

Impact of toothbrushing frequency and toothpaste fluoride/abrasivity levels on incipient artificial caries lesion abrasion

Hani M. Nassar^a, Frank Lippert^b, George J. Eckert^c, Anderson T. Hara^{d,*}

^a Department of Restorative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

^b Department of Cariology, Operative Dentistry and Dental Public Health, Indiana University School of Dentistry, Indianapolis, IN, USA

^c Biostatistician supervisor, Department of Biostatistics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

^d Department of Cariology, Operative Dentistry and Dental Public Health, Indiana University School of Dentistry, Indianapolis, IN, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Enamel
Caries
Abrasion
Fluoride
Toothbrushing
Wear

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the interplay among brushing frequency, dentifrice slurry abrasivity, and fluoride content on the surface loss (SL) of incipient enamel caries-like lesions.

Methods: Lesions were created in 96 bovine enamel specimens (5 × 5 mm) using methylcellulose acid gel. Specimens were randomly allocated to 12 groups (n = 8), resulting from the association of three experimental factors: (1) slurry abrasive level [low: REA = 4/RDA = 69 and high: REA = 7/RDA = 208], (2) fluoride concentration [275 and 1250 ppm F as NaF], and (3) brushing frequency [1, 2 and 3 × daily]. Specimens were kept in artificial saliva in between brushings and overnight. SL was determined by optical profilometry after lesion creation, 1, 3, 5, and 7 days. Data was analyzed with repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey's tests ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results: High abrasive slurry caused significantly more SL than low with 275 ppm ($p < 0.001$) but not with 1250 ppm fluoride ($p = 0.34$). Fluoride at 275 ppm had significantly more SL than 1250 ppm with high abrasive slurry after 7 days ($p = 0.008$). Brushing 1 ×/day had significantly less SL than 3 ×/day after 7 days with high abrasive slurry ($p = 0.016$), especially in the 275 ppm fluoride groups.

Conclusions: Higher fluoride concentration increased protection against the deleterious effect of high abrasive slurry. SL was higher if brushing was performed more than twice daily especially in low fluoride groups.

Clinical significance: Highly abrasive toothpaste formulations might cause more surface wear to incipient caries lesions, especially at higher brushing frequencies. Increasing the fluoride content may be beneficial at these circumstances.

1. Introduction

Dental caries is presented at early stages as localized demineralization in the form of white spots [1]. These lesions do not require surgical intervention and can be managed by eliminating local causative factors and enhancing remineralization via fluoride products [2,3]. While this process has long been described [4], it has been argued that the arrestment of white spot lesions could be driven mostly by a mechanical process [5] rather than fluoride remineralization action [6].

Among the most commonly used fluoride delivery vehicles, dentifrices stand out as they are widely used and convenient [7]. Fluoride dentifrices also contain abrasives that are needed to provide enamel stain removal and adequate cleaning [8,9]. Incipient caries lesions tend to have a softer surface compared to sound enamel [10,11], being therefore more prone to toothbrushing abrasion. The interplay between

the remineralization potential of fluorides and enamel surface abrasion has been suggested clinically. Artun and Thylstrup concluded that the gradual regression of white spot lesions could primarily be due to surface abrasion with some mineral deposition after microscopically examining enamel surface replicas [5]. Kielbassa et al. confirmed this finding and reported more surface loss associated to toothbrushing with highly-abrasive toothpastes [12]. Nassar et al. [13] further investigated this interplay in an in vitro model and concluded that fluoride provided some protective effect against toothbrushing abrasion of simulated incipient enamel caries lesions. Specifically, this effect was reported with twice-daily brushing with 275 ppm fluoride-containing slurries.

Evidence suggests that the anti-caries efficacy of fluoridated dentifrices increases with the higher frequency of use [14]. Further remineralizing and rehardening can be achieved by increasing the frequency of toothbrushing [15]. However, this is inevitably accompanied

* Corresponding author: Department of Cariology, Operative Dentistry and Dental Public Health, Indiana University School of Dentistry, 415 N. Lansing Street, Indianapolis, 46202-2876, IN, USA.

