Cardiopulmonary effects of buprenorphine in horses

Adriano B. Carregaro, MV, PhD; Francisco J. Teixeira Neto, MV, PhD; Suzane L. Beier, MV, MSc; Stelio P. L. Luna, MV, PhD

Objective—To investigate the effects of buprenorphine on cardiopulmonary variables and on abdominal auscultation scores in horses.

Animals—6 healthy adult horses.

Procedures—Horses were restrained in stocks and allocated to 2 treatments in a randomized crossover design, with 1-week intervals between each treatment. Saline (0.9% NaCl) solution was administered IV as a control, whereas buprenorphine (10 μ g/kg, IV) was administered to the experimental group. Cardiopulmonary data were collected for 120 minutes after buprenorphine or saline solution administration. Abdominal auscultation scores were monitored for 2 and 12 hours after drug administration in the control and experimental groups, respectively.

Results—Following control treatment, horses remained calm while restrained in the stocks and no significant changes in cardiopulmonary variables were observed throughout the study. Buprenorphine administration caused excitatory phenomena (restlessness and head shaking). Heart rate, cardiac index, and arterial blood pressure were significantly increased after buprenorphine administration until the end of the observational period (120 minutes). Minimal changes were found in arterial blood gas tensions. Abdominal auscultation scores decreased significantly from baseline for 4 hours after buprenorphine administration.

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance— Buprenorphine induced excitement and hemodynamic stimulation with minimal changes in arterial blood gas tensions. These effects may impact the clinical use of buprenorphine in horses. Further studies are indicated to investigate the effects of buprenorphine on gastrointestinal motility and fecal output. (*Am J Vet Res* 2006;67:1675–1680)

Opioid agents are widely used in veterinary medicine because of their analgesic effects. These drugs produce their effects by interacting with opioid receptors located in the CNS and in peripheral tissues, namely μ , κ , and δ receptors.¹ Stimulation of μ opioid

Accepted April 5, 2006.

- From the Department of Veterinary Surgery and Anesthesiology, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, São Paulo State University – UNESP, Botucatu, SP, Brazil, 14870-000. Dr. Carregaro's present address is Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil, CEP 97105-900.
- This manuscript represents a portion of the thesis submitted by the first author to the Faculdade de Medicina, São Paulo State University, for the PhD degree.
- Supported in part by Fapesp (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa de São Paulo).

Address correspondence to Dr. Teixeira Neto.

ABBREVIATIONS								
HR	Heart rate							
CO	Cardiac output							
MPAP	Mean pulmonary artery blood pressure							
CVP	Central venous pressure							
SAP	Systolic arterial pressure							
DAP	Diastolic arterial pressure							
MAP	Mean arterial pressure							
Paco ₂	Arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide							
Pao ₂	Arterial partial pressure of oxygen							
Sao ₂	Arterial hemoglobin saturation							
RR	Respiratory rate							
CI	Cardiac index							
SV	Stroke volume							
SVR	Systemic vascular resistance							

receptors is responsible for analgesia, excitatory phenomena, constipation, bradycardia, and respiratory depression, whereas a κ agonist causes some analgesic effect with less interference with gastrointestinal motility and less respiratory depression.¹⁴ Opioid agents have an important role in pain management in small animals. However, their use is still limited in horses because of the possibility of excitation and a clinically relevant decrease in gastrointestinal motility.^{3,5-8} Although opioid agonists may induce behavioral changes such as increased locomotor activity in healthy horses, pure κ opioid agonists, such as U50488H, appear to cause less interference with the normal gastrointestinal motility pattern, compared with μ agonists, such as fentanyl and morphine.^{3,6-8}

Buprenorphine is a semisynthetic, highly lipophilic opioid agent that acts as a partial agonist at μ receptors.⁹ Buprenorphine has a bell-shaped dose response curve with respect to analgesia in rodents, with increasing doses resulting in less analgesia in this species.¹⁰ The effects of buprenorphine on the cardiopulmonary system are minimal in humans and dogs.^{11,12} Only a few reports¹³⁻¹⁵ exist on the use of buprenorphine in the equine species. Buprenorphine has been evaluated in combination with α_2 -adrenergic receptor agonists and with acepromazine to produce chemical restraint in horses.^{13,15} To our knowledge, results of the only published study¹⁴ evaluating the isolated use of buprenorphine (3 μ g/kg, IV) in healthy horses and in horses with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease revealed that this drug induced an excitatory phenomenon with an increased HR and arterial blood pressure but did not induce clinically relevant changes in pulmonary function. In that study,14 however, the effects of buprenorphine on CO and gastrointestinal motility were not evaluated. In a prelimi-

