Ammonia, being a good source of hydrogen, has the potential to play a significant role in a future hydrogen economy. The hydrogen content in liquid ammonia is 17.6 wt% compared with 12.5 wt% in methanol. Although a large percentage of ammonia, produced globally, is currently used in fertiliser production, it has been used as a fuel for transport vehicles and for space heating. Ammonia is an excellent energy storage media with infrastructure for its transportation and distribution already in place in many countries. Ammonia is produced at present through the well known Haber–Bosch process which is known to be very energy and capital intensive. In search for more efficient and economical process and in view of the potential ammonia production growth forecast, a number of new processes are under development. Amongst these, the electrochemical routes have the potential to substantially reduce the energy input (by more than 20%), simplify the reactor design and reduce the complexity and cost of balance of plant when compared to the conventional ammonia production route. Several electrochemical routes based on liquid, molten salt, solid or composite electrolytes consisting of a molten salt and a solid phase are currently under investigation. In this paper these electrochemical methods of ammonia synthesis have been reviewed with a discussion on materials of construction, operating temperature and pressure regimes, major technical challenges and materials issues.

1. Introduction

Ammonia (NH₃) is an alkaline, colourless and lighter than air gas with pungent and penetrating odour. The boiling point for liquefied anhydrous ammonia is –33.3 °C at atmospheric pressure [1]. It is the second most commonly produced chemical in the world. Ammonia stays in liquid form above about 9–10 bar pressure at ambient temperature [1,2], thus it can be stored in low pressure vessels similar to those used for LPG. The conventional route of producing ammonia is by the Haber–Bosch process named after two Scientists Fritz Haber and Carl Bosch (both winning Noble prize for their contribution to the discovery and industrial process development respectively) [1,4–6]. The process requires reaction of hydrogen and nitrogen over an iron based catalyst at temperatures in the vicinity of 500 °C and pressures up to 300 bar. Over 200 million metric tons of ammonia per annum is produced by this process worldwide [7,8]. About 80% of the ammonia produced globally is used for nitrogen based fertiliser production [8]. The remaining 20% of the ammonia...
production is used in explosives, pharmaceuticals, refrigeration, cleaning products and many other industrial processes [1,8–10]. For ammonia synthesis, the source of hydrogen is mostly natural gas (NG) and involves desulphurisation, methane steam reforming followed by water gas shift reaction to convert CO to hydrogen and CO₂. The residual CO is removed by methanation reaction and CO₂ is removed by pressure swing adsorption process [1,11]. Nitrogen is typically sourced from atmospheric air by using cryogenic air separation units.

Ammonia synthesis reaction in the Haber–Bosch process is given as:

\[ 3\text{H}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{NH}_3 \quad \Delta H_{\text{SO}} = -46.35 \text{kJ/mol}, \Delta S_{\text{SO}} = -99.35 \text{J/K mol} \]

(1)

The negative entropy of the reaction dictates that the reaction is favoured at lower temperatures, however, the high dissociation energy of triply bonded nitrogen molecule (911 KJ mol⁻¹) presents a significant activation barrier [1]. While from thermodynamic standpoint, the reaction is favoured by high pressures and low temperatures, the kinetics demands high operating temperatures to achieve reasonable ammonia production rates. The kinetic rate of Reaction (1) increases with increasing temperature, reaching maxima at a certain temperature and then decreasing if the temperature is increased further due to lower equilibrium ammonia concentration stemming from its decomposition. The temperature at which the reaction rate is maximum, obviously varies with the process pressure. For example, at a pressure of 100 bar (1 bar = 0.1 MPa), for a stoichiometric H₂/N₂ mixture, the reaction rate reaches a maximum of 0.01 kmol h⁻¹ kg⁻¹ at about 432 °C while increasing the pressure to 300 bar pushes the maxima to 537 °C with a reaction rate of 0.18 kmol h⁻¹ kg⁻¹ [1,2]. Clearly there is a need for balance between the operating temperature, pressure and the ammonia yield. Also to facilitate the activation under practically feasible pressures, the use of catalysts such as iron oxides is virtually mandatory for industrial production. Typically in industrial processes, the reaction is carried out at temperatures between 300 and 550 °C and pressures between 100 and 300 bar in the presence of reduced Fe₃O₄ based catalyst bed for reaction to proceed at reasonable rates. Since conversion rates are very low for a single pass (only 10–15% conversion of reactants), the overall plant has several catalytic beds with gases cooled after each bed, ammonia separated and unreacted gases fed to the next bed to increase overall yield. Alternatively the unreacted gases can be recycled over the same bed. The total energy consumption by this route is around 9500 kWh/ton of ammonia and increases to 12,000 kWh/ton if H₂ is produced via electrolysis of water rather than by the steam reforming of methane [9].

Ammonia is also being considered an energy storage media and a source of hydrogen as the hydrogen content in liquid ammonia is 17.6 wt% compared with 12.5 wt% in methanol [3,12–14]. Ammonia has been used as a fuel for transport vehicles as it can be combusted in an internal combustion engine, and also for space heating [3,9]. Ammonia, being a good source of hydrogen, has the potential to play a significant role in a future hydrogen economy [3,12–15]. Ammonia can be easily cracked to produce hydrogen for use in fuel cells or other hydrogen applications at end user sites. The by-products of direct ammonia combustion are water and nitrogen. Table 1 compares total volumetric and gravimetric energy content of various liquid fuels with ammonia. However, the main advantage of using ammonia as a source of hydrogen is that the volumetric hydrogen energy density in liquid anhydrous ammonia is significantly higher than that of liquid hydrogen [3,9,12,14,15]. In fact, the volumetric hydrogen energy density of ammonia is the highest amongst many common liquid fuels (methanol, ethanol, gasoline, LPG, etc.) after reforming to produce hydrogen. Ammonia can be used directly as a fuel in alkaline or high temperature fuel cells [16–19] which have good tolerance for residual ammonia levels. However, low temperature fuel cells based on acidic membranes such as Nafion are incompatible and degrade easily by ammonia concentration as low as 0.1 ppm [14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Energy content (HHV) a</th>
<th>Energy content (LHV) a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>MJ/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid H₂</td>
<td>141.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liq NH₃</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₅OH</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (LNG)</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a HHV: High Heating Value, LHV: Low Heating Value.

Table 1 – Energy content of various fuels. a

Due to the large number of applications and products where ammonia is utilised and increasing interest in using it as an energy storage media, a rapid growth in ammonia production capacity is expected globally. This is encouraging the development of alternative routes for ammonia production which are less energy intensive and economically more viable. Ammonia can be synthesised with a number of electrochemical routes and many different electrolytic systems are currently under development. A short review of solid state
Electrochemical ammonia production methods has been published recently [20]. It has been forecast that electrochemical routes can save more than 20% of the energy consumption as compared to the conventional Haber–Bosch route [9], although none has achieved the level of technological maturity required for commercial production of ammonia. Fig. 1 compares flow diagrams of the Haber–Bosch process with an envisaged electrochemical route for ammonia production. This review will discuss recent progress made in various electrochemical processes under consideration with emphasis on materials of construction, operating temperature and pressure regimes, ammonia production and N₂/H₂ conversion rates, Faradaic efficiency and technical status of the technology.

2. Electrochemical production of ammonia

There are four main categories of electrolytes used for ammonia production as shown schematically in Fig. 2. These are based on (i) liquid electrolytes operating near room temperature; (ii) molten salt electrolytes operating at intermediate temperatures (300–500 °C); (iii) composite electrolytes consisting of a traditional solid electrolyte mixed with a low melting salt (300–700 °C); and (iv) solid electrolytes with a wide operating temperature range from near room temperature up to 700–800 °C depending on the type of electrolyte membrane used. In each type of system there is a choice for different electrode and electrolyte systems and operating conditions. In general, electrolytic cells based on solid electrolyte membrane are more versatile as these allow easy separation of hydrogen feed from ammonia product. A large number of electrochemical routes for the production of ammonia typically involve supply of hydrogen to one electrode of the electrolytic cell, formation of H⁺ at the electrode/electrolyte interface, migration of protons through the electrolyte and reaction with N₂ at the other electrode to form ammonia with uptake of electrons (Fig. 3).

