

A healthy dietary pattern associates with a lower risk of a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination

Lucinda J Black, Charlotte Rowley, Jill Sherriff, Gavin Pereira, Anne-Louise Ponsonby and Robyn M Lucas; Ausimmune Investigator Group

Abstract

Background: The evidence associating diet and risk of multiple sclerosis is inconclusive.

Objective: We investigated associations between dietary patterns and risk of a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination, a common precursor to multiple sclerosis.

Methods: We used data from the 2003–2006 Ausimmune Study, a case–control study examining environmental risk factors for a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination, with participants matched on age, sex and study region. Using data from a food frequency questionnaire, dietary patterns were identified using principal component analysis. Conditional logistic regression models ($n=698$, 252 cases, 446 controls) were adjusted for history of infectious mononucleosis, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, smoking, race, education, body mass index and dietary misreporting.

Results: We identified two major dietary patterns – healthy (high in poultry, fish, eggs, vegetables, legumes) and Western (high in meat, full-fat dairy; low in wholegrains, nuts, fresh fruit, low-fat dairy), explaining 9.3% and 7.5% of variability in diet, respectively. A one-standard deviation increase in the healthy pattern score was associated with a 25% reduced risk of a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination (adjusted odds ratio 0.75; 95% confidence interval 0.60, 0.94; $p=0.011$). There was no statistically significant association between the Western dietary pattern and risk of a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination.

Conclusion: Following healthy eating guidelines may be beneficial for those at high risk of multiple sclerosis.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, dietary patterns, Ausimmune Study, diet, nutrition, food

Date received: 28 March 2018; revised: 29 June 2018; accepted: 18 July 2018

Introduction

There are a number of known environmental risk factors for multiple sclerosis (MS), including low vitamin D status and low sun exposure,¹ smoking² and a history of infectious mononucleosis.³ Although diet may be a modifiable risk factor for MS, the current evidence focuses mainly on single foods and nutrients, with inconclusive results.^{4–7} Dietary pattern analysis has advantages over the single food or single nutrient approach by capturing information about a person's total diet, including the interactions that may occur between food components.⁸ To our knowledge, only two studies have investigated dietary patterns and risk of MS,^{9,10} both of which were case–control

studies of Iranian people with established MS. In these studies, a Mediterranean diet was associated with reduced risk of MS,⁹ as were traditional Iranian, lacto-vegetarian and vegetarian dietary patterns.¹⁰

This study uses dietary intake data from the Ausimmune Study, a multicentre, incident case–control study investigating the environmental risk factors for a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination (FCD).¹¹ Associating dietary factors close to the time of FCD, rather than in those with established MS, reduces the likelihood of reverse causation as participant responses are less likely to be biased by disease-related changes in behaviour.¹¹ This is important since dietary

Multiple Sclerosis Journal

1–12

DOI: 10.1177/
1352458518793524

© The Author(s), 2018.
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-
permissions

Correspondence to:

LJ Black
School of Public Health,
Curtin University, Kent
Street, Bentley, WA 6102,
Australia.
lucinda.black@curtin.edu.au

Lucinda J Black
Charlotte Rowley
Jill Sherriff
Gavin Pereira
School of Public Health,
Curtin University, Perth,
WA, Australia

Anne-Louise Ponsonby
Murdoch Children's Research
Institute, The University
of Melbourne, Melbourne,
VIC, Australia/National
Centre for Epidemiology &
Population Health, Research
School of Population Health,
The Australian National
University, Canberra, ACT,
Australia

Robyn M Lucas
National Centre for
Epidemiology & Population
Health, Research School
of Population Health,
The Australian National
University, Canberra,
ACT, Australia/Centre for
Ophthalmology and Visual
Science, The University of
Western Australia, Perth,
WA, Australia

modification is common after a diagnosis of MS.^{11,12} Previous analysis of the Ausimmune Study showed a lower risk of FCD with higher intake of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) derived from fish;⁴ we build on this work by testing associations between dietary patterns and risk of FCD.

Methods

Design

The 2003–2006 Ausimmune Study was a multicentre, case–control study conducted in four regions of Australia: Brisbane city (27° S), Newcastle region (33° S), Geelong and the Western districts of Victoria (37° S) and the island of Tasmania (43° S).¹¹ Case participants ($n=282$, 18–59 years) were referred to the study as described previously, and the date of onset and presenting symptoms suggestive of inflammatory central nervous system (CNS) demyelination were confirmed by a neurologist following a full history and neurological examination.¹¹ We used the date of the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan preceding diagnosis as the date of FCD, as these data were available for most participants. The median (interquartile range (IQR)) time lag from the date of MRI scan by the neurologist (the date of the diagnosis which brought the participants into the study) to the study interview was 103 (153) days, with 116 case participants interviewed within 90 days of MRI scan.

Case participants had had an incident FCD within the study period, including a classic first demyelinating event (FDE; defined as a single, first, episode of clinical symptoms suggestive of CNS demyelination; $n=216$), and primary progressive MS on neurological assessment on study entry ($n=18$). A further 48 participants were found to have a prior event highly suggestive of CNS demyelination that had been unrecognised and not ascribed to demyelination and thus unlikely to have triggered any behavioural changes. Control participants ($n=558$) were randomly selected from the general population to be matched on age (within 2 years), sex and study region, via the Australian Electoral Roll (compulsory registration for citizens ≥ 18 years). Between one and four controls were matched to each case, to maximise the study power, with more controls per case in regions with a lower expected number of cases due to being either at higher latitude (and lower expected incidence) or a smaller source population. However, these ratios were altered during the course of the study for practical reasons: in 2006, all centres were recruiting two controls per case. Ethics approval was obtained from the nine Human Research Ethics Committees of

the participating institutions.¹¹ All participants gave written informed consent for the use of their data.

