Evidence for a clade of nematodes, arthropods and other moulting animals

Anna Marie A. Aguinaldo*, James M. Turbeville†, Lawrence S. Linford*, Maria C. Rivera*, James R. Garey‡, Rudolf A. Raff§ & James A. Lake*

James n. Garey+, nuuvii A. naiiy & James A. Lake

* Molecular Biology Institute and MCD Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

[†] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA

‡ Department of Biological Sciences, Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15282, and Department of Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620, USA

\$ Department of Biology and Indiana Molecular Biology Institute, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA

The arthropods constitute the most diverse animal group, but, despite their rich fossil record and a century of study, their phylogenetic relationships remain unclear¹. Taxa previously proposed to be sister groups to the arthropods include Annelida, Onychophora, Tardigrada and others, but hypotheses of phylogenetic relationships have been conflicting^{2,3}. For example, onychophorans, like arthropods, moult periodically, have an arthropod arrangement of haemocoel^{1,4}, and have been related to arthropods in morphological and mitochondrial DNA sequence analyses^{4,5}. Like annelids, they possess segmental nephridia and muscles that are a combination of smooth and obliquely striated fibres⁶. Our phylogenetic analysis of 18S ribosomal DNA sequences indicates a close relationship between arthropods, nematodes and all other moulting phyla. The results suggest that ecdysis (moulting) arose once and support the idea of a new clade, Ecdysozoa, containing moulting animals: arthropods, tardigrades, onychophorans, nematodes, nematomorphs, kinorhynchs and priapulids. No support is found for a clade of segmented animals, the Articulata, uniting annelids with arthropods. The hypothesis that nematodes are related to arthropods has important implications for developmental genetic studies using as model systems the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans and the arthropod Drosophila melanogaster, which are generally held to be phylogenetically distant from each other.

We have analysed relationships of arthropods to other taxa by sequencing complete 18S rDNAs from representative taxa, aligning them with existing 18S sequences from other metazoan taxa, and analysing them by using standard phylogenetic techniques⁷. This study confirms the suspected relationships between arthropods and other taxa, such as tardigrades and onychophorans. But by careful consideration of rates of evolution, we find the surprising result that nematodes are also closely related to arthropods.

An outstanding problem with the molecular phylogeny of nematodes is that their 18S sequences evolve too rapidly to be useful for phylogenetic reconstruction. Previously published sequences of nematodes have a substitution rate 2–3 times greater than those of most other Metazoa. Hence special efforts were made to include only the slowest evolving sequences from representative taxa, because errors due to unequal rate effects and alignment artefacts are compounded by including rapidly evolving sequences⁸. To obtain three slowly evolving nematode sequences, 10–20 nematode 18S genes were sequenced (J.R.G., unpublished results). Marked differences are observed, depending upon whether rapidly or slowly evolving sequences are present (Fig. 1). When both rapidly and slowly evolving nematode sequences (bold type) are included, all nematodes branch from the base of the bilateral animals (Fig. 1a), whereas, when only the slowest nematode sequence is included (Fig. 1b), the nematode branches high within the protostomes as the sister taxon of the arthropods. Furthermore, analysis of the slowly evolving protein-synthesis elongation factor EF-1 α also place nematodes within the protostomes (J.R.G., A.M.A.A. and J.A.L., unpublished results), suggesting that other evolutionary processes⁹ are not responsible. These results are consistent with unequal rates artefactually placing rapidly evolving, long-branched nematode sequences adjacent to the long branch that joins the outgroup to the tree. Molecular sequence analysis, using the available fast-evolving 18S rRNA nematode sequences or faster evolving molecules, has demonstrated a similarly deep placement¹⁰, whereas some morphological studies have predicted a placement similar to that found using only the slowly evolving nematode sequence¹¹.

To exclude rapidly evolving taxa, all complete 18S rDNA sequences relevant to this study were systematically surveyed. An alignment of about 50 of the most useful complete sequences was constructed and the distances from each taxon to the last common ancestor of protostomes was calculated using the paralinear/LogDet method^{12,13} (Table 1). Guided by these distances, the slowest evolving protostome and outgroup taxa were selected (shown in bold). These included the slowest evolving sequences from the following taxa: a cnidarian as an outgroup to triploblastic animals^{7,14}, a deuterostome as an outgroup to the protostome animals, a polychaete, an oligochaete, a brachiopod, a mollusc, a non-moulting aschelminth, representatives of the six phyla of non-arthropod moulting animals, and four major arthropod groups (a chelicerate, a crustacean, a myriapod and an insect).



Figure 1 Phylogenetic analysis of 18S rDNA sequence data illustrating the effects of unequal rate biases on nematode placement. In **a**, both rapidly and slowly evolving nematode sequences (*Caenorhabditis* and *Strongyloides*, and *Trichinella*) are included in the analysis; the nematodes branch from the bottom of the tree, even before the deuterostome-protostome divergence. In **b**, only the slowly evolving *Trichinella* sequence is included and this nematode now branches from within the protostome clade, as the sister taxon to the arthropods.

The majority-rule consensus tree derived from phylogenetic reconstructions is shown in Fig. 2. Four reconstruction methods were used, including paralinear (LogDet) distances^{12,13}, maximum parsimony⁹, Kimura two-parameter distances²⁸, and Jukes–Cantor distances²⁸. Paralinear (LogDet) distances^{12,13} were emphasized because of their generality (most distance methods are special cases of paralinear distances). As preliminary calculations indicated an excess of constant sites (see Methods), all distance methods were corrected for site-to-site variation. Bootstrap values for these four methods, respectively, are shown adjacent to the interior nodes.

