Allocation: finding a total and uniform measure for all the services to which the joint processes contribute, and on the basis of this partition the joint exchanges to each of the services to which they contribute

Background load: the contribution to a resource consumption or impact category from the reference area, expressed per person in the area. Used for normalization

Bioconcentration: tendency of a substance to accumulate in living organisms

Biodegradability: degradability by microorganisms in the environment. Assessed from standardized tests

CAS number: Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number is a numeric designation assigned by the American Chemical Society's Chemical Abstract Service uniquely identifying a specific chemical compound regardless of name or naming system

Co-products: two or more products leading to a service for a user and deriving from or entering into a range of processes

Data format: a template for the three categories of information: a description of the process, an inventory of the process's exchanges with the environment, and a characterization of the information

Elements: defined tasks or "steps" in the LCA method. Each of the method's phases consists of one or more elements

Environmental latitude: the environmental impact acceptable on average for each person in a sustainable society

Environmental diagnosis: designating environmental points of focus in the product: designating which impact potentials are problematic, identifying improvement potentials and localizing where in the product they reside

Environmental exchanges: an exchange with the environment is defined as an input to a process, an output from the process or an internal interaction with an operator of the process

Environmental impacts: impacts on the external environment including human health

Environmental specification: retainment of the weighting of environmental considerations in the product's total commercial basis and determination of the specific requirements for the product's properties imposed by environmental considerations

Environmental assessment of a product: to define and quantify the service provided by the product, to identify and to quantify the environmental exchanges caused by the way in which the service is provided, and to ascribe these exchanges and their potential impacts to the service

**Exposure:** the degree to which a substance reaches parts of the environment where the impact can be exerted, e.g. the degree to which a toxic substance reaches an organism

Functional unit: the defined and quantified service provided by the product. The functional unit is the object of the environmental assessment

Goal definition: clarifies what the LCA shall and shall not be used for, including the decisions which it must support and the environmental consequences to which these decisions can lead. A phase of the LCA method

**Inventory:** collection of environmentally relevant information from processes identified during scope definition and included in the product system. A phase of the LCA method

**Joint environmental exchanges:** environmental exchanges from a joint process which can be ascribed to more than one service

**Joint processes:** processes which contribute to more than one service for a final user

Key figures: the environmentally most important data of the LCA, including both data on environmental exchanges from the processes and on the composition of the product system, i.e. data for the product life time, use patterns, disposal routes and other product system parameters

Life cycle assessment: see environmental assessment of products

**Manufacturing:** the processing of materials into the final product. Designates a stage in the product's life

Material grade: the utility value residing in the material expressed as a fraction of the initial utility value of the material. The material grade thus lies between 0 and 1

**MECO principle:** a method to structure and systematize the work of the LCA. M, E, C and O designate Materials, Energy, Chemicals and Others

Non-renewable resources: resources which cannot be regenerated or regenerated only within a time horizon beyond current interest, e.g. oil, coal, metals

Normalization: Relative scaling of the product's impact potentials and resource consumptions through comparison of each of them with a reference, which is the background contribution to the same impact potentials and resource consumption. In the EDIP method the average contribution from one person in 1990 is used as reference

NO<sub>x</sub>: Nitrogen oxides, i.e. NO and NO<sub>2</sub>

Parts list: (~ list of components) a hierarchic list of the product's composition from top level, which is the product itself, to bottom level, which is materials and components purchased externally

PCBs: Polychlorinated biphenyls - a group of highly persistent organic compounds

Phases: here used to subdivide the LCA-method into four: the goal definition phase, the scope definition phase, the inventory phase and the impact assessment phase

Product service: the service provided by the product; a definition of a product is that it is of benefit to the user: it provides a service

Product system: all processes into which the product enters are collectively termed its product system