The current study included participants who provided complete data on dietary intake and all covariates, and who were part of at least a matched pair. Of the 840 participants (282 cases, 558 controls) in the Ausimmune Study, 791 participants (272 cases, 519 controls) provided dietary intake data; 743 participants (259 cases, 484 controls) of these provided data for all covariates, and 698 participants (252 cases, 446 controls) of these were part of at least a matched pair and thus formed the study cohort for this analysis.

Dietary assessment

The Cancer Council Victoria Dietary Questionnaire for Epidemiological Studies version 2 (DQESv2) was used to collect information on habitual dietary intakes in the 12 months prior to the study interview. The DQES is a self-administered, semi-quantitative, food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) designed for use in the ethnically diverse adult Australian population, the development of which has been outlined elsewhere.¹³ The questionnaire has been validated relative to 7-day weighed food records in 63 women of child-bearing age, where it performed as well as other validated FFQs: mean intakes from the weighted food record and the DQES were within $\pm 20\%$ for 21 of 27 nutrients.¹⁴

The frequencies of consumption of food items were recorded on a scale from ‘never’ to ‘three or more times per day’. Portion size diagrams were used to determine respondents’ average portion size factor. Consumption of alcohol was recorded as the total number of glasses usually drunk per day, and the maximum number of glasses drunk in any 24 h. Intake of 101 food and beverage items was reported as grams per day, with nutrient intakes computed primarily using composition data from the Australian NUTTAB 95 database.¹⁵

Covariates

Participants completed a self-administered questionnaire, with variables categorised as follows: race (Caucasian, other); history of infectious mononucleosis (yes, no, do not know); highest level of education (year 10 or less, year 12 and Technical and Further Education, university). Total number of years smoked was calculated minus any periods of abstinence. Most participants (94%) provided a blood sample: serum aliquots (1 mL) were stored at -80°C and analysed for 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentrations using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry.¹ Since blood samples were taken at different

times of the year, serum 25(OH)D concentrations for case participants were deseasonalised using the seasonal patterns of the control serum 25(OH)D concentrations.¹ The study nurse measured height and weight, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared. Basal metabolic rate was calculated using the equations developed by Harris and Benedict:¹⁶ males $h = 66.4730 + 13.7516W + 5.0033S - 6.7750A$; females $h = 665.0955 + 9.5634W + 1.8496S - 4.6756A$ (where $h = \text{kcal day}^{-1}$; $W = \text{weight in kilograms}$; $S = \text{stature in centimetres}$; $A = \text{age in years}$). Under-reporters, plausible reporters and over-reporters were classified using Goldberg cut-off points as follows:¹⁷ under-reporters, below $\text{BMR} \times 1.05$; plausible reporters between $\text{BMR} \times 1.05$ and $\text{BMR} \times 2.28$; over-reporters, above $\text{BMR} \times 2.28$. A three-category variable was created for dietary misreporting: under-reporter, plausible reporter and over-reporter.

Statistical analysis

We categorised the 101 food and beverage items into 34 food groups (Table 1), based on those used previously.¹⁸ Each food group was energy-adjusted using the energy density method.¹⁹ The food group data for control participants only were entered into the principal component analysis (PCA) procedure in Stata Statistical Software: Release 14.²⁰ The factor solution was limited to those factors with an eigenvalue > 1.0 and the number of factors to retain was based on the screeplot and also on the interpretability of the obtained patterns.²¹ The identified factors were orthogonally rotated to improve their interpretability.²² Food groups with a factor loading ≥ 0.2 were considered to contribute substantially to the pattern and were used to name each pattern. Standardised factor scores were computed using the PCA procedure in Stata 14 software,²⁰ so that all participants were assigned a score for each dietary pattern, based on their FFQ intakes.

Nutrient intakes derived from the FFQ were energy adjusted using the energy density method¹⁹ and were described for the lowest and highest quintiles of each dietary pattern. Nutrient densities with normal distributions were reported as mean and standard deviation (SD), and those with non-normal distributions were reported as median and IQR. We compared nutrient intakes between the five quintiles of each dietary pattern using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for nutrients with normal distribution, and the Kruskal–Wallis test for nutrients with non-normal distribution.

Characteristics of cases and controls ($n = 698$, 252 cases, 446 controls) were described as frequency and

percentage for categorical variables, mean and SD for continuous variables with a normal distribution, and median and IQR for continuous variables with a non-normal distribution. Characteristics of control participants who were included in the final model ($n = 446$) were compared with those who were excluded from the final model due to missing data or missing matched case participant ($n = 112$). Pearson's chi-square tests were used for categorical variables, independent samples t-tests for continuous variables with normal distributions, and Mann–Whitney U tests for continuous variables with non-normal distributions.

We used conditional logistic regression models (participants matched on age, sex and study region) to estimate odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and p values for associations between dietary patterns and risk of FCD. Dietary pattern scores were analysed both as continuous variables (where a one-unit increase was equivalent to a one-SD increase in dietary pattern score) and as quintiles based on score thresholds for control participants.

Potential confounders were selected on the basis of (1) being a known risk factor for MS (history of infectious mononucleosis, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, total years of smoking); (2) being a possible risk factor for MS and/or having a potential influence on dietary patterns (race, BMI, and education); and (3) accounting for the well-documented under-reporting of energy intake by self-reported dietary methods (dietary misreporting).²³ The impact of the dietary patterns on each other was investigated by including all dietary patterns simultaneously in the final models.⁸ Model 1 ($n = 698$) was unadjusted; model 2 ($n = 698$) was adjusted for history of infectious mononucleosis, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration, total years of smoking, race, education and dietary misreporting; model 3 ($n = 698$) was additionally adjusted for all dietary patterns; model 4 was additionally adjusted for BMI ($n = 698$). We tested for an interaction between the dietary pattern score and BMI using an interaction term in the models. To test whether any associations differed by sex, we ran models in males and females separately and examined differences in the effect estimates.

