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Introduction

“Petri Nets” is a collective term that, in the course of time, has come to designate a large number of system models, analysis techniques, graphical representations and notational conventions that are based on specific assumptions about the world of information processing.

The most basic are the notions of local states and local actions and their mutual relationships. In general, a local action is influenced by a subset of local states only. Moreover, in Petri nets local states and local actions are considered to be on an equal footing. The fundamental role of local states and local actions is also expressed in the standardized graphical convention, where local states are represented by circles and local actions are represented by boxes.

In this paper we give two examples which illustrate the process of constructing a formal model from an informal description using Petri nets. In the process of doing this we obtain examples of basic classes of Petri nets that are addressed in this volume.

1 Example 1: A Library

The following example has been taken from the initial phases of a software development process, where the initial system requirements are formulated with the impreciseness and incompleteness which is typical for the everyday use of natural languages. Then we gradually formalize, clarify and complete these requirements. The goal is to obtain a formal description of actions of a public library. We begin with the very basic description of the structure of a library: there is a stock of books that can be accessed by users. Thus we are dealing here with two components. It seems very natural to describe the stock of books as being passive and the users as being active. If we represent the passive component by a circle and the active component by a box, then we get the configuration shown in Fig. 1.1. The arrows between the components indicate the flow of objects and information.

Figure 1.1. A simple meaningful description of a library
In bigger libraries a user may not have free access to the books. Instead, there may be one (or several) counters where the library staff is available to the users. Hence the organizational structure is as given in Fig. 1.2.

A large volume of book borrowing requires a more “sophisticated” organization. First of all, we need to distinguish the lending (outflow) and return (inflow) of books. In Fig. 1.3 we assume that a book has to be ordered by the user before the library staff provides it. In other words, there has to be a flow of information from the user to the provider before the required book is given to the user.

We refine the picture even further by identifying different interactions of the user with the library as illustrated in Fig. 1.4. In order to be able to deal with
large numbers of people at the same time, it seems reasonable to establish separate counters for ordering, picking up and returning books (Fig. 1.5). The library keeps a record of the borrowed books, in the simplest case just by using index cards that are stored with the books in the stacks. When a book is borrowed, its card is placed in a borrowed-book file, and when the book is returned, its card is taken out from this file and returned with the book to the stacks. Figure 1.6 shows the addition of the borrowed-book file to the system.

1.1 Passive and Active Components

The library example has been developed far enough to demonstrate a number of some central principles of system design using Petri nets. First of all, a system is partitioned into various components, and every component is designated as either passive or active. In our example this was quite obvious. The passive
components (i.e., various counters, the stacks, and the borrowed-books index cards) are able to store things or to make them "visible" (traceable). The active components (in our example the users in their three roles of ordering, picking up or returning a book as well as the actions of retrieving a book from the stacks and returning a book back to the stacks) are able to produce, transport or change things.

It goes without saying that the arrows are important. An arrow does not represent a system component, but rather an abstract relationship between components, e.g., logical connections, access rights, physical proximity, or direct links.

As a matter of fact, there are no arrows connecting two passive or two active components. Instead, each arrow connects two components of different sorts. This represents the separation of components that we have mentioned already.

Whenever this principle is violated in a modeled system, it can be assumed that the criteria that led to a separation of individual components from their environment were wrongly assessed. Let us illustrate this with an example. Figure 1.7 shows a wrong and a right way (in terms of Petri nets) of modeling a communication channel in a computer network. In the upper drawing the communication channel is either not modeled as a separate component, or it is (wrongly) modeled as an arrow. This would apply that a communication channel always links exactly two components. This may prove to be not realistic, since a communication channel is in fact quite complex: data may get corrupted or lost in the channel. In modeling this situation in a right way, a communication channel becomes a passive component. In this way we may model corrupting or losing data - see Fig. 1.8. The advantage of "right modeling" is very clearly seen, when a communication channel links several computers, as illustrated in Fig. 1.9.

1.2 Dynamic Behavior

What we have obtained until now, is a static representation of passive and active components, and the relationship between them. We move now to model the dynamic behavior of the represented system. To this aim, let us return to our library example, as represented in Fig. 1.6. We will inscribe now passive
Figure 1.8. Extension of Fig. 1.7

Figure 1.9. Several computers linked via one channel

Figure 1.10. Order forms, books, index cards
components by concrete objects. Thus a (filled out) order form is at the order counter, a book is at the pickup counter waiting for the person who ordered it, and at the return counter there is a book that has been returned but not yet put back to the stacks. The books in the stacks and the cards in the borrowed book file are also shown.

The active components can now redistribute these objects.

Typically, one would expect the following rules. The outflow component accepts an order form, retrieves the corresponding book together with its index card from the stacks, brings the book to the pickup counter, and the index card to the borrowed-book index. The inflow component accepts a book from the return counter, and returns it to the stacks, together with the corresponding index card. The orders and returns components deliver orders and books to the respective counters, and the pickups component removes books from the pickup counter.

