal white bands and basolateral white patches (remaining terga not examined). Sterna [I-V1 with basolateral patches of white seales. Genitalia (Fig. 20-2): Tergum IX lobes approx-


Fig. 14. Female. A. Culeג (Mel.) vomerifer, minute setate on metepisternum. B. Cx, (Mel.) ribeirensis, detat of setate on metepisternum. C, D. Cx. (Mel.) spirsipes, dorsal surface ol right wing. E. F. Cr. (Mel.) spissipes, ventral surface of left wing.
imated, thumblike. long. distal part wrinkled, apically rounded, interlobar area nearly straight, setac slender and short. Gonocoxite with a patch of short sparse setac (lsp) at level of subapical lobe: subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated; proximal division entire, short, more robust that distal division, forked at apex, proximal portion swollen and wrinkled ou mesal side, with 2 long, robust. apically hooked setae (setae $a$ and $b$ ) and bearing a slender, shoit seta busal to setac $a$ and $b$ on lateral side: seta $a$ sinuous, expanded at midlength with minute spicules on distal side of expanded pant and slightly striated on proximal side, seta $b$ long. rodlike. nearly straight; distal division with 8 setae: a long. strong. hooked seta ( $h$ ), a short and a long, strong saberike setuc (s), a long, broad at base, tapered, nearly pointed at apex, evenly tanned, foliform seta (l), 3 subequal. apically rounded. narrow appressed setae ( $f$ ), and a slender, flexible seta $(f)$. Gonostylus slender, cur' ed, widened distally on lateral side, tapering to apex, bearing a wrinkled subapical crest that extends from apical snout to widened part on ven-
tral side and a few scattered spicules on basal part of mesal side; widened part with 2 setae on mesal side; apical snout elongate; gonostylar claw shont, leaflike. Lateral plate of phallosome with apical, ventral. and lateral processes, apical process short, broad, apical margin convex, smouth; ventral process short, blunt, laterally curved; lateral process shorter, ponted at apex. Paraproct crown with 8 simple blades: 2 cercal setae. Tergum $X$ large. somewhat rectangular in outline. with a large rounded apical lobe.

Material examined. 6 o. 6 § $\mathrm{G}, 76 \%$ \% 6
 State, Pariquera-Açu County. Experimental Station. BR 116 Road. 6 Oct 1986, Forattini et al. coll. collected with battery-powered aspirator (FSP-USP no. E-10517, slide no. 6913). Paratypes: same data as holotype, but differing as follows: coll. on human bait, 19 Dec 1978. 1 ㅇ; 3 Jul 1979. 1 9; 4 Dec 1979, 3 9; 16 Jan 1980, 2 ㅇ, 1 Ocib: 17 Apr 1980, 1 ㅇ; 8 Sep 1986, 2 9; 3 Nov 1986, 7 \&. 1 9 (;) CDC Iight trap, 15 Oct 1979, 1 f: 4 Dec 1980, 2 i; 12 Mar 1981. 1 5, 1 ¢G; 7 May 1981. 3 9.1 1 (G; 10 Dec


Fig．15．Jemate cibatial amature，showing teeth shape and tooth origin line．A．Culex（hel．）ribeirensis． B．Ci．（Wel．）sachetuc．（＇．（＇x．（1fel．）fouruni．J）．（＇ג．（Mel．）lopest．F．（i．（Mel．）delpomei．I：Cr．（Mel．） ocossa．

1981．39．1 ©C： 7 Apr 1982．1 7.8 Sep 1982， $17,1 \bigcirc \mathrm{G}:$ battery－powered aspirator： 20 Ang 1981． 2 f： 8 Oct 1986， $19:$ manual net， 6 Oct 1986．I ©． 1 sG：Shannon trap supplemented with light， 19 Jul 1979． $18: 6.9$ Aug 1979， 2 7； 150 Oct 1979 ， 1 个； 8 Nov 1979． 1 ¢： 19 Nov 1979．1 9； 3 Г）ec 1979， 1 9：14． 17 Jan 1980， 5 9：21 Jul 1980， 1 7． 1 §cib； 8 Apr 1981． 1个； 21 Jan 1980， 1 ¢；PariqueratMitim，manual net．23．May 1984．I $\delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}$ ：battery－powered a pirator， 15 Jan $1986,18.1$ d G：Canancia Coumy，Itapitangui disurict，Itapoa farm，human bait． 28 Jan 1981， 1 9：Shammon trap supplc－ mented with light． 9 Feb 1981． 1 子； 6 Apr 1981． 1 7： 4 May 1981， 1 여 1 Mar 1982． 1 ？：CDC light trap． 2 Sep 1981， 1 ©；Vilarinho Farm． Shamon trap supplemented with light． 6 Sep 1983． 2 ？： 4 Oct 1983， 2 9：CDC light trap． 5 Scp 1983， 1 p； 3 Oct 1983， 19 ； 6 Dec 1983． 16.16 G ；Iguape County，human bait． 180 ct 1982， 1 f： 3 May 1989,5 f：CDC．Iight trap， 8 Sep 1982． 1 \＆：16 Nov 1982， 1 Q： 9 Scp 1982， 5 ？：20 Mar 1989， 1 ©； 3 May 1989， 4 9． 4 Pcib．

Distribution（Fig．2）．Known only from the Ribeira Valley．Sao Paulo．Brazil．

Bionomics．Adults have been collected on hu－ man bait，from Shannon traps supplemented with light and（ $D C$ C light traps，with battery－ powered aspirators，and with hand new from hu－ natn environments and cultivaled areas（Forattini et al． 1989 b ．1991b）．

Calisher el al．（1983）isolated strains of Gua－ ma serogroup bunyaviruses and other ungrouped virus（strain $76 \mathrm{~V}-25880$ ）from specimens of $\mathrm{C} x$ ． ahritos（identified as C．r．taeniopus）collected in the coastal region in Sao Paulo Siate．

Discussion．Culex akritors dilfers from Cx，（6－ decei．Cx．tacniopus，and cx．ikelos in possess－ ing the pedicel of the antenna yellowish．from Cx．tamiopus and $C$ ．cedece $i$ in having a patch of broad，spatulate white scales on the postspi－ racular area and the capitellum whitish，form（ $x$ ． cederei in having conspicuous white rings on joints of hindtarsomeres $1-4,5$ entirely white， and a few white scales at apex of all femora． Adult males of $C x$ akrioss difler from Cor．iherlos in having the proboscis totally dark．and the fe－


Fig. 16. Female cibarial dome A, B. Culex (Mel.) rbeirensis. C, D. (x. (Mel) pedroi. E, F. Cx. (Mel.) sacchettoe.
male differs from Cx. taeniopus and Cx: ihelos in having $15-20$ cibatial teeth and 3 pairs of palatai setae. The male genitalia of C $x$. akrios differ from those of Cx. codecei. Cx. racmiopus, and Cx. ikelos in having a prominent crest on the ventral side of the gonostylus that extends from the apical snout to the widened subapical parl, the foliform seta ( $l$ ) broad basally, narrowed apically, becoming somewhat triangular in outline, tergal IX lobes thumblike, rounded at apex, and interlobar atea almost straight, the ventral process of lateral plate of phallosome long and curved, and the proximal division of subapical lobe of gonocoxite with a slender seta near the base of setac $a$ and $b$.

## Culex (Melanoconion) ikelos Forattini and Sallum, 1995

Culex ikelos Foratini and Sallum, 1995:132 ( $\mathbf{K}^{*} . \oint^{*} . L^{*}, \mathrm{P}^{*}$ ). Holotype が: São Paulo. Brafil (FSP).

Female. Similar to Cx. spissipes, differing as follows. Body mostly covered with datk brownish black scales; hindtarsomeres $1-4$ with conspicuous white rings on joints, 5 white. Head: Antennal length about 2.13 mm proboscis
length $1.90-2.11 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=2.01 \mathrm{~mm})$; maxillary palpus length $0.34 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=0.34 \mathrm{~mm})$, about 0.20 of proboscis length. Vertex with narrow falcate scalcs, these dark in a small median dorsal area, becoming dingy white laterally and along nargin of eyes. Cibarium: Length about 226 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ : dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar with small spicules of different size; about 12 tecth; tooth length about $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; line of origin not evident; hollow area of teeth small. more or less restricted to base. Sensilla trichodea in linear series of 3 single setac on each side. Thorax. Integument light brown to brownish black. Scutum with background scales dark brown with coppery reflections and patches of light golden scales on anterior promontory and/or anterior part of scutal lossa and/or seutal angle and/or supraalar and prescutellar arcas. Acrostichal setac absent. Prescutellar area sometimes with a small triangular protuberance. Scutellam covered with light golden scales mixed with dark brow'n scales; lateral lobes with 4 large setac; median lobe with 6.7 large setac. Postpronotum with scales similar to scutal scales, mostly dark brown and with a small patch of broad spatulate white scales on posteroventral margin, and $5-8$ dark selac on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light brown to brownish black, with


Fig. 17. Female cibanal dome. A, B. Culex (Mel.) pereymi. C. (Cr. (Mel) ocossa. D-F. (x. (Mel.) fantam-
darker areas as in Cr. spissipes, but with mesepimeron darker on posterior surface: pleural setae yellowish; prealar setae and the largest upper procepisternal setae darker: 9-11 upper proepisternal. 6, 7 prealar, 5, 6 upper mesokatepisternal, 10, 11 lower mesokatepisternal. 15-22 upper mesepimeral. and 1 lower mesepimeral. Pleura with small patches of white spatulate scales on postspiracular area. on upper corner, and on lower posterior border of mesokatepistermum. Wing: Length $3.26 \cdot 3.56 \mathrm{~mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$ rmm: cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 4.28-4.61$ of $\mathrm{R}_{2} .,(\overline{\mathrm{x}}-4.45)$ : cell M, 0.8 of cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ : subcosta intersects costa slightly proximal io furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{21}$ : Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on $\mathrm{M}_{1+2} ;$ lineir plume scales on proximal 0.2 of $\mathbf{R}$,; proximally on $\mathrm{M}_{1-2}$ : inclined narrow spatulate scales on 0.8 distal of $\mathrm{R}_{3}$; remigium with $1-4$ distal setae. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales proximatly on $R$., on proximal 0.3 of $R_{\text {. }}$ proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{M}_{1,2}$ : lincar plume scales on proxiual 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. proximal 0.4 of R ..e: inclined natrow spatulate scales on distal 0.6 of $R_{1,}$ distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. distal 0.6 of $\mathrm{R}_{2,4}$. distal 0.6 of $\mathbf{M}_{1, \%}$. Halter: Capitellum whitish. Legs: Anterion surface of forecoxa with a patch of dark scales and few white scales at base: anterior surface of midand hindeoxae with vertical line of nearly col-
orless scales, bindeoxa sometimes with a patch of nearly colortess scales at base. Anteroventral surface of midtrochanter with whitish scales. Femora with small patches of white scales at apex, more evident on hindfemur. Tibiae dark with few white scales at apex on ventral surface. Hindtarsomeres 1-4 with white rings on base and apex. 5 white. Abdomen: Terga II-VII darkscaled with basolateral patches of whitish scales: tergum VIII dark-scaled with white scales on latcral areas. Sterna II-VIl dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales; sternum VIII with scattered white scales. Genitalia: Tergum IX lobes bearng 11-15 slender setac: insula with 10 clustered setae. Postgenital lobe trapepoidal with 11 setae on cither side of midline.

Male. Like temale except for the following sexual differences. Hecad: Antennal length about 1.90 mm . Proboscis dark-scaled with white ring at about 0.75 from base. Maxillary palpus darkscaled with small patches of white scales at base of palponeres 4 and $5:$ length about 2.88 mm . exceeding proboscis by about length of apical 0.3 of palpomere 4; palpomere 3 with 11-14 strong setac on outer apical area. Thorax: Postspiracular anca with a patch of white spatulate scales that is sometimes absent. Abdomen: Tergum ll dark-scaled or with patches of white
scales on basolateral areas or with a narrow basal white band; terga III-VIII with patches of white scales on basolateral areas. becoming narrow basal white bands on terga III-VI. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with patches of white scales on basolateral areas; sternum V1I without scales or with few white scales scattered on median region. Genitalia (Fig. 20-3): Tergum IX lobes large, nearly rectangular in outline, distal part wrinkled with unevenly dispersed slender setae. interlohar area nearly straight. Latcral surface of gonocoxite with a patch of short sparse setae (lsp) at level of subapical lobe; divisions of subapical lobe approximated; proximal division short, stronger than distal division, forked at apex with 2 long, tobust, apically hooked setac (setae $a$ and $b$ ), seta $a$ sinuous, distinctly expanded on subapical part with diminute spicules on distal surface of basal half, seta bexpanded on basal portion, strongly curved al midlength, narrowed distally; distal division thinner and larger than proximal division with 8 setac: a long hooked seta ( $h$ ), a short, pointed saberlike seta ( $s$ ), a long, robust saberlike seta ( $s$ ), a wide, asymmetrical, striated foliform seta ( $l$ ), 3 subequal narrow appressed sctac ( $f$ ), and a slender, flexible seta ( $f$ ). Gonostylus slender, curved. widened distally on lateral side. bearing an inconspicuous subapical crest on ventral side, extended between apical snout and widened part and a few scattered spicules on basal patt of mesal side, expanded part with 2 sctac unequally developed on mesal side; apical snout elongate; gonostylar claw short, leallike. Lateral process of lateral plate of phallosome short, tapered, and pointed, ventral process long, nearly pointed, and laterally curved. Paraproct crown with 5-7 simple blades; 2-4 cercal setae. Tergum $X$ large, nearly rectangular in outline, narrowed at midlength, forming a rounded apical lobe.

Material examined. 6 \%, 10 6, 4 §G, 1 of cib, 1 9G. Holotype ó: BRAZIL, Sao Paulo State, Sorocaba County, Campolim disurict, urban area. 10 Jan 1988. A. Fernandes coll., collected as larva from hoofprints in pasture (FSPUSP no. 10518, slide no. 6914). Paratypes: same data as holotype, 5 \& , $6 \delta, 1 \delta \mathbf{G}, 19 \mathbf{G}$. Araraquara County. Lupo Farm. CDC light trap, 18 Jan 1983. E. X. Rabcllo, coll.. 1 \$, 1 סG: Sao Joao da Boa Vista County, Santa Helenat Farm, "New Jersey" light trap, 5 Jan 1982, 1
©. I $\delta \mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{CDC}$ light trap, 9 Feb 1982, $1 \delta, 1$ ©G; 11 Mar 1982, 1 \&. 1 9cib.
Distribution (Fig. 2). Known only from Sao Paulo State, southern Brazil.
Bionomics. Immature slages were collected from hoor prints in pasture aveas in an urban environment. Adults were collected in CDC light traps and New Jersey light traps in agricultural regions.

Discussion. Culex akritos differs from Cx. taeniopus and $C x$. cedecei by possessing a patch of broad, spatulate white scales on the posispiracular area and the capitellum whitish, from $C x$. cedecei by having hindtarsomeres 1-4 with conspicuous white rings on joints. 5 entirely white. and femora with a few white scales al apex, and from Cx. akrios by having the pedicel of the antenna dark. The adult male of $\mathrm{C} x$. ikelos differs from Cx. taeniopus, Cx. cedecei, and Cx. akritos by possessing a white ring on the proboscis, and the female adult differs by having 12 cibarial teeth and 2 pairs of equally developed palatal setac. The male genitalia of Cx. ikelos differ from other species of the group by having an inconspicuous crest extended between the apical snout and the widened part of ventral side of the gonostylus, a wide, asymmetrical, striated foliform seta ( $f$ ), tergum IX tobes moderately short, somewhat rectangular in outline, interlobar area ncarly straight, and the ventral process of the lateral plate of the phallosome long, tapered, nearly pointed at apex, and laterally curved.

## Cryldda Group

According to the classilication of the subgenus Melanoconion proposed by Sirivanakam (1983), the Pedroi Subgroup belonged to the Taeniopus Group and the Paracrybda and Pereyrai subgroups belonged to the Paracrybda Group. This division in groups was based mainly on cibarial teeth, which in the latter are very small, without hollow area, linear in outline, with 2 distinct parts. which include a thin sagittal plate and a small transverse plate, lozenge or hexagonal in dorsal view (Figs. 15E, 15F), and in the former are spatulate, blunt at apex, with hollow area, and with irregular serrated distal margin (Fig. 15 ). However, ou the basis of male genitalic features the Pedroi Subgroup is

Fig. 18. Culex (MeL) spissipes, male and female genitalia. AeS, aedeagal sclerite; BP, basal picee; Ce, cercus; Cse, cercal yeta; dSL, distal division of subapical lobe; Gc, gonocoxite; GC, gonnstylar claw; Gs, gonostylus; ISS, insular seta; LP, lateral plate; Isp, tateral setal patch; PGL, postgenital lobe; $\mathrm{PpC}^{2}$, paraproce crown; Ppr, paraproct; pSL. proximal division of subapical lobe; SE, spemathecal eminence; UVI., upper vaginal lip; UVS, upper vaginal sclerite; IX-Ie, tergum IX; IX-Tl, tergum IX lobe; X-Te, tergum X. Scales in mun.

more closely related to the Paracrybda and Pereyrai subgroups than to the Taeniopus and Vomerifer subgroups of the Taeniopus Group of Si rivanakarn (1983). For this reason, we are proposing a new group, the Crybda Group, which includes the lollowing three subgroups:

Pedroi Subgroup: Culex adomesi Sirivanakarn and Galindo, Culex cobbla Dyar, Culex epanastasis Dyar. Culex pedrei Sitivanakarn and Belkin. and Culex ribeirensis Forattini and Sallun
Paracrybda Subgroup: Cx. delpontei and Culex paracoybda Komp
Percyrai Subgroup: Cr. percyrai
The Crybda Group can be casily recognized by having the gonocoxite conical in outline with scales on the proximal pat of the ventrolaterna surface; proximal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite with an apical infundibular and hyaline expansion, a subapical broad hooked-falciform seta and few short setae scattered from the base to the level of insertion of the hooked-falciform seta; distal division of subapical lobe divided into 2 subequal or unequal arms (proximal and distal arms), proximal arin with 3 setae, which include a hooked seta ( $h$ ), a saberlike seta ( $s$ ), and a folitorm seta ( $/$ ); distal arm with 5 setac, which include a saberlike seta ( $s$ ) and 4 narrow, appressed setae ( $f$ ): gonostylus slightly enlarged on subapical portion; tergum [X lobe small. cone-shaped or moundlike, widely separated and with fow sparse. fine setae.

## Pedroi Subgroup

Species of the Pedroi Subgroup closely resemble each other in general adult morphology. cibarial armature, and male genitalia. This subgroup differs from the other subgroups of the Crybda Group in having the kergum IX lobe small, cone-shaped, widely separated, and with small, slender setae; proximal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite with few short, stiff selac basal to the subapical hooked-falciform seta, distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite divided into 2 subequal arms (proximal and distal arms): lateral plate of the phallosome with lateral and ventral processes. apical process absent, lateral process long. beaklike. dorsolaterally directed, ventral process short, nearly triangular, laterally curved. The adults of the Pedroi Subgroup differ trom the other subaroups in having cibarial tecth spatu-
late in outline, blunt at apex. distal margin irregular, serrated; cibarial dome with triangular, pointed denticles and the pleural integument of thorax simitar in color to scutal integument.

## Culex (Melanoconion) pedroi Sirivanakarn and Belkin, 1980

Culex (MeItanoconion) pedroi Sirivanakarn and Belkin. 1980:12 ( $\delta^{*}$ [habitus), $9 *$ Lhabitus], P*. I*). Holotype ó: Juan Mina $(0.5 \mathrm{~km} S$ of Chagres River), Canal Zone, Panama (NMNH).

