


FREEDOM

ROBIN D. G. KELLEY

DREAMS



THE

BLACK

RADICAL

IMAGINATION

Freedom Dreams

ROBIN D. G. KELLEY

“A powerful book. . . Robin D. G. Kelley produces histories of black radicalism and visions of the future that defy convention and expectation.”

—ANGELA Y. DAVIS

Love and imagination may be the most revolutionary impulses available to us, and yet we have failed to understand their political importance and respect them as powerful social forces.

This history of renegade intellectuals and artists of the African diaspora throughout the twentieth century begins with the premise that the catalyst for political engagement has never been misery, poverty, or oppression. People are drawn to social movement because of hope: their dreams of a new world radically different from the one they inherited.

From Aimé Césaire to Paul Robeson to Jayne Cortez, Kelley unearths freedom dreams in Africa and Third World liberation movements, in the hope that Communism had to offer,

in the imaginative mindscapes of Surrealism, in the transformative potential of radical feminism, and in the four-hundred-year-old dream of reparations for slavery and Jim Crow.

With *Freedom Dreams*, Kelley affirms his place as “a major new voice on the intellectual left” (Frances Fox Piven) and shows us that any serious movement toward freedom must begin in the mind.

Robin D. G. Kelley, a frequent contributor to the *New York Times*, is professor of history and Africana studies at New York University and author of the award-winning *Hammer and Hoe*, *Race Rebels*, and *Yo’ Mama’s Disfunktional!* He lives in New York City.

F R E E D O M D R E A M S

Other works by Robin D. G. Kelley

Three Strikes: The Fighting Spirit of Labor's Last Century
(with Howard Zinn and Dana Frank)

*Yo' Mama's Disfunktional! Fighting the Culture Wars in
Urban America*

Into the Fire: African Americans since 1970

Race Rebels: Culture, Politics, and the Black Working Class

*Hammer and Hoe: Alabama Communists during the
Great Depression*

To Make Our World Anew: A History of African Americans
(coedited with Earl Lewis)

**F R E E D O M
D R E A M S**

**T H E
B L A C K
R A D I C A L
I M A G I N A T I O N**

R O B I N D . G . K E L L E Y

**B E A C O N P R E S S
B O S T O N**

Beacon Press
25 Beacon Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108-2892
www.beacon.org

Beacon Press books
are published under the auspices of
the Unitarian Universalist Association of Congregations.

© 2002 by Robin D. G. Kelley
All rights reserved

First electronic edition 2002

Text design by Sara Eisenman
Composition by Speedlowe & Boone

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Kelley, Robin D. G.

Freedom dreams : the Black radical imagination / Robin D. G. Kelley.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8070-0978-4

ISBN 0-8070-0976-8 (Hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Black power—United States—History. 2. African Americans—Civil rights. 3. Civil rights movements—United States—History. 4. African American arts. 5. Radicalism—United States—History. 6. United States—Race relations—History. 7. African diaspora. I. Title.

E185 .K39 2002

305.896'073—dc21

2001007470

For my big sister,
Makani Themba Nixon

And in loving memory of

Lisa Y. Sullivan

(1961–2001)

and

Joe Wood, Jr.

(1964–1999)

Three dreamers who do.

This page intentionally left blank

CONTENTS

Preface	vii
“When History Sleeps”: A Beginning	1
1. Dreams of the New Land	13
2. “The Negro Question”: Red Dreams of Black Liberation	36
3. “Roaring from the East”: Third World Dreaming	60
4. “A Day of Reckoning”: Dreams of Reparations	110
5. “This Battlefield Called Life”: Black Feminist Dreams	135
6. Keeping It (Sur)real: Dreams of the Marvelous	157
“When History Wakes”: A New Beginning	195
Sources	199
Acknowledgments	227
Index	233
Credits	247

This page intentionally left blank

P R E F A C E

Freedom Dreams is a kind of crossroads for me. I spent more than half my life writing about people who tried to change the world, largely because I, too, wanted to change the world. The history of social movements attracted me because of what it might teach us about our present condition and how we might shape the future. When I first embarked on this work nearly twenty years ago, the political landscape looked much clearer: We needed a revolutionary socialist movement committed to antiracism and antisexism. Buoyed by youthful naïveté, I thought it was very obvious then. Over time, the subjects of my books as well as my own political experience taught me that things are not what they seem and that the desires, hopes, and intentions of the people who fought for change cannot be easily categorized, contained, or explained. Unfortunately, too often our standards for evaluating social movements pivot around whether or not they “succeeded” in realizing their visions rather than on the merits or power of the visions themselves. By such a measure, virtually every radical movement failed because the basic power relations they sought to change remain pretty much intact. And yet it is precisely these alternative visions and dreams that inspire new generations to continue to struggle for change.

I had been thinking about these issues when Professor Judith Byfield and Ozzie Harris, director of Dartmouth's Office of Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action, asked me to deliver the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. lecture at Dartmouth College in January 2000. In many ways, the opportunity to meditate on King's legacy and vision brought a lot of these issues to the surface, especially since I was feeling somewhat alienated from the same old protest politics. What had happened to the dream of liberation that brought many of us to radical movements in the first place? What had happened to socialism the way we imagined it? What had happened to our New Eden, our dreams of building a new society? And what had happened to hope and love in our politics? My lecture consisted of a series of reflections on these questions, which consequently became *Freedom Dreams*.

Dr. King constantly warned us that we would not be able to build a truly liberatory movement without the "strength to love." In his 1963 book of the same title, he wrote:

We Negroes have long dreamed of freedom, but still we are confined in an oppressive prison of segregation and discrimination. Must we respond with bitterness and cynicism? Certainly not, for this will destroy and poison our personalities. . . . To guard ourselves from bitterness, we need the vision to see in this generation's ordeals the opportunity to transfigure both ourselves and American society. Our present suffering and our nonviolent struggle to be free may well offer to Western civilization the kind of spiritual dynamic so desperately needed for survival.

King's words became a kind of template for my lecture, indeed, for all of my thinking from that point on. How do we produce a vision that enables us to see beyond our immediate ordeals? How do we transcend bitterness and cynicism and embrace love, hope, and an all-encompassing dream of freedom, especially in these rough times?

Rough times, indeed. I was putting the final touches on *Freedom Dreams* the day the World Trade Center went down—a horrible event I witnessed from my bedroom window. And as I sent

off this manuscript to my editor, bombs were raining down on the people of Afghanistan and unknown numbers of innocent people were dying, from either weapons of mass destruction or starvation. Violence will only generate more violence; the carnage has just begun. Now more than ever, we need the strength to love and to dream. Instead of knee-jerk flag-waving and submission to any act of repression in the name of “national interests,” the nation ought to consider King’s vision and take a cue from the movement that proved to be the source of his most fertile ideas. The civil rights movement demanded freedom for all and believed that it had to win through love and moral suasion. Those committed to the philosophy of nonviolence saw their suffering as redemptive. The very heart of the movement, the extraordinary Southern black folks who stood nobly in the face of police dogs and water canon and white mobs and worked as hard as they could to love their enemy were poised to become the soul of a soulless nation, according to Dr. King. Imagine if that soul were to win out, if the movement’s vision of freedom were completely to envelope the nation’s political culture. If this were the case, then the pervasive consumerism and materialism and the stark inequalities that have come to characterize modern life under global capitalism could not possibly represent freedom. And yet, freedom today is practically a synonym for free enterprise.

Perhaps I’ll be labeled a traitor for saying this, but we are not yet completely free. U.S. democracy has not always embraced everyone and we have a long history to prove it, from slavery and “Indian wars” to the 2000 presidential elections. Indeed, the marginal and excluded have done the most to make democracy work in America. And some of the radical movements I write about in the pages that follow have done awful things in the name of liberation, often under the premise that the ends justify the means. Communists, black nationalists, Third World liberation movements—all left us stimulating and even visionary sketches of what the future could be, but they have also been complicit in acts of violence and oppression, through either their actions or their silence. No one’s hands are completely clean.

And yet to write another book that either drones on about how oppressed we are or merely chronicles the crimes of radical movements doesn't seem very useful. I conceived *Freedom Dreams* as a preliminary effort to recover ideas—visions fashioned mainly by those marginalized black activists who proposed a different way out of our constrictions. I'm not suggesting we wholly embrace their ideas or strategies as the foundation for new movements; on the contrary, my main point is that we must tap the well of our own collective imaginations, that we do what earlier generations have done: dream.

Trying to envision “somewhere in advance of nowhere,” as poet Jayne Cortez puts it, is an extremely difficult task, yet it is a matter of great urgency. Without new visions we don't know what to build, only what to knock down. We not only end up confused, rudderless, and cynical, but we forget that making a revolution is not a series of clever maneuvers and tactics but a process that can and must transform us.

“WHEN HISTORY SLEEPS”: A BEGINNING

When history sleeps, it speaks in dreams: on the brow of the sleeping people, the poem is a constellation of blood. . . .

Octavio Paz, “Toward the Poem”

My mother has a tendency to dream out loud. I think it has something to do with her regular morning meditation. In the quiet darkness of her bedroom her third eye opens onto a new world, a beautiful light-filled place as peaceful as her state of mind. She never had to utter a word to describe her inner peace; like morning sunlight, it radiated out to everyone in her presence. My mother knows this, which is why for the past two decades she has taken the name Ananda (“bliss”). Her other two eyes never let her forget where we lived. The cops, drug dealers, social workers, the rusty tapwater, roaches and rodents, the urine-scented hallways, and the piles of garbage were constant reminders that our world began and ended in a battered Harlem/Washington Heights tenement apartment on 157th and Amsterdam.

Yet she would not allow us to live as victims. Instead, we were a family of caretakers who inherited this earth. We were expected to help any living creature in need, even if that meant giving up

our last piece of bread. Strange, needy people always passed through our house, occasionally staying for long stretches of time. (My mom once helped me bring home a New York City pigeon with a broken leg in a failed effort to nurse her back to health!) We were expected to stand apart from the crowd and befriend the misfits, to embrace the kids who stuttered, smelled bad, or had holes in their clothes. My mother taught us that the Marvelous was free—in the patterns of a stray bird feather, in a Hudson River sunset, in the view from our fire escape, in the stories she told us, in the way she sang Gershwin’s “Summertime,” in a curbside rainbow created by the alchemy of motor oil and water from an open hydrant. She simply wanted us to live through our third eyes, to see life as possibility. She wanted us to imagine a world free of patriarchy, a world where gender and sexual relations could be reconstructed. She wanted us to see the poetic and prophetic in the richness of our daily lives. She wanted us to visualize a more expansive, fluid, “cosmos-politan” definition of blackness, to teach us that we are not merely inheritors of a culture but its makers.

So with her eyes wide open my mother dreamed and dreamed some more, describing what life could be for us. She wasn’t talking about a postmortem world, some kind of heaven or afterlife; and she was not speaking of reincarnation (which she believes in, by the way). She dreamed of land, a spacious house, fresh air, organic food, and endless meadows without boundaries, free of evil and violence, free of toxins and environmental hazards, free of poverty, racism, and sexism . . . just free. She never talked about how we might create such a world, nor had she connected her vision to any political ideology. But she convinced my siblings and me that change is possible and that we didn’t have to be stuck there forever.

The idea that we could possibly go somewhere that exists only in our imaginations—that is, “nowhere”—is the classic definition of *utopia*. Call me utopian, but I inherited my mother’s belief that the map to a new world is in the imagination, in what we see in our third eyes rather than in the desolation that surrounds

us. Now that I look back with hindsight, my writing and the kind of politics to which I’ve been drawn have more to do with imagining a different future than being pissed off about the present. Not that I haven’t been angry, frustrated, and critical of the misery created by race, gender, and class oppression—past and present. That goes without saying. My point is that the *dream of a new world*, my mother’s dream, was the catalyst for my own political engagement. I came to black nationalism filled with idealistic dreams of a communal society free of all oppressions, a world where we owned the land and shared the wealth and white folks were out of sight and out of mind. It was what I imagined pre-colonial Africa to be. Sure, I was naive, still in my teens, but my imaginary portrait, derived from the writings of Cheikh Anta Diop, Chancellor Williams, Julius Nyerere, Kwame Nkrumah, Kwame Ture, and others, gave me a sense of hope and possibility of what a *postcolonial* Africa could look like.

Very quickly, I learned that the old past wasn’t as glorious, peaceful, or communal as I had thought—though I still believe that it was many times better than what we found when we got to the Americas. The stories from the former colonies—whether Mobutu’s Zaire, Amin’s Uganda, or Forbes Burnham’s Guyana—dashed most of my expectations about what it would take to achieve real freedom. In college, like all the other neophyte revolutionaries influenced by events in southern Africa, El Salvador and Nicaragua, Cuba and Grenada, I studied Third World liberation movements and postemancipation societies in the hope of discovering different visions of freedom born out of the circumstances of struggle. I looked in vain for glimmers of a new society, in the “liberated zones” of Portugal’s African colonies during the wars of independence, in Maurice Bishop’s “New Jewel” movement in Grenada, in Guyana’s tragically short-lived nineteenth-century communal villages, in the brief moment when striking workers of Congo-Brazzaville momentarily seized state power and were poised to establish Africa’s first workers’ state. Granted, all these movements crashed against the rocks, wrecked by various internal and external forces, but they left behind at least some

kind of vision, however fragmented or incomplete, of what they wanted their world to look like.

Like most of my comrades active in the early days of the Reagan era, I turned to Marxism for the same reasons I looked to the Third World. The misery of the proletariat (lumpen and otherwise) proved less interesting and less urgent than the promise of revolution. I was attracted to “small c” communism because, in theory, it sought to harness technology to solve human needs, give us less work and more leisure, and free us all to create, invent, explore, love, relax, and enjoy life without want of the basic necessities of life. My big sister Makani and I used to preach to others about the end of money; the withering away of poverty, property, and the state; and the destruction of the material basis for racism and patriarchy. I fell in love with the young Marx of *The German Ideology* and *The Communist Manifesto*, the visionary Marx who predicted the abolition of all exploitative institutions. I followed young Marx, via the late English historian Edward P. Thompson, to those romantic renegade socialists like William Morris who wanted to break with all vestiges of capitalist production and rationalization. Morris was less concerned with socialist efficiency than with transforming social relations and constructing new, free, democratic communities built on, as Thompson put it, “the ethic of cooperation, the energies of love.”

There are very few contemporary political spaces where the energies of love and imagination are understood and respected as powerful social forces. The socialists, utopian and scientific, had little to say about this, so my search for an even more elaborate, complete dream of freedom forced me to take a more imaginative turn. Thanks to many wonderful chance encounters with Franklin and Penelope Rosemont, Ted Joans, Laura Corsiglia, and Jayne Cortez, I discovered surrealism, not so much in the writings and doings of André Breton or Louis Aragon or other leaders of the surrealist movement that emerged in Paris after World War I, but under my nose, so to speak, buried in the rich, black soil of Afrodiasporic culture. In it I found a most miraculous weapon with no birth date, no expiration date, no trademark.

I traced the Marvelous from the ancient practices of Maroon societies and shamanism back to the future, to the metropolises of Europe, to the blues people of North America, to the colonized and semicolonized world that produced the likes of Aimé and Suzanne Césaire and Wifredo Lam. The surrealists not only taught me that any serious motion toward freedom must begin in the mind, but they have also given us some of the most imaginative, expansive, and playful dreams of a new world I have ever known. Contrary to popular belief, surrealism is not an aesthetic doctrine but an international revolutionary movement concerned with the emancipation of thought. According to the Chicago Surrealist Group,

Surrealism is the exaltation of freedom, revolt, imagination and love. . . . Its basic aim is to lessen and eventually to completely resolve the contradiction between everyday life and our wildest dreams. By definition subversive, surrealist thought and action are intended not only to discredit and destroy the forces of repression, but also to emancipate desire and supply it with new poetic weapons. . . . Beginning with the abolition of imaginative slavery, it advances to the creation of a free society in which everyone will be a poet—a society in which everyone will be able to develop his or her potentialities fully and freely.

Members of the Surrealist Group in Madrid, for example, see their work as an intervention in life rather than literature, a protracted battle against all forms of oppression that aims to replace “suspicion, fear and anger with curiosity, adventure and desire” and “a model space for collective living—a space from which separation and isolation are banished forever.”

The surrealists are talking about total transformation of society, not just granting aggrieved populations greater political and economic power. They are speaking of new social relationships, new ways of living and interacting, new attitudes toward work and leisure and community. In this respect, they share much with radical feminists whose revolutionary vision extended into every aspect of social life. Radical feminists taught us that there is nothing

natural or inevitable about gender roles, male dominance, the overrepresentation of men in positions of power, or the tendency of men to use violence as a means to resolve conflict. Radical feminists of color, in particular, reveal how race, gender, and class work in tandem to subordinate most of society while complicating easy notions of universal sisterhood or biological arguments that establish men as the universal enemy. Like all the other movements that caught my attention, radical feminism, as well as the ideas emerging out of the lesbian and gay movements, proved attractive not simply for their critiques of patriarchy but for their freedom dreams. The work of these movements taken as a whole interrogates what is “normal”; shows us how the state and official culture polices our behavior with regard to sexuality, gender roles, and social relationships; and encourages us to construct a politics rooted in desire.

Black intellectuals associated with each of these movements not only imagined a different future, but in many instances their emancipatory vision proved more radical and inclusive than what their compatriots proposed.* Indeed, throughout the book I argue that these renegade black intellectuals/activists/artists challenged and reshaped communism, surrealism, and radical feminism, and in so doing produced brilliant theoretical insights that might have pushed these movements in new directions. In most cases, however, the critical visions of black radicals were held at bay, if not completely marginalized. Of course, there are many people still struggling to realize these dreams—extending, elaborating, and refining their vision as the battle wears on. This book

*Let me emphasize that I am interested in *black people's* dreams of the new society. A fascinating book by William H. Pease and Jane Pease, *Black Utopia: Negro Communal Experiments in America*, looks at white abolitionist and liberal designs for black communities whose main goal was to “train the Negro for complete freedom” (p. 19). Freedom was defined according to Jeffersonian values, determined of course by the white architects of these Negro villages. While most communal societies were socialist or communist oriented, the settlements created for black people centered on enterprise, thrift, and individual accumulation—in short, their goal was to instill ex-slaves with middle-class capitalist values in order to prepare them to be productive members of the mainstream. Black people in their study are largely objects of white liberal ideology, not agents pursuing their own vision of freedom.

is about those dreams of freedom; it is merely a brief, idiosyncratic outline of a history of black radical imagination in the twentieth century. I don't pretend to have written anything approaching a movement history or an intellectual history, and I am not interested in explaining why these dreams of revolution have not succeeded (yet!). Rather, I simply want to explore the different ways self-proclaimed renegades imagined life after the revolution and where their ideas came from. Although *Freedom Dreams* is no memoir, it is a very personal book. It is loosely organized around my own political journey, around the dreams I once shared or still share—from the dreams of an African utopia to the surreal world of our imagination, from the communist and feminist dreams of abolishing all forms of exploitation to the four-hundred-year-old dream of payback for slavery and Jim Crow.

My purpose in writing this book is simply to reopen a very old conversation about what kind of world we want to struggle for. I'm not the only one interested in the work of dreaming—obviously there are many activists and thinkers having this conversation right now, ranging from my sister Makani Themba-Nixon, Cornel West, and Lian and Eric Mann to Cleveland's Norma Jean Freeman and Don Freeman, Newark's Amina and Amiri Baraka, and Detroit's Grace Lee Boggs, to name but a few. For decades, these and other folks have dared to talk openly of revolution and dream of a new society, sometimes creating cultural works that enable communities to envision what's possible with collective action, personal self-transformation, and will.

I did not write this book for those traditional leftists who have traded in their dreams for orthodoxy and sectarianism. Most of those folks are hopeless, I'm sad to say. And they will be the first to dismiss this book as utopian, idealistic, and romantic. Instead, I wrote it for anyone bold enough still to dream, especially young people who are growing up in what critic Henry Giroux perceptively calls “the culture of cynicism”—young people whose dreams have been utterly coopted by the marketplace. In a world where so many youth believe that “getting paid” and living ostentatiously was the goal of the black freedom movement, there

is little space to even *discuss* building a radical democratic public culture. Too many young people really believe that this is the best we can do. Young faces, however, have been popping up en masse at the antiglobalization demonstrations beginning in Seattle in 1999, and the success of the college antisweatshop campaign No Sweat owes much of its success to a growing number of radicalized students. The Black Radical Congress, launched in 1997, has attracted hundreds of activists under age twenty-five, and so has the campaign to free Mumia Abu-Jamal. So there is hope.

The question remains: What are today's young activists dreaming about? We know what they are fighting against, but what are they fighting for? These are crucial questions, for one of the basic premises of this book is that the most powerful, visionary dreams of a new society don't come from little think tanks of smart people or out of the atomized, individualistic world of consumer capitalism where raging against the status quo is simply the hip thing to do. Revolutionary dreams erupt out of political engagement; collective social movements are incubators of new knowledge. While this may seem obvious, I am increasingly surrounded by well-meaning students who want to be activists but exhibit anxiety about doing intellectual work. They often differentiate the two, positioning activism and intellectual work as inherently incompatible. They speak of the "real" world as some concrete wilderness overrun with violence and despair, and the university as if it were some sanitized sanctuary distant from actual people's lives and struggles. At the other extreme, I have had students argue that the problems facing "real people" today can be solved by merely bridging the gap between our superior knowledge and people outside the ivy walls who simply do not have access to that knowledge. Unwitting advocates of a kind of "talented tenth" ideology of racial uplift, their stated goal is to "reach the people" with more "accessible" knowledge, to carry back to the 'hood the information folks need to liberate themselves. While it is heartening to see young people excited about learning and cognizant of the political implications of knowledge, it worries me when

they believe that simply “droppin’ science” on the people will generate new, liberatory social movements.

I am convinced that the opposite is true: Social movements generate new knowledge, new theories, new questions. The most radical ideas often grow out of a concrete intellectual engagement with the problems of aggrieved populations confronting systems of oppression. For example, the academic study of race has always been inextricably intertwined with political struggles. Just as imperialism, colonialism, and post-Reconstruction redemption politics created the intellectual ground for Social Darwinism and other manifestations of scientific racism, the struggle against racism generated cultural relativist and social constructionist scholarship on race. The great works by W. E. B. Du Bois, Franz Boas, Oliver Cox, and many others were invariably shaped by social movements as well as social crises such as the proliferation of lynching and the rise of fascism. Similarly, gender analysis was brought to us by the feminist movement, not simply by the individual genius of the Grimke sisters or Anna Julia Cooper, Simone de Beauvoir, or Audre Lorde. Thinking on gender and the possibility of transformation evolved largely in relationship to social struggle.

Progressive social movements do not simply produce statistics and narratives of oppression; rather, the best ones do what great poetry always does: transport us to another place, compel us to relive horrors and, more importantly, enable us to imagine a new society. We must remember that the conditions and the very existence of social movements enable participants to imagine something different, to realize that things need not always be this way. It is *that* imagination, that effort to see the future in the present, that I shall call “poetry” or “poetic knowledge.” I take my lead from Aimé Césaire’s great essay “Poetry and Knowledge,” first published in 1945. Opening with the simple but provocative proposition that “Poetic knowledge is born in the great silence of scientific knowledge,” he then demonstrates why poetry is the only way to achieve the kind of knowledge we need to move beyond the world’s crises. “What presides over the poem,” he writes,

“is not the most lucid intelligence, the sharpest sensibility or the subtlest feelings, but experience as a whole.” This means everything, every history, every future, every dream, every life form from plant to animal, every creative impulse—plumbed from the depths of the unconscious. Poetry, therefore, is not what we simply recognize as the formal “poem,” but a revolt: a scream in the night, an emancipation of language and old ways of thinking. Consider Césaire’s third proposition regarding poetic knowledge: “Poetic knowledge is that in which man spatters the object with all of his mobilized riches.”

In the poetics of struggle and lived experience, in the utterances of ordinary folk, in the cultural products of social movements, in the reflections of activists, we discover the many different cognitive maps of the future, of the world not yet born. Recovering the poetry of social movements, however, particularly the poetry that dreams of a new world, is not such an easy task. For obvious reasons, what we are against tends to take precedence over what we are for, which is always a more complicated and ambiguous matter. It is a testament to the legacies of oppression that opposition is so frequently contained, or that efforts to find “free spaces” for articulating or even realizing our dreams are so rare or marginalized. George Lipsitz helps explain the problem when he writes in *Dangerous Crossroads*, “The desire to work through existing contradictions rather than stand outside them represents not so much a preference for melioristic reform over revolutionary change, but rather a recognition of the impossibility of standing outside totalitarian systems of domination.” Besides, even if we could gather together our dreams of a new world, how do we figure them out in a culture dominated by the marketplace? How can social movements actually reshape the desires and dreams of the participants?

Another problem, of course, is that such dreaming is often suppressed and policed not only by our enemies but by leaders of social movements themselves. The utopian visions of male nationalists or so-called socialists often depend on the suppression of women, of youth, of gays and lesbians, of people of color. Desire

can be crushed by so-called revolutionary ideology. I don't know how many times self-proclaimed leftists talk of universalizing “working-class culture,” focusing only on what they think is uplifting and politically correct but never paying attention to, say, the ecstatic. I remember attending a conference in Vermont about the future of socialism, where a bunch of us got into a fight with an older generation of white leftists who proposed replacing retrograde “pop” music with the revolutionary “working-class” music of Phil Ochs, Woody Guthrie, preelectric Bob Dylan, and songs from the Spanish Civil War. And there I was, comically screaming at the top of my lungs, “No way! After the revolution, we *STILL* want Bootsy! That's right, we want Bootsy! We need the funk!”

Sometimes I think the conditions of daily life, of everyday oppressions, of survival, not to mention the temporary pleasures accessible to most of us, render much of our imagination inert. We are constantly putting out fires, responding to emergencies, finding temporary refuge, all of which make it difficult to see anything other than the present. As the great poet Keorapetse Kgositsile put it, “When the clouds clear / We shall know the colour of the sky.” When movements have been unable to clear the clouds, it has been the poets—no matter the medium—who have succeeded in imagining the color of the sky, in rendering the kinds of dreams and futures social movements are capable of producing. Knowing the color of the sky is far more important than counting clouds. Or to put it another way, the most radical art is not protest art but works that take us to another place, envision a different way of seeing, perhaps a different way of feeling. This is what poet Askia Muhammad Toure meant when, in a 1964 article in *Liberator* magazine, he called black rhythm-and-blues artists “poet philosophers” and described their music as a “potent weapon in the black freedom struggle.” For Toure, the “movement” was more than sit-ins at lunch counters, voter registration campaigns, and freedom rides; it was about self-transformation, changing the way we think, live, love, and handle pain. While the music frequently negatively mirrored the larger cul-

ture, it nonetheless helped generate community pride, challenged racial self-hatred, and built self-respect. It created a world of pleasure, not just to escape the everyday brutalities of capitalism, patriarchy, and white supremacy, but to build community, establish fellowship, play and laugh, and plant seeds for a different way of living, a different way of hearing. As Amiri Baraka put it in his famous essay, “The Changing Same,” black music has the potential to usher in a new future based on love: “The change to Love. The freedom to (of) Love.”

