

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

EPIDEMIOLOGY, CLINICAL PRACTICE AND HEALTH

Community-dwelling older men with dementia are at high risk of hip fracture, but not any other fracture: The Concord Health and Aging in Men Project

Benjumin Hsu,^{1,2,3,4*} Kerrin Bleicher,^{3*} Louise M Waite,³ Vasi Naganathan,³ Fiona M Blyth,³ David J Handelsman,² David G Le Couteur,^{2,3} Markus J Seibel² and Robert G Cumming^{2,3,4,5}

¹Center for Big Data Research in Health, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, Australia

²ANZAC Research Institute, University of Sydney and Concord Hospital, Sydney, Australia

³Center for Education and Research on Aging, University of Sydney and Concord Hospital, Sydney, Australia

⁴School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

⁵ARC Center of Excellence in Population Aging Research, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

Correspondence

Dr Benjumin Hsu PhD, Center for Big Data Research in Health, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia.
Email: benjumin.hsu@unsw.edu.au

Received: 27 May 2018

Revised: 3 July 2018

Accepted: 14 July 2018

Aim: The aim of the present longitudinal study of community-dwelling older men was to examine the association between cognitive status at baseline, and falls, fractures and bone loss over time.

Methods: In the Concord Health and Aging in Men Project, 1705 community-dwelling men aged 70–97 years had detailed baseline clinical assessment of cognitive status (dementia, mild cognitive impairment [MCI] and normal cognition), as well as depression, physical activity, neuromuscular function, health status, sociodemographics, comorbidities, medication use and serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D and parathyroid hormone levels. During a mean follow-up period of 6 years, participants were contacted 4-monthly to ascertain incident falls and fractures, the latter being confirmed by radiographic reports. Bone mineral density was measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry at multiple time-points.

Results: At baseline, 120 men were assessed to have MCI and 93 men to have dementia. Over time, there were 162 first incident fractures, including 43 hip and 32 vertebral fractures. In univariate models, baseline dementia, but not MCI, predicted an increased incidence of hip fracture (HR 6.95, 95% CI 3.47–13.96), but not vertebral (HR 2.26, 95% CI 0.79–6.46) or non-hip non-vertebral fracture (HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.27–1.99). The strong risk of hip fractures associated with dementia remained after accounting for potential confounders (HR 4.44, 95% CI 1.97–9.98). In multivariate analyses, dementia (incidence rate ratio 2.26, 95% CI 1.70–2.99), but not MCI, was associated with an increased risk of falls compared with normal cognition. There was no association between baseline dementia and change in bone mineral density.

Conclusions: Older men with dementia, but not MCI, have a greater tendency to fall and sustain hip fractures, but not any other types of fractures. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2018; ••: ••–••.

Keywords: bone loss, dementia, epidemiology, falls, fractures.

Introduction

Both dementia and hip fracture are strongly associated with substantial morbidity and adverse health outcomes, including an increased risk of mortality.^{1,2} Dementia complicates the acute management and care in people with hip fracture, such as increasing hospital length of stay, time to rehabilitation and post-fracture management.³

Several reports from different study populations have shown people with dementia and cognitive impairment have a higher prevalence and risk of hip fracture (see Supplementary references).^{4–6} However, these studies did not consider bone mineral density (BMD). Most studies only examined the relationship between dementia and fractures at the hip, but not at other sites. To date, only two studies reported relationships between dementia and non-hip fractures.^{4,5} These studies were studies of patients hospitalized with fractures and relied entirely on hospital admission data, which might not have correctly captured all dementia diagnoses or non-hip fractures. Furthermore, these two studies

only accounted for age, sex and administrative records of comorbidities, and did not account for other important shared and intermediate risk factors.

The key aims of our longitudinal study of community-dwelling older men were to identify the relationship between baseline cognitive status and: (i) different types of fractures (hip, vertebral and non-hip-non-vertebral) during 6-years; (ii) falls during 2-years; and (iii) change in BMD across three time-points during 5-years of follow up.

