# JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL & ENGINEERING DATA

# Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of Binary Mixtures Containing 2-Butanol and Hydrocarbons at 313.15 K

Aouicha Belabbaci,<sup>†</sup> Rosa M. Villamañán,<sup>‡</sup> Latifa Negadi,<sup>†</sup> and M. Carmen Martín<sup>\*,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>LATA2M, Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et Modélisation Moléculaire, University AbouBekr Belkaid of Tlemcen, P.O. Box 119, Tlemcen 13000, Algeria

<sup>‡</sup>Grupo de Termodinámica y Calibración (TERMOCAL), Departamento Ingeniería Energética y Fluido Mecánica, ETS de Ingenieros Industriales, Universidad de Valladolid, E-47071 Valladolid, Spain

**ABSTRACT:** The paper reports the isothermal vapor—liquid equilibria (VLE) of five binary mixtures containing 2-butanol + heptane, + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, + dodecane, + cyclohexane, and + methylbenzene at 313.15 K. A static technique has been used for the measurements. Data reduction by Barker's method provides the parameters of  $G^{\rm E}$  models. The six-parameter Margules equation, Wilson, nonrandom two-liquid (NRTL), and universal quasichemical activity coefficient (UNIQUAC) models have been used for the correlation of the experimental data. Good results have been obtained for all. The five investigated mixtures exhibit a positive deviation from ideality, and four of them show a maximum pressure azeotrope. Only the mixture 2-butanol + dodecane does not present an azeotrope.

# ■ INTRODUCTION

Ethers and alcohols are usually added to gasoline to improve their combustion and reduce pollution. Phase equilibrium data of oxygenated mixtures are important for predicting the vaporphase composition that would be in equilibrium with hydrocarbon mixtures.

The first studies were focused on different ethers MTBE (methyl *tert*-butyl ether), DIPE (di-isopropyl ether), and TAME (*tert*-amyl methyl ether), and then we continue with biocompounds, those of vegetable origin, that can be considered as biofuels, ETBE (ethyl *tert*-butyl ether), or alcohols such as propanol isomers or 1-pentanol. As an example only a few papers are referenced.<sup>1–8</sup>

In this paper, the vapor-liquid equilibria (VLE) data are reported for the binary systems 2-butanol + heptane, + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, + dodecane, + cyclohexane, and + methylbenzene at 313.15 K. In a recent paper,<sup>9</sup> the VLE data of the same hydrocarbons with 1-butanol at 313.15 K have been reported.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** 2-Butanol was an anhydrous Sigma-Aldrich product with a purity of >0.999; heptane and cyclohexane were supplied from Fluka Chemie and were of highest purity available, with a purity 0.998 and >0.999 (by gas chromatog-raphy, GC) respectively. Methylbenzene was an anhydrous Aldrich product with a purity >0.999; the other compounds (2,2,4-trimethylpentane and dodecane) were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich with a purity of >0.997. All liquids were thoroughly degassed before measurements by a modified distillation method based on the one suggested by Van Ness



and Abbott<sup>10</sup> and kept in glass balloons equipped with leakproof valves. In Table 1, the vapor pressures of the pure constituents measured in this work are compared with those reported in the literature as a check for complete degassing.

**Apparatus and Procedure.** A static VLE apparatus, consisting of an isothermal total pressure cell, has been employed for measuring the vapor—liquid equilibrium of the binary mixtures. The apparatus has been described in previous papers.<sup>1,2</sup>

The sample injectors were two 100 cm<sup>3</sup> positive displacement pumps (Ruska, model 2200-801) with a resolution of 0.01 cm<sup>3</sup> and an estimated total uncertainty of  $\pm$  0.03 cm<sup>3</sup>. These allowed the injection of known volumes of the pure components, previously degassed, into the cell which was immersed in a high precision water bath (Hart Scientific model 6020), assuring a stability of  $\pm$  0.5 mK when thermostatted at 313.15 K.