Freedom and love may be the most revolutionary ideas available to us, and yet as intellectuals we have failed miserably to grapple with their political and analytical importance. Despite having spent a decade and a half writing about radical social movements, I am only just beginning to see what animated, motivated, and knitted together these gatherings of aggrieved folk. I have come to realize that once we strip radical social movements down to their bare essence and understand the collective desires of people in motion, freedom and love lay at the very heart of the matter. Indeed, I would go so far as to say that freedom and love constitute the foundation for spirituality, another elusive and intangible force with which few scholars of social movements have come to terms. These insights were always there in the movements I’ve studied, but I was unable to see it, acknowledge it, or bring it to the surface. I hope this little book might be a beginning.

DREAMS OF THE NEW LAND

*Africa I guard your memory
Africa you are in me
My future is your future
Your wounds are my wounds
The funky blues I cook
are black like you—Africa
Africa my motherland
America my fatherland
Although I did not choose it to be
Africa you alone can make me free
Africa where the rhinos roam
Where I learned to swing
Before America became my home
Not like a monkey but in my soul
Africa you are rich with natural gold
Africa I live and study for thee
And through you I shall be free
Someday I'll come back and see
Land of my mothers, where a black god made me
My Africa, your Africa, a free continent to be.*

Ted Joans, "Africa"

Schoolhouse Rock didn't teach me a damn thing about "freedom." The kids like me growing up in Harlem during the 1960s and early 1970s heard that word in the streets; it rang in our ears with the regularity of a hit song. Everybody and their mama spoke of freedom, and what they meant usually defied the popular meanings of the day. Whereas most Americans associated freedom with Western democracies at war against communism, free-market capitalism, or U.S. intervention in countries such as Vietnam or the Dominican Republic, in our neighborhood "freedom" had no particular tie to U.S. nationality (with the possible exception of the black-owned Freedom National Bank). Freedom was the goal our people were trying to achieve; *free* was a verb, an act, a wish, a militant demand. "Free the land," "Free your mind," "Free South Africa," "Free Angola," "Free Angela Davis," "Free Huey," were the slogans I remember best. Of course, "freedom" was also employed as a marketing tool to sell us things like Afro wigs, hair care products, and various foodstuffs, but even these commodities were linked in our minds to the black struggle for independence, not just in the urban ghettos but around the world. "Freedom" even became a kind of metonym for Africa—the home we never knew, the place where we once enjoyed freedom before we were forcibly taken in chains across the sea. We drank Afro-Cola, which came in a blue can emblazoned with a map of the African continent, partly because slick marketing executives told us it contained the taste of freedom, partly because we pretended it was nectar from the motherland.

Of course, not everyone identified with Africa or associated the continent with dreams of freedom, but we were living in Harlem, of all places, during the era of the "black freedom movement." Formal colonialism had ended throughout most of Africa—the exceptions being southern Africa and the Portuguese colonies—so those who paid attention to such things were excited by the prospects of a free and independent Africa. By the time I enrolled at California State University at Long Beach, the Black Studies program there reignited my nascent, underdeveloped Pan-

African vision of the world. Our professors turned diehard party people and wannabe Greeks into angry young “Afrikans.” And we had good reason to be angry. After twelve years of public miseducation, reading works by pioneering black scholars such as Eric Williams’s *Capitalism and Slavery*, Cheikh Anta Diop’s *The African Origins of Civilization*, George E. M. James’s *Stolen Legacy*, Angela Davis’s *Women, Race, and Class*, W. E. B. Du Bois’s *Souls of Black Folk* and *Black Reconstruction in America*, J. A. Rogers’s *World’s Greatest Men and Women of African Descent*, among others, opened up a whole new world for us. We learned of the origins of Western racism, the history of slavery, the rise and fall of African kingdoms before the European invasion, the Egyptian roots of Western civilization. We were particularly obsessed with the large-scale civilizations along the Nile—Egypt, Ethiopia, Nubia—as were generations of Afrocentric scholars before us, as Wilson Moses recently pointed out in his valuable book *Afrotopia*. Indeed, the title alone explains why we junior Afrocentrists were attracted more to the powerful states of the ancient world than to the civil rights movement: We looked back in search of a better future. We wanted to find a refuge where “black people” exercised power, possessed essential knowledge, educated the West, built monuments, slept under the stars on the banks of the Nile, and never had to worry about the police or poverty or arrogant white people questioning our intelligence. Of course, this meant conveniently ignoring slave labor, class hierarchies, and women’s oppression, and it meant projecting backwards in time a twentieth-century conception of race, but to simply criticize us for myth making or essentialism misses the point of our reading. We dreamed the ancient world as a place of freedom, a picture to imagine what we desired and what was possible.

Sometimes we couldn’t read fast enough; other times, we were so overtaken with emotion we put our books down and wept, or fantasized about revenge. More importantly, we began to see ourselves—as earlier generations of black intellectuals had—as part of an African diaspora, an oppressed “nation” without a homeland. Many of us gravitated to campus black nationalist groups,

imagining Africa as our true home, either as a place of eventual return or a place from which we were permanently exiled. At least in our minds, we joined a long line of black thinkers who believed that to achieve freedom we first had to get out of Dodge.

Exodus

Few scholars or activists today take proposals to leave America and return to Africa or some other “homeland” seriously. Back-to-Africa proposals in principle are almost universally dismissed as “escapist” or associated with essentialist, romantic ideas about black cultural unity. Critics dwell on the impracticality of such schemes, or they point to sharp cultural and class differences that keep the black world divided. They are not wrong to do so, but any wholesale dismissal of the desire to leave this place and find a new home misses what these movements might tell us about how black people have imagined real freedom. The desire to leave Babylon, if you will, and search for a new land tells us a great deal about what people dream about, what they want, how they might want to reconstruct their lives.

After all, the history of black people has been a history of movement—real and imagined. Repatriation to Liberia and Sierra Leone. Flight to Canada. Escape to Haiti. The great Kansas Exodus. The back-to-Africa movements of Bishop Henry McNeil Turner and Marcus Garvey. The 49th State movement. The Republic of New Africa. The Rastafarian settlement of Shashamane, Ethiopia. I’m goin’ to Chicago, baby, I can’t take you along. Space is the Place. The Mothership Connection. All these travel/escape narratives point to the biblical story of Exodus, of the Israelites’ flight out of Egypt. It isn’t a coincidence that the stream of black migrants who fled the South for Kansas and Oklahoma in the late 1870s were called “exodusters,” or that one of the South Carolina emigration societies was called the Liberian Exodus Association. Indeed, as Eddie Glaude points out in his recent book *Exodus! Religion, Race, and Nation in Early Nineteenth-Century Black America*, the book of Exodus served as the key political and moral compass for African Americans during

the antebellum era, and it would continue to do so after the Civil War. Exodus provided black people with a language to critique America's racist state and build a new nation, for its central theme wasn't simply escape but a new beginning.

Exodus represented dreams of black self-determination, of being on our own, under our own rules and beliefs, developing our own cultures, without interference. Even before New World Africans laid eyes on the Bible, the fundamental idea behind Exodus was evident in the formation of Maroon societies throughout the Americas. Maroon societies were settlements of renegades from the plantation system made up primarily of runaway slaves, some indigenous people, and, in a few instances, white indentured servants who rebelled against the dominant culture. These settlements often existed on the run, in the hills or swamps just outside the plantation economy. Africans tended to dominate these communities, and many sought to preserve the cultures of their original homelands while combining different Old and New World traditions. Over time, Africans adopted elements of various Native American cultures, and vice versa, and Europeans relied on aspects of these cultures for their own survival. In the words of political scientist Cedric Robinson, these movements were inventive "rather than imitative, communitarian rather than individualistic, democratic rather than Republican, Afro-Christian rather than secular and materialist[;] the social values of these largely agrarian people generated a political culture that distinguished between the inferior world of the political and the transcendent universe of moral goods." The impulse toward separatism, defined broadly, is rooted in maroonage and the desire to leave the place of oppression for either a new land or some kind of peaceful coexistence.

The problem with modern "Egyptland" is that it claimed to be a republic, and too many black people—slave and free—invested their own blood, sweat, and tears in building or protecting the country. Therefore, in the United States the impulse to leave conflicted with black claims to full citizenship and full remuneration for our contribution to the nation. Prior to the adoption of the Fourteenth Amendment in 1868, the question as to whether

or not African Americans were citizens of the United States had not been settled. The experiences of free African Americans during the antebellum era demonstrate that citizenship was beyond their grasp, and the Fugitive Slave Law of 1850 and the *Dred Scott* decision of 1857 denying black people citizenship rights cleared up any ambiguity on the matter. While some black leaders insisted on their right to citizenship during the mid-nineteenth century, others such as Mary Ann Shadd Cary, Jermain Loguen, James T. Holly, Samuel Ringgold Ward, Paul Cuffe, and Martin Delany called on black people to find a homeland of their own. Not that they were willing to relinquish their claims to citizenship; rather, they reached a point of profound pessimism and began deeply to question their allegiance to and identification with the United States.

Whether they thought about leaving or not, the question of citizenship always loomed large, compelling some to renounce the United States altogether. Nineteenth-century black activist H. Ford Douglass once said: “I can hate this Government without being disloyal, because it has stricken down my manhood, and treated me as a saleable commodity. . . . I can join a foreign enemy and fight against it, without being a traitor, because it treats me as an ALIEN and a STRANGER.” Emigration not only rendered African Americans “transnational” people by default, but it remained at the heart of a very long debate within black communities about their sense of national belonging. The debate was further complicated by the fact that many white people supported emigration. The American Colonization Society was formed within the U.S. House of Representatives in 1816 for the purpose of deporting free black people to Liberia. Its leading members included Henry Clay, Daniel Webster, and Francis Scott Key, composer of the “Star Spangled Banner.” During the Civil War, President Lincoln’s initial program to reconstruct the nation included an elaborate plan to deport black people, first to Liberia and later to what he believed was a more practical location—Central America.

When the prospect of enjoying real citizenship emerged on the horizon during Reconstruction, emigrationist sentiment among

African Americans ebbed and Lincoln's plan won very few adherents among black leaders. However, despite the ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment, the question of African American citizenship had not been resolved, and with the collapse of Reconstruction and the erection of Jim Crow, the situation took a turn for the worse. In the South, black people were denied the right to vote and hold public office, access to the public schools that they had helped established and continued to finance with their tax money, and any semblance of justice. Instead, African Americans were subjected to mob violence and "lynch law." Between 1882 and 1946, at least 5,000 people, the vast majority of whom were black, were lynched in the United States. Black communities had to deal not only with a steady stream of lynchings but also with a constant threat of invasion by armed, murderous white mobs. In the decade from 1898 to 1908, "race riots" broke out in Wilmington, North Carolina; Atlanta; New Orleans; New York City; Phoenix; South Carolina; Akron, Ohio; Washington Parish, Louisiana; Birmingham, Alabama; Brownsville, Texas; and Springfield, Illinois; to name but a few. Historian Carter G. Woodson expressed the problem poignantly in his 1921 essay "Fifty Years of Negro Citizenship as Qualified by the United States Supreme Court": "The citizenship of the Negro in this country is a fiction."

Most black people believed there was an order higher than the Constitution. Psalm 68, verse 31 of the Bible had promised redemption for the black world: "Princes come out of Egypt. Ethiopia stretches forth her hands unto God." This passage was as important to Pan-Africanist and emigrationist sentiment as the book of Exodus, becoming the theological basis for what became known in the nineteenth century as Ethiopianism. Ethiopianism spread throughout the black world, from the Americas to Africa, calling for the redemption of Africa by any means necessary. One of the earliest published examples of this doctrine was Robert Alexander Young's *Ethiopian Manifesto: Issued in Defense of the Blackman's Rights in the Scale of Universal Freedom* (1829), which predicted the coming of a new Hannibal who would lead a violent uprising to liberate the race. The black abolitionist

speaker Maria Stewart echoed some of the ideas in Young's manifesto, drawing on scripture to argue that Africans were the "chosen people." While she identified herself as African, described America as "the great city of Babylon," and believed that black people possessed a distinct national destiny apart from that of other Americans, she did not advocate emigration.

Because the Bible, not the specifics of our lineage or heritage, framed most nineteenth-century black conceptions of national destiny, Ethiopia took on greater importance than any other nation or region of Africa. It was also known as Abyssinia, and black people the world over considered it the cradle of civilization. Ethiopia has remained one of the black Christian world's principal icons and, in some ways, might be called an African Jerusalem. As historian William Scott explained, many African Americans believed that "Ethiopia had been predestined by biblical prophecy to redeem the black race from white rule." Its reputation as a beacon of hope and strength for Africa and the African diaspora was strengthened in 1896, after Menelik II, leader of the Amhara, united Ethiopia's princes to defeat Italy. Italy's humiliating loss to Ethiopian armies in the battle of Adwa demonstrated to the world that Europe was indeed vulnerable, and it rendered Africa's "holy land" the only independent nation on the continent. For many black observers, it appeared as if prophesy would come to pass. Groups such as the short-lived Star Order of Ethiopia, founded by Grover Cleveland Redding, called on African Americans to move there. The Ethiopian ambassador to the United States also encouraged black people to settle there. By 1933 the African-American community in Ethiopia numbered between 100 and 150. When Italy invaded Ethiopia again in 1935, this time successfully, the entire black world mobilized in its defense, some volunteering for military service.*

*Of course, every reality is more complicated. Menelik united many local rulers in Ethiopia, but he also consolidated his power over his new allies and signed a peace treaty ceding Eritrean territory to Italy. While vindicationist "race" scholars praised Abyssinia for its ancient civilizations, its written language, its rulers' proud claim of direct lineage to Solomon and the Queen of Sheba, black radical writers showed more

Nineteenth-century emigrationists looked upon Africa as the new promised land, a land of milk and honey where its offspring in the diaspora could return and thrive. Bishop Henry McNeil Turner of the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church emerged as one of the most outspoken advocates of emigration. As vice-president of the American Colonization Society (ACS), Turner supported black emigration to Liberia during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The AME missions under his guidance promoted redemption as uplift ideology—the idea that education, modernization, and devotion to God would uplift the continent and the race. At the same time, Bishop Turner had no love for the United States, once describing the Constitution as “a dirty rag, a cheat, a libel, and ought to be spit upon by every Negro in the land.” He believed that white supremacy generated black self-hatred and that no black man could achieve manhood unless blacks could protect and govern themselves. Turner attracted a significant following, especially among poor workers and farmers who believed that any place was better than the Jim Crow South. One Mississippi man wrote to the ACS asking for assistance, comparing his circumstances to slavery and asking, “Oh my God help us to get out from here to Africa.”

Most nineteenth-century proponents of repatriation viewed the imminent return of African Americans as a kind of civilizing mission, bringing Christianity to the heathens and technology and knowledge to the backward natives. Africa needed to be redeemed not from European colonialism but because it was a civilization in decline. Redemption translated into uplift ideology, a radically different cultural approach to “return” from the early impulse toward maroonage. By the end of the century, Africa’s most vocal Negro redeemers tended to be formally educated elites who drew their ideological arsenal from Western notions of

skepticism. On the eve of Mussolini’s invasion, Ethiopia was ruled by a dying monarchy that did not believe in land reform. As one of the few regions on earth where slavery persisted well into the early 1930s, Ethiopia was hardly a land of freedom.

national destiny, race, progress, and civilization. Men such as Alexander Crummell, Henry Highland Garnet, Bishop Turner, Edward Wilmot Blyden, and the lesser-known leaders of emigrationist organizations dreamed of turning their ancestral homeland into modern, “civilized,” powerful nations where black people could create their own wealth and rule themselves. They imagined a society patterned on the best of the West—its schools, railroads, factories, and religion—without the racism, inequality, and oppression. While they desired “Africa for the Africans,” limited autonomy if not total independence, and freedom for all (at least in theory), they also wanted to participate in the international market as equals.

For the next few decades, Liberia became the model for the benefits of civilization; it was upheld by African-American intellectuals as evidence that, if left alone, black people could develop a free and industrious nation on the basis of their own intelligence, frugality, and good planning. Liberia was to be a black man’s utopia, the land where race prejudice was a thing of the past and every person in the republic enjoyed the fruits of citizenship. Unfortunately, this is not what happened. In their haste to defend Liberia, most commentators ignored or played down the role of the United States (via the Firestone Rubber Company) as an imperialist presence in the colony and the position of Americo-Liberians as a new, exploitative ruling class. As a result, the indigenous population of Liberia was exploited and oppressed by African Americans, who had ironically returned to their ancestral homeland to escape tyranny.

Few emigration advocates during this period questioned the Western model. Edward Wilmot Blyden was among the few to propose adopting elements of traditional African culture, but only after years of study. His early works, *A Voice from Bleeding Africa* (1856) and *The Call of Providence to the Descendants of Africa in America* (1862) both argued that God allowed enslavement of black people so that they might be converted to Christianity. It was now the manifest destiny of black people to return to their ancestral homeland and bring the benefits of Christianity and “civilization.” By the end of the century, following a thor-

ough study of Islam, he wrote a series of articles proposing that black people develop an African personality (as opposed to copying European culture) and defending indigenous African culture, including polygamy and traditional family practices. He argued that African cultures were naturally communal and did not allow private ownership of land, and that their emphasis on collective responsibility for the entire community rendered homelessness, poverty, and crime nonexistent. And because all adult women were in marital relationships, he argued, there were no “spinsters” or prostitutes.

Blyden’s defense of traditional African culture might be one of the first explicit examples we have of what later would be called African communalism or African socialism—the idea that pre-colonial societies were inherently democratic and practiced a form of “primitive communism” that could lay the groundwork for a truly egalitarian society. In the shadow of the failed Paris Commune, the upsurge of working-class socialist movements throughout the Western world, and growing concern about the dangers of industrialization, Blyden’s celebration of African communalism is particularly striking. Of course, we now know that African social organization ran the gamut from hunter-gatherer societies to large-scale, class-stratified societies based on agriculture, slave labor, and even limited manufacturing, and that traditional family and gender relationships were based on severe hierarchies. What is noteworthy, however, is the fact that Blyden and others imagined Africa as a place free of exploitation and believed that this model might lay the basis for a new society of black settlers. Rather than worship Western culture and modernization, Blyden at least toyed with the idea that traditional, pre-capitalist life might offer a superior road to freedom.

Redemption

Marcus Garvey, founder of the Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA)—the largest “African redemption” movement in the history of the world—promoted a vision of a New Africa that embraced certain Western ideas and technologies but

transformed them to suit black people's needs. He created African Fundamentalism, a revision of Christianity rooted in Ethiopianism, African Methodism, and a variety of religious beliefs that would eventually make their way into the Rastafarian faith. As Robert Hill points out, Garvey argued that Adam and Eve and their progeny were black and that Cain was stricken by God with leprosy (whiteness) as punishment for the murder of his brother, Abel. The white race, in other words, began as lepers punished by God. But Garvey differed from the Ethiopianists by insisting that the Egyptians were blacks who enslaved the Hebrews. Garvey's strong identification with the Egyptians makes perfect sense given his argument that we descended from a powerful civilization. His vision of the power of indigenous African culture was ancient, rooted in Egypt and Ethiopia, not in contemporary African culture, for he accepted Oswald Spengler's idea that African civilization was among those in decline. Only a movement for Africa's redemption could restore Africa's original glory. Interestingly, while he did not identify with the enslaved Jews of ancient times, he did identify with the modern Zionist movement. Garvey called his own movement Black Zionism, comparing the struggle for an African homeland with the Jewish movement for a homeland in Palestine. He patterned his Universal African Legion after the Jewish Legion, which came to be seen as a Jewish national guard for Palestine. He even received significant patronage from Jewish financiers such as William Ritter of the United States and Abraham Judah and Lewis Ashenheim of Jamaica.

Garvey founded the UNIA with his first wife, Amy Ashwood, in his native Jamaica in 1914. It began as a benevolent association, but when they moved to Harlem in 1916, Garvey transformed the UNIA into a mass-based, global, black nationalist movement intent on redeeming Africa and establishing a homeland for the black world. In some ways the UNIA resembled an army preparing for battle, which might be expected of any nationalist movement born in the midst of the greatest European nationalist conflict of all time: World War I. Yet like most race leaders at the time, Marcus Garvey was heir to an older warrior tradition rooted

in the Old Testament. Redemption, after all, was a violent and bloody proposition. Betray God and He might smite your first born, take you down by sword or plague, crush you to earth or drown you. Nat Turner, leader of a bloody slave revolt in Virginia in 1831, was told by God that slavery was to be eliminated by bloodshed, even if it meant sacrificing the master's women and children. It was God's will, and the signs from heaven were clear: "I should arise and prepare myself, and slay my enemies with their own weapons." Nat Turner was not out of step with the leading black abolitionists of the day. Besides Robert Alexander Young's prediction of a race war led by a new Hannibal, David Walker warned white people that God was prepared to take His vengeance out on them, and that when the slaves rose up and cut their throats, it was God's will. "The whites want slaves," he wrote in his *Appeal*, "and want us for their slaves, but some of them will curse the day they ever saw us. As true as the sun ever shone in its meridian splendor, my colour will root some of them out of the very face of the earth."

The UNIA never actually waged war anywhere, but World War I militarism had a profound impact on the organization's gender politics, according to the historian Barbara Bair. Garveyite parades, pageants, poetry, and songs, as well as speeches and documents, drew on metaphors of war that defined gender roles within the movement. Black men assigned to the UNIA's African Legion performed military drills, symbolizing assertiveness, readiness, and self-defense. UNIA leaders wore elaborate uniforms resembling European imperial designs, therefore reversing the dominant image of black men as subordinate. Garveyite leadership exuded strength, dominance, and nationhood. The Black Cross nurses symbolized the nurturing role of women by ministering to the needs of soldiers and the community as a whole. They wore white habits that, likewise, reversed the dominant image of black womanhood. Challenging stereotypes of black women as hypersexual Jezebels, the Black Cross nurses were "angels of charity and mercy," holy sisters united in purity and devotion to their own community and to the greater redemption of Africa.

In many respects, Garvey's vision of the proper role of black men and women in a new, liberated society differed little from those of previous generations of black nationalists, who embraced the prevailing notion that African redemption equaled manhood redemption. The strength of the nation as a measure of manhood, after all, was a common characteristic of modern nationalism. The writings and speeches of Crummell, Edward Blyden, and even W. E. B. Du Bois described Africa as the "fatherland," and the redemption of the fatherland was almost always framed in terms of manhood rights. Not surprisingly, early Pan-Africanist and emigrationist organizations were almost entirely male affairs. While politics was considered an exclusively male domain in this era, masculinity was especially pronounced in black nationalist politics because of its roots in the struggle against slavery. Despite the fact that abolitionism developed alongside woman suffrage, the struggle against slavery by free blacks and even white abolitionists was cast as a struggle for manhood rights largely because servility of any kind was regarded as less than manly. Black men's inability to protect their families under slavery was considered a direct assault on their manhood, since manhood was defined in part by one's ability to defend one's home. Thus, it is not surprising that black abolitionist appeals emphasized manhood rights and violence as strategies of liberation. Abolitionists like David Walker, John Russwurm, and Henry Highland Garnet called on slaves to "act like men" and rise up against slavery, and their appeals were frequently echoed by black women activists. From Maria Stewart to Ida B. Wells, black women chastised black men for failing to fulfill their manly role as defenders of the race.

Consequently, women barely figured in most Pan-Africanist or emigrationist imaginings of what the New Land might look like, except in Garveyism. Thanks to critical scholarship by Barbara Bair, Ula Taylor, Michelle Mitchell, and others, we know that women participated at all levels in the UNIA and were central to the construction of modern black nationalism. Garveyite women spoke, taught, organized local meetings, and wrote and edited

texts (though always under the threat of male censorship), and in so doing simultaneously challenged and reinforced gender divisions and conventions in the movement. The UNIA's construction of gender in the auxiliaries extended to its conception of Africa under colonial domination, which was symbolically conceived as a benighted woman in need of salvation. *Motherland* replaced the more common nineteenth-century word *fatherland*, as representations of Africa in the Garveyite press ranged from the nursing mother whose children had been torn from her breast by slavery to the shackled woman raped by imperialist masters. Defending Africa from imperialism was tantamount to defending black womanhood from rape; black men were called upon to redeem this oppressed and degraded black woman, our mother of civilization, in a bold, chivalrous act. Rape symbolism was not just a convenient metaphor but carried specific historical resonance in light of the history of sexual terrorism visited upon black women in slavery and freedom. These themes reappear over and over again in Garveyite songs, such as "The Universal Ethiopian Anthem," "God Bless Our President," and "Legion's Marching Song":

The Legion here will fight for Africa there,
 We are going to avenge her wrongs,
 We are coming, oh Mother Africa,
 We are four hundred millions strong. . . .

No cracker will dare seduce our sister,
 Or to hang us on a limb,
 And we are not obliged to call him mister,
 Or to skin our lips at him. . . .

Clearly, the UNIA was very conservative when it came to gender. Among other things, it promoted Victorian mores, the patriarchal family, and the idea that women's primary roles centered on caregiving, domesticity, and race building by way of reproduction and education. But in the context of a racist culture that viewed black women as immoral, licentious, and criminally in-

clined, or faithful but ignorant members of a servant class, placing black women on a pedestal to be exalted and protected radically challenged the status quo. Although the pedestal created its own limitations, both for women's autonomy and independence and for their participation within the leadership of the UNIA, black women did exercise more power in the Garvey movement than they had in other Pan-Africanist organizations of the day. Structured along the lines of African-American churches, the UNIA elected a "male president" and a slate of male officers along with a "lady president" and women officers who oversaw the female auxiliaries and juvenile division. The Parent Body Leadership, its international body, designated one position for a woman—fourth assistant general president, which was held by Henrietta Vinton Davis, one of the UNIA's leading orators. Women who held these elected and appointed positions were more than tokens; they often used their platforms to challenge the movement's gender conventions. Amy Jacques Garvey, Marcus Garvey's second wife, used her position to write a column in the *Negro World* featuring stories about women in traditionally male professions—physicians, executives, bankers, engineers, etc.—and profiled strong, heroic black women such as Sojourner Truth and Harriet Tubman. She covered a range of controversial issues, from birth control to women's roles in political movements, and she encouraged women's participation in the public sphere. Although her argument was based partly on the idea that women's special virtue, unique spirituality, could soften "ills of the world," Amy Jacques Garvey was just as quick to describe women as warriors. In a scathing critique of the UNIA's failure to promote more women to important leadership positions, she portrayed some male leaders as cowards who harbored "old-fashioned tyrannical feelings" and predicted that the women "will press on and on until victory is ours. . . . Ethiopia's queens will reign again, and her Amazons protect her shores and people." Likewise, Henrietta Vinton Davis called on women to be prepared for battle like their foremothers in Africa and America: "If our men hesitate, then the women of the race must come forward, they must join the great army of Amazons and follow a

Joan of Arc who is willing to be burned at the stake to save her country.”