Methods

Study participants

The Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project (CHAMP) is an epidemiological study of a wide range of health issues in older Australian men.⁷ The selection of participants has been described in detail elsewhere.⁷ Briefly, 1705 community-dwelling men aged ≥70 years participated at baseline (2005–07), 1367 returned for the 2-year follow up (2007–09) and 958 for the 5-year follow up (2012–13).

*Joint first authors

Cognitive status

At baseline, all participants were screened for cognitive status using the Mini-Mental State Examination⁸ and the short-form Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline.⁹ Participants with a the Mini-Mental State Examination score <27 and/or the short-form Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline >3.6 were invited to have a detailed clinical assessment by a study geriatrician.¹⁰ The diagnosis for dementia, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or normal cognition included a series of standardized reviews and assessment, as described in detail elsewhere.¹¹ Diagnosis and classification of dementia was based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (fourth edition) revised criteria.¹² Participants deemed to have cognitive impairment, but not dementia, were given the diagnosis of MCI.¹³

Fractures

After their baseline assessment, men were contacted by telephone every 4 months to ascertain incident fractures. If a fracture was reported, radiology reports were obtained either from the participant or from hospital medical records and radiology practices. Only first incident fractures confirmed by radiographic reports were included. Pathological fractures, and fractures of hands, fingers, feet, toes and the skull were excluded. All fractures that met the inclusion criteria were included regardless of trauma level.^{14,15} Fractures were categorized into three categories: hip, vertebral and non-hip non-vertebral fracture. Time to censorship was either date of death, date of official withdrawal from the study or date of the last telephone contact. Participants have been followed up for an average of 6 years.

Falls

At the 4-monthly follow-up phone calls, participants were asked whether they had fallen in the preceding 4 months and, if so, how many times they had fallen. Up to 2 years of telephone call cycles from baseline were used for this analysis. Falls data were categorized into one fall, two falls and three or more falls. In addition to identifying the number of falls in 2 years, the number of falls before, but not including the first fall-related fracture, were also identified.

BMD

BMD at the total hip and femoral neck, and lean mass was measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry using a Hologic Discovery-W scanner (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA) at baseline, 2-year and 5-year follow up. The same dual X-ray absorptiometry scanner was used for all scans at the three assessments.

Other measurements

Baseline smoking status (never, ex-smoker, current), the frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption per week, comorbidity, fracture history, and general health status were based on self-report. Depressive symptoms were evaluated by the Geriatric Depression Scale, short-form. Physical activity was measured by the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly questionnaire.

Height and weight were measured in the clinic, and body mass index was calculated as kg/m². Gait speed was measured at the usual pace. A medication inventory was carried out by trained personnel during the baseline clinic visit. Trained staff used a stopwatch to record the time taken to walk 6 m and narrow walk test, which required participants to keep their feet within two lines of tape 20-cm apart.¹⁶ Sarcopenia was defined based on the European Working Group on Sarcopenia criteria of a low appendicular lean mass/height (<7.26 kg/m²) combined with low handgrip strength (<30 kg) and/or low gait speed (≤0.8 m/s).¹⁷ Fasting blood samples were collected from participants on the morning of their clinic visit. Serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D and parathyroid

hormone levels were measured by radioimmunoassay using single-batch reagents (DiaSorin, Stillwater, MN, USA).

Statistical analysis

The baseline descriptive characteristics of study participants by cognitive status (dementia, MCI or normal) were generated, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to test the statistical difference between the three cognitive status groups.

The fall incidence rate ratios (IRR) were estimated using negative binomial regression analysis. This analysis enables adjustment for different follow-up times and the analysis of recurrent events that are not independent of one another.¹⁸ The relative risks of fracture (hip, vertebral and non-hip non-vertebral) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models (hazard ratios [HR]) and 95% confidence intervals [95% CI]. The association between cognitive status and change in BMD across three time-points was estimated using generalized estimating equations.¹⁹ We carried out sensitivity analysis to ensure the fracture was not impacting on the falls model by excluding falls at the time of or after a fracture.