Projection: assessing the future magnitude of key figures for the LCA

Reference product: the product selected to represent provision of the service

Renewable resources: resources which can be regenerated, e.g. wood, water, grain

Resource consumption: consumption of both renewable and non-renewable resources

Resources: the primary raw materials from which the materials, the ancillary substances and the energy in the product system derive

Scope definition: designates a phase of the LCA method and comprises the following elements: definition of the object of the study including specification of the functional unit, identification of the assessment criteria, definition of the scope of the product system, definition of the time boundaries of the study, definition of the technological level of the processes in the product system, and definition of allocation procedures

Scope definition of the product system: identifying and including the most significant processes from an environmental perspective, thus excluding the insignificant ones

**Screening:** an introductory rough environmental assessment used to plan the data collection for the actual environmental assessment. See also scope definition of the product system

Sensitivity analysis: idenfication of the key figures of the LCA and analysis of the overall variability of results and conclusions as a consequence of uncertainties in these figures

Service: see product service

Sustainable development: development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs with focus on material resources, biological diversity and the health of the environment

**Technological scope:** the technological level which must be met by the processes which comprise the product system

Uncertainty analysis: assessment of the uncertainty of the total results and conclusions due to uncertainties in the data used (in the scope definition, the inventory and the impact assessment)

Unit process: data for a process expressed per unit of process in a generalized form; for materials a unit will typically be weight, for a painting process typically surface area

Working environment, impacts on: negative influence on safety and health to which the operator is exposed during work. All impacts on the working environment are expressed as duration of exposure in hours or millihours except for accidents, which are expressed in numbers

Use stage: a stage of the product life comprising all processes related to use and maintenance of the product

Utility value: a measure of the total potential for use of the material substance or component in question

Weighting: a quantitative comparison of the seriousness of the different resource consumptions or impact potentials of the product

# Variables and parameters

EP(htg)	EP(gw) EP(ht) EP(hta)	EP(etwc)	EP(etwa)	EP(etsc)	EP(ac) EP(et) EP(etp)	EF(po)	EF(od) EF(P)	$\mathrm{EF}(\mathrm{ne})$	EF(N)	$\mathrm{EF}(j)_{\mathbf{i}}$	EF(htw)	EF(hts)	EF(htg)	EF(gw) EF(ht) EF(hta)	EF(etwc)	EF(etwa)	EF(etsc)	EF(ac) EF(et) EF(etp)	BCF BIO B <sub>m</sub>
Environmental impact potential for human toxicity on exposure via groundwater	Environmental impact potential for global warming Environmental impact potential for human toxicity Environmental impact potential for human toxicity on exposure via air	Environmental impact potential for chronic ecotoxicity in water	Environmental impact potential for acute ecotoxicity in water	water treatment plant Environmental impact potential for chronic ecotoxicity in	Environmental impact potential for acidification Environmental impact potential for ecotoxicity Environmental impact potential for ecotoxicity in waste	Equivalency factor for photochemical ozone formation potential	Equivalency factor for ozone depletion potential Equivalency factor for potential for nutrient enrichment (P only)	Equivalency factor for potential for nutrient enrichment (N and P together)	Equivalency factor for potential for nutrient enrichment (N only)		Equivalency factor for potential for human toxicity on exposure via surface water	Equivalency factor for potential for human toxicity on exposure via soil	factor for	alency alency alency	Equivalency factor for potential for chronic ecotoxicity in water	Equivalency factor for potential for acute ecotoxicity in water	Equivalency factor for potential for chronic ecotoxicity in soil	Equivalency factor for acidification potential Equivalency factor for potential for ecotoxicity Equivalency factor for potential for ecotoxicity in waste	Meat transfer factor Bioconcentration factor Biodegradability factor Milk transfer factor