In all of the reconstructions, the protostome taxa are clustered into two monophyletic groups. One clade, containing all the moulting animals (kinorhynch, priapulid, nematomorph, onychophoran, nematode, tardigrade, crustacean, insect, myriapod and chelicerate) is present in 95, 78, 85 and 79% of the trees derived through paralinear distances, maximum parsimony, Kimura twoparameter and Jukes–Cantor distances, respectively. The other protostome clade, containing the articulate brachiopod, mollusc, oligochaete, polychaete and rotifer, is present in 98, 80, 99 and 100%



Figure 2 Phylogenetic analysis of 18S rDNA sequence data to determine relationships among the moulting metazoans. The moulting animals are present as the top ten taxa, the Lophotrochozoa are shown in the middle, and outgroups are shown at the bottom. The topology shown here is a majority-rule consensus combining the results from four individual majority-rule consensus trees derived using the following methods: paralinear/LogDet distances, maximum parsimony, Kimura two-parameter distances and Jukes-Cantor distances. All distance methods are corrected for site-to-site variation. The numbers next to the central branches represent the percentage of bootstrap replicates supporting the clades for these methods, respectively (from top to bottom).

of the bootstrap replicates. A monophyletic protostome clade is also supported in 97, 83, 96 and 95% of the bootstrap replicates. Interpreted using the empirical results of Hillis and Bull¹⁵ as a guideline, these data provide significant support ($P \le 0.05$) for a clade of arthropod-related moulting animals within the protostomes. This conclusion is further supported by topology-dependent cladistic permutation tail probability tests confirming the significance of the arthropod-related clade ($P \le 0.01$).

We initially found that flatworm sequences, like rapidly evolving nematode sequences, branched below the base of the bilateral animals. Hence multiple flatworm taxa were sequenced in order to obtain slowly evolving 18S sequences. In experiments similar to those shown in Fig. 1 (with flatworm sequences substituted for nematode sequences), flatworms were shown to branch artefactually deep. Given the importance of the phylogenetic position of the platyhelminthes to theories of the evolution of bilateral animals^{16,17}, a tree containing slowly evolving lophotrochozoal taxa and the most slowly evolving flatworm, *Stenostomum*, was reconstructed (Fig. 3). Bootstrap support for the clade consisting of the flatworm and other lophotrochozoans is high (91, 88, 83 and 80%, for paralinear distances, maximum parsimony, Kimura twoparameter and Jukes–Cantor distances, respectively), consistent with the placement of the flatworms within the Lophotrochozoa¹⁸.

Divisions within the protostomes have long been a major point of contention among zoologists. Conventional wisdom supports the



Figure 3 Phylogenetic analysis of 18S rDNA sequence data to illustrate relationships of the flatworm to other protostome animals. The Lophotrochozoa are present as the top eight taxa, the Ecdysozoa are shown in the middle, and outgroups are shown at the bottom. The topology shown here is a majority-rule consensus combining the results from four individual majority-rule consensus trees derived using the following methods: paralinear/LogDet distances, maximum parsimony, Kumura two-parameter distances and Jukes-Cantor distances. All distance methods are corrected for site-to-site variation. The numbers next to the central branches represent the percentage of bootstrap replicates supporting the clades for these methods, respectively (from top to bottom).

existence of a clade, the Articulata, that includes the segmented animals, chiefly the arthropods and the annelids. This concept has a long tradition, but has been called into question by analysis of morphological and palaeontological data^{3,19} and of 18S rRNA sequence data^{7,20,21}. Eernisse *et al.* characterized two clades within the protostomes, the arthropods and the Eutrochozoa (annelids, molluscs and other protostomes developing from a trochophore larva) with morphological data³. A number of studies using 18S data7,14,20,21,30 identified two clades within the protostomes, the arthropods and the coelomate protostomes of Field et al., now called Lophotrochozoa⁷. The lophotrochozoans include the annelids, molluscs, rotifers, phoronids, brachiopods, bryozoans, platyhelminthes and related phyla. Our data indicate that the sister clade to the lophotrochozoans contains the remaining protostomes, which all develop by moulting. Segmentation does not seem to be a synapomorphy uniting annelids and arthropods. Our analyses, which