Received January 29, 2006.

nary study,^a IV administration of buprenorphine at 10 μ g/kg resulted in an increase in the latency period of the skin twitch reflex in response to radiant light heat directed onto the withers during a 6 hour period in comparison to control horses, providing evidence for an analgesic effect of this opioid agent in horses.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the cardiopulmonary effects of buprenorphine in horses. In addition, the effects of this opioid agent on abdominal auscultation scores were also assessed.

Materials and Methods

Animals—The present study was approved by the institutional animal care committee. Six healthy adult horses (3 male and 3 female) weighing 360 ± 24 kg (mean \pm SD) were used. Health status was assessed by means of clinical examination, CBC determination, and blood gas tension analysis.

Instrumentation and data sample collection-Each horse was previously acclimatized to the experimentation room and conditioned to remain undisturbed for approximately 3 hours restrained in a stock to allow instrumentation and cardiopulmonary data collection during the experiment. On the day before the study, each horse was placed in individual stalls, with food and water provided ad libitum. Hay and commercial food was given in the morning at 7 AM. One hour later, each horse was restrained in a stock for instrumentation. During the period of instrumentation and data sample collection, movement of all personnel involved in the study as well as all conversation were reduced to the minimal necessary to avoid environmental stimulation of the horses involved in the study. To minimize restlessness associated with prolonged restraining in stocks, small amounts of carrots and pellets of commercial food were regularly offered to all horses receiving both treatments, except during periods of data sample collection.

Adhesive electrodes were placed according to a baseapex lead attachment to display the ECG. Values of HR were obtained from ECG tracing. A local anesthetic solution (0.5 to 1.0 mL of 2% lidocaine^b) was injected SC over the left jugular vein before catheters were placed. Two 8.5-F catheter introducers^c were inserted approximately 30 cm apart in the left jugular vein. A 160-cm-long, balloon-tipped, flow-directed thermodilution catheter^d was advanced through the caudal introducer until the catheter tip was positioned in the pulmonary artery for intermittent assessment of CO and continuous measurement of MPAP. A polyethylene catheter (110 cm long, 2.9-mm outer diameter) was advanced through the cranial introducer and positioned in the cranial vena cava. This catheter was used for CVP measurements and for injection of cold 5% dextrose solution during CO measurements. Catheter position was confirmed by observing characteristic pressure waveforms on the screen of the monitor.^e Measurements of CO were obtained by a rapid injection (3 seconds) of 30 mL of 5% dextrose solution at 3° to 4°C into the catheter positioned in the cranial vena cava with a locally designed manual injector. For each sample collection time, CO was calculated as the mean of 3 thermodilution curves within 10% of each other. After local anesthesia, a 20-gauge catheter^f was inserted into the transverse facial artery to measure SAP, DAP, and MAP. All catheters were connected to fluid-filled pressure transducers^g that were previously calibrated with a mercury column, with the zero reference point at the level of the olecranon.

Arterial blood samples were collected from the transverse facial artery for blood gas tension analysis.^h Samples were stored on ice and analyzed until 60 minutes after collection. Blood gas tension variables analyzed included pH, PacO₂, PaO₂, and SaO₂. All values were adjusted according to core body temperature, as displayed by the fast response thermistor located at the tip of the pulmonary artery catheter. Respiratory rate was determined by counting of the number of chest wall movements over 1 minute.

Hemodynamic variables were registered on the screen of the monitor.^c Variables calculated by use of standard equations included the following: CI, which was calculated as CO/body weight; SV, which was calculated as SV = CO/HR; and SVR, which was calculated as SVR = ([MAP – CVP]/CO) \times 79.9.

An abdominal auscultation score was assessed according to a previously described method.¹⁶ The 4 abdominal quadrants (ie, upper and lower on the left and right side) were auscultated. Minimal delay (< 1 minute) occurred between assessments at the various sites. A subjective score was assigned to each quadrant in accordance with a scoring system as follows: 0 = no intestinal sounds; 1 = mild, lowpitched crepitationlike sounds audible once per minute at both sites within a quadrant; 2 = low-pitched crepitationlike sounds audible more than once per minute at both sites within a quadrant; 3 = long, loud gurgling sounds audible once per minute at both sites within a quadrant; and $4 = \log_{10}$, loud gurgling sounds audible more than once per minute at both sites within a quadrant. Scores were summed from the 4 quadrants; thus, the score ranged from 0 (lack of intestinal borborygmi) to 16.