HTTF HTTF HTTF HTTF HTTF I,b	f <sub>wc</sub> H HRC	$f_{ m W}$	P. S. P. B. P.	ETF <sub>p</sub> ETF <sub>sc</sub> ETF <sub>wa</sub> ETF <sub>wa</sub> ETF <sub>wc</sub> f(loss) f(new) f(scrap) f <sub>a</sub>	EP(pt) ER(j) <sub>90</sub> ER(j) <sub>T2000</sub>	EP(od) EP(P)	EP(j) EP(j) <sub>i</sub> EP(N) EP(ne)	EP(hts) EP(htw)
Human reference dose Human toxicity factor for exposure via air Human toxicity factor for exposure via groundwater Human toxicity factor for exposure via soil Human toxicity factor for exposure via surface water Intake factor for air Intake factor for groundwater Intake factor for soil Intake factor for soil via meat	That portion of the emission which contributes to chronic ecotoxicity in water Henry's law constant Human reference concentration	That portion of the emission which contributes to toxicity via surface water  That portion of the emission which contributes to acute ecotoxicity in water	That portion of the emission which contributes to toxicity via groundwater That portion of the emission which contributes to toxicity via soil That portion of the emission which contributes to chronic	or for effects in waste water treatment for chronic effects in soil or for acute effects in water or for chronic effects in water grade f new material f scrap the emission which contributes to	Environmental impact potential for persistent toxicity Normalization reference for environmental impact category (j) based on emissions in 1990 Society's target contribution in the year 2000 for environmental impact category (j) based on political reduction tar-	together) ental impact potential for ozone deple ental impact potential for nutrient ental impact potential for photocher	Environmental impact potential for impact category (j) Environmental impact potential for impact category (j) from substance (i) Environmental impact potential for nutrient enrichment (N only) Environmental impact potential for nutrient enrichment	Environmental impact potential for human toxicity on exposure via soil Environmental impact potential for human toxicity on exposure via water

NP() NR() NWP() NEP(j) Normalized potential for working environment impact cat-Normalized resource consumption (j) Normalized potential for environmental impact category Normalized impact potential or resource consumption (j) ite span

P(j) PNEC Predicted no-effect concentration, the highest concentraegory (j) Impact potential or resource consumption (j)

PNEC<sub>sc</sub> tion assessed not to produce ecotoxic effects in the envi-The highest concentration assessed not to produce chronic ronment

 $PNEC_{wc}$ PNEC<sub>wa</sub> ecotoxic effects in the water compartment The highest concentration assessed not to produce chronic ecotoxic effects in the soil compartment The highest concentration assessed not to produce acute

ecotoxic effects in the water compartment Octanol-water partitioning coefficient

Product NN Average of products which draw on the material pool

Exchange of substance (i) from process (p)
Environmental exchange of substance (i) from the Environmental exchange of substance (i)

process and its non-terminal exchanges Quantity emitted to waste water treatment plant use

Consumption of resource (j) Normalization reference for impact potential or resource consumption for one year

R(j)  $RR(j)_{90}$ based on consumption in 1990 Normalization reference for resource consumption (j)

Site factor for process (p) for use in computation of envigory (j) ronmental impact potential for environmental impact cate-Stem concentration factor

Duration of service as defined in the functional unit

Transfer factor from groundwater I ransfer factor from air

Transfer factor from soil I ransfer factor from soil via meat

Transfer factor from soil on direct intake Transfer factor from soil via milk

l'ransfer factor from water Transfer factor from soil via plants

WEP(j) WF(j) sumption (j) Weighting factor for impact potential or resource con-Weighted potential for environmental impact category (j)

**WP**(j) Potential for contribution to impact (j) on the working Weighted impact potential or resource consumption (j) environment

> Normalization reference for working environment impact Weighted consumption of resource (j)

> > 535

Weighted potential for working environment impact catecategory (j) for the years circa 1990

gory (j)