Bonne and Bonne-Wepster 1925:296 ( $\delta^{*}$; as $C x$. taeniopus); Dyar 1928:293 ( $0^{*}$ : as Cx: taeniopus); Cerqueira 1943:34 (Bolivia: as Cx. tacniopus): Rozeboom and Komp 1950:89,96 ( $\mathrm{o}^{*}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$; as Cx. taeniopus); Duret 1953:70 ( ${ }^{*}$; as Cx. toeniopus); Foratini 1965:184 ( $\mathrm{d}^{*}$; as Cג. taeniopus): Cova Garcia et al. 1966a:36 ( $\mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{4}}$ : as Cx. taeniopus); Sirivanakarn 1983:279 ( $3^{*}$ ); Clark-(iil and Darsie 1983:256 (Guatemala).
Female. Similar to $C x$. spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with blackish scales: apex of all femora and anterior surtace of foretibia with conspicuous patehes of silver$u$ hite scales: hindtarsomercs $1-4$ with conspicuous white rings on joints. 5 entirely white. Head: Antennal length about 2.15 mm ; proboscis length $1.63-1.87 \mathrm{~mm}(\vec{x}=1.76 \mathrm{~mm})$; maxillary palpus length $0.3 \mathrm{I}-0.35 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=0.33$ mom), about 0.20 of proboscis length. Vertex with narrow falcate seales. these black anteriorly and dingy white posteriorly. Cibariurn (Figs. 16 C . 16 D , and 21 ): Lengih about $168 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : dorsal surtace and distal margin of cibarial bar with numerous spicules; 12-15 tecth; tooth length about $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : line of origin of tecth not evident. Sensilla trichodea in linear series of $2-4$ single setae on each side. Thorax (Figs. I 2E, 12F, and 13A): Irtegument dark brown to brownish black. Scutum with brownish black scales with reddish reffections; scutal selae black with reddish reflections; acrostichal setae absent. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales in color and shape; median lobe with 6, 7 large setac; lateral lobes each with 4 large setae. Postpronotum with scales simitar to scutal scales; with 4-7 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument dark brown to black. slightly lighter on median portion of mesepincron. Pleural seac

Fig. I9. Culex (Mcl.) taeniopus, female and male genitalia and female cibarial armatue. Abbreviations same as Fig. 18, except for, CA, cibarial armature; CiB, cibarial bar; CT, cibarial tooth. Scales in mm.

black wilh reddish or golden reflections: 16-22 upper proepisternal, 4, 5 prealar, 9-13 upper mesokatepisternal. 8-13 lower mesokatepisternal, 9-13 upper mesepimeral. and ! lower mesepimeral. Pleura with scales on mesokatepisternum only. a row of dark or grayish spatulate scales on lower posterior margin (Fig. 13A). Wing: Length $2.92-3.25 \mathrm{~mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=3.08 \mathrm{~mm}):$ ccll $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ 3.54-5.09 of vein $R_{9.1}$ ( $\bar{x}=4.30$ ); cell $M_{2} 0.86$ of cell $R_{2}$; subcosta intersects costa slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$. Dorsal scaling: inclined narrow spatulate scales on $R_{2}$ and $R_{i}$. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$. proximal 0.2 of $^{1} \mathrm{M}_{1,4}$ : linear plume scales on proximal 0.3 of $R_{1}$, proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}+5}$ : inclined narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.7 of $R_{1}$, distal 0.8 of $R_{3}$, distal 0.6 of
 two-thirds of pedicel, and ventral portion of capitellum whitish, distal 0.3 of pedicel and dorsal portion of capitellum dark. Legs: Apex of all femora with conspicuous patches of silver-white scales, dorsal surface of forelibia with a patch of silver-white scales at apex, occasionally absent. midtibia totally dark. anterior surface of hindtibia with few white scales at apex. Foreand midtarsomeres 1-4 with inconspicuous pale rings on joints, 5 palu: lindtarsomeres $1-4$ with conspicuous white rings on base and aper. 5 white. Ahdomen: Terga II-V1I dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales: tergum VIII with dark scales. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales; sternum VIII with sparse dark scales. Genitalia (Fig. 21): Lateral lobes of tergum IV each with 7, 8 setac; 8 clustered insular setac. Postgenital lobe short, trapezoidal, distal margin nearly straight, with 8-13 setae on either side of midlime.
Male. Tike female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal length about 1.82 mm . Palpomeres $1-4$ dark, 5 with a sinall patch of white scales on base of dorsal surface; maxillary palpus length about 2.78 mm , exceeding proboscis tip by length of apical 0.8 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with $8-10$ strong setac on outer apical area. Vertex: Narrow talcate scales dark in a small median dorsal area along coronal suture. Abdomen: Tergum II dark-scaled with basomedian and basolateral patches of white scales: terga III-VI dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales; lergum VII with basolateral patches of white scales; tergum VIII withont scales. Sterna II-VII dark with basal bands of white scales; sternum VIII with basolateral
patches of white scales. Genitalia (Fig. 21): Tergum IX lobes small, cone-shaped, widely separated, with few sparse fine setae Gonocoxite conical: inner margin moderately concave; lateral surface with sparse patch of short, slender setae at level of subapical lobe (lsp); tergomesal surface with sparse patch of short setae proximal to subapical lobe; proximal part of ventrolateral surface with scales; proximal division of subapical lobe with an apical infundibular and hyaline expansion, 2 robust, sinuous, apically hooked setac (setae $a$ and $b$ ) at apex, a subapical hyaline, broad, hooked-falciform seta and few short, slender, pointed setae from base to level of insertion of the hooked-falciform seta; distal division divided into 2 divergent arms, the proximal arm with 3 apical sctac, which include a strong apically hooked seta ( $h$ ), a moderately long foliform seta (l), and a shorter saberlike seta (s); distal arm with 5 apical setac, which include a long saberlike seta (s), 3 blunt, narrow, appressed setac ( $f$ ), and 1 pointed. narrow appressed seta ( $f$ ). Gonostylus slender. curved at midlength, subapical portion poorly enlarged on lateral view; ventral surface with crest extending from apical snout to enlarged subapical portion; apical snout tapered to a truncate apex: gonostylar claw shoit, leaflike. broadened apically. Lateral plate of phallosome with lateral and ventral processes, apical process absent, distal margin slightly concave; ventral process short, triangular, laterally curved: lateral process longer; beaklike. tapered, pointed at apex, dorsolaterally directed. Paraproct crown with 6-9 simple blades; 2-4 cercal setac. Tergum X large. somewhat rectangular in outline, rounded on apical margin.

Material examined. 40 o , 40 o $\mathrm{G}, 21$ \&, 3 icib. 3 ㅇG. Paratype: PANAMA, Canal Zone, Chilibre, Chagres River, 18 Jul 1972, Mosq. Mid. Amer., Sirivanakarn and Belkin det. 1980, $2 \delta, 1$ dG (FSP 110. E-7733. E-7734). Other specimens: BOLIVIA. Guajaramirim. Dec 1943. R. Gilmore coll., Sallum det. 1992. 1 б̌, 1 o $G$; PANAMA, Canal Zone. 1936, H. W. Komp coll., 1 f; TRINIDAD. Arena Forest, 1954, W. G. Downs coll., I f: VENEZUELA, Caripira, Jul 1937, P. J. Anduze coll., 1 \%: locality unknown, 1953. 1 oG; BRAZIL, Goias, Goiana County. May 1938, F Lane coll., 3 \&, 2 ठ̈. 2 סG; Para, Belem, Utinga, Jul 1966, A. Toda coll., $1 \delta .1$ oG. Sao Paulo. Cananeia County, Rio Taquari. 29 Jan 1980. Forattini et al. coll., Shannon trap supplemented with light. 1 o, I

Fig. 20. Mate genitalia. 1. (ulex (Mel) codecei. 2. Cx. (Mel.) akritos. 3. Cx. (Mel.) ikelos. Abbreviations same as Fig. 18. Scales in mun.


ठ G ; Itapitangui district, Itapoa Farm, 1 Feb 1982, 1 9; $20 \mathrm{Apr} \mathrm{1982.1} \mathrm{©} .\mathrm{I} \mathrm{\delta G;} \mathrm{Fotha}$ Larga Farm, 20 Aug 1985, CDC light trap. $1 \circ$; tguape County, Iguape-Bigua Road, 16 Nov 1982, CDC light trap baited with bird. 1 9; 6 Oct 1982, CDC light trap baited with small roclent, 1 o, 1 Qcib; Pariquera-Açu, Experimental Station. 8 Mar 1978, on human bait. $19,19 \mathrm{G}$; 7 Jan 1979, I o; 16 May 1979, I ס. 1 dG; 13 Feb 1980, 1 \&, 1 ¢G; 25 Jan 1979. Shannon trap, 1 of, 1 ot G; 22 Mar 1979, 1 of, 1 ठ G; 4 Apr 1979, 3 \& © , 3 \& G: $5 \mathrm{Apr} 1979,5$ o5, 5 ठ G : 16 Apr 1979, 1 ©, 1 EG; 19 Apr 1979, 23.2 бG; 6 Aug 1979, 1 i; 10 Dec 1979, 3 d., 3 む̇G; 4 Feb 1980, 1 ઠे, I ठ'G; 13 Jul 1978, CDC light trap baited with bird, 1 i, $19 \mathrm{cib} ; 4$ Dec 1978, 2 \%, 1 9cib: 25 Jan 1979.1 8. 1 \$G; 5 Mar 1979. 3 o, 3 ó Ġ: 8 Mar 1979, 1 ס. 1 סG; 16 Apr 1979, 1 \& , 1 ot G; 5 Apr 1979. I 5,1 бG; 20 Mar 1980, 1 б, 1 dG: 18 Oct 1979,
 1980. CDC light trap baited wilh bird. 1 \%: 17 Jan 1980, 1 ㅇ.1 9 G; 15 Jan 1981, 19.

Distribution (Fig. 3). Culex pedroi is widespread from Tabasco, Mcxico, to Corrientes. Argentina. including Brazil, Bolivia (new distribution), Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, French Guyana, Guatemala, Guyana, Mexico, Panama, Surinam, Tobago, Trinidad, aud Venczucla (Pccor et al. 1992).

Bionomics. Adults of Cx. pedroi were collected on human bait, in CDC light traps supplemented with birds or small rodents, in the canopy or at ground level of forests, from Shannon traps. Chamberlain traps, and other kinds of unspecificd traps, in tropical forest, forest edges, partially forested arcas, second-growth vegetation, swamp edges. and in human environments with intense agricultural activities. Adults were also found resting in holes in tree roots at swamp edges and animal holes in the forest fiom. Immature stages were taken from a wide variety of habitats that were heavily or partially shaded. They were taken from the edges and interior of swamps and in semipermanent or temporary sites. The water was clear, reddish, or turbid, stagnant or with slow current, sometimes with scum, without aquatic vegetation or with scarce to abundant emergent (aquatic grasses), submerged, or floating vegetation, and plant debris or mud at the botom (Heinemunu and Belkin 1977a, 1977c, 1978a. 1978b. 1978c. 1979: Heinemann et al. 1980; Clark-Giil and Darsie 1983: Forattini et al. 1991b).

Records from the literature have shown that Cx. pedroi is a potential ellzootic vector of eastem equinc encephalitis (LEE) virus in Brazil and Trinidad (Vasconcelos el al. 1991), as well as of VEE and other arboviruses (Galindo et al. 1966, Galindo and Srihongse 1967. Srihongse and Galindo 1967).

Discussion. Culex pedroi is similar to Cx. cpanastasis, Cx. adamesi, Cx. ribeirensis, and Cx. crybda in general adult morphology and in cibarial and genitalic features. It is easily separated from $C x$. adamesi, $C x$. ribeirensis, and $C x$. crobda by having conspicuous patches of silverwhite scales at the apex of all femora and hindtarsomeres $1-4$ with white rings at joints, 5 entirely white. The adult male differs from all these species in having a small patch of white scales on the base of palpomere 5 . The cibarial armature of $C x$. pedroi differs from $C x$. adamesi in possessing sinall spicules on the distal margin and dorsal surface of the cibarial bar, and from Cx. ribeirensis by having $12-15$ cibarial teeth. The cibarial armature of $C x$. crybda and $C x$. eponastasis was not examined. The male genitalia of Cx. pedroi are indistinguishable from those of Cx. cadamesi and Cx. ribeirensis. They differ from $C$. cntbda in having a sparse patch of fine selae on the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite proximal to the subapical lobe, and by the distal and proximal divisions of the subapical lobe longer and less robust than in $C_{x}$. crybda, and from Cx. eponastasis in having the lateral plate of the phallosome with a long, beaklike. tapered, and pointed lateral process, the ventral process long. triangular, and curved, and the distal margin of the lateral plate nearly straigh.

## Culex (Melanoconion) adamesi Sirivanakarn and Galindo, 1980

Culex (Mclanoconion) adamesi Sirivanakarn and Galindo, 1980:26 ( $\delta$, $9^{*}$ [habitus], $\mathbf{P}^{*}$, L*). Holotype $\%$ : "Empire Firing Range," Canal Zonc, Panama (NMNII).

## Pecor et al. 1992:9 ( $\delta^{\circ} \mathrm{G}^{*}$ ).

Female. Similar to $C x$ : spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with dark scales, with light golden scales on scutum; crect forked scales of vertex light golden on dorsal portion, dark posterolaterally. Ilead: Antennal lengh about 2.01 mm : pedicel of antenna dark,

Fig. 21. Culer (Mel.) pedroi. female and male genitalia and female cibarial armature. Abbrevations same as Figs. 18 and 19. Scales in min.

occasionally light cream on outer area and light brown on inner area; proboscis length 1.77-1.87 mm ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}-1.82 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Maxillary palpus length $0.31-0.36 \mathrm{~mm}$. about 0.20 of proboscis. Narrow falcate scales of vertex totally light golden or sometimes bronzy in a small median dorsal area along coronal sulure; erect forked seales light golden on dorsal portion, dark posterolaterally. Cibarium: Length about $161 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar smooth; 16 teeth: tooth length about $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : line of origin of teeth nol evident; hollow area of teeth small, restricted to base. Sensilla trichodea in linear series of 2,3 single setae on cacl side. Thorax: Integument light brown to dark brown. Scutum with dark brown scales, with reddish reflections and light golden scales on anterior promontory. acrostichal area, posteriorly on dorsocentral area. scutal angle, posteriorly on sculal forsa. and on supraalar, antealar, and preseutellar areas. Scutal setae dark brown with reddish or golden reffections: acrostichal setac abient. Scutellar scales similar to seutal scales. golden: median lobe with 6 large setae: lateral lobes each with 4 large setae. Postpronotum with scales similar to scutal scales; with 5--8 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light brown to dark brown, slighty lighter on anteromedian portion of mesepimeron. Pleural setae datk brown with golden rellections, upper mesepimeral sctae and less developed lower mesokalepisternal setae light goiden: 17-32 upper proepistenal, 5-9 prealar, 9-1 1 upper mesokatepisternal, 12-18 lower mesokatepisternal. 15-26 upper mesepimeral, and 1 lower mesepimeral. Pleura with a patch of white spatulate scales on upper comer aud a row of white spatulate scale, on lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum. Wing: Length $2.91-3.05 \mathrm{~mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=3.00$ ınm): cell $R, 3.38-4.40$ of $R_{1}$. ( $(\bar{x}=3.83$ ); cell $\mathbf{M}_{2} 0.82$ of cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$; subcosta intersects costa slightly proxinal to turcation of $\mathrm{R}_{i-3}$. Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on $\mathrm{M}_{1, \text {; }}$ inclined narrow spatulate scales on $\mathrm{R}_{\text {: }}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{7}$. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 02 of $R_{i}$ : linear plume scales on proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{4}$. ; inclined namrow spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. distal 0.8 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$, distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{4}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{M}_{3,4}$. Halter: Capitellum whitish with few dark scales on iuner margin. Legs: Anterior surface of forecoxa with a patch of dark scales and few white scales on the base. Antero- and pesteroventral surfaces of foretiochanter with dark scales, antero- and posteroventral surfaces of mid- and hindtrochanters
with whitish scales. Abdomen: Terga II, III. VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. occasionally becoming complete bnsal bands. terga IV.-VI with basal bands of white scales: tergum VIII with dark scales. Sterma 11VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales; sternum VIII with sparse dark scales and few white scales basolaterally. Gentalia: Tergum IX with 13-17 setae on each lateral lobe: 7 clustered insular setac. Postgenital lobe with 12-15 setac on cach side of midline.
Male. Like female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal length and maxillary palpus length no measured; maxillary palpus exceeding proboscis tip by length of apical 0.5 of palponiere 4 ; palpomere 3 with 5 strong setac on outer apical area. Abdomen: Fetga and sterna VII and VIII not examined. Genitalia: Indistinguishable from Cx. pedroi.
Material examined. 3 o. 3 oG. 6 \%. 1 qG, 1 Qcib. Paratypes: PANAMA. Bocas del Toro, Chiriqui Grande, Apr 1963. A. Quinoner coll., Sirivanakarn and Galindo det. 1980. Cacao forest. 1 ó, 1 s G; Punto de Pena. Chiriquicito. 1 d. 1 dG; Almiraute, Apr 1964. $19.19 \mathrm{G}, 1$ Qcib; Darien. Pucro, Tacarcuna liver Valley, 1963. Gorgas M. Lab. coll. 1 \& (FSP no. E-7729-E-7732). Other specimens: BRAZIL. Para State, Belem County, IPEAN, 22 Oct 1970, Aitken and Toda coll.. Sirivanakarn det. 1980, 1 ふ, 1 o' G; Mocambo, Oct 1985, Barata coll.. Sallum det. 1986. CDC light trap, 3 f. 1 qcib: COlombia, Boyaca. Puerto Boyaca. 25 Nov 1970. Mosq. Mid. Amer. coll.. Sirivanakarn det. 1980. 1 ㅇ.

Distribution (Fig. 4). Culex adanesi is known from Panama, Colombia, Ecuador. French Guyana. and northern Brazil (Sirivanakarn and Galindo 1980).

Bionomies. Adults of Cx. adamesi were collected in tropical rain forest. both in the canopy and at ground level, at forest edges, in partially forested areas will sccond-growth vegetation, swampy areas. and man-made environments with intense agricultural activities (banana crops). Adults were also collected in CDC light traps. Chamberlain and Shannon traps, and in other kinds of unspecified light traps (Heinemann and Belkin 1978a, 1978b, 1978c. 1979).
Immature stages were collected from temporary ground pools in forest. The water was clear, fresh, stagnant, with emergent (aquatic grasses) vegetation. and with plant debris (Heincmann and Belkin 1978a). Galindo (1969) collected im-

Fig. 22. Culer (Mat.) ،robda, male gemtalia. Sbbeviations same as Fig. 18. Scales in man.
Cx. (Mel.) crybda (Pedregal, Panama)



Fig. 23. Cu/ex (Mel.) eponastasis, male genitalia. Nbbreviations same as Fig. 18. Scales in mon.

## Cx.(Mel.) delpontei



Fig. 24. Cutex (het.) delponne, mate genitalia. Abbeviations same as Fig. 18, exeept lor, (Sic. cercal sclerite; Par, patamere, VIII-Te, tergum VIII. Scales in mm.


Fig. 25. Ciulex (Mel.) paracrvida. male genitalia. Abboviations same as Fig. 18. Scales in mm.


Fig. 26. Culcx (.Wel) pereyrui, male genitalia. Ablueviations sime at Higs. 18 and 24, Scales in mm.
mature stages of $C x$. adamesi from open swamp. in full sun with grasses and floating vegetation.
Discussion. Culer adamesi differs from (x. epanestasis and $C x$. pedroi in having the apex of all femora and bindtarsomeres $1-5$ dark: from Cx. crybda in having a patch of spatulate whate scales on the upper corner of the mesokatepisternum and patches of light golden scales on the scutum; from Cx. ribeirensis in having the nanow falcate scales of the vertex totally light golden (occasionally bronzy on a small area along coronal suture), the erect forked scales of the vertex golden in a median dotsal arca, dark brown posterolaterally, and the scutum with a more conspicuous pattern of light golden scales. The cibarial armature of Cx. adamesi differs from Cx. ribeirensis and Cx. pedoi in not possessing spicules on dorcal surface and distal margin of cobarial bar. The male genitalia of $C x$. udumest differ trom those of Cx. crydda in having a sparse patch of fine setae on the tergomedial surface of the gonocoxite, proximal to the subapical lobe, and the distal and proximal divisions of the subapical lobes less robust than in Cx. crybda. They differ from Cx. cpanastasis by having the lateral plate of the phallosome with a long. beaklike, tapered, and pointed lateral process, the ventral process long, triangular, and curved, with the distal margin of lateral plate nearly straigh.

## Culex (Melanoconion) crybda Dyar, 1924

Culex (Choeroporpa) cnvoda Dyar, 1924:184 ( $\delta$ ). Holotype $\delta$ : Atrato River, Murindo (Antioguia). Colombia (NMNH).
Dyar 1928:293 (syn. with Cr. taeniopus): Lane 1953:403 (ó; Venezucla): Stone 196i:46 (Trinidad): Belkin et al. 1965:9 (type loc. info.): Galindo I969:87 (syn. with Cx. epan(cstasis): Heinemann and Belkin 1978a:131 (Panama); Sirivanakarn and Belkin 1980:11 ( $\delta$; resurrect from syn.), Sitivanakarn 1983: 279 ( $7^{*}$ )
Female. Similar to Cx spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with blackish scales. Head: Antenna. proboscis, and maxillary palpus not measured. Vettex with narrow falcate scales. Cibarium: Not studied. Thorax: Pleural integument dark-brown. Scutum with browuish black scales with coppery reflections; scutal setae dark brown with golden or reddish reflec-
tions: actostichal setac absent. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales, dark; median lobe with 6 large setae: lateral lobes each with 4, 5 large setac. Postpronotum with scales similar to scutal wales; with 4 large setac on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light browin, slightly darker on postpronoum, procpistemum, postspiracular and prespiracular areas, prealar knob. upper comer and anterior portion of mesokatepisternum. and lower mesepimeron. Pleural setal dark brown with golden reflections, upper mesepimeral and the less developed lower mesokatepisterual setae gellowish: setae not counted. Pleura with a row of white sparulate scales on lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum. Wing: Length. cell $\mathrm{R}_{\text {, and }}$ vein $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, ratio. and cell $\mathrm{M}_{\text {: }}$ and cell R , ratio not measured; subcosta intersects costa slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{2-3}$. Dorsal scaling: inclined narrow spatulate scales on $R_{i}$ and $R_{i}$. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scale on proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {, }}$, proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{i}}$, linear plume scales on proximal 0.3 of $R_{1}$, proximal 0.4 of $R_{1,5}$; inclined narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, distal 0.8 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {i. }}$ distal 0.6 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{s}}$, distal 0.8 of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$. Hatter: Scabellum, basal two-thirds of pedicel. and ventral portion of capitellum whitish, clistal 0.3 of pedicel and dorsal portion of capitellun dark. Legs: Anterior surface of torecona with a patcin of datk scales and a tew white scales on basc. Abdomen: Terga II-VII darkscaled with basolateral patches of white scales; tergum VIII with dark scales. Sterna II--VII dark-scaled with basal bands of whate scales; sternum VIII with sparse dark scales. Genitalia: Lateral lobes of tergum IX each with $8-10$ setae; 11 clustered insular setae. Postgental lobe short, trapezoidal. distal margin nearly straight with 8 setae on cilher side of midline.
Male. Jike female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antenna and maxillary palpus not measured. Narrow falcate scales of vertex datk in a smal! median dorsal area along coronal suture. Abdomen: Tergum If dark: terga III-VI dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales (remaining lerga removed with genitalia). Genitalia (Fig. 22): Similar to $C x$. pedroi, differing as follows. Tergomesal surface of gonocoxite with a moderately developed patch of longer and sleuder setae proximal to subapical lobe: proximal and distal divisions of subapical lobe of gonocoxite shortel and more robust than in Cx. pedroi.