Phylum Genus Substitutions per site Lophotrochozoa	Table 1 Substitution rates of 18S rDNA sequences		
LophotrochozoaChaetognathaSagitta0.143 ± 0.111SipunculaPhascolosoma0.070 ± 0.007PogonophoraSibogfinum0.070 ± 0.008PlatyhelminthesBdelloura0.147 ± 0.012Fasciolopsis0.063 ± 0.063NemerteaLineus0.061 ± 0.007EchiuraOchetostoma0.068 ± 0.007VestimentiferaRidgeia0.055 ± 0.007MolluscaLymnaea0.060 ± 0.006AcanthocephalaMonilliformis0.111 ± 0.009GastrotrichaLepidodermella0.005 ± 0.007Lophophorates:Pharonis0.053 ± 0.007PhoronidaPhoronis0.053 ± 0.007Lophophorates:Phoronis0.053 ± 0.007PhoronidaPhoronis0.052 ± 0.006AnnelidaEisenia0.053 ± 0.007Lanice0.055 ± 0.007Lanice0.055 ± 0.007Chribigochaete)0.042 ± 0.006ManetidaEisenia0.052 ± 0.006AnnelidaEisenia0.052 ± 0.006Stylaria (oligochaete)0.052 ± 0.006Giycara (polychaete)0.042 ± 0.007Lanice0.009 ± 0.009Trichinella0.119 ± 0.013Trichinella0.119 ± 0.014Caenorhabditis0.187 ± 0.013Trichinella0.110 ± 0.010OnychophoraEuperipatoidesNematodaStrongyloidesMacrobiotus0.099 ± 0.009KinorhynchaPycnophyesOrasodnila0.121 ± 0.011	Phylum	Genus	Substitutions per site
Chaetognatha Segitta 0.143 ± 0.111 Sipuncula Phascolosoma 0.079 ± 0.007 Pogonophora Siboglinum 0.070 ± 0.008 Platyhelminthes Bdelloura 0.147 ± 0.012 Pasciolopsis 0.063 ± 0.009 Nemertea Lineus 0.061 ± 0.007 Echiura Ochetostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.062 ± 0.007 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.063 ± 0.007 Acanthocephala Moniliformis 0.0142 ± 0.007 Acschelminthes: Acanthocephala 0.055 ± 0.007 Acsthorephala Moniliformis 0.053 ± 0.007 Lepidodermella 0.005 ± 0.006 111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.053 ± 0.007 Lephophorates: Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Picropet alla 0.065 ± 0.006 Arnelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 512 ± 0.006 Arthropods and relatives 0.112 ± 0.011 Nematoda Strongyl		Lophotrochozoa	
Sipuncula Phascolosoma 0.079 ± 0.007 Pogonophora Siboglinum 0.079 ± 0.008 Platyhelminthes Bdelloura 0.147 ± 0.012 Paschelminthes Bdelloura 0.063 ± 0.063 Nemertea Lineus 0.061 ± 0.007 Echiura Ochetostorma 0.065 ± 0.007 Vestimentifera Ridgeia 0.055 ± 0.007 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Placopecten (bivalve) 0.040 ± 0.006 Aschelminthes: Acanthocephala 0.070 ± 0.008 Aschelminthes: Acanthocephala 0.070 ± 0.007 Astrocricha Lepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 Rotifera Brachionus 0.053 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.055 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.007 ± 0.006 Maneida Eisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria	Chaetognatha	Sagitta	0.143 ± 0.111
Pogonophora Siboglinum 0.070 ± 0.003 Platyhelminthes Bdelloura 0.147 ± 0.012 Fasciolopsis 0.063 ± 0.009 Nemertea Lineus 0.063 ± 0.007 Echiura Ochetostoma 0.058 ± 0.007 Vestimentifera Ridgeia 0.055 ± 0.007 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.064 ± 0.006 Aschelminthes: Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.040 ± 0.006 Acanthocephala Moniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice Ologochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice Ologochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Strongyloides 0.092 ± 0.004 Canorhabditis 0.192 ± 0.014 Canorhabditis 0.192 ± 0.014 <tr< td=""><td>Sipuncula</td><td>Phascolosoma</td><td>0.079 ± 0.007</td></tr<>	Sipuncula	Phascolosoma	0.079 ± 0.007
PlatyhelminthesBdelloura 0.147 ± 0.012 Fasciolopsis 0.008 ± 0.009 0.008 ± 0.009 NemerteaLineus 0.061 ± 0.063 0.065 ± 0.007 VestimentiferaRidgeia 0.055 ± 0.007 	Pogonophora	Siboglinum	0.070 ± 0.008
Fasciolopsis 0.083 ± 0.009 NemerteaLineus 0.063 ± 0.007 EchiuraOchetostoma 0.063 ± 0.007 VestimentiferaRidgeia 0.055 ± 0.007 MolluscaLymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.040 ± 0.006 Aschelminthes:Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.040 ± 0.006 AcanthocephalaMoniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 GastrotrichaLepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 RotiferaBrachionus 0.053 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: 0.053 ± 0.007 PhoronidaPhoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 EctoproctaPlumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 AnnelidaEisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Larice 0.056 ± 0.006 AnnelidaEisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 AnnelidaEisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 Larice 0.056 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.042 ± 0.006 Gilycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 VermatodaStrongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 OnychophoraEuperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 TardigradaMinesium 0.079 ± 0.009 KinorhynchaPycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.065 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.065 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.065 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.065 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha </td <td>Platyhelminthes</td> <td>Bdelloura</td> <td>0.147 ± 0.012</td>	Platyhelminthes	Bdelloura	0.147 ± 0.012
Stenostomum 0.063 ± 0.067 NemerteaLineus 0.061 ± 0.007 EchiuraOchetostoma 0.058 ± 0.007 MolluscaLymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Placopecten (bivalve) 0.042 ± 0.007 Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.042 ± 0.007 Ascheliminthes:Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.042 ± 0.007 AcanthocephalaMoniliformis 0.078 ± 0.007 GastrotrichaLepidodermella 0.078 ± 0.007 Lophophorates:Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 PhoronidaPhoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 EctoproctaPlumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 AnnelidaElisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 0.052 ± 0.006 AnnelidaEisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 NematodaStrongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 OnychophoraEuperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 TardigradaMacrobiotus 0.075 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordus 0.075 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArtemia 0.065 ± 0.007 Veraborphila 0.065 ± 0.007 Veraborphila 0.075 ± 0.007 Veraborphila 0.065 ± 0.007 Ve		Fasciolopsis	0.083 ± 0.009
Nemertea Lineus 0.061 ± 0.007 Echiura Ochetostoma 0.068 ± 0.007 Westimentifera Ridgeia 0.060 ± 0.006 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Placopecten (bivalve) 0.042 ± 0.007 Acanthocephala Moniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 Rotifera Brachionus 0.053 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.042 ± 0.006 Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.003 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.097 ± 0.008 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 0.009 Onychophora Euperi		Stenostomum	0.063 ± 0.063
Echiura Ochetostoma 0.068 ± 0.007 Vestimentifera Ridgeia 0.060 ± 0.006 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Placopecten (bivalve) 0.042 ± 0.007 Aschelminthes: Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.042 ± 0.007 Aschelminthes: Moniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.078 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachionus 0.054 ± 0.006 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 0.033 ± 0.005 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 0.292 ± 0.006 Gayrcera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.007 1.41 ± 0.012 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.192 ± 0.014 0.292 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 1.71 ± 0.011 0.0107 ± 0.003 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010	Nemertea	Lineus	0.061 ± 0.007
Vestimentifera Mollusca Ridgeia Lymnaea 0.055 ± 0.007 Mollusca Lymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Placopecten (bivalve) Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.042 ± 0.007 Aschelminthes: Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.040 ± 0.006 Aschelminthes: Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.040 ± 0.007 Aschelminthes: Acanthocephala 0.070 ± 0.007 Rotifera Brachionus 0.058 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.052 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.092 ± 0.008 Macrobictus 0.075 ± 0.009 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.092 ± 0.009 <td>Echiura</td> <td>Ochetostoma</td> <td>0.058 ± 0.007</td>	Echiura	Ochetostoma	0.058 ± 0.007
Mollusca Lymnaea 0.060 ± 0.006 Placopecten (bivalve) 0.042 ± 0.007 Acanthocephala Moniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 Rotifera Brachionus 0.058 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Galycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 1711 ± 0.011 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pyconphyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Macrobiotus 0.065 ± 0.007 2.0014 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.007 Artheroia </td <td>Vestimentifera</td> <td>Ridgeia</td> <td>0.055 ± 0.007</td>	Vestimentifera	Ridgeia	0.055 ± 0.007
