Seminal profile of 23,504 patients over the years: 7 years of experience

Camila Nogueira¹, Ivan Henrique Yoshida^{1,2}, Fabia Lima Vilarino¹, Waldemar Pereira de Carvalho^{1,2}, Emerson Barch Cordts^{1,2}, Caio Parente Barbosa¹

¹Instituto Ideia Fértil de Saúde Reprodutiva, Santo André - SP, Brazil

This study was presented at the XXI Congresso Brasileiro de Reprodução Assistida

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the behavior of seminal parameters over the years - 2010 to May 2017.

Methods: A retrospective study, carried out from January 2010 to May 2017, covering men who underwent sperm examination. Seminal parameters (volume, sperm concentration, motility, morphology, age and duration of infertility in years) of 23,504 men were evaluated. The groups were compared to each other to check whether there were changes to the seminal parameters in that period.

Results: There was no change over the years considering the time of infertility, in an average of 3.78 years. The mean age of the patients was 36.53 years, with a trend of increase in 0.2 years. In relation to the volume of semen samples, the mean value was 3.29mL, with a decrease trend in 0.05mL. As for sperm concentration, the average was 34.37 million/mL, with a decrease trend of 1.0 million/mL. Progressive sperm motility showed an average of 47.27% and there was a decrease trend of 0.67%. Finally, sperm morphology presented an average of 2.79% of normal spermatozoa, with a decrease trend of 0.33%.

Conclusion: It can be noted that over the years, the sperm quality of men looking for assisted reproduction clinics has tended to decrease in macroscopic and microscopic parameters (volume, sperm concentration, motility and morphology).

Keywords: seminal parameters, male infertility

INTRODUCTION

The need for assisted reproduction technology (ART) procedures for the establishment of pregnancies has steadily increased worldwide (Anbari *et al.*, 2016). Fertility peaks and then decreases over time in both men and women, thus the reproductive timeline may be one aspect to consider when determining the ideal time to start a family (Sharma *et al.*, 2013).

Male infertility is a common and complex problem affecting 1 in 20 men. Global rates of male infertility range from 2.5% to 12%. This means that at least 30 million men worldwide are infertile (Agarwal et al., 2016). Despite voluminous research in this field, in many cases, the underlying causes are unknown (Dada et al., 2012).

Semen analysis is the main evaluation of a man's fertility ability, and the analysis represents a picture of spermatogenesis (Neves *et al.*, 2011). Semen parameters, such as sperm concentration, motility, viability, and morphology provide useful insight into the semen quality. However, the role and the correct interpretation of these semen parameters remain unclear and their implications on recurrent pregnancy loss are debatable (Ruixue *et al.*, 2013).

As men age, testosterone levels begin to decrease, resulting in hypogonadism. However, if testosterone is used to treat hypogonadism, it can suppress spermatogenesis

(Stewart & Kim, 2011). Semen parameters also begin a steady decline as early as 35 years of age (Dunson $et\ al.$, 2004); semen volume and motility decrease, and morphology may become increasingly abnormal (Kimberly $et\ al.$, 2012). After the age of 40, men can have significantly more DNA damage in their sperm, as well as decline in both motility (40%) and viability (below 50%) (n=504, p<0.001) (Varshini $et\ al.$, 2012).

Semen quality has been considered as one of the most sensitive indicators of the adverse effects of environmental pollution. In addition to the physical environment, semen quality may also be affected by other factors, such as age, occupation, cigarette smoking, and lifestyle (Tang et al., 2015). There are many factors which adversely impact semen parameters such as environmental issues, tight under garments - which raises the local temperature, lifestyles, occupational hazards and sleep deprivation (Al-Turki, 2015).

The present study aimed at evaluating the semen parameters of a population covering all the patients that performed the spermogram at Instituto Ideia Fértil over the course of 7.5 years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Retrospective case-control study carried out from January 2010 to May 2017, covering all patients who performed semen analysis in the Instituto Ideia Fértil de Saúde Reprodutiva, through which we evaluated the following seminal parameters: volume, concentration, motility and morphology. In addition, the age of the patients and the duration of infertility (in years) were also evaluated.

The groups were compared. A total of 23,504 patients were evaluated, being 2,344 in 2010; 2,633 in 2011; 3,045 in 2012; 3,218 in 2013; 3,397 in 2014; 4,022 in 2015; 3,388 in 2016 and 1,457 patients until May 2017.

To check for variations in the seminal parameters over the years, all the seminal analyzes were performed following the parameters recommended by the World Health Organization, 2010.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were described by median and respective confidence intervals, according to the normality of the data (evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test). Interquartile regression was used to analyze the variation of the indicators in relation to the year. The confidence level was 5%. The program used was Stata® 11.0.