Hydrogen, for the electrolytic routes, like the Haber–Bosch process, can be sourced from natural gas [1] or electrolysis of water, or even decomposition of an organic liquid such as ethanol. Hydrogen from water electrolysis utilising a renewable energy source such as wind or solar would substantially reduce the carbon footprint for ammonia production [21]. In fact water can also act as a source of hydrogen inside the electrolytic cell through its reaction in the electrochemical process. The use of water as a source of hydrogen would also be helpful in eliminating any issues of catalyst poisoning due to traces of sulphur compounds or CO which are common impurities in hydrogen produced via steam reforming of natural gas. The process can be carried out under ambient conditions or at higher temperatures depending on the type of the electrolyte material used.

There are overreaching requirements on materials of use at the electrolytic cell operating temperatures and become more stringent with increasing temperature and pressure. The electrolyte must have reasonable ionic conductivity and be stable in cell operating environments. In high temperature solid state systems, matching of thermal expansion coefficient with other cell components and mechanical

Fig. 1 – Flow diagrams comparing (a) standard Haber–Bosch process with (b) the electrochemical route for ammonia synthesis.
properties also become critical. The cleavage of the nitrogen molecule requires breaking of three bonds and substantial energy. Thus there are stringent requirements for the nitrogen side catalyst. In terms of overall process efficiency, there is a balance between current efficiency (the % of electric current that goes towards ammonia synthesis from the total current that flowed) and ammonia production rates. For example, high current efficiency can be achieved for very low current densities, however, the ammonia production rates would be low, affecting the overall economic viability of the process.

Most electrolytic, especially solid state, processes are thermally activated with conductivity increasing and electrode reaction kinetics becoming faster with increasing temperature. However, as mentioned earlier, the ammonia production from hydrogen and nitrogen is exothermic in nature and is facilitated by high pressures and low temperatures. Thus a balance between the operating temperature, pressure and the ammonia yield needs to be established for each electrochemical system in determining ammonia production rates. For high temperature electrolytic routes for ammonia production, the use of waste heat from thermal or nuclear power plants or heat from solar/renewable energy sources would make the overall process more environmentally friendly.

### 3. Liquid electrolyte based systems

There is very little information available in the literature on the synthesis of ammonia based on liquid electrolytes in comparison with that on solid electrolytes. Tsuneto et al. investigated the use of organic solvent based electrolytes to synthesise ammonia at near room temperatures and atmospheric pressures [22]. In their study, lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄ (0.2 M)) in tetrahydrofuran was used as the electrolyte with ethanol (0.18 M) as the hydrogen source. The electrolysis experiments were performed at 2 mA cm⁻² at room temperature and atmospheric pressure with different metal cathodes (Ti, Mo, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ag). Hydrogen was produced in addition to ammonia with an overall current efficiency of 5–8% for ammonia production. The current efficiency was very much dependent on the type of metal used as the cathode and the source of hydrogen (ethanol, methanol, water, acetic acid, 1-propanol, 2-propanol, etc.) and its concentration for ammonia synthesis. For ethanol, the maximum efficiency was achieved at 0.18 M concentration. The current efficiency for ammonia production improved significantly but decreased for the competing reaction of hydrogen production with increasing nitrogen pressure. A current efficiency of ~58% for ammonia production was achieved when iron was used as a cathode at an operating temperature of 50 °C and when nitrogen was supplied to the cathode at a pressure of 50 atm. These observations indicate that around 58% of the current supplied through the electrolyte was converted to a useful product, i.e. ammonia. The ammonia production rate for these operating conditions was calculated to be around 4.0 × 10⁻⁹ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹.

---

**Fig. 2** – Various electrolytic options under consideration for ammonia synthesis.

**Fig. 3** – A schematic of the operating principle of electrochemical route for ammonia synthesis based on proton conducting solid electrolyte.
The presence of water was indicated to inhibit the production of NH3 and therefore should be excluded if high ammonia synthesis rates are to be achieved. Thus Pappenfus et al. used hydrophobic ionic liquids as electrolyte solvents with LiClO4 as the source of Li+ ions for ammonia synthesis [23]. Ethanol was used as the proton source and synthesis reaction was performed on Ni plate cathode (area of 2 cm2) with same size Pt plate being used as the anode. The experiments were performed at an ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. A very low current efficiency of 3–5% was achieved considering that the current density was also low (2 mA cm–2). The current efficiency may improve under a different set of experimental conditions (e.g. high pressures), however, under the conditions of test, breakdown of the ionic liquid electrolyte was observed indicating serious concerns about the long term viability of the process. Furthermore the solubility of Li salts has been reported to be low in many ionic liquids [23].

Besides LiClO4, Tsuneto et al. evaluated a number of other salts such as LiBF4, Li2(CF3SO3)2, NaClO4 and Bu4NClO4. A maximum current efficiency of 59.8% was achieved with Li2(CF3SO3)2 in tetrahydrofuran and ethanol at a nitrogen pressure of 50 atm [22]. A negligible amount of ammonia was detected when NaClO4 and Bu4NClO4 salts were used confirming the Li mediated reaction mechanism. In all cases discussed above, the mechanism proposed is somewhat unclear and has been suggested as follows. The Li+ deposits as metallic Li at the cathode which reacts with nitrogen to form Li3N. This material then reacts with ethanol to form NH3 as per the following reaction: 3/2O2 + Li3N + 3EtOH → 3/2N2 + 3LiOEt + 2H2O. The side reaction may be a direct reduction of the protic material such as ethanol (2EtOH + 2e– → H2 + 2EtO–) or through reaction with Li deposited on the cathode (2Li + 2EtOH = 2EtO Li + H2). For highly protic hydrogen sources such as acetic acid and methanol, the side reactions appeared to dominate the overall process [23]. Although the overall principle of the process has been clearly established, the technology is at very early stage of development with only small size cells evaluated in the laboratory and for a limited period of time. Furthermore, there was no discussion on the reaction mechanism at the anode, and from a technology perspective, how the system would function as a complete cell. There was no data on electrode polarisation losses or operation over a period of time.

Ammonia production has also been investigated in aqueous system (0.1 M Li2SO4/0.03 M H+ solution) at 60 bar nitrogen pressure using polypyrrole electrode [24] or in methanol + LiClO4 electrolyte with 0.03 M H2SO4 with polyaniline coated Pt electrode at 50 bar nitrogen pressure [25]. The maximum ammonia yield was reported to be 53 μmol in 5 h for an 8 cm2 active area cell (calculated to be 3.68 × 10–10 mol cm–2 s–1) at 60 bar nitrogen pressure [24].

4. Molten salt based electrolyte systems

Electrolysis cells based on a molten salt as the electrolyte for ammonia generation have been reported with operating temperature between 300 and 500 °C. Murakami et al. in a separate study investigated the use of water vapours as a source for hydrogen employing LiCl–KCl–CsCl eutectic as the electrolyte to synthesise ammonia [28,29]. The molten salt contained 0.5 mol% lithium nitride and the synthesis was performed at 300 °C. Porous nickel was used as the cathode and either glassy carbon or boron doped diamond as the anode of the cell. Water and nitrogen were used as reactants. Nitrogen was supplied to the cathode and after getting reduced to nitride ions, reacted with water to produce ammonia, and oxide ions as follows: 3/2O2 + 3/4N3– + 3/4CH4 → NH3 + 3/4C + 3e–. The formation of both hydrogen and studied its direct reaction with nitride ions in the LiCl, KCl and CsCl eutectic with dissolved Li3N as the electrolyte [27]. Nitride ions reacted with CH4 as per reaction (N3– + 3/4CH4 = NH3 + 3/4C + 3e–). The formation of both carbon and ammonia was confirmed at 500 °C, however, the rate of this reaction was negligible at 400 °C. The competing reaction of nitrogen evolution at the anode was observed at both temperatures although it was the dominant reaction at 400 °C.