Placopecten (bivalve) Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.042 ± 0.007 0.040 ± 0.008 Aschelminthes: Moniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 Acastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 Rotifera Brachionus 0.058 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 0.052 ± 0.007 Annelida Eisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 0.052 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 0.0052 ± 0.006 Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 0.033 ± 0.005 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichinella Nematoda Strongyloides 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.066 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Minesium 0.79 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha	Mollusca	Lymnaea	0.060 ± 0.006
Acanihopleura (polyplacophoran) 0.040 ± 0.006 Aschelminthes: Acanthocephala Moniliformis 0.111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Lepidodermella 0.070 ± 0.007 Rottfera Brachionus 0.058 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 5tylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Mematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 0.005 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 0.009 ± 0.009 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.075 ± 0.007 0.088 ± 0.007 Kinorthyncha Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 1.009 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.065 ± 0.007 1.0014 0.065 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.0		Placopecten (bivalve)	0.042 ± 0.007
Aschelminthes: Acanthocephala Gastrotricha RotiferaMoniliformis Lepidodermella 0.111 ± 0.009 Gastrotricha Brachionus 0.076 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: PhoronidaPhoronis Phoronis 0.058 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronida 0.053 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronida 0.063 ± 0.007 Loophophorates: Detemporta 0.063 ± 0.007 		Acanthopleura (polyplacophoran)	0.040 ± 0.006
Acanthocephala Gastrotricha Icpidodermella 0.111 ± 0.009 0.070 ± 0.007 Rotifera Dophophorates: Brachionus 0.058 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.065 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.042 ± 0.006 Mematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.008 Kinorhyncha Pyconohyses 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.088 ± 0.007 Vencophyes 0.079 ± 0.008 Chordus Arternia 0.086 ± 0.007 Vencophyes 0.079 ± 0.008 0.0079 ± 0.008	Aschelminthes:	, ,	
Gastrotricha Rotifera Lepidodermella Brachionus 0.070 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronis 0.058 ± 0.007 Ectoprota Plumatella 0.049 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.056 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Gastrotricha Strongyloides Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 1.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.068 ± 0.007 Drosophila	Acanthocephala	Moniliformis	0.111 ± 0.009
Rotifera Brachionus 0.058 ± 0.007 Lophophorates: Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Phoronida Phoronis 0.049 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.007 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Mematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.007 0.008 ± 0.007 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.065 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007	Gastrotricha	Lepidodermella	0.070 ± 0.007
Lophophorates: PhoronidaPhoronis Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 EctoproctaPlumatella 0.049 ± 0.006 BrachiopodaGlottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 AnnelidaEisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 NematodaStrongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.010 OnychophoraEuperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Trichinella0.110 \pm 0.010OnychophoraEuperipatoides 0.079 ± 0.008 KinorhynchaPycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.068 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArtemia 0.068 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.068 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArtemia 0.068 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.068 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArtemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.008 PriapulaPriapulus 0.006 ± 0.008 PriapulaPriapulus 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.065 ± 0.007 ChordataLampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.065 ± 0.007 ChordataLampetra 0.006 ± 0.008 Chor	Rotifera	Brachionus	0.058 ± 0.007
Phoronida Phoronis 0.053 ± 0.007 Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.049 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.0079 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Miinesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 1.008 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Androtonus <td< td=""><td>Lophophorates:</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Lophophorates:		
Ectoprocta Plumatella 0.049 ± 0.006 Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Mematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichuris 0.192 ± 0.008 Marcobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.909 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.066 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.066 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.066 ± 0.007 Prinebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendr	Phoronida	Phoronis	0.053 ± 0.007
Brachiopoda Glottidia 0.044 ± 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.042 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Arthropods and relatives 0.192 ± 0.014 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crososodonthina 0.055 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.0	Ectoprocta	Plumatella	0.049 ± 0.006
Terebratalia 0.044 0.006 Annelida Eisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.044 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Mematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.009 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.008 0.068 ± 0.007 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crosodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Anteroina 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.065 ± 0.007	Brachiopoda	Glottidia	0.044 ± 0.006
AnnelidaEisenia 0.057 ± 0.007 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Eisenia 0.052 ± 0.006 Lanice 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Arthropods and relativesNematodaStrongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.010 OnychophoraEuperipatoidesMacrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.008 KinorhynchaPycnophyesPosophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordiusGordius 0.066 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArterniaDrosophila 0.066 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.066 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 PriapulaPriapulusOutgroupsChordataLampetra 0.059 ± 0.006 ChordataLampetraAntedon 0.040 ± 0.005 CtenophoraMnemiopsisOliderina 0.130 ± 0.005 CtenophoraMnemiopsisOliderina 0.100 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.101 ± 0.009	Biddinopodd	Terebratalia	0.044 ± 0.006
Annenda Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 Lanice 0.056 ± 0.006 Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Mematoda Strongyloides 0.033 ± 0.005 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.909 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.065 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.066 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Arternia 0.065 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Coutg	Annelida	Fisenia	0.057 ± 0.000
Enchytreus (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.052 ± 0.006 Stylaria (oligochaete) 0.042 ± 0.006 Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Arthropods and relatives 0.192 ± 0.014 Nematoda Strongyloides 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.090 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Minesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.068 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Pranulirus (crustacean) 0.068 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.044 ± 0.005 Priapula <	Anneilua	Lapice	0.057 ± 0.007
Stylaria(Ongothately) 0.042 ± 0.006 Stylaria(Oligochaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Arthropods and relatives 0.033 ± 0.005 NematodaStrongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 OnychophoraEuperipatoidesMacrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.008 KinorhynchaPycnophyesOoff discontry 0.068 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordiusMacrobiotus 0.068 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArterniaDosophila 0.068 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.066 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.464 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.005 PriapulaPriapulusOutgroupsChordataLampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.008 ChordataLampetraAntedon 0.040 ± 0.005 CtenophoraMnemiopsisOlidaria 0.130 ± 0.101 ChordataAnemoniaDiagendaria 0.130 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		Enchytreus (oligochaete)	0.052 ± 0.000