Matcrial examined. $2 \delta, 2 \delta \mathrm{G}, 1$ १, 1 甲 G.

Fig. 27. Calex (Mel.) vomerifer, female and male genitalia and female cibarial armature. Abbreviations same as Tigs. 18 and 19. Scales in man


Holorype: COLOMBJA. Murindo. 1924. L. H. Dund. Other specimems: PANAMA, Pedregal, 'locumen, 11 Nov 1963, Gorgas M. Lal). coll., Mosq. Mid. Amer. det. 1978 (as Cx. epanastasis), collected as larvae, $1 \delta, 1$ GG. 1 O. 1 OG.
Distribution (Fig. 4). According to Pecor et al. (1992), Cx. crybda is known from Brazil, Colombia. Panama, Trinidad, and Venezuela. However, literature records of Cx. opbda in Brazil should be reconsidered as the specimens identified by Lane (1953) helong to Cr. ribeirensis. Lane's (1953) tecord of Cx. crybda from Venezuela may refer to either Cx . crybda or Cx . pedroi.
Bionomics. Immature stages of Cx. crybda were colleeted from rodent burrows dug at the edge of forest swamps (Galindo 1969). They were also taken in heavy or partial shade in the following habitats: muddy water in tree holes 30 cm above the ground, edgen of lakes in forests. interior and edges of swamps, and holes in tree roots. The water was elear. brown, or turbid. with grassy, woody, submerged, and/or floating regetation. Adults were collected in CDC light traps in forest, pattial forest, second-growth yegctation, and in bush at edges of swamps (Heinemann and Belkin 1978a, 1978c: Heinemann et al. 1980).
Culex crybda is a potential vector of Bussuquara and Guama viruses (Galindo 1969).
Discussion. Culex crybda differs from Cx. epanastasis and Cx. pedroi in having the apex of all femora, foretibiae, and hindtarsomeres totally dark-scaled: from Cx. adanesi and Cx. ribeirensis in not having a patch of white spatulate scales on the upper corncr of the mesokatepisternum: from Cx: alamesi in having the ereet forked scales of the vertex totally dark and the scutum with dark scales. The male genitalia of Cx. crobda differ from those of Cr. adamesi, C. pedroi, and Ca. ribeirensis in having a moderately developed patch of long and slender setac on the tergomesal surtace of the gonocoxite proximal to the subupical lobe. and by the distal and proximal divisions of the subapical lobe of the gronocoxite more robust; from Cx. upanastasis in having the lateral plate of the phallosome with a long. beaklike. tapered, and pointed lateral process, the ventral process long, triangular, and curved, and the distal margin nearly straight.

## Culex (Melanoconion) epanastasis Dyar, 1922

Culex (Chocroporpa) epanastasis Dyar, 1922: $191\left(\delta^{*}\right)$. Holotype $\delta$ : Arenal River, Toro Point. Canal Zone. Pauama (NMNH).

Dyar 1928:296 ( $\delta^{*}$ ); Komp 1935:4 (syn. wilh (x. teeniopus): Galindo 1969:86 (resurrected from syn.); Heinemam and Belkin 1977b:453 (Nicaragua); Heincmamn and Belkin 1978b: 408 (French Guiana): Sirivanakarn and Belkin 1980:10 (tax.).

Culex (Melanoconion) pseudotachiopus Galindo and Blanton, 1954:240 ( $\mathrm{s}^{*}$. $\mathrm{P}^{*}$, L*). Holotype d: Mojinga Swamp, Canal Zonc. Panama (NMNH). Galindo 1969:88 (tax.); Sirivanakarn and Belkin 1980:11 (syn.).

Fernale. Not examined.
Male. Adult not examined. Genitatio (Fig. 23): Similar to ( $x$. spissipes, differing as follows. Tergum IX lobes small. cone-shaped, widely separated, with few slender setae. Gonocoxite conical; inner margin moderately concave, lateral surface with sparse patch of short, slender setac at level of subapical tobe (Isp); tergornesal surface with a patch of moderately developed setac proximal to subapical lobe. which extends to the proximal division of subapical lobe; proximal part of ventrolateral surface with scales; subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated; proximal division of subapical lobe with an apical infundibular and hyaline expunsion. 2 robust. sinuous. apically hooked setac (a and b) at apex, a subapical hooked-falciform seta and 1, 2 moderately developed, pointed setac on basal portion; distal division divided into 2 divergent, subegual arms. proximal arm short, with an apical hooked seta (h) and 2 subapical setal. which include a moderately long, saberlike seta ( $s$ ) and a long, narrow foliform seta (f); distal anm short, with a long saberlike seta and 4 subequal, narrow, ap)pressed setae ( $f$ ). Gonostylus slender. curved at midlength, subapical portion poorly cnlarged on lateral view; ventral surface with an ineonspicuous erest betore apical snout; apical snout tapered to a truncate apex; gonostylar claw short. leaflike bromdest apically. Lateral plate of platlosome with lateral and rentral processes, apical process absent. distal margin concave. ventral process large, upturned, curved hom, nearly

Fig. 28. Culex (.Mel.) portesi, female and male genitalia and female cibarial amatue. Aboreviations same as liges. 18 and 19 . Scales in mun.

pointed, lateral process shoter, tapered, pointed, dorsolaterally directed. Paraproct crown with 10 simple blades: 2, 3 cercal setac. Tergum X not examined.
Material examined. I óG. Holotype: PANAMA. Canal Zone. Toro Point. Arenal River, J. B. Shropshire coll., 19 Jul 1922.

Distribution (Fig. 4). Known from French Guiana, Nicaragua. and Panama (Pecor et al. 1992).

Bionomics. Immature stages of Cx. apanastasis were collected in a wide variety of habitats. They were taken in deep or partial shade in the following habitats: tree holes near swamps. lake margins in forest. swamp margins in partial forest. and in ponds in swamps. These babitats had scarce or abundant herbaceous or floating vegetation, and the bottom with mud and/or plant debris. The water was temporary or permanent, stagnant, fresh. turbid, palc amber, or muddy. Adults were collected resting in partially cleared forests, in torests, and with CDC light traps in dense second-growth vegetation near ponds, and in dense vegeration near edge of swamps. from 0.3 to 12 m above ground. The places were in deep or partial shade (Heinemann and Belkin 1977b. 1978a, 1978b).

Discussion. Culex epanastasis differs from Cx. crybda, Cx. adlamesi, and Cx. ribeirensis in having patches of silver-white scales at the apex of all femora. hindtarsomeres 1-4 uith white rings at joints, 5 entirely white-scaled; from $C$. adamesi and $C x$. ribeirensis in not having a patch of white scales on the upper corner of mesokatepisternum: from $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{a}}$ addamesi in having the erect forked scales of the vertex totally dark and the scutum covered only with dark brown scales. The adult male of $C x$. epanastasis differs from $C x$. pedroi in possessing conspicuous white rings on the base of palpomeres 2-5. The male genitalia of Cx. cpranastasis differ from those of the other species of the Pedroi Subgroup in having the distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite divided into 2 shorter and more robust arms, proximal arm of distal division with an apical hooked seta ( $h$ ) and 2 subapical setae that include a saberlike seta (s) and a narrow foliform seta ( $l$ ), distal arm of distal division with a long saberlike seta ( $s$ ) and 4 subequal, narrow. appressed setae ( $f$ ). lateral plate of the phallosome with a concave apical margin, a shorter and pointed lateral process. and an upturned, curved, hornlike, pointed ventral process.

## Culex (Melanoconion) ribeirensis Forattini and Sallum, 1985

Culex (Melunoconion) ribeirensis Forattini and Satlum, 1985:171 ( $\delta^{*}$, i $^{*}$, P** $^{*}, L^{*}$ ). Holotype \%: Experimental Station. Ribeira Valley, Par-iquera-Açu, Sato Paulo, Brazil (FSP).
Forattini et al. 1988:537 (type info.): Sirivanakarn and Jakob 1979:139 (as Cx. epanastasis): Lane 1953:403 ( 6 ; Brazil, as Cx. cryb$d d$ ).
Female. Similar to C $x$. spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with blackish scales with few patches of light golden scales on scutum. Head: Antennal length about 2.17 mm ; proboscis length $1.84-2.00 \mathrm{~mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=1.93 \mathrm{~mm})$; maxillary palpus length $0.33-0.39 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=$ 0.36 mm ), about 0.20 of proboseis length. Narrow faicale scales of vertex dark in a small median dorsal area along coronal suture, dingy white laterally. Ciharium (Figs. 15A, and 16A. 16B): I.ength about $187 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar with numerous spicules: 15-22 teeth; tooth length about $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : line ol origin of teeth not evident: hollow area of tceth small, restricted to base: cibarial dome with short, triangular, not sharply pointed denticles. Sensilla trichodea arranged in lincar series of 2-4 single setac on each side. Thorax (Figs. 13C and 14B): Integument light to dark brown. Scutum will brownish black scales with bronzy reflections and patches of light golden scales variable in position as follows: on anterior promontory and/or prescutal suture and/or antealar. supraalar, and prescutellar areas rarely with scales totally dark; scutal setae black with reddish reflections; acrostichal setac absent. Scutellar scales dark mixed with light golden scales; median lobe with 6 large setac: lateral lobes each with 4 large setac. Postpronotum with dark scales and occasionally with few light golden scales on ventral portion; with 5-8 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light brown to dark brown, darker on procpisternum, postspiracular and prespiracular areas, prealar knob. lower anterior portion of mesokatepisternum. and upper and lower portions of mesepimeron. Pleural setac dark brown with golden refiections: 15-21 upper proepisternal. 6-9 prealar, 7-11 upper mesokatepisternal, 11-14 lower mesokatepisternal, 15-21 upper mescepineral. and 1. 2 lower mescpimeral. Pleura with a patch of white spatulate scales on upper corner and on

Fig. 29. (iulex (.Mch.) sacchctote, female and male genitalia and female cibarial armature. Abbociations same as Figs. 18 and 19. Scales in mun.



- O.I-

lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum (Tig. 13C). Wing: Length $3.05-3.31 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=$ 3.18 mm ); cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 3.34-4.64$ of $\mathrm{R}_{2+},(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=4.17)$; cell $\mathrm{M}_{2} 0.83$ of cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ : subcosta intersects costa at the same level or slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, : Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal 0.6 of $\mathrm{M}_{2} \ldots$; linear plume scales on proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{M}_{1.2}$ inclined narrow spatulate scales on $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate ceales on proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{R}_{3}$, proximal 0.4 of $\mathbf{M}_{1-2}$ : linear plume scales on proximal 0.2 of $R_{1}$, proximal 0.3 of $R_{i, 6}$ inclined narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.8 of $R_{1}$, distal 0.8 of $R_{2}$, distal 0.7 of $R_{s}$, distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{6,3}$, distal 0.6 of $\mathrm{M}_{1+,}, \mathrm{M}_{3+2}$. Halter: Scabellum, basal two-thirds of pedicel and ventral portion of capitellum whitish. distal 0.3 of pedicel and dorsal portion of capitellum dark. Legs: Anterior surface of forecoxa with a patch of dark scales. a lew white scales at base. Abdomen: Tergurn II dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales, occasionally with a small anteromedian pateh of white scales; terga IXI-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales, often becoming narrow or large basal bands: tergun VIII dark-scaled with white scales laterally. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales: sternum VIII with sparse dark scales, a few white scales basolaterally. Genitatit: Lateral tobes of tergum IX each with 6-11 setac: 8 --10 clustered insular setac. Postgenital lobe with 7-10 setac on eilher side of midline.

Male. Like female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal length about 1.83 mm . Maxillary palpus length about 2.65 mm , cxceeding proboscis tip by length of apical 0.5 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with 1.5-21 strong setae on outer apical area. Abdomen: Tergum II dark-scaled with basomediarn and basolateral patches of white scales; terga III-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales. Genitalia: lndistinguishable from Cx. pedroi.

Material examined. 17 S. 17 \$G, 250,6 iG, 7 Qcib. Holorype: BRA7IL. Sao Paulo State, Pariquera-Acu County, Experimental Station, Apr 1984, Forattini and Casanova coll., Forattini and Sallum det. 1985, $1 \dddot{\mp}$ ( FSP no. E-6879). Paratypes: Jan, Mar 1979, Forattini et al. coll., 4 ó. 4 उG; Apr 1979. 2 ठ', 2 ठG: Cananeia County, Aciri. Mar 1979, I $\delta .1$ dG: Hupitangui district. Itapoa Farm. Mar 1982. Forattini and Natal coll.. I $\delta .1$ © G: Iguape County. Iguape-Bigua Road, Oct 1982, Forattini el al.
coll., 1 ó, 1 óG; Mar 1984. Forattini and Casanova coll., 2 : Dourado County, Jacare-Pepira River, Apr 1980, Forattini et al. coll., $2 \circ$, 1 Ocib: Araraquara County, Lupo Farm, May 1981, 1 9: Nov 1981, 1 Q. Other specimens: Iguape County, Palmeiras Farm, 18 Apr 1989, CDC light trap supplemented with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Sallum det. 1989, 6 ¢, 6 ¢cib; Pariquera-Açu Counts; Experimental Station, 8 Mar 1978. on human bait. 1 ㅇ: 22 Nug 1978. 1 ㅇ; 5 Dec 1978. 1 ¢. 1 96 (3; 14 Jan 1979, 1 ㅇ; 24 Jan 1979, 1 ㅇ. 1
 우; 21 Aug 1978, Shannon trap supplemented with light, $120 ; 19$ Mar 1979, 1 © , 1 óG; 18 Oct 1979, 1 s. 1 ©G: 26 Jun 1980. 2 ㅇ. 1 GG: 3 Oct 1977, 2 ©, 2 of 12 Dec 1977, 1 o. I sG: 3 Apr 1978, I of, 1 §G; 10 Dec 1979, 1 ©, 1 dG; 13 Dec 1979, 1 §. 18 G; 17 Jan 1980, CDC light trap, 1 \% , 1 \&G; 26 Jun 1980. CDC light trap baited with bird, 1 ○, 1 ¢G; Juquia County. Dec 1938, J. Lane coll., Lanc det. 1946 (as Cx: crbola) 4 ó, 4 ठG (FSP no. 62556258).

Distribution (Fig. 4). Culex ribeirensis is known from Sao Paulo and Rio de Janciro states, southeastern Brazil. Records of Cx. cybda from Rio de Janciro Srate (Lourenço-de-Oliveira 1984) refer to Cx. ribeirensis.

Bionomics. Imınature stages of Cx. riheirensis were found in sladed habitats on the ground. The water was fresh with abundant emergent (ayuatic grasses) vegetation.

Culex ribeirensis appears to be a nocturnal species that exhibits an activity pattern that starts with a great peak at sunset and ends with a less pronounced peak at dawn. The greatest peak of activity has been observed in the hot, tainy season, from October to March. This species has shown a great tendency to become adapted to man-made enviromments with intense human activities, and it exhibits some degree of endophily and anthropophily. The presence of domestic animals in a peridomiciliary environment seems to attract fernales of this species. which may also suggest some tendency to domiciliation (Lou-renço-de-Oliveira and Silva 1985: I.ourenço-deOliveira and Heyden 1986; Foratini and Gomes 1988: Forattini et al. 1987a. 1989b. [991b).

Discussion. Culex ribeirensis differs from $C x$. pedroi and Cx. epanastasis in having the apex of all temora, foretibiae, and hindtarsomeres dark-scaled; from Ca. crshda in possessing a patch of white spatulate scales on the upper corner of the mesokatepisternum. and the scutum

Fig. 30. C'uler (Mel.) ocosa, temale and male genitalia and temate cibarial armature. Abbreviatoons same as Figs. 18 and I9. Scates in mm.





Fig. 31. ('ulex (hel.) ponoccossa, male genitalia. Abbres iations same as Fig. 18. Scales in mm.


Fig. 32. Culex (hel) venezuelensis, male genutalia. Abbreviations same as $\Gamma$ ig. I8. Scalen in mm.


Fig. 33. Culex (Mel.) jubifer; male genitalia. Abbreviations same as Fig. 18. Scales in mum.

## Cx.(Mel.) lopesi


$F_{u}-H$ -
$\qquad$ 0.1 $\qquad$
Fig. 34. (culex (Mef.) lopesi, male genitalia. Abbreviations same as Fige. 18 and 24. Suales in inm.
frequently with patches of light golden scales; from Cx. adamesi in having the erect forked scales of the vertex totally dark, the narrow falcate scales of the vertex dark in a small median dorsal area along the coronal suture and dingy white laterally. the scutum with scales totally dark, or frequently with patches of light golden scales that are less developed than in Cix. adamesi. the cibarial bar with numerous spicules on the distal margin and on the dorsal surface; and from C.x. pedroi in having nore than 15 cibatial teeth. The male genitalia of Cx. ribeirensis cannot be distinguished from those of Cx. adamesi and $C x$. pedroi, but differ from Cx. crybda in possessing a longer and less robust distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite and a less well developed patch of setac on the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite proximal to the subapical lobe; from Cx. epanastasis in having the lateral plate of the phallosome with a long beaklike, tapered. and pointed lateral process, ventral process long, triangular, Jaterally curved, and distal margin nearly straight.

## Paracrybda Subgroup

The Paracrybda Subgroup is similar to the Pedroi and Pereyrai subgroups in gencral morphology of the male genitalia. It differs from the Pedroi Subgroup in having thin. laminar cibarial teeth, cach looth with an anterior thin sagital plate and a posterior transverse plate, bozenge or roughly hexagonal in outline. hollow area of teeth absent. and cibarial dome wilh long, bladelike. triangular, pointed denticles: from the Pereyrai Subgroup in possessing the tarsomeres totally dark or with inconspicuous pale rings on tarsomeres $1-4$, with tarsomere 5 pale. The male genitalia of the Paracrybda Subgroup differ from those of the Pedroi and Pereyrai subgroups in having the lateral plate of the phallosome elongate in lateral view, without lateral and ventral processes, apical process present. elongate, hooked, or nearly straight, tergun IX lobe moundlike. somewhat columnar. distally rounded: from those of the Pedroi Subgroup in possessing the distal division of the subapical lobe divided into 2 elongate, unequal arms and proximal division of the subapical lobe with a patch of slender, curved setae basal to the subapical hooked-falciform seta.

## Culex (Melanoconion) paracrybda Komp, 1936

Culex (Melanoconion) paracrybta Komp. 1936:
 (NMNH).
Rozeboom and Komp 1950:94 ( $0^{\circ}$ ); Galindo 1969:87 (tax.): Duret 1969:10 ( $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ ); Panday 1975b:298 (Surinam); Sirivanakarn [983:279 ( $\delta^{\circ}$ ); Clark-Gil and Darsic 1983:255 (Guatemala).
Female. Not examined.
Male. Adult not examined. Gcnitalia (Fig. 25): Similar to Cx. spissipes. but differing as follows. Tergum IX lohe small, moundilike, nearly columnar-shaped. widely separated. with long and slender setae distally. Gonocoxite conical, inner margin moderately concave; lergomesal surface without setac or with I seta proximal to subapical lobe; lateral surface with ,patse patch of short. slender setae (lsp); proximal part of ventrolateral surface without sceales (with minute tubercles that may be scale insertions): subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated; proximal division with an apical intundibular and hyaline expansion. partially covering the basal portion of seta $b, 2$ long, sinuous. apically hooked setae (setac $a$ and $b$ ) at apex, seta $b$ more robust than seta $a$, a hyaline, broad, hookedfalciform subapical seta and 8,9 moderately long, siender, and curved setae from base to level of insertion of broad, hooked-falciform seta: distal division divided into 2 well-separated. subequal arms (proximal and distal arms), proximal arm not strongly enlarged with an apical and 2 subapical setac, apical seta long. robust, hooked at apex ( $h$ ), subapical setae inelude a moderately long, nearly saberlike seta $(s)$ with a spoonlike apex and a shorter, foliform seta ( $l$ ), both inserted on tubercles near middle of arm, distal arm more slender than proximal arin. cylindric, with an apical and 4 subapial setac, apical seta a wide. asymmetrical foliform seta ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ). subapical setae include 3 narrow, appressed. asymmetrical, foliform setae ( $f$ ) and a more basal, slender, nearly saberlike seta (s). Gonostylus slender, curved. moderately namowed distally; crest wrinkled on ventral surface before apical snout: apical shout short, upturned at apex; gonostylar claw short, leaflike, broadened apically. Lateral plate of phallosome long, columuarshaped. apical proccss blunt, slightly hooked.