α/μ Standard deviation

Q 7

Coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by

# Abbreviations

POCP PP PS PU PVC PVC REPA SETAC	NOEC ODP OECD	NOAEC	LOEC logN NMHC	LDPE LLDPE LOAEC	LCA LC <sub>Lo</sub>	HCFC HDPE HFC HIPS IPCC ISO LC <sub>50</sub>	ABS CEN CFC D EAA EDIP
Photochemical ozone creation potential Polypropylene Polystyrene Polyurethane Polyvinyl chloride Resource and environmental profile analyses Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry	No observed effect concentration. The highest concentration observed to result in no effects in test organisms  Ozone depletion potential  Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development	No observed adverse effect concentration. The highest concentration observed to result in no injurious effects in test organisms  No observed adverse effect level. The highest dose observed to result in no injurious effects in test organisms.	Lowest observed effect concentration. The lowest concentration observed to result in effects in test organisms  Log(arithmic) normal distribution  Non-methane hydrocarbons. Hydrocarbons except	Low density polyethylene Linear low density polyethylene Lowest observed adverse effect concentration. The lowest concentration observed to result in injurious effects in test organisms Lowest observed adverse effect level. The lowest dose	Life cycle assessment Lethal concentration low. The lowest concentration which causes death among test organisms Lethal dose 50%. Dose lethal to 50% of test organisms	Hydrochlorofluorocarbon High density polyethylene Hydrofluorocarbon High impact polystyrene Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change International Organization for Standardization Lethal concentration 50%. Concentration lethal to 50% of	Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymer Comité Europeén de Normalisation Chlorofluorocarbon Statistical distribution European Aluminium Association European Aluminium for Industrial Products, acronym for the LCA method presented in this book Global warming potential

TD<sub>Lo</sub>
effects in test organisms
UNCED United Nations Conference on Environment and Development
UNECE United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
VOC
Volatile organic compound

537

# Units

$C_2H_4$ -eq	Ethylene equivalents. Unit for potential contribution to
CFC11-eq	Unit for potential contribution to stratospheric ozone
CO <sub>7</sub> -eq	depletion  Carbon dioxide equivalents. Unit for potential contribu-
dB(A)	tion to global warming  Decibels: unit of sound pressure ('A' weighting)
$m^3 air$	Unit for potential contribution to human toxicity via
m³ soil	inhalation Unit for potential contribution to chronic ecotoxicity in
	soil or human toxicity via soil
m³ water	Unit for potential contribution to acute ecotoxicity in
	t, chronic ecotoxic
N-eq	In water or numan toxicity via water  Nitrogen equivalents. Unit for potential contribution to
NO <sub>3</sub> -eq	nutrient enrichment  Nitrate equivalents. Unit for potential contribution to
P-ea	nutrient enrichment  Phosphorus equivalents. Unit for potential contribution
	to nutrient enrichment
FE 1 WDK2000	nental impact potentials expressed via weighting relative
	to society's target impacts for the year 2000. Given as PET <sub>w2000</sub> or PET <sub>DK2000</sub> depending on whether the weighting factors are based on global (W) or Danish
${ m PE}_{ m WDK90}$	(DK) emission targets in the year 2000  Person-equivalent computed as the average resource con-
:	sumption or impact potential per person in the world (W) or in Denmark (DK) in 1990. Unit for normalized
	resource consumption or normalized potentials for envi- ronmental impacts or impacts on the working environ-
ppbv	ment Parts per billion by volume. Unit for concentration in air
$PR_{W90}$	Person-reserve. Unit for weighted consumption of resources which is expressed via weighting as the frac-
	tions of the person-reserve computed in 1990. Given as
	$PR_{W90}$ as the reserve is computed for the world (W) in 1990
$RWI_{DK90}$	Expected number of reported work injuries based on fig-
S S	weighted impact potentials on the working environment
S∪ <sub>2</sub> -eq	sulpnur dioxide equivalents. Unit for potential contribution to acidification