The cell was a cylindrical stainless steel piece with a capacity of about 180 cm<sup>3</sup> fitted with a magnetic stirrer coupled to an external drive. An initial volume of about 50 cm<sup>3</sup> of one component was injected into the evacuated cell, and the vapor pressure was measured. Successive injections of a second component were made over a desired composition range until the cell was nearly full. The total mass injected was determined accurately from the volumetric displacement of the pistons, the temperature of the injectors, and the known densities for the

```
Received:December 7, 2011Accepted:February 16, 2012Published:February 24, 2012
```

ACS Publications © 2012 American Chemical Society

Table 1. Average Values of Experimental Vapor Pressures  $(P_i^{\text{sat}})$  for the Pure Compounds Measured in This Work and Literature Values  $(P_i^{\text{sat}} (\text{lit}))$ , Molar Volumes of Pure Liquids  $(V_i^{\text{L}})$ , van der Waals Molecular Volumes  $(r_i)$ , Surfaces  $(q_i)$ , and Second Virial Coefficients  $(B_{ii}, B_{ij})$  Calculated by the Method of Hayden and O'Connell<sup>14</sup> at T = 313.15 K Used for the Calculations

compound	2-butanol $(i = 1)$	heptane $(i = 2)$	2,2,4-trimethylpentane $(i = 3)$	dodecane $(i = 4)$	cyclohexane $(i = 5)$	methyl benzene $(i = 6)$
$P_i^{\rm sat}/{\rm kPa}$	6.058	12.326	12.986	0.059	24.629	7.877
$P_i^{\rm sat}({ m lit})/{ m kPa}$	6.055 <sup>a</sup>	$12.323^{d}$	12.966 <sup>f</sup>	0.054 <sup>f</sup>	24.632 <sup>f</sup>	7.894 <sup>g</sup>
	6.048 <sup>b</sup>	12.331 <sup>e</sup>	12.981 <sup>h</sup>	$0.059^{k}$	24.630 <sup>i</sup>	$7.897^{i}$
	6.017 <sup>c</sup>	12.335 <sup><i>f</i></sup>	$12.973^{i}$	0.053 <sup>1</sup>	24.625 <sup>m</sup>	7.875°
		12.334 <sup>g</sup>	12.960 <sup>j</sup>		24.560 <sup>n</sup>	$7.880^{p}$
$V_i^{ m L}/({ m cm}^3{ m \cdot mol}^{-1})^q$	94	150	169	232	111	109
$B_{i1}/\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-3241.8	-1424.3	-1480.3	- 2864.0	-1143.7	-1311.8
$B_{i2}/\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-1424.3	-2521.3				
$B_{i3}/\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-1480.3		-2716.0			
$B_{i4}/\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-2864.0			-16442.0		
$B_{i5}/\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-1143.7				-1554.6	
$B_{i6}/\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-1311.8					-2105.7
$r_i^r$	3.9235	5.1742	5.8463	8.5462	4.0464	3.9228
$q_i^r$	3.664	4.396	5.008	7.096	3.240	2.968

<sup>*a*</sup>Reference 4. <sup>*b*</sup>Reference 20. <sup>*c*</sup>Reference 21. <sup>*d*</sup>Reference 22. <sup>*e*</sup>Reference 3. <sup>*f*</sup>Calculated from the Antoine equation using constants reported in ref 23. <sup>*g*</sup>Reference 24. <sup>*h*</sup>Calculated from the Antoine equation using constants reported in ref 25. <sup>*i*</sup>Reference 5. <sup>*j*</sup>Reference 26. <sup>*k*</sup>Adjustment of data from ref 27 using the Antoine equation. <sup>*l*</sup>Calculated from the Antoine equation using constants reported in NIST (coefficients calculated by NIST from ref 28). <sup>*m*</sup>Reference 29. <sup>*n*</sup>Reference 30. <sup>*o*</sup>Reference 31. <sup>*q*</sup>Reference 31. <sup>*q*</sup>Reference 23. <sup>*r*</sup>Calculated from ref 32.

Table 2. Experimental Total Pressure (	(P/kPa) for the Binary Systems	at $T = 313.15$ K at Various	Compositions of the Liquid
Phase $(x_1)$ and the Calculated Compo	sitions of the Vapor Phase $(y_1)$	Using the Six-Parameter M	argules Equation