Murakami et al. used methane as the source of hydrogen and studied its direct reaction with nitride ions in the LiCl, KCl and CsCl eutectic with dissolved Li3N as the electrolyte. In a variation to the above approach, Murphy et al. have proposed that the process can be further improved if both nitrogen and hydrogen gases are supplied at the cathode, and are then reduced to nitride and hydride ions respectively [30].
The technology is at an early stage of development with a good current efficiency of 72% reported for hydrogen oxidation in laboratory experiments of short duration (minutes). The technology was used as the reactant, have been demonstrated on small electrolytic cells. It was proposed that practical systems based on this principle can consist of lithium nitride and lithium hydride in a porous matrix such as LiAlO₂ as the electrolyte, and porous graphite, metal or alloy as the cathode and anode. It has been suggested that this type of cell arrangement may not suffer from low H⁺ fluxes and low conversions as observed with other such proton conducting electrolytic cells.

A number of concepts, where hydrogen, methane or water was used as the reactant, have been demonstrated on small cells in laboratory experiments of short duration (minutes). The technology is at an early stage of development with a good current efficiency of 72% reported for hydrogen oxidation reaction and ammonia synthesis rates of about 3.3 x 10⁻⁹ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ [27].

5. Composite membrane based systems

The composite electrolytes consist of one or more different ionic conducting phases and the second or third phase is added to the parent phase to modify electrical, thermal or mechanical properties. For example, an alkali metal carbonate and an oxide such as LiAlO₂ or Sm₂O₃ doped CeO₂ have been shown to have oxygen-ion, carbonate ion and even proton conductivity under certain conditions (e.g. in the presence of hydrogen) [31,32]. Similarly yttrium doped ceria (YDC)–Ca₃(PO₄)₂–K₃PO₄ composite electrolyte (80 wt% YDC and 20 wt% binary phosphates) as a proton conducting electrolyte for ammonia production [33]. Although no information on the mechanism of oxygen-ion or protons conduction was given, the total conductivity of the composite was significantly higher as compared to the parent YDC material. Instead of using hydrogen as the reactant gas, natural gas was used as the source of hydrogen with nitrogen supplied to the cathode. Pd–Ag was used as both the anode and the cathode. The peak ammonia production rate achieved was 6.95 x 10⁻⁹ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 650 °C, at an optimal cell operating voltage of ~1 V. According to these authors, methane at the anode, is converted to CH₄ and C₂H₆, with the release of hydrogen, and protons, on ionisation of this hydrogen at the anode/electrolyte interface, are transported through the electrolyte and react with nitrogen at the cathode/electrolyte interface (Fig. 6). Chemical analysis of the spent gas from the anode compartment showed a small increase in the concentration of C₂H₆, C₂H₄ and C₃H₈, however, most of the methane remained unreacted.

6. Ceramic/inorganic proton conducting solid electrolyte based systems

A number of different systems, based either on proton or mixed proton/oxygen-ion conducting solid electrolytes, are undergoing research and development for application in electrochemical ammonia synthesis. The basic principle of ammonia generation with solid state proton conducting membranes is essentially the same as that shown in Fig. 3 and various systems are discussed below.
vacancies compensated by charge carriers leading to interesting defect structure with high concentration of oxygen displacement of cations from the ideal positions. These materials were unsuitable for practical applications due to the low proton conductivity [38]. Appreciable proton conductivity in ceramics was first demonstrated by Iwahara et al. in SrCeO₃ perovskites doped with aliovalent cations [39]. Since the pioneering work by Iwahara, proton conductivity has been investigated in several oxide families, however, only a limited number of oxide structures have demonstrated significant proton conductivities at operating temperatures. For applications in electrochemical devices such as fuel cells and solid electrolyzers, three oxide families namely perovskites, fluorites and pyrochlores are under consideration. In addition, there is a range of other inorganic proton conducting materials which have been studied for different applications.

6.1. Ceramic proton conducting materials

The proton conducting ceramics form an important class of electrochemical materials which have been under investigation for some time for several potential applications such as hydrogen separation membranes, intermediate temperature solid oxide fuel cells (ITSOFCs), hydrogen pumps and gas sensors [35,36]. The protonation of ceramics by dissolution of hydrogen and water vapours in the lattice to form interstitial protons was first proposed by Stotz and Wagner in 1960s based on studies on changes in the electrical resistance of CuO, CoO and NiO at 900–1000 °C in hydrogen/H₂O atmospheres [37]. Subsequently there have been some literature reports on proton conductivities of oxides such as ThO₂–Sm₂O₃ solid solutions, however, most of these materials were unsuitable for practical applications due to the low proton conductivity [38]. Appreciable proton conductivity in ceramics was first demonstrated by Iwahara et al. in SrCeO₃ perovskites doped with aliovalent cations [39]. Since the pioneering work by Iwahara, proton conductivity has been investigated in several oxide families, however, only a limited number of oxide structures have demonstrated significant proton conductivities at operating temperatures. For applications in electrochemical devices such as fuel cells and solid electrolyzers, three oxide families namely perovskites, fluorites and pyrochlores are under consideration. In addition, there is a range of other inorganic proton conducting materials which have been studied for different applications.

6.1.1. Perovskites

Perovskites have an ABO₃ structure with B-site atom in octahedral coordination with oxygen atoms and A-site atom at the centre as shown in Fig. 7(a). In most of the proton conducting electrolytes, A is divalent (e.g. Ba²⁺ or Sr²⁺) and B is tetravalent cation (e.g. Zr⁴⁺, Ce⁴⁺) with doping at both sites with aliovalent cations. Although a perovskite is symmetrical cubic structure, in reality most of the perovskite structures are pseudocubic because of tilting of the BO₆ octahedral, and displacement of cations from the ideal positions. These materials when doped with aliovalent cations demonstrate interesting defect structure with high concentration of oxygen vacancies compensated by charge carriers leading to dramatically different electrical and chemical properties. There is no significant structural change reported so far for these materials with doping, however, the temperature induced phase change from orthorhombic (300 °C) to rhombohedral (500 °C) to cubic (950 °C) structures is evident in perovskites such as cerates [40].

Trivalent ions (Nd³⁺, Yb³⁺, La³⁺ etc.) doped cerates such as YBaCeO₃ and zirconates such as YBaZrO₃ are perhaps some of the most studied proton conductor perovskites for various electrochemical applications. The protonic conductivities from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻³ cm⁻¹ have been reported for these materials in wet H₂ atmosphere in the temperature range of 400–900 °C [41–43]. Typically ceria based perovskites demonstrate higher protonic conductivity than zirconia based oxides, however, the zirconates are chemically more stable and possess higher mechanical strength, a situation somewhat similar to zirconia and ceria based oxygen ion conductors. The basic Group II cation constituents of these materials will react with acid species such as CO₂ or SO₂ leading to the decomposition of the perovskite structure [44]. Improving the stability of these perovskites is one of the major challenges in the practical application of these materials and various approaches have been taken to improve the structural stability while maintaining the conductivity. The solid solutions of zirconia and ceria exhibit more stability compared to cerates and higher conductivity than zirconates, however, the proton conductivity still remains lower than cerates. The other approach is to use complex or mixed perovskites with general formulas Aₓ(BₓCe₁₋ₓ)O₃ and Aₓ(BₓZr₁₋ₓ)O₃. Here B’ is a pentavalent cation such as Nb [45] in both types of perovskites, and B’ is a trivalent cation (such as Sc) and divalent cation (such as Ca) respectively in Aₓ(BₓCe₁₋ₓ)O₃ and Aₓ(BₓZr₁₋ₓ)O₃ perovskite. A complex perovskite of type Aₓ(B’Ce₁₋ₓ)O₃ (e.g. Baₐ(NbEr)O₃) has been reported to be more stable in comparison with simple perovskites and is attributed to smaller Madelung lattice energies in these perovskites [46]. The Madelung lattice energy calculation also indicates that a partial substitution of Ce in Ba cerate would enhance the stability which indeed is seen in ceria–zirconia mixed oxides. The conductivity of these oxides can be tuned using nonstoichiometric compositions by varying the B’ and B cations and doping levels. Further it was observed that the conductivity increases significantly with increased difference in B’ and B cations radii. For example in nonstoichiometric double perovskite Sr₂(B’₁₋ₓScₓ)O₉₋y for (B’ = Sc, Nd), the protonic conductivity is higher by almost four orders of magnitude when B site ion is Nd as compared to Sc [47,48]. Also the role of A-site cation is evident with Ba based complex oxides showing higher conductivities as compared to Sr based oxides, which is true for simple perovskites as well. However, to date the conductivities and conduction activation energies of these complex perovskites are found to be lower than best reported values for Ba cerates [48].