RESULTS

Statistical analysis revealed that in relation to the age of the patients, there was an increase of 0.2 years during these 7.5 years. The mean age of the patients was 36.53 years. There was no variation in relation to the time of infertility. The average was 3.78 years.

²Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Santo André - SP, Brazil

In relation to the volume of the analyzed samples, there was a trend of 0.05mL decrease over the years. The mean volume of patients' seminal samples was 3.29mL.

Considering the microscopic parameters, sperm concentration showed a tendency to drop by 1 million/mL over the 7.5 years (mean of 34.37million/mL). Sperm motility decreased by 0.67% compared to progressive spermatozoa (mean was 47.27%). There was also a decline in sperm morphology of 0.33% of normal spermatozoa (mean was 2.79%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Results from the comparison of seminal parameters (2010 to May 2017)		
Evaluated parameters	Median	Variation
Age	36.53	0.2
Infertility duration (years)	3.78	There was no variation
Volume (mL)	3.29	-0.05
Sperm concentration (millions/mL)	34.37	-1.00
Progressive spermatozoa (%)	47.27	-0.67
Sperm morphology (% of normal)	2.79	-0.33

DISCUSSION

Time and again, various studies have been published supporting a decline in sperm quality or dismissing it. Analyses of retrospective data indicate that sperm counts may have declined in some parts of the world, but there seems to be geographical variations in semen quality (Kumar & Singh, 2015). A retrospective study of 9,168 cases (men ages 20 to 77) obtained from the Andrology and Reproduction Laboratory in Cordoba, Argentina for 10 years (1995-2004) showed a significant decrease in seminal volume, sperm count, motility, viability and normal morphology (Molina et al., 2010). Another study between 1996 and 2007 in the Sfax area of Southern Tunisia in a sample of 2,940 men in infertile relationships assessed the decline in semen quality over a period of 12 years (Feki et al., 2009). On the other hand, another similar study conducted between 2000 and 2010 among young Swedish men from the general population concluded that there is no evidence of time-related deterioration in semen parameters (Axelsson et al., 2011).

Some factors such as radiation, smoking, varicocele, infection, urinary tract infection, environmental factors, nutritional deficiencies and oxidative stress contribute to male infertility (Ahmadi *et al.*, 2016). Spermatogenesis and maturation processes can be affected by fluctuations in hormones, temperature, dietary balance, and exposure to toxins due to habits or environmental pol lutants (i.e., smoking, alcohol, cadmium, lead, radiation, pesticide, endocrine disruptive chemicals) (Khatun *et al.*, 2018).

Decreased general health status has been associated with lower sperm concentration, lower total testosterone levels and higher follicle-stimulating hormone values (Ventimiglia et al., 2015). Studies have also shown that many drugs are harmful to spermatogenesis and can lead to a temporary or permanent difficulty in conception (Guo et al., 2017; Brezina et al., 2012). These studies corroborate the findings among our patients at Instituto Ideia Fertil. It may be noted that in recent years there has been a decrease in the use of drugs, alcohol and tobacco, but the use of continuous medications has tended to increase (medications such as antidepressants, diuretics and insulin) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Comparative use of medications, drugs, alcohol and tobacco over the years

In this study, we can note changes in seminal parameters over the years between 2010 and 2017. However, this result is based only on the population that sought our institution. Therefore, new analyses are necessary to generalize this result.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data showed that there was no difference between the infertility duration in the men who sought the human reproduction service during the period from 2010 to 2017. However, there was an increase in the age of these patients, with reduction of seminal quality in all parameters evaluated (volume, concentration, motility, morphology).

These results are based only on the population that came to our institution. Further analyses are required to compare the results.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

Corresponding author:

Camila Nogueira Instituto Ideia Fértil Laboratório de Embriologia Santo André/SP - Brasil

E-mail: camila.nogueira@ideiafertil.com.br

REFERENCES

Agarwal A, Roychoudhury S, Bjugstad KB, Cho CL. Oxidation-reduction potential of semen: what is its role in the treatment of male infertility? Ther Adv Urol. 2016;8:302-18. PMID: 27695529 DOI: 0.1177/1756287216652779

Ahmadi S, Bashiri R, Ghadiri-Anari A, Nadjarzadeh A. Antioxidant supplements and sêmen parameters: An evidence based review. Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd). 2016;14:729-36. PMID: 28066832 DOI: 10.29252/ijrm.14.12.729

Al-Turki HA. Effect of smoking on reproductive hormones and semen parameters of infertile Saudi Arabians. Urol Ann. 2015;7:63-6. PMID: 25657547 DOI: 10.4103/0974-7796.148621