Models were initially unadjusted, then age adjusted and then multivariable adjusted. Age, comorbidity, general health status, smoking status, body mass index, depression, alcohol consumption, physical activity, serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D, parathyroid hormone, psychoactive medications, osteoporosis medication, previous falls and fracture history and BMD were tested for univariate associations with the relevant outcome of interest (falls or fractures). Only covariates that were statistically significant at ($P < 0.05$) in univariate models were entered into the multivariate model: age, comorbidity, osteoporosis medications, Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) depression score, physical activity and hip BMD.

The impact of incident falls, gait speed, inability to do a narrow walk and sarcopenia on fracture risk were added individually into the base multivariate fracture model. Similarly, to explore falls risk, we added the following variables into a base multivariate falls model: inability to do a narrow walk and gait speed. Scores on these variables might be the result of dementia, and their inclusion in the base model might mask other relationships. The inclusion of these respective variables was to explore whether these measurements might explain the observed relationships.

Interaction effects between dementia, covariates and time were tested in all models. Multicollinearity was assessed using the variance inflation factor with a threshold value of 10. Models were fit using SPSS software version 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and SAS software 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Ethics approval

All participants gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Sydney South West Area Health Service Human Research Ethics Committee, Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

Results

Of the 1705 CHAMP men, 164 men had unknown cognitive status because they had low scores on the Mini-Mental State Examination and high scores on the short-form Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline, but were unable to be seen by the study geriatrician. These men were excluded from the final analyses resulting in 1541 men included in the present study. Men with dementia tended to be older, have more comorbidities, have depression, lower BMD, poorer physical function and drink less alcohol than men with MCI or normal cognition (Table 1).

Men with dementia were approximately fourfold more likely to have three or more falls during the first 2-year follow-up period compared with men with MCI and men with normal cognition (Table 2). A greater proportion of men with dementia sustained a

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants according to diagnosed cognitive status at baseline

	Normal (<i>n</i> = 1328)	MCI (<i>n</i> = 120)	Dementia (<i>n</i> = 93)	<i>P</i> -value*
Age (years)	76.4 (5.1)	77.3 (5.2)	80.6 (5.9)	<0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.8 (3.8)	27.4 (3.8)	26.9 (3.0)	0.1
Smoking				
Previous smoker	749 (56%)	66 (55%)	46 (50%)	0.7
Current smoker	71 (5%)	10 (8%)	8 (9%)	
Alcohol (drinks/week)	9.1 (10.6)	6.7 (8.9)	6.7 (9.6)	0.02
No. comorbidities	2.5 (1.7)	2.4 (1.7)	3.0 (1.8)	0.02
Poor health status	364 (28%)	41 (35%)	44 (49%)	<0.001
GDS depression	142 (11%)	22 (19%)	38 (43%)	<0.001
Psychoactive medication	106 (8%)	11 (9%)	12 (13%)	0.2
Osteoporosis medication	147 (11%)	20 (17%)	10 (11%)	0.2
Hip BMD (g/cm ²)	0.94 (0.1)	0.91 (0.1)	0.92 (0.2)	0.01
Total BMD (g/cm ²)	1.04 (0.1)	1.02 (0.1)	1.02 (0.1)	0.1
Previous fracture history	604 (46%)	37 (31%)	36 (39%)	0.004
Vitamin 25D (nmol/L)	56.9 (22.4)	57.2 (21.8)	54.1 (23.4)	0.5
Vitamin 1,25D (pmol/L)	111.1 (65.6)	113.7 (74.1)	103.2 (63.1)	0.1
PTH (pg/mL)	6.1 (2.9)	5.9 (2.3)	5.8 (3.3)	0.7
Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly	130.7 (60.0)	126.9 (60.0)	95.8 (54.1)	<0.001
Gait speed (m/s)	0.90 (0.2)	0.84 (0.2)	0.69 (0.2)	<0.001
Unable narrow walk	347 (26%)	34 (29%)	46 (51%)	<0.001

*Data shown as mean (SD) or *n* (%). Total sample *n* = 1541. *P*-value: ANOVA for continuous variables, and the χ^2 -test for categorical variables. 1,25D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D; 25D, serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D; BMD, bone mineral density; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale, short-form; PTH, parathyroid hormone.