Experimental procedure and treatments-Horses were allocated to 2 treatments in a randomized crossover design. The minimum washout period between each treatment was 10 days. In the control treatment, each horse received 10 mL of physiologic saline (0.9% NaCl) solution (placebo), IV, whereas in the experimental group, each horse received buprenorphine at 10 µg/kg, IV.ⁱ This dose was chosen because in a preliminary study, the use of buprenorphine at 10 µg/kg resulted in more prolonged analgesic effects than a smaller dose (5 μ g/kg), according to a skin twitch reflex response induced by a heat lamp directed onto the withers.^a Behavioral changes observed throughout the study were assessed by 1 person (ABC). Baseline cardiopulmonary variables and abdominal auscultation scores were measured 30 minutes before buprenorphine or saline solution administration (-30 minutes). A second set of cardiopulmonary data was obtained immediately before buprenorphine or saline solution administration (0 minutes). After drug injection, data were collected at 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. After the last cardiopulmonary data collection, horses were placed into individual stalls until the day after the experiment. An investigator (ABC) who was not blinded to the treatment administered to each horse assessed for the first 2 hours after drug injection in both groups. After this period, abdominal auscultation scores were evaluated every 2 hours until totaling 12 hours after buprenorphine administration. All horses were observed during the first 24 hours after drug administration for signs of abdominal discomfort (colic).

Statistical analysis—Analysis was performed with a commercial software program.^j For each group, cardiopulmonary variables were analyzed by use of a 1-way ANOVA for repeated measures, followed by a Dunnet test to compare all sample collection times with baseline data (-30 minutes). Comparison between groups was performed by a 2-way ANOVA, followed by a paired *t* test to which a Bonferroni correction for multiple pairwise comparisons was applied. A Wilcoxon matched pairs test was used to compare abdominal auscultation scores obtained throughout the study with the baseline data (30 minutes). Values of *P* < 0.05 were considered significant. All parametric data are reported as mean \pm SEM, whereas nonparametric data are reported as median and interquartile range.

Results

When horses received saline solution (control treatment), they remained calm throughout the observational time. On the other hand, buprenorphine administration induced a restlessness-excitatory phenomenon, as suggested by the observation of continu-



Figure 1—Effects of IV administration of saline (0.9% NaCl) solution (control treatment; open circles) or buprenorphine at 10 μ g/kg (solid triangles) on RR, MAP, HR, core body temperature (BT), SVR, and Cl in 6 horses. Values reported as mean \pm SEM. Saline solution or buprenorphine was administered at time 0. *Within each group, value differs significantly (P < 0.05) from baseline value (–30 minutes). †Between groups, value differs significantly (P < 0.05).

ous head nodding, head shaking and neighing, and pawing and shifting of ground support in all 4 limbs. None of the horses had violent behavior or became ataxic after buprenorphine administration. Clinical signs of excitation started approximately 10 to 15 minutes after buprenorphine administration and were evi-

> dent while the horses were restrained in the stocks for cardiopulmonary data collection. At the end of cardiopulmonary data collection, horses were returned to the stalls (120 minutes after buprenorphine or saline solution administration). When buprenorphine-treated horses were returned to the stall, a gross increase in locomotor activity was noticed until approximately 3 to 4 hours after opioid administration.

> Following control treatment, with the exception of a transient increase in CI at 30 minutes, cardiovascular variables did not change from baseline throughout the experiment (Figure 1, Table 1). Buprenorphine administration caused sustained increases from baseline in HR, CI, SAP, DAP, and MAP, while SV and SVR did not change after buprenorphine administration. Treatment comparison revealed that HR, SAP, and MAP were significantly higher following buprenorphine treatment from 30 minutes until the end of the observational period (120 minutes). A transient decrease from baseline was found in MPAP prior to buprenorphine administration. Although core body temperature did not change following control treatment, this variable increased from 37.9

Table 1—Effects of IV administration (time 0 minutes) of saline (0.9% NaCl) solution (control) or buprenorphine (10 μ g/kg; Bup) on mean \pm SEM physiologic variables in 6 horses.