Garveyism continues to exist today, but its heyday was really the 1920s. It was a movement founded in the midst of war, steeled on war metaphors, and practically destroyed by a war waged by the U.S. state and colonial governments throughout the world. Internal conflicts also destabilized the Garvey movement; corruption, theft, and bad investments (not to mention poor political judgments like Marcus Garvey’s decision to meet with leaders of the Ku Klux Klan) all contributed to the collapse of the UNIA. Perhaps the outcome was inevitable. After all, the economic philosophy undergirding Garveyism was independent enterprise and entrepreneurship. In this philosophy, industries such as the Black Star Line would not only serve black people but would also be a source of capital placed entirely in black hands, wealth for a rising race. The problem was that Marcus Garvey trusted his lieutenants; he didn’t believe they would skim wealth off the top or consider their personal desire for wealth above the greater good of the African world.

I doubt that most of Garvey’s followers imagined the New Land as an African version of American capitalism, a land of entrepreneurs hawking commodities and opportunities at every turn. Instead, the Black Star Line was less a business venture than the new ark. Africa, or somewhere other than here, marked a new beginning, a beautiful, peaceful, collective life where needs were fulfilled and poverty was a thing of the past. It was not unlike the vision of the promised land radical Jews had hoped Israel would become—a socialist paradise modeled after the kibbutz. Just as the kibbutz draws on ancient ideas of how God intended men and women to live their lives, ancient Africa in the black imagination continues to be a window on our dreams of the New Land.

Space Is the Place

What does the New Land look like? Singer-songwriter Abbey Lincoln tells us in her 1972 song “Africa,” a paean to the continent, the home she had been searching for, the “land of milk and

honey.” She sings not about a lost past but a hopeful, glorious future; she sings of a deep longing for a place *like* Africa, for it was remembered and experienced as a world that kept us whole. Lincoln’s lyrics echo a massive body of literary, visual, musical, and political texts. We read them in the writings of Countee Cullen, Claude McKay, Aimé Césaire, Suzanne Césaire, Leon Damas, Margaret Danner, Margaret Walker, Nicolas Guillén, Sonia Sanchez, Langston Hughes, Jayne Cortez, Paul Robeson, Melvin B. Tolson, Ted Joans, and Carolyn Rodgers. We see them in the paintings and sculptures of Aaron Douglass, Lois Mailou Jones, Sargent Johnson, Charles Alston, Meta Warwick Fuller, Hale Woodruff, Wifredo Lam, Betye Saar, John Biggers, Richmond Barthé, Faith Ringgold, Melvin Edwards, Jeff Donaldson, Camille Billops, and Bill Maxwell. We hear them in the music of Duke Ellington, Randy Weston, Melba Liston, John Coltrane, Dizzy Gillespie, Lee Morgan, Horace Parlan, Pharoah Sanders, Archie Shepp, Sun Ra, Max Roach, Yusef Lateef, Bob Marley, Mutabaruka, Mandrill, X-Clan, Blackstar, Harmony, Poor Righteous Teachers, and Tonton David. And I’ve barely scratched the surface.*

The desire to pack up and leave persisted well into the late twentieth century, although it seems as though the story of Noah’s ark from Genesis might have overtook the Book of Exodus as the more common analogy of flight. Increasingly, the ark has taken the form of the modern space ship, and the search for the New Land has become intergalactic. Predictions of the destruction of Earth abound. Genesis, indeed.

For at least a century, a long line of black intellectuals and religious leaders have contemplated space travel, including the Honorable Elijah Muhammad of the Nation of Islam. One of the

*I had planned to write more about Africa in the imagination of jazz musicians; Africa has not only been a source of musical ideas, but also a utopian dreamscape, a place of return. However, this point has been demonstrated thoroughly and persuasively in Graham Lock’s *Blutopia: Visions of the Future and Revisions of the Past in the Work of Sun Ra, Duke Ellington, and Anthony Braxton*, and Norman Weinstein’s *A Night in Tunisia*. There isn’t much I can say that has not been said by these authors, so I refer you to these works as an extension of the general argument I am making here.

most famous, if not the most fascinating, black proponents of space travel was the Birmingham-born pianist/composer Herman Sonny Blount, better known as Sun Ra. As early as the 1950s, he called his band an Arkestra, and he claimed that he had left this earth only to return. He, too, looked backward to look forward, finding the cosmos by way of ancient Egypt. Critical of racism in America and elsewhere, he promoted a kind of interplanetary emigrationist movement. Dressed in metallic outfits that might best be described as ancient Egyptian space suits, Sun Ra's Arkestra played an advanced form of music that incorporated vocalists, dancers, and electronic instruments long before they became popular. He did not consider his music jazz, nor did he accept the "avant-garde" label. As he once said, "It's more than avant-garde, because the 'avant-garde' refers to, I suppose, advanced earth music. But this is not earth music." At the heart of Sun Ra's vision was the notion of alter/destiny—the idea that through the creation of new myths we have the power to redirect the future. He penned many poems and songs promoting an Alter/destiny, including "Imagination":

Imagination is a Magic carpet
 Upon which we may soar
 To distant lands and climes
 And even go beyond the moon
 To any planet in the sky
 If we came from
 nowhere here
 Why can't we go somewhere there?

Sun Ra and his Arkestra inspired other Afrofuturists, interstellar fellow travelers, such as George Clinton, founder of Parliament/Funkadelic, Jamaica's Lee Scratch Perry, and Chicago disc jockey "Captain Sky," whose radio shows spoke metaphorically of space travel to bring attention to the conditions of black people in the United States. Perry and Clinton, in particular, employed the image of the ark as a mode of space travel. Perry, who made dub records charting "the relationship between madness, space/time

travel, the Old Testament, and African identity,” called his studios The Black Ark, and made records such as *Heart of the Ark*, *Build the Ark*, and *Black Ark in Dub*. Clinton’s ark took the form of the “Mothership,” a funky flying saucer designed to take all the party people to a better place.

Not surprisingly, the most visionary strand of hip-hop culture also embraces a politics of escape not averse to interstellar time travel. During hip-hop’s infancy, the pioneer Bronx disc jockey Afrika Bambaataa and his various groups—the Jazzy Five, Cosmic Force, and the Soul Sonic Force—embraced the space-age styles as well as the impulse to escape the wretchedness of daily life through dance music. Founder of the Zulu Nation—a politically conscious organization of rappers, break-dancers, graffiti artists, and others associated with 1970s hip-hop culture—Bambaataa is perhaps best known for his hit song “Planet Rock.” By the early 1990s, the themes of exodus, the search for paradise, even African redemption became more pronounced in the music of groups such as Poor Righteous Teachers, Arrested Development, Digable Planets, Jungle Brothers, De La Soul, Tribe Called Quest, PM Dawn, and X-Clan, among others. More recently, artists such as Mos Def, Talib Kweli, Dilated Peoples, Afu Ra, Natural Resource, Common, Reflection Eternal, and Dead Prez, among others, have continued to explore some of these themes.

These artists might be described as modern ancients redefining freedom, imagining a communal future (and present) without exploitation; all-natural, African, barefoot, and funky. A product of many influences, from Rastafarianism and the Five-Percent Nation (a youth-oriented Islamic group) to science fiction, some of these groups advocated vegetarianism, natural hair, and a pace of life where humans were the masters of time rather than the other way around. Poor Righteous Teachers, whose notion of “pure poverty” signifies both a knowledge of the condition of black folk and a position from which to critique forms of oppression, called for the creation of a new utopia within the city by transforming the way people live their lives. Others, like X-Clan, combined a politics of resistance with a politics of escape in songs

such as “Xodus,” “Cosmic Ark,” and “Arkilogical.” In addition to fighting racism in their place of residence, in part by founding their own black radical political movement called Blackwatch, they sing songs that advocate a return to “the East”—what they imagine as a peaceful, classless, oppression-free Africa. Decked out in beads, leather, ear and nose rings, big walking sticks, and a wild assortment of African garments, the men and women of X-Clan had a startling visual presence. Musically they mixed the sound of African drums with samples from Parliament/Funkadelic. And despite the serious revolutionary rhetoric, X-Clan never lost a sense of humor: Its ark was a pink Cadillac.

Songs by groups such as Digable Planets, PM Dawn, even De La Soul promoted an alternative vision to the violent and artificial realities of urban life. The tragically short-lived Arrested Development (one of the original Southern hip-hop groups, let’s not forget) focused much of its music on reconstructing relationships between human beings across lines of color, gender, generation, and spirituality, and of reconnecting black people to the natural world. These themes are especially pronounced in songs such as “People Everyday,” “Mama’s Always on Stage,” “Children Play with Earth,” “Natural,” and “Dawn of the Dreads.” Their wildly popular 1992 hit “Tennessee,” from their debut album *Three Years, Five Months, and Two Days in the Life of . . .* captures the desire for a new space, a place in the countryside away from urban chaos, and yet it is a place with a history of pain and violence that “Speech,” the lead rapper, must reckon with. It is God who tells him to “break / outta the country and / into more country”:

Where the ghost of
childhood haunts me.
Walk the roads my
forefathers walked,
climbed the trees my
forefathers hung from.
Ask those trees for all
their wisdom,
they tell me my ears are

so young. . . . Home
 go back to from
 whence you
 came. . . . Home.

.....

Take me to another place
 Take me to another land
 Make me forget all that hurts me
 Let me understand your plan.

In one sense, “Tennessee” parallels the Exodus story in that God tells the singer to go find salvation, except that the new Israel is situated in Egyptland itself, after the apparent overthrow of the Pharaoh.

Another powerful but little-known example of hip-hop’s vision of an earthbound utopia, free of fratricidal violence, full of natural beauty and splendor, is “Sunny Meadowz” by Oakland-based rapper Del tha Funkee Homosapien, which appeared on his 1991 debut album, *I Wish My Brother George Was Here*. That Del was produced by Ice Cube (Del’s cousin) and the Lench Mob, known to most hip-hop fans for their notorious gangsta rhymes of mayhem and misogyny, makes “Sunny Meadowz” even more of a curiosity. He opens by declaring war on all the thugs and fake rappers, promising to snatch their gold chains and gold “fronts” (teeth) and return them “to the caves of the Motherland / and ride a rhinoceros back to the other land.” Listen to his description of “the meadowz”:

D. E. L., the eighteen-year-old dweller of the meadow,
 It sure in the hell beats living in the ghetto.
 Things are peace and everything’s settled
 With a goodnight snooze on a bed of rose petals.
 I wake up in the morning feeling happy and refreshed. . . .

Before the day is over, the singer journeys past earth, reclines on a hippo, writes “scriptures by the old wishing well,” and lives a wonderful life where everything is clean and natural. Although he does have a maid in the meadowz, and in his imagination his

music keeps him paid, freedom is conceived in the “Sunny Meadowz” not in terms of materialism but as a way of living, a way of being in the world that is at once intensely personal and collective. This is not the image one usually associates with the hip-hop generation, especially at the beginning of the twenty-first century. And yet it is pervasive, especially among some of the young contemporary “spoken-word artists” who are also products of hip-hop culture. Consider the following stanzas by Mari-ahdessa Ekere Tallie:

I want to walk barefoot
 in a place where barefoot has no name
 in a place where soul on Earth
 is natural
 a place where toes in soil
 is common as
 true love
 laughter
 and birth. . . .

I want to walk barefoot
 in cities without streets
 where admiration is a deep silence
 and conversations are replaced by the eloquence of eyes
 barefoot in a place
 where excuses are not enforced in law books
 where there is no law
 only that which is right. . . .

The imagery has changed; even the geography has shifted from Africa to anyplace but where we are now. But the dream of Exodus still lives in those of us not satisfied with the world as we know it. It is not the only dream. There is yet another radical tradition that insists that we can all live together in peace and harmony, but only if we transform society together. For many black radicals seeking justice, salvation, and freedom, the vision of socialism proved to be especially compelling, even if incomplete.

“THE NEGRO QUESTION”: RED DREAMS OF BLACK LIBERATION

*Sing a song full of the strife that the dark past has
taught us.*

*Sing a song full of the hope Communism has
brought us.*

*Facing a Red! Red! Sun of a new day begun
Let us fight on till victory is won.*

Black Communist revision of the
“Negro National Anthem,” ca. 1932

We can spot 'em a mile away. They're at every political forum, demonstration, panel discussion, and cultural event, hawking their papers bearing names with *Socialist* or *Workers* or *International* in the titles, shouting people down, hogging the microphone. They sometimes come with black, Asian, and Latino comrades, but their whiteness and often their arrogance underscore their visibility in a room full of angry black folk. They come hard, ready to throw down the gauntlet to the bourgeois nationalists, inviting everyone to join the class struggle, all the while saving their worst invective for their adversaries on the Left. Once at the mic, they don't usually identify themselves until two-thirds

into their speech and the requests to “sit yo’ ass down” begin to escalate. But we always know who they are, we tolerate their presence for the most part, and some of us even buy their papers and pamphlets. I know I did and still do. My library is overflowing with texts published by International Publishers, Pathfinder Press, and assorted lesser-known revolutionary basement presses. Sometimes you can find as much about black struggles in the leftist sectarian newspapers and broadsides as in the Nation of Islam’s *The Final Call*—certainly much more than in *Ebony*, *Jet*, or *Essence*. Police killings of unarmed African Americans, conflicts in housing projects, Klan activity in North Carolina; you name it, you can find it in the *Revolutionary Worker* or the *Worker’s Vanguard*. They even put out the writings of great black intellectuals in the form of cheap pamphlets. Why go to Barnes and Noble when you can get nicely stapled Xeroxes of Frantz Fanon and Malcolm X and Sojourner Truth for a buck?

They come to black political events to spread their respective positions and to recruit. And sometimes they succeed. During the mid-1980s, I gave two years of my life as a rank-and-file member of the Communist Workers’ Party (CWP), selling *Worker’s Viewpoint*, attending study groups, writing internal position papers, and helping organize demonstrations. The CWP was especially attractive because its most visible leaders were black and Asian American. And being a self-styled intellectual, I liked the fact that CWP members read . . . and read and read. I briefly joined one study group made up almost entirely of working people in South Central Los Angeles, many of whom had earned only a high school diploma and worked full-time. My comrades were far more advanced and rigorous than most of my professors at Cal State Long Beach. They patiently walked me through Mao and modern Chinese history; introduced me to radical Pan-Africanism; critiqued my undigested Afrocentrism; and schooled me on a whole host of issues, from police repression to the relationship between local plant closings and the movement of international capital.

Even if one knows absolutely nothing of the American Left and

its history, anyone with a political bone in her body recognizes its deep, unwavering interest in the plight of black people. We have a century of black opinion as to why: They're just using black people to promote their agenda, or they're agent provocateurs sent in by the FBI. The less conspiracy-prone chalk it up to alienated white youths rebelling against their parental culture. Then there were those who regarded some on the Left as genuine revolutionaries willing to grapple with issues that established Negro leaders tend to ignore. Committed black support for left-wing movements is hard to fathom after a half-century of cold war, in which the anti-Communist confessionals of Richard Wright, Ralph Ellison, George Padmore, Margaret Walker, and a host of others stood in for black opinion. Although most of these authors chastise the communists for *not being radical enough*, they are usually read through an interpretive frame that can see black people only as passive victims of communist conspiracy.

Of course, it is impossible to generalize about the American Left and its intentions because it has never been a singular, unified movement. Hundreds of sectarian parties have fought each other over the correct line on China or Albania, the "Woman Question," skilled versus unskilled workers, united front versus proletarian revolt, ad infinitum. Toward the top of the pyramid of political issues has been the ever-present "Negro Question." If there is one thing all the factions of the twentieth-century American Left share, it is the political idea that black people reside in the eye of the hurricane of class struggle. The American Left, after all, was born in a society where slavery and free labor coexisted, and only skin color and heritage determined who lived in bondage and who did not. This is why the nascent Left in the United States understood the problem posed by racial divisions as the Negro Question, for these African descendants stood at the fulcrum of the nation's racial identity and political economy.

All Marxist-identified groups proposed their own answers to the Negro Question, and the best of them realized that this was no subsidiary interrogation. As one might expect, the best answers generally came from the Negroes themselves, the very objects of

the question who even today are rarely given their due as radical theorists. On the one hand, their answers offered profound insights into the political economy and cultures of the United States and the West more generally—answers that could have pushed the American Left in entirely new directions. On the other hand, the very burden of racism, nourished in a capitalist economy built on the foundation of slavery and Jim Crow, weighed like a nightmare on the brains of every generation of white working people seeking emancipation. Remember that much of their identity was bound up with *not* being a “nigger,” a savage, an uncivilized “beast of burden” presumably easily controlled by their capitalist enemies. The white Left’s inability to understand, let alone answer, the Negro Question turned out to be its Achilles’ heel. The tragedy for America, perhaps, is that these committed revolutionaries set out to save the Negro when they needed black folk to save them.

Labor cannot emancipate itself in the white skin where in the black it is branded.

Karl Marx, Capital

The Marxist Left was officially born in 1848 with the formation of the International Workingmen’s Association, or the First International. A product of the revolutions that rocked Europe that year, the ideals of the First International were carried into America by newspapers and German immigrants who had participated in the upheaval that compelled Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels to write a lengthy pamphlet called *The Communist Manifesto*. We tend to picture the 1848 revolutions and the birth of American Marxism as the story of white men in the trenches, red flag unfurled in the name of bearded and proud skilled workers. But the “colored” world remained a haunting specter in 1848: The revolution in France resulted in the abolition of slavery in its colonies, forty-four years after African descendants threw them out of Haiti and ended French slavery and colonialism there by combat. The British had abolished slavery fourteen years earlier and were still

wrestling with their Negro Question: how to turn all this ex-property into willing and docile workers for Britannia. On home soil, the Negro stood at the center of U.S. politics. The American state had just taken northern Mexico by force in its quest to rule North America from coast to coast, and the burning question of the day was whether slavery would be allowed into the newly acquired Western territories.

Most of the newly arrived German Marxists knew they couldn't run away from slavery. And as natural as nigger hating was to Jacksonian democracy, the "48ers" had not been here long enough to absorb all the lessons of American whiteness. Their communist clubs, formed in 1857—the year the Supreme Court decided in *Dred Scott v. Sanford* that black people were not citizens of the United States—were among the few political associations in the country that required members to respect all people, regardless of race or sex, as equals. Besides, Marx and Engels' *The Communist Manifesto* recognized the color line and its role in maintaining colonialism. Even more remarkable was Marx's understanding of what the West's alleged civilizing mission was all about. In the August 8, 1853, issue of the New York *Daily Tribune*, Marx wryly pointed out, "The profound hypocrisy and inherent barbarism of bourgeois civilization lies unveiled before our eyes, turning from its home, where it assumes respectable forms, to the colonies, where it goes naked."

That barbarism rested with the purveyors of "civilization" rather than their colonial subjects went uninterrogated by white socialists (though the idea was taken up by black radical intellectuals in the aftermath of fascism). In fact, very few members of the growing socialist movement in the United States were willing to support racial equality, especially after the Civil War destroyed the system of chattel slavery for good. White workers looked upon freed black labor as competition willing to accept lower wages and horrible working conditions. The Socialist Labor Party (SLP), formed after the collapse of the First International in 1872, decided to organize black workers in order to solve the problem of competition. But SLP leaders believed, as did their predecessors in the First International, that once the socialist revolution

came, all race problems would disappear. SLP leader Daniel DeLeon put it succinctly: “There was no such thing as a race or ‘Negro question’ . . . there was only a social, a labor question . . . so far as the Socialist and labor movements were concerned.”

It was an odd position to take, especially by the 1890s when lynching increased, racial segregation became law, and African-American citizens who worked so hard for the Republican Party in the days of Reconstruction were suddenly disfranchised. Of course, black people fought back, joining unions of farmers and workers, forming armed self-defense organizations, and building religious, fraternal, educational, and political institutions that ultimately became sources of power and inspiration for the stony road ahead. A handful found hope and possibility in an interracial socialist movement. In 1901, the Socialist Party of America, the crown jewel of the Second International, was launched after the demise of the SLP. The Second International’s social democratic politics proved more broad based and popular than the socialism of its predecessors, but its approach to the Negro Question remained unchanged: Racism was merely a feature of capitalism—kill the latter and the former would wither away.

The socialists limited the Negro Question largely to the black male proletariat, leaving the struggles of black woman out of the discourse altogether. Although August Bebel’s *Women under Socialism* provided a radical framework for understanding women’s oppression, the Woman Question was restricted to whites only. Socialists were silent on the disproportionate numbers of black women in the labor force, the racist character of the early birth control and suffrage movements, stereotypes of black women’s sexuality, or the ways in which race hindered women’s solidarity. In fact, the most prominent black woman radical of the late nineteenth century, Lucy Parsons, wrote eloquently about the oppression of women and the working class, but ignored race. Parsons was a member of the socialist-oriented Workingmen’s Party who was also attracted to anarchism for its emphasis on cooperative organization of production without profit, eliminating the state, and direct action. She published articles in the revolutionary socialist press about lynching and the Woman Question, but she

never put the stories together. Lynching, in her view, was merely a class question; a black man is lynched in Mississippi because “he is poorer as a class than his white wage-slave brother of the North.” Thus, following the classic socialist logic, racial violence would disappear once capitalism was overthrown. And the same went for women. In 1891 she published a series of articles on rape, divorce, and marriage for *Freedom: A Revolutionary Anarchist-Communist Monthly*, which argued that women’s oppression was merely a function of capitalism. Parsons believed that sexism, like racism, would disappear with the construction of a socialist society.

I do not want to take anything away from Parsons, for she was one of the brightest lights in the history of revolutionary socialism, but she operated strictly within the confines of nineteenth-century Western socialist thought. Outside these left circles, however, there were radical black women whose own analysis of America connected the dots between women’s oppression and the color line. Ida B. Wells-Barnett was not a socialist, but she linked lynching, rape, and the maintenance of the color line to the oppression of all women. A year after Parsons wrote her series in *Freedom*, Wells-Barnett published a major study of lynching that exposed how the myth of the black rapist allowed Southern white males to demand subordination and deference from white women in exchange for their “protection.” So-called chivalry, in other words, was about the protection of white women as property in order to maintain the purity of the race. According to the ideology of white supremacy, a white woman desiring a nonwhite man was inconceivable, so any such encounter was presumed to be rape. On the flip side, all sexual encounters between white men and black women were not only presumed to be consensual but initiated by the black woman. The virginal white woman and black rapist dialectic also produced the myth of the promiscuous black woman. By defending the racial integrity of black manhood (i.e., destroying the black-man-as-rapist myth), Wells-Barnett simultaneously affirmed the virtue of black womanhood and the independence of white womanhood.

By the early part of the twentieth century, a few independent black intellectuals began to gravitate toward the socialist movement and brought a distinctive radical analysis with them. The prodigious W. E. B. Du Bois spent a year (1911–12) in the Socialist Party of America (SPA) and had worked closely with white socialists who had joined him as founding members of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) in 1910. Yet before his association with the SPA, Du Bois had helped found the radical, all-black Niagara Movement, and in that context began to analyze how race and class worked together to sustain capitalism, imperialism, and racism in the modern world. As early as 1906, Du Bois argued that the imposition of the color line on a world scale, whether in the form of Jim Crow or colonial rule, “transferred the reign of commercial privilege and extraordinary profit from the exploitation of the European working class to the exploitation of backward races under the political domination of Europe.” The “colored” laborer, therefore, was the key to socialism’s success, and even before joining the party Du Bois warned that Marx’s vision could not be realized without the black worker, and that the black worker would not come unless the socialists launched a full assault on racism. The racism of white workers, he argued, blinded them to their class interests; rather than see workers of color as allies, they treated them as enemies to be fought, feared, and Jim Crowed. Dissatisfied with the Socialists’ response and seeing some potential in Woodrow Wilson’s presidential campaign, Du Bois left the party.

Harlem Socialist Hubert Harrison went even further than Du Bois in his criticisms of the SPA. He not only insisted that his party make antiracism and the organization of black workers a top priority, but he also supported black nationalism and the development of autonomous black institutions. He formed the Colored Socialist Club in 1911 and remained a stalwart critic of the SPA’s position (or lack thereof) on the Negro Question until his expulsion in 1914. With the outbreak of World War I, the implications of colonialism and the global color line for the working-class movement became all the more apparent to both Harrison

and Du Bois. As socialists outside the socialist movement, they watched in horror as the white working class in Europe and the United States embraced nationalism, militarism, and imperialism. In Du Bois's words, they were "practically invited to share in this new exploitation, and particularly were flattered by popular appeals to their inherent superiority to 'Dagoes,' 'Chinks,' 'Japs,' and 'Niggers.'" Nevertheless, Du Bois believed that the fight to save democracy was so important that he called on black folk to "close ranks" in support of the war, despite its clear imperialist motives. Harrison was not interested in détente. Echoing Du Bois's characterization of World War I as a conflict over "the lands and destinies of the colored majority in Asia, Africa, and the islands of the sea," Harrison simultaneously opposed the war and promoted a worldwide rebellion against all the Western colonizing nations. By the war's end, rebellion was everywhere, even in Harlem.

Don't mind being called "Bolsheviki" by the same people who called you "nigger."

Unsigned comment in *The Crusader*, June 1920

The dream of international working-class solidarity crumbled on the battlefield, where the proletarians of Europe and America traded in their red flags for the flags of their respective nations. The exception was some of the peasants and workers in Russia, who were simply too poor and frustrated to fight for their ruling classes. Instead, they launched a revolution and backed Lenin and the Bolshevik Party, which eventually seized power in 1917 and pulled out of the "war to end all wars." The Bolsheviks established a Third International and gave birth to the worldwide Communist movement. For black folk looking for radical alternatives to American socialism, Lenin turned out to be something of a friend. Despite his distance from American soil, he took a special interest in black people, in part because most Russian workers and peasants were also divided and oppressed by nationality and ethnicity.