E-mail addresses: hnassar@kau.edu.sa (H.M. Nassar), flippert@iu.edu (F. Lippert), geckert@iu.edu (G.J. Eckert), ahara@iu.edu (A.T. Hara).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2018.06.018>

Received 16 March 2018; Received in revised form 19 June 2018; Accepted 21 June 2018
0300-5712/ © 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

by more abrasive sessions that can affect the surface integrity of the lesions. This has been shown previously to increase abrasive wear due to toothbrushing [16,17].

The main objective of the present study was to further investigate how toothbrushing frequency would impact the effects of slurry abrasiveness and fluoride content on the surface loss (SL) of incipient enamel caries-like lesions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

This study followed a $2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4$ factorial design (abrasive level \times fluoride content \times brushing frequency \times time). The null hypothesis was that there would be no interaction among the four studied experimental factors. Enamel caries-like lesions were created and brushed with slurries, simulating dentifrice-saliva mixtures containing low or high abrasive loads with two levels of fluoride (275 and 1250 ppm fluoride). A total of 96 specimens of bovine enamel were prepared and randomly distributed among the groups ($n = 8$). SL values were measured after lesion creation (baseline) and after days 1, 3, 5, and 7 of testing, using an optical profilometer.

2.2. Specimen preparation and lesion creation

Incipient enamel caries-like lesions were created in ninety six 5×5 mm bovine enamel slabs using methylcellulose lactic acid gel protocol for 7 days as described previously [13]. Reference areas were made in each specimen by applying adhesive UPVC tapes leaving a 2×5 mm central area exposed to the acid gel.

2.3. Surface loss measurement

Surface loss (SL) was measured using an optical profilometer (Proscan 2000, Scantron, Taunton, UK) after the creation of the lesions as well as at days 1, 3, 5, and 7. Tapes were removed from specimens and an area of 3×1 mm in the center of the specimen (covering both exposed and tape-covered areas) was scanned. The SL was calculated by subtracting the height of the exposed area from the two reference (tape-covered) areas using dedicated software (Proscan 2000, Scantron). Profilometric analysis readings from each treatment group were used as baseline for subsequent SL analyses after the brushing-remineralizing procedures. In addition, baseline SL measurements were used for stratified randomization of the specimens into the experimental groups.

2.4. Daily brushing-remineralizing procedure

Specimens were brushed with soft toothbrushes (Oral-B 40, Procter & Gamble, Cincinnati, OH, USA) mounted in a custom-made brushing machine, under 150 g of load [18]. Four slurry variants (Table 1) were used at two abrasive levels with 275 ppm fluoride (as NaF representing 1100 ppm F of regular toothpaste diluted at a ratio of 1:3) or 1250 ppm fluoride (as NaF representing 5000 ppm F of prescription toothpaste diluted at a ratio of 1:3). Abrasive slurries were prepared by mixing the ingredients above with an aqueous suspension containing 0.5% (w/w) Blanose 7 MF carboxymethylcellulose (CMC; Ashland, USA) and 10% (w/w) glycerol. Sixty grams of the slurry were used in each slot of the brushing machine.

Specimens ($n = 8$) were brushed with their respective assigned slurry for the designated frequency. Groups were brushed for 1, 2 or $3 \times$ per day. Each session included brushing the specimens for 50 strokes (15 s). Specimens were stored in artificial saliva (1.45 mM $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 5.4 mM KH_2PO_4 , 0.1 M Tris buffer, 2.2 g/L porcine gastric mucin, pH 7.0) under stirring at 150 rpm between sessions and overnight. After their assigned session was performed, specimens allocated to the $1 \times$ and $2 \times$ groups were washed with deionized water and stored to

Table 1
Abrasive slurry compositions^a.

Abrasivity	Fluoride (ppmF, as NaF)	Abrasives (g)	RDA ^b	REA ^c
Low	275	3.0 / Zeodent [®] 113 ^d	69 (2.6)	4.01 (0.28)
Low	1250	3.0 / Zeodent [®] 113	69 (2.6)	4.01 (0.28)
High	275	9.0 / Zeodent [®] 103	208 (9.4)	7.14 (0.69)
High	1250	9.0 / Zeodent [®] 103	208 (9.4)	7.14 (0.69)

^a All slurries also contained 2.5 g carboxymethylcellulose (Blanose 7 MF, Ashland, USA), 5.0 g glycerol and were made up to 60.0 g with deionized water.