We conducted the following sensitivity analyses: (1) excluding participants with implausible energy intakes (< 3000 or $> 20,000$ kJ/day; $n = 4$ cases, 9 controls)²² ($n = 677$, 247 cases, 430 controls); (2) including only case participants who completed the study interview within 90 days from the date of MRI scan ($n = 321$, 116 cases, 205 controls) and (3) including only case participants with a classic FDE ($n = 528$,

Table 1. Categorisation of 101 foods into 34 food groups.

	Food group	Foods
1	Red meat	Beef, veal, lamb, pork
2	Processed meat	Bacon, ham, salami, sausage
3	Poultry	Chicken
4	Take away	Meat pie, pizza, hamburger
5	Grilled/tinned fish	Grilled fish, tinned fish
6	Fried fish	Fried fish
7	Eggs	Eggs
8	Wholegrains	Rye bread, multigrain bread, wholegrain bread, high-fibre bread, all-bran, bran flakes, Weetbix, porridge, muesli
9	Refined grains	Crackers, pasta, rice, cornflakes, white bread
10	Yellow and red vegetables	Pepper, carrot, pumpkin, tomato
11	Cruciferous vegetable	Cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli
12	Leafy green vegetables	Lettuce, spinach
13	Potato	Potato
14	Fried potato	Chips
15	Other vegetables	Cucumber, celery, beetroot, onion, garlic, mushroom, zucchini, sprouts
16	Legumes	Peas, green beans, baked beans, other beans, tofu
17	Nuts	Nuts
18	Fresh fruit	Orange, apple, pear, banana, melon, pineapple, strawberry, apricot, peach, avocado, mango
19	Tinned fruit	Tinned fruit
20	Juice	Fruit juice
21	Low-fat dairy	Reduced fat milk, skim milk, soya milk, low-fat cheese, ricotta cheese
22	Full-fat dairy	Full-fat milk, cream cheese, soft cheese, firm cheese, hard cheese, yoghurt
23	Sweetened dairy	Flavoured milk, ice cream
24	Sauces	Tomato sauce
25	Crisps	Crisps
26	Confectionary	Chocolate
27	Cakes biscuits and sweet pastries	Sweet biscuits, cakes
28	Added sugar	Jam, sugar
29	Saturated spreads	Butter, margarine, margarine blends
30	Unsaturated spreads	Polyunsaturated margarine, monounsaturated margarine
31	Other spreads	Peanut butter, vegemite
32	Wine	Red wine, white wine, fortified wine
33	Spirits	Spirits
34	Beer	Low strength beer, full strength beer

193 cases, 335 controls). Data were analysed using Stata 14 software.²⁰

Results

Participant characteristics

Table 2 shows the characteristics of case and control participants. Most participants (95%) were Caucasian. Case participants were more likely than

controls to have a history of infectious mononucleosis, lower serum 25(OH)D concentrations, and to have completed education beyond year 10. There was no difference between the control participants who were included in the final model ($n=446$) and those who were excluded from the final model ($n=112$) with respect to the following characteristics: history of infectious mononucleosis ($p=0.76$), serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration ($p=0.11$), total years of smoking ($p=0.97$), race

Table 2. Characteristics of participants ($n=698$; 252 cases, 446 controls) included in the current study.

	Case	Control
Sex, % (n) ^a		
Male	25.0 (63)	24.0 (107)
Female	75.0 (189)	76.0 (339)
Age, year, mean (SD) ^a	38.7 (9.7)	40.0 (9.6)
Study region, % (n) ^a		
Brisbane (27° S)	34.1 (86)	37.4 (167)
Newcastle (33° S)	12.3 (31)	14.4 (64)
Geelong (37° S)	23.8 (60)	24.7 (110)
Tasmania (43° S)	29.8 (75)	23.5 (105)
Race, % (n)		
Caucasian	96.4 (243)	94.0 (419)
Other	3.6 (9)	6.0 (27)
History of infectious mononucleosis, % (n)		
No	65.1 (164)	79.2 (353)
Yes	27.8 (70)	16.1 (72)
Do not know	7.1 (18)	4.7 (21)
Serum 25(OH)D concentrations, mean (SD)	76.8 (29.7)	81.8 (30.7)
Total years of smoking, median (IQR)	5.4 (18.7)	2.0 (15.0)
Education, % (n)		
Year 10 or less	24.6 (62)	33.2 (148)
Year 12 and TAFE	49.6 (125)	41.7 (186)
University	25.8 (65)	25.1 (112)
Body mass index, median (IQR)	25.9 (7.6)	25.5 (7.4)
Dietary misreporting, % (n)		
Under-reporter	42.5 (107)	40.4 (180)
Plausible reporter	55.6 (140)	57.2 (255)
Over-reporter	2.0 (5)	2.5 (11)
SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D; TAFE: Technical and Further Education.		
^a Case and control participants were matched on sex, age (within 2 years) and study region.		

($p=0.17$), age ($p=0.59$), education ($p=0.17$) and BMI ($p=0.49$). Compared with those excluded from the final model, control participants included in the final model were more likely to be male ($p=0.015$) and to be from Brisbane or Tasmania ($p<0.001$).

Dietary patterns

PCA identified two major dietary patterns, explaining 9.3% and 7.5% of variability in diet (Table 3). The first (healthy) pattern was characterised by a higher intake of poultry, grilled and tinned fish, eggs, yellow and red vegetables, cruciferous vegetables, leafy green vegetables, other vegetables and legumes. The second (Western) pattern was characterised by a higher intake of red meat, processed meat and full-fat dairy and was low in wholegrains, nuts, fresh fruit and low-fat dairy.