Hig. 35. Calea (Mel.) fanam, female and male genitalia and femake cibarial atmature. Abbrevations same an Figs. 18 and 19. Scales in mun.


dark-scaled wilh few white scales on basolateral areas. Sterna II-IV white-scaled; sterna V, VI usually with white scales, occasionally. with dark scales apically: sternum VII usually with dark scales apically, rarely totally white. Genitalia: Lateral lobes of tergum IX each with $6-$ 11 slender setac; 7, 8 clustered insular setae. Postgenital lobe short. distally rounded. with 713 setae on either side of midline.
Male. Like temale except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal length about 1.78 mm: maxillary palpus length about 2.52 mom, exceeding proboscis from about apical 0.5 of palpomere 4: palponere 3 with 8, 9 strong setae on outer apical arca. Abdomen: Tergum II dark-scaled; terga III-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales: tergum VIII with few scattered white seales. Sterna mostly white-scaled, sterna $V$ VII with few dark scales distally; sternum VIII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white seales. Genitalia (Fig. 24): Tergurn IX lobe small. moundilike, nearly co-lumnar-shaped, widely scparate, with long and slender setac on distal 0.5. Gonocoxite conical, inner margin moderately concave; tergomesal surface without seta proximal to subapical lobe; lateral surface with sparse patch of short, slender setae (lsp); proximal part of ventrolateral surface with scales: subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated: proximal division with an apical infundibular and hyaline expansion, 2 long, enlarged, sinuous, apically hooked setac (seta $a$ and $b$ ) at apex. a hyaline, broad, hookedfalciform subapical seta and 5-11 long, slender, and curved setae from base to level of insertion of hooked-talciform seta: distal division divided ino 2 approximated, unequal arms (proximal and distal arms), proximal arm robust, distally enlarged with a long. hooked apical seta ( $h$ ). a moderately long saberike seta (s), and a shorter foliform seta ( $I$ ), both inserted on prominent tubercles near middle of arm; distal anm slender. cylindric with a stiff, short, nearly saberlike seta (s) and 2 narrow and 2 slightly wider appressed setac ( $/$ ). Gonostylus slender, curved, moderately narowed distally, crest slightly wrinkled on ventral surface before apical snout: gonostylar claw short. leaflike, broadest apically, Lateral plate of phaliosome long. columnar-shaped. apical process blunt and rounded at apex, lateral and ventral processes absent, dorsal process separated from margin of adedagal sclente by distinct angle. Paraproct ctown with 6 single blades: 2, 3 cercal setac. Tergum $X$ large. nearly rectangular in oulline.
Material examined. $38 \cong, 16 \leqslant, 3$ ©G, 3 of cib, 2 qG. BRAZil. Sao Paulo State, Iguape County, Iguape-Bigua Road, Oct 1982, Foratini et al. coll., Foratimi and Sallum det. 1988.13

8, 2 ¢G; Palmeiras Farm. 18 Apr 1989, Sallum det. 1989, CDC light trap supplemented with CO, 3 \&, 39 cib; 5 Sep 1989, Gomes coll., Sallum det. 1990. 4 8, 4 9; 24 Oct 1989, 3 \%, 1 d; 7 Nov 1989, 5 d. 5 ㅇ: 23 Jan 1990, 1 ©. Valo Grande Ditch, 24 Oct 1989. collected as larvae, 2 d. 3 o; Pariquera-Açu County, Sao Paulo Avenue, urban area, No\ 1979. Forattini et al. coll., Forattini and Sallum det. 1988. New Jersey light trap, urban area, $1 \delta, I \delta G$; Experimental Station, Nov 1981. CDC light trap. 19: Dec 1981, 2 ㅇ: Jan 1982, 2 ㅇ; Fcb 1982. 1 우: 28 Apr 1992, Forattini ct al. der. 18 ; PariqueraMirim district, May 1985, Forattini and Sallum det. 1988, 1 t. 1 бG; Jul 1985, 1 б́, 1 óG; Sao Joao da Boa Vista County, Santa Helena Farm. Nov 1981. New Jersey light trap. $1 \%$.

Bionomics. lmmature stages of Cx. delpontei were collected from riversides with abundant floating (Pistia), submerged, and emergent (aquatic grasses) vegetation and scarce green algae. The breeding sites were permanent and situated in primary rain forcst and second-growth vegetation. The water was always fresh, clear or turbid, with slow current and in full sun. The water temperature was around $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH around 5.5.

In Argentina. adults of Ca. delpontei were collected with chicken and hamster baits and one specimen from a horse. Blood meal identification from engorged females showed that one specimen fed on an amphibian. a few others on several mammal species (mainly rodents), and 2 on avian and mammal species (Mitchell et al. 1987a, 1987b).

Several virus strains were isolated from $C x$. delpontei in northern Argentina. Venecuelan equine encephalitis virus subtype VI and several Buryavindae were isolated from specimens collected in Chaco and Santa Fe provinces, showing that this species is a possible vector in enzootic patterns of these viruses. In addition, the great number of virus isolates ( 18 of 40 in Chaco and 5 of 6 in Santa Fe) suggested the possibility of transovarial transmission (Mitchcll et al. 1985, 1987b).
Discussion. Culex delpontei differs from Cx . paracrybda in having tarsomeres dark-scaled: pleural integument evenly yellowish and pleural setac light golden. The male genitalia of C.r. delpontei differ trom those of Cr . paracrybda in having the lateral plate of the phallosome with a blunt. nearly straight, apically rounded apical process; distal and proximal arms of distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite approximated, distinctly unequal, proximal arm robust, apically enlarged, distal amm wilh 2 narrower and 2 wider, appressed setae (f) and a stiff, nearly saherlike seta (s); proximal division
of subapical lobe with a patch of moderately long, curved setae on basal portion and tergum IX lobe bearing long and slender setac on distal half.

## Pereyrai Subgroup

The Pereyrai Subgroup is similar to the Paracrybda and Pedroi subgroups in gencral male genitalia morphology, but it seems to share more similaritics with the Paracrybda Subgroup, especially in the shape of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite and tergum IX lobes, and in the female cibarial armature.

Ihe Pereyrai Subgroup differ, from the Pedroi Subgroup in having the cibarial tooth with 2 distinet parts, an anterior part that is a thin. sagittal plate and a posterior pant that is a transverse plate, lozenge or hexagonal in outline, and in having the plearal integument yellowith with a pattern of dark spots; trom the Paracrybda Subgroup in having conspicuons white lings on hindtarsomeres $1.4,5$ totally white. The male genitalia of the Pereyrai Subgroup differ from those of the Pedroi and Paracrybda subgroups in possessing apical. lateral, and ventral processes on the lateral plate of the phallosome, a spatiolate, folifom seta ( $l$ ) inserted more basally on the proximal arm of the disal division of the subapical lobe and the lergum IX lobe slightly globose, club-shaped, bearing long and slender setae; from those of the Pedroi Subgroup in having the distal division of the subapical lobe divided into 2 unequal arms: from those of the Paracrybda Subgroup in having the lateral plate of the phallosome with a small. broad, distally rounded apical process. the distal arm of the distal division of the subapical lobe with a narrow. spatulate seta at apex ( $/$ ) 3 narrow, appressed setae ( $f$ ) on subapicat portion and a narrow. nearly saberlike seta (s) near middle of the arm.

## Culex (Melanoconion) pereyrai Duret, 1967

Cutex (Meknoconion) peresrai Duret, 1967:81 ( $0^{*}$ ). Holotype ó: Cecilio Bač. Caaguazu. Paraguay (NMNH).

Galindo 1969:88 (tax.); Forattini and Sallum 1989b:478 ( $s^{*}, \varsigma^{*}$; Bracil): Harbach et al. 1991:194 (type info.).
Female. Similar to Cx. spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with dark brown scales, hindtarsomeres $1-4$ with white rings on joints, 5 white-scaled. Ilead: Antennal length about 2.10 mm . proboscis length $1.63-$ 1.81 mm ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=1.73 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); maxillary palpus
length $0.27-0.37 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=0.31 \mathrm{mmi})$, about 0.20 length of proboscis. Narrow falcate scales of vertex dark in a small median dorsal aren. whitish laterally. Cibarium (Figs. 17A. 17B): Length about $176 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; dorsal surface of cibarial bar smooth. posterior margin with irregular sclerorized folds: about $27-30$ thin, laminar teetl: tooll length aboul $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; tooth with 2 distinct parts. anterior and posterior anterior part a thon sagittal plate. posterior part a transverse plate. lozenge or hexagonal in outline, both parts with minute spicules at margins: line of origin of teeth not evident; hollow area of teeth absent. Sensilla trichodea a lincar series of 2-4 single seta on each side. Thorax: Scutal integument brown, scutal scales mostly dark biown with bronzy sheen. light golden scales on prescutellar area: scutal setae brownish black with reddish reflections; acrostichal setac absent. Scutellar seates light golden on lateral lobes. lotally dark brown or mixed with light golden scales on median lobe, median Jobe with 6 large setac. lateral lobes each with 4 large setac. Postpronotum with scater similar to scutal scales, totally dark brown: posterodorsal margin with 3-5 dark setac. Pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on procpisternum. postspiracular area, prealar knob. anterior surface of mesokatepisternum, and indistinctly darker on upper corner of mesokatepisternum. Pleural setae yellow with golden reflections, dark on prealar knob: 6-I upper prospisternal, 5. 6 prealar. 5-8 upper mosokatepisternal, 7 - 10 lower mesokatepisternal. 4-8 upper mesepimeral, and 1 lower mesepimeral. Pleura with small patch of pale spatulate scales on lower posterior border of mesokat episternum. Wing: Length $2.80-3.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\bar{x}=$ $2.96 \mathrm{~mm})$; well $R, 3.99-4.87$ of vein $R_{--:}(\bar{x}=$ 4.40 ); cell M about 0.84 of cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ : subcosta intersects costa at level of furcation of $R_{2}$, . Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{M}_{1_{+}}$i linear plume scales on proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{M}_{1,2} \therefore$ remigium with 2,3 distal betae. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ proximal 0.3 ol $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ : lincar plume seales on proximal 0.5 of $R_{1}$, proximal 0.5 of $R_{4} .5$ : inclined narron spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ - distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {, }}$ distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{4}$. . Halter: Capitellum whitish. Leegs: Anterior surlace of forecoxa with patch of dark scales. anterior surface of mid- and hindeoxae with vertical line of colorless scales. Antero- and posteroventral surfaces of forctrochanter with dark scales, antero- and posteroventral surface of mid- and hindtrochanters white-scaled. Posterior surface of all tibiae and fore- and midtarsomeres 1 and 2 with indefinite longitudinal stripe of dingy pale scates, fore- and midtarsomeres $2-$ 4 with indefinite pale rings on joints. fore- and
midtarsomeres 5 paler, hindtarsomeres 1-4 with distinct white ring on base and apex, 5 entirely white. Abdomen: Terga II-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales, occasionally appearing as narrow basal band on terga [V-VI, bands more evident on terga IV and V ; tergum VIll dark-scaled with few white scales on basolateral areas. Sterna II--VLI with broad basal white bands, sternum II sometimes entirely white-scaled; stemum VIII with lateral patches of white scales, occasionally mixed with some dark scales. Genitalia: Lateral lobes of tergum IX cach with 7-10 slender setae; 7-9 clustered insular seta. Postgenital lobe short, somewhat trapezoidal in outlinc, apical margin nearly straight, with $10-17$ setae on either side of midline.

Male. Iike female except for the following sexual differences. /lead: Antennal length about 1.77 mm ; maxillary palpus length about 2.62 mm . exceeding proboscis from about apical 0.9 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with 6-8 strong setae on onter apical arca. Abdomen: Tergum II clark-scaled or with small basolateral patches of white scales; terga III-VI with basal bands of white scales, tergum VII dark-scaled with bat solateral patches of white scales: tergum VIII with few white scales on basolateral areas. Sternum II with white scales mixed wilh dark scales: sterna III-V1ll with basal white bands. Genitalia (Fig. 26): 'Iergum IX lobes small, slightly globose, club-shaped, widely separated, bearing long and slender setac. Gonocoxite conical. inner margin moderately concave. tergomesal surface without setac or with $1-3$ small setae proximal to subapical lobe; proximnt part of ventrolateral surface will 1, 2 scales; subapical lobe distinctly divided, divisions approximated: proximal division with an apical infundihular and hyaline expansion, 2 long, enlarged, sinuous, apically hooked setae (sctac $a$ and $b$ ) at apex, a hyaline, broad. hooked-falciform seta beyond middle and 9-14 short. slender, and curved sctac from base to level of insertion of the hooked-falciform seta: distal division divided into 2 well-scparated arms. proximal arm robust. bearing a long hooked seta ( $h$ ) at apex, a subapical saberlike seta ( $s$ ), and a shorter, spatulate, foliform seta ( $l$ ) inserted on prominemt tubercle ncar middle of arm: distal arm slender, cylindric, bearing a stiff. short, ncarly saberlike seta ( $s$ ) inserted near middle of arm, 3 narow. appressed setae $(f)$ on distal 0.3 of arm, and a longer, narrow. spatulate seta ( $f$ ) inserted at apex of arm. Gonostylus slender. curved, moderately narrowed distally, crest slightly wrinkled on ventral surface before apical snout: gonostylar claw short. leallike, apically broadened. Lateral plate of phallosome will apical. lateral. and ventral
processes; apical process shorl, broad at base, apically rounded; ventral process curved laterally, lateral process slender, nearly pointed, dorsolaterally directed. dorsal process sepatated from acdcagal sclerite margin by distinct angle. Pataproct crown with row of 5-7 shon simple blades; 3,4 small cercal setae. Tergum X large, nearly rectangular in outline.
Material examined. $25 \delta, 30 \%, 18 \delta \mathrm{G}, 9$ ¢cib. 4 ¢G. BRAZIL, Sao Paulo State, Iguape County, Iguape-Bigua Road, Oct 1982. Foratini et al. coll.. Forattini and Sallum det. 1988, 7 i, 4 ¢G, 4 \%cib; Nov 1982, 1 \%; urban area. Oct 1976. 1 \&, 1 d G; Palmeiras Farm, 22 Aug 1989, Gomes coll.. Sallum det. 1990, 13,1 if; 5 Sep
 1990, 1 5, 2 \&, 1 ¢cib: 19 Jun 1989, Foratini et al. coll., Sallum det. 1989, $1 \bigcirc, 1$ ¢cib: Valo Grande Ditch. 24 Oct 1989, Gomes et al. coll., Sallum det. 1990, I ㅇ: Paricucra-Açu County, Experimental Station. Feb 1979, Foratini et al. coll., Foraltini and Sallum det. 1988, I $9:$ Apr 1980. 1 9; Aug 1980, I ¢; Nov 1980, 2 3, 2 oG. 1 f: Dec 1980, 1 f: Jan 1981. I 9: Feb 1981, 5 \%, 5 ठG; Mar 1981. 4 d, 4 ठG; Apr 1981, 1 ठ, 1 ㅇ, 1 © G; Jun 1981, 1 \%; May 1984, 1 3. 1 ó G; Jul 1984. 3 o, 3 סG; 2 May 1992, Forattini et al. det. 1992, 1 §; 30 Nov 1989. Gomes coll., Sallum det. 1989, 3 O, 3 Qcib; Pariquera-Mirim district, Jan 1985, Forattini et al. coll., Foratini and Sallum det. 1988 , $1 \delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}$.
Distribution (Fig. 5). Known from the type locality (Paraguay, Caaguazu. Cecilio Bacz) and localitics in the Ribeira Valley, Sao Paulo State, southern Brazil.
Bionomics. Little data are available about the habits of the adults and immature stages. Adults were collected in patches of residual forest in modified rural areas and a few specimens were collected near houses in CDOC light traps and on human bait (Foratini et al. 1991b).
lmmature stages were colfected in tull sun or partial shade, from river edges and small lakes. The water was fresh, unpolluted, turbid or clear, stagnant or with a slow current, with scarce or abundant emergent (aquatic grasses), submerged and lloating (Pistia) vegetation. The breeding sites were situated in primary rain forest or in second-growth vegetation.

Discussion. Culex pereyrai differs from $C x$. delpontei and Cx: paracrybda in having conspicuous white rings on the base and apex of hindtarsomeres $1-4,5$ totally white: from $C x$. parucrybda in having the pleural integument yellowish with a pattern of dark spots; from Cx. delponte in possersing the pleural integument with dark spots on the proepisternum, postspiracular area, prealar knob, and anterior surface
of the mesokatepisternum. and an indistinctly diark spot on the upper corner of the mesokatepisternum. The male genitalia of Cג. pereyrai differ from those of Cx. delpontei and Cx. pararrybda in having the lateral plate of the phallosome uith apical, ventral, and lateral processes, the apical process short. hroad, and rounded on the apical margin. tergum IX lobe small. slightly globose. club-shaped with long and slender setae, basal seta (f) of the proximal arm of the distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite nearly foliform in shape, spatulate at anex: from those of Cx. detpontei in having the proximal and distal armes of the distal division of the subapical lobe well separated, in possessing on the distal arm of the distal division of the subapical lobe an apical, narrow, spatulate seta ( $f$ ) .3 subapical narrow appressed setae (f), and a submedian short saberlike, stiff seta (s), proxinal arm of the distal division not strongly enlarged, proxtmal division of the subapical lobe with a patch of short, curved setac basal to hooked-falcitom seta: and from those of C. r . paracrobda in having a narrow, elongate. spatulate scta ( $f$ ) at the apex of the distal arom of the distal division of the subapical lobe.

## Vomerifer Group

The Vomeriter Group includes Culex womerifor Komp. Ca. portesi, and Culex sacchentae Sirivamakarn and Jakob. It was initially proposed by Sirivanakarn (1983) at a subgroup of the Tacniopus Giroup. According to that author, the Tacniopus Group could be distinguished from the other groups of the Spissiper Section by hasing the forked scales of the verlex entincly dark, absence of acrostichal setae. abdominal terga with basolateral patches of pale scales, wing length exceding 3.0 mm , and fonale cibarial tooth large, columnar with a hollow area on basal portion. Sirivanakarn (1983) recognized 3 subgroups in the Taeniopus Group: the Pedroi. Vomerifer, and Taeniopus subgroups. However, examination of genemal morphology of the male genitatia of the species of these subgroups has led us to propose a new taxomomic interpretation and the recogninon of new groups. As a resule, the Vomeriter and Tacniopus subgroups of Sirivanakarn (1983) are here considered as groups and the Pedroi Sulgroup as a subgroup of the Crybda Group. which also includes the Paraci ybda and Pereswai subgroups.

The Vomerifer Group can be casily recognised by having acroutachal setae on the anterior and posterios potion of the acrostichal area, scutal and seutellar scales totally dark. plemal integument yellowish with dark spos, and the upper corner of the mesokatepisternum without
a patch of scales. The male genitalia of the Vonerifer Group are easily identified by the absence of seales on the proximal part of the sentrolateral surlace of the gonocosite. lateral plate of the phaliosome without the apical process, the ventral and lateral processes present. ventral process short, laterally curved, lateral process long. nearly pointed, dorsolaterally directed. distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite unique columar: bearing a wide, asymmetrical foliform seta (l). gonostylus with a hyaline, rriangular expansion ncar middle of ventral side. and tergun IX lobes small. apically rounded. and widely separated.

## Culex (Melanoconion) vomerifer Komp, 1932

Culex (Velanoconion) vomerifer Komp. 1932: $79\left(0^{\circ}\right)$. Holotype $0^{*}:$ Almirante. [Bocas del Torol. Panama (NMNH).