If the Third International, or the Comintern, proved more sympathetic and sensitive to the racial nature of American class struggle, it is largely because black folk made it so. The momentary crisis of “Western civilization” caused by the chaos of war, worker rebellions, anticolonial uprisings, postwar racial violence, and talk of “self-determination for oppressed nations” contributed to the dramatic explosion of the Garvey movement and a new generation of “New Negroes” advocating a radical fusion of socialism and “race politics.” In 1917, Socialists A. Philip Randolph and Chandler Owen launched the *Messenger*, a new magazine dedicated to radical socialism and black freedom. Its essays and poetry graphically portrayed racist violence and black resistance. Randolph and Owen also published editorials supporting Irish nationalism, women’s suffrage, and the Russian Revolution, which they initially called “the greatest achievement of the twentieth century.”

A year later, a new organization arrived on the Left bloc calling themselves the African Blood Brotherhood (ABB). A secret underground organization founded by the Caribbean-born editor Cyril Briggs, the ABB published *The Crusader*—originally the organ of the nationalistic Hamitic League of the World. Its leaders might be best described as militant black-nationalist Marxists; they advocated socialism but the heart of their agenda was armed self-defense against lynching, universal suffrage, equal rights for blacks, and the immediate end to segregation. Some, like W. A. Domingo, worked for both the socialists and the Garvey movement. Although a few women such as Grace Campbell and Bertha de Basco held important posts, the ABB presented its membership as black Bolsheviks and manly redeemers of the race willing to defend their communities to the death. *The Crusader* was imbued with a martial spirit, thus echoing the Garveyite *Negro World* and its constant appeals to militarism and manhood redemption. Moreover, they criticized President Woodrow Wilson for not applying the concept of self-determination to Africa, and during the “red summer” of 1919 when angry white mobs attacked black communities in several cities, founder

Cyril Briggs demanded “government of the Negro, by the Negro and for the Negro.” The ABB was a unique experiment in black Marxist organization; ABB leaders had secretly joined the Workers (Communist) Party very soon after the Brotherhood was founded.

These New Negro radicals challenged traditional socialist logic by insisting that struggles for black rights were inherently revolutionary. But the newly formed (and sharply divided) American Communist movement wasn’t down with the program. Like the Socialists before them, the Workers Party initially believed that “the interests of the Negro worker are identical with those of the white” and that black nationalism was “a weapon of reaction for the defeat and further enslavement of both [blacks] and their white brother workers.” Comintern officials, however, sided with the other “brothers.” Even before the Bolshevik victory, Lenin had begun to think of a strategy for dealing with “national minorities” in the event of a successful socialist revolution in Russia—a multinational creation of czarist imperialism. He proposed a union of socialist republics that gave nations within this union the right to secede. No matter how this might have worked in practice, in theory Lenin was saying that all nations had a right to self-determination, and that the working class was not just a conglomeration of atomized proletarians but possessed national identities. After the war, Lenin expanded his theses to include the colonies, which he regarded as oppressed nations. In 1920, with the assistance of Indian Communist M. N. Roy, Lenin drafted his famous “Theses on the National and Colonial Questions,” insisting that the “communist parties give direct support to the revolutionary movements among the dependent nations and those without equal rights (e.g., Ireland, and among American Negroes), and in the colonies.”

Lenin’s injunction shocked the U.S. Communist movement and invited America’s black Bolsheviks to speak with authority. After a half-century of being seen and not heard in national leadership circles, black radicals found a podium and an audience in the new headquarters of international Communism. One of the

most important figures to take advantage of the Soviet bully pulpit was Claude McKay, the Jamaican-born writer of the Harlem Renaissance whose poem “If We Must Die” became the unofficial anthem of the New Negro movement. Thanks to the groundbreaking scholarship of William Maxwell and Winston James, McKay’s role in the formation of Comintern policy has been recognized as larger than previously thought. He made his way to the Soviet Union in 1922, just in time to be an unofficial delegate to the Fourth World Congress of the Comintern. The Soviets were so fascinated with Negroes that he and the Communists’ official black delegate, Otto Huiswoud, were treated like celebrities. When McKay addressed the Congress, he put the question of race front and center, criticizing the American Communist Party and the labor movement for their racism and warning that unless the Left challenged white supremacy, the ruling classes would continue to use disaffected black workers as a foil against the revolutionary movement. In the end, McKay’s point was clear: The Negro stood at the fulcrum of class struggle; there could be no successful working-class movement without black workers at the center. Otto Huiswoud also addressed the Congress, emphasizing the incredible racism black workers confronted back home in the South and the role that Garveyism played as a force against imperialism worldwide. The Comintern responded immediately, forming a Negro Commission and committing resources to recruiting black cadres and supporting black liberation on a global scale.

Comintern officials were so impressed with McKay’s speech that they asked him to expand it into a small book, which was published in Russia under the title *Negry v Amerike* (1923) and eventually translated as *The Negroes in America*. This little book profoundly shaped Comintern policy on the Negro Question, offering a revisionist approach to Marxism, the implications of which we have yet to fully comprehend. Drawing on his observations as well as the writings of other Harlem radicals, such as Hubert Harrison and W. A. Domingo, McKay argued that race and slavery were the heart and soul of the nation, repeating his point

that only a commitment to black freedom could ensure socialism's success in the United States. For McKay, a commitment to black freedom also meant support for self-organization and self-determination. Rather than attack black nationalist movements for not being "class conscious," McKay called on the Left to support them. Why? Because the overwhelming racism made it difficult for black folk to think like a class; instead, they saw the world through colored glasses. He wryly observed, "the Negro in America is not permitted for one minute to forget his color, his skin, his race."

Delving into the psychology of race, class, and sexuality, McKay's analysis went much further than even his new friends in the Comintern dared to go. In a chapter titled "Sex and Economics," he concluded that the viciousness of white racism, which cut across class lines, could be partially explained by the white proletariat's "unusual neurotic fascination with the naked body and sexual organs of Negroes." Although this idea was underdeveloped, McKay hit on something traditional Marxism was ill equipped to deal with: the role of sex in the racial economy of the nation. McKay even resuscitated Ida B. Wells-Barnett's analysis of lynching and chivalry, arguing that the myth of the black rapist oppressed not only the entire black community but white women as well: "The white man who parades his chivalrous views of a woman . . . says to a white woman, 'You are under my protection and I can not trust you not to have relations with a colored man.' Thus, the white man directly confesses the white woman to be weak, and immoral in sexual conduct in her relations with a Negro man." In the end, he placed much of the responsibility on feminism to challenge racism directly, to challenge the black rapist myth and to defend women's virtue if they chose to have relations with black men.

McKay turned out to be much too critical for the American Communists and they soon parted company. And no matter how many resolutions were passed in Moscow in 1922, American Communist leaders were reluctant to go along with the program and generally distrusted Marcus Garvey and his appeals to race

pride. They even foolishly attempted to take over the UNIA! When that didn't work, the Communists (now the CPUSA) founded their own black organizations—first, the short-lived American Negro Labor Congress (ANLC) in 1925, and later the League of Struggle for Negro Rights in 1930, headed by none other than Langston Hughes. In 1928, once again as a result of black initiatives, the Comintern adopted its most radical position to date on the Negro Question. Promoted by Harry Haywood (née Haywood Hall), the Nebraska-born black Communist who had come through the ranks of the ABB, and South African Communist James LaGuma, the Comintern passed a resolution recognizing Negroes in the “black belt” counties of the American South as an oppressed nation. As a nation, like the Lithuanians or Georgians of the old Russian empire, they had a right to self-determination. They could secede if they wanted, perhaps even form a Negro Soviet Socialist Republic, but they were not encouraged to do so. The resolution, not surprisingly, met fierce opposition from white, and some black, party leaders, but for several black Communists it confirmed what they had long believed: African Americans had their own unique revolutionary tradition and their interests were not identical to those of white workers.

The Negro is nationalist to his heart and is perfectly right to be so.

C. L. R. James, “*Letter to Constance Webb*,” 1945

The new slogan did not persuade black Communists to attempt to seize Mississippi and secede from the United States, nor did it bring black folk to the Party in droves. Those who did join were attracted to the CPUSA's fight for the concrete economic needs of the unemployed and working poor, its militant opposition to racism, its vigorous courtroom battles on behalf of the “Scottsboro Boys” (nine young black men falsely accused of raping two white women in Alabama), and its active support and promotion of black arts and culture. Nevertheless, “self-determination” did create an opening for African Americans to promote race politics

in spite of the Party's formal opposition to "Negro nationalism." In 1929 the Party launched the *Liberator* under the editorship of Cyril Briggs. Like the *Crusader* before it, the *Liberator* nurtured something of a black nationalist literary movement. Ironically, Stalin's mechanical definition of a nation, which embraced a "community of culture" as a central concept, reinforced the modern nationalist idea that the basis of nationhood was a coherent culture. Independently of Stalin, however, the proponents of Negritude were also searching for that essential Negro or African culture that could lay the basis for Pan-African identity. Stalin's notion of a community of culture merely provided a Marxist justification for black Communists to join the search for the roots of a national Negro culture. As William L. Patterson, the outstanding attorney and Harlem Renaissance supporter turned Communist, wrote in 1933, the African-American nation was bound by a common culture: "The 'spirituals,' the jazz, their religious practices, a growing literature, descriptive of their environment, all of these are forms of cultural expression. . . . Are these not the prerequisites for nationhood?"

The Central Committee of the CPUSA was not interested in Patterson's question, nor was it promoting nationhood for black people, or for anyone for that matter. By 1935, the self-determination slogan was abandoned in order to build a "popular front" against fascism. Even the Comintern bracketed the Negro Question and pushed its American cadre to build alliances with liberals and mainstream labor leaders. Yet the power of the idea lingered precisely in the cultural realm Patterson was addressing. In 1937, Richard Wright, then the Communist Party's black literary giant, published his infamous "Blueprint for Negro Writing," in which he observed that "the Negro has a folklore which embodies the memories and hopes of his struggle for freedom." Even before Wright's proclamations, Communists of all colors promoted black folk culture as implicitly rebellious, if not the true expression of an oppressed nation.

During the Popular Front, the Party's view of black culture shifted even further, embracing a broad range of black art and

artists as not only inherently progressive but also profoundly American. Leftist critics, for example, had long promoted the idea that jazz represented the most profoundly democratizing culture the nation possessed—an argument we now associate with ex-Communist Ralph Ellison. Jazz permeated Communist Party events during the 1930s, and some of the first serious jazz critics got their start writing for the *Daily Worker* and other Communist publications. The Communist press became one of the biggest promoters of black theater, music, dance, and the plastic arts. As black artists began working for the federally funded Works Progress Administration in the late 1930s, a dynamic black woman named Louise Thompson became the Party’s critical liaison linking black popular culture and Harlem’s literati with Communist Popular Front politics. In 1938, for example, she and Langston Hughes organized the Harlem Suitcase Theatre, sponsored by the International Workers Order, which produced works by black playwrights. The Party’s high visibility in antiracist causes attracted more than a few bigwigs in the black entertainment world. Count Basie, W. C. Handy, Lena Horne, Andy Razaf, and Canada Lee performed at Communist-organized benefits, and the circle of black writers orbiting the Communist Left included Ralph Ellison, Sterling Brown, Chester Himes, Countee Cullen, Margaret Walker, Owen Dodson, Arna Bontemps, Frank Marshall Davis, Robert Hayden, Melvin Tolson, Dorothy West, the pioneering cartoonist Ollie Harrington, as well as the usual suspects, Hughes, McKay, and Wright.

One can certainly argue that the Communists fetishized black culture, but their reasons differed from the corporate entities who had taken Langston’s “blues and gone.” Black radicals forced the white Left to see and hear differently, and they and a few white rebels heard in the sounds and movements and writings the birth of a utopian future rising out of the abyss of racism and oppression. In this regard, no one played a more pivotal role in demonstrating the revolutionary potential of African-American expressive culture than Paul Robeson.

Son of a prominent minister, all-American athlete, honors

graduate of Rutgers University, star of stage and screen, and a brilliant concert singer to boot, Paul Robeson was on the road to becoming the richest, most famous Negro of the century. But in 1927 he and his wife, Eslanda Goode, moved to London and during their twelve-year sojourn were radicalized by their face-to-face confrontation with European fascism as well as by their meetings with British socialists and future leaders of the African, Caribbean, and Asian anticolonial movements. Robeson performed benefit concerts for British trade unions and learned first-hand of the wretched conditions of the English working class. He and Eslanda also toured the Soviet Union, whose people and history he came to admire even if he harbored private doubts about Stalin and his policies. The fact that the Soviet Union offered material support to anticolonial movements and backed democratically elected republican Spain against General Franco's fascist-backed armies further endeared Robeson to the Soviet Union and the Left more broadly.

This is only part of the story, for Robeson's radicalization cannot be summed up as simply a leftward migration into the orbit of international communism. As historian Sterling Stuckey convincingly argues, Robeson was drawn simultaneously toward a radical black cultural nationalism. A product of the American racial order, Robeson needed no political lessons about racism or the plight of his people back home. Nor did he need to be lectured on the resilient spirit of black people and the culture they had created to survive slavery and Jim Crow. What he did come to terms with in Europe was the deep cultural bonds between Africa and its diaspora. He and Eslanda enrolled in Ph.D. programs at the London School of Oriental Studies to study African culture (only Eslanda would complete her doctorate in anthropology). Robeson studied several African languages and planned to undertake a thorough study of West African folk song and folklore. As he wrote in a 1934 article in the London *Spectator*, his goal was to introduce the world to the beauty, power, and dignity of African and African-descended art. "I hope to be able to interpret this original and unpolluted [African] folk song to the West-

ern world and I am convinced that there lies a wealth of uncharted musical material in that source which I hope, one day, will evoke the response in English and American audiences which my Negro spirituals have done.”

He even understood himself to be “African,” both culturally and spiritually, and he saw in black cultural values the foundation for a new vision of a new society, one that could emancipate not only black people but the entire West. Indeed, Robeson’s cultural analysis became the basis for a radical revision of the Communist Party’s idea of self-determination.* Even as he became more deeply attached to the CPUSA, he supported an independent black radical movement grounded in the cultures and beliefs of the folk. As he wrote in his classic book, *Here I Stand* (1958),

The power of spirit that our people have is intangible, but it is a great force that must be unleashed in the struggles of today. A spirit of steadfast determination, exaltation in the face of trials—it is the very soul of our people that has been formed through all the long and weary years of our march toward freedom. . . . That spirit lives in our people’s songs—in the sublime grandeur of “Deep River,” in the driving power of “Jacob’s Ladder,” in the militancy of “Joshua Fit the Battle of Jericho,” and in the poignant beauty of all our spirituals.

That spirit, he insisted, was the key to the freedom of all humanity, particularly in the United States. Historically, black people had expanded democracy and rescued the United States from undemocratic forces, and black people had served as something of the moral conscience of the nation.

During the 1940s and 1950s, as the FBI, Senator Joe McCarthy, and various anti-Communist “witch hunters” dogged Robeson’s every step, he reminded his audiences of “the important role

* The story of the Party’s shifting positions is too complicated to go into here. It suffices to say that in 1946 and 1947, when the Party experienced its own internal crisis with the expulsion of General Secretary Earl Browder and his replacement with William Z. Foster, the “black belt” slogan was resurrected as a reassertion of the extreme left wing, but it was hardly promoted, and dropped out as quickly as it had been readopted.

which my people can and must play in helping to save America and the peoples of the world from annihilation and enslavement.” He told black labor leaders in Chicago: “In the Civil War, hundreds of thousands of Negro soldiers who took up arms in the Union cause won, not only their own freedom—the freedom of the Negro people—but, by smashing the institution of slave labor, provided the basis for the development of trade unions of free working men in America.” In other words, black self-determination was not simply a matter of guaranteeing democratic rights or removing the barriers to black political and economic power, nor was it a matter of creating a nation wherever black people found themselves to be an oppressed majority. It was about promoting and supporting an independent black radical movement that could lead the way to a revitalized international working-class assault on racial capitalism. Of course, Robeson was simply refining a version of an ongoing idea promoted by the ABB, Claude McKay, Richard Wright, and others we’ve met. It was an idea echoed, too, by Robeson’s friend, the Trinidadian Marxist and radical Pan-Africanist C. L. R. James, despite the fact that he aligned himself with the Communist Party’s arch enemies, followers of Leon Trotsky. In 1948, James wrote,

This independent Negro movement is able to intervene with terrific force upon the general social and political life of the nation, despite the fact that it is waged under the banner of democratic rights, and is not led necessarily either by the organized labor movement or the Marxist party. We say . . . that it is able to exercise a powerful influence upon the revolutionary proletariat, that it has got a great contribution to make to the development of the proletariat in the United States, and that it is in itself a constituent part of the struggle for socialism. In this way we challenge directly any attempt to subordinate or to push to the rear the social and political significance of the independent Negro struggle for democratic rights.

Even within the orbit of the Communist Party, Robeson found a few like-minded comrades who believed that an independent black movement was decisive for the success of a socialist revolu-

tion. Trinidadian-born Communist Claudia Jones took this idea further than everyone else, insisting that black women were a decisive group because they experienced capitalist oppression as Negroes, women, and workers, and thus their emancipation would result in the emancipation of all women and men. In her 1946 article, “An End to the Neglect of the Problems of Negro Women,” she argued, “The Negro question in the United States is *prior* to, and not equal to, the woman question; that only to the extent that we fight all chauvinist expressions and actions as regards the Negro people and fight for full equality of the Negro people, can women as a whole advance their struggle for equal rights.” In other words, the overthrow of class and gender oppression depended on the abolition of racism. For the women’s movement to be successful, she insisted, antiracism must be at the forefront of its agenda and black women must play leadership roles.

Whereas for Claudia Jones the structural position of black people—black women in particular—in the political economy placed them in the vanguard of the revolution, for Paul Robeson it was their culture that gave the black movement its special insight and character. In many ways, Robeson drew on a very old biblical tradition of “choseness” that stretched from nineteenth-century black nationalists such as David Walker to W. E. B. Du Bois to his later contemporaries like Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Black folk were the chosen people, the soul of the nation whose redemptive suffering would bring salvation. But Robeson’s talk of black spirit or even Negro spirituals was not necessarily rooted in the Bible. Rather, it came from his understanding of African culture, the peculiar history of enslavement in the modern world, and most importantly, a critique of Western civilization. In a 1936 article titled “Primitives,” Robeson took the Enlightenment tradition to task in an implicit attempt to explain the rise of fascism, which he saw as proof of “civilization’s” utter failure. “A blind groping after Rationality,” he mused, “resulted in an incalculable loss in pure Spirituality. Mankind placed a sudden dependence on the part of his mind that was brain, intellect, to the discountenance of that part that was sheer evolved instinct and intuition; we grasped at the shadow and lost the substance . . . and now we

are not altogether clear what the substance was.” The answer, he believed, was to make art and spirituality primary to social life, as it had been in the ancient world and as it continued to be in the folk cultures of Africa. He was convinced that American Negroes were in a unique position to make this happen, not only because they embodied many of the core cultural values of their ancestral homeland but because they represented the most self-conscious force living in the belly of the beast. They knew the West and its culture; they knew modernity and its limitations; their dreams of freedom could overturn a market-driven, warmongering rationality and give birth to a new humanity.

Again, Robeson was not alone in his critical assessment of Western civilization, especially in the aftermath of World War II. The horrors of Nazi genocide forced all thinking people, including black intellectuals all over the African diaspora, to take stock. As Cedric Robinson argued, a group of radical black intellectuals including W. E. B. Du Bois, Aimé Césaire, C. L. R. James, George Padmore, Ralph Bunche, Oliver Cox, and others, understood fascism not as some aberration from the march of progress, an unexpected right-wing turn, but a logical development of Western civilization itself. They viewed fascism as a blood relative of slavery and imperialism, global systems rooted not only in capitalist political economy but in racist ideologies that were already in place at the dawn of modernity. Du Bois made some of the clearest statements to this effect: “I knew that Hitler and Mussolini were fighting communism, and using race prejudice to make some white people rich and all colored people poor. But it was not until later that I realized that the colonialism of Great Britain and France had exactly the same object and methods as the fascists and the Nazis were trying clearly to use.” In *The World and Africa* (1947), he writes: “There was no Nazi atrocity—concentration camps, wholesale maiming and murder, defilement of women or ghastly blasphemy of childhood—which Christian civilization or Europe had not long been practicing against colored folk in all parts of the world in the name of and for the defense of a Superior Race born to rule the world.”

In other words, the chickens had come home to roost. The Holocaust that resulted in the murder of six million Jews was merely the most vicious manifestation of Europe's colonial policy. Although Jews did not occupy the same position held by colonial subjects in Africa, Asia, and the Caribbean, Du Bois and Robeson recognized that this act of mass genocide was not a “white-on-white crime.” They understood anti-Semitism as a racist ideology and knew that it was embedded deep in the fabric of Western culture. Unfortunately, neither Du Bois nor Robeson nor anyone else with a continuing commitment to the Left had anything to say about Stalin's atrocities—the political assassinations, the gulags, the Soviet state's hidden war against political dissidents and Russian Jews. Although it is not clear who knew what before Khrushchev unveiled these crimes to the world in 1956, the silence that followed these revelations is one of the great tragedies in the history of the Communist movement.

The other great tragedy, for the black freedom movement in particular, was the silencing of radical leadership. Robeson, Du Bois, and Claudia Jones were among the many victims of state-sponsored anticommunist witch hunts. Jones was imprisoned in 1951 under the Smith Act, which essentially outlawed membership in the Communist Party. After serving four years, she was deported to England, where she spent the remaining ten years of her life as a political activist. The federal government revoked Du Bois's and Robeson's passports and the FBI tapped their telephones and dogged every step they took. Du Bois was arrested in 1951 for his involvement in the Peace Information Center and charged with treason and conspiracy, though the charges were subsequently dropped. Dr. Du Bois was deemed such a significant threat to national security that federal marshals handcuffed him; he was just a few days shy of his eighty-third birthday. Established black middle-class leadership also turned a cold shoulder to both men, criticizing Robeson in particular for suggesting that black people ought to struggle for peace rather than wage war on the Soviet Union. In an effort to offset Robeson's criticisms of U.S. foreign policy and discredit him, the House Un-

American Activities Committee (HUAC) brought out Jackie Robinson, the first black player in major league baseball, to testify against Robeson. Although Robeson spoke eloquently on behalf of civil liberties and African-American rights before HUAC, he was labeled a “Red” by the state, and the label stuck. This event marked the beginning of his downward descent; by the end of the 1950s, Robeson’s career had been pretty much destroyed. He had trouble securing bookings (especially during the period when his passport was revoked), fell into a deep depression, and eventually suffered a nervous breakdown.

Cold War repression did not stop the movement, however. Inside the belly of the beast, black radical leaders began working actively in support of anticolonial movements. Robeson, Du Bois, Alphaeus Hunton, Shirley Graham (soon to be Du Bois’s wife), William L. Patterson and Louise Thompson Patterson, writer-playwright Lorraine Hansberry, and others began actively backing anticolonial movements in Africa and the Caribbean. Du Bois and Robeson headed the Council on African Affairs to promote and support the African nationalist movement. They appealed to the UN to demand independence for the colonies, including South-West Africa, which had been placed under South Africa’s “trusteeship.” And they brought the international struggle home. In 1951, they submitted a petition to the UN, with support from the Civil Rights Congress—a left-leaning national civil-rights organization akin to the old International Labor Defense and led by William L. Patterson—charging the United States with genocide and violation of human rights. They cited, among other things, the continuation of racist terror in the South, segregation, joblessness, poverty, police violence, and disfranchisement. The petition did not get very far, however; American representatives used their influence to block the Human Rights Commission from even discussing it.*

*This was not the first such petition submitted to the UN. In 1946, as soon as the UN established its Commission on Human Rights, W. E. B. Du Bois, on behalf of the National Negro Congress, presented a petition on behalf of the entire black world seeking “relief from oppression.” It emphasized issues like poverty, schooling, housing

But the efforts of the Civil Rights Congress were just the beginning. After 1954, the Southern freedom movement rose with such force that it shocked white supremacists and liberals alike. The streets of Montgomery, Birmingham, New Orleans, and even Jackson, Mississippi, began to look like Johannesburg and Durban, South Africa. Nothing could stop these movements, not even the jailing and deportation of suspected Communists, the outlawing of the NAACP, or the general suspension of civil liberties. Nevertheless, it was clear to all that the next wave of black radicalism would not be the same. Decolonization and the Chinese Revolution meant that there were new kids on the historical bloc, new sources for political imagination, and new prospects for freedom.

conditions, high black mortality rates, and segregation, and it linked the conditions of African Americans with that of the colonized world. Less than a year later, the NAACP submitted its own petition. Du Bois was also central to this effort: submitted on behalf of fourteen million black people, the petition was endorsed by black organizations and leaders from around the world. The 155-page document titled “An Appeal to the World: A Statement on the Denial of Human Rights to Minorities in the Case of Citizens of Negro Descent in the United States of America and an Appeal to the United States for Redress,” was a detailed list of grievances against the U.S. state. See Azza Salama Layton, *International Politics and Civil Rights Policies in the United States, 1941–1960* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000), 48–58. See also William L. Patterson, *The Man Who Cried Genocide: An Autobiography* (New York: International Publishers, 1971); Penny Von Eschen, *Race Against Empire* (Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 1997); Brenda Gayle Plummer, *Rising Wind: Black Americans and United States Foreign Affairs, 1935–1960* (Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1996), 167–297; Hollis R. Lynch, *Black American Radicals and the Liberation of Africa: The Council on African Affairs, 1937–1955* (Ithaca, N.Y.: Center for Research in Africana Studies, 1978); and Gerald Horne, *Communist Front? The Civil Rights Congress, 1946–1956* (London and Toronto: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, 1988).

“ROARING FROM THE EAST”: THIRD WORLD DREAMING

The specter of a storm is haunting the Western world. . . . The Great Storm, the coming Black Revolution, is rolling like a tornado; roaring from the East; shaking the moorings of the earth as it passes through countries ruled by oppressive regimes; toppling the walls of mighty institutions; filling the well paved, colonial streets with crimson rivers of blood. Yes, all over this sullen planet, the multi-colored “hordes” of undernourished millions are on the move like never before in human history. They are moving to the rhythms of a New Song, a New Sound; dancing in the streets to a Universal Dream that haunts their wretched nights: they dream of Freedom! Their minds are fueled and refueled by the fires of that dream.