The Petri Net approach provides formal, yet intuitive concepts to describe such a behavior. To this end, the arcs of Fig. 1.10 are inscribed by terms, as shown in Fig. 1.11. The variable x stands for books; order(x) and card(x) stand for an order of the book x and the index card of the book x, respectively. There is a universal occurrence rule for active components, which yields the above described behavior for the active components of Fig. 1.11. Formulated in a general setting, the occurrence rule assumes an interpretation of the variable x, by a concrete book; for example, x = "...". Occurrence of an active component moves then the corresponding items as indicated by the inscriptions and directions of arcs.

Thus we have arrived, through a sequence of modifications, at a formal description of our library system by a Petri net. The change from Fig. 1.2 to Fig. 1.3 yields a more detailed description of the functions exercised by library staff. A similar relationship exists in the change from Fig. 1.3 to Fig. 1.4, and in the change from Fig. 1.4 to Fig. 1.5, where more detailed descriptions were given of the roles played by library users and the counters of the library staff. On the other hand, the change from Fig. 1.5 to Fig. 1.6 is different. In this case, a new
component is added to the model, viz., the borrowed-book file. Thus, Petri net models of real world systems are systematically developed through two kinds of modifications: replacing a component with a more detailed subnet (a refinement step), or by adding components to the system, i.e., specifying an environment for a given net.

2 Example 2: A Container Interchange Station

Heavy freight is frequently transported in containers on top of train cars. Such train cars form container trains. They can be driven to container interchange stations, where the containers are rearranged, thus forming new container trains. A container interchange station has container cranes which can pick up containers from train cars and drop them at other train cars.

Figure 2.1 shows a typical container interchange station.

![Figure 2.1. A container interchange station](image)

Two trains may be positioned side by side, bridged by three container cranes. For the sake of simplicity, the range of the cranes is divided into seven sections, with each section covering one car of each train.

The situation outlined in Fig. 2.1 shows container cranes A and B over the second and fifth section, respectively. Crane C is moving in between sections 6 and 7.

Figure 2.2 represents the behavior of a single container crane.

In the depicted state, the crane is idle, loaded by a container, and its left neighboring section is free, whereas its right neighboring section is occupied by its right neighboring crane.
Figure 2.2. Behavior of a single container crane

Two actions are enabled in this state, drop and reserve left section. Both actions have two preconditions, (idle and loaded, and idle and left section is free, respectively), which are fulfilled in Fig. 2.2. Occurrence of drop leads to free and pending, and reorg is the only enabled action then. Alternatively to drop, the action reserve left section may occur in the initial state of Fig. 2.2. This leads to moving left, which in turn enables release right. To sum up, an action may occur if all of its preconditions and none of its postconditions are fulfilled. Its occurrence leads to a state where none of its preconditions and all of its postconditions are fulfilled. Graphically, this is depicted by Fig. 2.3.

Figure 2.3. Occurrence of an event

Here, actions and conditions are represented as squares and circles, respectively. Arrows ending and starting at the square indicate preconditions and post-
conditions of the action. A dot ("token") in a circle indicates that the correspond-
ing condition is fulfilled.

Petri Nets of this kind are called *Elementary Net Systems.*

Figure 2.4 extends the environment of the above described container crane.

![Diagram of Petri Net System]

**Figure 2.4.** Counting the free sections in a container crane's environment

Not only the most adjacent left and right sections are represented, but the
number of *all* adjacent free sections is given: each dot in *free left sections* and
in *free right sections* represents a free section. Boxes and circles in Fig. 2.4 are
called *places* and *transitions,* respectively. Each circle may carry *any* number of
tokens (not at most one, as is the case with Elementary Net Systems). *Preplaces*
and *postplaces* of transitions are defined analogously to pre- and postconditions,
as above. A transition is *enabled* whenever each preplace carries at least one
token. Occurrence of a transition can graphically be depicted as e.g. in Fig. 2.5.

The initial state of Fig. 2.4 enables three transitions: *drop,* *reserve left section,*
and *reserve right section,* respectively. Occurrence of *reserve left section* would
decrease the token load of *free left sections* to one token, and brings one token
to *moving left.* This enables *release left section,* which increases the token load of
*free right sections* to four. Petri Nets of this kind are denoted as *place/transition nets.*

Figure 2.6 shows three container cranes, with neighboring cranes sharing
their right or left free sections.

The depicted situation describes the state of the container interchange sta-
tion, as in Fig. 2.1. The occurrence rule for transitions described above reflex,
Figure 2.5. Occurrence of a transition

Figure 2.6. Model of the container exchange station. Fig. 2.1

in precise way, the behavior of the station. Now, Fig. 2.6 is an example of a
place/transition net.

The regular structure of Fig. 2.6 suggests that one can represent the generic
structure of container cranes only once, while all the details of Fig. 2.6 are given
by proper inscriptions. This, in fact, is done in Fig. 2.7.
Figure 2.7. A high level representation, corresponding to Fig. 2.4

This figure is an example of a high level net which is a kind of nets that has been considered in the first example already.
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