					Time (min)					
Variable	Treatment	-30	0	15	30	60	90	120		
SV (mL/beat)	Control	654 ± 51 621 + 33	630 ± 35 677 ± 33	614 ± 23 646 ± 12	701 ± 44	630 ± 30 640 ± 26	635 ± 30 660 ± 63	664 ± 29 715 ± 78		
SAP (mm Hg)	Control	149 ± 8 148 ± 3	143 ± 3 143 ± 3	138 ± 3 172 + 6*	144 ± 3 181 + 3*1	143 ± 3 190 + 1*t	144 ± 4 188 + 3*1	142 ± 3 189 + 4*+		
DAP (mm Hg)	Control Bup	$ \begin{array}{r} 148 \pm 3 \\ 95 \pm 7 \\ 97 \pm 6 \end{array} $	91 ± 4 87 ± 3	89 ± 5 124 ± 4*	89 ± 3 $128 \pm 4^*$	130 ± 1 89 ± 3 $136 \pm 5^*$	$ \begin{array}{r} 185 \pm 5 \\ 91 \pm 5 \\ 131 \pm 5^{*} \end{array} $	90 ± 4 $128 \pm 5^*$		
CVP (mm Hg)	Control Bup	12 ± 1 13 ± 1	12 ± 1 14 + 1	12 ± 1 15 + 1	12 ± 2 15 + 1	13 ± 1 14 + 1	13 ± 1 14 + 1	12 ± 1 13 + 1		
MPAP (mm Hg)	Control	30 ± 1 34 ± 2	29 ± 1 32 + 1*	29 ± 1 35 + 1	31 ± 1 34 ± 1	30 ± 1 34 ± 1	30 ± 1 33 ± 1	29 ± 1 34 + 1		
рН	Control Bup		7.41 ± 0.003 7.40 ± 0.007	7.42 ± 0.007 $7.43 \pm 0.013^{*}$	7.42 ± 0.005 $7.43 \pm 0.013^{*}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.42 \pm 0.01 \\ 7.42 \pm 0.011 \end{array}$	7.43 ± 0.005 $7.44 \pm 0.010^{*}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.41 \pm 0.005 \\ 7.45 \pm 0.015^* \end{array}$		
Pao ₂ (mm Hg)	Control Bup	93.0 ± 3.6 100.1 + 3.4	94.7 ± 3.4 101.4 + 8.0	94.5 ± 3.0 102.5 ± 5.9	95.6 ± 4.9 93.9 ± 4.0	96.0 ± 2.9 93.9 ± 5.1	100.7 ± 4.7 97.0 + 4.0	101.0 ± 4.5 94.6 ± 5.1		
Paco ₂ (mm Hg)	Control Bup	42.4 ± 2.2 42.4 ± 1.2	40.9 ± 2.0 40.0 ± 1.2	40.1 ± 1.5 36.8 ± 2.7	40.1 ± 1.5 39.5 ± 2.0	39.1 ± 0.9 38.9 ± 2.9	37.8 ± 1.0 39.3 ± 1.8	40.1 ± 1.3 35.0 ± 3.9		
Sao ₂ (%)	Control Bup	96.8 ± 0.4 97.1 ± 0.3	97.0 ± 0.3 97.2 ± 0.3	97.1 ± 0.3 97.5 ± 0.3	97.1 ± 0.3 97.0 ± 0.3	97.3 ± 0.3 96.8 ± 0.3	97.5 ± 0.3 97.2 ± 0.4	97.4 ± 0.3 97.0 ± 0.0		
*Significant ($P < 0.05$) difference from baseline (–30 minutes). †Significant ($P < 0.05$) difference between groups.										



Figure 2—Effects of IV administration of saline solution or buprenorphine at 10 μ g/kg on abdominal auscultation scores in 6 horses. Values are expressed as median and interquartile range. Saline solution or buprenorphine was administered at time 0. *Significant (P < 0.05) difference from baseline value. See Figure 1 for remainder of key.

 \pm 0.1°C at baseline to 38.3 \pm 0.2°C at the end of the observational period (120 minutes) following buprenorphine treatment.

No changes in arterial blood gas tensions were observed in the control group. Although RR increased from baseline after buprenorphine administration, no differences in PaO₂, PaCO₂, and SaO₂ were found in the experimental group (Table 1). Significant differences were observed for arterial pH values following buprenorphine treatment, but this variable remained within physiologic limits (pH, 7.35 to 7.46).