$x_1$	$y_1$	P/kPa	$x_1$	$y_1$	P/kPa	$x_1$	$y_1$	P/kPa	$x_1$	$y_1$	P/kPa
		2-Butanol (1)	+ Heptane(2	)				2-Butanol (1) + Dodecane (2)			
0.0000	0.0000	12.327	0.4999	0.3063	14.457	0.3489	0.9886	4.498	0.7504	0.9923	5.324
0.0492	0.1591	14.175	0.5500	0.3180	14.277	0.3995	0.9891	4.631	0.8008	0.9929	5.422
0.1001	0.2007	14.612	0.5498	0.3180	14.283	0.4102	0.9893	4.658	0.8508	0.9936	5.534
0.1477	0.2189	14.758	0.6001	0.3311	14.060	0.4485	0.9896	4.752	0.9003	0.9947	5.667
0.1989	0.2337	14.832	0.6004	0.3313	14.061	0.4488	0.9896	4.763	0.9505	0.9966	5.837
0.2505	0.2475	14.848	0.6502	0.3465	13.789	0.4990	0.9900	4.875	1.0000	1.0000	6.055
0.2996	0.2601	14.826	0.7005	0.3654	13.431		2	Butanol (1)	+ Cyclohexan	ne (2)	
0.3397	0.2700	14.788	0.7571	0.3931	12.891	0.0000	0.0000	24.623	0.5004	0.1741	24.093
0.3998	0.2840	14.696	0.8004	0.4215	12.334	0.0498	0.0844	26.004	0.5502	0.1837	23.537
0.3999	0.2841	14.694	0.8505	0.4682	11.464	0.0999	0.1063	26.172	0.5506	0.1838	23.537
0.4495	0.2951	14.588	0.9003	0.5420	10.259	0.1501	0.1166	26.134	0.6001	0.1951	22.862
0.4500	0.2952	14.581	0.9501	0.6784	8.544	0.2002	0.1248	26.021	0.6006	0.1952	22.862
0.4993	0.3062	14.453	1.0000	1.0000	6.061	0.2499	0.1329	25.835	0.6508	0.2093	22.028
	2-Buta	nol (1) + 2,2,4-	Trimethylpen	tane (2)		0.3002	0.1411	25.586	0.7009	0.2270	21.001
0.0000	0.0000	12.962	0.5003	0.2970	14.927	0.3500	0.1492	25.295	0.7508	0.2503	19.716
0.0494	0.1486	14.744	0.5497	0.3085	14.739	0.4000	0.1573	24.953	0.8007	0.2826	18.105
0.1001	0.1900	15.171	0.5506	0.3087	14.738	0.4003	0.1573	24.933	0.8504	0.3305	16.089
0.1493	0.2094	15.323	0.5954	0.3202	14.528	0.4502	0.1655	24.554	0.9001	0.4102	13.498
0.1997	0.2243	15.380	0.5997	0.3214	14.509	0.4503	0.1655	24.553	0.9497	0.5665	10.221
0.2494	0.2378	15.384	0.6519	0.3373	14.204	0.5002	0.1741	24.080	1.0000	1.0000	6.057
0.2988	0.2506	15.352	0.7006	0.3553	13.832		2-	Butanol (1) +	Methvlbenze	ene (2)	
0.3493	0.2630	15.290	0.7506	0.3788	13.344	0.0000	0.0000	7.876	0.4993	0.4220	10.028
0.3992	0.2745	15.198	0.8007	0.4106	12.672	0.0494	0.1607	8.994	0.5484	0.4420	9.937
0.4003	0.2748	15.200	0.8508	0.4566	11.765	0.0986	0.2.3.39	9.528	0.5495	0.4424	9.948
0.4496	0.2857	15.077	0.9002	0.5296	10.505	0.1492	0.2738	9.801	0.5985	0.4631	9.839
0.4504	0.2859	15.076	0.9507	0.0090	8.009	0.1991	0.2992	9.952	0.5996	0.4635	9.843
0.4997	0.2908	14.920	1.0000 Dedeeme (2	1.0000	0.058	0.2485	0.3198	10.037	0.6491	0.4864	9.705
0.0000	0.0000	2-Butanoi (1) 4		0.0001	1 967	0.2985	0.3398	10.089	0.6990	0.5129	9.526
0.0000	0.0000	2 449	0.5008	0.9901	4.007	0.3486	0.3601	10,104	0.7492	0.5450	9,290
0.0300	0.9700	3 208	0.5551	0.9903	4 073	0.3983	0.3806	10.097	0.8002	0.5848	8,980
0.1000	0.9827	3.601	0.5551	0.9903	5 073	0.3987	0.3808	10.102	0.8498	0.6324	8,592
0.1989	0.9861	3 901	0.6008	0.9909	5.073	0.4485	0.4013	10.069	0.9016	0.6964	8.072
0.2489	0.9871	4.138	0.6504	0.9913	5.149	0.4487	0.4014	10.077	0.9501	0.7867	7,399
0.2986	0.9879	4.331	0.7009	0.9918	5,232	0 4983	0.4216	10.014	1 0000	1 0000	6.060
0.2700	0.7077	1.001	0.7007	0.7710	5.202	0.7703	0.7210	10.017	1.0000	1.0000	0.000