Table 2 Total falls over 2-year follow up and incident fracture over 6-year follow up according to baseline cognitive status

	Normal (<i>n</i> = 1328)	MCI (<i>n</i> = 120)	Dementia (<i>n</i> = 93)
Falls			
1	194 (15%)	21 (18%)	14 (15%)
2	85 (6%)	6 (5%)	4 (4%)
≥3	76 (6%)	10 (8%)	22 (24%)
Fractures			
Hip	29 (2%)	3 (3%)	11 (12%)
Vertebral	26 (2%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)
Non-hip non-vertebral	77 (6%)	7 (6%)	4 (4%)

Data shown as *n* (%). MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

hip fracture, but there was a similar proportion of other fracture types in men with and without dementia (Table 2).

The risk of any fall was greater for men with dementia than men with MCI or normal cognition (Table 3). The unadjusted IRR for falls was 4.13 (95% CI 3.22–5.29) for dementia and 1.09 (95% CI 0.83–1.44) for MCI compared with normal cognition. Dementia remained associated with falls in both the age-adjusted (IRR 4.33, 95% CI 3.35–5.59) and multivariate-adjusted (IRR 2.26, 95% CI 1.70–2.99) models. The findings were similar in sensitivity analyses, which excluded falls at the time of and following any fracture (IRR 3.27, 95% CI 2.23–4.80) in the multivariate model (data not shown).

The greater risk for sustaining a hip fracture in men with dementia than men with MCI or normal cognition is detailed in Table 4 and Figure 1. In unadjusted models, the risk of hip fracture was almost sevenfold greater (HR 6.95, 95% CI 3.47–13.96) and fourfold greater when adjusted for age (HR 4.23, 95% CI

2.00–8.93). Likewise, after accounting for potential confounders, the risk remained fourfold greater for men with dementia (HR 4.44, 95% CI 1.97–9.98). Inclusion of factors that are likely to be caused by dementia including any falls, slower gait speed and inability to do a narrow walk did not significantly attenuate the impact on the relationship between dementia and hip fractures. We further adjusted for sarcopenia in the base multivariate model and observed that sarcopenia did not further attenuate the relationship (data not shown). The findings were similar in sensitivity analyses, which excluded falls after any fracture in the multivariate model (data not shown).

No associations were found between cognitive status and vertebral or non-hip non-vertebral fracture in either unadjusted or multivariate-adjusted models. There were no interaction effects between dementia, covariates and time (data not shown). There were no longitudinal associations between cognitive status and BMD change. Neither dementia nor MCI were associated with

Table 3 Incident rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals of falls over 2-year follow up based on baseline cognitive status

	Unadjusted	Age-adjusted	Multivariate [†]	Multivariate + narrow walk	Multivariate + gait speed
Normal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
MCI	1.09 (0.83–1.44)	1.07 (0.80–1.43)	1.09 (0.80–1.47)	1.03 (0.76–1.42)	0.76 (0.53–1.07)
Dementia	4.13 (3.22–5.29)	4.33 (3.35–5.59)	2.26 (1.70–2.99)	2.13 (1.59–2.84)	1.59 (1.15–2.19)

[†]Multivariate model adjusted for age, number of comorbidities, osteoporosis medications, GDS depression and Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly. MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Table 4 Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for associations between baseline cognitive status and fractures over an average of 6-year follow up