During baseline conditions, abdominal auscultation scores were 16 (13 to 16) in the control group (median [interquartile range]) and no significant changes were detected for 2 hours after saline solution administration. In the experimental group, auscultation scores decreased from 15 (14 to 16) at baseline to 6.5 (4 to 8) by 15 minutes after buprenorphine administration (Figure 2). Compared with baseline conditions, abdominal auscultation scores remained decreased for 4 hours after buprenorphine administration. Although auscultation scores were reduced after buprenorphine administration, none of the horses had clinical signs of abdominal discomfort (colic) after opioid administration.

Discussion

Excitement is a common adverse effect associated with the use of opioid agents in horses. This effect may be related to central dopaminergic activation and is more likely to occur when pure μ opioid agonists, such as morphine and fentanyl, are used in pain-free hors-es.^{6,7,17,18} Otherwise, when opioids are used in horses with signs of pain, excitatory phenomena are less likely to occur, as the risk of an adverse response to a fixed dose of an opioid appears to be inversely proportional to the degree of the patient discomfort.19 A previous reported excitatory phenomena study¹⁴ when buprenorphine was used in conscious pain-free horses. On the other hand, when buprenorphine $(6 \mu g/kg)$ was combined with either xylazine (0.7 mg/kg) or acepromazine (0.05 mg/kg) to produce standing chemical

restraint, satisfactory sedative effects were reported.¹³ However, the use of buprenorphine combined with α_2 adrenergic receptor agonists, such as xylazine and detomidine, appears to result in more effective sedative effects than buprenorphine-acepromazine combinations.^{13,15} Although horses receiving physiologic saline solution (placebo) remained calm and were easily restrained in the stocks throughout our study, buprenorphine-treated horses were difficult to maintain restrained in the stocks as a result of an excitatory phenomenon induced by the opioid. An increase in muscular activity associated with the CNS stimulating effect of the opioid may explain the increase in core body temperature observed after buprenorphine administration.

Cardiovascular stimulation secondary to excitatory phenomena has been documented when buprenorphine and other opioids are used in horses with no signs of pain.^{67,14,17,18} The hemodynamic stimulation observed after buprenorphine in our study was probably the result of CNS stimulation leading to increased sympathetic outflow.¹⁴

Buprenorphine increased HR values by 17% to 40% above baseline. Similar results were observed by Szöke et al,¹⁴ who observed that a smaller dose of buprenorphine $(3 \mu g/kg)$ produced a 30% increase in HR. The CI was also significantly higher after buprenorphine administration in our study. At the end of the observational period following buprenorphine treatment, CI was increased by approximately 57% above baseline (from 61 \pm 3 mL/kg/min at baseline to 96 \pm 11 mL/kg/min at 120 minutes). In conscious resting horses, increased HR as a result of parasympathetic blockade induced by atropine sulphate does not change CO.²⁰ In this instance, decreased SV attributable to reduced diastolic filling time prevents CO from increasing despite increased HR.^{20,21} In our study, increased HR was coupled with increases in CI, whereas SV values remained unchanged after buprenorphine administration. Increased sympathetic outflow caused by the CNS stimulant effect of buprenorphine may explain these results. During sympathetic stimulation, SV is maintained or increased because of increased myocardial inotropism and shortened duration of systole.²

In our study, MAP increased approximately 38% above baseline at 60 minutes after buprenorphine administration (from 113 \pm 5 mm Hg to 156 \pm 3 mm Hg). When a smaller dose of buprenorphine (3 μ g/kg) was administered to conscious horses, MAP was increased by 18% above baseline at a similar time period.¹⁴ The increase in arterial blood pressure observed after buprenorphine administration was primarily attributable to an increase in CI since SVR remained unchanged.

Although the changes in arterial pH may not be considered biologically relevant, as mean values remained within the reference range for horses (pH, 7.35 to 7.46), the increase in this variable after buprenorphine administration was coincident with a significant increase in RR. These changes, coupled with a slightly lower $PacO_2$ after buprenorphine administration, suggest that alveolar ventilation was slightly increased.