Rolland Snellings (Askia Muhammad Toure),
“Afro-American Youth and the Bandung World,” 1965

The story of the shift from civil rights to “Black Power” has been told so many times, in books, documentary films, in African-American history courses all across the United States, that it has become a kind of common sense. It usually begins with the murder of Emmett Till, quickly followed by *Brown v. Board of Edu-*

Portions of this chapter were cowritten with Betsy Esch.

cation in 1954—both events spurring an already hopeful, if not angry, black community into action. Black anger and hopefulness are traced to black support for the Good War against fascism abroad a decade earlier; blacks were, after all, loyal to America, and now it was time for the state to grant black folk democracy and citizenship. Then Montgomery showed the world what black protest could accomplish, thus giving birth to the modern civil rights movement. Local and national campaigns waged by the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), the Congress on Racial Equality (CORE), the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), to name only the big three, fought for citizenship, the right to vote, and desegregation, and succeeded in getting the federal government to pass the Civil Rights Act (1964) and the Voting Rights Act (1965).

These were Pyrrhic victories, to say the least. Activists were killed and the FBI did nothing about it. The Democratic Party rejected the only hope for real democracy in Mississippi—the Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party led by Fannie Lou Hamer, who tried but failed to represent disfranchised black voters at the 1964 Democratic convention. In 1965, civil rights marchers in Selma were “turned back” by Alabama state troopers and local police, and Dr. King apparently relented, striking a mighty blow to the morale of the movement. Meanwhile, the emergence of Malcolm X and his subsequent assassination, events exacerbated by the wave of urban rebellions between 1964 and 68, served as catalysts for rising black nationalist sentiment. SNCC members start to carry guns to protect themselves; SNCC’s black leaders, particularly folks like Stokely Carmichael and Willie Ricks, began to question the movement’s integrationist agenda. Then, during the summer of 1966, the slogan “Black Power” emerged full blown among black SNCC and CORE militants. They were tired of and impatient with the slow pace of the civil rights establishment, and a new attitude overtook the movement: no more compromise, no more “deals” with white liberals, no more subordinating the struggle to the needs of the Democratic Party. Out of bitter disappointment rose a new black revolution.

In other words, high expectations begot the civil rights movement; the movement's failure to achieve all its goals and to deal with urban poverty begot Black Power. The flowering of black nationalism in the mid- to late 1960s is usually presented as an evolutionary process, a stage in the development of postwar black politics. It's a neat typology, to be sure, but one that obscures more than it reveals. First, it is a tale too often limited to the domestic sphere, to the U.S. nation-state. Even black nationalism tends to be cast in terms of riots and "buy black" campaigns rather than black activists' support for anticolonial movements and Third World solidarity. Second, given historians' South-to-North trajectory, the Northern urban political landscape of the late 1950s was overshadowed by the Southern struggle; after all, the South was where the TV cameras were before the riots. The third reason, of course, has to do with a general conspiracy of silence against the most radical elements of the black freedom movement, the movements and activists that spoke of revolution, socialism, and self-determination, and looked to the Third World for models of black liberation in the United States. These movements, while often small and sometimes isolated, confound our narrative of the black freedom movement, for they were independent of both the white Left and the mainstream civil rights movement. Directing much of their attention to working-class struggles, urban poverty and racism, and police brutality, little-known groups such as the Revolutionary Action Movement (RAM) were also influenced by uprisings and revolutions in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. Following the lead of Malcolm X, Vicki Garvin, Robert Williams, Harold Cruse, and others, RAM militants sought to understand the African-American condition through an analysis of global capitalism, imperialism, and Third World liberation well before the riots of the mid-1960s. In other words, a vision of global class revolution led by oppressed people of color was not an outgrowth of the civil rights movement's failure but existed alongside, sometimes in tension with, the movement's main ideas. To paraphrase Malcolm X, black radicals were not interested in integrating into a burning house; they wanted revolutionary transformation and

recognized that such a revolution was inextricably linked to the struggles of colonized people around the world.

The Specter of a Storm

As we learned in the previous chapter, black radicals viewed the emerging freedom movement in the United States as part of a global assault on empire. Inspired in part by the historic 1955 meeting of nonaligned nations in Bandung, Indonesia—mostly former colonies that set out on a path independent of American or Soviet influence—African-American radicals were genuinely excited by the possibility of allies in the Third World who might support their own local movements. Some heard the idea of a black American alliance with the nonaligned world in young Malcolm X, who gave a speech as early as 1954 comparing the situation in Vietnam with that of the Mau Mau rebellion in colonial Kenya. The Mau Mau rebellion was an uprising of the predominantly Kikuyu Land and Freedom Army, which waged an armed movement during the early 1950s to force the British colonists off the Kikuyus' land. In Malcolm's view, both these movements were uprisings of the “darker races” and thus part of a “tidal wave” against U.S. and European imperialism. In fact, Africa remained his primary political focus outside black America. He toured Egypt, Sudan, Nigeria, and Ghana in 1959, well before his famous trip to Africa and the Middle East in 1964. And when Fidel Castro, the new Cuban president and leader of the revolution there, visited the UN for the first time in 1960 and decided to relocate from a downtown hotel to Harlem's Hotel Theresa, Malcolm was among the first to greet him.

Well over a year before the 1963 march on Washington, critic, activist, and ex-Communist Harold Cruse seemed to have his finger on the pulse when he suggested that the Cuban, Chinese, and African revolutions influenced radical thought among black Americans. In a provocative essay published in the *New Leader*, Cruse wrote that the new generation looked to the former colonial world for leadership:

Already they have a pantheon of modern heroes—Lumumba, Kwame Nkrumah, Sekou Toure in Africa; Fidel Castro in Latin America; Malcolm X, the Muslim leader, in New York; Robert Williams in the South; and Mao Tse-tung in China. These men seem heroic to the Afro-Americans not because of their political philosophy, but because they were either former colonials who achieved complete independence, or because, like Malcolm X, they dared to look the white community in the face and say: “We don’t think your civilization is worth the effort of any black man to try to integrate into.” This to many Afro-Americans is an act of defiance that is truly revolutionary.

Revolutions in Cuba, Africa, and China had a similar effect on poet, playwright, and critic Amiri Baraka. As Baraka explained it, “Ghana’s Kwame Nkrumah had hoisted the black star over the statehouse in Accra, and Nkrumah’s pronouncements and word of his deeds were glowing encouragement to colored people all over the world. When the Chinese exploded their first A-bomb I wrote a poem saying, in effect, that *time* for the colored peoples had rebegun.” Baraka, along with Cruse and several other black intellectuals, visited Cuba in 1960 and returned home transformed. “I carried so much back with me,” he recalled in his autobiography, “that I was never the same again. The dynamic of the revolution had touched me.” Upon his return he published an important essay, “Cuba Libre,” in *Evergreen Review* that challenged his generation of artists to become involved in radical movements.

The Ghana-China matrix is perhaps best embodied in the career of Vickie Garvin, a stalwart radical who traveled in Harlem’s black leftist circles during the postwar period. Raised in a black working-class family in New York, Garvin spent her summers working in the garment industry to supplement her family’s income. As early as her high school days, she became active in black protest politics, supporting efforts by Adam Clayton Powell Jr. to obtain better-paying jobs for African Americans in Harlem

and creating black history clubs dedicated to building library resources. After earning her B.A. in political science from Hunter College and an M.A. in economics from Smith College, she spent the war years working for the National War Labor Board and continued on as an organizer for the United Office and Professional Workers of America—Congress of Industrial Organizations (UOPWA-CIO) and as national research director and co-chair of the Fair Employment Practices Committee. During the postwar purges of the Left in the CIO, Garvin was a strong voice of protest and a sharp critic of the CIO’s failure to organize in the South. As executive secretary of the New York chapter of the National Negro Labor Council and vice-president of the national organization, Garvin established close ties to Malcolm X and helped him arrange part of his tour of Africa.

Garvin joined the black intellectual exodus to Nkrumah’s Ghana, where she initially roomed with poet Maya Angelou and eventually moved into a house next to Du Bois. She spent two years in Accra, surrounded by several key black intellectuals and artists, including Julian Mayfield, artist Tom Feelings, and cartoonist Ollie Harrington. As a radical who taught conversational English to the Cuban, Algerian, and Chinese diplomatic core in Ghana, she found it hard *not* to develop a deeply internationalist outlook. Conversations with Du Bois during his last days in Ghana only reinforced her internationalism and kindled her interest in the Chinese Revolution. Indeed, through Du Bois Garvin got a job as a “polisher” for the English translations of the *Peking Review* and a teaching position at the Shanghai Foreign Language Institute. She remained in China from 1964 to 1970, building bridges between the black freedom struggle, African independence movements, and the Chinese Revolution.

Poet and veteran radical Ramon Durem learned his first lessons in international solidarity on the battle fields of Spain more than two decades before the Cuban Revolution. Like many of his black comrades, he believed that fighting in defense of republican Spain against Franco’s fascists (1936–39) was a way of avenging Ethiopia for Mussolini’s bloody invasion in 1935. Durem had

hoped that after World War II the Left would devote its energies to the black freedom movement, but he was disappointed. “At the end of World War Two,” he wrote, “I discovered that even the white radicals were not interested in a radical solution to the Negro Question.” Although Durem embraced black nationalism, his commitment to internationalism did not seem to waiver. As early as 1962, he shared Cruse’s insight that the rise of urban black nationalism was “part of the general world colonial revolution.” His poem, “Hipping the Hip” is even more telling. A critique of the Beat generation and its false claim to radicalism, he suggested looking to Africa and China for possible alternatives:

Juice
is no use
and H
don’t pay

I guess revolution
is the only way

Blues—is a tear
Bop—a fear
of reality.
There’s no place to hide
in a horn

Chinese may be lame
but they ain’t tame

Mau Mau only got a five-tone scale
but when it comes to Freedom, Jim—
they wail!

dig?

Like Africa, China was on the move and there was a general sense that the Chinese supported the liberation movements throughout the black world, including in the United States. In 1957, two years after the historic meeting of nonaligned nations in Bandung, China formed the Afro-Asian People’s Solidarity Orga-

nization. Mao not only invited W. E. B. Du Bois to spend his ninetieth birthday in China, but three weeks before the great march on Washington in 1963, Mao issued a statement criticizing American racism and casting the African-American freedom movement as part of the worldwide struggle against imperialism. “The evil system of colonialism and imperialism,” Mao stated, “arose and throve with the enslavement of Negroes and the trade in Negroes, and it will surely come to its end with the complete emancipation of the black people.” A decade later, novelist John Oliver Killens was impressed by the fact that several of his own books, as well as works by other black writers, had been translated into Chinese and were widely read by students. Everywhere he went, it seemed, he met young intellectuals and workers “tremendously interested in the Black movement and in how the art and literature of Black folks reflected that movement.”

The status of the Chinese as people of color served as a powerful political tool in mobilizing support from Africans and African-descended people. In 1963, for example, Chinese delegates in Moshi, Tanzania, proclaimed that the Russians had no business in Africa because they were white. The Chinese, on the other hand, were not only part of the colored world but unlike Europeans they never took part in the slave trade. Of course, most of these claims serve to facilitate alliance building. The fact was that African slaves could be found in Guangzhou during the twelfth century, and African students in Communist China occasionally complained of racism. (Indeed, after Mao’s death, racial clashes on college campuses occurred more frequently, notably in Shanghai in 1979, Nanjing in 1980, and Tianjin in 1986.) Furthermore, Chinese foreign policy toward the black world was often driven more by strategic considerations than by a commitment to Third World revolutionary movements, especially after the Sino-Soviet split. China’s anti-Soviet position resulted in foreign policy decisions that ultimately undermined China’s standing with certain African liberation movements. In southern Africa, for example, the Chinese backed movements that also received support from the apartheid regime of South Africa!

Despite China’s dismal foreign policy toward Africa, countless

black radicals of that era regarded China, not unlike Cuba or Ghana or even Paris, as the land where true freedom might be had. It wasn't perfect, but it was much better than living in the belly of the beast. When Black Panther leader Elaine Brown visited Beijing in the fall of 1970, she was pleasantly surprised by what the Chinese revolution had achieved in terms of improving people's lives. "Old and young would spontaneously give emotional testimonies, like Baptist converts, to the glories of socialism." A year later she returned with Black Panther founder Huey Newton, who described his experience in China as a "sensation of freedom—as if a great weight had been lifted from my soul and I was able to be myself, without defense or pretense or the need for explanation. I felt absolutely free for the first time in my life—completely free among my fellow men."

More than a decade before Brown and Newton set foot on Chinese soil, W. E. B. Du Bois had regarded China, along with Africa, as a "sleeping giant" poised to lead the colored races in the worldwide struggle against imperialism. He had first traveled there in 1936—before the war and the revolution—during an extended visit to the Soviet Union. Returning in 1959 when it was illegal to travel to China, Du Bois discovered a new country. He was struck by the transformation of the Chinese, in particular what he perceived as the emancipation of women, and left convinced that China would lead the underdeveloped nations on the road toward socialism. "China after long centuries," he told an audience of Chinese Communists attending his ninety-first birthday celebration, "has arisen to her feet and leapt forward. Africa arise, and stand straight, speak and think! Act! Turn from the West and your slavery and humiliation for the last five hundred years and face the rising sun."

In short, China offered black radicals a "colored" or Third World Marxist model that enabled them to challenge a white and Western vision of class struggle—a model they shaped and re-shaped to suit their own cultural and political realities. Although China's role was contradictory and problematic in many respects, the fact that Chinese peasants, as opposed to the European pro-

letariat, had made a socialist revolution and carved out a position in world politics distinct from the Soviet and U.S. camps endowed black radicals with a deeper sense of revolutionary importance and power. Finally, Mao not only proved to black folks the world over that they need not wait for “objective conditions” to make revolution, but his elevation of the cultural struggle also profoundly shaped debates surrounding black arts and politics.

“The Coming Black Revolution”

For future Black Panther Party (BPP) leader Huey Newton, the African revolution seemed even less crucial than events in Cuba and China. As a student at Merritt College in the early 1960s, he read a little existentialism, began attending meetings sponsored by the Progressive Labor Party, and supported the Cuban Revolution. Not surprisingly, Newton began to read Marxist literature voraciously. Mao, in particular, left a lasting impression: “My conversion was complete when I read the four volumes of Mao Tse-tung to learn more about the Chinese Revolution.” Thus well before the founding of the BPP, Newton was steeped in Mao’s thought as well as the writings of Che Guevara, the Cuban revolutionary and theorist of guerrilla movements, and Frantz Fanon, the Martinican-born psychiatrist who moved to Algeria and participated in the revolution there. Fanon was well known for two books, *Black Skin, White Masks* and *The Wretched of the Earth*, both reflections on the social, cultural, economic, and psychological impact of colonialism. “Mao and Fanon and Guevara all saw clearly that the people had been stripped of their birthright and their dignity, not by a philosophy or mere words, but at gunpoint. They had suffered a holdup by gangsters, and rape; for them, the only way to win freedom was to meet force with force.”

The Chinese and Cubans’ willingness “to meet force with force” also made these revolutions attractive to black radicals in the age of nonviolent passive resistance. Of course, the Southern movement had its share of armed activists, with groups like the

Deacons for Defense and Justice and Gloria Richardson's Cambridge movement, which defended nonviolent protesters when necessary. But the figure who best embodied black traditions of armed self-defense was Robert Williams, a hero to the new wave of black internationalists, whose importance almost rivaled that of Malcolm X. A former U.S. Marine with extensive military training, Williams earned notoriety in 1957 for forming armed self-defense groups in Monroe, North Carolina, to fight the Ku Klux Klan. At the time, Williams, the local NAACP president, and Dr. Albert Perry, head of the Union County Council on Human Relations, were engaged in a nonviolent campaign to desegregate the city's swimming pools. The Klan attempted to intimidate movement activists by firebombing and shooting into black homes, which then prompted Williams to organize armed self-defense groups. Apparently, their efforts were effective. When a Klan caravan attempted to shoot into Dr. Perry's home, the men stationed to protect Dr. Perry returned fire, forcing the Klansmen to retreat. The attacks suddenly stopped and the presence of armed self-defense groups in Monroe's black community brought a dramatic drop in incidents of violence. Two years later, Williams's statement that black people must "meet violence with violence" as the only way to end injustice in an uncivilized South led to his suspension as president of the Monroe chapter of the NAACP.

Williams's break with the NAACP and his open advocacy of armed self-defense pushed him further left, into the orbit of the Socialist Workers Party, the Workers World Party, and some members of the old CPUSA. However, Williams had had contact with Communists since his days as a Detroit autoworker in the 1940s. He not only read the *Daily Worker* but published a story in its pages called "Some Day I Am Going Back South." Williams was also something of an intellectual dabbler and autodidact, having studied at West Virginia State College, North Carolina College, and Johnson C. Smith College. Nevertheless, his more recent leftist associations led him to Cuba and the Fair Play for Cuba Committee. Upon returning from his first trip in 1960, he hoisted

the Cuban flag in his backyard and ran a series of articles in his mimeographed publication, the *Crusader*, about the transformation of working peoples' lives in Cuba as a result of the revolution. In one of his editorials published in August 1960, Williams insisted that African Americans' fight for freedom "is related to the Africans', the Cubans', all of Latin Americans' and the Asians' struggles for self-determination." His support of the Chinese Revolution was also evident in the pages of the *Crusader*; he emphasized the importance of China as a beacon of strength for social justice movements the world over. Like Baraka, Williams took note of China's detonation of an atomic bomb in 1960 as a historic occasion for the oppressed. "With the bomb," he wrote, "China will be respected and will add a powerful voice to those who already plead for justice for black as well as white."

By 1961, as a result of trumped-up kidnapping charges and a federal warrant for his arrest, Williams, his wife Mabel, and their children were forced to flee the country and seek political asylum in Cuba. During the next four years, Cuba became Williams's base for promoting black world revolution and elaborating an internationalist ideology that embraced black nationalism and Third World solidarity. With support from Fidel Castro, Williams hosted a radio show called *Radio Free Dixie* that was directed at African Americans, continued to edit the *Crusader* (which by now had progressed from a mimeograph to a full-blown magazine), and completed his book *Negroes with Guns* (1962). He did not, however, identify himself as a Marxist. At the same time, he rejected the "nationalist" label, calling himself an "internationalist" instead: "That is, I'm interested in the problems of Africa, of Asia, and of Latin America. I believe that we all have the same struggle; a struggle for liberation."

Williams recalls having had good relations with Castro, but political differences over race led to a rift between him and the Cuban Communists. "The Party," Williams remembered, "maintained that it was strictly a class issue and that once the class problem had been solved through a socialist administration, racism would be abolished." Williams not only disagreed but had moved

much closer to Che Guevara, who embodied much of what Williams had been advocating all along: Third World solidarity, the use of armed struggle, and a deep and unwavering interest in the African revolution. Che's formal breach with the Soviet Communist Party came when, addressing the Organization for Afro-Asian Solidarity at Algiers in February 1965, he charged the USSR with being a "tacit accomplice of imperialism" by not trading exclusively with the Communist bloc and by not giving underdeveloped socialist countries aid without any thought of return. He also attacked the Soviet government for its policy of peaceful coexistence. Although Fidel and Che disagreed, they continued to work together, and Guevara focused his attention on internationalizing the revolutionary socialist movement with Castro's blessing. In 1965 he led a small army to the Congo in a failed effort to back a dissident movement there, and two years later he and his men joined guerrilla forces in Bolivia, where he was captured and executed. It seems clear that Che's commitment to internationalism as well as his leanings toward China influenced Robert and Mabel Williams's decision to leave Cuba for Beijing in 1966.

As an exiled revolutionary in China during its most tumultuous era, Williams nevertheless predicted that urban rebellions in America's ghettos would transform the country. Although one might argue that by publishing the *Crusader* from Cuba and then China, Williams had very limited contact with the black freedom movement in the United States, his magazine reached a new generation of young black militants by mail and promoted the vision of black world revolution articulated by critics such as Harold Cruse. The fact is, the *Crusader* and Williams's own example compelled a small group of black radical intellectuals and activists to form what might loosely be called the first black Maoist-influenced organization in history: the Revolutionary Action Movement (RAM).

Robert Williams's flight to Cuba turned out to be a major catalyst for the creation of RAM. In Ohio around 1961, black members of the campus-based Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) as well as Civil Rights activists in SNCC and CORE, met in a small group to discuss the significance of Williams's work in

Monroe and his subsequent exile. Led by Donald Freeman, a black student at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, the group's main core consisted of a newly formed organization made up of Central State College at Wilberforce students calling themselves Challenge. Members of Challenge were especially taken with Harold Cruse's 1962 essay "Revolutionary Nationalism and the Afro-American," which was circulated widely among young black militants. In it he argued that black people in the United States were living under domestic colonialism and that their struggles must be seen as part of the worldwide anticolonial movement. "The failure of American Marxists," he wrote, "to understand the bond between the Negro and the colonial peoples of the world has led to their failure to develop theories that would be of value to Negroes in the United States." He reversed the traditional argument that the success of socialism in the developed West was key to the emancipation of colonial subjects and the development of socialism in the Third World. Instead, he saw the former colonies as the vanguard of the revolution; at the forefront of this new socialist revolution were Cuba and China. "The revolutionary initiative has passed to the colonial world, and in the United States is passing to the Negro, while Western Marxists theorize, temporize and debate."

Inspired by Cruse's interpretation of domestic colonialism and the global importance of the black freedom struggle, Freeman hoped to turn Challenge into a revolutionary nationalist movement possessed of the discipline, organization, and problack ideology of the Nation of Islam, but one that would engage in sit-ins, marches, and various acts of civil disobedience. After a lengthy debate, Challenge members decided to dissolve the organization in spring 1962 and form the Revolutionary Action Movement (RAM) led primarily by Freeman, Max Stanford, and Wanda Marshall. Initially, the group called themselves the *Reform* Action Movement so as not to alarm the administration, but once they decided to maintain RAM as a semiunderground organization, they changed the name and decided to become a small, select vanguard of the black liberation movement.

Freeman and RAM members in Cleveland continued to work

publicly through the Afro-American Institute, an activist policy-oriented think tank formed in fall 1962. Under Freeman's directorship, its board—dubbed the Soul Circle—consisted of a small group of black men with ties to community organizations, labor, and civil rights and student groups. Board members such as Henry Glover, Arthur Evans, Nate Bryant, and Hanif Wahab gave lectures on African history and politics and organized forums to debate the future of the civil rights movement, black participation in Cleveland politics, and the economic conditions of urban blacks. The institute even recruited the great drummer Max Roach to help organize a panel on “The Role of the Black Artist in the Struggle for Freedom.” Institute members also used leaflets and pamphlets to influence black community thinking on a number of local and international issues. Addressed “To Whom It May Concern,” these short broadsides were intended to stimulate discussion and offer the black community positions on pressing topics such as “elections, urban renewal, Black economic subservience, the ‘arms race,’ and the struggle in the South.” Within a year, the institute graduated from leafletting to a full-blown newsletter titled *Afropinion*. Through the Afro-American Institute, RAM members in Cleveland worked with CORE activists and other community organizers to demand improvements in hospital care for black patients and to protest the exclusion of African and Afro-American history from the public school curriculum. Their most important campaign of 1963 was the defense of Mae Mallory, a black woman who was being held in the county jail in Cleveland for her association with Robert Williams in Monroe, North Carolina. Soon after Williams's flight to Cuba, Mallory was arrested in Ohio and awaited extradition charges. The institute and its allies, including the Nation of Islam in Cleveland, petitioned the governor of Ohio to revoke the warrant of extradition and organized a mass demonstration in front of the county jail demanding Mallory's immediate release.

In northern California, RAM grew primarily out of the Afro-American Association. Founded by Donald Warden in 1962, the Afro-American Association consisted of students from the Uni-

versity of California at Berkeley and Merritt College—many of whom, such as Leslie and Jim Lacy, Cedric Robinson, Ernest Allen, and Huey Newton, would go on to play important roles as radical activists and intellectuals. In Los Angeles, the president of the Afro-American Association was a young man named Ron Everett, who later changed his name to Ron Karenga and went on to found US Organization. The Afro-American Association quickly developed a reputation as a group of militant intellectuals willing to debate anyone. By challenging professors, debating groups such as the Young Socialist Alliance, and giving public lectures on black history and culture, these young men left a deep impression on fellow students as well as on the black community. In the East Bay, where the tradition of soapbox speakers had died in the 1930s, save individual campaigns guided by the Communist-led Civil Rights Congress during the early 1950s, the Afro-American Association was walking and talking proof that a vibrant, highly visible militant intellectual culture could exist.

Meanwhile, the Progressive Labor Movement (PL) had begun sponsoring trips to Cuba and recruited several radical black students in the East Bay to go along. Among them was Ernest Allen, a University of California at Berkeley transfer student from Merritt College who had been active in the Afro-American Association. A working-class kid from Oakland, Allen was part of a generation of black radicals whose dissatisfaction with the civil rights movement's strategy of nonviolent passive resistance drew them closer to Malcolm X and Third World liberation movements. Not surprisingly, through his trip to Cuba in 1964 he discovered RAM. Allen's travel companions included a contingent of black militants from Detroit: Luke Tripp, Charles (“Mao”) Johnson, Charles Simmons, and General Baker. All were members of the student group Uhuru, and all went on to play key roles in the formation of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers, a radical workers' group formed out of a series of wildcat strikes in Detroit's auto industry. Incredibly, RAM leader Max Stanford was already on the island visiting Robert Williams. When it was time to go back to the states, Allen and the Detroit group were committed to

building RAM. Allen stopped in Cleveland to meet with RAM members on his cross-country bus trip back to Oakland. Armed with copies of Robert Williams's *Crusader* magazine and related RAM material, Allen returned to Oakland intent on establishing RAM's presence in the East Bay. Never more than a handful of people, folks such as Isaac Moore, Kenn Freeman (Mamadou Lumumba), Bobby Seale (future founder of the BPP) and Doug Allen (Ernie's brother) established a base at Merritt College through the Soul Students Advisory Council. The group's intellectual and cultural presence, however, was broadly felt. Allen, Freeman, and others founded a journal called *Soulbook: The Revolutionary Journal of the Black World*, which published prose and poetry that was best described as leftist black-nationalist in orientation. Freeman, in particular, was highly respected among RAM activists and widely read. He constantly pushed his members to think about black struggle in a global context. The editors of *Soulbook* also developed ties with Old Left black radicals, most notably former Communist Harry Haywood, whose work they published in an early issue.