Table 3. Parameters of the Models Used for the Binary Systems at T = 313.15 K together with the Root-Mean-Square Deviation of Pressure (rms  $\Delta P$ ), Maximum Value of Deviation (max  $\Delta P$ ), Azeotropic Mole Fractions of 1-Butanol ( $x_{1,az}$ ), and Azeotropic Pressure ( $P_{az}$ )

	Margules (6p)	Margules (4p)	Margules (3p)	Wilson	NRTL	UNIQUAC				
	2-Butanol (1) + Heptane (2)									
$A_{12}$	2.6541	2.4677	2.2904	0.1558	1.0956	1.2535				
$A_{21}$	1.6683	1.6254	1.7716	0.4576	1.8927	0.4450				
$\lambda_{12}$	4.0377	2.0794	1.2180							
$\lambda_{21}$	0.9459	0.5380	1.2180							
n12	4.7641									
n <sub>21</sub>	1.1760									
.121 α	111,00				0 5705					
rms AP/kPa	0.005	0.037	0.087	0.086	0.044	0 199				
$\Delta P/kPa$	0.008	0.115	0.295	0.263	0.139	0.550				
	0.2505	0.2505	0.255	0.205	0.159	0.350				
∧ <sub>1,az</sub>	14.940	0.2303	14.954	0.2303	14.965	0.2303				
$P_{\rm az}/\rm KPa$	14.849	14.8/1	14.854 • 2.2.4 Trimethalmentens (	14.819	14.805	14./42				
	2 (012	2-Butanol (1)	+ 2,2,4-1 rimethylpentane (	2)	1 1022	1 2250				
A <sub>12</sub>	2.6043	2.4239	2.2544	0.1670	1.1033	1.3359				
A <sub>21</sub>	1.6/40	1.6345	1.7/14	0.4509	1.8436	0.4098				
$\lambda_{12}$	3.8907	2.0047	1.1859							
$\lambda_{21}$	0.9314	0.5526	1.1859							
$\eta_{12}$	4.5735									
$\eta_{21}$	1.1009									
α					0.5747					
rms $\Delta P/kPa$	0.007	0.036	0.086	0.091	0.045	0.209				
max $\Delta P/kPa$	0.024	0.113	0.283	0.268	0.140	0.548				
$x_{1,az}$	0.2494	0.2494	0.2494	0.2494	0.2494	0.2494				
P <sub>az</sub> /kPa	15.389	15.409	15.383	15.343	15.400	15.248				
		2-Butano	ol (1) + Dodecane (2)							
$A_{12}$	2.6696	2.4885	2.3656	0.1997	1.6724	1.4220				
A21	2.2335	2.1082	2.2824	0.2818	1.8515	0.3713				
λ12	4.1729	2.2759	1.7313							
12	1.7678	0.9060	1.7313							
n <sub>21</sub>	5 3987		11/010							
712 n	1 1462									
721 0	1.1402				0 5644					
rmc AD/lrDo	0.010	0.040	0.062	0.102	0.030	0.200				
$\Delta I / KI a$	0.014	0.040	0.002	0.105	0.105	0.209				
$\max \Delta P/\kappa Pa$	0.014	0.095 2 Postor el	$(1) \leftarrow C = 1 = 1 = 1 = 2$	0.289	0.105	0.039				
	2 (5 1)	2-Butanol	(1) + Cyclonexane $(2)$	0 12 42	0.021/	1 1071				
A <sub>12</sub>	2.6546	2.4469	2.2407	0.1242	0.8316	1.19/1				
A <sub>21</sub>	1.3/13	1.3289	1.5294	0.5994	1.9527	0.4829				
$\lambda_{12}$	4.2567	2.0853	1.1142							
$\lambda_{21}$	0.6122	0.2743	1.1142							
$\eta_{12}$	5.3261									
$\eta_{21}$	0.8543									
α					0.5718					
rms $\Delta P/kPa$	0.007	0.081	0.202	0.117	0.095	0.313				
max $\Delta P/kPa$	0.009	0.174	0.412	0.224	0.182	0.546				
$x_{1,az}$	0.0999	0.0999	0.0999	0.0999	0.0999	0.0999				
$P_{\rm az}/{\rm kPa}$	26.166	26.052	25.829	25.972	26.033	25.666				
		2-Butanol	(1) + Methylbenzene (2)							
$A_{12}$	1.8516	1.8649	1.8726	0.2851	0.4534	0.8928				
$A_{21}$	1.7836	1.6109	1.6038	0.5109	0.3398	0.7907				
$\lambda_{12}$	0.8365	1.1855	1.2254							
λ <sub>21</sub>	3.1796	1.2626	1.2254							
$\eta_{12}$	-1.6355									
n <sub>21</sub>	5,4730									
α	0.1700				0.7263					
rms AP/bPa	0.006	0.022	0.021	0.079	0.022	0 1 1 0				
$\Delta D/l_{r}D_{2}$	0.000	0.040	0.064	0.252	0.077	0 210				
max 🕰 r / Kr'd	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.232	0.077	0.010				
$\mathcal{L}_{1,az}$	10.105	0.3400	0.3400	0.3763	10.104	0.3703				
P <sub>az</sub> /KPa	10.105	10.11/	10.116	10.122	10.106	10.12/				