Besides the stability, a high sintering temperature (~1700 °C) required to achieve dense materials, particularly for the zirconate based proton conductors, is another major issue. The sintering characteristics can be improved with the addition of sintering aids such as ZnO, NiO, CuO in small molar concentrations [49–52]. Park et al. reported that the doping of Yb-doped BaZrO₃ with 1.0 mol% of CuO results in a dense single phase perovskite at a sintering temperature of 1500 °C as compared to 1700 °C for the specimen without CuO.
The doping with CuO resulted in a change of phase from orthorhombic to cubic structure while maintaining the conductivity of $5.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at 600°C under the wet nitrogen atmosphere [52]. Recently Tao et al. reported that doping with a small amount of ZnO (0.04 mol%) formed high density single phase BaZr0.8Y0.2O3-δ zirconate at 1325°C after 10 h of sintering, while this material without ZnO did not sinter fully even at 1600°C [53]. Furthermore, ZnO doped mixed perovskite (BaCe0.3Zr0.3Y0.16Zn0.04O3-d) demonstrated considerable stability in the presence of CO2 after cycling up to 1200°C [53]. The total conductivity of 10 mS cm$^{-1}$ was reported at 600°C in 5% H2 (amongst the highest conductivity value for single phase ceramic proton conductors at this temperature) making these material promising candidates for electrochemical applications. Similar studies have been reported with indium doped Ba zirconate (BZI) electrolytes resulting in total conductivity up to 10 mS cm$^{-1}$ at 650°C [54]. Fully dense single phase perovskite thick film was fabricated at 1400°C on anode substrate and tested in a fuel cell to deliver power densities up to 85 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 700°C with Sm0.5Sr0.5O2-d-Ce0.8Sm0.2O2-d (SSC–SDC) as the cathode material [54]. Most of the sintering aids improve the sinterability while maintaining the conductivity, however, doping with materials such as PrO2 may introduce a mixed conductivity regime because of the variable oxidation state of Pr [55]. Since mixed conduction in the material would be detrimental to some electrochemical applications such as fuel cells and ammonia synthesis electrochemical cells, while desired in other applications such as hydrogen separation membranes, sintering aid should be chosen carefully with overall defect chemistry considerations. While alkali metal doped cerates and zirconates remain major perovskites, doubly doped lanthanum gallates like La0.9Ba0.1Ga2.0Mg0.4O4 have also shown proton conductivities in the range 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} S cm$^{-1}$ at temperatures in the range 400–800°C, however, more investigations are required to firmly establish the stability of these compounds [56].

6.1.3. Pyrochlores

Pyrochlores have ordered fluorite structure (sometimes also referred as fluorite superstructures) with general formula A$_2$B$_2$O$_7$ with A and B as trivalent and tetravalent cations (Fig. 7(c)). Significant proton dissolution has been observed in pyrochlores such as Ca doped lanthanum zirconates ([La1.95Ca0.05]Zr2O7-d) and proton conductivity up to 0.068 S cm$^{-1}$ at 600°C has been reported [59]. The dopant (Ca$^{2+}$) can be introduced at either La (A-site) or Zr (B-site) site, however, doping at A-site results in higher protonic conductivity. Although the stability of pyrochlores is good, the proton conductivity is observed at only lower temperatures (<600°C) while at higher temperatures the oxygen ion transport number increases almost exponentially and reaches 0.9 at 900°C. These materials have been reported to show mixed conduction due to positive holes, oxygen ions and protons in wet oxygen atmosphere in the temperature range 700–900°C. The proton transport number observed for ([La1.95Ca0.05]Zr2O7-d) at 700°C was 0.17. Another issue for these materials is the high sintering temperatures, in excess of 1600°C, required to achieve high densities.

6.1.4. Other materials

Besides the three families of ceramic proton conductors discussed above, proton conduction is also observed in several ceria and thoria which have the fluorite structure have been studied widely as oxygen ion conducting electrolytes for solid oxide fuel cells, sensors and other similar electrochemical systems. Some of these oxides also exhibit significant mixed protonic–electronic conductivity in hydrogen rich atmospheres. Trivalent ion doped ceria constitutes a major group of proton conducting oxides with proton conductivity up to 0.0038 S cm$^{-1}$ at a temperature of 650°C [57]. Other significant fluoride-type oxide is lanthanum tungsten oxide (La8.8WO11.7) [58], which exhibits proton conductivity from 1 mS cm$^{-1}$ to 10 mS cm$^{-1}$ in the temperature range of 600–1000°C with proton transference number of 0.7–0.9. Fluorites are in general stable materials at intermediate temperatures and not susceptible to acidic environment of CO2/ SO2, however, long term stability of these materials in hydrogen atmosphere at high temperatures needs to be investigated.

**Fig. 7** – Crystal structure schematics for (a) Perovskites, (b) fluorites and (c) pyrochlores. Oxygen atoms are coloured red in all diagrams, metal atoms are coloured: (a) green – B-site, Blue – A-site; (b) turquoise – metal atoms; (c) yellow – A-site, blue – B-site. Figures produced using Xtaldraw Software® 1997 Bob Downs and Kurt Bartelmehs. Written permission obtained from the copyright owner. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
other oxides such as brownmillerite (Ba$_2$In$_2$O$_5$), eulytite (Ba$_4$(SiO$_3$)$_3$), and monazite (La$_{0.995}$Sr$_{0.005}$PO$_4$), however, the proton conduction of these oxides is typically an order of magnitude lower than that in perovskites and fluorites [42]. While higher proton conductivity is desired for all electrochemical applications, it is particularly important for electrolytic ammonia synthesis, to achieve high ammonia synthesis rates and negate the effect of any decomposition of ammonia back to N$_2$ and H$_2$ (see Section 2). A number of other proton conducting materials have been investigated and a detailed discussion on these materials is beyond the scope of this review and readers are referred to references [35, 36, 42].

The temperature dependant electrical conductivities of some prospective proton and oxygen ion conducting electrolyte materials for ammonia synthesis are shown in Fig. 8. Proton and oxygen ion conductivity of various ceramic electrolytes for ammonia synthesis. 20 mol% Gd$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ (O$^-$), 8 mol% Y$_2$O$_3$–ZrO$_2$ (O$^-$), 3 mol% Y$_2$O$_3$–ZrO$_2$ (O$^-$) – authors data; 20 mol% Gd$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ (H$^+$) [57]; BaZr$_{0.8}$Ce$_{0.2}$O$_3$ (H$^+$), BaCe$_{0.9}$Y$_{0.1}$O$_3$ (H$^+$) [61]; (La$_{1.95}$Ca$_{0.05}$)Zr$_2$O$_7$ (H$^+$), (La$_{1.985}$Ca$_{0.015}$)Zr$_2$O$_7$ (H$^+$) [59]; La$_{5.8}$WO$_{11.7}$ (H$^+$), (La$_{0.93}$Zr$_{0.06}$)$_{5.8}$WO$_{11.5}$ (H$^+$) [58].