S

# Index

### $\triangleright$

Allergy, 12, 51, 86f, 125, 140, 233ff, 302 Allocation, 29, 40, 67ff, 71ff, 81, 83, 134, 140, 155, 168, 179, 183, 185f, 191, 204ff Acidifying compound, 9, 255 Acute toxicity, 15, 278, 308 Acidification potential, 255f Acidification, 9f, 48, 51, 101, 107, 119f, 140, 255f, 302 Accident, 51, 53, 140, 302 Ancillary substance, 24, 79 Ammonia, 9, 256, 258 Alkanes, 252 Alcohols, 253 Air compartment, 51, 265, 281 Aggregation, 88, 102, 186, 238f Aerobic heterotrophic bacteria, 268, 273 Acetylene, 252 Acetone, 253 Acetaldehyde, 253 Assessment parameters, 40, 47ff Assessment, object of, 40, 43ff Aquatic environment plan, 11 Aldehydes, 253 Biodegradability factor, 264, 274, 277, 280, Biodegradability, 11, 258ff, 274, 277f, 279f, Bioconcentration, 260, 279 Bioconcentration potential, 271 Bilanzierung, 27 Bilateral, 70, 74, 211 Background load, global, 105ff Atmospheric half-lives, 246f, 265ff, 281ff Assessment, 96 Assessment factor, 269, 272 Alkenes, 252 Bioconcentration factor, BCF, 289 Bioavailability, 273 Bulk waste, 51, 140, **299**, 302 Brundtland Commission, 3 Benzene, 253 Background load, Danish, 107ff

Calculation model, 40, 88ff Calorific value, 71, 75, 217  $C_2H_4$ , 302 CO, 109f, 119, 246f, 250, 253 CO<sub>2</sub>, 5f, 48, 52, 69, 98f, 117, 119, 225, 228, 244ff, 302 CH<sub>4</sub>, 48, 52, 99, 244, 247, 252 CFC, 5f, 96, 104, 124, 158f, 164, 173, 247ff, 302 CEN, 29 Cd, 48 CAS number, 81, 224 Carbon monoxide, 109f, 119, 246f, 250, 253 Cancer, 6, 12, 51, 64, 86f, 125, 140, 234, Classification, 29, 98 Chronic toxicity, 278 Choice of details, 129f, 182 Chemical screening method, 304ff Characterization of data, 80, 82, 225, 228 Characterization, 29, 98 Carbon dioxide, 5f, 48, 52, 69, 98f, 117, 119, 125, 228, 244ff, 302 Concept level, 32, 163, 356, 365ff, 401, 409ff, 441, 445ff, 473, 478ff, 510, 513ff Coastal waters, damage to, 10, 30 CO<sub>2</sub>, nett contribution, 245 Climatic changes, 5f, 244 Co-products, 68, 74f, 204, 206, 214f Chlorinated aromatic compound, 66 Chemical universe, 4 Cultural values, 14, 48 Corrosion damage, 255 Consumer organizations, 6, 30 Construction work, 13 Conceptual choice, 32, 164f, 356, 401 Concept, 32, 163 Component level, 34, 163f, 166, 358ff, Competitive framework, 169f, 362, 405f, Comité Europeén de Normalisation, 29 Coefficient of adsorption, 272f, 291ff Climate convention, 6 Code of Practice, 28t Cracking, 68, 75 Components, 38 302, 307f 365ff, 402f, 409ff, 442, 445ff, 473, 478ff 443, 475, 511

424, 458, 490  Data reference, 46  Data format, 40, 76, 223, 226ff  Data, planning, 218ff, 329, 380, 424, 458,	<b>D</b> Data source, 47, 79f, 83, 220  Data, collection, 40, 83ff, 228ff, 329, 380.
Ester, 253 Ethane, 252 Ethanol, 253 Ether, 253 Ether, 253 Ethylene, 251, 252f	Environmental policy target, 123 Equivalency factor, 93, 98f, 117, 129ff, 244ff, 254ff, 275, 297, 304, 311

# Disposal stage, 24, 46, 57, 91, 133, 153, 161, 166, 201, 310, 327f, 346, 351, 379, 394, 396, 423, 428, 437, 438, 457, 462,