Rozeboom and Komp 1950:97 ( $\delta^{\circ}$ ): Stone 1961:47 (Trinidad); Forattini 196.5:185 (5*): Aitken and (ialindo 1966:202 (tax.; French Guiana); Floch and Kramer 1965:1 (tax.); Galindo 1969:87 (tax.): Barreto-Reses and Lee 1969:4.31 (Colombia): Heinemann and Belkin 1978b:394 (Vencrucla); Heinemann and Belkin 1979:89, 108 (Brazil: Ecuador); Sirivanakarn and Jakob 198la:194 ( $5^{\circ}$ ); Sirivanakarn 1983:278 ( $0^{*}$ ); Foratini and Sallum 1992:74 ( $\mathrm{Ecib}^{-}$).
Female. Similar to Cx. spisvipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly cosered with dark scales: pleural integument sellowish will dark upots on posipronotum. proepisternum. posispiracular area, prealar knob, anterior surface of mesokatepisternum, and upper and lower portions of mesepimeron; with a patch of small, light golden setac on midde area of mesepimeron. Head: Antennal lenglt about 1.89 num; proboscis length $1.42-1.61 \mathrm{~mm}(x=1.55 \mathrm{~mm})$; maxillary palpus length $0.27-0.33 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=$ $0.2^{9} \mathrm{~mm}$ ), about 0.20 of length of proboscis. Vertex with narrow falcate scales dark on a small median dorsal area along coronal sutue. dingy white laterally and on ocular line. Cibarium (Fig. 27): Length about $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar with minute spicules: about 18-20 teeth; tooth length about $11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; line of origin of teeth not evidem: hollow area someu hat triangular in outline, more or less restricted to base. Sensilla trichoden arranged in linear series of 24 single setae. Thorax (Figs. 13F and 14A). Scuturu with dat brown to blackish scales with coppery reflections: aerostichal setae on anterior promontory
and on posterior portion of acrostichal area. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales: median lobe with 6 large selae. Jateral lobes each with 3, 4 large setac. Postpronotum with scales similar to scutal scales; with 3. 4 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum, proepisternum, postspiracular area, prealar knob, anterior surface of mesokatepisternum, and upper and lower portions of mesepimeron. Pleural setac dark brown with reddish or golden reflections, upper mesepimeral and shorter lower mesokatepisternal setac light aoklen: 13 upper proepisternal. 6. 7 prealar: 9,10 upper mesokatepisternal, 9-12 lower mesokatepisternal, 9-10 upper mesepimeral, and 1 lower mesepimeral: middle area of mesepimeron with a sparse patch of small light golden setac (Fig. 13F). Pleura with a rou of broad, spatulate, nearly colorless scales on lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum. Wing: Length 2.40 -2.54 mm ( $\bar{x}=2.47$ $\mathrm{mm})$; cell $R_{2} 3.34-3.58$ of vein $\mathrm{R}_{2-1}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=3.46)$ : cell M , of 0.80 of cell R : subcosta intersect, costa slightly proximal to furcation of $R_{21}$, Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal $0.8 \mathrm{M}_{1} .2$ inclined narrow spatulate seales on proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{1-:}$ remigium with !, 2 distal setac. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 0.4 of R , proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{3}$, proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{\text {; }}$ : lincar plume scales on proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{4}, 5$; inclined narrow spatulare scales on distal 0.7 of $R_{1}, R_{2}$. $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{1} \ldots$ distal 0.8 of $\mathrm{M}_{3-4}$. Legs: Anterior surface of forecoxa with patch or dark scales and a few whitish scales at base. mideoxa with vertical line of nearly colorless scales, hindcoxa without scales. Antero- and posterovental surfaces of trochanters with whitish scales. Abdomen: 「erga II-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales; tergum VIII with dark scales. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled widn basal bands of white seales; stemum VIII with dark scales. Genitalia (Fig. 27): Lateral lobes of tergum IX each with 5-8 setae: 8 clustered insula setae. Postgenital lobe shorl. broad, nearly trapezoidal on posterion portion. distal margin nearly straight, with 10,11 setac on either side of midline.

Male. Adult not examined. Genitalia (Fig. 27): Tergum IX lobe small. cone-slaped. widely separaled, with few sparse fine setae. Gonocoxite conical, inner margin slightly concave, tergomesal surface withour seta proxirnal to subapical lobe: lateral surface with sparse patch of short. slender setac (lsp) at level of subapical lobe: proximal diviston of subapical lobe of gonocoxite with 2 long, apically hooked sctac (setae $a$ and $b$ ) at apex, seta $a$ less robust than seta $b$. and 2 small, slender, pointed setac on basal por-
tion of division; distal division columnar with 8 apical setae, which include a long, apically hooked seta ( $h$ ) a short and a moderately long saberlike setac ( $s$ ), 3 subequal, narrow, appressed setae $(f)$, a short, slender, pointed seta $(f)$. and a wide, asymmetrical. foliform seta ( $/$ ). this seta more pigntented on inner portion and hyaline and striate on outer portion. Gonostylus slender. curved, moderately enlarged distally, with minute spicules on proximal half of mesal side. a characteristic, hyaline, triangular expansion on ventral side basal to subapical crest: subapical erest spiculate. evident from hyaline expansion to apical snout; gonostylar claw short. leatlike, broadest apically; lateral plate of phallowome without apical process, distal margin slighty concave, ventral and lateral processes present, ventral process moderately long. blunt. laterally curved with a triangular expansion laterally directed; lateral process longer, tapered. pointed at apex, dorsolaterally directed; basal piece damaged (not examined); paraproct crown with 6 simple blades; 3 cercal setac. Tergum X somewhat rectangular in outlinc.

Material examined. 1 oG. $9 \div .59 \mathrm{cib}, 2$ 9. Holorype: PANAMA, Almitante. Feb 1932, W. H. W. Komp (USNM). Other specimens: BRAZIL, Para State, Belem County, Mocambo, 8 Oct 1985, J. M. S. Barata coll., Sallum det. 1985. 1986. (DC light trap, 9 9, 5 9cib, 2 OG.

Distribution (Fig. 6). Known from Bracil (Para State), Colombia, Ecuador, French Guiana. Panama, Trinidad, and Venezuela. Records from Ecuador should be reviewed as specimens from that country were tentatively identified as Cx. vomerifer by Heincmann and Belkin (1979).
Bionomics. Immature stages were taken from root caves at swamp margins in deep shade. The water was brown with submerged and little woody vegetation. Adults were collected in the canopy and at ground level in "terra firme" and "varzea" forests. in partially cleared forest. sec-ond-growth vegetation. second-growth vegetation mear swamp areas, and mangroves. The adult collections were made with CDC light traps, other kinds of light traps. sometimes baited with mice. Disney traps baited with hamster, and biting or landing on humans (Heinemam) and Belkin 1978a, 1978b, 1979; Hcinemann et al. 1980).

Numerous arboviruses have been isolated from C?. womeri/er, showing that it can be a potential sector of Guama, Moju, Ananindeua, Caraparu, Ossa. Vinces, Madrid, Murutucu, and Itaqui viruses of the Bunyaviridae (Shope et al. 1988).

Discussion. Adults of Cx. womerifer differ from Cx: portesi and Cx. sacchettae in having a patch of minute light golden setae on the middle
portion of the mesepimeron, pleural integument wilh dark spots on the postpronotum. proepisternum. postspiracular area. proalar knob, anterior portion of the mesokatepisternum, and upper and lower portions of the mesepimeron. cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 3.34$ length of wein $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ - , midcoxa with a vertical line of datk scales: from Cx. portesi in having the amerior surface of the forecoxa with a patch of dark scales: and from Cx. wechettue in having tarsomeres dark-scaled. The cibarial atmature of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}$. vomerifer differs from Ci. sacchottere and $C_{2}$. pertesi in having 18-20 cibarial teeth; from Cx. sacchertae in having the cibarial bar with sparse spicules on the dorsal surlace and posterior margin, cibarial teeth nearly rectangular in outine. distal part not very enlarged. and apical portion small. The male genitalia of Ca. womerifer differ from those of Cx. portesi and $C x$. sacchenae by the shape of foliform seta (I) of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite (Fig. 27): from those of ( $x$. portesi in having the tergum IX lobe small. conical. widely separated with small and slender setae: and fiom those ol Cx. sacchetrae in having the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite proximal to the subapical lobe without a pach of $6-10$ small setae.

## Culex (Melanoconion) portesi Senevet and Abonnenc, 1941

Culex (Melanoconion) portesi Senevel and Abomenc. $1941: 41$ ( $0^{\circ}$ ). Holotype C : French $^{\circ}$ Guiana (NLS).

Rozeboom and Komp 1950:95 ( $0^{*}$ : tax.); Lane 1951:334 (syn. with Cx. vomerifer); Floch and Kramer 1965:1 ( $\AA^{*}$; tax.): Aitken and Galindo $1966: 202\left(0^{\circ}, 9\right.$; resurrected from $s y n$ : Brazil, Trinidad): Belkin 1968:53 (type info.); Panday 1975a:144 (Surinam): Matingly 1976:244 ( $\mathrm{E}^{-}$): Heinemann and Belkin 1978b: 394 (Veneruela): Sirivanakarn and Degallier
 Sirivanakarn 1983:278 ( $S^{4}$ ); Foratini and Sallum 1992:74 (8 $\mathrm{cib}^{*}$ ).
Culex (Melanoconion) casemensis Floch and Abonnenc. 1945:4 ( $6^{\prime}$ ). Holotype s: Cayenne, |Guyane]. French Guiana (NE).

Floch and Abomene 1947:6 (syn.): Floch and Kramer 1965:3 (0* ${ }^{\circ}$; resurrected from syn.); Belkin 1968:14 (type info.); Harrison 1973: 277 (type into.): Sirivanakarn and Degallier 1982:154 (syn.).
Female. Similar to Cx. spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mosily covered with dark scales, pleural integument yellowislı with dark spots on posifpronotum, posispiracular arca, and
preatar knob. Ileod: Antennal lengh about 1.78 mon; proboscis length 1.451 .50 mm ( $\bar{x}=1.53$ mm): maxillary palpus length $0.25-0.28 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\bar{x}$ $=0.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). about $(0.20$ of length of proboscis. Vertex with narrow falcale scales, dark anteriorly, dingy white posteriorly. Cibariun (Fig. 28): Length about $194 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : dorsal surface and distal margin of cibariad bar with minute spicules, about 16-19 teeth: tooth length about is $\mu \mathrm{m}$; line of origin of teeth not evident: hollow area somew hat (riangular in outline, more or less restricted to base. Sensilla trichodea arranged in lincar series of 13 single setac. Thorax: Scutum with dark brown to blackish scales with coppery reffections: acrostichal setae on anterior promontory and on posterior portion of acrostichal area. Scutellar scales similar to seutal scales: median lobe with 5. 6 large setae, lateral lobes each wilh 4 large setac. Postpronotum wilh scales similar to scutal scales with $4-6$ large setac on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on posipronotum. postspiracular area. and prealar knob. Pleural setae yellowish, prealar, upper mesokatepisternal. more deseloped lower mesohatepisternal. and lower mesepimeral setae dark: 10-13 uppor proepisternal, 3-5 prealar, 8-12 upper mesokatepisternal. 10-13 lower mecokatepisternal. 6-8 upper mesepimeral, and I lower mescpimeral. Pleura with a row of broad spatulate. nearly colorless scales on lower posterior margin of nesokatepisternum. Wing: Length $2.25 \cdot 2.38 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $x=2.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ): cell $R, 3.925 .44$ of vein R, . ( $\bar{\lambda}=4.56$ ): cell M- 0.81 of cell $\mathrm{R}_{\text {; }}$; subcosta intersects costa slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {, }}$. Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{M}_{112}$; inclined narrow spatulate scales on $\mathrm{M}_{\text {, pron }} 0.3$ of $\mathrm{M}_{1,1}$ : remigium with 1,2 distal setae. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 0.4 of R . proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {, }}$ proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$,, proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{,+i}$ : lincar plume scales on proximal 0.3 ot $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, proximal 0.5 of $R_{4}, 5$; inclined narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. distal 0.6 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {, distal }}$ 0.6 of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$.. distal 0.6 of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, , $\mathrm{M}_{1-1}$. Legs: Amerior surface of forecoxa with patch of nearly colorless scales, midcoxa with vertical line of nearly colorless scales. hindeoxa without scales. Anterovental surface of foretochanter with dark scales, posteroventral surtace of toretroclanter and antero- and postetoventral surfaces of mud- and hindtochanters with whitish scales. Abdomen: Terga II-VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales: tergum VIII with dark scales. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white seales: sternum VIII with sparse dark scales and few white scales on lateral atcas. Genitulia (Fig. 28): Lateral lobes of tergum IX each with $8-12$ setae; $8-10$ clus-
tered insular setace. Postgenital lobe short, broad, nearly traperoidal on posterior portion. distal margin nearly straight, with 9-12 sctac on either side of midline.

Male. Like female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antenna and maxillary palpus not measured, setac on outer apical surface of palpomere 3 not counted. Abstomen: Tergum II with dark scales, terga III-VII darkscaled with basal bands of white scales. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales; sternum VIII with dark scales. Genitalia (Fig. 28): Like Cx. vomerifer, differing as follows. Tergum IX lobes small, nearly rounded, widely separated, with numerous long, sinuous setac. Lateral surface of gonocoxite with a patch of moderately long, slender setare at level of subapical lohe (lsp). Distal division of subapical lobe with 8 apical setae, which include a long, apically hooked seta ( $/$ ), a short and a moderately long saberlike setae ( $s$ ). 3 subequal, narrow, appressed setae ( $f$ ), a short, slender, pointed seta ( $f$ ), and a wide, asymmetrical foliform seta ( $l$ ), this seta more pigmented on proximal side and hyaline on distal side. Paraproct crown with 8,9 simple blades; 1.2 cercal setac.

Material examined. 6I 9, 4 ó, 2 \$G. 5 Pcib, 4 9G. TRINIDAD, Nariva Swamp. BushBush Forest. M. Takahashi coll., Aitken and Galindo det. 1964, 1 ó, 2 웅 BRAZIL., Para State, Belem County, Utinga, Jul 1966, A. Toda coll. and det., $1 \delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}$; Jul 1967. I $\delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}:$ Ipcan, 1966, 1 ㅇ, 1 ó; Mocambo, 8 Oct 1985. Barata coll.. Sallum det. 1985. CDC light trap, 31 ㅇ, 5 9cib. $4 \%$ G; Amazonas State, Parque Nacional do Jau, Carabinani River. right side. 69 Apr 1994, Hutchings and Ferreira coll., Sallum det. 1994, CDC light trap, $11 \circ$ \% 8, 9 Apr 1994. CDC light trap. 1 m aboveground, $28 ; 10,11$ Apr 1994, 5 \%: 11.12 Apr 1994. 1 \%; 12, 13 Apr 1994, 1 웅 15. 16 ^pr 1994. 3 of; Icft sicle, 14, 15 Apr 1994, CDC light trap, 2 9; 13, 14 Apr 1994, CDC light trap, 1 m aboveground, 2 9.

Distribution (Fig. 6). Known from Brazil, French Guiana. Surinam, Trinidad. Venezuela (Pecor et al. 1992), and British Guiana (Sirivanakarn and Degallier 1982). Foratini and Sallum (1989a) misinterpreted records of Cx. portesi and considered that it was found in southern Brazil. 'l'he distribution of C.r. portesi is, at present, restricted to nothern South America.

Bionomics. According to Sirivanakarn and Degallicr (1982). Cx. portesi is a common species in lowland swamp torests (from sea level to about 30 m ) and seems to be absent from inland primary forests. However: it has been collected in a well-preserved area of the Amazon forest (see material examined). Adults were collected
on human bait, traps baited with mammals, Disney traps baited with rodents (Proechimys). liglu traps at ground level, and in the canopy of "terra lirme" and "varzea" forests. Adults appear to bite during the night and teed on rodents and opossums (Heinemann and Belkin 1979). Adults of Cx. portesi were also collected on humans. resting in root caves, in aumal holes in the ground, and under leaves in forest, partially cleared forest, swamps, and mangrove forest. They were also collected in traps baited with chickens or mice and in Chamberlain and Malaise traps (Heinemann and Belkin 1978a).

Immature stages were collected at swamp margins and in small or large ground pools in partially cleared forests. The sites were permanent. semipermanent, or temporary, in partial shade. The water was clear or turbid. always fresh, and occasionally with herbaccous vegetation and/or plant debris on the botton (Aitken 1972, Heinemann er al. 1980).

Studies on abundance and scasonal variability associated with gonotrophic and parity studies showed that Cx. portesi is a potential vector in the enzootic and epizootic cycles, related with rodents, of Mucambo virus in northcastern South America (Aitken 1972).

Discussion. Culex portesi differs from Cx . vomerifer and Cx. sacchetae in having the plearal integument yellowish with dark spots on the postpronotum, prealar knob, and postspiracular area, anterior surface of the forecoxa with a path of colorless scales, cell $\mathrm{R}_{\text {}}$ neady 3.92 5.44 length of vein $\mathrm{R}_{21}, 16$-19 cibarial teeth: from Cx. sacchettae in having hindtarsomeres dark, midcoxa with a vertical line of nearly colorless scales, dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar witb sparse spicules, cibarial tooth not very enlarged on apical balf: and from Cx. vomerifer in not having a patch of small golden setae on the middle atea of the mesepimeron. The male genitalia of Cx. portesi differ from those of Cx. sacchettae and Cx: vomerifer by the shape of the foliform seta ( $l$ ) of the distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite, and tergum IX lobes small. nearly rounded. with numerous long, sinuous setae; and additionally from Cx. sacchettae by the absence of a cluster of small setac on the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite proximal to the subapical lobe.

## Crulex (Melanoconion) sacchettae Sirivanakarn and Jakob, 1981

Culcx (Melanoconion) sacchettae Sirivanakarn and Jakob, 1981a:191 ( $\delta^{*}$, ). Holotype $\delta$ : Canancia (Brucouha). Sao Paulo. Brazil (FSP).

Sirivanakarn and Jakob 1979:139 (as Cr. wmerifer): Foration et al. 1988:537 (type info.): Foratini and Sallum 1989a:117 ( $\delta^{\circ} r, q^{\circ} . \mathrm{P}^{4}$, L*).

Female. Similat to Cx. spissipes; but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with dark scales, pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum. proepisternum. postspiracular and subspiracular arcas, prealar knob, anterior surface and upper corner of mesokatepisternum. upper and lower areas of mesepimeron, hindtarsomeres $1-4$ with white rings on joints, 5 white. Head: Antemal length about 1.92 mm ; proboscis $1.60-1.84 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=1.72$ mm ); maxillary palpus $0.29-0.36 \mathrm{~mm}$. mean 0.31 mm . about 0.20 of proboscis, occasionally with a small palpomere 5 . Vertex with nurrow falcate scales, these scales dark in a small median dorsal area along coronal suture, dingy white laterally. Cihariun (Fig. 15B, 16E. 16F: and 29): Length about $197-224 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : dorsal surtace and distal margin of cibarial bar with minute spicules roughly agglonerate, irregular in size; toold spatulate. narrow on basal portion. enlarged on distal portion, about 12-15 teeth: tooth length about 14-19 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; line of origin of teeth not evident: hollow area somewhat triangular in outline. more or less restricted to base. Sensila trichodea arranged in linear series of 3 . 4 single setac. Thorox (ligs. 12C, 12D): Scutum with dark brown to blackish seales with broney reflections; acrostichal setae on anterior promontory and on posterior portion of acrostichal area. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales; median lobe with 6 targe setae, lateral lobes each with 4 large setac. Postpronotum with scales similar to scutal scales: with 4, 5 large sctae on posterodersal margin. Pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum, proepisternum, postspiracular aud subspiracular areas, prealar knob, anterior surface and upper corner of mesokatepisterrium. upper and lower areas of mesepimeron. Plcural setac dark brown wilt reddish and golden reflections, less developed mesokatepisternal setae light golden: 8-16 upper proepisternal, 3, 6 prealar, 5-9 upper mesokatepisternal, 9-15 lower mesokatepisternal, 4-7 upper mesepimeral. and 1 lower mesepimeral. Plcura with a row of broad. spatulate, nearly colorless scales on lower posterior margin of mesokatepisternum, occasionally with 3. 4 spatulate, hyaline scales on upper comer. Wing. L.ength 2.58-2.83 min ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=2.69 \mathrm{~mm}$ ): cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ 6.12-7.12 of vein $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}-6.39)$ : cell $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ of 0.77 of cell $R_{2}$; subcosta intersects costa al level of furcation of $R, \ldots$. Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{M}_{122}$ proximal 0.7 of 1 A ; linear plume scales on proximal 0.3
of $\mathrm{M}_{1}, .$. distal 0.3 of 1 A : inclined narrow spatulate scales on M. proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{1-2}$ : remigium will 2,3 distal setae. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{z}}$, proximal 0.4 of $\mathrm{R}_{3}$, proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$, proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$...; linear plume scales on ptoximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{R}_{414}$; incliued narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{\text {, }}$, $R_{2}$, distal 0.7 of $R_{1-\varepsilon}$, distal 0.7 of $M_{1,}, M_{1,2}$ Legs: Hindeoxa without scales. Antero- and posteroventral surfaces of foretrochanter darkscaled; anteroventral surface of midtrochanter dark-scaled and posteroventral surface with whitish scales, hindlrochamer with whitish scales. Apex of all temora with a patch of white scales, more evident on hindfennur. Tibiae dark; fore- and midtarsomeres I dark, fore- and midtarsomeres $2-4$ with indistinct basal pale rings, 5 pale. hindtarsomere 1 with narrow basal pale band, hindtarsomeres 2-4 with white rings on base and apex, 5 entirely white. Abdomen: Terga II. VII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales, tergum II oceasionally with white scales on basomedian region; terga III-VI dark with basolateral patches of white scales, occasionally becoming complete narrow basal white bands: tergum VIII with dark scales. Sternum II white-sealed with small patches of dark scales on apical region; sterna III-VII with basal white bands; sterum VIII with white scales laterally. Genitalia (Fig. 29): Lateral tobes of tergum IX each with 46 setac; 8 clustered insular setac. Postgenital lobe wide, short. nearly traperoidal shaped on distal part, distal margin nearly straight, wilh 10.11 setae on cither side of midline.