Figure 1. Pressure residuals defined as differences between experimental and calculated pressures using the six-parameter Margules equation as a function of the liquid composition  $(x_1)$  for the system 2-butanol (1) + heptane (2).

pure components. This resulted in uncertainties in mole fraction of  $\pm$  0.0001.

Experimental values of total vapor pressure for the binary mixtures were obtained in two overlapping runs starting from opposite ends of the composition range.

The temperature was measured with a calibrated platinum resistance thermometer (SDL model 5385/100) and an ac resistance bridge (ASL model F250) with a resolution of 1 mK and an estimated overall uncertainty of  $\pm$  10 mK. The measurement of pressure was done indirectly through a differential pressure cell and indicator (Ruska models 2413-705 and 2416-11, respectively). Air was used on the reference side of the differential pressure cell, and the pressure required to obtain a null indication was measured with a fused quartz Bourdon pressure gauge (Texas Instruments model 801). The overall uncertainty of the pressure was estimated to be  $\pm$  5 Pa.

### RESULTS AND CORRELATIONS

Data reduction for the binary was done by Barker's method<sup>11</sup> according to well-established procedures.<sup>12,13</sup>

The nonideality of the vapor phase was taken into account with the virial equation of state, truncated after the second term. The pure component and interaction second virial coefficients  $(B_{ij})$  were calculated by the Hayden and O'Connell method<sup>14</sup> using the parameters given by Dymond and Smith.<sup>15</sup>

Binary systems have been correlated by the up to six-parameter Margules equation:  $^{16}$ 

$$G^{E}/RT = [A_{ji}x_i + A_{ij}x_j - (\lambda_{ji}x_i + \lambda_{ij}x_j)x_ix_j + (\eta_{ji}x_i + \eta_{ij}x_j)x_i^2x_j^2]x_ix_j$$
(1)

where parameters  $A_{ij}$ ,  $A_{ji}$ ,  $\lambda_{jj}$ ,  $\eta_{ij}$ , and  $\eta_{ji}$  were obtained by regression of the binary data. Also the Wilson,<sup>17</sup> NRTL,<sup>18</sup> and UNIQUAC<sup>19</sup> models have been used for fitting the binary

systems, whose expressions for the excess Gibbs energy are given by

$$G^{E}/RT = -\sum_{i} x_{i} \ln(\sum_{j} x_{j} A_{ij})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$G^{\rm E}/RT = \sum_{i} x_i (\sum_{j} A_{ji} G_{ji} x_j / \sum_{k} G_{ki} x_k)$$
(3)

$$G^{E}/RT = \sum_{i} x_{i} \ln(\varphi_{i}/x_{i}) + (z/2) \sum_{i} q_{i}x_{i} \ln(\vartheta_{i}/q_{i}) - \sum_{i} q_{i}x_{i} \ln(\sum_{j} \vartheta_{j}A_{ji})$$
(4)

where  $G_{ji} = \exp(-\alpha_{ji}A_{ji})$ ;  $\alpha_{ji} = 0.3$ ;  $\vartheta_i = q_i x_i / \sum_j q_j x_j$ ;  $\varphi_i = r_i x_i / \sum_j r_j x_j$  and z = 10.

The adjustable parameters are  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ji}$  and also  $\alpha_{ji}$  of the NRTL model.