Database, environmental data, 277, 298ff

Data type, 47, 220, 279

Dioxin, 7, 11, 69, 110 Detergents, 231 DDT, 7, 11 Data, lack of, 131ff, 344ff, 390ff, 436f, 468f,

Eutrophication, 10

Disposal route, 57, 62, 65, 72, 129, 131, 133, 158, 239, 310 469, 489, 504, 508

Distribution, 13, 16, 82, 92f, 240f

Distribution factor, 264ff, 281ff

### H

Ecotoxicity, 48, 51, 101, 140, 258ff, 300,

Ecotoxicity score, 306

Ecobilan, 27

Environmental design, 364ff, 408ff, 445ff, Environmental assessment of references, 38f, Environmental assessment of concepts, 38f, Ecotoxicological impact score, 306 Ecotoxicity factor, 268f Environmental space, 3, 123 Electrical energy, 78, 227 42, 149ff, 321ff, 370ff, 416ff, 452ff, 482ff

> Functional unit, 21, 40, 44f, 320, 370, 416, Formaldehyde, 253 Forests, clearing of, 13 Forests, damage to, 9 Focusing, 145f, 347ff Focus, point of, 35, 42, 145ff, 157, 162, Focus, 42, 145 Fertilizer, 9f Exposure score, 306f Fish, mortality in, 10 Final recipient, 81 External review, 6, 191 Exposure, 23, 26 Exposure, human toxicity, 278, 307 167, 169, 172f, 347ff, 356ff, 441ff, 473f,

## $\bigcirc$

General data, 47, 219f, 220

Global warming, 5f, 48, 51, 99, 119, 140, Groundwater, 14, 287, 290 Groundwater compartment, 287, 290 Goal definition, 40, 42, 145, 169 Global warming potential, 99, 123f, 160, GWP, 99, 246f Global impacts, 5f Global warming, indirect contribution, 245, 244f, 302

Exchange, environmental, 23, 76, 79, 81, 96, 224, 227, 330ff, 381ff, 425ff, 459ff, Hazardous waste, 51, 140, 299, 302 HCFC22, 48, 52, 247, 249 Halocarbons, 6f, 247f Henry's law constant, 266f, 282f Hazard classification, 307 Halon, 6, 247, 249 Habitats, 13 Human toxicity, 48, 51, 100, 101, 131, 140, Hearing impairment, 51, 53, 140, 302 Human Reference Dose, 295 HCl, 256 HRC, 294f HFC, 96, 247f IRD, 295f 195, 277f, 296, 300, 301, 302, 304

Hydrogen ion, 255

Human Reference Concentration, 295

Impact assessment, 96, 335ff, 386ff, 429ff, Inventory, 40, 76ff uon, 29f Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Impacts on the working environment, 49, International Organization of Standardiza-Internal interaction, 23 intake factor, 288f Impact potential, 23, 29, 39f, 48, 72, 97ff. impact criterion, 86f ISO, 29f PCC, 245 53ff, 60, 64, 81, 86ff, 97, 102, 106f, 111, 114, 122f, 125f, 133, 149f, 179, 193ff, 200, 224ff, 230, 232ff, 336ff, 342ff, 431ff, 435f, 463ff, 497ff 463ff, 496ff 111ff, 123f, 242, 299f

Isobutane, 253

Joint process, 71, 72, 73ff, 204, 205ff

Joint exchange, 72, 73ff, 204ff Key figure, 65, 129f, 310f Setone, 253

Key unit, 76, 81, 223f, 226

Environmental assessment, object of the study

Environmental specification, 38f, 42, 169ff,

347ff, 396ff, 438ff, 470ff, 505ff

362ff, 405ff, 443ff, 475f, 511ff

Environmental assessment of details, 38f, 42,

Environmental diagnosis, 38f, 42, 146, 157ff

Environmental impacts, 23f, 49ff, 64, 97f,

Environmental hazard classification, 305f Environmental political action plans, 106ff