Although μ opioid agonists cause an increase in intestinal smooth muscle tone, the sequential mechanical events that are responsible for propulsive motility are actually inhibited and the end result is constipation.^{3,5,22} Therefore, pure μ agonists such as morphine are more likely to cause constipation and gastrointestinal motility disturbances than butorphanol, which acts as a μ antagonist and a κ agonist drug.^{22} In a recent study,²³ a continuous IV infusion regimen of butorphanol maintained for 24 hours (0.0027 mg/kg/h) resulted in less interference with abdominal auscultation scores than a single bolus of butorphanol (0.1 mg/kg) and the mean intestinal transit time did not differ from the control level in either regimen. Although morphine appears to be a good analgesic for superficial pain (eg, musculoskeletal pain), its use is controversial in horses.^{19,24,25} Data from 2 recent retrospective studies^{24,25} evaluating the incidence of postoperative complications in horses had different conclusions. One study²⁴ evaluating the incidence of adverse effects associated with the intraoperative use of morphine in horses reported that a single dose of this opioid (0.1 to 0.17) mg/kg, IV) did not result in increased risk of adverse effects during the first 4 days after anesthesia. On the other hand, another large-scale retrospective study²⁵ reported a 4-fold increase in the risk of colic in horses receiving morphine, compared with horses receiving no opioid agent or receiving butorphanol. However, factors such as stress caused by hospitalization, change in diet, and postoperative pain may also be implicated in motility disturbances in the postanesthetic period and represent possible confounding factors.

In rats, buprenorphine caused less pronounced reduction in gastrointestinal motility than morphine.²⁶ In our study, abdominal auscultation scores decreased for 4 hours after buprenorphine administration, which is an expected effect of opioids in horses. Although auscultation of intestinal sounds is a somewhat subjective variable, this method has been validated in other studies and is considered a clinically useful tool to assess the effects of drugs or pathologic conditions on gastrointestinal motility.¹⁶ By use of a similar scoring system, the anticholinergic glycopyrrolate, a drug known for its gastrointestinal motility depressant effects, caused dose-dependent decreases in intestinal borboryghmi.¹⁶ In that study, while a dose of 5 μ g/kg of glycopyrrolate decreased intestinal sounds for approximately 4 hours after drug injection, a dose of 10 µg/kg decreased this variable from baseline conditions for a more prolonged period (18 hours) and caused signs of colic in 2 of 5 horses.¹⁶ Postoperative colic may represent a potential adverse effect observed after elective surgical procedures, even in horses not receiving opioids or other motility depressant drugs such as anticholinergics.²⁷

In summary, the use of buprenorphine induced excitement and stimulation of the hemodynamic function with minimal changes in arterial blood gas tensions. The excitatory phenomenon appears to substantially impact the clinical use of this drug, especially when used alone in pain-free horses. Further studies are required to evaluate the analgesic efficacy of buprenorphine as well as the clinical relevance of the undesirable adverse effects, such as decreased gastrointestinal motility and excitement.

- Carregaro AB. Estudo farmacodinâmico da buprenorfina em equinos. PhD thesis, Department of Anesthesiology, Faculdade de Medicina, São Paulo State University – UNESP, Botucatu, SP, Brazil, 2005.
- b. Xylestesin 2%, Cristália, Itapira, São Paulo, Brazil.
- c. Intro-Flex 8,5-F, Baxter Healthcare Corp, Irvine, Calif.
- d. 7-F thermodilution catheter, Baxter Healthcare Corp, Irvine, Calif.
- e. AS/3 monitor, Datex-Engstrom, Helsinki, Finland.
- f. Insyte, Becton-Dickinson, Sandy, Utah.
- g. Model PX 260, Baxter Healthcare Corp, Irvine, Calif.
- h. RapidLab 348, Chiron Diagnostics Ltd, Halstead, Essex, United Kingdom.
- i. Temgesic, Schering, São Paulo, Brazil.
- j. GraphPad Prism, version 4.00, GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, Calif.

References

1. Yaksh TL. Pharmacology and mechanisms of opioid analgesic activity. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1997;41:94–111.

2. Kamerling S, Weckman T, Donahoe J, et al. Dose related effects of the kappa agonist U-50,488H on behaviour, nociception and autonomic response in the horse. *Equine Vet J* 1988;20:114–118.

3. Roger T, Bardon T, Ruckenbush Y. Comparative effects of mu and kappa opiate agonists on the cecocolic motility in the pony. *Can J Vet Res* 1994;58:163–166.