Glycera (polychaete) 0.033 ± 0.005 Arthropods and relatives Arthropods and relatives Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.114 ± 0.012 Trichuris 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.090 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.055 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.0665 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.065 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.065 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus		Stylerie (oligocheete)	0.032 ± 0.000
Arthropods and relativesNematodaStrongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichunis 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 OnychophoraEuperipatoides 0.909 ± 0.009 TardigradaMilnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus $0.079 \pm 0.0079 \pm 0.009$ KinorhynchaPycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 NematomorphaGordius 0.066 ± 0.007 ArthropodaArtemia 0.066 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.066 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.044 ± 0.006 Eurypelma (chelicerate) 0.33 ± 0.005 PriapulaPriapulus 0.065 ± 0.007 ChordataLampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 EchinodermataStrongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 ChenophoraMnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.101 ChidariaAnemonia 0.101 ± 0.009		Glycera (polychaete)	0.033 ± 0.005
Nematoda Strongyloides 0.192 ± 0.014 Caenorhabditis 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.909 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.0079 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.068 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.665 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.0665 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androtonus 0.044 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 0.100 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Arthropode and relatives</td><td></td></t<>		Arthropode and relatives	
Nematoda Strongyroides 0.132 2.0.13 Caenorhabditis 0.132 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 0.005 Outgroups Chericerate) 0.038 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.0	Nomotodo	Artifiopous and relatives	0.102 ± 0.014
Caenomatoris 0.187 ± 0.013 Trichuris 0.141 ± 0.012 Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.090 ± 0.009 Taridigrada Minesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.443 ± 0.006 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.055 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.065 ± 0.007 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.005 0.006 Chordata Lampetra 0.055 ± 0.007 <td>Nematoda</td> <td>Openerhabditie</td> <td>0.192 ± 0.014</td>	Nematoda	Openerhabditie	0.192 ± 0.014
Trichinella 0.114 ± 0.010 Onychophora Trichinella 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.068 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.066 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 0.011 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.101 Chidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009		Trisburio	0.167 ± 0.013
Informetia 0.110 ± 0.010 Onychophora Euperipatoides 0.099 ± 0.009 Tardigrada Milnesium 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.065 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.007 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus Outgroups Outgroups Chordata Lampetra Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus Antedon 0.044 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis Onidaria 0.104 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.101 ± 0.009		Triching	0.141 ± 0.012
Only inbining Experiptionals 0.096 ± 0.008 Tardigrada Minesium 0.079 ± 0.008 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.006 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.006 Ctenophora	Onuchanhara	Thennena Europeineteidee	0.110 ± 0.010
Tardigrada Minimestum 0.079 ± 0.009 Macrobiotus 0.079 ± 0.009 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Arternia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.43 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.055 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007<	Unychophora	Liperipatoldes	0.090 ± 0.009
Macrobiotus 0.79 ± 0.007 Kinorhyncha Pycnophyes 0.075 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androtonus 0.044 ± 0.006 Eurypelma (chelicerate) 0.038 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 0.033 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.101 Chidaria Anemonia 0.130 ± 0.109	Tardigrada	Minesium	0.079 ± 0.008
Kinorryncha Pychophyes 0.75 ± 0.007 Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.064 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.045 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.046 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.103 ± 0.011 Chidaria Aneemonia 0.104 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.101 ± 0.009		Macrobiotus	0.079 ± 0.009
Nematomorpha Gordius 0.068 ± 0.007 Arthropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009 0.043 ± 0.006	Kinornyncha	Pycnopnyes	0.075 ± 0.007
Artnropoda Artemia 0.068 ± 0.007 Panulirus (crustacean) 0.665 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.066 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.048 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.044 ± 0.006 Priapula Priapulus 0.044 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.055 ± 0.007 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.100 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.103 ± 0.005 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009 0.040 ± 0.005	Nematomorpha	Goralus	0.068 ± 0.007
Panulirus (crustacean) 0.065 ± 0.008 Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.007 Priapula Priapulus 0.046 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.109 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009 Tripedalia	Arthropoda	Artemia	0.068 ± 0.007
Drosophila 0.121 ± 0.011 Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.043 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.006 Eurypelma (chelicerate) 0.038 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Chidaria 0.130 ± 0.111 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		Panulirus (crustacean)	0.065 ± 0.008
Crossodonthina 0.056 ± 0.007 Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009 0.100 ± 0.009		Drosophila	0.121 ± 0.011
Tenebrio (insect) 0.048 ± 0.006 Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.006 Eurypelma (chelicerate) 0.038 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 0.043 ± 0.005 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.101 Cnidaria Anemonia 0.100 ± 0.009		Crossodonthina	0.056 ± 0.007
Scolopendra (myriapod) 0.043 ± 0.006 Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.006 Friapula Priapulus 0.038 ± 0.005 Outgroups 0.040 ± 0.005 Chordata Lampetra Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Chidaria Jamemonia 0.101 ± 0.009		Tenebrio (insect)	0.048 ± 0.006
Androctonus 0.046 ± 0.006 Eurypelma (chelicerate) 0.038 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups 0 Chordata Lampetra Branchisstoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.044 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Chidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		<i>Scolopendra</i> (myriapod)	0.043 ± 0.006
Eurypelma (chelicerate) 0.038 ± 0.005 Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups 0.065 ± 0.007 Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Chidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		Androctonus	0.046 ± 0.006
Priapula Priapulus 0.040 ± 0.005 Outgroups Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.059 ± 0.006 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Cnidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		<i>Eurypelma</i> (chelicerate)	0.038 ± 0.005
Outgroups Chordata Lampetra 0.065 ± 0.007 Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 0.043 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Cnology Chidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009 0.100 ± 0.009	Priapula	Priapulus	0.040 ± 0.005
Chordata Lampetra Branchiostoma 0.065 ± 0.007 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus Antedon 0.043 ± 0.006 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Cnidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		Outgroups	
Branchiostoma 0.059 ± 0.006 Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Cnidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009	Chordata	Lampetra	0.065 ± 0.007
Echinodermata Strongylocentrotus 0.043 ± 0.006 Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.104 ± 0.009 Inidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		Branchiostoma	0.059 ± 0.006
Antedon 0.040 ± 0.005 Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Cnidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009	Echinodermata	Strongylocentrotus	0.043 ± 0.006
Ctenophora Mnemiopsis 0.130 ± 0.111 Cnidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009		Antedon	0.040 ± 0.005
Cnidaria Anemonia 0.101 ± 0.009 Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009	Ctenophora	Mnemiopsis	0.130 ± 0.111
Tripedalia 0.100 ± 0.009	Cnidaria	Anemonia	0.101 ± 0.009
		Tripedalia	0.100 ± 0.009