Environmental labelling criteria, 31 Environmental labelling, 31

117, 140, 194f, 301f

Lowest Observed Effect Concentration, 269 Landscape qualities, 14 Logarithmic normal distribution, 82, 92 Local environmental impacts, 13f, 106 Life span, life time, 22, 43ff, 62f, 89, 130f, 158f, 161, 327, 353, 400, 406, 423, 440, akes, damage to, 10 457, 471f, 488f, 509

MECO principle, 135ff, 151, 158, 162f, 171, Meat, ingestion of, 291 Material grade, 74, 208, 210ff Meat transfer factor, 291 Material stage, 24, 132f, 324f, 344f, 376, Manufacturing stage, 24, 325f, 345, 376ff, 478ff, 498f, 505ff 175f, 339, 347ff, 365ff, 388, 396ff, 400, 409ff, 433, 438ff, 445ff, 465f, 470ff, 390f, 420, 436, 455, 468f, 484, 503f 392, 420f, 436f, 456, 469, 487, 504

Methane, 48, 52, 99, Mercury, 7 Methanol, 253

Milk products, ingestion of, 292 Methyl isobutyl ketone, 253 Methyl bromide, 6f, 249 Methyl chloride, 249

Monte Carlo method, 92 Monotonous repetitive work, 51, 86, 140, Milk transfer factor, 292 233, 236, 302

Musculoskeletal apparatus, damage to, 51, Montreal Protocol, 7 140

### Z

N compounds, 258 N<sub>2</sub>O, 99, 247

Nitrogen, oxides of, 48, 52, 252 Nervous system, damage to, 51, 140, 302  $NO_x$ , 48, 51f, 93, 119, 250f  $NO_x$ , background concentration of, 141, 252 Non-renewable resource, 9, 140, 303 Non-terminal, 88 Nitrous oxide, 99, 247 Nitrogen, 9ff, 256f Normalization reference, 105ff, 302f Normalization, 40, 105ff, 140, 336f, 386f, Normalization, region-specific, 106, 141 Nitrate, 258 430ff, 463f, 496f

Use stage, 24, 43, 57, 80, 91, 97, 103, 104, 112, 114, 131, 133, 152f, 160f, 165f, 177, 179f, 199, 201, 326f, 334, 345f, 354, 378, 392ff, 398, 421ff, 437, 456f, 469, 488f, 504
Use, pattern of, 131, 133f, 158, 203, 310, 350, 354, 508f
Utility value, 73ff, 210, 211
UV radiation, 6, 247

Variation, coefficient of, 82, 92
Verification, 145f
VOC, 109f, 119, 246, 250ff
VOC mixture, 254
Volatile organic compounds, 9, 110, 246, 250f