	Unadjusted	Multivariate [†]	Multivariate + falls [‡]	Multivariate + narrow walk	Multivariate + gait speed
Hip					
Normal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
MCI	1.17 (0.36–3.83)	0.91 (0.28–3.00)	0.92 (0.28–3.05)	1.01 (0.31–3.33)	1.08 (0.32–3.61)
Dementia	6.95 (3.47–13.96)	4.44 (1.97–9.98)	4.38 (1.93–9.94)	5.23 (2.33–11.73)	5.64 (2.36–13.48)
Vertebral					
Normal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
MCI	0.85 (0.20–3.60)	0.81 (0.19–3.46)	0.78 (0.18–3.32)	0.88 (0.21–3.75)	0.93 (0.22–4.00)
Dementia	2.26 (0.79–6.46)	1.74 (0.56–5.44)	1.79 (0.56–5.72)	1.33 (0.37–4.82)	2.11 (0.66–6.75)
Non-hip					
Non-vertebral					
Normal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
MCI	1.01 (0.46–2.18)	0.79 (0.34–1.81)	0.77 (0.33–1.77)	0.67 (0.27–1.66)	0.72 (0.29–1.79)
Dementia	0.73 (0.27–1.99)	0.52 (0.16–1.71)	0.47 (0.14–1.56)	0.56 (0.17–1.85)	0.65 (0.20–2.16)

[†]Multivariate model adjusted for age, number of comorbidities, osteoporosis medications, Geriatric Depression Scale depression, Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly and hip bone mineral density. [‡]The age-adjusted results for hip fractures are shown in the text. MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

baseline BMD or changes in BMD across three time-points over 5 years in either unadjusted or multivariate-adjusted models (data not shown).

Discussion

This is a longitudinal population-based study of community-dwelling older men that assesses the associations between cognitive status at baseline, and fractures, falls and change in BMD over time after accounting for a range of potential confounders, including BMD. Dementia conferred a twofold greater risk of falling, but a 4.8-fold greater risk of a hip fracture after accounting for multiple potential confounders. However, there was no association between dementia and the risk of other types of fractures, nor between dementia and change in BMD. MCI did not increase either fracture risk or falls risk. The present findings suggest that older men with dementia might have a greater decline in motor function and impaired neurological reflexes during falls. The fact that there were no associations between dementia and non-hip fractures suggests that it might be the way older men with dementia fall that matters, particularly falls on the side, which result in hip injuries.²⁰

Just two studies have examined the relationship between dementia and non-hip fractures in older adults. Similar to the present findings, the Taiwanese retrospective cohort study of 8448 people aged ≥60 years reported that patients who visited ambulatory care centers or were hospitalized with a diagnosis of dementia were at greater risk of developing hip, but not wrist or vertebral fracture.⁹ Likewise, an Australian study using hospital admission data reported people admitted with a fracture and a secondary diagnosis of dementia were more likely to have a hip fracture than a forearm, wrist or hand fracture.⁴ These two studies and the other studies examining dementia and hip fractures, except for the recent UMEA 85+ study,⁶ were not population-based prospective cohort studies, but instead were retrospective registry-based studies, nested case–control studies, nested interventional studies, residential aged care studies or cross-sectional hospitalization data studies (see Supplementary references).^{4,5}

The present findings in relation to falls are also consistent with a systematic review and meta-analysis showing dementia to be associated with the risk of any falls and recurrent falls in community-dwelling older men.²¹ The lack of observed associations between dementia and non-hip and non-hip non-vertebral fractures in the present study might be due to older men with dementia have a greater decline in motor function and impaired neurological reflexes during falls. Systematic reviews have reported that motor impairments, such as impaired gait, muscular strength and balance, are significant fall risk factors in older adults with

cognitive impairments.²² These impairments might result in reduced opportunities for them to reach their hand or arm out during a fall. Men with normal cognition might have a better response to falls by trying to prevent further injuries with their upper or lower limbs, as opposed to older men with dementia who might simply land on their hips. The orientation of the fall most likely has the greatest impact on sustaining a hip fracture. We were unable to examine specifically whether men with dementia were more likely to have a backward fall resulting in rib or pelvis fracture.