^b Radioactive dentin abrasivity [mean (standard deviation)].

^c Radioactive enamel abrasivity.

^d Zeodent abrasives are precipitated silica abrasives (J.M. Huber Corporation, USA).

eliminate the possible effect of fluoride while specimens of the $3 \times$ groups were brushed. The brushing protocol was repeated for 7 days, resulting in a total of 350 ($1 \times$), 700 ($2 \times$), or 1050 ($3 \times$) double-strokes depending on the group.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Repeated-measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the effects of slurry abrasiveness (low, high), slurry fluoride (275 ppm F, 1250 ppm F), brushing frequency (1, 2, $3 \times$), and time (1, 3, 5, and 7 days) on SL. An unstructured variance/covariance matrix was used to model the variances and correlations within a specimen over time. Pairwise comparisons among the treatment combinations were made using Tukey's multiple comparisons procedure to control the overall significance level at 5%. The analyses were performed after a natural logarithm transformation of the data to satisfy the ANOVA assumptions.

3. Results

Table 2 shows means and standard errors of surface loss recorded for all groups with statistical testing for fluoride and abrasive effects. According to the statistical testing, we rejected the null hypothesis and concluded that there was a combined effect of the parameters studied. High F concentration (1250 ppm F) was able to significantly reduce the SL compared to the 250 ppm F, for days 5 ($p = 0.0308$) and 7 ($p = 0.008$), for the highly abrasive formulation, when used $3 \times$ /day. No F protective effect was observed at Days 1 ($p = 0.77$) and 3 ($p = 0.34$), or when brushing with low abrasive formulation at $1 \times$ ($p = 0.99$) or $2 \times$ /day ($p = 0.68$).

Significantly more SL was recorded with high-abrasive slurries containing 275 ppm fluoride and with $3 \times$ /day brushing frequency ($p = 0.0001$) but not with 1250 ppm fluoride-containing slurries or if brushing was carried out once ($p = 0.95$) or twice daily ($p = 0.36$). The difference between high and low abrasivity increased as the number of days increased.

Statistical testing for the effect of brushing frequency and time are shown in Fig. 1 (groups brushed with low abrasive slurries) and Fig. 2 (groups brushed with high abrasive slurries). Brushing $1 \times$ /day had significantly less SL than brushing $3 \times$ /day after 5 days with high abrasiveness ($p = 0.0249$) and after 7 days with high abrasiveness ($p = 0.016$) and with 1250 ppm fluoride ($p = 0.0172$). No other brushing frequency comparisons were statistically significant ($p > 0.14$).

Significantly more SL was recorded at day 7 ($p < 0.0001$) compared to SL values observed after 3 and 5 days within the protocol. For high abrasives, the group brushed at $3 \times$ /day and with low fluoride had more SL at day 5 compared to day 1 ($p < 0.005$). A similar trend was

Table 2
Means and standard errors (in parentheses) of surface loss showing comparisons for fluoride and abrasives.

Brushing Frequency	Abrusivity	Day 1*		Day 3		Day 5		Day 7	
		275	1250	275	1250	275	1250	275	1250
1×/day	Low	0.55 (0.18) ^a	0.38 (0.10) ^a	0.36 (0.17) ^a	0.32 (0.08) ^a	0.32 (0.17) ^a	0.30 (0.09) ^a	-0.19 (0.16) ^a	-0.12 (0.08) ^a
	High	-0.02 (0.23) ^a	0.26 (0.17) ^a	-0.29 (0.30) ^a	0.09 (0.18) ^a	-0.58 (0.33) ^a	0.04 (0.16) ^b	-1.24 (0.41) ^a	-0.41 (0.14) ^b
2×/day	Low	0.22 (0.23) ^a	0.31 (0.16) ^a	0.07 (0.22) ^a	0.31 (0.15) ^a	-0.16 (0.30) ^a	0.31 (0.17) ^a	-0.68 (0.34) ^a	-0.04 (0.21) ^a
	High	-0.35 (0.20) ^a	0.08 (0.17) ^a	-0.99 (0.39) ^a	-0.11 (0.23) ^a	-2.13 (0.75) ^a	-0.45 (0.35) ^b	-3.50 (1.12) ^a	-1.22 (0.53) ^b
3×/day	Low	0.32 (0.22) ^a	0.56 (0.13) ^a	0.09 (0.20) ^a	0.66 (0.14) ^a	0.00 (0.23) ^a	0.63 (0.15) ^a	-0.57 (0.23) ^a	0.17 (0.13) ^a
	High	-0.89 (0.49) ^a	-0.41 (0.43) ^a	-3.22 (1.78) ^a	-0.71 (0.66) ^a	-5.12 (2.10) ^a	-1.04 (0.69) ^b	-6.70 (2.30) ^a	-1.64 (0.79) ^b