Table 2 gives experimental values of total pressure and the corresponding compositions of the liquid and vapor phases for the five binary systems; the vapor phase composition was calculated using the six-parameter Margules equation.

The results of data correlation for all of the binary systems are summarized in Table 3. This table contains the adjustable parameter values, the root-mean-square of the difference between the experimental and the calculated pressures (rms  $\Delta P$ ), and the maximum value of these pressure residuals (max  $\Delta P$ ) (both are indicators of the quality of the agreement with data), which have been obtained, using Barker's method, for the different models.

As an example, Figure 1 reports the plot of the pressure residuals  $(P_{exp} - P_{calc})$  as a function of the liquid mole fraction of the alcohol  $(x_1)$  for the binary system (2-butanol (1) + *n*-heptane (2)), where the pressures were calculated using the sixparameter Margules equation; it can be seen how the residuals scatter around the zero value. The same behavior has been observed for the other investigated binary mixtures.

### Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data

### DISCUSSION

The six-parameter Margules equation leads to the best correlation results for the binary systems measured in this work: the root-mean-square deviation (rms) of the pressure is 5 Pa for (2-butanol + heptane), 7 Pa for (2-butanol + 2,2,4trimethylpentane), 10 Pa for (2-butanol + dodecane), 7 Pa for (2-butanol + cyclohexane), and 6 Pa for (2-butanol + methylbenzene), and the maximum deviations are (8, 24, 14, 9, and 8) Pa, respectively. The other models give higher values of the root-mean-square deviations, and the NRTL model with three parameters gives the best results of them with values of the rms pressure deviations of 44 Pa for (2-butanol + heptane), 45 Pa for (2-butanol +2,2,4-trimethylpentane), 39 Pa for (2butanol + dodecane), 95 Pa for (2-butanol + cyclohexane), and 22 Pa for (2-butanol + methylbenzene). For the binary mixture (2-butanol + cyclohexane), there are some data in the literature<sup>30</sup> which give lower values for the pressure than the calculated by the six-parameter Margules equation, and the rms pressure residual is 548 Pa; also the pure cyclohexane vapor pressure is lower than the values of this work and the literature as is shown in Table 1.

All of the investigated systems show an azeotropic behavior except (2-butanol + dodecane) as have been represented in Figures 2



**Figure 2.** Total pressure at T = 313.15 K for the binary systems as a function of the liquid  $(x_1)$  and vapor composition  $(y_1)$ : O, 2-butanol (1) + heptane (2); \*, 2-butanol (1) + dodecane (2);  $\diamondsuit$ , 2-butanol (1) + methylbenzene (2). Symbols represent the experimental points; lines are the calculations using the six-parameter Margules equation.

and 3. The azeotropic molar fractions of 2-butanol  $(x_{1,az})$  and the azeotropic pressure  $(P_{az})$  calculated by the different models at T = 313.15 K are reported in Table 3. The systems present a maximum pressure azeotrope, and the highest effect is obtained for the mixture containing cyclohexane.

Finally, the excess molar Gibbs energy  $(G^{E})$  for the binary systems has been calculated. Figure 4 shows the values of  $G^{E}$ , calculated by the six-parameter Margules equation, as a function

25.000

*P*/kPa



**Figure 3.** Total pressure at I = 313.15 K of the binary systems as a function of the liquid  $(x_1)$  and vapor composition  $(y_1)$ :  $\blacktriangle$ , 2-butanol (1) + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (2);  $\blacksquare$ , 2-butanol (1) + cyclohexane (2). Symbols represent the experimental points; lines are the calculations using the six-parameter Margules equation.



**Figure 4.** Excess molar Gibbs energy calculated using the sixparameter Margules equation as a function of the molar fraction of the liquid phase  $(x_1)$  for binary systems at T = 313.15 K: --, 2-butanol (1) + heptane; ---, 2-butanol (1) + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (2); ----, 2-butanol (1) + dodecane; ---, 2-butanol (1) + cyclohexane; --, 2-butanol (1) + benzene.

of the liquid mole fraction. The five binary systems measured exhibit a high positive deviation from ideality. As expected, this effect is stronger for 2-butanol (1) + dodecane (2). Indeed, the  $G^{E}$  values for the composition of 2-butanol  $x_{1} = 0.45$  or  $x_{1} = 0.50$  vary with the change of the chain of hydrocarbon: 1246 J·mol<sup>-1</sup> (dodecane) > 1127 J·mol<sup>-1</sup> (heptane) > 1119 J·mol<sup>-1</sup>

 $(2,2,4-\text{trimethylpentane}) > 1052 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} (\text{cyclohexane}) > 935 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} (\text{methylbenzene}); this order of the hydrocarbons is the same than the observed for the mixtures with 1-butanol, which presented slightly higher values of the maximum.<sup>9</sup>$ 

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*Tel.: + 34 983 423756. E-mail: mcarmen.martin@eii.uva.es.