6.2. Mechanism of proton conduction in ceramic oxides

It is well known that ionic conduction in solid electrolytes is governed by the lattice defect chemistry and defect reactions. In the case of ceramic proton conductors a theory of formation of hydroxyl group and a proton by dissolution of water (steam) in oxygen vacancies of host lattice is widely accepted [35, 60]. The reaction involves replacement of oxygen vacancy in the lattice by hydroxyl group as follows:

$$H_2O(g) + V_0 + O_x^- \rightarrow 2OH_x \quad (2)$$

and dissolution of protons into lattice with maintenance of electro-neutrality by electron formation as:

$$H_2(g) + 2O_x^- \rightarrow 2OH_x + 2e^- \quad (3)$$

The hydroxyl defect formation or hydration reaction in ceramics is acid base type reaction, which does not involve electronic defects. The extent of protonation by above reactions depends on the basicity of host cations and the distortion in the oxide sublattice. Once the proton is formed, its diffusion takes place via Grothuss mechanism [61, 62]. It is a two-step mechanism involving reorientation of proton associated with oxygen atom (orientation step) followed by bonding with neighbouring oxygen atom (transfer step). The mechanism is shown schematically in Fig. 9. The two step mechanism of proton conduction via proton jumps between neighbouring oxygen atoms and rotational diffusion appears to be reasonably supported by data from inelastic neutron diffraction (INS) and Quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) experiments on various proton conductors [63]. The magnitude of the activation energies for these two steps is composition dependant. Molecular Dynamics simulations reveal that for Sr doped cerate (SrCeO$_3$), both orientation and transfer steps have similar magnitude of activation energies, while for Ba doped cerate (BaCeO$_3$) only transfer step appears to be rate limiting resulting in lower overall activation energy for proton conduction of 0.50 eV as compared to 0.63 eV in Sr-cerate [64]. The deviation from ideal cubic symmetry (as is the case with SrCeO$_3$) in perovskites leads to reduction of the concentration and mobility of protonic defects [65]. The reduction in the structure symmetry changes proton diffusion paths, and causes unfavourable jumps resulting in reduction in the proton diffusion coefficient.

The Reaction (2) dictates that overall proton conduction would be energetically favoured by increasing the number of oxygen vacancies in the host lattice. Indeed it has been observed for rare earth oxides that the enthalpy of the Reaction (2) is negative and it increases (negatively) with the increasing amount of the dopant which leads to increased concentration of vacancy defects [66]. Although it might appear that increasing dopant concentration would enhance the protonic conductivity, one should also take into consideration the trapping effect due to electrostatic attraction of positively charged protons and oxygen vacancies to more negative acceptor dopants leading to additional energy barrier for proton transport [62]. Further, the trapping of the oxygen vacancies can also affect the proton concentration due to unavailability of oxygen vacancies for the hydration Reaction (2).

The proton conduction mechanism discussed above, although developed mainly for perovskites, it may be equally applicable to other structures such as fluorites. However, the overall proton conduction mechanism is somewhat unclear in
fluorite type oxides with smaller grain sized microstructures. While significant protonic conductivities have been observed in these materials at lower temperatures, the conduction pathways are attributed to grain boundaries instead of bulk defect diffusion [67–69]. Recently Pardes et al. studied the proton conduction behaviour in nanocrystalline doped ceria (grain size of 10–15 nm) at low temperature (200 °C) [70]. These authors demonstrated that the proton conduction is independent of the dopant concentration, while the O2– conductivity was found to be directly dependant on dopant concentration confirming the existence of dominant grain boundary or interfacial proton conduction paths in nanocrystalline fluorites. Interface enhanced conduction in such systems has also been reported for other oxygen ion conductors such as nano-scale ceria zirconia composite or multilayer systems [71,72]. The proton conductivity observed at these temperatures is too low (10−8 to 10−7 S cm−1) [70] for practical applications, however, above observations are important for understanding the fundamental mechanism of proton conduction and it also opens up a possibility for designing composite or heterophase electrolytes with enhanced proton conductivities.

Based on the defect chemistry and Nernst–Einstein relation, the rate of proton transport or flux can be calculated for proton conducting membranes [60]. In general the proton flux in MIEC membranes (mixed proton, oxide ion, and electron conductor) can be given by:

$$j_p = -\frac{RT}{F} \int_{\text{upstream}}^{\text{downstream}} \sigma_p \left[ 2(t_i - t_o) + t_i d\ln p_{H_2} + t_o d\ln p_{O_2} \right]$$

(4)

where $F$ is Faradays constant, $L$ is thickness of the membrane; $t$ is transport number of particular species and $\sigma_p$ is the proton conductivity; $p_{H_2}$ and $p_{O_2}$ are partial pressure of hydrogen and oxygen respectively. The above equation can be suitably modified to obtain hydrogen flux if electronic or O2– conductivity is absent.

### 6.3 Electrolytic ammonia synthesis with ceramic proton conductors

The electrochemical ammonia generation technology, despite its enormous potential, is still in the early stage of research and development, and the investigations are mainly restricted to lab scale studies on small cells. A typical electrolytic cell for ammonia synthesis is fabricated by depositing electrode (catalyst) coatings on both sides of the proton conducting membrane. These porous electrodes are typically screen printed or brush coated on ceramic proton conductor membranes followed by heat treatment. The current collection is achieved by placing metallic meshes or sheets in contact with these electrodes. Water or hydrogen is fed to the anode and nitrogen to the cathode, and ammonia is produced on the cathode side of the cell. Clearly the proton conducting ceramic membrane, along with cathode or ammonia synthesis catalyst, are most critical components in these systems. These membranes are required to exhibit significant proton conductivity at temperatures above 400 °C. While higher operating temperatures favours the electrode reactions such as the oxidation of hydrogen into protons at the anode and subsequent proton migration through the membrane to the cathode, the ammonia synthesis reaction, being exothermic in nature, is thermodynamically less favoured. Therefore, an optimisation of the operating temperature of the cell for sufficient proton conductivity of the membrane, fast electrode kinetics and achieving NH3 synthesis rates sufficiently higher than its dissociation (back to N2 and H2) remains a critical challenge for ceramic membrane reactors.

The proton conducting ceramics have been studied widely as fuel cell electrolytes and gas separation membranes, however, the literature reports on electrolytic synthesis of ammonia using these membranes are relatively scarce. In 1996, Panagos et al. modelled the process of solid state electrolytic ammonia synthesis with Yb doped Sr-cerate as the proton conducting membrane [73]. The model studies revealed that the electrochemical ammonia synthesis yields can be orders of magnitude higher than those obtained in a conventional ammonia synthesis reactor. Subsequently Marnellos et al. investigated ammonia generation by using ytterbium doped strontium cerate (SrCe0.95Yb0.05O3 -δ) (SCY) closed-end tube cell with a wall thickness of 1.5 mm as the electrolyte membrane [74,75]. Pd foil was used as the electrode/current collector inside and outside the tube. Fig. 10(a) shows a schematic view of the set-up employed in this investigation. The ammonia flux rate of 3.1 × 10−3 mol cm−2 s−1 was obtained at 570 °C with current efficiency close to 75% with respect to H+ ions transported through the membrane. The major issues encountered were the decomposition of ammonia back to hydrogen and nitrogen leading to net conversion efficiency of ~50%. The decomposition of ammonia in electrolytic cell was determined quantitatively, by feeding in the mixture of ammonia and nitrogen in helium at a rate comparable to the electrolytic synthesis. It was found that the ammonia decomposition rate decreased linearly with increasing current and reached 15% as compared to 25% at OCV with no current flow. Further, the authors reported that no NEMCA (Non-Faradaic electrochemical modification of catalytic activity) effect (at flux <1/2F) was observed in this cell arrangement (double chamber cell). The rate limiting reactant was moles of protons transported for conversion as number of moles of NH3 produced were independent of the N2 pressure. The
authors also conducted investigations with a single chamber cell arrangement as shown in Fig. 10(b) [74,75]. A strontium cerate doped with ytterbium (SCY) electrolyte disc of thickness 1–2 mm was used with Pd electrodes. In this arrangement, ammonia production rate of \(9 \times 10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) was observed at 750 °C. NEMCA effect (flux \(\geq \mu\)F) was observed which showed that H\(_2\) required for ammonia synthesis can be supplied in the molecular form to the cathode as well as in form of H\(^+\) pumped through the electrolyte. The pumped protons not only can directly participate in ammonia synthesis but also alter the work function of Pd catalyst to favour the chemical NH\(_3\) formation reaction between gaseous hydrogen and nitrogen (conventional synthesis) at atmospheric pressure. As in a typical NEMCA phenomenon, the enhancement of catalytic activity here was attributed to the formation of the electrical double layer, reducing the activation potential for the catalysed reaction. In a similar study Skodra et al. employed an ammonia synthesis catalyst (Ru–MgO) to enhance the synthesis rate. They used a 1.5 mm thick ytterbium doped strontium cerate (SrCe\(_{0.95}\)Yb\(_{0.05}\)O\(_3\cdot\alpha\) (SCY)) disc as the electrolyte [76]. Ru–MgO and Pd were respectively used as catalysts on the cathode and anode sides of the cell. Water on the anode side and nitrogen on the cathode side were used as reactants. The ammonia production rate of \(4 \times 10^{-11}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) was observed at 650 °C and the low values of ammonia production rate were attributed to the unsuitability of the cathode catalyst and probably the poisoning effect of water on the anode catalyst.