Compared with those in the lowest quintile of the healthy pattern, participants in the highest quintile had lower intakes of total energy; lower energy-adjusted intakes of total fat, saturated fat and mono-unsaturated fat; and higher energy-adjusted intakes of long-chain omega-3 PUFA, protein, dietary fibre and various vitamins and minerals (Table 4). Compared with those in the lowest quintile of the Western pattern, participants in the highest quintile had higher intakes of total energy; higher energy-adjusted intakes of total fat, saturated fat and monounsaturated fat; and lower energy-adjusted intakes of PUFA, long-chain omega-3 PUFA, carbohydrate, dietary fibre, and various vitamins and minerals.

Dietary patterns and risk of FCD

In the unadjusted model (Model 1), a one-SD increase in the healthy pattern score was associated

Table 3. Factor loadings of the food groups in the two major dietary patterns identified with principal component analysis.

Food group	Healthy	Western
Red meat	0.14	0.30 ^a
Processed meat	0.12	0.34 ^a
Poultry	0.21 ^a	0.18
Take away	−0.03	0.19
Grilled/tinned fish	0.30 ^a	−0.03
Fried fish	0.05	0.16
Eggs	0.35 ^a	0.12
Wholegrains	−0.01	−0.42 ^a
Refined grains	−0.11	0.12
Yellow and red vegetables	0.23 ^a	−0.08
Cruciferous vegetable	0.25 ^a	0.07
Leafy green vegetables	0.40 ^a	0.01
Potato	−0.12	0.04
Fried potato	−0.11	0.18
Other vegetables	0.43 ^a	−0.04
Legumes	0.20 ^a	−0.01
Nuts	0.13	−0.26 ^a
Fresh fruit	0.17	−0.29 ^a
Canned fruit	−0.02	−0.10
Juice	−0.12	−0.14
Low-fat dairy	0.01	−0.40 ^a
Full-fat dairy	−0.05	0.23 ^a
Sweetened dairy	−0.02	0.10
Sauces	−0.12	0.02
Crisps	−0.18	0.04
Confectionary	0.01	0.10
Cakes biscuits and sweet pastries	−0.13	0.01
Added sugar	−0.07	0.07
Saturated spreads	−0.12	0.002
Unsaturated spreads	−0.04	−0.04
Other spreads	−0.09	−0.002
Wine	0.06	−0.15
Spirits	−0.002	0.05
Beer	−0.09	0.04
Variance explained (%)	9.3	7.5

^aFood groups with a factor loading ≥ 0.2 (higher intake) were considered characteristic of the dietary pattern.

with a 17% (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 0.83; 95% CI 0.69, 0.99) reduced risk of FCD (Table 5). A one-SD increase in the healthy pattern score was associated with a 24% (AOR 0.76; 95% CI 0.62, 0.94) reduced risk of FCD when adjusted for potential confounders (Model 2), and a 25% (AOR 0.75; 95% CI 0.60, 0.94) reduced risk of FCD when further adjusted for the Western dietary pattern (Model 3) and BMI (Model 4). Compared with the lowest quintile of the healthy dietary pattern score, the risk of FCD was 47% (AOR 0.53; 95% CI 0.29, 0.96)

lower in the fourth quintile and 55% (AOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.24, 0.83) lower in the highest quintile in the fully adjusted model (Model 4). There was no statistically significant interaction between the healthy dietary pattern score and BMI in the model using the dietary pattern score as a continuous variable ($p=0.09$) and as quintiles. We found no evidence of a statistically significant association between a Western dietary pattern and risk of FCD, nor was there a statistically significant interaction between the Western dietary pattern score and BMI

Table 4. Nutrient intakes (as energy density) for the lowest and highest quintiles of the two dietary pattern scores.

	Healthy pattern			Western pattern		
	Lowest quintile	Highest quintile	<i>p</i>	Lowest quintile	Highest quintile	<i>p</i>
Total energy intake (kJ) ^a	8938.8 (5701.2)	5492.7 (2202.8)	<0.001	6211.9 (2818.1)	8644.7 (5150.8)	<0.001
Total fat density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^b	41.7 (6.0)	37.6 (7.0)	<0.001	34.4 (6.5)	44.4 (4.5)	<0.001
Saturated fat density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^b	18.5 (3.7)	14.3 (3.8)	<0.001	12.5 (3.0)	19.8 (3.0)	<0.001
Monounsaturated fat density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^b	14.3 (2.3)	13.8 (3.0)	0.028	12.3 (2.8)	15.7 (1.9)	<0.001
Polyunsaturated fat density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^a	5.2 (2.5)	5.3 (2.6)	0.313	5.8 (3.7)	4.9 (1.6)	0.013
Long-chain omega 3 fatty acid density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^a	84.9 (109.4)	296.7 (300.8)	<0.001	221 (247.9)	120.1 (147.1)	<0.001
Protein density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^b	41.6 (6.1)	53.0 (8.8)	<0.001	47.6 (7.4)	48.1 (8.8)	0.585
Carbohydrate density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^b	102.1 (16.0)	102.0 (15.9)	0.538	113.6 (18.5)	91.9 (14.8)	<0.001
Dietary fibre density (g/1000 kcal/d) ^b	8.8 (2.3)	14.4 (3.8)	<0.001	14.6 (3.4)	8.4 (2.2)	<0.001
Calcium density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	456.2 (145.4)	566.5 (183.3)	<0.001	627.2 (195.0)	430.5 (138.4)	<0.001
Magnesium density (mg/MJ/d) ^b	131.8 (20.1)	184.5 (31.5)	<0.001	192.5 (26.0)	127.3 (17.0)	<0.001
Zinc density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	5.3 (1.0)	6.8 (1.3)	<0.001	6.1 (1.0)	6.3 (1.4)	0.368
Iron density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^a	5.6 (1.5)	7.4 (2.0)	<0.001	7.3 (2.1)	5.8 (1.5)	<0.001
Beta-carotene density (mcg/1000 kcal/d) ^a	934.0 (783.3)	2139.8 (947.6)	<0.001	1785.7 (1037.6)	1132.1 (1033.7)	<0.001
Thiamine density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	0.76 (0.24)	0.83 (0.21)	0.026	0.9 (0.2)	0.7 (0.2)	<0.001
Riboflavin density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	1.2 (0.3)	1.4 (0.3)	<0.001	1.5 (0.4)	1.1 (0.3)	<0.001
Niacin equivalents density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	18.1 (2.8)	22.6 (3.3)	<0.001	21.0 (3.2)	20.0 (3.4)	0.115
Folate density (mcg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	124.4 (30.8)	179.0 (42.0)	<0.001	179.9 (39.7)	122.4 (28.6)	<0.001
Vitamin C density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^a	45.4 (33.8)	83.0 (41.2)	<0.001	80.4 (53.2)	48.2 (31.5)	<0.001
Vitamin E density (mg/1000 kcal/d) ^b	3.0 (0.6)	4.0 (1.3)	<0.001	4.0 (1.2)	2.9 (0.6)	<0.001