Although RAM had established itself in northern California and Cleveland, Ohio, by 1964 Philadelphia appeared to be RAM's home base. It was in Philadelphia, after all, that RAM maintained an open existence, operating under its own name rather than a variety of front organizations. The strength of the Philadelphia chapter has much to do with the fact that it was also the home of Max Stanford, RAM's national field chairman. From Philadelphia RAM published a bimonthly paper called *Black America* and a one-page newsletter called *RAM Speaks*; made plans to build a national movement oriented toward revolutionary nationalism, youth organizing, and armed self-defense; and recruited several Philadelphia activists to the group, including Ethel Johnson (who had also worked with Robert Williams in Monroe), Stan Daniels, and Playthell Benjamin. Subsequently, RAM recruited a group of young Philadelphia militants who would go on to play key roles in radical organizations, including Michael Simmons—one of the authors of SNCC's famous

“Black Consciousness Paper”—whose resistance to the draft resulted in his serving a two-and-a-half-year prison sentence, and Tony Monteiro, who went on to become a leading national figure in the CPUSA during the 1970s and 1980s.

At the outset, it seemed as though RAM leaders were not all in agreement on the usefulness of Marxism for black liberation. Indeed, circumstantial evidence suggests that the Philadelphia leadership was to the left of people like Warden in California and Freeman in Ohio. Freeman did call for collectively owned black enterprises “in order to eliminate total subjugation to white capitalism” but he insisted that white “socialists and Marxists do not possess the solutions to the ills of Black America.” Warden was even less ambivalent about black capitalism: “We must develop our own planned businesses where efficiency, thrift and sacrifice are stressed. . . . The capital for such industries also is available from our own community, if it could be diverted from the consumption of alcohol, bleaching creams and preachers’ Cadillacs.” On the other hand, we cannot assume that Warden’s position was representative of the entire California Association, for as Ernie Allen reminds us and the pages of *Soulbook* indicate, Warden’s ideas were constantly challenged from the left.

By the middle of 1964 and early 1965, the left wing of RAM had clearly won out. Under Max Stanford’s leadership, RAM proclaimed its adherence to “Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse-tung thought” as it applied to the conditions of black people. They also claimed to be the first organization to advance “the theory that the Black liberation movement in the United States was part of the vanguard of the world socialist revolution.” RAM’s greater leftward turn can be attributed in part to its ideological mentors, who in some respects bridged 1930s and 1940s radicalism and the black New Left. Besides Robert Williams, young RAM militants sought political guidance from a number of former black Communists who had either been expelled for “ultraleftism” or “bourgeois nationalism,” or had bolted the Party because of its “revisionism.” Among this group of elders were Harold Cruse, Harry Haywood, Abner Berry, and “Queen Mother” Audley Moore. In-

deed, Moore would go on to become one of RAM's most important mentors on the East Coast, offering members training in black nationalist thought and Marxism. The Queen Mother's Philadelphia home, which she affectionately called Mount Addis Ababa, practically served as a school for a new generation of young black radicals. She founded the African-American Party of National Liberation in 1963, which formed a provisional government and elected Robert Williams as premier in exile. RAM also turned to Detroit's legendary ex-Trotskyists James and Grace Lee Boggs, former comrades of C. L. R. James, whose Marxist and Pan-Africanist writings greatly influenced RAM members as well as other New Left activists. In fact, James Boggs briefly served as RAM's ideological chairman, from mid-1964 to January 1965.

Another source of RAM's attraction to revolutionary Marxism was the urban uprisings that had just started to occur during summer 1964. Although inadequate housing, unemployment, poor city services, poor schools, and a lack of black-owned businesses exacerbated conditions in urban ghettos, the catalyst for most of these rebellions was an act of police misconduct. Between 1964 and 1972, riots erupted in some 300 cities, involving close to a half-million African Americans and resulting in 250 deaths, about 10,000 serious injuries, and millions of dollars in property damage. Police and the National Guard turned black neighborhoods into war zones, arresting at least 60,000 people and employing tanks, machine guns, and tear gas to pacify the community. Even before the riot wave, RAM militants had read Robert Williams's prophetic essay in the *Crusader* titled "USA: The Potential of a Minority Revolution." His words were portentous: "This year, 1964, is going to be a violent one, the storm will reach hurricane proportions by 1965 and the eye of the hurricane will hover over America by 1966. America is a house on fire—FREEDOM NOW!—or let it burn, let it burn. Praise the Lord and pass the ammunition!!" He described in detail what sorts of weapons black urban guerrillas should use, how to make homemade bombs and flamethrowers, and how to knock out communications systems. In a later installment of the same essay published

almost three years later, Williams's directions for launching a ghetto rebellion were even more explicit. At times he sounded like the protagonist Freeman in Sam Greenlee's *The Spook Who Sat by the Door*, a novel (and later film) about a former black CIA agent who used his training to turn gang members into a revolutionary army. He informed his readers how to squeeze a trigger, called for selective fires “set over a wide area,” and even suggested that brothers be sent to Africa for “specialized training in the manufacture and use of the poisonous dart.”

Williams's ideas could be easily dismissed as the puerile rantings of an armchair adventurer, especially given his status as an expatriate living half a world away from the movement. But we must consider the context for advocating such strident acts of violence. African Americans had been victims of violence ever since their descendants arrived on these shores as property. Generations lived under mob rule, and even as Williams wrote these articles black activists, and even some whites, were being brutally murdered for their efforts to bring about social justice and equality in the South. By 1964, the number of casualties in the Southern freedom movement was mounting—a growing list that included four girls ages eleven to fourteen. Addie Mae Collins, Denise McNair, Carole Robertson, and Cynthia Wesley were killed on September 15, 1963, by a bomb planted by white terrorists underneath Birmingham's Sixteenth Street Baptist Church. In the summer of 1964 alone, during SNCC's Mississippi campaign to register voters, white terrorists bombed thirty black homes, burned thirty-five churches, beat at least eighty people, and murdered six. No one was convicted. And, of course, Williams's own experience with the Klan in North Carolina directly informed his ideas about the need to “meet violence with violence.” While even the most liberal white sympathizers suffered from historical amnesia when it came to the history of racist violence, African Americans of Williams's generation had heard stories of the mob attacks on black communities, from the Wilmington, North Carolina, “massacre” in 1898 to the bombing of the Tulsa, Oklahoma, black business district in 1921. In July 1917 in

East St. Louis, Illinois, for example, white mobs (including police and local militias) slaughtered black residents who they believed were taking white jobs. They drove through the black community shooting indiscriminately from their cars. When the smoke cleared, at least 150 black residents had been shot, burned, hanged, or maimed for life, and about six thousand were driven from their homes. Thirty-nine black people lost their lives, including small children whose skulls were crushed or who were tossed into bonfires. As was characteristic of nearly all white mob violence before the civil rights era, no one was punished for these crimes. The same can be said for state-sanctioned violence in the form of homicides and beatings at the hands of police. As incidents of lynching and mob violence declined, police brutality cases involving black victims rose dramatically. A study conducted by the Department of Justice found that in the eighteen-month period from January 1958 to June 1960, 34 percent of all reported victims of police brutality were black.

Given the history and current reality of racist violence in America, we should not be surprised that RAM leaders echoed Williams's calls for armed insurrection and drew inspiration and ideas directly from his theory of guerrilla warfare in the urban United States, even if they never tried to carry them out. It should be clear that RAM members never attempted to implement Williams's military strategies, and they never engaged police or anyone else in an armed confrontation. They only wrote about it. In print, at least, RAM's official position was that a guerrilla war was not only possible but could be won in ninety days. The combination of mass chaos and revolutionary discipline was the key to victory. The fall 1964 issue of *Black America* predicted Armageddon:

Black men and women in the Armed Forces will defect and come over to join the Black Liberation forces. Whites who claim they want to help the revolution will be sent into the white communities to divide them, fight the fascists and frustrate the efforts of the counter-revolutionary forces. Chaos will be everywhere and with the breakdown of mass communications, mutiny will occur in great numbers in all facets of the op-

pressors' government. The stock market will fall; Wall Street will stop functioning; Washington, D.C. will be torn apart by riots. Officials everywhere will run—run for their lives. The George Lincoln Rockwellers, Kennedys, Vanderbilts, Hunts, Johnsons, Wallaces, Barnetts, etc., will be the first to go. The revolution will “strike by night and spare none.” . . . The Black Revolution will use sabotage in the cities, knocking out the electrical power first, then transportation and guerrilla warfare in the countryside in the South. With the cities powerless, the oppressor will be helpless.

RAM not only prepared for war, it prepared for the coming society. Its twelve-point program called for the development of freedom schools, national black student organizations, rifle clubs, black farmer cooperatives (not just for economic development but to keep “community and guerrilla forces going for a while”), and a liberation guerrilla army made up of youth and unemployed. They also placed special emphasis on internationalism, pledging support for national liberation movements in Africa, Asia, and Latin America as well as the adoption of “Pan-African socialism.” In line with Cruse’s seminal essay, RAM members saw themselves as colonial subjects fighting a “colonial war at home.” As colonial subjects with a right to self-determination, RAM saw Afro-America as a de facto member of the nonaligned nations. They even identified themselves as part of the “Bandung world,” going so far as to hold a conference in November 1964 in Nashville on “The Black Revolution’s Relationship to the Bandung World.” In a 1965 article published in RAM’s journal *Black America*, they started to develop a theory of “Bandung humanism” or “revolutionary black internationalism,” which argued that the battle between Western imperialism and the Third World—more than the battle between labor and capital—represented the most fundamental contradiction in our time. They linked the African-American freedom struggle with what was happening in China, Zanzibar, Cuba, Vietnam, Indonesia, and Algeria, and they characterized their work as part of Mao’s inter-

national strategy of encircling Western capitalist countries and challenging imperialism. This position was echoed in a particularly moving, eloquent essay by Rolland Snellings (better known as Askia Muhammad Toure, the extraordinary poet and leader in the Black Arts Movement) titled “Afro American Youth and the Bandung World.” The urban rebellions in the United States were cast in terms of an international rebellion against imperialism, one where “Black America became one with the students and people of Panama, Venezuela, Japan, South Vietnam, the Congo, and all colonial peoples rioting in protest against injustice and exploitation by puppet regimes stemming from or allied with White America, colossus of the West.” These rebellions were not tragedies but celebrations, temporarily freed spaces akin to liberated zones in which the oppressed are “Dancing in the Streets!”

After 1966, the term “Bandung humanism” was dropped entirely and replaced with “black internationalism.” Precisely what “black internationalism” meant was laid out in an incredibly bold thirty-six-page pamphlet published by RAM in 1966 titled “The World Black Revolution.” Echoing the *Communist Manifesto* (its opening line was “All over Africa, Asia, South, Afro and Central America a revolution is haunting and sweeping. . . .”) the pamphlet identified strongly with China against both the capitalist West and the Soviet empire. The “emergence of Revolutionary China began to polarize caste and class contradictions within the world, in both the bourgeoisie [*sic*] imperialist camp and also in the European bourgeois communist-socialist camp.” In other words, China was the wedge that sharpened contradictions between colonial peoples and the West. Rejecting the idea that socialist revolution would arise in the developed countries of the West, RAM insisted that the only true revolutionary solution was the “dictatorship of the world by the Black Underclass through World Black Revolution.” Of course, RAM wasn’t working from today’s definitions; it used “underclass” to encompass all peoples of color in Asia, Latin America, Africa, and elsewhere; the “Black Underclass” was merely a synonym for the colonial world. To co-

ordinate this revolution, RAM called for the creation of a black International as well as a “People’s Liberation Army on a world scale.”

Although Mao’s thought loomed large in “The World Black Revolution,” much of the document reflects original thinking on the part of RAM members; they also drew from a wellspring of black radical thought. W. E. B. Du Bois’s pronouncement that the problem of the twentieth century was the problem of the color line undergirded much of their argument. And just as Du Bois argued in his magisterial book *Black Reconstruction in America*, RAM maintained the position that the problem of the color line lay at the heart of class struggle on a world scale. Furthermore, the pamphlet gave a nod to Indian Communist leader M. N. Roy, who debated Lenin at the Second Communist International Congress in 1920 over the “national-colonial question.” Roy, they argued, not only recognized nationalist and anticolonial movements as a revolutionary force but also insisted that class distinctions in the colonies placed the peasantry in a more pivotal position than the colonial petite bourgeoisie for waging a revolutionary movement. By resurrecting Roy, who had remained relatively obscure among the panoply of Communist theoreticians, they revealed, once again, a stream of radical thought from the Third World critical of Western Marxism and capable of offering insights where European radicals had failed.

For all its strident nationalism, “The World Black Revolution” concludes that black nationalism “is really internationalism.” Only by demolishing white nationalism and white power could liberation be achieved for everyone. Not only would national boundaries be eliminated with the “dictatorship of the Black Underclass,” but “the need for nationalism in its aggressive form will be eliminated.” This is a pretty remarkable statement given RAM’s social and ideological roots. But rather than representing a unified position, the statement reflects various tensions that persisted throughout RAM’s history. On one side were nationalists who felt that revolutionaries should fight for the black nation first and build socialism separately from the rest of the United States.

On the other side were socialists like James and Grace Boggs who wanted to know who would rule the white nation and what such a presence would mean for black freedom. They also rejected efforts to resurrect the “black nation” thesis—the old Communist line that people in black majority counties of the South (the “black belt”) had a right to secede from the union. The Boggses contended that the real source of power lay in the cities, not the rural black belt. In January 1965, James Boggs resigned from his post as ideological chairman.

“Moving to the Rhythms of a New Song”

As members of an organization made up primarily of college-educated intellectuals (though many did not matriculate in order to participate in the movement full-time), RAM activists thought long and hard about the role of students and the petite bourgeoisie in the coming revolution. Askia Muhammad Toure charted the history and limits of black bourgeois reformism (e.g., the NAACP, the Urban League, the “so-called responsible Negro Leaders”) and black bourgeois nationalism (“‘Back to Africa’ which is still struggling within the bonds of Western neo-colonialism, or asking for ‘separate states’ while White America sneers with scorn”). He then suggested that the conditions that had produced this generation of revolutionary youth had also given birth to a radical petite bourgeoisie that “identif[ies] strongly with the desires and aspirations of the black masses.” This group was uniquely situated historically to “create a new synthesis from the militant, mass-oriented, universality of [Marcus] Garvey and the scientific, analytical scholarship of Du Bois”—a synthesis that would remain uncompromisingly anti-imperialist and anticapitalist. Max Stanford also recognized the revolutionary potential within certain segments of the black petite bourgeoisie, particularly among students. In an article, “Revolutionary Nationalism and the Afroamerican Student,” published in January 1965, Max Stanford argued that black students of the “war baby” generation embodied several contradictions at

once—contradictions that could lead them to embrace capitalism and white values, check out altogether, or join the revolutionary movement. The fact that racism still kept these well-educated and assimilated Negroes from fulfilling their aspirations could be either a wake-up call for the younger generation or an incentive to work harder within the system, or it could propel some into what Stanford called the “hip society.” In other words, there was no guarantee that students would take the path of revolution, but the contradictions of racial capitalism and bourgeois democracy had led to the formation of a “revolutionary intelligentsia capable of leading black America to true liberation.”

At the same time, Stanford suggested that the most alienated segment of black working-class youth, the young men who coalesced in gangs, offered yet another rich reservoir for the revolution. “Gangs are the most dynamic force in the black community. Instead of fighting their brothers and sisters, they can be trained to fight ‘Charlie.’ They can be developed into a blood brotherhood (black youth army) that will serve as a liberation force in the black revolution.” Like Robert Williams’s musings on urban guerrilla warfare, Stanford anticipated the central themes in Greenlee’s *The Spook Who Sat by the Door*. Stanford’s piece appeared around the same time as the *Autobiography of Malcolm X*, which convinced unknown numbers of kids that even second-rate gangsters could become political radicals. Besides, the BPP in Los Angeles, founded less than two years after Stanford’s article, recruited several ex-gang members into its ranks. Los Angeles Panther leaders Bunchy Carter and John Huggins were former members of a street gang called the Slausons, and their fellow gang banger, Brother Crook (a.k.a. Ron Wilkins), founded the Community Alert Patrol to challenge police brutality in the late 1960s.

RAM itself had more success with those petit bourgeois youth willing, as African revolutionary Amílcar Cabral once put it, to commit “class suicide.” These were the folks Stanford labeled “the Outlaws,” the “Revolutionary black Nationalists” committed to world revolution. In May 1964, dozens of these “outlaws” came

together at the first Afro-American Student Conference on Black Nationalism on Fisk University's campus. The conference was significant, in part because it occurred before Malcolm X's address to civil rights activists in Selma—an event often regarded a turning point in winning many young Southerners over to black nationalism. Conference participants boldly called for the development of a radical nationalist movement in the South and elsewhere, criticized civil rights leaders for “bourgeois reformism,” and echoed W. E. B. Du Bois's sentiment that “capitalism cannot reform itself, a system that enslaves you cannot free you.” A handful of Africans were also in attendance, including one young scholar who presented a paper on Pan-Africanism and called on black Americans to support the overthrow of “neo-colonialist puppet regimes” and “the development of a socialist Africa.”

RAM activists wrote quite a bit about class, culture, and internationalism, but like many of their nationalist and left-wing counterparts, they had little to say about women. The revolution was seen as a man's job; women barely figured in the equation. Indeed, one of the striking facts about the history of the antirevisionist left is how male dominated it remained. Although Wanda Marshall had been one of the founding members of RAM, she did not hold a national leadership post in 1964. Besides promoting the creation of “women's leagues” whose purpose would be “to organize black women who work in white homes,” RAM remained relatively silent on women's liberation.

RAM's masculinist orientation should not be surprising given the male orientation of black nationalist—not to mention white New Left—organizations in the 1960s, whether they were advocating civil rights or some incipient version of Black Power. The masculinism of RAM, however, was heightened by the fact that its leaders saw themselves as urban guerrillas, members of an all-black version of Mao's Red Army. Not all RAM members viewed themselves this way, but those who did were deeply committed to a set of revolutionary ethics Mao had laid down for his own Party cadre and members of the People's Army. We see this very clearly in RAM's “Code of Cadres,” a set of highly didactic rules of con-

duct that members were expected to live by. Here are some examples:

A Revolutionary nationalist maintains the highest respect for all authority within the party. . . .

A Revolutionary nationalist cannot be corrupted by money, honors or any other personal gains. . . .

A Revolutionary nationalist will unhesitatingly subordinate his personal interest to those of the vanguard [without] hesitation. . . .

A Revolutionary nationalist will maintain the highest level of morality and will never take as much as a needle or single piece of thread, from the masses—Brothers and Sisters will maintain the utmost respect for one another and will never misuse or take advantage of one another for personal gain—and will never misinterpret, the doctrine of revolutionary nationalism for any reason. . . .

The similarities with *Quotations from Chairman Mao Tse-tung* are striking. The last example comes straight out of Mao’s “Three Main Rules of Discipline,” which urges cadres to “not take a single needle or piece of thread from the masses.” Selflessness and total commitment to the masses is another theme that dominates *Quotations*. Again, the comparisons are noteworthy: “At no time and in no circumstances,” says Mao, “should a Communist place his personal interests first; he should subordinate them to the interests of the nation and of the masses. Hence, selfishness, slacking, corruption, seeking the limelight, and so on are most contemptible, while selflessness, working with all one’s energy, whole-hearted devotion to public duty, and quiet hard work will command respect.”

RAM’s emphasis on revolutionary ethics and moral transformation, in theory at least, resonated with black religious tradi-

tions, and like the Nation of Islam, they preached self-restraint, order, and discipline. It's quite possible that, in the midst of a counterculture that embodied elements of hedonism and drug use, a new wave of student and working-class radicals found Maoist ethics attractive. Max Stanford offered a withering critique of what he called the "hip society," black youth caught between ghetto realities and white aspirations. Although these contradictions in black youth culture were produced by frustration and alienation, he characterized their world as "hedonistic" and "built on extreme pleasure seeking." He noted somewhat disdainfully that "adherents of the hip society release themselves by being 'hard,' digging jams (listening to jazz records), 'getting off' (releasing frustration through dancing to rock n' roll), smoking pot, tasting (heavy drinking), 'doing the thing or taking care of business' (loose sex morals, sometimes sex orgies)."

For many black revolutionaries, including those not directly linked to RAM, the moral and ethical dimension of Mao's thought centered on the notion of personal transformation. Upon his return from China in 1969, Robert Williams insisted that all young black activists "undergo personal and moral transformation. There is a need for a stringent revolutionary code of moral ethics. Revolutionaries are instruments of righteousness." It was a familiar lesson embodied in the lives of Malcolm X and (later) George Jackson—the idea that one possesses the revolutionary will to transform *himself*. (These narratives were almost exclusively male despite the growing number of memoirs by radical black women). Whether or not RAM members lived by the "Code of Cadres," Maoist ethics ultimately served to reinforce Malcolm's status as a revolutionary role model.

Self-transformation through some kind of cultural revolution was a central tenet in RAM's ideology. As early as 1964, during the nationalist student conference at Fisk University, activists in RAM agreed that "a fundamental cultural revolution or re-Africanization of black people in America was a prerequisite for a genuine black Revolution." They spoke of "re-Africanization" in terms of a rejection of Western materialism in favor of an essen-

tial African communalism, humanism, and spiritualism that, many insisted, was intrinsic to traditional African society. Of course, the effort to “re-Africanize,” at least in the post-World War II period, predates RAM. Black women singers such as Abbey Lincoln, Odetta, and Nina Simone not only began wearing short “Afros” or “naturals” during the early 1960s but also identified with the African liberation movement and the African-American cultural interest in Africa through the formation of groups such as the American Society of African Culture (AM-SAC). Even *Ebony*, *Jet*, and *Sepia* magazines were covering Africa, and African publications such as *Drum* were being read by black people in the States who could get their hands on them. Indeed, as early as 1962, Harold Cruse predicted that in the coming years “Afro-Americans . . . will undoubtedly make a lot of noise in militant demonstrations, cultivate beards and sport their hair in various degrees of la mode au naturel, and tend to be cultish with African- and Arab-style dress.”

Yet, while RAM’s call for “re-Africanization” reflected a growing trend within elements of black youth culture, particularly among nationalist-minded intellectuals and artists, the very idea that culture was one of the most important terrains upon which to make revolution was given a boost when China declared its own Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution in 1966. Of course, Mao meant something different when he launched the Cultural Revolution in China—he was proposing a vision of society where divisions between the powerful and powerless were blurred, where status and privilege didn’t necessarily distinguish leaders from the led. Thus while Mao’s call for a Cultural Revolution meant getting rid of the vestiges (cultural and otherwise) of the old order, black radicals like Robert Williams (now publishing the *Crusader* from China) was talking about purging black culture of a “slave mentality.” Less than a year into the Cultural Revolution, Robert Williams published an article in the *Crusader* titled “Reconstitute Afro-American Art to Remold Black Souls,” which was widely circulated among RAM members. Williams’s essay sought to build on the idea rather than the ideology of the

Cultural Revolution. He called on black artists to cast off the shackles of the old traditions and make art only in the service of revolution. Likewise, an internal RAM document circulated in 1967, titled “Some Questions concerning the Present Period,” called for a full-scale black cultural revolution in the United States whose purpose would be to “destroy the conditioned white oppressive mores, attitudes, ways, customs, philosophies, habits, etc., which the oppressor has taught and trained us to have. This means on a mass scale a new revolutionary culture.” It also meant an end to processed hair, skin lighteners, and other symbols of parroting the dominant culture. Indeed, the revolution targeted not only assimilated bourgeois Negroes but also their accomplices—barbers and beauticians!

“A Universal Dream That Haunts Their Wretched Nights”

After RAM had spent years as an underground organization, the mainstream press published a series of “exposés,” including a particularly inflammatory piece in *Life* magazine that identified RAM as one of the leading extremist groups “Plotting a War on ‘Whitey.’” The “Peking-backed” group was not only considered armed and dangerous, but also “impressively well read in revolutionary literature—from Marat and Lenin to Mao, Che Guevara and Frantz Fanon.” (The Harlem Branch of the Progressive Labor Party responded to the articles with a pamphlet titled “The Plot against Black America,” which argued that China was not financing revolution, but only setting a revolutionary example by its staunch anti-imperialism. The real causes of black rebellion, they insisted, could be found in the conditions of ghetto life.) Not surprisingly, these highly publicized articles were followed by a series of police raids on the homes of RAM members in Philadelphia and New York City. In June 1967, RAM members were rounded up and charged with an alleged conspiracy to instigate a riot, poison police officers with potassium cyanide, and assassinate Roy Wilkins, secretary of the NAACP and Whitney Young, head of the National Urban League. The charges had no basis and were sub-

sequently dropped. A year later, under the repressive atmosphere of the FBI's Counterintelligence Program (COINTELPRO), RAM transformed itself into the Black Liberation Party, or the African American Party of National Liberation. By 1969, RAM had pretty much dissolved itself, though its members opted to “melt back into the community and infiltrate existing Black organizations,” continue to push the twelve-point program, and develop study groups that focused on the “Science of Black Internationalism, and the thought of Chairman Rob [Robert Williams].”

“On the Move Like Never Before in Human History”

It is ironic that RAM's demise coincided with the Cultural Revolution in China. In 1966, when Mao initiated the Cultural Revolution, police repression had driven most RAM members even further underground. And yet it was the Cultural Revolution that seemed to have the most direct impact on black radical movements in the United States.

We know with hindsight that millions of people were jailed, beaten, and killed in the name of the Cultural Revolution; inside China itself, it hardly constituted a bright moment in socialist history. But to the outside world at the time, among radicals at least, it projected a vision of society where divisions between those with power and those without no longer exist, where society can truly be called egalitarian. Hierarchies in the party and in the Red Army were ostensibly eliminated. Criticism and self-criticism were encouraged—as long as they coincided with Mao Tse-tung's thought. Communists suspected of supporting a capitalist road were brought to trial. Bourgeois intellectuals in the academy and government were expected to perform manual labor, to work among the people as a way of breaking down social hierarchies. And all vestiges of the old order were to be eliminated. The youth, now the vanguard, attacked tradition with a vengeance and sought to create new cultural forms to promote the revolution. The people of China were now called on to educate themselves. The Cultural Revolution intensified the constituent ele-

ments of Maoism: the idea of constant rebellion and conflict, the concept of the centrality of people over economic laws or productive forces, the notion of revolutionary morality.

Socialists Paul Sweezey and Leo Huberman, editors of the U.S. independent socialist journal *Monthly Review*, recognized the huge implications such a revolution had for the urban poor in the United States: "Just imagine what would happen in the United States if a President were to invite the poor in this country, with special emphasis on the blacks in the urban ghettos, to win the war on poverty for themselves, promising them the protection of the army against reprisals!" Of course, the United States is not a socialist country and never pretended to be, and despite a somewhat sympathetic President Lyndon Johnson, black people in this country were not regarded by the state as "the people." Their problems were a drain on society and their ungrateful riots and the proliferation of revolutionary organizations did not elicit much sympathy for the black poor.