Li et al. investigated ammonia synthesis by employing 0.8 mm thick samarium doped barium cerate (BaCe\(_{0.8}\)Sm\(_{0.2}\)O\(_3\cdot\alpha\) (BCS)) and gadolinium + samarium doped barium cerate (BaCe\(_{0.8}\)Gd\(_{0.2}\)Sm\(_{0.2}\)O\(_3\cdot\alpha\) (BCGGS)) complex perovskites discs [77]. Ag–Pd was used as the catalyst on the anode as well as the cathode side of cells. Humidified hydrogen and dry nitrogen were used as reactants, and the ammonia production rates of 5.23 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) and 5.82 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) respectively were observed for BCS and BCGS electrolytes at 620 °C. An operating voltage of 0.8 V was found to be optimal for the operation of the cell. Proton conduction was found to be better with wet H\(_2\)/dry N\(_2\) as compared to wet H\(_2\)/dry air on the anode/cathode sides respectively of the membrane. In a separate study, Li et al. investigated ammonia synthesis with a 0.8 mm thick Gd doped barium cerate electrolyte [78]. The electrodes used were Ag–Pd similar to an earlier study [77]. The peak ammonia production rate achieved was 3.09 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at a significantly lower temperature of 480 °C, at an optimal cell operating voltage of 0.6 V. It was observed that an increase in temperature above 480 °C enhanced the proton conductivity of the electrolyte, but also lead to an increase in the decomposition rate of ammonia, resulting in a net reduction in the ammonia production rate. Liu et al. employed fluorite structured lanthanoids doped ceria based electrolytes for ammonia synthesis and studied the effect of dopant ion on the ammonia production rate [57]. They prepared a series of Ce\(_{0.8}\)M\(_{0.2}\)O\(_2\cdot\alpha\) (M = La, Y, Gd, Sm) electrolyte cells with Ag–Pd as electrodes. The synthesis rates of 7.2 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\), 7.5 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\), 7.7 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) and 8.2 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) were obtained for ceria doped with La, Y, Gd and Sm respectively with humidified H\(_2\) (anode side) and dry N\(_2\) (cathode side). An increasing synthesis rate in going from La to Sm in the series correlated well with the proton conductivity of each composition. These authors observed that the ammonia production rate increased linearly with increasing driving voltage up to 0.3 V and there was insignificant effect on synthesis rates above this voltage. Liu et al. attributed this behaviour to NEMCA effect which is significant at lower voltages while decreasing at higher voltages once catalyst adsorption limit is reached. Li et al. reported similar observations for electrolytic cells with complex perovskites [79]. They used Ba\(_3\)(Ca\(_{1.18}\)Nb\(_{1.82}\)O\(_9\)) discs (BCN18), Ba\(_3\)Ca\(_{1.18}\)Nb\(_{1.82}\)O\(_9\) (BCNN) from powders prepared by wet chemical synthesis along with Ag–Pd electrodes. A maximum synthesis rate of 2.16 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) was observed for a cell with BCN electrolyte at 620 °C. The rate of ammonia formation increased rapidly with voltage till about 0.3 V and then more slowly, disappearing once the voltage reached 0.6 V. The limiting behaviour in production rates could be due to the NEMCA effect or the preferential evolution of molecular hydrogen at high currents or combination of both. These results clearly indicate the necessity of detailed studies on fundamental reaction mechanism and charge transfer steps in ammonia synthesis via the solid electrolytic routes. For fuel cell reactions, several detailed studies addressing these topics have been reported, however, such studies are not available for NH\(_3\) synthesis.

Besides perovskites and fluorites, pyrochlores are also attractive candidates as low temperature proton conductors for ammonia synthesis as discussed earlier. Xie et al. reported ammonia synthesis with pyrochlore La\(_{1.95}\)Ca\(_{0.05}\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_{9.95}\) proton conductor [80]. The electrolyte was prepared with a sol–gel process and a fully dense electrolyte membrane was obtained on sintering at 1500 °C. With Ag–Pd electrodes, synthesis rates up to \(1.76 \times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) were reported at 520 °C with 80% of electrochemically supplied protons converted into NH\(_3\). Wang et al. compared the synthesis rates between zirconate and cerate pyrochlores: Ca\(_2\)+-doped La\(_2\)M\(_2\)O\(_7\) (M = Ce, Zr) [81]. With Ag–Pd electrodes the synthesis rates in these cells were 2 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) and 1.3 \(\times\) \(10^{-9}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) for La\(_{1.95}\)Ca\(_{0.05}\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_7\) and La\(_{1.95}\)Ca\(_{0.05}\)Ce\(_2\)O\(_7\) electrolyte, despite its lower total conductivity. Higher ammonia synthesis rates with La\(_{1.95}\)Ca\(_{0.05}\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_7\) electrolyte, despite its lower total conductivity than La\(_{1.95}\)Ca\(_{0.05}\)Ce\(_2\)O\(_7\), were attributed to mixed conduction in latter while the conduction was almost purely protonic in La\(_{1.95}\)Ca\(_{0.05}\)Zr\(_2\)O\(_7\).

While various types of electrolytes have been tested for electrolytic NH\(_3\) synthesis, the studies on electrode catalysts are limited. Conducting metals such as Ag–Pd or Pd appears to be preferred electrodes perhaps because of ease in preparation of electrochemical cells. Nevertheless an industrial Fe based catalyst has been studied by Ouzounidou et al. for ammonia synthesis [82]. These authors used 1.2 mm thick SrZr\(_{0.95}\)Y\(_{0.05}\)O\(_3\cdot\alpha\) pellet as an electrolyte. The anode was prepared with silver paste while the cathode was prepared by first depositing a commercial iron paste on the pellet followed by a layer of commercial catalyst sintered at 800 °C. They observed electrochemical ammonia production rates of up to \(6.5 \times\) \(10^{-11}\) mol cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), in a double chamber reactor, at 450 °C and at 2 V cell voltage, with nitrogen and hydrogen gases supplied respectively to cathode and anode. The production rates were also compared with single chamber reactor cell with both H\(_2\) and N\(_2\) available at the cathode. More than 80% higher production rates were observed when protons
were electrochemically pumped through the electrolyte. Furthermore authors made an interesting observation and that is the poisoning of Fe catalyst by oxygen in double chamber reactor cells. In the absence of H₂ in the cathode chamber, minute oxygen content in the N₂ gas could oxidise the catalyst leading to reduction in its conversion efficiency. In the presence of hydrogen (which is the case in a single chamber cell), poisoning of catalyst is prevented by reaction between oxygen and hydrogen. If the oxygen content in the cathode chamber of a double chamber cell would have been the same as in a single chamber cell, further improvement in ammonia synthesis rates might have been observed. Earlier Yiokari et al. reported enhancement in the kinetics of ammonia synthesis rates might have been observed. Earlier the same as in a single chamber cell, further improvement in cathode chamber of a double chamber cell would have been between oxygen and hydrogen. If the oxygen content in the chamber cell), poisoning of catalyst is prevented by reaction exhibiting reasonable proton conductivities (Table 2). However, the technology is still in the early stages of research and development and considerable efforts would be required to develop electrode catalysts for ammonia synthesis, electrolytes for sufficient proton conduction, understanding of fundamental reaction mechanism of NH₃ formation in these systems, long term stability and technology up-scaling with due regard to other system components.

