
Seção 13.D - Screening

Exercise 1. Consider the screening model of section 13.D. The individuals have two possible productivity levels, $\theta_H > \theta_L > 0$ with $\Pr(\theta = \theta_H) = \nu \in (0, 1)$. The jobs may differ in the task level, $t \in [0, \infty)$, but higher task level add nothing to the output of the worker (although it affects the workers utility). The workers utility is given by $u(w, t|\theta) = w - c(t, \theta)$, with

$$c(0, \theta) = 0, \quad c_t(t, \theta) > 0, \quad c_{tt}(t, \theta) > 0, \quad c_\theta(t, \theta) < 0, \quad c_t\theta(t, \theta) < 0.$$

There are two competitive firms that can offer a finite set of contracts to the workers. A contract is a pair (w, t) . After the contracts are offered, the workers can choose to accept it or not. Suppose that, when indifferent, the workers choose the contract that has the highest wage.

- (a) Characterize the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium outcomes of this model with observable productivity.
- (b) Show that no pooling equilibrium exists.
- (c) Characterize a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium of this model, with unobservable worker productivity, when it exists.

Exercise 2 (MWG 13.D.1). Extend the screening model to a case in which tasks are productive. Assume that a type θ worker produces $\theta(1 + \mu t)$ units of output when her task level is t where $\mu > 0$. Identify the subgame perfect Nash equilibria of this model.

Exercise 3. MWG 13.D.2 Consider the following model of the insurance market. There are two types of individuals: high risk and low risk. Each starts with initial wealth W but has a chance that an accident (e.g., a fire) will reduce her wealth by L . The probability of this happening is p_L for the low-risk types and p_H for the high-risk types, where $p_H > p_L$. Both types are expected utility maximizers with a Bernoulli utility function over wealth of $u(w)$, with $u'(w) > 0$ and $u''(w) < 0$ at all w . There are two risk-neutral insurance companies. An insurance policy consists of a premium payment M made by the insured individual to her insurance firm and a payment R from the insurance company to the insured individual in the event of a loss.

- (a) Suppose that individuals are prohibited from buying more than one insurance policy. Argue that a policy can be thought of as specifying the wealth levels of the insured individual in the two states “no loss” and “loss”.

- (b) Assume that the insurance companies simultaneously offer policies; as in section 13.D, they can each offer any finite number of policies. What are the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium outcomes of the model? Does an equilibrium necessarily exist?

Exercise 4 (MGW 13.D.4). Reconsider the screening model in section 13.D, but assume that

- (i) there is an infinite number of firms that could potentially enter the industry and
- (ii) firms can each offer at most one contract.

[The implication of (i) is that, in any SPNE, no firm can have a profitable entry opportunity.]
Characterize the equilibria for this case.