#### Funding

The present work has been done in the framework of the international project AECID/MAEC (Code: C/033908/10). The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) Project ENE2009-14644-C02-01.

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Lozano, L. M.; Montero, E. A.; Martín, M. C.; Villamañán, M. A. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of Binary Mixtures Containing Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) and/or Substitution Hydrocarbons at 298.15 and 313.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1995**, *110*, 219–230.

(2) Segovia, J. J.; Martín, M. C.; Chamorro, C. R.; Villamañán, M. A. Excess Thermodynamics Functions for Ternary Systems Containing Fuel Oxygenates and Substitution Hydrocarbons. 1. Total-Pressure Data and  $G^E$  for Methyl tert-Butyl Ether-Benzene-Cyclohexane. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1997**, *133*, 163–172.

(3) Segovia, J. J.; Martín, M. C.; Chamorro, C. R.; Villamañán, M. A. Thermodynamics of Octane-Enhancing Additives in Gasolines: Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium of the Ternary Mixtures Methyl *tert*-Butyl Ether + Heptane + Benzene and Methyl *tert*-Butyl Ether + Benzene + 1-Hexene at 313.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **1998**, 43, 1014–1020.

(4) Villamañán, R. M.; Martín, M. C.; Chamorro, C. R.; Segovia, J. J. Vapor Liquid Equilibrium of Binary and Ternary Mixtures Containing Isopropyl Ether, 2-Butanol, and Benzene at T = 313.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2006**, 51, 148–152.

(5) Chamorro, C. R.; Martín, M. C.; Villamañán, M. A.; Segovia, J. J. Characterization and Modeling of a Gasoline Containing 1,1-Dimethylethyl Methyl Ether (MTBE), Diisopropyl Ether (DIPE) or 1,1-Dimethylpropyl Methyl Ether (TAME) as Fuel Oxygenate Based on New Isothermal Binary Vapour–Liquid Data. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2004**, *220*, 105–112.

(6) Segovia, J. J.; Villamañán, R. M.; Martín, M. C.; Chamorro, C. R.; Villamañán, M. A. Thermodynamic characterization of bio-fuels: Excess functions for binary mixtures containing ETBE and hydrocarbons. *Energy* **2010**, *35*, 759–763.

(7) Villamañán, R. M.; Segovia, J. J.; Martín, M. C.; Vega-Maza, D.; Chamorro, C. R.; Villamañán, M. A. Thermodynamics of Fuels with a Bio-Synthetic Component (IV): (Vapor + Liquid) Equilibrium Data for the Ternary Mixture (Ethyl 1,1-Dimethylethyl Ether + 1-Hexene + Toluene) at T = 313.15 K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. **2009**, *41*, 189–192.

(8) Moreau, A.; Martín, M. C.; Chamorro, C. R.; Segovia, J. J. Thermodynamic Characterization of Second Generation Biofuels: Vapor-Liquid Equilibria and Excess Enthalpies of The Binary Mixtures 1-Pentanol and Cyclohexane or Toluene. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2012**, *317*, 127–131.

(9) Belabbaci, A.; Villamañán, R. M.; Negadi, L.; Martín, M. C.; Ait Kaci, A.; Villamañán, M. A. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of Binary Mixtures Containing 1-Butanol and Hydrocarbons at 313.15 K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2012**, *57*, 114–119.

(10) Van Ness, H. C.; Abbott, M. M. A Procedure for Rapid Degassing of Liquid. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 1978, 17, 66–67.

(11) Barker, J. A. Determination of Activity Coefficients from Total Pressure Measurements. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1953**, *6*, 207–210.

(12) Abbott, M. M.; Van Ness, H. C. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium: Part III. Data Reduction with Precise Expressions for  $G^{E}$ . AIChE J. 1975, 21, 62–71.

(13) Abbott, M. M.; Floess, J. K.; Walsh, G. E. Jr.; Van Ness, H. C. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium: Part IV. Reduction of *P*-*x* Data for Ternary Systems. *AIChE J.* **1975**, *21*, 72–76.