ANOVA: analysis of variance.
^aMedian (interquartile range), *p*-values derived from the Kruskal–Wallis test.
^bMean (standard deviation), *p*-values derived from one-way ANOVA.

in the model using the dietary pattern score as a continuous variable ($p=0.11$) and as quintiles.

0.75, 1.16; $p=0.512$; men: AOR 1.19; 95% CI 0.73, 1.94; $p=0.495$).

Similar findings were observed in the sensitivity analyses of those with plausible energy intakes and those who completed the study interview within 90 days from the date of MRI scan; in the classic FDE group, the findings were similar but with wider confidence intervals (Table 6).

When stratified by sex, a one-SD increase in the healthy pattern score was associated with a 28% reduced risk of FCD in women in the fully adjusted model (AOR 0.72; 95% CI 0.56, 0.93; $p=0.011$; $n=189$ cases, 339 controls). There was a 9% reduced risk of FCD in men but this association was statistically non-significant (AOR 0.91; 95% CI 0.43, 1.93; $p=0.808$; $n=63$ cases, 107 controls). Supplementary Figure 1 shows histograms of the healthy dietary pattern score for cases and controls, stratified by sex. There was no statistically significant association between a Western dietary pattern and risk of FCD in models stratified by sex (women: AOR 0.93; 95% CI

Discussion

Our results suggest a protective effect of a healthy dietary pattern (high in poultry, fish, eggs, vegetables and legumes) on risk of FCD. The association was independent of history of infectious mononucleosis, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration, total years of smoking, race, education, BMI, dietary misreporting and Western dietary pattern score. The association was stronger in women than in men; however, the large overlap in the interval estimates suggests that the lack of statistical association for men was possibly due to the lower sample size for men due to the female case excess. We did not observe any statistically significant associations between a Western dietary pattern and risk of FCD. The two major dietary patterns we identified were similar to the 'healthy' and 'Western' patterns identified in other studies of adults, as reviewed previously.²⁴ Although the small amount of total variability in diet explained by the

Table 5. Associations between dietary patterns (healthy and Western) and risk of FCD in participants of the Ausimmune Study.

	Model 1: unadjusted		Model 2 ^a : partially adjusted		Model 3 ^b : partially adjusted		Model 4 ^c : fully adjusted	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
<i>n</i> (cases, controls)	698 (252, 446)		698 (252, 446)		698 (252, 446)		698 (252, 446)	
Healthy (per SD)	0.83 (0.69, 0.99)	0.042	0.76 (0.62, 0.94)	0.013	0.75 (0.60, 0.94)	0.011	0.75 (0.60, 0.94)	0.011
Quintile 1	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Quintile 2	0.80 (0.49, 1.31)	0.377	0.77 (0.46, 1.31)	0.342	0.75 (0.44, 1.29)	0.300	0.75 (0.44, 1.29)	0.300
Quintile 3	0.91 (0.56, 1.49)	0.714	0.75 (0.44, 1.27)	0.282	0.72 (0.42, 1.23)	0.226	0.72 (0.42, 1.23)	0.226
Quintile 4	0.66 (0.40, 1.11)	0.199	0.56 (0.31, 0.99)	0.046	0.53 (0.29, 0.96)	0.035	0.53 (0.29, 0.96)	0.035
Quintile 5	0.59 (0.35, 1.01)	0.056	0.48 (0.26, 0.86)	0.014	0.45 (0.24, 0.83)	0.011	0.45 (0.24, 0.83)	0.011
<i>p</i> (trend)		0.047		0.009		0.007		0.007
Western (per SD)	0.97 (0.82, 1.14)	0.676	1.00 (0.84, 1.20)	0.971	0.94 (0.77, 1.13)	0.504	0.94 (0.77, 1.13)	0.506
Quintile 1	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Quintile 2	0.99 (0.61, 1.60)	0.962	1.06 (0.64, 1.76)	0.830	0.91 (0.54, 1.55)	0.738	0.91 (0.54, 1.55)	0.738
Quintile 3	0.97 (0.60, 1.57)	0.907	1.03 (0.62, 1.70)	0.918	0.88 (0.52, 1.48)	0.621	0.88 (0.52, 1.48)	0.621
Quintile 4	0.67 (0.39, 1.14)	0.137	0.75 (0.42, 1.32)	0.317	0.65 (0.36, 1.17)	0.146	0.65 (0.36, 1.17)	0.147
Quintile 5	0.91 (0.55, 1.50)	0.701	0.99 (0.57, 1.72)	0.966	0.80 (0.44, 1.44)	0.451	0.80 (0.44, 1.44)	0.451
<i>p</i> (trend)		0.324		0.601		0.247		0.248
FCD: first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination; OR: odds ratio; AOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation.								
^a Adjusted for history of infectious mononucleosis, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, total years of smoking, race, education and dietary misreporting.								
^b As previous and additionally adjusted for the alternate dietary pattern (both patterns included in the model).								
^c As previous and additionally adjusted for body mass index.								