### 7. Polymer membrane based systems

#### 7.1. Polymer electrolyte membrane materials

There are various types of polymer ion exchange membranes available that can be used as an electrolyte in electrochemical ammonia synthesis cells. These are: perfluorosulfonic acid membranes such as Nafion from Dupont; Flemion from Asahi Glass; Aciplex from Asahi Chemical Industry; and Dow membranes from Dow Chemical. In addition there are hydrocarbon based membranes such as polyether ether ketone (PEEK) and polybenzimidazole (PBI). These membranes can be operated in the temperature range from room temperature to 120 °C.
Nafion membranes are the most popular proton conducting membranes being used in the chlor-alkali industry, and in the polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) based fuel cells and electrolysis cells. Nafion membranes are produced by co-polymerisation of perfluoroalkyl sulfonyl fluoride with tetrafluoroethylene. These membranes are available in different equivalent weights which represent grams of dry membrane per mole of sulfonic acid. Most commonly used Nafion membranes (e.g. N112, N115, N117, N1135) have equivalent weight of 1100. The inverse of the equivalent weight represents the ion-exchange capacity of the membrane. The general chemical formula of Nafion and Flemion membranes is shown schematically in Fig. 11 [84]. The Nafion structure, generally agreed in the literature, is based on cluster-network model proposed by Hsu and Gierke [85] as shown in Fig. 12. It consists of approximately 4 nm diameter clusters of hydrophilic sulfonate ended perfluoroalkyl ether groups on hydrophobic tetrafluoroethylene backbone arranged as inverted micelles. These are joined to each other by approximately 1 nm diameter channels as shown in Fig. 12 and facilitate the proton transport between the clusters.

7.2. Proton conduction mechanism in polymer membranes

The proton conductivity of most polymer electrolyte membranes depends on the water content, equivalent weight, temperature and form (based on the type of conducting species) of the membrane and it ranges from 0.01 to 0.1 S cm⁻¹ [86]. There are two mechanisms proposed in the literature for proton transport in the polymer membranes – Grotthuss (hopping) mechanism and vehicle (diffusion) mechanism [84,86–88]. In Grotthuss mechanism protons are transferred from hydronium (H₃O⁺) ions to water molecules, and the transfer continues from one neighbouring molecule to the next as schematically shown in Fig. 13(a). However, in the case of vehicle mechanism, it is the en masse diffusion of the hydronium ions that contributes to proton transport as shown in Fig. 13(b). A number of studies have been performed on proton transport in conducting polymer membranes [89,90] and based on above mechanisms, a parallel pore structural model has been proposed [86,88]. These pores or channels are formed by the nano separation of the hydrophilic proton exchange sites and hydrophobic fluorocarbon domains [91]. It has been suggested that when the water content in the membrane is low, there are less interaction amongst water molecules and strong electrostatic attraction between the protons and sulfonate ions. This leads to low rate of proton transfer in the membrane. This phenomenon is typically limited to the pore surface. However, with high water content, the properties of water in Nafion become quite similar to those in bulk water. Therefore, in the middle of the pores or when water content in the membrane is high, proton transfer is predominantly by Grotthuss mechanism, and the contribution by vehicle diffusion of hydronium ions to overall proton transfer is low. It has been found that in the H-form Nafion, vehicle mechanism dominates in the conduction of protons at higher temperature (85 °C), and Grotthuss mechanism prevails at low to medium temperatures [84,92].

7.3. Ammonia synthesis with polymer membrane

There is limited information available in the open literature on the use of polymer membrane as an electrolyte in ammonia production cells. One of the main impediments for their use for ammonia synthesis is the degradation of acidic membranes such as Nafion in the presence of ammonia. It has been demonstrated recently by Hongsirikarn et al. that ammonium ion exchange with protons in Nafion membrane (fully exchanged ammonium form) results in reduction in the conductivity of the membrane by as much as 75–98% compared to proton-form membrane [87,93]. This observation has been also made by others on Nafion N117 and N105 membranes which showed that the conductivity of the fully protonated-form was four times than that of the ammonium-form [94,95]. Hongsirikarn et al. have suggested this to be due to the increase in the size of the cation (NH₄⁺ being four times in radius than H⁺) and the change in the ion transport mechanism [87,93]. This is in agreement with the observation made by others that the proton transport mainly occurs by faster hopping (Grotthuss) mechanism and bigger cations transport by slower diffusion (vehicle) mechanism [84]. It has been observed that the effect of ammonium ions in decreasing the conductivity of Nafion membrane can be reduced by increasing the level of humidification of the membrane. For example Hongsirikarn et al. tested Nafion N211 in H-form and ammonium-form at 80 °C [93]. It was found that the conductivity of ammonium-form membrane is lower than H-form by a factor of 55 and 3 respectively at 30%RH and 100%RH.

Despite some stability issues for polymer membranes in the presence of ammonia, there are enormous advantages of using these membranes due to their high proton conductivities at lower temperatures and a vast amount of information available for cell construction and assembly due to their usage in fuel cells. The low temperature operation would reduce the rate of decomposition of ammonia formed and avoid several other high temperature materials related issues. A schematic arrangement of the cell and its operation for ammonia synthesis employing proton conducting electrolyte membrane is shown in Fig. 3. This type of arrangement can be used with hydrogen as the reactant or water as the reactant for in-situ electrolysis to produce protons to participate in the ammonia synthesis reaction at the cathode.

Kordali et al. [96] were the first to demonstrate the electrochemical synthesis of ammonia at temperatures below 100 °C and at atmospheric pressures. Their cell consisted of ruthenium deposited on a carbon felt as the cathode catalyst in contact with Nafion membrane, platinum as the anode.
The peak ammonia production rate achieved was highest ammonia production rate was SmFe0.7Cu0.1Ni0.2O3 from 0.5 to 3 V. The best cathode catalyst that produced the highest ammonia generation rate so far reported by any investigator by employing a proton conducting polymer membrane. In another set of experiments, Xu et al. [98] investigated Sm1.5Sr0.5MO4 (M represents Ni, Co or Fe) as the cathode catalyst compositions with other cell details same as mentioned above. The highest ammonia synthesis rate observed was 1.05 × 10⁻⁸ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ with Sm1.5Sr0.5NiO4 as the cathode catalyst, at 80 °C, and at cell operating voltage of 2.5 V. In a similar study, these authors [99] used an in-house synthesised sulfonated polysulfone (SPSF) electrolyte membrane with Sm1.5Sr0.5NiO4 as the cathode catalyst. The peak ammonia synthesis rates measured were 1.03 × 10⁻⁴ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 80 °C and at 2.5 V with SPSF membrane and these rates are comparable with those obtained with Nafon. Zhang et al. [100] by employing a similar electrolytic cell as mentioned above investigated ammonia synthesis rates with SmBaCuMO5.4 (M represents Ni, Co or Fe) as the cathode catalyst compositions. SmBaCuNiO5.5 catalyst was found to produce the highest ammonia production rates. The peak rate achieved with this catalyst was 0.87 × 10⁻⁸ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 80 °C, at an optimal cell operating voltage of 2.5 V.