For many in the New Left, African Americans were not only "the people" but the most revolutionary sector of the working class. The Cultural Revolution's emphasis on eliminating hierarchies and empowering the oppressed reinforced the idea that black liberation lay at the heart of the new American Revolution. Mao Tse-tung himself gave credence to this view in his widely circulated April 1968 statement "in Support of the Afro-American Struggle Against Violent Repression." The statement was delivered during a massive demonstration in China protesting the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., at which Robert Williams and Vicki Garvin were among the featured speakers. According to Garvin, at least, "millions of Chinese demonstrators" marched in the pouring rain to denounce American racism. Responding to the rebellions touched off by King's assassination, Mao characterized these urban uprisings as "a new clarion call to all the exploited and oppressed people of the United States to fight against the barbarous rule of the monopoly capitalist class." Even more than the 1963 statement, Mao's words endowed the urban riots with historic importance in the world of revolutionary upheaval. His statement, as well as the general logic of Lin Biao's

“theory of the new democratic revolution” justified support for black nationalist movements and their right of self-determination.

It was in the context of the urban rebellions that several streams of black radicalism, including RAM, converged and gave birth to the Black Panther Party for Self-Defense in Oakland, California. Although it was perhaps the most visible black organization promoting Mao’s thought, by some accounts its members were probably the least serious about reading Marxist, Leninist, or Maoist writings and developing a revolutionary ideology. Founded by Huey Newton and Bobby Seale, a former RAM member, the Panthers went well beyond the boundaries of Merritt College and recruited the “lumpenproletariat.” Much of the rank-and-file engaged more in sloganeering than anything else, and their bible was the “Little Red Book.”

That the Panthers were Marxist, at least in rhetoric and program, was one of the sources of their dispute with Ron Karenga’s US Organization and other groups they derisively dismissed as cultural nationalists. Of course, not only did the Panthers have their own cultural nationalist agenda, but the so-called cultural nationalists were not a monolith or uniformly procapitalist. And the divisions between these groups were exacerbated by COINTELPRO. Still, there was a fundamental difference between the Panthers’ evolving ideology of socialism and class struggle and that of black nationalist groups, even on the Left. As Bobby Seale explained in a March 1969 interview,

We’re talking about socialism. The cultural nationalists say that socialism won’t do anything for us. There’s the contradiction between the old and the new. Black people have no time to practice black racism and the masses of black people do not hate white people just because of the color of their skin. . . . We’re not going to go out foolishly and say there is no possibility of aligning with some righteous white revolutionaries, or other poor and oppressed peoples in this country who might come to see the light about the fact that it’s the capitalist system they must get rid of.

How the Panthers arrived at this position and the divisions within the party over their stance is a long and complicated story that we cannot address here. For our purposes, we want to make a few brief points about the party's embrace of Mao's thought and its position vis-à-vis black self-determination. For Huey Newton, whose contribution to the party's ideology rivals that of Eldridge Cleaver and George Jackson, the source of the Panthers' Marxism was the Chinese and Cuban revolutions. The Chinese and Cubans developed an analysis directly out of their respective histories rather than from the pages of *Capital*. The Chinese and Cuban examples, according to Newton, empowered the Panthers to develop their own unique program and to discard theoretical insights from Marx and Lenin that had little or no application to black reality. Indeed, a quick perusal of the Panthers' "Ten-Point Program" reveals quite clearly that Malcolm X continued to be one of their biggest ideological influences.

Eldridge Cleaver was a little more explicit about the role of Maoism and the thought of Korean Communist leader Kim Il Sung in reshaping Marxism-Leninism for the benefit of national liberation struggles of Third World peoples. In a 1968 pamphlet titled "On the Ideology of the BPP (Part 1)," Cleaver made clear that the Panthers were a Marxist-Leninist party but added that Marx, Engels, Lenin, and their contemporary followers did not offer much insight with regard to understanding and fighting racism. The lesson was to adopt and alter what was useful and reject what was not. Cleaver wrote,

With the founding of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea in 1948 and the People's Republic of China in 1949, something new was interjected into Marxism-Leninism, and it ceased to be just a narrow, exclusively European phenomenon. Comrade Kim Il Sung and Comrade Mao Tse-tung applied the classical principles of Marxism-Leninism to the conditions of their own countries and thereby made the ideology into something useful for their people. But they rejected that part of the analysis that was not beneficial to them and had only to do with the welfare of Europe.

In Cleaver's view, the sharpest critique of Western Marxism's blindness with regard to race came from Frantz Fanon.

By seeing themselves as part of a global national-liberation movement, the Panthers also spoke of the black community as a colony with an inherent right to self-determination. Yet, unlike many other black or interracial Maoist groups, they never advocated secession or the creation of a separate state. Rather, describing black people as colonial subjects was a way of characterizing the materialist nature of racism; it was more a metaphor than an analytical concept. Self-determination was understood to mean community control within the urban environment, not necessarily the establishment of a black nation. In a paper delivered at the Peace and Freedom Party's founding convention in March 1968, Cleaver tried to clarify the relationship between interracial unity in the U.S. revolution and “national liberation in the black colony.” On one hand, he essentially called for a dual approach in which black and white radicals worked together to create coalitions of revolutionary organizations and develop a political and military machinery that could overthrow capitalism and imperialism. On the other hand, he called for a UN-sponsored plebiscite that would allow black people to determine whether they wished to integrate or separate. Such a plebiscite, he argued, would bring clarity to black people on the question of self-determination, just as first-wave independence movements in Africa had to decide whether they wanted to maintain some altered dominion status or achieve complete independence.

Cleaver represented a wing of the party more interested in guerrilla warfare than in rebuilding society or doing the hard work of grassroots organizing. The attraction to Mao, Kim Il Sung, Giap, Che, and for that matter Fanon, were these thinkers' writings on revolutionary violence and people's wars. Many self-styled Panther theoreticians focused so much on developing tactics to sustain the imminent revolution that they skipped over a good deal of Mao's writings. Recognizing the problem, Newton sought to move the party away from an emphasis on guerrilla warfare and violence to a deeper, richer discussion of what the party's

vision for the future might entail. Shortly after his release from prison in August 1970, he proposed the creation of an “ideological institute” where participants actually read and taught what he regarded as the “classics”—Marx, Mao, and Lenin, as well as Aristotle, Plato, Rousseau, Kant, Kierkegaard, and Nietzsche. Unfortunately, the ideological institute did not amount to much; few party members saw the use of abstract theorizing or the relevance of some of these writings to revolution. Besides, the fact that *Quotations from Chairman Mao* read more or less like a handbook for guerrillas didn’t help matters much. Even Fanon was read pretty selectively, his chapter “Concerning Violence” being a perpetual favorite among militants. George Jackson contributed to the Panthers’ theoretical emphasis on war since much of his own writings, from *Soledad Brother* to *Blood in My Eye*, drew on Mao primarily to discuss armed resistance under fascism. Efforts to read the works of Marx, Lenin, or Mao beyond issues related to armed rebellion did not always find a willing audience among the Panthers. Sid Lemelle, then a radical activist at California State University at Los Angeles, recalls being in contact with a few Panthers who had joined a study group sponsored by the California Communist League. The reading, which included Mao’s *Four Essays on Philosophy* and lengthy passages from Lenin’s selected works, turned out to be too much and they eventually left the group amid a stormy debate.

Perhaps the least read section of *Quotations from Chairman Mao*, at least by men, was the five-page chapter on women. In an age when the metaphors for black liberation were increasingly masculinized and black movement leaders not only ignored but perpetuated gender oppression, even the most Marxist of the black nationalist movements belittled the “Woman Question.” The BPP was certainly no exception. Indeed, it was during the same historic meeting of the SDS in 1969, where the Panthers invoked Marx, Lenin, and Mao to expel the Progressive Labor Party (PLP) for its position on the national question, that Panther Minister of Information Rufus Walls gave his infamous speech about

the need to have women in the movement because they possessed “pussy power.” Clearly a vernacular takeoff on Mao’s line that “China’s women are a vast reserve of labour power [that] . . . should be tapped in the struggle to build a great socialist country,” Walls’s statement turned out to be a profoundly antifeminist defense of women’s participation.

While China’s own history on the Woman Question is pretty dismal, Mao’s dictum that “women hold up half the sky” as well as his brief writings on women’s equality and participation in the revolutionary process endowed women’s liberation with some revolutionary legitimacy on the Left. Of course, Maoism didn’t make the movement: The fact is, women’s struggles within the New Left played the most important role in reorienting leftist movements toward a feminist agenda or at least putting feminism on the table. But for black women in the Panthers suspicious of “white feminism,” Mao’s language on women’s equality provided space within the party to develop an incipient black feminist agenda. As the newly appointed minister of information, Panther Elaine Brown announced to a press conference soon after returning from China in 1971 that “the BPP acknowledges the progressive leadership of our Chinese comrades in all areas of revolution. Specifically, we embrace China’s correct recognition of the proper status of women as equal to that of men.” Even beyond the rhetoric, black women Panthers such as Lynn French, Kathleen Cleaver, Erica Huggins, Akua Njere, and Assata Shakur (formerly Joanne Chesimard) sustained the tradition of carving out free spaces within existing male-dominated organizations in order to challenge the multiple forms of exploitation that black working-class women faced daily. Through the Panthers’ free breakfast and educational programs, for example, black women devised strategies that, in varying degrees, challenged capitalism, racism, and patriarchy. And in some instances, African-American women radicals rose to positions of prominence and, sometimes by sheer example, contributed to developing a militant, class-conscious, black feminist perspective. In some instances, the

growing strength of a black leftist feminist perspective, buttressed by certain Maoist slogans on the Woman Question, shaped future black Maoist formations. One obvious example is the Black Vanguard Party, another Bay Area Maoist group active in the mid-to late 1970s whose publication *Juche!* maintained a consistent socialist-feminist perspective. Michelle Gibbs (also known as Michelle Russell, her married name at the time) promoted a black feminist ideology as a Detroit supporter of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers and a member of the Black Workers Congress. A “red diaper baby” whose father, Ted Gibbs, fought in the Spanish Civil War and who grew up in a household where Paul Robeson and artist Elizabeth Catlett were occasional guests, Gibbs’s black socialist-feminist perspective flowed from her political experience, from the writings of black feminist writers, and from a panoply of radical thinkers ranging from Malcolm, Fanon, and Amilcar Cabral to Marx, Lenin, and Mao. Conversely, the predominantly white radical feminist organization Redstockings was not only influenced by Mao’s writings but modeled itself somewhat on the Black Power movement, particularly on the movement’s separatist strategies and identification with the Third World.

Ironically, the BPP’s greatest identification with China occurred at the very moment when China’s status among the Left began to decline worldwide. Mao’s willingness to host President Nixon and China’s support of the repressive governments of Pakistan and Sri Lanka left many Maoists in the United States and elsewhere disillusioned. Nevertheless, Newton and Elaine Brown not only visited China on the eve of Nixon’s trip, but also announced that their entry into electoral politics was inspired by China’s entry into the UN. Newton argued that the Black Panthers’ shift toward reformist, electoral politics did not contradict “China’s goal of toppling U.S. imperialism nor [was it] an abnegation of revolutionary principles. It was a tactic of socialist revolution.” Even more incredible was Newton’s complete abandonment of black self-determination, which he explained in terms of developments in the world economy. In 1971, he concluded quite

presciently that the globalization of the economy rendered the idea of national sovereignty obsolete, even among the socialist countries. Thus black demands for self-determination were no longer relevant; the only viable strategy was global revolution. “Blacks in the U.S. have a special duty to give up any claim to nationhood now more than ever. The U.S. has never been our country; and realistically there’s no territory for us to claim. Of all the oppressed people in the world, we are in the best position to inspire global revolution.”

In many respects, Newton’s position on the national question was closer to Mao’s than those of many self-proclaimed Maoist organizations that popped up in the 1970s. Despite his own statements in support of national liberation movements and Lin Biao’s “theory of democratic revolutions,” Mao did not support independent organizations along nationalist lines. To him, black nationalism looked like ethnic or racial particularism. He was, after all, a Chinese nationalist attempting to unify peasants and proletarians and eliminate ethnic divisions within his own country. We might recall his 1957 statement in which he demanded that progressives in China “help unite the people of our various nationalities . . . not divide them.” Thus while recognizing that racism was a product of colonialism and imperialism, his 1968 statement insisted that the “contradiction between the black masses in the United States and U.S. ruling circles is a class contradiction. . . . The black masses and the masses of white working people in the United States share common interests and have common objectives to struggle for.” In other words, the black struggle was bound to merge with the working-class movement and overthrow capitalism.

On the issue of black liberation, however, most American Maoist organizations founded in the early to mid-1970s took their lead from Stalin, not Mao. Black people in the United States were not simply proletarians in black skin but a nation, or as Stalin put it, “a historically evolved, stable community of language, territory, economic life, and psychological make-up manifested in a community of culture.” Marxist groups that embraced

Stalin's definition of a nation, such as the Communist Labor Party (CLP) and the October League, also resurrected the old Communist Party's position that African Americans in the black belt counties of the South constituted a nation and had a right to secede if they wished. Conversely, groups like the PLP—once an advocate of “revolutionary nationalism”—moved to a position repudiating all forms of nationalism by the start of the Cultural Revolution.

The CLP was perhaps the most consistent advocate of black self-determination among the Marxist-Leninist movements. Founded in 1968 largely by African Americans and Latinos, the CLP's roots can be traced to the old Provisional Organizing Committee (POC)—itself an outgrowth of the 1956 split in the CPUSA which led to the creation of two separate groups called Hammer and Steel and the Progressive Labor Movement. Ravaged by a decade of internal splits, the POC had become a predominantly black and Puerto Rican organization divided between New York and Los Angeles. In 1968, the New York leadership expelled its Los Angeles comrades for, among other things, refusing to denounce Stalin and Mao. In turn, the Los Angeles group, largely under the guidance of veteran black Marxist Nelson Peery, founded the California Communist League that same year and began recruiting young black and Chicano radical workers and intellectuals. Peery's home in South Central Los Angeles had already become something of a hangout for young black radicals after the Watts uprising; he organized informal groups to study history, political economy, and classic works in Marxist-Leninist-Maoist thought and entertained all sorts of activists, from Black Panthers to student activists from California State University at Los Angeles to Los Angeles Community College. The California Communist League subsequently merged with a group of SDS militants calling themselves the Marxist-Leninist Workers Association and formed the Communist League in 1970. Two years later they changed their name again to the Communist Labor Party.

Except for perhaps Harry Haywood's long essay, *Toward a Rev-*

olutionary Position on the Negro Question (first published in 1957 but kept in circulation throughout the 1960s and 1970s), Nelson Peery's short book *The Negro National Colonial Question* (1972) was probably the most widely read defense of black self-determination in Marxist-Leninist-Maoist circles at the time. Peery was sharply criticized for his defense of the term *Negro*, a difficult position to maintain in the midst of the Black Power movement. But Peery had a point: National identity was not about color. The Negro nation was a historically evolved, stable community with its own unique culture, language (or rather dialect), and territory—the black belt counties and their surrounding areas, or essentially the thirteen states of the Old Confederacy. Because Southern whites shared with African Americans a common territory, and by his account a common language and culture, they were also considered part of the “Negro nation.” More precisely, Southern whites composed the “Anglo-American minority” within the Negro nation. As evidenced in soul music, spirituals, and rock and roll, Peery insisted, what had emerged in the South was a hybrid culture with strong African roots manifest in the form of slave folk tales and female head wraps. Jimi Hendrix and Sly and the Family Stone as well as white imitators Al Jolson, Elvis Presley, and Tom Jones were all cited as examples of a shared culture. He even saw “soul” culture embedded in dietary habits: “The custom of eating pigs’ feet, neck bones, black-eyed peas, greens, yams, and chitterlings are all associated with the region of the South, particularly the Negro Nation.”

Peery's positioning of Southern whites as part of the Negro Nation was a stroke of genius, particularly since one of his intentions was to destabilize racial categories. However, at times his commitment to Stalin's definition of a nation weakened his argument. At the very moment when mass migration and urbanization were depleting the rural South of its black population, Peery insisted that the black belt was the natural homeland of Negroes. He even attempted to prove that a black peasantry and stable rural proletariat still existed in the black belt. Because the land question was the foundation upon which his understanding of

self-determination was built, he ended up saying very little about the nationalization of industry or socialized production. Thus he could write in 1972, “The Negro national colonial question can only be solved by a return of the land to the people who have toiled over it for centuries. In the Negro Nation this land redistribution will demand a combination of state farms and cooperative enterprises in order to best meet the needs of the people under the conditions of modern mechanized agriculture.”

The movement with perhaps the deepest roots in the black cultural politics of the 1960s that developed a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist position somewhat akin to that of RAM was the Revolutionary Communist League (RCL)—founded and led by none other than the poet, critic, and activist Amiri Baraka. To understand the RCL’s ideological history, we need to go back to 1966 when Baraka founded Spirit House in Newark, New Jersey, with the help of local activists as well as people he had worked with in Harlem’s Black Arts Repertory Theater. Although Spirit House artists were involved in local political organizing from the beginning, the police beating of Baraka and several other activists during the Newark uprising in 1967 politicized them even further. After the uprising they helped organize a Black Power conference in Newark, which attracted several national black leaders, including SNCC’s Stokely Carmichael and H. Rap Brown, Huey Newton of the BPP, and Imari Obadele of the newly formed Republic of New Africa (partly an outgrowth of RAM). Shortly thereafter, Spirit House became the base for the Committee for a Unified Newark (CFUN), a new organization made up of United Brothers, Black Community Defense and Development, and Sisters of Black Culture. In addition to attracting black nationalists, Muslims, and even a few Marxist-Leninist-Maoists, CFUN bore the mark of Ron Karenga’s US Organization. CFUN adopted Karenga’s version of cultural nationalism and worked closely with him. Although tensions arose between Karenga and some of the Newark activists over his treatment of women and the overly centralized leadership structure CFUN had imported from US Organization, the movement continued to grow. In 1970, Baraka

renamed CFUN the Congress of African Peoples (CAP), transformed it into a national organization, and at its founding convention broke with Karenga. CAP leaders sharply criticized Karenga’s cultural nationalism and passed resolutions that reflected a turn to the Left—including a proposal to raise funds to help build the Tanzania-Zambia railroad.

There are several sources for Baraka’s left turn during this period. One has to do with the painful lesson he learned about the limitations of black “petty bourgeois” politicians. After playing a pivotal role in the 1970 election of Kenneth Gibson, Newark’s first black mayor, Baraka witnessed an increase in police repression (including attacks on CAP demonstrators) and Gibson’s failure to deliver what he had promised the African-American community. Feeling betrayed and disillusioned, Baraka broke with Gibson in 1974, though he did not give up entirely on the electoral process. His role in organizing the first National Black Political Assembly in 1972 reinforced in his mind the power of black independent politics and the potential strength of a black united front.

One source of Baraka’s left turn was CLP East Coast regional coordinator William Watkins. Harlem-born and raised, Watkins was among a group of radical black students at California State University at Los Angeles who helped found the Communist League. In 1974 he got to know Baraka, who was trying to find someone to advance his understanding of Marxism-Leninism. “We’d spend hours in his office,” Watkins recalled, “discussing the basics—like surplus value.” For about three months, Baraka met with Watkins regularly; Watkins taught him the fundamentals of political economy and tried to expose the limitations of cultural nationalism. These meetings certainly influenced Baraka’s leftward turn, but when Watkins and Nelson Peery asked Baraka to join the CLP, he refused. Although he had come to appreciate Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, he wasn’t ready to join a multiracial organization. The black struggle was first and foremost.

It is fitting that the most important source of Baraka’s radical-

ization came out of Africa. Just as Baraka's first left turn after 1960 was spurred on by the Cuban Revolution, the struggle in southern Africa prompted his post-1970 turn to the left. The key event was the creation of the African Liberation Support Committee (ALSC) in 1971. It originated with a group of black nationalists led by Owusu Sadaukai, director of Malcolm X Liberation University in Greensboro, North Carolina, who traveled to Mozambique under the aegis of FRELIMO (The Front for the Liberation of Mozambique). FRELIMO's president Samora Machel (who, coincidentally, was in China at the same time as Huey Newton) and other militants persuaded Sadaukai and his colleagues that the most useful role African Americans could play in support of anticolonialism was to challenge American capitalism from within and let the world know the truth about FRELIMO's just war against Portuguese domination. A year later, during his last visit to the United States, Amílcar Cabral, the leader of the anticolonial movement in Guinea-Bissau and the Cape Verde Islands, said essentially the same thing. Moreover, Cabral and Machel represented explicitly Marxist movements; they rejected the idea that precolonial African societies were inherently democratic and practiced a form of "primitive communism" that could lay the groundwork for modern socialism. Rather, they asserted that African societies were not immune from class struggle, and that capitalism was not the only road to development.

The ALSC reflected the radical orientation of the liberation movements in Portuguese Africa. On May 27, 1972 (the anniversary of the founding of the Organization of African Unity), the ALSC held the first African Liberation Day (ALD) demonstration, drawing approximately 30,000 protesters in Washington, D.C., alone, and an estimated 30,000 more across the country. The ALD Coordinating Committee consisted of representatives from several nationalist and black leftist organizations, including the Youth Organization for Black Unity (YOBUS); the All-African People's Revolutionary Party (AAPRP) headed by Stokely Carmichael (Kwame Ture); the Pan-African People's Organization; and the Maoist-influenced Black Workers Congress (BWC). Because

the ALSC brought together such a broad range of black activists, it became an arena for debate over the creation of a black radical agenda. While most ALSC organizers were actively anti-imperialist, the number of black Marxists in leadership positions turned out to be a point of contention. Aside from Sadaukai, who would go on to play a major role in the Maoist-oriented Revolutionary Workers League (RWL), the ALSC's main leaders included Nelson Johnson (future leader in the Communist Workers Party) and Abdul Alkalimat (a brilliant writer and founding member of the RWL). As early as 1973, splits occurred within the ALSC over the role of Marxists, though when the dust settled a year later, Marxists from the RWL, the BWC, the Revolutionary Workers Congress (an offshoot of the BWC), CAP, and the Workers Viewpoint Organization (precursor to the Communist Workers Party) remained in the organization. Unfortunately, internal squabbling and sectarianism proved too much for the ALSC to handle. Chinese foreign policy struck the final blow; its support for the National Union for the Total Independence of Angola (UNITA) during the 1975 Angolan civil war and Vice-Premier Li Xiannian's suggestion that dialogue with white South Africa was better than armed insurrection, placed black Maoists in the ALSC in a difficult position. Within three years the ALSC had utterly collapsed, bringing to an inauspicious close perhaps the most dynamic anti-imperialist organization of the decade.

Nevertheless, Baraka's experience in the ALSC profoundly altered his thinking. As he recalls in his autobiography, by the time of the first African Liberation Day demonstration in 1972, he was “going left, I was reading Nkrumah and Cabral and Mao.” Within two years he was calling on CAP members to examine “the international revolutionary experience (namely the Russian and Chinese Revolutions) and integrate it with the practice of the Afrikan revolution.” Their study lists expanded to include works such as Mao's *Four Essays on Philosophy*, Stalin's *Foundations of Leninism*, and *History of the Communist Party Soviet Union (Short Course)*. By 1976, CAP had dispensed with all vestiges of nationalism, changed its name to the Revolutionary Communist

League (RCL), and sought to remake itself into a multiracial Marxist-Leninist-Maoist movement. Perhaps as a way to establish its ideological moorings as an antirevisionist movement, the RCL followed in the noble tradition of resurrecting the black belt thesis. In 1977, the RCL (most likely Baraka) published a paper titled “The Black Nation,” which analyzed black liberation movements from a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist perspective and concluded that black people in the South and in large cities constituted a nation with an inherent right to self-determination. While rejecting “bourgeois integration,” the essay argued that the struggle for black political power was central to the fight for self-determination.

The RCL attempted to put its vision of self-determination in practice through efforts to build a black united front. It organized coalitions against police brutality, mobilized support for striking cafeteria workers and maintenance workers, created a People’s Committee on Education to challenge budget cuts and shape educational policy, and protested the *Bakke* decision. The RCL’s grassroots organizing and coalition building brought its members into contact with the League of Revolutionary Struggle (LRS), a California-based movement formed from a merger between I Wor Kuen, the Chinese-American Maoist organization, and the predominantly Chicano August Twenty-ninth Movement (Marxist-Leninist). In 1979, the RCL and the LRS decide to unite, one of the foundations of their joint program being their support of the black nation thesis. As a result of the merger and the debates that preceded it, the RCL’s position changed slightly: Southern black people and Chicanos in the Southwest constituted oppressed nations with the right to self-determination. By contrast, for black people locked in Northern ghettos the struggle for equal rights took precedence over the land question.

Invariably, the merger was short-lived, in part because of disagreements over the issue of self-determination and the continuing presence of what LRS cadres regarded as “narrow nationalism” in the RCL. LRS Chairperson Carmen Chang was never comfortable with the black nation thesis but accepted it for the

sake of unity. Baraka's group, by contrast, never abandoned black unity for multiracial class struggle. And as a dyed-in-the-wool artist with deep roots in the Black Arts Movement, Baraka persistently focused his cultural and political interests on the contradictions of black life under capitalism, imperialism, and racism. For Baraka, as with many of the characters discussed in this essay, this was not a simple matter of narrow nationalism. On the contrary, understanding the place of racist oppression and black revolution within the context of capitalism and imperialism was fundamental to the future of humanity. In the tradition of Du Bois, Fanon, and Harold Cruse, Baraka insisted that the black (hence colonial) proletariat was the vanguard of world revolution “not because of some mystic chauvinism but because of our place in objective history. . . . We are the vanguard because we are at the bottom, and when we raise to stand up straight everything stacked upon us topples.”

Moreover, despite his immersion in Marxist-Leninist-Maoist literature, his own cultural work suggests that he knew, as did most black radicals, that the question of whether black people constituted a nation was not going to be settled through reading Lenin or Stalin. If it ever could be settled, the battles would take place, for better or for worse, on the terrain of culture. Although the Black Arts Movement was the primary vehicle for black cultural revolution in the United States, it is hard to imagine what that revolution would have looked like without China. Black radicals seized the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution by the horns and reshaped it in their own image.