At 275 ppm F, groups brushed with high abrasive slurry were statistically different ($p < 0.05$) from groups brushed with low abrasives for all days. For 1250 ppm F, no abrasive effect was recorded at any day.

*Different superscript letters indicate significantly different ($p < 0.05$) surface loss values for fluoride levels at a specific day. Positive values reflect deposition on or convexity of the enamel surface, while negative represent loss of the enamel surface.




Fig. 1. Line graphs showing means of surface loss in groups brushed with low abrasive slurries containing 275 (top) and 1250 ppm fluoride (bottom). Different letters indicate significantly different ($p < 0.05$) surface loss value (time effect) at each frequency level. Groups joined with a bracket are non-significantly ($p > 0.05$) different for brushing frequency at a specific day (decrease in surface loss values indicates enamel loss).

observed when brushed at 2×/day; however, day 3 ($p = 0.001$) had less SL than day 5 ($p = 0.0019$).

4. Discussion

In this study we speculated that brushing frequency could modulate the effect of abrasives and fluoride on incipient enamel caries-like lesions. Brushing frequency was investigated since it has been shown previously to influence abrasive wear [16,17]. In our previous study [13], specimens were brushed twice daily representing the minimum recommended frequency for oral hygiene practice [19]. However, different frequencies such as 1× and 3×/day are not uncommon and could have an impact on the arrest of early caries lesions. Sheiham [20] has reported little benefit to periodontal health if teeth were brushed more than twice per day, with higher frequencies causing excessive dental abrasion. Although higher brushing frequency can be linked to




Fig. 2. Line graphs showing means of surface loss in groups brushed with high abrasive slurries containing 275 (top) and 1250 ppm fluoride (bottom). Different letters indicate significantly different ($p < 0.05$) surface loss value (time effect) at each frequency level. Groups joined with a bracket are non-significantly ($p > 0.05$) different for brushing frequency at a specific day (decrease in surface loss values indicates enamel loss).

more structural loss, the effect of repeated exposure to different levels of fluoride could also have a protective effect against SL, as suggested by the findings of our previous study using similar caries-like lesions and testing conditions [13].

High abrasive toothpaste caused more SL on incipient enamel caries-like lesions compared to the low abrasive when containing 275 ppm F, but not when associated to 1250 ppm F. This indicates that the dose increase in fluoride was effective in protecting the weak surface layer of the simulated caries lesion through fluoride-induced remineralization. A similar fluoride effect was previously reported by Dunipace et al. [21] and Wefel et al. [22] when dentifrices with variable levels of fluoride were used.

SL values were higher when brushing with 275 compared to 1250 ppm F after brushing 3×/day, for 5 days for both abrasive levels. Although a protective fluoride effect was present in relation to higher abrasives in our previous investigation [13], the effect of higher

fluoride concentration (1250 ppm) in the present study was dependent on frequency. Fluoride was able to decrease the SL values when brushing took place once or twice per day. However, when brushed three times daily with high abrasives, the fluoride effect faded probably because it could not counteract the abrasive effect. Moreover, the difference between low and high abrasive groups increased as the brushing/cycling continued. This is in line with previous research showing abrasion as a time-dependent process [23]. Statistically significant SL was recorded after 7 days of brushing with low abrasive slurries compared to baseline and up to 5-day values (Fig. 1). On the other hand, SL was dependent on the fluoride level and frequency of brushing in the high abrasive groups (Fig. 2). This relationship indicates a very complex model; however, brushing 3 × /daily with low fluoride level accelerated incipient lesions' surface abrasion.