It has been hypothesized that there might be common etiologies between dementia and osteoporosis that both have a similar epidemiology with a marked increase in prevalence in older adults.²³ However, we did not find any associations between dementia and change in BMD over time, which suggests there might not be an independent link. The present findings suggest that dementia might not be associated with BMD loss, but instead might be associated with decline in bone quality. The decline in bone quality is known to result in poor bone strength, which subsequently leads to sustaining hip fractures.²⁴ In addition, this finding suggests that medications used to increase or maintain bone density alone might not be sufficient to lower the risk of hip fractures in older men with dementia.

Falls prevention trials have been widely carried out in community-dwelling older people; however, dementia has been an exclusion criteria for almost all of the interventions.²⁵ A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of three randomized controlled trials has suggested that an exercise program could potentially prevent falls in older adults with dementia.²⁶ The present study suggests that falls prevention programs for older people with dementia should specifically target hip protection. Older men with dementia might have poor protective responses during a fall. The slow reaction times and speed of execution in carrying out protective reactions might be the most problematic in older adults with dementia. Interventions for the prevention of falls-related injuries or hip protectors for preventing hip fractures have mainly focused on cognitively intact older adults.^{27,28} Hence, well-designed randomized controlled trials on the use of hip protectors, improving home safety by installing soft surface flooring and individual tailored exercise programs for older men and women with dementia are warranted.

A major strength of the present study was that we included detailed clinical assessment of cognitive status at baseline, which allowed us to make clinical diagnoses of dementia and MCI. We also confirmed all fractures using radiological reports. A further strength of CHAMP was that it includes a large and representative group of older Australian men, as shown by similar sociodemographic and health characteristics in CHAMP men compared with older men in the nationally representative Men in Australia Telephone Survey (MATeS) study.²⁹




Figure 1 Cumulative hazard rates of (a) hip, (b) vertebral and (c) non-hip non-vertebral fracture according to baseline diagnosed cognitive status (dementia, mild cognitive impairment [MCI] or normal).

However, we recorded a 20% loss to follow-up from baseline to 2 years, and a further 30% loss from 2 years to 5 years, which might have caused bias in our BMD analysis. However, loss to follow up in cohort studies of older people is inevitable because of the high mortality rate, which accounted for over one-third of the loss in our cohort over 5 years. Although men were routinely contacted by telephone every 4 months to ascertain any incident, the possibility remains of underreporting and missing some fractures, particularly in those men with dementia. Furthermore, the small sample size in vertebral and non-hip non-vertebral fractures occurred in men with dementia might suggest low statistical power. Finally, the present study was limited to community-dwelling men, and so might not apply to women.

In conclusion, older men with dementia, but not MCI, have an increased risk of falling and sustaining hip fractures, but not any other types of fractures. Intervention studies using innovative strategies are required to prevent falls and falls-related hip injuries in older men with dementia.

Acknowledgement

The work is funded and supported by the NHMRC Project Grant (No. 301916), Sydney Medical School Foundation, and Aging and Alzheimer's Institute.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Cummings SR, Melton LJ. Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 1761–1767.
- Ensrud KE. Epidemiology of fracture risk with advancing age. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2013; **68**: 1236–1242.
- Menzies IB, Mendelson DA, Kates SL, Friedman SM. Prevention and clinical management of hip fractures in patients with dementia. *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil* 2010; **1**: 63–72.
- Harvey L, Mitchell R, Brodaty H, Draper B, Close J. Differing trends in fall-related fracture and non-fracture injuries in older people with and without dementia. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2016; **67**: 61–67.
- Wang HK, Hung CM, Lin SH *et al*. Increased risk of hip fractures in patients with dementia: a nationwide population-based study. *BMC Neurol* 2014; **14**: 175.
- Wiklund R, Toots A, Conradsson M *et al*. Risk factors for hip fracture in very old people: a population-based study. *Osteoporos Int* 2016; **27**: 923–931.
- Cumming RG, Handelsman D, Seibel MJ *et al*. Cohort profile: the concord health and ageing in men project (CHAMP). *Int J Epidemiol* 2009; **38**: 374–378.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975; **12**: 189–198.