<

	1
Nuclear waste, 51, 140, 299, 302 Nutrient-poor ecosystems, 9, 256 Nutrient enrichment, potentials for, 256ff Nutrient enrichment, 48, 51, 101, 140,	Product system, 24t  Produktlinienanalyse, 27  Projection, 65, 203  Propane, 252
23611, 302	
0	Qualitative assessment, 304ff
Octanol-water partitioning coefficient, 271, 289, 291f	Quantitative assessment, 304
ODP, 249	
Oestrogenic effect, 12	R134a, 96f, 103, 112, 124, 160
Okoomanzerung, 27 Output, 23	Raised bog, 9
Overhead, 69, 229, 326, 334, 456, 488 Oxygen depletion, 10	Recycling, 68, 69, 204
Ozone layer, 6	Reference product, 35, 40, 45ff, 146, 149,
Ozone depienon, 61, 48, 51, 107, 120, 140, 247ff 302	193, 320, 370, 416, 452, 482
Ozone de la Contra	Reference impact, 105f Region-specific aspects, 90, 106, 141, 239
Ozone, 6, 9, 109, 119, 195, 247ff, 302	Region characterization, 77f  Regional environmental impacts, 8ff, 106
	REPA, 27
P compounds, 258	Reproductive system, damage to, 51, 140, 302
Partitioning key, 74f, 210, 213ff Parts list, 56, 58, 59, 196, 217, 238, 320,	Resource consumption, 52f, 140, 303 Resource and environmental profile analyses,
32Zi, 3/1, 3/3i, 41bi, 45Zii, 484ii PCBs, 7, 48, 52	Rio Conference, 3
Pentane, 252	Risk assessment of chemicals, 260, 280
Persistent substances, 258 Persistent toxicity, 11f, 302	
Person-equivalent, 107ff, 119f, 123, 141	Sales, distribution of, 131, 133, 203, 310,
Phosphate, 258	326f, 378, 421, 456, 488 SCF 201f
Phosphorus, 10f, 256f, Phosphorus, 10f, 256f,	Scope definition, 40, 43ff, 192ff
251ff	Scope definition of the product system, 40,
Photochemical ozone formation, 48, 51, 101,	Screening, 55, 304
Photochemical smog, episode of, 252	Sensitivity analysis, 129f, 310f, 344ff, 390ff,
Physical impact on the environment, 13, 51	SETAC, 29, 54
Plants, ingestion of, 291	Site-specific data, 47, 100, 218 Site factor, 100, 141
Process description, 77ff, 223, 226	Site characterization, 77f, 100
Product development, 8, 32ff, 42, 143ff,	Site-specific 100
364, 4081, 445, 477, 513  Product specification 172, 173, 363, 407	Slag and ashes, 51, 140, 299, 302
444, 476	SO <sub>2</sub> , 9, 48, 52, 92f, 119, 256
Product system, lateral stream, 24	Chemistry, 29
Product-specific data, 47, 218, 220	Soil, ingestion of, 290
•	Soil erosion, 14

U  Umweltbilanzierung, 27  UNCED, 3  Uncertainty, 91, 129f  Uncertainty analysis, 129, 311, 344ff, 390ff, 468f  UNECE, 9, 251  Unit process, 76	Toxicity, 7, 258f, 278f Toxicity score, 307 Toxicity factor, 294f Toxicological impact score, 308 Transboundary air pollution, 9 Transfer factor, 288ff Trend analysis, 65, 203 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 6, 7, 247, 249 Troposphere, 250	Terminal, 51, 56 Termination, 88, 239 Terrachloromethane, 249 Thermal energy, 78, 227 Time perspective, 249 Time, delimitation in, 40, 62ff Time horizon, 31, 43, 62, 99, 122, 141, 203, 246, 248, 252, 300 Toluene, 48, 52, 253 Total N, 258, 302 Total P, 258, 302	T Technological scope, definition of, 40, 65ff	Sub-assembly component, 56f, 153 Sulphur dioxide, 9f, 256 Supply horizon, 121, 141 Sustainable development, 3 Synthesis, 145f T3f, 200	Stages, 24 Stakeholder, 48, 145 Stakeholder, 48, 145 Stem concentration factor, 291f Stratospheric ozone depletion, 6f, 48, 51, 107, 120, 247ff, 302 Structure, 32, 163 Structure level, 32, 163, 357, 365ff, 402, 1606ff, 411, 415ff, 478 478ff, 510, 513f	Soil compartment, 51, 265ff, 272f, 281ff, 290ff Solvents, 250 Specific energy consumption, 66
---	--	--	--	--	---	---

Water compartment, 51, 265
Water table, lowering of, 14
Weighting, 40, 115, 141, 299, 301f, 337f, 387f, 432f, 497f
Weighting factor, 40, 115, 118, 121f, 141, 302f

Waste dumped in landfills, 48, 50f, 190, 299 Waste, 50f, 64, 231, 254, 302,

Ø

Waste water treatment plant, 11, 256, 268, 302