In general, higher SL values were associated with brushing 3 × /day when high abrasive slurry was used. This could have an important implication in clinical situations since white spot lesions could be progressively abraded with the increase in the number of brushing sessions by the patient. Furthermore, the use of more abrasive toothpastes in cases with incipient lesions should be thought carefully since significantly higher SL values were recorded even when brushing 2 × /day. This was in contrast to a study by Kielbassa et al. [12], who reported no effect of fluoride to enhance remineralization of the surface layer of demineralized bovine enamel. However, differences on the type of simulated lesions and testing conditions limit the direct comparison of results. The baseline parameters of lesion depth and mineral content between simulated lesions differed, with the present tending to be deeper and less mineralized. In these circumstances, the lesions could be more reactive to remineralization by fluoride exposure, which would be further enhanced by the multiple remineralization episodes [13]. In addition, there were other marked contrasts in the present test conditions and those of Kielbassa et al. [12], including fluoride content (up to 1250 vs up to 12,500 ppm F), pH (neutral vs acidic), abrasives (present or not) and brushing loading (275 versus 150 g).

As with other laboratory studies, this work had some limitations. The artificial lesions used do not completely resemble white spot lesions, since they are extremely variable [24]. In addition, natural saliva was not used in this study because of infection control hazards as well as the impractical large amounts needed for the study. Still, natural saliva components such as salivary proteins were considered in the artificial saliva preparation protocol.

5. Conclusion

The more abrasive toothpaste increased the surface abrasion of incipient enamel caries, which was counteracted by the higher frequencies of fluoride exposure. Less abrasive toothpaste did not abrade incipient lesions in the presence of fluoride concentrations commonly found in over-the-counter dentifrices (1100 ppm F, or 275 ppm F in simulated dilution). On the other hand, highly abrasive toothpastes might cause more damage to the surface of white spot lesions, especially if applied at higher frequencies. In these cases, fluoride concentrations in the range found in prescription toothpastes (5000 ppm F, or 1275 ppm F in simulated dilution) could be considered.

Declaration of interest

The authors of this paper have no interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Hani Nassar was sponsored by a scholarship from King Abdulaziz University. This research was part of his thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the Doctor of Philosophy in Preventive Dentistry degree awarded in 2012 from Indiana University.

The authors would like to thank Dr. Carlos González-Cabezas, Dr. Margherita Fontana, and Dr. Tien-Min Chu for their insightful comments and feedback. This project was supported by the Dental Erosion-Abrasion research program, of the Oral Health Research Institute, Indiana University School of Dentistry.