dietary patterns is a limitation, this is similar to other studies of dietary patterns derived by PCA.^{25,26}

Our findings are similar to the study by Sedaghat et al.,⁹ which showed that in a hospital-based case-control study of people with MS in Iran (*n* = 70 cases, 142 controls), a high quality Mediterranean diet was associated with reduced risk of MS. In that study, the Mediterranean diet (high in vegetables, legumes, fruits, nuts, fish and a high ratio of unsaturated to saturated fatty acids; and low in dairy, meat and meat products and refined grains) was assessed using a modified version of the 9-Unit dietary score.²⁴ Our results support these findings since a healthy dietary pattern – high in vegetables, legumes and fish – is similar to a Mediterranean diet.

Jahromi et al.¹⁰ used factor analysis to identify dietary patterns in a case-control study of women with relapsing/remitting MS (*n* = 77 cases, 75 controls). Three dietary patterns were inversely associated with risk of MS: (1) traditional (high in low-fat dairy products, red meat, vegetable oil, onion, wholegrain, soy, refined grains, organ meats, coffee and legumes); (2) lacto-vegetarian (high in nuts, fruits, French fries,

coffee, sweets and desserts, vegetables and high-fat dairy products); and (3) vegetarian (high in green leafy vegetables, hydrogenated fats, tomato, yellow vegetables, fruit juices, onion and other vegetables). A Western dietary pattern (high in animal fats, potato, meat products, sugars and hydrogenated fats, and low in wholegrains) was positively associated with risk of MS. A limitation of the study was that case participants had been diagnosed with the disease up to 3 years previously and some changes in dietary habits occurred in a number of case participants after the onset of the disease.

A major strength of the Ausimmune Study was its incident case-control design, where collection of dietary data was soon after the FCD, rather than in people with established MS. Most of the limited dietary research in relation to MS has been conducted in individuals who have established MS. The proportion of people making dietary changes after a diagnosis of MS ranges from 17%²⁷ to 42%,¹² making reverse causation (i.e. that the diagnosis has led to behaviour changes in dietary intake) an important consideration. By recruiting participants with FCD, rather than MS, the possibility of reverse causation is reduced, since

Table 6. Associations between dietary patterns (healthy and Western) and (a) risk of FCD excluding participants with implausible energy intakes (<3000 or >20,000 kJ/day), (b) risk of FCD in case participants who completed the study interview within 90 days from the date of MRI scan, and (c) risk of FDE.

	Model 1: unadjusted		Model 2 ^a : partially adjusted		Model 3 ^b : partially adjusted		Model 4 ^c : fully adjusted	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
(a) Risk of FCD excluding participants with implausible energy intakes								
<i>n</i> (cases, controls)	677 (247, 430)		677 (247, 430)		677 (247, 430)		677 (247, 430)	
Healthy (per SD)	0.86 (0.71, 1.04)	0.127	0.78 (0.62, 0.98)	0.030	0.76 (0.60, 0.96)	0.024	0.76 (0.60, 0.97)	0.025
Western (per SD)	0.96 (0.81, 1.13)	0.598	1.00 (0.84, 1.20)	0.965	0.93 (0.77, 1.13)	0.489	0.93 (0.77, 1.13)	0.475
(b) Risk of FCD in case participants who completed the study interview within 90 days from the date of MRI scan								
<i>n</i> (cases, controls)	321 (116, 205)		321 (116, 205)		321 (116, 205)		321 (116, 205)	
Healthy (per SD)	0.78 (0.59, 1.04)	0.106	0.67 (0.46, 0.96)	0.029	0.65 (0.44, 0.95)	0.027	0.62 (0.42, 0.91)	0.015
Western (per SD)	0.97 (0.76, 1.25)	0.552	1.00 (0.75, 1.32)	0.972	0.91 (0.67, 1.23)	0.545	0.88 (0.65, 1.17)	0.372
(c) Risk of FDE								
<i>n</i> (cases, controls)	528 (193, 335)		528 (193, 335)		528 (193, 335)		528 (193, 335)	
Healthy (per SD)	0.83 (0.67, 1.02)	0.082	0.81 (0.63, 1.04)	0.099	0.78 (0.60, 1.02)	0.071	0.79 (0.61, 1.03)	0.085
Western (per SD)	0.96 (0.80, 1.15)	0.670	0.96 (0.78, 1.18)	0.675	0.90 (0.72, 1.13)	0.363	0.90 (0.72, 1.12)	0.336
FCD: first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination; FDE: incident classic first demyelinating event; OR: odds ratio; AOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.								
^a Adjusted for history of infectious mononucleosis, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, total years of smoking, race, education and dietary misreporting.								
^b As previous and additionally adjusted for the alternate dietary pattern (both patterns included in the model).								
^c As previous and additionally adjusted for body mass index.								

the participants did not have a medical diagnosis of MS and minimal time had passed since they were initially assessed by a medical specialist. However, there is some evidence to suggest the existence of a MS prodrome, with degenerative processes and symptoms, including fatigue and depression, possibly starting years prior to clinical manifestation of demyelination.^{28–30} Prodromal symptoms, such as fatigue and depression, may lead to differences in eating prior to a FCD; therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of reverse causation.

A further limitation of our study is the widely acknowledged under-reporting of energy intake from self-reported dietary assessment methods.²³ It is well-known that energy under-reporting of foods is selective, with unhealthy and snack foods more likely to be forgotten during dietary reporting.^{31,32} Although this may potentially bias the analysis of dietary patterns, it is likely that recall error in our study was similar for case and control participants. Similarly, although portion size photos in self-administered FFQs have limited value for ranking individuals correctly according to their actual portion sizes,³³ recall error was likely to be similar for case and control participants.