- 9 Jorm AF. A short form of the informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly (IQCODE): development and cross-validation. *Psychol Med* 1994; **24**: 145–153.
- 10 Mackinnon A, Khalilian A, Jorm AF, Korten AE, Christensen H, Mulligan R. Improving screening accuracy for dementia in a community sample by augmenting cognitive testing with informant report. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2003; **56**: 358–366.
- 11 Hsu B, Cumming RG, Waite LM *et al.* Longitudinal relationships between reproductive hormones and cognitive decline in older men: the concord health and ageing in men project. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015; **100**: 2223–2230.
- 12 McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 1984; **34**: 939–944.
- 13 Peterson R. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med* 2004; **256**: 183–194.
- 14 Mackey DC, Lui LY, Cawthon PM *et al.* High-trauma fractures and low bone mineral density in older women and men. *JAMA* 2007; **298**: 2381–2388.
- 15 Sanders KM, Pasco JA, Ugoni AM *et al.* The exclusion of high trauma fractures may underestimate the prevalence of bone fragility fractures in the community: the Geelong Osteoporosis Study. *J Bone Miner Res* 1998; **13**: 1337–1342.
- 16 Simonsick EM, Newman AB, Nevitt MC *et al.* Measuring higher level physical function in well-functioning older adults: expanding familiar approaches in the Health ABC study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001; **56**: M644–M649.
- 17 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM *et al.* Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in older people. *Age Ageing* 2010; **39**: 412–423.
- 18 Robertson MC, Campbell AJ, Herbison P. Statistical analysis of efficacy in falls prevention trials. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005; **60**: 530–534.
- 19 Ziegler A, Kastner C, Blettner M. The generalised estimating equations: an annotated bibliography. *Biom J* 1998; **40**: 115–139.
- 20 Cumming RG, Klineberg RJ. Fall frequency and characteristics and the risk of hip fractures. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1994; **42**: 774–778.
- 21 Muir SW, Gopaul K, Montero Odasso MM. The role of cognitive impairment in fall risk among older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing* 2012; **41**: 299–308.
- 22 Harlein J, Dassen T, Halfens RJ, Heinze C. Fall risk factors in older people with dementia or cognitive impairment: a systematic review. *J Adv Nurs* 2009; **65**: 922–933.
- 23 Downey CL, Young A, Burton EF *et al.* Dementia and osteoporosis in a geriatric population: is there a common link? *World J Orthop* 2017; **8**: 412–423.
- 24 Nanes MS, Kallen CB. Osteoporosis. *Semin Nucl Med* 2014; **44**: 439–450.
- 25 Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ *et al.* Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012: CD007146.
- 26 Burton E, Cavalheri V, Adams R *et al.* Effectiveness of exercise programs to reduce falls in older people with dementia living in the community: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Interv Aging* 2015; **10**: 421–434.
- 27 McClure R, Turner C, Peel N, Spinks A, Eakin E, Hughes K. Population-based interventions for the prevention of fall-related injuries in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005: CD004441.
- 28 Santesso N, Carrasco-Labra A, Brignardello-Petersen R. Hip protectors for preventing hip fractures in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014: CD001255.
- 29 Holden CA, McLachlan RI, Pitts M *et al.* Men in Australia Telephone Survey (MATEs): a national survey of the reproductive health and concerns of middle-aged and older Australian men. *Lancet* 2005; **366**: 218–224.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's website:

File S1. Supplementary references of reports from different study populations examining dementia and hip fractures.

How to cite this article: Hsu B, Bleicher K, Waite LM, *et al.* Community-dwelling older men with dementia are at high risk of hip fracture, but not any other fracture: The Concord Health and Aging in Men Project. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* 2018;1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.13516>