Anbari F, Halvaei I, Nabi A, Ghazali S, Khalili MA, Johansson L. The quality of sperm preparation medium affects the motility, viability, and DNA integrity of human spermatozoa. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2016;9:254-8. PMID: 28216914 DOI: 10.4103/0974-1208.197691

Axelsson J, Rylander L, Rignell-Hydbom A, Giwercman A. No secular trend over the last decade in sperm counts among Swedish men from the general population. Hum Reprod. 2011;26:1012-6. PMID: 21382832 DOI: 10.1093/humrep/der045

Brezina PR, Yunus FN, Zhao Y. Effects of pharmaceutical medications on male fertility. J Reprod Infertil. 2012;13:3-11. PMID: 23926519

Dada R, Kumar M, Jesudasan R, Fernández JL, Gonsálvez J, Agarwal A. Epigenetics and its role in male infertility. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2012;29:213-23. PMID: 22290605 DOI: 10.1007/s10815-012-9715-0

Dunson DB, Baird DD, Colombo B. Increased infertility with age in men and women. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;103:51-6. PMID: 14704244 DOI: 10.1097/01. AOG.0000100153.24061.45

Feki NC, Abid N, Rebai A, Sellami A, Ayed BB, Guermazi M, Bahloul A, Rebai T, Ammar LK. Semen quality decline among men in infertile relationships: experience over 12 years in the South of Tunisia. J Androl. 2009;30:541-7. PMID: 19201701 DOI: 10.2164/jandrol.108.005959

Guo D, Li S, Behr B, Eisenberg ML. Hypertension and Male Fertility. World J Mens Health. 2017;35:59-64. PMID: 28868816 DOI: 10.5534/wjmh.2017.35.2.59

Khatun A, Rahman MS, Pang MG. Clinical assessment of the male fertility. Obstet Gynecol Sci. 2018;61:179-91. PMID: 29564308 DOI: 10.5468/ogs.2018.61.2.179

Kimberly L, Case A, Cheung AP, Sierra S, AlAsiri S, Carranza-Mamane B, Case A, Dwyer C, Graham J, Havelock J, Hemmings R, Lee F, Liu K, Murdock W, Senikas V, Vause TD, Wong BC. Advanced reproductive age and fertility: no. 269, November 2011. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2012;117:95-102. PMID: 22506284 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2011.11.002

Kumar N, Singh AK. Trends of male factor infertility, an important cause of infertility: A review of literature. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2015;8:191-6. PMID: 26752853 DOI: 10.4103/0974-1208.170370

Molina RI, Martini AC, Tissera A, Olmedo J, Senestrari D, de Cuneo MF, Ruiz RD. Semen quality and aging: analysis of 9.168 samples in Cordoba. Argentina. Argentina Arch Esp Urol. 2010;63:214-22. PMID: 20431185

Neves PA, Fazano FAT, Borges Junior E. Manual Roca Técnicas de Laboratório: Análise do Sêmen. São Paulo: Roca; 2011.

Ruixue W, Rongli Z, Zhihong Z, Rulin D, Dongfeng G, Ruizhi L. The impact of semen quality, occupational exposure to environmental factors and lifestyle on recurrent pregnancy loss. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2013;30:1513-8. PMID: 24046023 DOI: 10.1007/s10815-013-0091-1

Sharma R, Biedenharn KR, Fedor JM, Agarwal A. Lifestyle factors and reproductive health: taking controloof your fertility. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2013;11:66. PMID: 23870423 DOI: 10.1186/1477-7827-11-66

Stewart AF, Kim ED. Fertility concerns for the aging male. Urology. 2011;78:496-9. PMID: 21884897 DOI: 10.1016/j. urology.2011.06.010

Tang YG, Tang LX, Wang QL, Song G, Jiang YJ, Deng SM, Jiang F, Qin WB. The reference values for semen parameters of 1213 fertile men in Guangdong Province in China. Asian J Androl. 2015;17:298-303. PMID: 25432502 DOI: 10.4103/1008-682X.143251

Varshini J, Srinag BS, Kalthur G, Krishnamurthy H, Kumar P, Rao SB, Adiga SK. Poor sperm quality and advancing age are associated with increased sperm DNA damage in infertile men. Andrologia. 2012;44:642-9. PMID: 22040161 DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0272.2011.01243.x

Ventimiglia E, Capogrosso P, Boeri L, Serino A, Colicchia M, Ippolito S, Scano R, Papaleo E, Damiano R, Montorsi F, Salonia A. Infertility as a proxy of general male health: results of a cross-sectional survey. Fertil Steril. 2015;104:48-55. PMID: 26006735 DOI: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.04.020