Other limitations of our study include potential residual confounding and lack of generalisability. We cannot rule out residual confounding, whereby those

following a healthy dietary pattern have other unmeasured lifestyle characteristics that reduce the risk of FCD. However, with the exception of smoking, most lifestyle characteristics – including BMI, alcohol intake and physical activity – were not associated with risk of FCD in previous analysis of the Ausimmune Study.³⁴ Finally, these results may not be generalisable to other populations – the dietary patterns were derived specifically from this group of participants who were living in Australia and were predominantly Caucasian; the diets of people of other races and those living in other countries are likely to be different from the diets followed by our participants.

In summary, our results suggest that following a healthy diet characterised by poultry, fish, eggs, vegetables and legumes may lower the risk of FCD. Such a diet is in line with recommendations for the general population, including the Australian Dietary Guidelines.³⁵ In the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, healthy eating guidelines designed for the general population are currently the best available dietary recommendations for people at high risk of MS. Given that less than 4% of the Australian population follow the Australian Dietary Guidelines,³⁵ improved nutrition education for people at high risk of MS onset may be beneficial in helping them follow a healthy diet, and may subsequently reduce their risk of FCD, or of MS.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants of the Ausimmune Study. The authors would like to acknowledge and thank the physicians who notified case participants to the Ausimmune Study: Jeffrey Blackie, FRACP; Richard Bourke, FRACGP; John Cameron, MD; Ross Carne, MD; Ben Clark, FRANZCO; Steven Collins, MD; Diana Conrad, FRANZCO; Michael Coroneos, FRACS; Nicholas Downie, FRANZCO; David Floate, FRACP; Peter Gates, FRACP; Kerry Green, FRACP; Erwin Groeneveld, FRANZCO; John Harrison, FRANZCO; Michael Haybittel, FRANZCO; Robert Henderson, FRACP; John Henshaw, MMed; James Hurley, MD; Dean Jones, FRACP; Michael Katekar, MBBS; Anthony Kemp, FRACP; Mark King, FRACP; George Kiroff, FRACS; Brett Knight, FRACP; Thomas Kraemer, FRACP; Cecile Lander, FRACP; Jeannette Lechner-Scott, FRACP; Andre Loiselle, FRACP; Paul McCartney, FRANZCO; Pamela McCombe, PhD; Mark McGree, FRANZCO; David McKnight, FRANZCO; Daniel McLaughlin, PhD; Satish Nagarajah, MBBS; Rob Nightingale, FRACP; Terence O'Brien, MD; John O'Sullivan, MD; Gregory Outteridge, FRANZCO; Anthony Pane, FRANZCO; Mark Parsons, FRACP; Melinda Pascoe, FRACP; David Prentice, PhD; Richard Ralph, FRACGP; Stephen Read, FRACP; John Richmond, FRACP; Ian Routley, FRANZCO; Timothy Ruddle, FRANZCO; Noel Saines, FRACP; Stan Siejka, MBBS (dec); Christopher Staples, FRACP; Paul Talman, FRACP; Don Todman, FRACP; Nitin Verma, FRANZCO; Brendan Vote, FRANZCO; Michael Waldie, FRANZCO; Michael Weetch, FRACP; Rodney Westmore, FRANZCO; Andrew Wong, FRACP; the local research officers: Susan Agland, BN; Barbara Alexander, BN; Marcia Davis, MD; Zoe Dunlop, BN; Rosalie Scott, BN; Marie Steele, RN; Catherine Turner, MPH&TM; Brenda Wood, RN; and the Ausimmune Study project officers during the course of the study: Jane Gresham, MA (Int Law); Camilla Jozwick, BSc (Hons); Helen Rodgers, RN. The Ausimmune Investigator Group includes the following investigators: Dr Caron Chapman, Barwon Health, Geelong, Victoria, Australia; Prof. Alan Coulthard, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital and the University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; Prof. Keith Dear, School of Public Health, University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; Prof. Terry Dwyer, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; Prof. Trevor Kilpatrick, Centre for Neuroscience, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; Prof. Robyn Lucas, National Centre for

Epidemiology & Population Health, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia; Prof. Tony McMichael (dec), National Centre for Epidemiology & Population Health, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia; Prof. Michael P Pender, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital and the University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; Prof. Anne-Louise Ponsonby, Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; Prof. Bruce Taylor, Menzies Research Institute Tasmania, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia; A/Prof. Patricia Valery, Menzies School of Health Research, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; A/Prof. Ingrid van der Mei, Menzies Research Institute Tasmania, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia; Dr David Williams, Hunter Health, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia. The Ausimmune Investigator Group, L.J.B. and J.S. designed the study; C.R. and L.J.B. analysed the data; C.R. and L.J.B. wrote the manuscript; G.P. provided statistical support; J.S., G.P., A.L.P. and R.M.L. provided critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have approved the manuscript and it has not been published elsewhere.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: Funding for the Ausimmune Study was provided by the National Multiple Sclerosis Society of the United States of America, the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia and Multiple Sclerosis Research Australia. L.J.B. is supported by a Multiple Sclerosis Western Australia Postdoctoral Fellowship. R.M.L. and A.L.P. are supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Senior Research Fellowship. Funding bodies had no role in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis or interpretation of data; or preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

References

1. Lucas RM, Ponsonby AL, Dear K, et al. Sun exposure and vitamin D are independent risk factors for CNS demyelination. *Neurology* 2011; 76(6): 540–548.