Distances are calculated by paralinear/LogDet distances and the \pm s.d. estimated from bootstrap replicates. The number of substitutions per position from the last common ancestor of protostomes was calculated with respect to three slowly evolving reference taxa. Distances to protostome taxa were calculated using *Tripedalia* and *Antedon* as outgroup taxa and either *Glycera* or *Priapulus*, depending upon which ingroup taxon was being examined. Distances to outgroup taxa were calculated using *Glycera*, *Priapulus* and *Acanthopleura* as reference taxa.

Our interpretation of these results is shown in Fig. 4. The most obvious feature of this phylogeny is that it separates the protostomes into two groups, an arthropod-related clade exclusively composed of animals that moult, and a lophotrochozoal clade exclusively containing non-moulting animals. All members of the arthropod-related clade undergo ecdysis²². In addition, all members lack locomotory cilia, although other groups (for example, chaetognaths and acanthocephalans) also lack them¹⁶. Given the observed tree topology and these common structural features, this raises the possibility that ecdysis and the cellular modifications associated with it may have been derived only once within this clade.

Because these 18S rDNA analyses support the hypothesis that all moulting animals (arthropods, tardigrades, onychophorans, nematodes, nematomorphs, kinorhynchs and priapulids) share a common ancestor to the exclusion of deuterostomes and the lophotrochozoans, we have chosen the node-based name²³ Ecdysozoa. This group is defined as these taxa plus their last common ancestor and all of its descendants. The name reflects the property that all members of this group, and only members of this group, undergo ecdysis during at least part of their life cycles.

It was unexpected to find nematodes contained within the Ecdysozoa because in previous molecular studies they diverged deep in the protostome tree, even before the deuterostome–protostome bifurcation¹⁰. Boore *et al.*²⁴, in their pioneering study using mitochondrial gene order, assumed that nematodes were an outgroup to the protostomes. We realized the results of previous molecular studies could be unequal rate artefacts caused by the extremely rapid nucleotide-substitution rates found in previously published rhabditid nematode sequences, and therefore sequenced numerous nematode species to identify slowly evolving representatives. Unequal rate effects are well documented in theory¹⁵



Figure 4 As inferred from 18S rDNA, the Protostomia consists of two major groups. The Lophotrochozoa includes the lophophorates, molluscs, annelids, rotifers and other groups? The Ecdysozoa includes the arthropods, tardigrades, onychophorans, nematomorphs, nematodes, kinorhynchs, priapulids and probably the loriciferans. (So far, no living specimens and fewer than 200 preserved loriciferans (which moult) have been collected. Morphological evidence, however, suggests a close relationship to kinorhynchs and priapulids^{16,29}.) The common ancestors of these clades are indicated.

but are usually ignored. Morphological studies also support the inclusion of nematodes with many ecdysozoans, although not with arthropods^{11,16}. One thoughtful analysis groups nematodes, nematomorphs, priapulids, kinorhynchs and loricifera (but not arthropods, onychophorans and tardigrades) using the synapomorphies, "loss of locomotory cilia, cuticle moulted, introvert with spines, teeth or scalids"¹⁶. (These first two synapomorphies also serve to unite the ecdysozoa.) Another recent cladistic analysis of morphological characters supports a clade of moulting animals excluding the priapulids³, although nematomorphs were not included in that analysis.

Given the tremendous interest in the nematode *Caenorhabditis* elegans and the arthropod *Drosophila melanogaster* as model systems, the hypothesis that both are closely related has important implications for developmental and genomic studies. For example, it has been assumed that developmental mechanisms common to *Caenorhabditis* and to *Drosophila* originated before the protostome– deuterostome divergence and hence should also be found in *Homo sapiens*. Our results imply that mechanisms found in both nematodes and fruitflies will not necessarily be found in humans.

The inclusion of the priapulids within an arthropod-containing clade was not anticipated because most morphological studies had not indicated a close priapulid, arthropod phylogenetic relationship^{2.3}. Both arthropods and priapulids are numerically prominent members of the Burgess shale faunas²⁵, indicating the early success (and successful preservation) of ecdysozoans in the Cambrian radiation.

These studies provide evidence that the nematodes are not primitive metazoans but are protostomes related to arthropods. They also support a monophyletic protostome clade. Considering the greatly differing morphologies, embryological features and life histories of the moulting animals, it was initially surprising that the ribosomal RNA tree should group them together. However, given that all moulting taxa sampled are in this clade, and given the significant anatomical modifications associated with moulting, such as the lack of locomotory cilia, ecdysis appears to be a defining synapomorphy for this group, although additional molecular data from other molecules are necessary to test further or confirm the monophyly of the moulting animals.

Methods

DNA isolation. Total genomic DNA was isolated by standard techniques and amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR fragments or complete sequences were then cloned into a plasmid vector before sequencing. Replicates of the PCR amplification were sequenced in both directions. A list of the PCR and sequencing oligonucleotides and PCR reaction conditions is available from J.A.L. or J.R.G. (garey@chuma.cas.usf.edu).

Sequences. The following sequences are available in GenBank: Brachionus plicatilis (Rotifer; accession number, U49911), Enchytraeus sp. (Oligochaete; accession number, U95948), Euperipatoides leukartii (Onychophoran; accession number, U49910), Gordius sp. (Nematomorph; accession number, U51005), Macrobiotus sp. (Tardigrade; accession number, U49912), Milnesium tardigradum (Tardigrade; accession number, U49909), Stenostomum sp. (Platyhelminth; accession number, U95947), Stylaria sp. (Oligochaete; accession number, U95946), and Trichinella spiralis (Nematode, accession number, U60231).

Sequence alignments. An alignment of 49 complete sequences was constructed using the star alignment procedure to reduce biases⁸, with the slowly evolving *Glycera americana* sequence used as the reference, and then proofread by hand. Pairwise alignments of nucleotide sequences were performed with the ALIGN program, using a break penalty of 6; nucleotide identities, transversions and transitions were scored as +3, +1 and 0, respectively, based on preliminary experiments with EF-1 α and 18S rDNA. Regions were excluded from the analysis if extreme length variation existed among sequences, or if many of the sequences contained gaps that could be easily moved with little or no change in alignment score. The alignments are available from J.A.L.