4. Field MJ, Carnell AJ, Gonzalez MI, et al. Enadoline, a selective kappa-opioid receptor agonist shows potent antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic actions in a rat model of surgical pain. *Pain* 1999;80:383–389.

5. Alexander F. The effect of some anti-diarrhoeal drugs on intestinal transit and faecal excretion of water and electrolytes in the horse. *Equine Vet J* 1978;10:229–234.

6. Tobin T, Combie J, Shults T, et al. The pharmacology of narcotic analgesics in the horse III. Characteristics of the locomotor effects of fentanyl and apomorphine. *J Equine Med Surg* 1979;3:284–288.

7. Tobin T, Combie J, Shults T. Pharmacology review: actions of central stimulant drugs in the horse II. J Equine Med Surg 1979;3:102–109.

8. Mama KR, Pascoe PJ, Steffey EP. Evaluation of the interaction of mu and kappa opioid agonists on locomotor behavior in the horse. *Can J Vet Res* 1993;57:106–109.

9. Cowan A. Buprenorphine: new pharmacological aspects. *Int J Clin Pract Suppl* 2003;133:3–8.

10. Dum JE, Herz A.In vivo receptor binding of the opiate partial agonist, buprenorphine, correlated with its agonistic and antagonistic actions. *Br J Pharmacol* 1981;74:627–633.

11. Scott DH, Arthur GR, Scott DB. Haemodynamic changes following buprenorphine and morphine. *Anaesthesia* 1980;35:957–961.

12. Martinez EA, Hartsfield SM, Melendez LD, et al. Cardiovascular effects of buprenorphine in anesthetized dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 1997;58:1280–1284.

13. Nolan AM, Hall LW. Combined use of sedatives and opiates in horses. *Vet Rec* 1984;21:63–67.

14. Szöke MO, Blais D, Cuvelliez SG, et al. Effects of buprenorphine on cardiovascular and pulmonary function in clinically normal horses and horses with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Vet Res* 1998;59:1287–1291.

15. van Dijk P, Lankveld DP, Rijkenhuizen AB, et al. Hormonal, metabolic and physiological effects of laparoscopic surgery using a detomidine-buprenorphine combination in standing horses. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2003;30:72–80.

16. Singh S, McDonell WN, Young SS, et al. The effect of glycopyrrolate on heart rate and intestinal motility in conscious horses. *J Vet Anaesth* 1997;24:14–19.

17. Muir WW, Skarda RT, Sheehan WC. Cardiopulmonary effects of narcotic agonists and a partial agonist in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 1978;39:1632–1635.

18. Kalpravidh M, Lumb WV, Wright M, et al. Effects of butor-

phanol, flunixim, levorphanol, morphine, and xylazine in ponies. *Am J Vet Res* 1984;45:217–223.

19. Muir WW, Robertson JT. Visceral analgesia: effects of xylazine, butorphanol, meperidine, and pentazocine in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 1985;46:2081–2084.

20. Hinchcliff KW, McKeever KH, Muir WW. Hemodynamic effects of atropine, dobutamine, nitroprusside, phenylephrine, and propranolol in conscious horses. *J Vet Intern Med* 1991; 5:80–86.

21. Stephenson RB. The heart as a pump. In: Cunningham JG, ed. *Veterinary physiology*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1997;180–197.

22. Roebel LE, Cavanagh RL, Buyniski JP. Comparative gastrointestinal and biliary tract effects of morphine and butorphanol (Stadol). *J Med* 1979;10:225–238. 23. Sellon DC, Monroe VL, Roberts MC, et al. Pharmacokinetics and adverse effects of butorphanol administered by single intravenous injection or continuous intravenous infusion in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 2001;62:183–189.

24. Mircica E, Clutton RE, Kyles KW, et al. Problems associated with perioperative morphine in horses: a retrospective case analysis. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2003;30:147–155.

25. Senior JM, Pinchbeck GL, Dugdale AH, et al. Retrospective study of the risk factors and prevalence of colic in horses after orthopaedic surgery. *Vet Rec* 2004;155:321–325.

26. Cowan A, Doxey JC, Harry EJ. The animal pharmacology of buprenorphine, an oripavine analgesic agent. *Br J Pharmacol* 1977;60:547–554.

27. Dabareiner RM, White NA. Large colon impaction in horses: 147 cases (1985–1991). J Am Vet Med Assoc 1995;206:679–685.