(14) Hayden, J. G.; O'Connell, J. P. A Generalized Method for Predicting Second Virial Coefficients. Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev. 1975, 14, 209–216.

(15) Dymond, J. H.; Smith, E. B. The Virial Coefficients of Pure Gases and Mixtures- A Critical Compilation; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1980.
(16) Margules, M. Akad. Wiss. Wien, Math. Naturwiss. 1895, 104, 1243

(17) Wilson, G. M. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium. XI: A New Expression for the Excess Free Energy of Mixing. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1964**, 86, 127–130.

(18) Renon, H.; Prausnitz, J. M. Local Compositions in Thermodynamic Excess Functions for Liquid Mixtures. *AIChE J.* **1968**, *14*, 135–144.

(19) Abrams, D. S.; Prausnitz, J. M. Statistical Thermodynamics of Liquid Mixtures: A New Expression for the Excess Gibbs Energy of Partly or Completely Miscible Systems. *AIChE J.* **1975**, *21*, 116–128.

(20) Garriga, R.; Martínez, S.; Pérez, P.; Gracia, M. Thermodynamic Excess Properties for Binary Mixtures of 1-Chlorobutane with 2-Butanol or 2-mMethyl-1 Propanol. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2001**, *181*, 203–214.

(21) Ambrose, D.; Ghiassee, N. B. Vapor Pressures and Critical Temperatures of Some Alkanoic Acids:  $C_1$  to  $C_{10}$ . *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1987**, *19*, 505–519.

(22) Negadi, L.; Belabbaci, A.; Ait Kaci, A.; Jose, J. Isothermal Vapor-Liquid Equilibria and Excess Enthalpies of (Propyl Ethanoate + Heptane), (Propyl Ethanoate + Cyclohexane), and (Propyl Ethanoate + 1-Hexene). J. Chem. Eng. Data 2007, 52, 47–55.

(23) Vapor Pressures and Densities. *TRC-Thermodynamic Tables of Hydrocarbons and Non Hydrocarbons*; Thermodynamics Research Center, The Texas A&M University System: College Station, TX, 1973.

(24) Goral, M. Vapour–Liquid Equilibria in non-Polar Mixtures. III. Binary of Alkylbenzenes and n-Alkanes at 313.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1994**, *102*, 275–286.

(25) Reid, R. C.; Prausnitz, J. M.; Poling, B. E. The Properties of Gases and Liquids; McGraw Hill: New York, 1987.

(26) Goral, M.; Asmanova, N. Vapour-Liquid Equilibria in non-Polar Mixtures Part I. 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane with Benzene, Methylbenzene, o-Xylene, p-Xxylene, Ethylbenzene and Propylbenzene at 313.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1993**, *86*, 201–209.

(27) Viton, C.; Chavret, M.; Behar, E.; Jose, J. Vapor pressure of normal alkanes from decane to eicosane at temperatures from 244 K to 469 K and pressures from 0.4 Pa to 164 kPa. *ELDATA: Int. Electron. J. Phys. Chem. Data* **1996**, *2*, 215–224.

(28) Williamham, C. B.; Taylor, W. J.; Pignocco, J. M.; Rossini, F. D. Vapor Pressures and Boiling Points of Some Paraffin, Alkylcyclopentane, Alkylcyclohexane, and Alkylbenzene Hydrocarbons. *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand.* **1945**, *35*, 219–244.

(29) Oracz, P.; Goral, M.; Wilczek-Vera, G.; Warycha, S. Vapour-Liquid Equilibria. VIII. The Ternary System Cyclohexane-Methanol-Acetone at 313.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1995**, *112*, 291–306.

(30) Gierycz, P.; Kosowski, A.; Swietlik, R. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria in Binary Systems Formed by Cyclohexane with Alcohols. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2009**, *54*, 2996–3001.

(31) Munday, E. B.; Mullins, J. C.; Edie, D. D. Vapor Pressure Data for Methylbenzene, 1-Pentanol, 1-Butanol, Water, and 1-Propanol and for the Water and 1-Propanol System from 273.15 to 323.15 K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1980**, *25*, 191–194.

(32) Gmehling, J.; Rasmussen, P.; Fredenslund, F. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria by UNIFAC Group Contribution Revision and Extension. 2. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev.* **1982**, *21*, 118–127.