Phylogenetic reconstruction. The 17-taxon phylogenetic trees shown in Figs 2 and 3 were obtained using PAUP version 3.1.1 for maximum parsimony analyses and Bootstrappers gambit²⁶ for distance analyses. For both methods, 200 bootstrap trees were calculated to determine the 50% majority-rule consensus tree; each search was initiated with 100 replicates of random taxon addition, and positions with gaps were excluded. For parsimony, the following heuristic search options were used: starting trees were obtained by stepwise addition (starting seed was 1) with one tree held at each step; and treebisection-reconnection branch-swapping was performed with the MULPARS, but not the steepest descent, option. For paralinear/LogDet, Kimura twoparameter and Jukes-Cantor distances, four-point metrics were used to assess quartet values; the quartet consistency value²⁶ (53.46%) was selected to ensure that the probability of finding the best solution was >99.9%. A cnidarian and an echinoderm were used as the outgroups, except in Fig. 3 where the two slowest echinoderms were used for parsimony to further reduce unequal rate effects. As site-to-site variation was judged to be significant, distances were corrected for this artefact by estimating nine site categories from the data, calculating distances from the eight non-categories, and estimating trees from the sums of the distances¹².

Site-to-site variation. Site-to-site variation was considered significant when estimated using a diagnostic statistical test for the number of constant sites²⁷. Maximum-likelihood trees were calculated using the DNAML program (version 3.4) in PHYLIP. Parameters necessary for the test were calculated for a variety of substitution models using both single and double rate categories determined by the hidden Markov model²⁸. An excess of observed constant sites (overpredicted sites) was found for all models, indicating that even two-site categories could not fully explain the data. (Using empirical base frequencies and a transition/transversion ration of 2.0, the best single-site model (rate ratio, 2:1; probability of each rate, 0.5, 0.5) predicted 787 \pm 39 site versus 1,081 observed sites, and the best two-category model (rate model, 10:1; probability of each rate, 0.8, 0.2) predicted 963 \pm 38 sites versus 1,081 observed sites. All choices of parameters reconstructed trees with monophyletic ecdysozoal and lophotrochozoal clades, although long computation times prevented bootstrap analysis.)

Bootstrap interpretations. Based on empirical studies of bootstrap analyses, they represent highly conservative estimates of phylogenetic accuracy. Typically for maximum parsimony, bootstrap proportions of \geq 70% correspond to a probability of \geq 95% that the respective clade is a historical lineage. For Gambit, the probabilities are slightly less conservative.

T-PTP test. The topology-dependent cladistic permutation tail probability (T-PTP) test determines whether the difference in length between the shortest tree supporting the monophyly of this clade and the shortest tree not supporting monophyly (5 steps difference) is significantly different from the difference in length expected from randomized data. If the difference in length between the monophyly and non-monophyly trees is outside 95% of the distribution based on randomized data, it can be concluded that the data significantly support monophyly of the clade. We used 200 randomized data sets that were analysed by maximum parsimony.

Received 7 November 1996; accepted 3 April 1997.

- Boudreaux, H. B. Arthropod Phylogeny with Special Reference to Insects (Wiley, New York, 1979).
 Raff, R. A., Marshall, C. R. & Turbeville, J. M. Using DNA sequences to unravel the Cambrian
- radiation of the animal phyla. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 25, 351-375 (1994). 3. Eernisse, D. J., Albert, J. S. & Anderson, F. E. Annelida and Arthropoda are not sister taxa: a
- phylogenetic analysis of spiralian metazoan morphology. Syst. Biol. 41, 305–330 (1992).
 Ballard, J. W. et al. Evidence from 12S ribosomal RNA sequences that onychophorans are modified
- arthropods. Science 258, 1345-1348 (1992).
 5. Weygoldt, P. Arthropod relationships: the phylogenetic-systematic approach. Z. Zool. Syst. Evolut.forsch. 24, 19-35 (1986).
- Brusca, R. C. & Brusca, G. J. Invertebrates (Sinauer, Sunderland, MA, 1990).
- Halanych, K. M. et al. Evidence from 18S ribosomal DNA that the lophophorates are protostome animals. Science 267, 1641–1632 (1995).
- Lake, J. A. The order of sequence alignment can bias the selection of tree topology. *Biol. Evol.* 8, 378–385 (1991).
- 9. Stewart, C.-B. The powers and pitfalls of parsimony. Nature 361, 603-607 (1993).
- Winnepenninckx, B. et al. 185 rRNA data indicate that aschelminthes are polyphyletic in origin and consist of at least three distinct clades. Mol. Biol. Evol. 12, 1132–1137 (1995).
- Wright, K. in *Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates* Vol. 4 (eds Harrison, F. W. & Ruppert, E. E.) 111– 195 (Wiley-Liss, New York, 1991).
- Lake, J. A. Reconstructing evolutionary trees from DNA and protein sequences: Paralinear distances. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 91, 1455–1459 (1994).
- Lockhart, P. J., Steel, M. A., Hendy, M. D. & Penny, D. Recovering evolutionary trees under a more realistic model of sequence evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 11, 605–612 (1994).
- 14. Field, K. G. et al. Molecular phylogeny of the animal kingdom. Science 239, 748-753 (1988).