Hasnat et al. [101] synthesised ammonia by reducing nitrate/nitrite over Pd based bi-metallic catalysts deposited on a Nafion N117 proton conducting polymer membrane. In this cell, the bimetallic catalyst deposited on one side of the membrane acted as the cathode and the Pt deposited on the other side acted as the anode. Water and nitrate/nitrite solution were provided as reactants respectively in the anode and cathode chambers (separated by the polymer electrolyte membrane) of the cell. Water dissociates on the anode side to produce protons, which on migration through the Nafion membrane react at the cathode with nitrate/nitrite ions to form ammonium ions. In order to compare the reduction rates in a conventional reactor, catalysts deposited on the Nafion membrane (which was used here only as a catalyst support) acted as the anode and the cathode without the presence of Nafion electrolyte membrane in the middle. Instead the nitrate/nitrite solution in water was provided between the two electrodes for the conventional ammonia synthesis. For both cases, the solutions were analysed for NO3⁻, N2O and NH4⁺ concentrations during 180 min of electrolysis (at 100 mA). For most bimetallic catalysts in the electrolytic reactor with Nafion as the electrolyte membrane, ammonium concentration generally increased and nitrate concentration decreased, whereas nitrite concentration initially increased and then decreased with time. For Rh–Pd bimetallic electrode, the KNO3 reduction rate constant was significantly higher (by an order of magnitude) in the case of electrolytic reactor in comparison to the conventional reactor. Furthermore, the electrolyte reactor produced higher ammonium concentration compared to the conventional reactor. Apart from this report, there is no other information available on the ammonia production rates or the viability of the process.

8. O²⁻ conducting membrane materials and ammonia synthesis systems

A large number of O²⁻ conducting ceramic electrolytes are available and have been used in oxygen sensors, solid oxide catalysts, and 2 M KOH solution as the electrolyte. The anodic reaction was the oxygen evolution at the Pt electrode in contact with the KOH solution and the cathodic reaction was the reaction between the protons transported to the Nafion membrane and the nitrogen supplied at the Ru/carbon felt electrode in contact with the membrane. The observed maximum rate of ammonia synthesis was 2.12 × 10⁻¹¹ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 90 °C at a cathode voltage of −0.81 V (vs NHE), with an extremely low current efficiency (only 0.24%). The ammonia synthesis rate showed an Arrhenius relationship with temperature.

Xu et al. [97] investigated ammonia synthesis by using Nafion membrane102 as the electrolyte in a cell with SmFe0.7Cu0.3Ni0.2O3 (k = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3) (SFCN) as the cathode catalyst and Ni-samarium doped ceria (Ce0.8Sm0.2O2−δ) (Ni-SDC) as the anode catalyst. In their investigation humidified hydrogen and dry nitrogen were used as the reactants on the anode and cathode side respectively. The set-up used for this investigation was similar to that shown schematically in Fig. 3. The ammonia synthesis measurements were made in the temperature range of 20–100 °C with cell voltage varying from 0.5 to 3 V. The best cathode catalyst that produced the highest ammonia production rate was SmFe0.7Cu0.1Ni0.2O3−δ. The peak ammonia production rate achieved was 1.13 × 10⁻⁸ mol cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 80 °C, at an optimal cell operating voltage of 2 V, with a current efficiency of 90%. This is the highest ammonia generation rate so far reported by any...
The merits of any electrochemical route for ammonia synthesis can be judged from two parameters initially. These are the transport number and conversion rate related to current efficiency. The transport number can enhance the synthesis rates, the rate of thermal decomposition of ammonia also increases. It has been observed by many investigators that the transport number \( t_{\text{H}_2} \) in ceramic proton conductors is a function of the temperature of operation, type of gases on both sides of the cell and the current density. Furthermore, the conversion efficiencies \( (\text{H}_2 \to \text{NH}_3) \) are generally low when hydrogen flux through the electrolyte is high. Alternatively high conversion efficiencies in excess 50% have been reported, however, ammonia production rates are very low due to very low reactive specie flux (current flow) through the electrolyte. Thus low ammonia production rates would require much larger active area cells and moreover separation of ammonia and recycling of unreacted hydrogen would further add to the plant size and cost.

The highest ammonia production rate reported so far is \( 1.13 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) by employing a proton conducting polymer electrolyte membrane (Nafion). The catalyst used on the cathode side of the cell was \( \text{SmFe}_{0.7}\text{Cu}_{0.3}\text{Ni}_{0.3} \). However, there are concerns of using an acid based electrolyte with ammonia, the performance of which is known to degrade with time. These high flux rates, although quite encouraging, are likely to have been achieved over a very short period of operation.

If it would be possible to achieve current densities somewhat similar to those achieved in fuel cells or electrolysis cells \( (0.25–0.5 \text{ A cm}^{-2}) \) with around 50% current efficiency or hydrogen conversion rates, then ammonia production rates in the range \( 4.3–8.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) can be achieved. These would be quite reasonable for commercial systems, however, substantial improvements to cell materials (catalyst and electrolyte) would be required to achieve such rates.

Other factors that need to be considered are cell material and component fabrications costs, operating conditions and...
life time. If any precious metal catalysts such as those based on Pd or Ru are to be used, then the quantity of catalyst required per unit cell or catalysts loading should be kept to a minimum. Typically, electrochemical routes, investigated so far, require operation at much lower pressures than those used in the Haber–Bosch process with operating temperatures from near room temperature for liquid and polymer electrolyte systems to between 400 and 800 °C for other solid electrolytic routes. The low temperature operation has the potential to reduce material and operating costs and increase life time of the electrochemical reactor provided high ammonia production rates and high current efficiency can be achieved. However, one significant advantage of ammonia production by high temperature electrolytic routes is that such systems can be integrated with solar (renewable energy), thermal or nuclear power plants to provide the waste heat for high temperature operation thus reducing the overall energy input especially if water is used as the hydrogen source. In addition to natural gas being a source of hydrogen, it can also be supplied by water electrolysis [21,103–105]. Several routes for hydrogen generation via electrolytic routes are available and discussed in recent review articles [104,106]. The process can be carried out under ambient conditions or at higher temperatures depending on the type of the electrolyte material used. Water can also act as a source of protons within the electrolytic cell through its reaction in the electrochemical process as shown in Fig. 3. This has the potential to reduce plant cost by using only a single reactor to perform hydrogen generation and conversion tasks.

So far there is very little economic assessment of electrolytic routes for ammonia production. Furthermore, there is not much information available on the degradation rates and long term stability of most materials and electrochemical cells in ammonia containing environments. This is not surprising in view of the early stage of development of the science and technology in this area.

10. Conclusion

The paper covers a review of literature on various electrochemical methods for ammonia synthesis and cell materials. The ammonia synthesis rates are generally low (in the range of $10^{-13}$–$10^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). The maximum ammonia production rate observed was around $10^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with a polymer electrolyte membrane. While these are encouraging, the long term stability of the acidic electrolyte membranes is a concern. Furthermore, for most data reported on electrochemical processes for ammonia production, high current efficiency is achieved at the expense of low ammonia production rates. The ceramic proton conducting materials with suitable cathode catalysts (ammonia production side) show promise worthy of further investigations in the area. It is concluded that while there is a substantial scope for the development of electrochemical processes for ammonia synthesis, and the low pressure operation is an advantage, the technology is at an early stage of research and development requiring significant advances in catalysts on ammonia production side, development of other materials of construction and optimisation of operating conditions. Most of the literature work is reported on single cells. Although, stacking configurations used for fuel cells and water or steam electrolyzers are of direct relevance, the research and development on electrochemical ammonia production routes has not advanced to multi-cell or module testing. Early economic assessment of various electrochemical routes is desirable to make valid comparison with the Haber–Bosch process.
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