Male. Sinitar to female except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antemal length about 1.74 ums; maxilary palpus length about 2.50 mm , exceeding proboscis from about apical 0.5 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with 1113 strong setac on outer apical surface. Abdomen: Tergum II with white scales on anteromedian and basolateral regions, or totally dark-scaled; terga III-VII with basal white bands. Sterna IIVII with basal white bands: sternum VIII with basolateral patches of white scales. Genitatia (lig. 29): Like Cx. vonerifer, differing as follows. Tergomesal surface with a patch of 6-10 clustered minute setae proximal to subapical lobe. Proximal division of subapical lobe of gonocoxite with 2-4 small, stiff setae on basal portion of division; distal division with 8 apical sctae, which include a long hooked sela ( $h$ ). a short and a long saberlike seta (s), a wide, anymmetrical, foliform seta ( $l$ ) with the distal margin slightly rounded and more tanned on proximal area, 3 subequal, narrow. appressed setae ( $f$ ), and a more basal. short. slender, pointed seta $(f)$.

Basal piece similar to that of Cx. spissipes. Paraproct crown with 7 simple blades; 2. 3 cercal setae.

Material examined. 53 ㅇ. 20 气. 20 óG, 1 ¢G, 7 fcib. Holotype: BRAZII. Sato Paulo State, Cananeia County. Bracouha, 30 Apr 1976, O. S. Lopes coll., Sirivanakarn det. 1981,1 d. 1 oG. Allotype: Iguape County, Banbusal. BR6-301, 30 Mar 1976. $1 \cong$. Other specimens: Canancia County, Itapitangui. Itapoa Farm. Apr 1980, Forattini et al. coll., Foratini and Sallum det. 1987, 2 \&; Apr 1980, 1 Q; May 1980, 1 \%; Jun 1980. 1 P; Jul 1980. 1 ; Oct 1980.4 우. 1 qcib: Nov 1980, 2 ?; Dee 1980, 1 f, 1 b, 1
 Feb 1981, 2 ㅇ, 1 d. 1 ©G. 1 Ocib; Mar 1981,
 1982, 2 웅 May 1986. 3 б. 3 б G: Vilarinho Farm, Mar 1983, I $\delta, 1$ oG; Apr 1983. 1 ó. 1 oG; Paricuera-Açu County, Experimental Station, Jan 1978, 1 ㅇ․ Dec 1979, $39,1 \delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}$; Dec 1979. 2 \%; Jan 1980, 4 \%; Feb 1980, 19 ; Jul 1980, 1 \%: Dee 1980. 2 c. 2 б G; Jan 198!. 1 ㅇ. I E. I EG, Apr 1981, 1 f; Mav 1981, 1 ó, I סG: Aug 1981. I ठ, I oG; Scp 1982, I ©. 1 óG: Iguape County, Iguape-Bigua Road. Oct 1982. 3 o. 3 OG: Palmeiras Farm, 20 Jun 1989. 2 ㅇ. 2 qcib: 5 Dec 1989, Gomes coll., Lab. Ent. FSP-USP det. 1990.5 ?.

Distribution (Fig. 6). Known from the Ribeira Valley. Sao Paulo State, and from Paranagua County, Parana State: both localities belong to the Tropical Atlantic System of southern Brazil.

Bionomics. Immature stages of $C_{\lambda}$. sacchertac were collected from ground pools with abundant submerged aquatic vegetation (Sphagnum). Adults were collected in primary forest in the Tropical Atamtic System. in second-growth vegetation. in domiciliary and peridomiciliary enviromnents, and in localities with intense agricultural activities with artificial irrigation systems. This species seems to have potential to adapt to man-made enviromments. Analysis of specimens collected in intradomiciliary environments showed that a high proportion of them had fed on buman blood (Forattini et al. 1987a, 1987b, 1989a, 1989b. 1990).

Discussion. Culex succhenae differs from Cx. vomerifer and Cx. portesi in having hindtarsomeres $1-4$ with conspicuous white rings on base and apex, 5 entirely white, pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on the postpronotum. procpisternum, postspiracular and subspiracular areas, prealar knob. anterior surface and upper corner of the mesokatepisternum, and upper and lower areas or the mesepimeron, mideoxa with a vertical line of dark scales, cell $\mathrm{R}=6.12-7.12$ length of vein $R_{2-1}$. dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar with numerous spicules in
irregular patches, cibarial teeth 12-15, well enlarged on distal half; from $C x$ : vomerifer in not having a patch of small. light golden setae on the middle of the mesepimeron; and from $C x$. portesi in having the forecoxa with a patch of dark scales. The male genitalia of Cx. succhettue differ from those of Cx. vomerifer and Cx. portesi by the shape of the wide, asymmetrical foriform seta (l) of the distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite (Fig. 29), in possessing a patch of $6-10$ minute, clustered setae on the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite proximal to the subapical lobc. and additionally from those of Cx. portesi in having the lergum IX lobe small, nearly rounded, with small, slender setae.

## Ocossa Group

The Ocossa Group includes Cx. ocossa and Ciflex panocossa Dyar. Members of the group can be easily recognized by having the vertex with a snuall patch of narrow falcate dark scales. restricted to a small median dorsal area along the coronal suture. latcral patch of broad spatulate scales large, well evident in dorsal view, extending from lateral areas to the median dorsal patch of narrow falcate scales, pleural integument yellowish with some dark spots, tarsi totally dark, and hater whitish. 'lhe cibarial armature of the Ocossa Group is similar to that of the Paracrybda Subgroup. The Ocossa Group differs from the other groups in possessing small teeth without hollow area, cach tooth with 2 distinct parts, anterior and posterior, anterior part a thin sagittal plate, posterior part a transverse plate, lozenge or roughly hexagonal in outline, with minute spicules at margins of both parts, cibarial dome nearly circular in oulline with leaflike, sharply pointed denticles. The male genitalia of the Ocossa Group are distinguished by having the tergum IX Iobe short, broad at basc, prominent laterally, proximal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite long, columnar, unique with 2 setac at apex (setac $a$ and $b$ ) encircled by a hyaline sheath and 1,2 slender, stiff setae on basal portion, distal division slender, columnar with a long, hooked seta at apex and a wide, petiolate, striate, foliform seta (I) and 2 empty alveoli near base of division, tergomesal surface with a foliform seta distal to the subapical lobe near the gonostylus, lateral plate of the phallosome without the apical process. ventral process long and laterally curved, lateral process long. tapered. pointed at apcx, and proximal part of the ventrolateral surface of the gonocoxite with scales.

## Culex (Melanocomion) ocossa Dyar and Knab, 1919

Culex (Mclanoconion) ocosser Dyar and Knab. 1910:6 (ठ) . Lectotype © : British Guiana [Georgetoum, Guyana] (NMNH).
Dyar 1923a:120 (syn. with C.r. aikeni); Dyar 1928:337 (in part, see Cג. panocossa: Colomhia. Panama. Surinam, Venezuela: as C. r. aikenii); Stone and Knight 1957:54 (lectotype desig.): Belkin 1970:59 (resurrected from syn.): Belkin ot al. 1970:93 ( $\delta^{*}$. P*, L"): Heinemann and Belkin 1979:80) (Brazil): Sirivanakarn and Jakob 1981b:195 (Argentina);


Female. Similar to Cx. spisisipes, but differing as follow's. Adult mostly covered with dark scales: pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum, postspiracular area, anterior surface of mesokatepisternum. and prealar knob. Head: Antennal leugth about 1.73 mm : proboscis length $1.41-1.62 \mathrm{~mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=1.52 \mathrm{~mm})$ maxillary palpus $0.25-0.28 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}=0.27 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), about 0.20 length of proboscis: maxillary palpus always with 4 segments. Vertex (Figs. IIC, 11D) with a patch of namrow falcate dark scales on a small median dorsal area along coronal sulure, patch of broad appressed dingy white scales conspicusus, lateral to the median dorsal patch of dark scales. Cibarium (Figs. 15r: 17C, and 30): Length about $156 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : cibaial bar with a distinct transuerse cuticular thickening in median portion. dorsal surface and posterior margin smooth. aboul 25.28 teeth; tooth lengh about $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}:(00 \mathrm{l})$ with 2 distinet parts, anterior and posterior: anterior part a thin sagittal plate. posterior part a transverse plate, lokenge or roughly hexagonal in outine: minute spicules at margins of both parts; line of origin of teeth not evident: hollow area absent. Cibarial dome nearly circular, with leallike sharply pointed denticles. Sensilla trichodea disposed in linear series of $1-3$ single setue on each side. Thorax: Scutum with dark brown scales with coppery reflections, light golden scales on lateral sides of prescouellar area; acrostichal setac absent. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scates, entirely dark; median lobe with 6 large setae, lateral lobes each with 3, 4 large setac. Posipronotum with dark scales similar to seutal scales, with 3, 4 large setac on posterodorsal margin. Plcural integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum. postspiracular area. anterior portion of mesokatepisternum, and prealar knob. Pleural setae light golden. brown with reddish sheen on prealar knob: 17-21 upper proepisternal. 3.7 prealar, $8-$ 10 upper mesokatepisternal. 8-11 lower mesokatepistermal. 4-7 upper mesepimeral. and 1
lower mesepimeral. Pleura with a row of broad, spatulate nearly colorless scales on lower posterjor margin of mesokatepisternum. Wing: I.ength 2.47-2.82 mm ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}=2.63 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); cell $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ of 4.74-5.33 of vein R..; ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=5.12$ ): cell $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ 0.84 of eell R.; subeosta intersects costa slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {: . . }}$ Dorsal scaling: inclined narow spatulate scales on proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximat 0.3 of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, ; linear plume scales on proximal 0.3 of R. proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{R}_{+15}$; inclined nartow spatulate scales on distal 0.7 of $R_{\text {., }}$ distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{-+5}$. distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{E}}$. Hatuer: Cupitellum whitish. Legs: Anterior surface of forecoxat with a patch of dark scales and few white seales on base; anterior surface of mid- and hindcoxae with vertical line of hyaline scales: amtero- and posteroventral surfaces of foretrochanter with dark scales, mid- and hindfrochanters with whitish scales. Femora with indistinet patches of pale scales at apex of ventral side: fore-, mid-, and hindtibiae with indistinct longitudinal line of palc scales. Tarsi totally dark. Abdomen: Terga II-VIII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Sterna II- VII with basal bands of white scales, sternum VIII with sparse scales, scales dark centrally, white laterally. Genitalia (Fig. 30): Lateral lobes of tergum IX with 4.5 slender setae: 7.8 clustered insular setae; postgenital lobe nearly trapezoidal. distal margin straight with 4-6 setac on cither side of midline.

Diale. Like lemale except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antennal lenglo about 1.60 mm : maxillaty palpus length about 2.17 min, exceeding proboscis tip by length of apical 0.5 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with o-8 strong setac on outer apical area. Vertex with lew narrow lakate dark seales on amerior portion of coronal suturc. Abdomen: Terga II-VII darkscaled with basolateral patches of white scales; terguin VIII with sparse white scales and a deep $V$-shaped emargination, inner hyaline membrane of V-shaped emargination with numerous flattened setac. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with basal bunds of white scales: sternum VIII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Genitalia (Fig. 30): Tergum IX lobe short. broad at base, prominent laterally, with 6.7 marginal setae. Gonocoxite conical: ventromesal surface with few short and slender setac, setae stronger basally: lateral surface with a sparse patch of moderately long and slender setae from base to level of subapical lobe: tergomesal surface with a patch of moderately long setace proximal to subapical lobe and a natrow: striate. foliform seta distal to subapical lobe near gonostylus: proximal part of ventrolateral surface with scales; subapical lobe distinctly divided. divi-
sions well separated; proximal division columnar with 2 apically hooked setac (setae $a$ and $b$ ). seta $a$ shorter and thimer than seta $b$, seta $b$ long, robust, encircled at base by a hyaline sheath and 1 slender, stiff seta near middle of division; distal division long, columnar, slender, with a robust, hooked seta at apex and an expanded, petiolate, striate foliform seta (l) and 2 empty alveoli (setae missing) near base. Conostylus slender wider at base, tapering to apex. subapical crest poorly distinct before apical snout on venttal side, apical snout a small. upturned ridge: gonostylar claw leatike; 2 small setae near dorsal side before gonostylar claw. Lateral plate of phallosome without apical procens, ventral and lateral processes present, ventral process long, pointed, laterally curved, lateral process longer, tapered pointed at apex. Paraproct crown with $6-9$ simple blades; 2 cercal setac. 'lergum $X$ nearly triangular in outline, mounded at apex.

Material examined. $16 \delta, 20 O, 10 \delta \mathrm{G}, 5$ Ycib. 3 OG. BRAZiL. Sao Paulo State, Cananeia County, Itapoa Farm. 26 Jan 1981, Rabello coll., Sallum det 1981. Shamon trap supplemented with light. $1 \delta .1$ © $G$; Dourado County. Jacare-Pepira River. 7 Jan 1981. CDC light trap, 1 o. 1 oG; lguape County, Palmeiras Farm, 18 Apr 1989. Gomes coll., Sallum det. 1989. CDC light trap supplenented with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 7$ 9, 29 cib , 1 ¢G; 7 Nov 1989, 1 s; Rio Grande Diach, 24 Oct 1989, 1 ㅇ, 1 o; Pariquera-Açu County, Experimental Station, 26 Oct 1978, Rabello coll., Sallum det. 1981, Shannon trap supplemented with light, 1 f, 19 G; 20 Nov $1978,1 \delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}$; 8 Mar 1979, I $\delta, 1$ SG: 6 Mar 1980, 1 © , 1 бG: 17 Apr 1980, 1 ס', 1 © G; 15 Jan 1981, I $\delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}: 25 \mathrm{Jan} 1979$, torest, $10 ; 6$ Mar 1980. 19.19G:7 Apr 1980. I 8; 23 Oct 1981, CDC light trap, irrigated rice field. 1 ¢; 26 Nov 1981, $19: 10$ Dec 1981, 3 9, 39 cib: 23 Dec 1981, 19; 4 Feb 1982. Sallum det. 1982. 1 ; ; 20 Feb 1982. I $7: 9$ Apr 1985, Sallum det. 1985. bat-tery-powered aspirator, forest edge, $1 \delta .1 \delta \mathrm{C}$; 28 Apr 1992, Forattini et al. coll.. Salluin det. 1992. 4 d: Pariquera-Mirim district, 5 Mar 1985, Rabello coli., Sallum det. 1985, Shannon trap supplemented with light, $1 \delta, 1$ o $\mathbf{~ G}$; Sao Joao da Boa Vista County, Sania Helena Farn, 11 Mar 1982, Sallum det. 1982, New Jersey light trap. peridorniciliary environment. I $\delta$. I ठG: Amazonas State, Tele County. Nossa Senhora de Fatima Farm, 3 Aug 1994. I.ourenço-de-Oliveira coll., Sallum det. 1995, on cattle, I 9.

Distribution (lig. 7). Known from Central to South America, including Argentina, BraziI. Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Panama, Surinam, and Vencenela (Pecor et al. [992).

Bionomics. Inmature stages of Cr. ocossa were collected in the following habitats: artificial lakes in peridomiciliary environments and grazing areas, river and lake margins in torests, drainage ditches in cultivated areas, in gardens, and by the side of roads along cacao plantations. The breeding sites were always permanent. The water was always fresh, clear, light amber or brown in color, stagnant or with a slow current, with abundant floating vegctation (Pistia, Eichhornia, Salvinia, Azolla), subnterged (Elodea) and herbaceous vegctation, and algae. Some sites had the botom covered with mud or plant debris and others will cement. The sites were in full sun or partial shade (Heinemann and Belkin 1978a. 1978b. 1979).

In the Ribcira Valley, Sao Paulo State, southern Brazil. Forattini el al. (199/b) collected a great number of adults from January to March. The mosquitoes were found in domiciliary environments and appeared to have a tendency to feed on humans. Adults appeared to be nocturnal, showing an activity peak around midnight. decreasing gradually until sumrise.
Literature records show that Cx: ocossa may be involved in the transmission of western equine encephalitis (WEE) virus in Chaco and Cortientes in Argentina (Sirivanakarn and Jakob 1981b). However, the epidemiologic importance of Cx. ocossa may be underestimated as it was considered synonymous with Culex aikenii Aiken and Rowland until 1970. As a result, many virus isolations were made from specimens identified as Cx. aikenii. Culex aikenii (Cx. ocossa and Cx. panocossa) was found naturally infected with a wild strain of VEE virus, and was infected and was able to transmit the strain I-D of VEE virus in laboratory conditions (Galindo and Grayson 1971, Galindo 1972, Galindo and Adames 1973).
Discussion. Culex ocossa differs from Cx. panocossa in having the pleural integument yelIowish with dark spots on the posipronotum, postspiracular arca, anterior portion of the mesokalepisternum, and prealar knob. The male genitalia of Cx. ocossa differ from those of Cx. panocossa in having the gonostylus with a small, upturned apical snout and a less developed subapical crest, in possessing few long curved setac on the distal poition of the gonocoxite near the gonostylus, the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite with a moderately wide striate leaf seta $l$ distal to the subapical lobe and a patch of moderately long setac proximal to the subapical lobe, proximal division of the subapical Jobe of the gonocoxite subequal in length to setae $a$ and $b$, distal division of the subapical lobe shorter than the hooked seta ( $h$ ), and seta $h$ with a strong hook at apex.

## Culex (Melanoconion) panocossa Dyar, 1923

Culex (Ginophoedomia) panoressa Dyar, 1923a: 120 (0). Lectotype d: Bas Obispo. Canal Zone. Panama ( $\mathrm{M} N \mathrm{NH}$ ).

Dyar 1923b:188 ( $e^{*}$ : an ( $x$. aikenii); Dyar 1925a:21 (4n n. with Cx: aikenii); Bome and Bonnc-Wepster 1925:275. 277 ( $0^{\circ}$. U. L. as Cx. aikenii); Dyar 1928:337 (in patt. ${ }^{2}$ : as Ca. aikenii): Andure 1941:15 (Venerucla; as (.r. aikemil): Thompson 1947:79 (Jamaica: as Cג. aikenii): Roeeboom and Komp 1950:99 ( $0^{*}$ : as Cat aikenii); Lane 1953:423 ( $5^{*}$. 9 , P. L.: at Cx. aikenii): Foote 1954:14 (L*. P*; as ( $x$. aikenii): Barteto-Reves 1955:60 (CoIombia: as ( $x$. aikenif): Stone and Knight 1957:54 (lectotype desig.): Cova Garcia et al. 1906a: 175 ( $\delta^{*}$ : as Cx. aikenii); Cona Garcia
 1970:60 (resurrected from syn.; Costa Rica, Mexico); Belkin et al. 1970:93 ( $\delta^{\circ}$ ); Bertram 1971:745 (Belize); ('lark-Gil and Darsic 1983:255 (Guatemala).

Female. Not examined.
Male. Similar to (xx. spissipes, but differing as follows. Hewd: Antema, maxillary palpus not measured: setac on palpomere 3 not counted. Vertex with lew narrow falcate dark scales on a small area on anterior portion of coronal suture. lateral patels of broad appressed dingy white scales well evident in dotsal view, extending laterally to the small median dorsal pateh of narrow dank scales. Thorux: Scutum with dark brown scales with coppery reffections, light golden scales on lateral sides of prescuteliar area: acrostachal setae absem. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales. entirely dark, median lobe with 6 large setac, lateral lobes cach with 3. 4 large setac. Postpronotum with dark scales similar to scutal scales with 3, 4 large setac on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument yellowish with dark spots on postpronotum and postspiracular area. Pleural setae light golden (number of setae not counted). Pleura with a row of broad. spatulate nearly colorless scales on fower posterior margin of mesokallepisternum. Wing: Not examined in detail. Hahter: Capitellum whitish. Legs: Not examined in detail: tirsi dark-scaled. Abdomen: Not examined in detail. Terga dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales: tergum VIII with a deep V-shaped enargmation, inner hyaline membrane of $V$-shaped emargination without flatemed setac. Genitalia (Fig. 31): Like (x. ocossa, but differing as follows. Ventrolateral surface of gonocoxite with a patch or strong setac on distal portion near gonostylus: tergomesal surlace with a spanse patch
of short, slender setac proximal to subapical lobe and a narrow, foliform, pointed seta distal to subapical kobe; proximat division of subapical tobe colmmar. long. setae $"$ and $b$, shorter than the division, basal portion with 2 slender, still setac: distal division moderately long and slender: with an apical seta with a weak hook at apex (h). a wide, striate, petiolate foliform seta (l). and an alveoli (seta missing) near base. Conostylus subequal from base to the apical third. apical third slighty enlarged. nearly triangular in outine in lateral siew, tapered at apex: apical snout elongate: subapical crest well evident from apical sout to subapical enfarged portion. Paraproct crown with 7,8 simple hlades; 2 cercal setac.