References

- [1] J.A. Cury, L.M. Tenuta, Enamel remineralization: controlling the caries disease or treating early caries lesions? *Braz. Oral Res.* 23 (Suppl 1) (2009) 23–30.
- [2] J.M. Ten Cate, S.A. Mundorff-Shrestha, Working group report 1: laboratory models for caries (in vitro and animal models), *Adv. Dent. Res.* 9 (3) (1995) 332–334.
- [3] B. Ogaard, G. Rolla, The in vivo orthodontic banding model for vital teeth and the in situ orthodontic banding model for hard-tissue slabs, *J. Dent. Res.* 71 (1992) 832–835 Spec No.
- [4] B.O. Dirks, Post-eruptive changes in dental enamel, *J. Dent. Res.* 45 (1966) 503–511.
- [5] J. Artun, A. Thylstrup, Clinical and scanning electron microscopic study of surface changes of incipient caries lesions after debonding, *Scand. J. Dent. Res.* 94 (3) (1986) 193–201.
- [6] C. Zantner, P. Martus, A.M. Kielbassa, Clinical monitoring of the effect of fluorides on long-existing white spot lesions, *Acta Odontol. Scand.* 64 (2) (2006) 115–122.
- [7] L.W. Ripa, A critique of topical fluoride methods (dentifrices, mouthrinses, operator-, and self-applied gels) in an era of decreased caries and increased fluorosis prevalence, *J. Public Health Dent.* 51 (1) (1991) 23–41.
- [8] G.K. Stookey, T.A. Burkhard, B.R. Schemehorn, In vitro removal of stain with dentifrices, *J. Dent. Res.* 61 (11) (1982) 1236.
- [9] A. Joiner, M. Pickles, J. Matheson, E. Weader, L. Noblet, E. Huntington, Whitening toothpastes effects on tooth stain and enamel, *Int. Dent. J.* 52 (2002) 412–430.
- [10] J. Arends, W. Jongebloed, B. Ogaard, G. Rolla, SEM and microradiographic investigation of initial enamel caries, *Scand. J. Dent. Res.* 95 (3) (1987) 193–201.
- [11] J. Arends, J. Christoffersen, The nature of early caries lesions in enamel, *J. Dental Res.* 65 (1) (1986) 2–11.
- [12] A.M. Kielbassa, L. Gillmann, C. Zantner, H. Meyer-Lueckel, E. Hellwig, J. Schulte-Monting, Profilometric and microradiographic studies on the effects of toothpaste and acidic gel abrasivity on sound and demineralized bovine dental enamel, *Caries Res.* 39 (5) (2005) 380–386.
- [13] H.M. Nassar, F. Lippert, G.J. Eckert, A.T. Hara, Dentifrice fluoride and abrasivity interplay on artificial caries lesions, *Caries Res.* 48 (6) (2014) 557–565.
- [14] V.C. Marinho, J.P. Higgins, S. Logan, A. Sheiham, Fluoride mouthrinses for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents, *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* (Online) (3) (2003) CD002284.
- [15] D.T. Zero, J.E. Creeth, M.L. Bosma, A. Butler, R.G. Guibert, R. Karwal, R.J. Lynch, E.A. Martinez-Mier, C. Gonzalez-Cabezas, S.A. Kelly, The effect of brushing time and dentifrice quantity on fluoride delivery in vivo and enamel surface micro-hardness in situ, *Caries Res.* 44 (2) (2010) 90–100.
- [16] J. Bergstrom, S. Lavstedt, An epidemiologic approach to toothbrushing and dental abrasion, *Commun. Dent. Oral Epidemiol.* 7 (1) (1979) 57–64.
- [17] G. Sangnes, Traumatization of teeth and gingiva related to habitual tooth cleaning procedures, *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 3 (2) (1976) 94–103.
- [18] C. Ganss, N. Schlueter, S. Preiss, J. Klimek, Tooth brushing habits in uninstructed adults—frequency, technique, duration and force, *Clin. Oral Investig.* 13 (2) (2009) 203–208.
- [19] ADA, *Brushing Your Teeth*, (2012) (Accessed 9-9-2012), <http://www.mouthhealthy.org/en/az-topics/b/brushing-your-teeth.aspx>.
- [20] A. Sheiham, Preventive control of periodontal disease, International Conference on Research into the Biology of Periodontal Disease, University of Illinois, 1977, pp. 308–309.
- [21] A.J. Dunipace, A.F. Hall, S.A. Kelly, A.J. Beiswanger, G.M. Fischer, L.L. Lukantsova, G.J. Eckert, G.K. Stookey, An in situ interproximal model for studying the effect of fluoride on enamel, *Caries Res.* 31 (1) (1997) 60–70.
- [22] J.S. Wefel, M.E. Jensen, P.T. Triolo, R.V. Faller, M.M. Hogan, W.D. Bowman, De/remineralization from sodium fluoride dentifrices, *Am. J. Dent.* 8 (4) (1995) 217–220.
- [23] M. Addy, M.L. Hunter, Can tooth brushing damage your health? Effects on oral and dental tissues, *Int. Dent. J.* 53 (Suppl 3) (2003) 177–186.
- [24] N.J. Cochrane, P. Anderson, G.R. Davis, G.G. Adams, M.A. Stacey, E.C. Reynolds, An X-ray microtomographic study of natural white-spot enamel lesions, *J. Dental Res.* 91 (2) (2012) 185–191.