2. O’Gorman C and Broadley SA. Smoking and multiple sclerosis: Evidence for latitudinal and temporal variation. *J Neurol* 2014; 261(9): 1677–1683.
3. Levin LI, Munger KL, Rubertone MV, et al. Temporal relationship between elevation of Epstein-Barr virus antibody titers and initial onset of neurological symptoms in multiple sclerosis. *JAMA* 2005; 293(20): 2496–2500.
4. Hoare S, Lithander F, van der Mei I, et al. Higher intake of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids is associated with a decreased risk of a first clinical diagnosis of central nervous system demyelination: Results from the Ausimmune Study. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22(7): 884–892.
5. Bjørnevik K, Chitnis T, Ascherio A, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and the risk of multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2017; 23(14): 1830–1838.
6. Baarnhielm M, Olsson T and Alfredsson L. Fatty fish intake is associated with decreased occurrence of multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20(6): 726–732.
7. Zhang SM, Hernán, MA, Olek, MJ et al. Intakes of carotenoids, vitamin C, and vitamin E and MS risk among two large cohorts of women. *Neurology* 2001; 57: 75–80.
8. McNaughton SA. Dietary patterns and diet quality: Approaches to assessing complex exposures in nutrition. *Austral Epidemiol* 2010; 171: 35–37.
9. Sedaghat F, Jessri M, Behrooz M, et al. Mediterranean diet adherence and risk of multiple sclerosis: A case-control study. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2016; 25(2): 377–384.
10. Jahromi SR, Toghae M, Jahromi MJ, et al. Dietary pattern and risk of multiple sclerosis. *Iran J Neurol* 2012; 11(2): 47–53.
11. Lucas R, Ponsonby AL, McMichael A, et al. Observational analytic studies in multiple sclerosis: Controlling bias through study design and conduct. The Australian multicentre study of environment and immune function. *Mult Scler* 2007; 13(7): 827–839.
12. Riemann-Lorenz K, Eilers M, von Geldern G, et al. Dietary interventions in multiple sclerosis: Development and pilot-testing of an evidence based patient education program. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11(10): e0165246.
13. Ireland P, Jolley D, Giles G, et al. Development of the Melbourne FFQ: A food frequency questionnaire for use in an Australian prospective study involving an ethnically diverse cohort. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 1994; 3(1): 19–31.
14. Hodge A, Patterson AJ, Brown WJ, et al. The anti cancer council of Victoria FFQ: Relative validity of nutrient intakes compared with weighed food records in young to middle-aged women in a study of iron supplementation. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2000; 24(6): 576–583.
15. Lewis J, Milligan G and Hunt A. *NUTTAB95: Nutrient data table for use in Australia*. Canberra, ACT, Australia: Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 1995.
16. Harris J and Benedict F. *A biometric study of basal metabolism in man*. Washington, DC: Carnegie Institute of Washington, 1919.
17. Goldberg GR, Black AE, Jebb SA, et al. Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy physiology: Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-reporting. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1991; 45: 569–581.
18. Ambrosini GL, O’Sullivan TA, de Klerk NH, et al. Relative validity of adolescent dietary patterns: A comparison of a FFQ and 3d food record. *Br J Nutr* 2011; 105(4): 625–633.
19. Willett WC, Howe GR and Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997; 65(4 Suppl): 1220S–1228S.
20. StataCorp. *Stata statistical software: Release 14*. College Station, TX: StataCorp, 2015.
21. Fransen HP, May AM, Stricker MD, et al. A posteriori dietary patterns: How many patterns to retain? *J Nutr* 2014; 144(8): 1274–1282.
22. Ambrosini GL, Fritschi L, de Klerk NH, et al. Dietary patterns identified using factor analysis and prostate cancer risk: A case control study in Western Australia. *Ann Epidemiol* 2008; 18(5): 364–370.
23. Black AE, Prentice AM, Goldberg GR, et al. Measurements of total energy expenditure provide insights into the validity of dietary measurements of energy intake. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1993; 93: 572–579.
24. Newby PK and Tucker KL. Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: A review. *Nutr Rev* 2004; 62(5): 177–203.
25. Thorpe MG, Milte CM, Crawford D, et al. A comparison of the dietary patterns derived by principal component analysis and cluster analysis in older Australians. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2016; 13: 30.
26. Schrijvers JK, McNaughton SA, Beck KL, et al. Exploring the dietary patterns of young New Zealand women and associations with BMI and body fat. *Nutrients* 2016; 8(8): 450.
27. Brenton JN and Goldman MD. A study of dietary modification: Perceptions and attitudes of patients with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2016; 8: 54–57.

28. Berger JR, Pocoski J, Preblich R, et al. Fatigue heralding multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19(11): 1526–1532.
29. Byatt N, Rothschild AJ, Riskind P, et al. Relationships between multiple sclerosis and depression. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2011; 23(2): 198–200.
30. Wijnands JMA, Kingwell E, Zhu F, et al. Health-care use before a first demyelinating event suggestive of a multiple sclerosis prodrome: A matched cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2017; 16(6): 445–451.
31. Funtikova AN, Gomez SF, Fito M, et al. Effect of energy under-reporting on secular trends of dietary patterns in a Mediterranean population. *PLoS ONE* 2015; 10(5): e0127647.
32. Heitmann BL and Lissner L. Dietary underreporting by obese individuals – is it specific or non-specific? *BMJ* 1995; 311(7011): 986–989.
33. Haraldsdottir J, Tjonneland A and Overvad K. Validity of individual portion size estimates in a Food Frequency Questionnaire. *Int J Epidemiol* 1994; 23(4): 786–796.
34. Ponsonby AL, Lucas RM, Dear K, et al. The physical anthropometry, lifestyle habits and blood pressure of people presenting with a first clinical demyelinating event compared to controls: The Ausimmune Study. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19(13): 1717–1725.
35. National Health and Medical Research Council. *Australian dietary guidelines*. Canberra, ACT, Australia: National Health and Medical Research Council, 2013.

Visit SAGE journals online
[journals.sagepub.com/
home/msj](http://journals.sagepub.com/home/msj)

 SAGE journals