Material examined. 1 6. 1 öG. Ixetotype: PANAMA, Canal Zonc, Bas Obispo, J. B. Shropshire coll.

Distribution ( $\mathrm{Fig}^{2} .7$ ). Known from Belise. Colombia. Costa Rica, El Salvador. Guatemala. Jamaica, Mexico. Panama and Venerucla (Pccor et al. 1992).

Bionomics. Immature stages of Cx. panocossa were found in lake margins. swamps. and semipermanent pools. The water was always clear, with a slow current, and abundant floating (Pistia strahotes, Piaropus azurous, Lemua, Satvinia) and grassy vegetation. These sites were in fulf sun or rarely in partial shade. Aduls were collected on human bait and in light traps (Belkin et al. 1970: Heinemann and Belkin 1977a. 1977b, 1978c).

Culex pernocossa may be a potential vector of VEL virus in Panama (U.S. Deparment of Agriculture 1973).

Discussion. Culex panecessa dilters fiom Ca. ocossa in having the pleural imegument yellowish with dark spots on the posipronotum and postspiracular arca. The male genitalia of Cr . panocossa differ from those of cx. ocossa in having the apical snout of the gonostylus clongate, crest of the gonostylus well evident from belore apical snout to the subapical enlarged portion. gonocoxite with a dense patch of long, curved setac on the ventrolateral surface near the gonostylus, gonocoxite with a narrow, pointed. nonstriate seta on the tergomesal surface distal to the subapical lobe, and a few sparse, short setae proximal to the subapical kobe, proximal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite longer than setae $a$ and $b$, distal division of the subapical lobe shorter than the hooked seta (h), and seta $h$ with a poorly developed hook at apex.

## Jubifer Group

The Jubiter Group includes Culex jubifer Komp and Brown and C.r. simulator. It diflers
from the other groups of the Spissipes Section in not having acrostichal setae. in possessing the narrow falcate scales of the vertex entirely whitish, the forked scales light cremn anteriorly and light brown posteriorly, the pleural integument light eream with darker areas on the postpronotura and prealar knob. the upper corner of the mesokatepisternum without a patch of broad. spatulate scales. terga II-VII entirels darkscaled, and sterna with u hitish scales. The cibarial armature of both species was not examined. The male genitaia of the Jubifer Group differ from those of the other groups in possessing a large, petiolate, apically expanded leat on the tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite near the base of the distal division of the subapical lobe on the lateral side, the proximal part of the ventrotateral surface of the gonocoxite with scales. proximal and distal divisions of the subapical lobe congate, columnar. proximal division forked at apex, seta a slightly basal to seta $b$. distal division with a robust. apically hooked seta and a short, slender, saberlike seta (s) at apex, a long. saberlike seta ( $s$ ) and a long. robust, apically hooked seta ( $($ ) on subapical part. 3 subequal. narrow, appressed. nearly spatulate setae $(f)$ and a slender. pointed seta $(f)$ on basal portion. gonostylus with a well evident subapical erest on the ventral side and a small projection basal to the subapical crest. lateral plate of the phallosome without the apical process, apical margin concave. vental process long. laterally curved, lateral process long. tapered, pointed. and tergum X somewhat square, distally rounded.

## Culex (Melanoconion) simulator Dyar and Knab, 1906

Culex simulator Dyar and Knab, 1906:218 (L*). Lectotype L: Arima. Trimidad (NMNH).
Howard et al. 1913:figs. 352.575 (L**); Howard et al. 1915:302 (L): Dyar 1928:333 (L): Roreboom and Komp 1950:98 (tax.): Foote 1954:89 (L.*: tax.); Stone and Knight 1957:56 (lectotype desig.); Cova Garcia et al. 1966a: 179 ( ${ }^{2}$ : Venezucla: as Cx: jubifer); Cova Garcia et al. 1960b:203 (L*: as Cx. jubifer): Forattint el al. 1970:43 (Bıazil; as Cx, juhifer): Forattini el al. 1973:468 (as Cx. jubifer); Sirivanakarn and Heinemann 1980:41 ( $0^{* *}$, ㅇ․ $\mathrm{P}^{\ddagger}$. $\mathrm{L}^{\star}$ : Panama).
Culex (Mrltonoconion) wenezuelensis Anduze. 1949:64 ( $\delta^{*}$ ). Holotype © : Caripito, Monagas, Venezuela (FSP).
Cova Garcia et al. 1966a:173 ( $\delta^{*}$ ); Forattini et al. 1970:50 (type info.): Sirivanakarn 1983: 274 (syn.).

Female. Not examined.
Male. Similar to (x. spissipes, but differing as follows. Body mostly covered with light brown scales with golden reflections. /fecid: Antenna. maxillary palpus. proboscis not measured. maxillary palpus extending beyond proboseis tip by length of apical 0.5 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with 5.6 strong setac on outer apical area. Vertex with narrow, falcate, whitish scales; forked scales light cream anteriorly and light brown posteriorly. Thorad: Pleural integument light brown. Scutum with light brown scales wilh golden reflections; scutal setac prominent. broun with golden rellections; acrostichal setac absent: 3 pairs of aiveoli present on anterior portion of prescutellar area. Scutellar scales similar to scutal scalcs, Jateral lobes cach with 3 large setae, median lohe with 6 latge setac. Postpronotum with seafes similar to scutal scales: with 3 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light cream. slightly darker on postpronotum and prealar knob. Pleural setac light golden: 6 upper proepisternal, 5 prealar. 7 upper mesokatepisternal. 7 lower mesokatepisternal, 3 upper mesepimeral. and 1 lower mesepimeral. Metepisternum not examined. Mesoposmotum light brown. Pleura with a row of nearly colorless spatulate scales on posterior margin of mesokatepisternum. Wing: Length nol measured; subcosta intersects costa slighty proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{11}$. Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathbf{M}_{1+2}$, linear plume scales on proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$, prosimal 0.5 of $\mathbf{M}_{1} .-$ remigium with 2 distal setae. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{n}$, proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{;}$, proximal 0.2 of $\mathrm{M}_{2,4}$; linear plume scales on proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{4-;}$; inclined narrow spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $R_{1}$, distal 0.5 of $R_{\text {, distal }} 0.5$ of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {i }}$ distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{-1,1}$ distal 0.8 of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}, 4}$. Leg. Anterior surface of fore- and midcosae with hyaline scales. hindcona without scales: anteroand posteroventral surfaces of trochanters with u hitish scales. Ventral surface of all femora with light cream scales. Ventral surface of fore- and midtibiae with Iongitudinal line of pale scales; hindtibia and tarsi dark-scaled. Abdomen: Terga ll-VII totally dark-scaled: tergum VIII not examined. Sterna II-VII with whitisla scales: sternum VIII not examined. Genitalia (Fig. 32. holotype of Cx. venezuelensis, syn. of C.x. simulator): 'lergum IX lobe large. somewhat elliptical in oulline, with short, sparse selae on distal part and with an inncr, bare projection on basal portion. Gonocoxite robust, nearly oval in outline. outer margin convex, inner margin almost straight, ventrolateral surface convex: ventrolateral setac strongly developed, tergomesal surface with spatse. short. slender setae proximal
to subapical lohe and a large, pettolate. striate. distally expanded seta near base of distal division of subapical lobe, distal part of this setae somewhat rounded. lateral surface with a pateh of long. robust setac from subapical lobe to near bate of gonostylus (lsp), proximal part of ventiolateral surface with scules. Subapical lobe of gonocoxite distinctly divided. divisions scparated: poximal division coltumar, forked at apex. with 2 long, robust, hooked setae (setac a and $b$ ): seta a basal to seta $b$ : distal division elongate. columnar with 2 apical, 2 subapical, and 4 basal setac: apical serae include a robust. apically hooked seta ( $h$ ) and a short. slender, saberlike seta (s). subapical setae include a long, saberlike scta ( $s$ ) and a long. robust, apically hooked seta ( $I$, batal setae include 3 subequal, narrow. appressed. nearly spatulate setae ( $f$ ) and a slender, pointed seta ( $f$ ) basal to the other $3 f$ setac. Gonostylus slightly expanded on basal and subapical parts, narrowed on median part and apex; apical shout elongate; ventral side of gonostylus with a small. triangular projection basal to subapical crest. subapical crest prominent. extending betore apical snout to the triangular projection on ventral side; gonostylar claw sbort. leaflike. forked at apex: mesal side of gonostylus with sparse spicules on basal part. Lateral plate of phallosome without apical process. distal margin slightly concave. vental and lateral processes present ventral process long, pointed at apex. laterally curved: lateral process long, tapered. pointed at apex. Paraproct crown with 8 -11 simple blades: 2 ecreal setac. Cergum $X$ somewhat square. distally rounded.

Material examined. 5 б, 6 d. $\mathbf{G}$. (x: venezuelensis. Holorype: VENFZUFILA, Caripito, Monagas, P. J. Anduze coll.. P. J. Anduze del. 1949. I $\mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{G}$ (ГSP no. 10090). Other specimens: BRAZIL. Para State. Belem Connty, Utinga. Jul 1966. A. Toda coll. and det. 2 s. 2 óG (FSP no. 「.-475, E-476: as C. jubijer): Sao Paulo State, Cabreuva County, Apr 1937, Ramalho coll.. J. Lane det. 1946. 3 \&. 3 s S (FSP no. 6088. 6089. and 6149); as Cx. jubifer).

Distribution (Fig. 8). Known from Panama. Trinidad, Venerucla (Pccor et al. 1992). and Brazil (Sao Paulo and Para states).

Bionomics. Inmature stages of Cx. simmiator were found in a stoall ground pool in elfin woodland. in partial shade. The hater was tempotary, stagnant, fresh. yellowish, with litule vegetation. and a mud boltom. Adults were collected in partial lorest (Ifeinemann et al. 1980).

Discussion. Cithex simulator seems to be indistinguishable from Cx: jubifer by adull and cibarial ammature. Accordng to Sirivanakan and Heinemann (1980). these species can be casily recognized by the male genitalia. To Siris anak-
arn and Heinemann (1980), the genitalia of $C x$. sinututor differ from those of $C x$ jubifer by the absence ol a small projection on the ventral side of the subapical part of the gonostylus. However, this projection is observed in Cx. simulator (Fig. 32). Cilex simulator also differs from Cx: jubifor in having a pach of long, robust setac, extending from the subapical lobe to near the base of the gonostylus, the large seta on the base of the distal division of the subapical tobe of the gonocoxite somewhal rounded on the distal part. tergum IX lobe elliptical in ourline with short. sparse setac on the distal part and wilh a bare projection on the inner basal part and the tateral surface of the gonocoxite strongly convex.

## Culex (Melanoconion) jubifer Komp and Brown, 1935

Culex (Choeroporpa) juhifer Komp and Brown, 1935:2.54 ( $5^{\circ}$ ). Holotype d: PANAMA. Canal 7one. lower Chagres River. Mojinga Swamp (NMNH).
Rozeboom and Komp 1950:92 ( $6^{*}$ ): Lane 1953: 435 ( $\delta^{\circ}$ ); Fauran and Pajot 1974:106 (French Guitua); Sirivanakarn 1983:279 ( $6^{*}, \%^{\circ}$ ).
Nemale. Not examined.
Male. Adult not examined. Genitalia (Fig. 33): Similar to ( $x$. spissipes, but differing as follows. Tergum IX lobes large. nearly columnar. apieally approximated will sparse. short setae. Gonocoxite conical, outer margin convex, inner nearly straight: ventrolateral setae strongly developed; tergomesal surface with sparse, shorm. slender setac prosimal to subapical lobe and a large, petiolate, striate. distally expanded seta near base of distal dixision of subapical lohe. distal par of this seta somewhat triangular in oulline. lateral surface with a sparse patch of moderately developed setae and few short, slender setae from hase to the level of subapical lobe (Isp) and a small, heavily pigmented protuberance with 10 , 11 alveoli (wetac missing) near base of gonostylus, pioximal part of ventrolatcral surface with scales. Subapical lobe of gonocoxite distinctly divided. divisions separated: proximal dis ision columnar. forked at apex, with 2 long. robust. hooked setac (setac $a$ and $b$ ). seta $a$ basal to seta $b$ : distal division elongate. columnar with 2 apical. 2 subapical, and 4 basal setae. apical selae include a robust, apically hooked seta ( $h$ ) and a short. slender, saberlike seta ( $s$ ). subapical setae include a long. saberlike seta ( $s$ ) and a long. robust, apically hooked. foliform seta ( $l$ ) basial setac include 3 subequal, narrow, appressed. nearly spanatute setac ( $/$ ) and a slender. pointed seta (f) basal to the other $3 f$ setac. Gonostylus slightly widened on basal and sub-
apical parts, narrowed on median part and apex; apical snout elongase: ventral side of gonostylus with a small, triangular projection basal to subapieal crest, an external rounded ridge, and a prominent subapical crest, extending before apical snout to the triangular projection on ventral side; gonostylar claw short, leaflike; mesal side of gonostylus with sparse spicules on basal part and 2. 3 minute selae, vental seta more developed than dorsal seta. Lateral plate of phallosome without apical process. distal margin slightly concave, ventral and lateral processes present, ventral process long. pointed at apex, laterally curved; lateral proeess long, tapered, pointed at apex. Paraproct crown with 8 simple blades; 2, 3 cercal setae. Tergum $X$ nearly square, distally rounded.

Material examined. 1 SG. Hofotyper PANAMA. Canal Zone, lower Chagres River. Mojinga Swamp, Brown coll., Aug 1932.

Distribution (Fig. 8). According to Pecor et al. (1992). Cx. jubifer is known from Panama to Brazil. However, the specimens from southern Brazil considered by Fonatini er al. (1970) as C.r. jubijer wese actually C.x. simulator. Records from Venezuela should be reviewed because the male genitalia drawing given by Cowa Garcia et al. (1966a) appears to be of Cx. simulator. Records from French Guiana may refer to Cx, simulator, as they were based on specimens identified before the recognition of the specilic characters given by Sirivanakarn and Heinemann (1980) that made possible the distinction between Cx. jubifer and Cx. simulator. As a result. Cג. jubife'r may be found only in Middle America.

Bionomics. Immature stages of Cix. jubifer were collected in stream pools, stemmide rockholes, large and small ground pools, swamp ponds, suamp areas, small ground pools near streams, and in collared peccary (\%ayassu sp.) water holes, in forests, partial forests, secondgrowlh, and marginal forents. The habitats were in deep shade. partial shade, or full sun. The water was clear. dark or muddy, stagnant or with a moderate current, and without aquatic vegetation (Heinemann and Belkin 1978a).

Discussion. Culex jubifer differs from $C$. simulator in having a heavily pigmented protuberance with 10.11 alveoli on the lateral surface of the gonocoxite near the base of the gonostylus, the large seta ( $l$ ) on the base of the distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite somenhat triangular on the distal part, tergum IX lobe nearly columnar, apically approximated, with sparse. short setac, and the gonocoxite nearly conical. not strongly convex on the lateral surface.

## Lopesi Group

Based on peculiar male gentalia features. Sirivanakarn and Jakob (1979) considered Culex lopesi Sirivanakam and Jakob as "the most unusual" species of the subgenus Molanoromion. The authors included this species in this subgenus because it shared a fearure with most ober species, the absence of acrostictal setae. However. Forattini and Sallum (1990) showed that like Cx. spissipes, Cx. lopesi has a complete row of acrostichal setae and that the larva and pupa of this species also shared features with other Melanoconion species.

The Lopesi Group can be casily recognized by having the scutum entirely covered with dark brown scales, acrosichal setae present, disposed along acrostichal area, pleural integument light brown to dark brown, mesokatepisternum without a patch of broad, spatulate scales on the upper corner, tarsi dark-scaled, narrow falcate scales of the vertex dark anteriorly. whitish posteriorly. The cibarial armature of the Lopesi Group can be recognized by the cibarial teeth that are long, thin, relatively pointed. rodshaped, finely serrated at the apex, widely separated from one another and the distal 0.5 of each tooth not attached to the cibariat bar, the dorsal surface and distal margin of cibarial bar smooth, hollow areal of teeth small, restricted to base, and line of origin present, nearly straight. The male genitatia of the l.opesi Group are casily distinguished from those of the other groups by having a well-developed crest of spicules on the apical part of the dorsal side of the gonostylus, tergomesal surface of the gonocoxite with a long, columnar process on the base of the distal division of the subapical lobe and a sinal] protuberance with short, slender setae proximal in the subapical lobe, prosimal part of the ventrolateral surface with scales. distal division of the subapical lobe with a characteristic apical, broad. asymmetrical, curved foliform seta (l). a subapical. strong, curved, hooked seta ( $h$ ), 3 basal, narrow, appressed, apically hooked setac (/). and 3 basal, short, slender, stiff setae ( $f$ ), proximal division of the subapical lobe a stout arched stem with 2 long. robust. apical setae (a and $b$ ) and a variably developed, byaline, branched process on the basal part and apex of the division, seta $a$ well developed, nearly triangular in outline on apical part, tergum IX lobe somewhat triangular with a cluster of short. slender setae on the basal portion, leteral plate of the phallosome without apical process, ventral process long, triangular. and pointed, and lateral process longer, blunt at apex.

## Culex (Melanoconion) lopesi Sirivanakarn and Jakob, 1979

Cithex (Melanoconion) lopesi Sirivanakarn and
 Ribeira. Iguape. Sao Paulo, Brazil (NMNH).
Sirivanakarn 1983:279 ( $0^{\circ}$ ): Forattini and SalIum 1990:57 ( $\delta^{\star}, ~$ O*. P", L").
Female. Similar to Cx. spissipes, but diftering as follows. Body mosily covered with dark brown to blackish scales. Head: Antennal length about 2.37 mm : proboscis length $1.65-1.86 \mathrm{~mm}$ $(\bar{x}=1.76 \mathrm{~mm})$ : maxillary palpus lengh $0.33-$ 0.36 mm ( $\bar{x}-0.34 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). about 0.20 length of proboscis. Vertex with narrow, falcate scales. these scales dark anteriorly, whitish posteriorly. (ibarium (Fig. 15D): Length about $192 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; dorsal sutface and distal margin of cibarial bar smooth; about 11-15 long, thin, relatisely pointed aud rod-shaped teeth: looth length about 22 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. teeth widely separated from one another, distal margin of each tooth finely serrate; toorh origin line nearly straight: pocterior half of each toolh free from enclosure on cibarial bar: hollow area of tooth mall, restricted to base. Sensilla trichodea disposed in linear serich of $1-4$ single与etae on each side. Thorax: Integument light brown to dark brown. Scutum covered with dark brown seales with bron/y rellections: acrostichal setae present. disposed along acrontichal area, Scutellar scales similar to scutal scales. Cotally dark brown: median lobe with 6 large setac: lateral lobes each with 3, 4 large setal. Posipronotum with scales similar to scotal scales, entirely dark brown: with 3-6 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light brown to dark brown. Pleural setae dark brown with golden reflections. darker on prealar knob: 8-13 upper proepistemal. 68 prealar, 6-12 upper mesokatepisternal. 9-16 lower mesokatepisternal. 11-17 upper mosepimeral, and 1 lower inesepimeral. Pleura with a small patch of whitish spatulate scales on lower posterior border of mesokatepisternum. Wing: Length 3.15-3.84 $\mathrm{mm}(\overline{\mathrm{x}}=3.58 \mathrm{~mm})$ : cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 3.683 .98$ of $\mathrm{R}_{21}$. ( $\bar{x}=3.85$ ): celi M, 0.83 of cell $R_{2}$. Dorsal scaling: appressed spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$., linear plume scales on $\mathrm{R}_{5}$. $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, . M. proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{M}_{1}:$ : renigium with 2,3 distal setac. Ventral scaling: appeessed spatulate scales on proximal 0.3 of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, proximal 0.3 of R.i linear plume scales on proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, proximal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \ldots$ inclined marrow spatulate scales on distal 0.5 of $R_{\text {, distal }} 0.7$ of $R_{2}$. distal 0.7 of $R_{i}$, distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {. }}$, /halter: Scabellum and ventral portion of pedicel pate: capiteltum and dorsal portion of pedicel dark. Legs: Anteroventral surface of hindtrochanter white-scaled. occasional-
ly dark-scaled. Ahdomen. Terga II, VIII darkscaled with basolateral patches of white scales; terga III-VII dark-scaled will basolateral patches of white scales, sometimes beconing basal pale bands on segments III-VI. Sternum VIII without scales on middle, without small lateral patches of white scales. Contitalia: lateral lobe of tergum IX with 9 setae: insula with 12 clustered setac. Postgenital lobe with 11-17 setae on cither side of midhne.