- Hillis, D. M. & Bull, J. J. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst. Biol. 42, 182–192 (1993).
- 16. Nielsen, C. Animal Evolution: Interrelationships of the Living Phyla (Oxford University Press, 1995).
- Gee, H. Before the Backbone: Views on the Origin of the Vertebrates (Chapman & Hall, London, 1996).
 Balavoine, G. The early emergence of plaryhelminths is contradicted by the agreement between 18S rRNA and Hox data. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Life Sci. 320, 83-94 (1997).
- Valentine, J. W. Bilaterians of the Precambran Cambran transition and the annelid–arthropod relationship. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 86, 2272–2275 (1989).
- Patterson, C. The Hierarchy of Life (eds Fernholm, B., Bremer, K. & Jornvall, H.) 471–488 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989).
- Lake, J. A. Origin of the Metazoa. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 87, 763-766 (1990).
 Ruppert, E. E. in Microscopic Anatomy of Invertebrates Vol. 4, (eds Harrison, R. W. & Ruppert, E. E.) 1-17 (Wiley-Liss, New York, 1991).
- de Queiroz, K. & Gauthier, J. Phylogeny as a central principle in taxonomy: Phylogenetic definitions of taxon names. *Syst. Zool.* 39, 307–322 (1990).
- Boore, J. L., Collins, T. M., Stanton, S., Daehier, L. L. & Brown, W. M. Deducing the pattern of arthropod phylogeny from mitochondrial DNA rearrangements. *Nature* 376 163–165 (1995).
- Conway Morris, S. The fossil record and the early evolution of the Metazoa. Nature 361, 219-225 (1993).
- Lake, J. A. Calculating the probability of multitaxon evolutionary trees: Bootstrappers Gambit Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 92, 9662–9666 (1995).
- Goldman, N. Simple diagnostic statistical tests of models for DNA substitution. J. Mol. Evol. 37, 650– 661 (1993).
- Felsenstein, J. & Churchill, G. A. A hidden Markov model approach to variation among sites in rate of evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13, 93–104 (1996).
- Wallace, R. L., Ricci, C. & Malone, G. A cladistic analysis of pseudoceolomate (aschelminth) morphology. *Invert. Biol.* 115, 104–112 (1996).
- Garey, J. R., Krotec, M., Nelson, D. R. & Brooks, J. Molecular analysis supports a Tardigrade-Arthropod association. *Invert. Biol.* 115, 79–88 (1996).

Acknowledgements. We thank C. Marshall for insight and comments, M. Blaxter for nematode DNA; L. Mackey for technical assistance; D. R. Nelson for identifying *Macrobiotus sp.*; and J. Felsenstein for suggestions on how to implement the Goldman Statistical tests. One of us (J.M.T.) did part of this work as a visiting research investigator in the laboratories of R.A.R. and of W. Brown, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. This research was supported by USDA grants to J.R.G., NSF grants to J.A.L., and NIH grants to R.A.R.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.A.L. (e-mail: lake@mbi.ucla.edu).

An ancestral mitochondrial DNA resembling a eubacterial genome in miniature

B. Franz Lang*, Gertraud Burger*, Charles J. O'Kelly†, Robert Cedergren*, G. Brian Golding‡, Claude Lemieux§, David Sankoff5, Monique Turmel§ & Michael W. Gray||

* Département de biochimie et ¶ Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada † Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, P.O. Box 475, McKown Point,

West Boothbay Harbor, Maine 04575, USA

[‡] Department of Biololgy, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada

§ Département de biochimie, Université Laval, Québec, Québec G1K 7P4, Canada || Department of Biochemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4H7, Canada

Mitochondria, organelles specialized in energy conservation reactions in eukaryotic cells, have evolved from eubacteria-like endosymbionts¹⁻³ whose closest known relatives are the rickettsial group of α-proteobacteria^{4,5}. Because characterized mitochondrial genomes vary markedly in structure³, it has been impossible to infer from them the initial form of the proto-mitochondrial genome. This would require the identification of minimally derived mitochondrial DNAs that better reflect the ancestral state. Here we describe such a primitive mitochondrial genome, in the freshwater protozoon Reclinomonas americana⁶. This protist displays ultrastructural characteristics that ally it with the retortamonads^{7,8}, a protozoan group that lacks mitochondria^{8,9}. R. americana mtDNA (69,034 base pairs) contains the largest collection of genes (97) so far identified in any mtDNA, including genes for 5S ribosomal RNA, the RNA component of RNase P, and at least 18 proteins not previously known to be encoded in mitochondria. Most surprising are four genes specifying a multisubunit, eubacterial-type RNA polymerase. Features of gene content together with eubacterial characteristics of genome



Figure 1 Gene map of the Reclinomonas americana mitochondrial genome, with the innermost circle showing the location of HindIII restriction sites. Identified protein-coding genes are listed in Table 1. The open reading frames (ORFs) orf 197 and orf260 are homologous to orf25 (ymf39) and orf244 (ymf16), respectively, in liverwort (Marchantia polymorpha) mtDNA. Three other ORFs (orf64, orf169 and orf717) are unique to Reclinomonas mtDNA. Other genes are rns, small subunit (SSU) rRNA; rnl, large subunit (LSU) rRNA; rrn5, 5S rRNA; rnpB, RNase P RNA. Transfer RNA genes are indicated by the one-letter amino-acid code, with subscripts denoting different genes specific for the same amino acid. Genes (represented by filled rectangles) shown on the outside of the outermost circle are transcribed in a clockwise direction, whereas those on the inside of the circle are transcribed anti-clockwise. Red, protein-coding genes unique to R. americana mtDNA; blue, protein-coding genes absent from vertebrate mtDNAs but generally or occasionally present in plant and protist mitochondrial genomes; green, unique ORFs. A single group II intron (yellow rectangle) is located in the trnW gene.

organization and expression not found before in mitochondrial genomes indicate that *R. americana* mtDNA more closely resembles the ancestral proto-mitochondrial genome than any other mtDNA investigated to date.

Currently, the inferred set of 'proto-mitochondrial genes' comprises 44 protein-coding genes that specify 23 components of complexes I–V of the electron transport chain, 18 mitoribosomal proteins, and 3 proteins involved in cytochrome c_1 biogenesis (Table 1). In addition, mtDNA encodes up to 3 ribosomal RNAs, up to 27 different transfer RNAs, and (rarely) the RNA subunit of mitochondrial RNase P. At present, therefore, a limited set of about 75 genes of assignable function can be traced directly to the proto-mitochondrial genome, by virtue of their presence in at least several, if not most, contemporary mtDNAs.

In order to provide a more comprehensive picture of mitochondrial genome organization and evolution within the unicellular eukaryotes, which make up the bulk of the biological diversity within the eukaryotic lineage, the Organelle Genome Megasequencing Program (OGMP) is systematically determining the complete mtDNA sequences of selected protists. One of the organisms chosen for this analysis is *Reclinomonas americana* (ATCC 50394), a recently described⁶ heterotrophic flagellate. The 'jakobid' assemblage to which *R. americana* has been assigned shares specific