Male. Like fenale except for the following sexual dilficrences. Head: Antennal length about 1.96 mm ; maxillary palpus length about 2.73 mm . extendiug beyond proboscis by lengh of apical 0.5 of palponere 4: palpomere 3 with 9 12 strong setac on outer apical area. Abdomen: Tergum II mostly dark-scaled with few white scales on basomedian area: terga III-VII with basal bands of white scales. Sterna with basal white bands, occasionally incomplete on anterios sterna; sternum VIII with basolateral patches of white scales. Genitalia ( Fig .34 ): Terzum IX lobes small, almost triangular shaped bearing few slender, short. clustered setac on basal region. Gonocoxite conical, outer nargin comex, inner margin moderately concave; tergomesal surlace with a small protuberance with few short, slender setae proximal to suhapical lobe and a columnar process bearing a subapical slender seta on the base of subapical lohe on lateral side, lateral surlace with small patch of slender. shorl setac (Isp) apical to subapical lobe, proximal part of ventrolateral surface with scales; proximal division of subapical lobe of gonocosite a stout arched stem with 2 long. robust, apical setac and variably developed. hyaline, branched processes, seta a spatulate, nearly triaugular in outline on distal part. seta $b$ enlarged. slightly sinuous. and apically hooked, this division with long, hyaline branched expansions basally: distal dix ision columnar, elongate, with 8 setae as follows: an apical broad, asymmetrical, curved foliform seta ( $/$ ), a subapical strong. curved, hooked seta ( $h$ ). 3 basal, narrow appressed. hooked setae ( $f$ ) subcçual in length. and 3 basal, hort. slender, stiff setae ( $f$ ) inserted almost at the same level. Gonostylus slender. enved. distally widened, with a fringe of delicate spicules on apical dorsat side and a short crest. extending on ventral side before apical snout: gonostylar claw shott with a curled atspect. Lateral plate of phallosome without apical process. distal margin slightly concave, sentral and lateral processes present. well developed, ventral process long, nearly tiangular, pointed, lateral process longer, hlunt, dorsolaterally directed Paraproct crown with 9 simple blades: 2. 3 cercal setac. Tergum $X$ somewhat rectangular in outline. ounded on apical margin.

Material examined． 11 §， 12 ot $\mathrm{G}, 20$ 오， 3 Ocib， 6 QG．Purutype：BRAZIL．Sao Paulo State，Iguape County．Porto do Ribeira． 3 Jul 1976．O．S．Lopes coll．．Sirivanakarn and Jakob det．1978．CDC light trap， 1 ぶ． 1 ठG（FSP）． Other specimens：BRA7II．．Parana State，Par－ anagua County，Apr 1977．Forattini et al．coll．， Foratlini and Sallum det．1987，I $\delta, 2 \delta$ G；Sao Paulo State．Canancia County，Itapitangui dis－ triet，Fonte Station，Oct 1982，I $9:$ Jul 1983， 1 C＇， 1 dG， 1 ㅇ： 19 Oct 1988， 28,1 ；Folha Larga Farm． 22 Mar 1983． 1 o， 1 \＆G：Sep 1983． 19 ；Nov 1985， 1 d． 1 む G：Itapon Farm． Jul 1980， 1 ？；Sep 1980， 1 f； 2 Sep 1980， 1 \％． 1 9G； 3 Nov 1980， 1 ¢， 1 个G； 24 Feb 1981，I 9．I 9 G, Apr 1982． 1 §；Iguape County，Igua－ pe－Bigua Road，Sep 1982， 2 ć． 2 oj $\mathbf{j}$ ；Nov 1982， 1 © ； 14 Mar 1984，I $\delta, 1$ § G． 1 e；Par－ iquera－Actu County．Experimental Station，Oct 1979， $1 \delta^{\circ} .1 \delta^{\prime} G, 1$ ；Jan 1981． 2 ？， 1 Ocib，
 Jun 1982， 1 O． 1 fcib：urban area．Sao Paulo Ave．，Feb 1979，New Jersey light trap，perido－ miciliary envitonment， $10^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ ； 30 （Ot 1980 ， $10^{\circ}$ ． 1 dG；Nov 1980， 1 G． $19 \mathrm{cib}, 19 \mathrm{G}$.
Distribution（Fig．9）．Known from the Ribei－ ra Valley，Sao Paulo State，and nearby localities in Parana State．Brazil．
Bionomics．Immature stages were collected in small ground pools and drainage ditches with abundant grassy vegetation．Adulis were col－ lected in forest and in peridomiciliary environ－ ments，on luman bait，and with battery－powered aspirators．manual nets．and CDC light traps （Foratini et al．1986，1989b；Gonues et al．1987）． A female was found to have fed on avian blood （Forattini et al．1987a）．

Discussion．As the Lopesi Group is a mono－ specific group．Cx：lopesi ditfers from the other species of the Spissipes Section in having the many distinctive characters that are indicated in the group diagnosis．

## Faurani Group

According to the elassification of subgenus Melanoconion proposed by Sirivanakarn（1983）． Culex faurani Duret belongs to a monospecific group，the Faurani Group，which can be easily recogniced by the male genitalia．Forattini and Sallum（1992）found peculiar characters in the cibarial armature that seent characteristic of the Faurani Group．

The Faurani Group can be easily recognized by possessing the narrow falcate scales of the vertex entirely light bronzy，the erect forked scales light brown or，occasionally，slightly darker，seutal scales entirely dark，mesepimeron uniformiy tanned，tibiac and tarsi dark－scaled，
absence of acrostichal setae，and a patch of scales on the upper corncr of the mesokatepi－ stemum．The cibarial armature of the Faurani Group differs from the other groups of the Spis－ sipes Section in having the cibarial tooth with a rigid parallelogram outine，teeth disposed in a linear serics，hollow area absent．posterior mar－ gin of cibarial bar with numerous spicules，dor－ sal surface of cibarial bar smooth．line of origin of teeth evident．nearly straight，cibarial dome with numerous，narrow，bladelike denticles．The male genitalia of the Faurani Group differ from those of the other groups in possessing the gon－ ostylus with an elongate apical snout．the prox－ imal part of the ventrolateral surface of the gon－ ocoxite without scales，the proximal division of Une subapical lobe forked at apex，seta $a$ basal to seta b，distal division short，with 7 apical and 1 subapical setae．apical setac inciude a moder－ ately long and a short saberlike seta（s）a rela－ tively long．flexible，slender seta（ $)$ ． 3 subequal， narrow appressed setae（ $f$ ），and a short，natrow， spatulate seta（ $f$ ）subapical seta a long，robust， hooked seta（ $h$ ），lateral plate of phallosome with apical．ventral，and lateral processes，apical pro－ ecss small．weakly scleroti\％ed，nearly triangular， ventral process long，laterally curved，lateral process long，nearly pointed，thickened margin of aedeagal sclerite unequally sclerotized with a wrinkled aspect and basal piece nearly square in outline．

## Culex（Melanoconion）faurani Duret， 1968

Culex（Melanoconion）faurani Duret，1968：77 （ $0^{*}$ ）．Holotype（ ${ }^{\circ}$ ）：BR $\wedge Z I L$ ，Amazonas， Manaus（NMNH）．
Galindo 1969：88（tax．）：Harbach et al．1991：193 （type info．）；Forattini and Sallum：1992：76

Female．Similar to Cx．spissipes，differing as follows．Adult mostly covered with dark scales． Head：Antennal length about 2.42 mm ；pedicel of antenna dark，occasionally yellowish．Probos－ cis length about 2.07 mm ；maxillary palpus length about 0.34 mm ，about 0.20 length of pro－ boscis．Verlex with narrow falcate light broncy scales．lateral patches of broad，spatulate scales moderately developed，these scales grayish or dingy white；erect forked scales light brown or slightly darker：occipital region with light bronzy scales．Cibarium（Figs．15C．17D－17F， and 35 ）：Length about $164 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ；dorsal surface of cibarial bar smooth，posterior margin with nu－ merous spicules unequal in size；about 29 nar－ row，long teeth with sides more or less parallel． apically truncate，and finely serrate；tooth lengith
about $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : line of origin evident, nearly stratght: hollow area of teerh absem. Cibarial dome nearly circular. surface with numerous bladelike denticles, posteriorly directed. Sensilla trichodea disposed in linear series of 2.3 single seta on each side. Thorax: Pleural integument light brown to dark brown. Scutum with narrow, falcate dark brown scales with golden or coppery reflections. Scutellar scale, similar to scutal scales in color and shape; median lobe with 6 , 7 lange setac, lateral Jobes cach with 3. 4 large setac. Postpronotum with scales similar to scutal scales: with 3-5 large setae on posterodorsal margin. Pleural integument light brown to dark brown. slightly darker on postpronotum. proepisternum. postspiracular area, upper mesokatepisternum, prealar knob. and mesepimeron. Pleural setae yellowish. brown will golden reflections on prealar knob, upper mesokatepisternum, lower mesepimeron, and the stronger upper proepisternal and stronger lower mesokatepisternal setac: 13 upper procpisternal, 5 prealar, 8 upper mesokatepisternal. 7 lower mesokatepisternal. 10 upper mesepineral. and I lower mesepimeral. Pleura with a row of broad, spatulate, uhitish scales on lower posterior border of mesokatepisternum. Wing: I.ength almost 3.49 mm ; cell $\mathrm{R}_{2} 4.93$ of vein $\mathrm{R}_{21 ;}$; cell $\mathrm{M}_{2} 0.78$ of cell $R_{3}$; subcosta intersects cosra slightly proximal to furcation of $\mathrm{R}_{2+1}$. Dorsal scaling: linear plume scales on proximal part of M proximally to ancu; inclined natrow spatulate scales on distal part of at distally to meu; remigium with 2.3 distal setac. Ventral scaling: appressed spatulate scales on 0.2 proximal of $R_{5}, 0.3$ proximal of $\mathrm{R}_{3}, 0.2$ proximal of $\mathrm{M}_{1},:$ lincar plume scales on proximal 0.5 ot $\mathrm{R}_{\text {_ }}$; inclined narrow spatulate scales on $R_{2}$, distal 0.8 of $R_{2}$, distal 0.7 of $\mathrm{R}_{7}$ distal 0.5 of $\mathrm{R}_{1-2}$, distal 0.8 of $\mathrm{M}_{1-2}$. , eg : Anterion surface of all coxac with hyaline scales. Antero- and posteroventral surfaces of trochanters with whitish scales. Abdomen: lerga II-VIII dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white scales. Sterna II-VII dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales: sternum VIII with dark scales. Genitalia (Fig. 35): Lateral lobes of tergum IX cach with 9-13 setac; 8-10 clustered insular setac. Posigenital lobe nearly traperoidal in outline. distal margiu nearly straight. with 1216 setac on either side of midline.
Male. Like female, except for the following sexual differences. Head: Antemual length about 1.95 mm : maxillary palpus length about 2.52 mm , extending beyond proboscis tip by lenglh of apical 0.5 of palpomere 4: palpomere 3 with 7-10 strong setae on outer apical area. Abdomen: Tergum II dark-scaled with basolateral and basomedian patches of white scales: tergum III dark-scaled with basolateral patches of white
scales, occasionally with basal white band: terga IV-V1l dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales; tergum VILI not examined in detail. Sterna dark-scaled with basal bands of white scales; sternum VIII not examined in detail. Genitalia (Fig. 35): lergum IX lobes small, nearly rounded with an inner apical projection with slender: pointed setae: interlobar area small, concave. Gonocoxite conical, inner margin slightly concave: tergomesal surface with a sparse parch of short. slender setac proximal to subapical lobe; lateral surface with sparse patch of woderatels; long and slender setae ( lsp ) extending from middle to the level of subapical lobe; subapical lobe distinelly divided, divisions approximated; proximal division columnar, forked at apex with 2 Iong, robust, hooked setae (setac a and b), seta $a$ sinuous. basal to seta $b$, seta $b$ nearly straight: distal division shorter than proximal division with 7 apical and 1 subapical setae; apical setae include a moderately long and a short saberlike sctae (s). a telatively long, slender, flexible seta (I) on lateral side on the base of the moderately long saberlike seta, 3 subequal, natrow, appressed setae ( $/$ ). and a shorter, narrow. appressed, spatulate seta ( $f$ ), subapical seta includes a long, robust. hooked seta ( $h$ ). Gonostylus narrow, curved, widened on subapical part and tapered to apex. apical snout clongate. blunt at apex; subapical crest evident on ventral side from apical snout to widened subapical part; gonostylar claw leallike, broadest at apex. Lateral plate of phallosome with apical. ventral, and lateral processes; apical process short, Weakly sclerotized. nearly triangular in outine, apical margin $V$-shaped, sentral process long, laterally curved. lateral process long, pointed, dorsolaterally directed; aedeagal selerite broad at base. narrowed to apes. thickened margin unequally sclerotired with a wrinkled aspect: basal prece nearly square in outline; paraproct crown with 9-12 simple blades: 1-3 cercal setac. Tergum $X$ somewhat square in outline.
Material examined. 34 ó, 34 o'G. $10 \% .7$ §cib. $2 \subsetneq$ G. Holotype: BRAZIL, Amazonas States, Manaus County. 28 Jun 1963, Duret coll. 1 ふ, 1 © G. Other specimens: Para State. Belem County, Litinga, Jul 1966, A. Toda coll.. Sallum det. 1993. 2 ©, 2 3G: Sao Paulo State, Paricuera-Açu County, Experimental Station, 8 Mar 1978. E. X. Rabelk) coll., Sallum det. 1982, CDC light trap, 1 ó, I $\delta \mathrm{G}: 29 \mathrm{Jan} 1981,1 \delta^{\circ}$, 1 бG: 9 Apr 1981. 1 б, 1 ठG; 6 Mar 1980. Shannon trap supplemented with light. 2 8. 2 ठ G: $7 \mathrm{Apr} 1980,2$ ©. 2 \& G: 10 Apr 1980. 1 j. 1 oG; 17 Apr 1980. 1 ©, 1 डG: 26 Feb 1981. 9 J, 9 óG, 1 Q, 1 Ocib, 1 ? G: 12 Mar 1981. 5 ó. 5 dG: 26 Mar 1981, 3 б. 3 ós; 21 May 1984, Forattini et al. coll.. Sallum det. 1984, 1
©. 1 őG; 21 May 1984, battery-powered aspirator, 1 o, 1 oG; 28 May 1984, $1 \delta, 1$ бG: Canancia County, Itapitangui district. Vilarinho Farm, 7 Feb 1984, CDC light trap. $1 \delta, 1 \delta \mathrm{G}$; Fonte Station, 14 May 1984, battery-powered aspirator, $1 \hat{\delta}, 1 \quad \bar{\sigma}$; Iguape County, IguapeBigua Road. 4 Oct 1982. E. X. Rabello coll., Sallum det. 1982, CDC light trup. 79.49 cib, 1 9G; 6 ()ct 1982, 2 9. 2 9cib.

Distribution (rig. 10). Known from the 1 m azon Region (Para and Amazonas states) and Ribeira Valley. Sao Paulo State, Brazil, and French Guiana (Cascade).

Bionomics. Inmature stages were found in ground pools in places where the vegetation was cut down and burned. Adults were collected in CDC light traps, Shamon traps supplemented with light, and with battery-powered aspirators in primary or residual forests.

Discussion. Culex faurani, the only species in the Faurani Group, can be casily recognized by the characters presented for the group.
As a result of detailed comparison between populations from northern and southern Brazil, it is possible to recognize differences in the male genitalia, mainly in the aspect of the immer arm of the distal division of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite. The southern population has a longer inner arm. In addition, the distance between the short seta $s$ and the slender, flexible setal $l$ is longer in the southern population, and in the northern population seta $h$ and the shont setas are inserted nearly at the same level on the apex of the inner arm (Fig. 35). Finally, it seems important to note that Cx. faurani dilfers from the other species of the Spissipes Section in possessing the basal piece of the phallosome nearly square in outline (Fig. 35). It is somewhat triangular in the other >pecies (Fig. 18).

## REMARKS

According to Berlin and Belkin (1980) and Sirivanakarn (1983). the adult of Culex (Metanocomion) can be recognized by the absence of the following: metallic coloration on the legs and abdominal terga, antepronotal scales. acrostichal setae (except in C.r. wissipes), microsetae at base of hatter, and setae on metameron; and by possessing broad and short plame scales on wing veins, basolateral patches of white scales on abdominal terga II. VII (absent in Cx: simulator, Cx. jubifer, and male of Cx. spissipes), scutal and antepronotal integument brown to blackish, and lewer than 20 upper proepisternal setae. However, while examining specimens of Melanoconion by scamning microscopy we observed 3, 4 small setae at base of halter (Figs. 14入. 14B) in species of the Spissipes Section as
well as in other species of the Melanoconion Section. In addition, the presence of setae on the metameron, considered characteristic of subgenus Tinolestes, was observed in Culex bastagarius Dyar and Knab of the Melanoconion Section: acrostichal setae were found in C $\lambda$. spissipes, Cx. lopesi, Cx. portesi, Cx. sacchattae, and Cx: vomerifer: and Cx. adamesi, Cx. pedroi, and Cx. ribeirensis have 15-32 well-developed upper proepisternal setac. In conclusion, it seems that Melanoconion can be recognized by the absence of metallic coloration on the legs and abdominal terga. absence of antepronotal scales, and presence of broad and short plume scales on wing veins. The other characters can be useful to distinguish groups or species.
The subgenus Melanoconion, as interpreted by the above authors, can be recognized by the following male genitalic features: the proximal and distal divisions of the subapical lobe of the gonocoxite columnar, presence of scales on the proximal part of the ventrolateral surface of the gonocoxite, and paraproct crown with fewer than 20 simple blades. However, we observed both the presence and absence of scales on the proximal part of the ventrolateral surface of the gonocoxite. Consequently, this character should not be considered for the recognition of the subgenus Melanoconion.

## The Spissipes Section

The Spissipes Section was first proposed by Galindo (1969) as the "Culex spissipes" group. Galindo recognized this group based on feeding habits of adults; the larva uniformly dark, with a large siphon; subdorsal pairs of seta $1-\mathrm{S}$ inserted distal to the subventral pairs (except in Cx: spissipes); seta 7-I always double. and antenna uniformly tamed from base to apex.
Subsequently, the "Cutex spissipes" group was recognized by Sirivanakarn (1983) as the Spissipes Section. Sirivanakarn defined the Spissipes Section as that group of species that, in the adult stage, bave narrow decumbent scales on the vertex and small or indistinct patches of broad spatulate scales on the lateral portions (Figs. 11A. 11B), and male genitalia with a broadly sclerotized aedeagal sclerite in lateral aspect (Fig. 19). The larval stage has seta 8-P usually with 4-6 branches (except the Jubifer Group) and seta 7-P usually with 4, 5 branches (except the Jubifer Group). The pupal stage has seta 9-VIII inserted at or close to the caudolateral angle of the segment and seta [1-C usually double. Finally. Foratini and Sallum (1992). while examining cibatial features of the females of some species. observed certain characters of the cibarial bar and cibarial dome that are useful
for delimitation ol this section and identification of species.

By means of a series of compatative observations made on adults and temale and male genitaila, it seems that Culex nicaroensis Duret does not belong to the subgenus Melanoconion. It differs trom the oher species in possessing scales on the antepronotum (these scales are absent in Melanocontion species); the posigenital lobe is rodlike, strongly selerotized, with setae insetted only on the apical portion ( Fig .36 ); the cerci have a beaklike profection in the inner apical margin (Fig. 36) (in Melonoconion this margin is rounded. withoul a beaklike projection [Fig. 19]); and the upper vaginal selerite is well developed and well selerotized (Fig. 36) (it is somewhat rectangular. poorly developed in M $\%$ lamoconion species (Fig. 21). In make genitalia features, we observed that Cx. micurocnsis ditfers from Metanoconion species in possessing a hyaline crest near the middle of the outer surlace of the gonostylus (Fig 36) (a similar crest is tound in species of subgenus 7inolester: the hyaline crest found in Cx. sarchetue, $C_{2}$. vomerifor, and ( $x$. portesi differs in development. shape. and position (Figs. 27-29]), sternum IX is nearly traperoidal (Fig. 36) in (x. nicaroensis. (it is nearly rectangular in species of Molanocomion), and the lateral plate of the phatlosome of $C x$. nicuroensis is similar in shape to that of species of subgenus inocdioporpa (Culex originator Gordon and Evans).

In conclusion. present knowledge leads us to suggest the following scheme of classification for the Spissipes Section.

| Spissipes Section |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gioup | Subgroup | Species |
| Spissipes | . | C.d. spissipes |
| Tacriopus | - | Cג. akritos. Cג. cedecei, Cr. ikelos. Ca. taeniopts |
| Crybda | Pedroi | Cx. adamesi, Cx. crybder. Cx. epomastasis. Cx. podroi, (is. ribermensis |
|  | Paracrybda | Cx. delpontai. Cx. para(rybder |
|  | Peres rai | Cx. pere)rai |
| Vomeriler | - | (x. portesi, Cx. succhetlue. Cx. womerifer |
| Ocossa | - | Cx. orossa. Cr. panocossa |
| Jubifer | - | (ג. jubifor. C.ג. simula10 r |
| $1.0 p \mathrm{si}$ | - | Ci. lopesi |
| Faurani | - | Cix. Jaurani |
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