COINTELPRO operations only partly explain the dissolution of RAM and the various movements that followed. We can point to a number of strategic errors as well, the most glaring being the movements' eagerness to confront the state head-on and boldly attack anyone they deemed misleaders or reformists. Consequently, groups like RAM were unable to build a strong base in black urban communities. Part of the problem lay in the movement's emphasis on the liberatory potential of revolutionary vio-

lence. It would be unfair to indict RAM alone for this because practically all its contemporaries in revolutionary movements, especially by the mid- to late 1960s, understood violence as inevitable. They suffered extreme terror from groups like state police agencies, local red squads, and the FBI, and were often pushed to armed self-defense by the circumstances at hand. At the same time, they read Fanon, paid attention to guerilla warfare in the Third World, and knew of the many armed self-defense groups that played a key role in the protection of civil rights marchers. But because Fanon had argued that violence was, for the Algerian peasantry at least, a necessary step in the creation of a new revolutionary man, the young black men who accepted the enormous task of overthrowing U.S. imperialism might have placed too much stock in warfare. Indeed, I think RAM activists were so concerned with self-defense and on how to win militarily that they devoted little time and energy to the most fundamental question of all: what kind of world they wanted to build if they did win. Perhaps it was a matter of a lack of political imagination, for as I pointed out earlier RAM militants never really engaged in violence—they just wrote and talked about it. Some of its early members did go on to help form organizations that did participate in armed self-defense campaigns—notably the BPP, the Black Liberation Army, and the Republic of New Afrika. Nevertheless, the question of violence and warfare remained at the core of RAM's political strategy.

On the other hand, RAM was hardly a failure. While it never received the glory or publicity bestowed on groups like the BPP, its influence far exceeded its numbers—not unlike the ABB four decades earlier. RAM's success ought to be measured in terms of its theoretical contributions and its “agitprop” work. Its publications and forums consistently placed the black freedom movement in an international context, drew powerful analogies between the black condition in the United States and those of colonized people throughout the world, offered incisive critiques of capitalism and bourgeois democracy aimed at black urban communities, and elevated revolutionary black nationalism to a

position of critical theoretical importance for the Left in general. By placing a critique of neocolonialism and imperialism at the center of their theory, RAM militants never agonized over whether to support reactionary black regimes in Africa or the Caribbean. They flatly rejected unconditional racial unity and developed a nationalism built on a broader concept of revolutionary Third World solidarity.

Most of all, RAM contributed to a nearly forgotten tradition in black radicalism: This tiny group of young, mostly male intellectuals were internationalists before they were nationalists. They fought for the ghettos of North America but saw their struggle in terms of the entire globe. Their Goliath was the entire Western world, not just Kennedy and Johnson, or even the Rockefellers. And they entered battle with a sense that victory was inevitable, for not even the ruling class could control the weather. Listen again to Askia Muhammad Toure: “How long does the white ‘Free World’ have before the Gong of History announces the Storm? Who knows in terms of days, months, in terms of years? One thing is certain: it is coming as surely as the Great Sun rises in the East and lights up the planet, dispelling the foggy mists and murky darkness of the long, cold, miserable Night.”

But one question remained unanswered: What would the coming dawn bring and what would we build after the storm?

“A DAY OF RECKONING”: DREAMS OF REPARATIONS

*I'm not bitter, neither am I cruel
But ain't nobody paid for slavery yet
I may be crazy, but I ain't no fool.
About my forty acres and my mule. . . .*

*One hundred years of debt at ten percent
Per year, per forty acres and per mule
Now add that up. . . .*

Oscar Brown Jr., “Forty Acres and a Mule,” 1964

You hear these white people talk about they've pulled themselves up by their own bootstraps. Well they took our boots, no less our straps, and then after they made us a citizen, honey, what did they turn around and do? They passed black codes in order to take from us all the benefits of citizenship.

“Queen Mother” Audley Moore, 1978

The Civil War had barely been settled when Colonel P. H. Anderson of Big Spring, Tennessee, dispatched a letter to his former slave, Jourdon, inviting him to return to the Anderson plantation as a paid laborer. Despite promises of freedom, good treatment,

and fair wages, Jourdon was more than a little suspicious of the offer. With the help of Lydia Maria Child, a prolific writer, abolitionist, and schoolteacher, he dictated a very powerful letter to his old master. He began by expressing concern that he and his wife, Mandy, were

afraid to go back without proof that you were disposed to treat us justly and kindly; and we have concluded to test your sincerity by asking you to send us our wages for the time we served you. This will make us forget and forgive old scores, and rely on your justice and friendship in the future. I served you faithfully for thirty-two years, and Mandy twenty years. At twenty-five dollars a month for me, and two dollars a week for Mandy, our earnings would amount to eleven thousand six hundred and eighty dollars. Add to this the interest for the time our wages have been kept back, and deduct what you paid for our clothing, and three doctor's visits to me, and pulling a tooth for Mandy, and the balance will show what we are in justice entitled to. Please send the money by Adam's Express, in care of V. Winters, Esq., Dayton, Ohio. If you fail to pay us for faithful labors in the past, we can have little faith in your promises in the future. We trust the good Maker has opened your eyes to the wrongs which you and your fathers have done to me and my fathers, in making us toil for you for generations without recompense. Here I draw my wages every Saturday night; but in Tennessee there was never any payday for the Negroes any more than for the horses and cows. Surely there will be a day of reckoning for those who defraud the laborer of his hire.

In answering this letter, please state if there would be any safety for my Milly and Jane, who are now grown up, and both good-looking girls. You know how it was with poor Matilda and Catherine. I would rather stay here and starve—and die, if it come to that—than have my girls brought to shame by the violence and wickedness of their young masters. . . .

Say howdy to George Carter, and thank him for taking the pistol from you when you were shooting at me.

By even the most elementary principles of liberal capitalism, Jourdon Anderson presents a sound, reasonable case for receiving compensation for years of unpaid labor. He was the colonel's property, to be sure, but the fact that he could write such a letter and make such a brilliant case distinguishes him from "the horses and cows" that also served the needs of the plantation without pay. Indeed, by today's standards Jourdon is being charitable by asking only for back wages and interest. He does not make a case for damages despite the physical and psychological abuse visited upon his whole family—the rape, the violence, the horrible living conditions, the mere fact of bondage.

My guess is that most of you laughed out loud after reading Jourdon's letter and some might have found it incredible. The colonel probably laughed, too, dismissing his former slave's request as absurd. One hundred and thirty-seven years have passed since the enactment of the Thirteenth Amendment ending slavery in the United States, and most of America is still dismissing demands for reparations, claiming that the very idea violates the basic principles of U.S. democracy and laissez-faire capitalism. As I wrote these words, the U.S. delegation to the historic World Conference against Racism in Durban, South Africa, walked out, in part because the conference refused even to discuss the question of reparations. Slavery is behind us, we are told, and any payments to black people would be divisive or an act of discrimination *against white people*. Others argue that black people have already received billions of dollars of aid through welfare and poverty programs and therefore if there was a debt owed us, it has been paid many times over. Right-wing critics like Dinesh D'Souza go one step further, arguing that the only people deserving of reparations are the slave masters, and presumably their descendants, since the government "freed" their property without compensation! Besides denying the basic humanity of the enslaved and not accounting for the tremendous wealth the master class acquired by exploiting unpaid labor, D'Souza's twisted logic conveniently ignores the fact that the vast majority of slaveholders committed treason against the United States and were never

punished. Jourdon’s letter exposes this irony as well: “I thought the Yankees would have hung you long before this, for harboring Rebs they found at your house. I suppose they never heard about your going to Colonel Martin’s to kill the Union soldier that was left by his company in their stable.”

For African Americans in search of freedom, the question of reparations was never a laughing matter. And as Jourdon Anderson’s letter makes clear, it is a very old issue. Indeed, as early as 1854, a convention of black emigrationists called on the federal government to provide a “national indemnity” as a “redress of our grievances for the unparalleled wrongs . . . which we suffered at the hands of this American people.” Immediately after the war, Sojourner Truth organized a petition seeking free public land for former slaves. “America owes to my people some of the dividends,” she argued. “I shall make them understand that there is a debt to the Negro people which they can never repay. At least, then, they must make amends.” Bishop Henry McNeil Turner calculated the debt at some forty billion dollars. For the next century and a half, there have been numerous movements intent on making “amends.”

Today there are countless proposals for reparations as partial compensation for slavery and/or postslavery racial discrimination. The growing support for reparations is partly linked to the passage of the Civil Liberties Act of 1988 authorizing reparations payments to Japanese Americans interned during World War II, and to Congressman John Conyers’s bill, which has been in committee since it was first introduced in 1989, to create a commission to study the issue of reparations for black people. And, of course, there are many precedents. Besides interned Japanese and Jewish Holocaust victims, the latter having received payments both from the German state and private corporations, we can point to the Alaska Claims Settlement of 1971, in which the United States awarded indigenous Alaskans one billion dollars and more than forty-four million acres. An even more immediate and perhaps more relevant example is the Rosewood, Florida, settlement. In 1995, nine former residents of Rosewood, once an

all-black town, were awarded \$150,000 each as restitution for property destroyed by white mobs during the 1923 pogrom. Given the overwhelming destruction and loss of life, these sums were hardly adequate. Nevertheless, the settlement set a precedent for all victims of racist violence and exploitation, especially when they were indirectly sanctioned by the state through legalized segregation or, in other instances, legalized slavery.

Partly as a result of these precedents and the organizing efforts of various movements, we have seen a proliferation of books, articles, and public debates on the issue of reparations based on all manner of economic calculations, legal loopholes, and a wide range of political and moral arguments. My purpose is not to weigh the pros and cons of one proposal against another, or to come up with my own calculations of what slavery and racial discrimination cost us. Much outstanding work along these lines has been done by writers such as Robert Allen, Kimberle Crenshaw, William Darity Jr., David Swinton, Robert K. Fullinwider, Clarence Munford, Melvin Oliver and Thomas Shapiro, Randall Robinson, and a battery of law professors too numerous to list here. While I do make a case for reparations, I'm more interested in the historical vision and imagination that has animated the movement since the days of slavery. Except for among groups like the National Coalition of Blacks for Reparations in America (N'COBRA) and the Black Radical Congress (BRC), such a vision of the future is sorely lacking in most contemporary arguments for reparations. By looking at the reparations campaign in the United States as a social movement, we discover that it was never entirely, or even primarily, about money. The demand for reparations was about social justice, reconciliation, reconstructing the internal life of black America, and eliminating institutional racism. This is why reparations proposals from black radical movements focus less on individual payments than on securing funds to build autonomous black institutions, improving community life, and in some cases establishing a homeland that will enable African Americans to develop a political economy geared more toward collective needs than toward accumulation.

“Forty Acres and a Mule”

African-American troops who survived the Civil War had it right: They were the liberators, their ex-masters the rebels. They believed that the rebels' land should be divided up among the folks who toiled for so many generations without pay. And some of the ex-slaves did just that, parceling out their former masters' property, staking claims to abandoned plantation lands, preparing to inherit the earth they had turned into wealth for idle white people. There were a few precedents for their expectations. In January 1865, Union General William T. Sherman had issued Special Field Order 15, designating land along the South Carolina coast and on the Sea Islands to be distributed among freed people. Each family was to receive forty acres, and General Sherman made some army mules and confiscated animals available for cultivation. The idea, of course, was to make the ex-slaves self-sufficient. Altogether, Sherman was able to settle some 40,000 freed people on seized lands. Congress followed up two months later with the first Freedmen's Bureau Bill, which promised to provide “every male citizen, whether refugee or freedman,” with “not more than forty acres of land.” President Andrew Johnson wasn't having it: He promptly vetoed Congress's bill and reversed General Sherman's order. In 1867, radical Republican leader Thaddeus Stevens tried again, introducing a resolution in Congress calling for the enforcement of the Confiscation Act of 1861 to seize some four hundred million acres of land from the ex-Confederate states. One million families of former slaves would have received forty-acre plots and fifty dollars in cash as start-up money. Stevens believed that the South should pay an indemnity for the war, and the seizure of land was part of that payment. It would have also broken the back of the plantation economy, because the power and wealth of the planter class depended on the availability of cheap black labor. But Congress did not support land seizure. Eventually, under President Johnson, nearly all the land confiscated from the Confederate plantation owners was restored in exchange for oaths of loyalty. Although the Freedmen's

Bureau was created to administer to the needs of black people, it legally controlled only 0.2 percent of the land in the South, and not all of it was arable.

African Americans began the period of Reconstruction landless and frustrated, though many remained hopeful that the federal government would fulfill its promise of land. At mass meetings, in churches, in the privacy of their own homes, they spoke of their anticipated forty acres not as some kind of gift or handout but as back payment for slavery. A few Radical Republicans continued to press for a redistribution of land that could make Southern black people self-sufficient and neutralize the power of the landlord class. Meanwhile, proplanter forces pressured the federal government to compensate the former slave owners for their losses. Believe it or not, they succeeded in Washington, D.C. In 1862, Congress passed laws compensating slave owners for freeing their slaves. The payments were rendered through the Board of Commissioners for the Emancipation in the District of Columbia. Nine years later, Congress established the Southern Claims Commission so that Southerners loyal to the Union during the war might be compensated for their own loss of property.

In the late nineteenth century, the movement to secure some kind of restitution for black people was given new life when William R. Vaughan, a white Democrat from Alabama, launched a national movement to grant pensions to ex-slaves. Vaughan believed that such a pension plan not only was just but could also relieve Southern taxpayers from the burden of supporting this rapidly aging black population. (Of course, under Jim Crow Southern blacks were hardly a tax burden; in many cases, the African-American taxpaying and laboring population carried more than its share of the burden, to the point of subsidizing public services for white people.) Vaughan proposed that ex-slaves age seventy and older receive an initial payment of \$500 and then \$15 a month. Those between sixty and seventy years old would receive \$300 and \$12 a month, and ex-slaves fifty to sixty years old would receive \$100 and \$8 a month. Any freed people younger than fifty would not receive an initial payment, but a monthly pension of

\$4. Between 1890 and 1903, Vaughan succeeded in getting nine bills to this effect introduced into the Congress, but none became law—indeed, none of these bills got past committee.

Vaughan tried to drum up grassroots support for the pension campaign, publishing a newspaper and launching chapters of Vaughan’s Ex-Slave Pension Club throughout the country. As historian Walter B. Hill points out, by 1897 several other organizations came on the scene, challenging Vaughan’s hegemony over the ex-slave pension movement. A few of these groups proved to be frauds, intent on stealing from unsuspecting black people. Individuals would falsely represent themselves as club organizers or as officers of the U.S. government, and collect fees and issue certificates that the newly recruited members were told they needed in order to verify their former status as slaves. The black people who bought into these phony clubs mailed their bogus certificates to the Pension Bureau for payment only to be told that the certificates were worthless. Although Vaughan himself was never indicted for fraud, it is worth noting that by the time his movement collapsed around 1903, he had earned over one hundred thousand dollars from fees collected.

One of the organizations challenging Vaughan’s clubs was the Ex-Slave Mutual Relief, Bounty & Pension Association, founded in 1897 by two African Americans, Reverend Isaiah H. Dickerson and Mrs. Callie D. House. Their purpose was to petition Congress to pass the Mason Bill—the legislation introduced by Nebraska Congressman W. J. Connell at Vaughan’s behest—and build a broad movement that could provide mutual assistance to its members. Indeed, it seems as though some of the clubs functioned like mutual benefit associations, reinforcing strong community bonds and a deep sense of mission. The association chartered several chapters throughout the South, holding annual conventions and mobilizing community support for the pension bill. The federal government launched an investigation of Dickerson and House almost as soon as they started recruiting members. In March 1901 Dickerson was imprisoned for “obtaining money under false pretense” and thirteen years later Callie D.

House, who now headed the association, was indicted on mail fraud charges. She ended up pleading guilty, claiming that she thought the pensions bill had passed and had been sincerely working to help ex-slaves file claims. House's defense is entirely plausible, especially considering the fact that neither she nor Dickerson made any money. Nevertheless, by 1917 the Ex-Slave Mutual Relief, Bounty & Pension Association, the last organization fighting for pension legislation, and the only one led by black people, had been thoroughly destroyed.

Free the Land, Reparations Now!

During the first half of the twentieth century, few African-American movements took up the demand for reparations, though by then "forty acres and a mule" had become shorthand for broken promises. The Garvey movement condemned Europe's seizure of Africa and its wealth, including its people, as an act of theft, all of which the UNIA vowed to "reclaim," but it made no direct request for reparations. However, explicit demands for some kind of indemnity picked up steam after World War II, inspired in part by the creation of the state of Israel and Germany's reparations to Holocaust victims, which began in earnest in 1952. All told, Germany paid more than \$58 billion. Not surprisingly, territory once again became a critical issue for some radical black nationalist groups. Organizations such as the Forty-ninth State Movement and the African Nationalist (Alajo) Independence-Partition Party of North America, advocated reparations in the form of land on which to create a black state. The Alajo Party's "Declaration of Self-Determination of the African-American Captive Nation," issued in January 1963, argued for restitution based on the fact that the United States "was built with the unrequited slave labor of our African ancestors." As restitution, the declaration demanded that "all land south of the Mason Dixon line where our people constitute the majority, be partitioned to establish a territory for Self-Government for the African Nation in the United States."

One of the pioneers of the post-World War II black reparations

movement was “Queen Mother” Audley Moore. A major figure in the history of black radicalism, she started out as a devoted member of the Garvey movement before joining the CPUSA in the 1930s, although she had never abandoned black nationalism. In 1950 she left the CP and founded, among other things, the Universal Association of Ethiopian Women, which focused attention on welfare, prisoners rights, antilynching, and interracial rape. She also launched the African-American Party of National Liberation in 1963, and as we saw in chapter 3, played a major role mentoring young activists in RAM. By her recollections, she came to the issue of reparations in 1962 after discovering a clause in the *Methodist Encyclopedia* that “considers an enslaved people satisfied with their condition if the people do not demand recompense before 100 years have passed.” As it was the centennial of the Emancipation Proclamation, she promptly formed the Reparations Committee of Descendants of U.S. Slaves, Inc., and issued a demand for federal reparations as partial compensation for slavery and Jim Crow. Her organization came up with a figure of five hundred trillion dollars to be spread over the next four generations, and it made an effort to present its case to President Kennedy—though Moore got only as far as his secretary.

The crucial point that Moore emphasized in making the demand was that a thoroughly democratic structure needed to be in place so that ordinary people could decide what to do with the money. The money was not to be controlled by a “little clique,” nor was it intended to line the pockets of individuals. It had to be both substantial and community controlled to enable African Americans “to put up some steel mills, some industry with the reparation, to benefit the whole people.” She also wanted to accommodate those who “wanted to take their reparation and go to Africa.” What she did not want, however, was a “poverty program.” She insisted that had the government focused on reparations rather than on a War on Poverty, black people would have been much better off. Besides being a pittance of what was owed black people, she complained that the War on Poverty gave the government and a handful of black elites control over our des-

tiny. And the very idea that black people were damaged goods in need of help had dire psychological consequences: “We don’t realize how detrimental it is for us to be under a poverty program. We, who gave the world civilization, we the wealthiest people on earth who have been robbed of all of our birthright, our inheritance.”

By the mid- to late 1960s, most black radical movements had either adopted some form of reparations claim or at least debated the issue. The Nation of Islam added a demand to its plan for a separate state that “our former slave masters”—in the form of the U.S. government—provide “fertile and mineral rich” land and fund the territory for the first twenty to twenty-five years, or until the residents were self-sufficient. The Black Panther Party for Self-Defense, founded in 1966, included a demand for reparations in its platform. Point 3 stated: “We believe that this racist government has robbed us and now we are demanding the overdue debt of forty acres and two mules. Forty acres and two mules were promised 100 years ago as restitution for slave labor and mass murder of black people. We will accept payment in currency which will be distributed to our many communities.” It went on to argue that German reparations for the Holocaust set a precedent, especially since the “American racist has taken part in the slaughter of over fifty million Black people.” The Panthers never came up with a figure or a plan, just the principle that black people deserved reparations.

The “Black Manifesto,” issued in spring 1969, was the first systematic, fully elaborated plan for reparations to emerge from the black freedom movement. The document came about when James Forman, a leader and radical voice in SNCC, was asked to speak at the national Black Economic Development Conference (BEDC) in Detroit organized by the Interreligious Foundation for Community Organization (IFCO). Forman and activists he had met in the Detroit-based League of Revolutionary Black Workers, notably Mike Hamlin, Ken Cockrel, and John Watson, decided to take over what would have been a liberal community development conference. They succeeded, positioning six league

members on the BEDC steering committee and creating what was essentially a black socialist agenda. The key document, however, was the “Black Manifesto,” which demanded five hundred million dollars in reparations to be paid by *white Christian churches* (later they included Jewish synagogues). That IFCO was a major Protestant institution only partly explains why Forman targeted churches. His primary reason was that white religious institutions participated in and benefited from racist and capitalist exploitation of black people.

Half a billion dollars is a paltry sum (by their estimate, it amounted to fifteen dollars a head), but Forman and fellow drafters of the “Black Manifesto” considered their request seed money to build a new revolutionary movement and to strengthen black political and economic institutions. Topping the list was the need for land. Given the long history of African Americans’ struggle for land, it is not surprising that two hundred million dollars was set aside for a Southern Land Bank (a poignant demand today given the recent one-billion-dollar settlement for black farmers discriminated against by the U.S. Department of Agriculture). Because of the explicit anticapitalist vision of the drafters of the manifesto, the land bank was intended especially for “people who want to establish cooperative farms but who have no funds.”

Some of the other demands turned out to be even more imaginative. To protect black workers and their families “fighting racist working conditions” at work as well as within their unions, the manifesto designated twenty million dollars for a National Black Labor Strike Fund. And to help welfare recipients organize more effectively, the BEDC planned to give the National Welfare Rights Organization a subsidy of ten million dollars. The “Black Manifesto” also recognized the racist war being waged on black people’s image, here and abroad. Forman and other BEDC drafters of the document wanted black people to exercise more control over the media. They insisted that the media and the educational system brainwashed black youth, in particular, teaching “us to believe in the U.S.A. and salute the flag and go off to

Santo Domingo, the Congo, or Vietnam fighting for this white 'Christian' nation." And so they earmarked forty million dollars to launch publishing houses in Detroit, Atlanta, Los Angeles, and New York; another forty million was to be used to establish four television networks. They wanted thirty million dollars to build a research skills center to facilitate the study of "the problems of black people," and designated ten million for a skills training center to teach community organization, photography, movie making, television and radio manufacturing and repair, and other communications-related skills. Another twenty million dollars would be used to support a United Black Appeal responsible for raising money for the BEDC. Besides funding "a Black Anti-Defamation League which will protect our African image," the appeal would promote the development of cooperatives in African countries and provide material support to African liberation movements. The remaining one hundred and thirty million dollars were to be used to establish a black university in the South, acknowledging that the majority of historically black colleges and universities in the region at the time were largely funded and administered by liberal whites.

It was a tall order, to be sure, but still monetarily less than what most reparations movements were asking for. In order to realize the demands of the "Black Manifesto," BEDC proposed massive civil disobedience directed at churches. The planned sit-ins and mass disruptions were not simply tactics to win reparations but deliberate attacks on the institutionalized Church itself. Forman, in particular, felt that Christianity had been a source of oppression; by teaching passivity and acceptance of the dominant order, he argued, Christianity had kept black people from embracing revolution. Nevertheless, despite Forman's unrelenting frontal attack on white churches, a few religious leaders were moved enough by the manifesto's arguments to contribute money. Altogether, the movement raised about one million dollars, though most of it went to IFCO, which eventually withdrew its support for the "Black Manifesto." The BEDC received only about three hundred thousand dollars, and most of that was parceled out to other

movements. The little bit it did keep was used to launch Black Star Publications, a publishing house for radical black writers.

For Forman and the radical leadership of the BEDC, the “Black Manifesto” was not an end in itself. They wanted to revolutionize society and they knew that even if their campaign succeeded, money alone would not lead to the kind of society they hoped to build. As Forman explained,

Reparations did not represent any kind of long-range goal in our minds, but an intermediate step on the path to liberation. We saw it as a politically correct step, for the concept of reparation reflected the need to adjust past wrongs—to compensate for the enslavement of black people by Christians and their subsequent exploitation by Christians and Jews in the United States. Our demands . . . would not merely involve money but would be a call for revolutionary action, a Manifesto that spoke of the human misery of black people under capitalism and imperialism, and pointed the way to ending those conditions.

In 1971, Forman and his comrades in the BEDC founded the Black Workers Congress (BWC) in an effort to realize their radical anticapitalist vision. The BWC advocated workers’ control of industry, the economy, and the state, to be brought about through cooperatives, united front groups, neighborhood centers, student organizations, and ultimately a revolutionary party. Within three years, the BWC transformed itself into a multiracial Marxist-Leninist party, purging Forman in the process.

If bringing the issue of reparations to a national audience was one of the goals of the “Black Manifesto,” it proved to be a stunning success. During the early 1970s, articles and books on reparations were everywhere. The *Review of Black Political Economy* ran several substantive articles using regression analysis and a variety of databases to calculate the cost of slavery and Jim Crow. In 1973, a white law professor named Boris Bitker published *The Case for Black Reparations*, which argued for redress not for slavery but for segregation, arguing that Jim Crow violated the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. He found lan-

guage in what was basically an anti-Klan statute passed during Reconstruction that provided for redress to any injured party deprived of Constitutional rights. While the flurry of publications and debates advanced the economic and juridical case for reparations, they were less concerned with the larger question of how to reconstruct society.

In contrast to the professors, other social movements picked up where the “Black Manifesto” left off. The Republic of New Africa (RNA), another organization with roots in Detroit, advocated reparations but with the intention of building an independent black nation in the continental United States. Founded in 1968 by brothers Gaidi and Imari Obadele (Milton and Richard Henry), the RNA reformulated the old black belt thesis, arguing that the states of South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana constituted “subjugated territory” with the right to self-determination. They demanded that the U.S. government hand over the territory to African Americans and establish the RNA as a government in exile. In addition to the transfer of land, the RNA initially called for reparations from the U.S. government in the amount of four hundred billion dollars to sustain the new nation during its first few years.

The plan, authored by Imari Obadele in 1972, was called the “Anti-Depression Program of the Republic of New Africa.” In it he portrayed the new nation as a beautiful, free space for black people, somewhat reminiscent of the way black people have imagined Africa. It stood in stark contrast to the overcrowded, rat-infested ghettos many urban African Americans knew as home. But the promise of a Republic of New Africa also meant transforming the ghettos of North America. “We shall bring about a new dimension in breathing and growing space for those who remain where they are; We shall immensely relieve pressure on the crowded northern and western ghettos and spatially and materially restructure and abolish the growing black slums of the South.” The new nation would not follow in the path of American capitalism. Rather, its economy would be based on Tanzania’s model of African socialism, *Ujamaa*—roughly translated,

“cooperative economics.” Like Forman and the BEDC, the RNA concluded that New Africans need a system “for need, not for profit.” “The means of production in New Africa,” Obadele declared, “will be in the trust of the state to best accomplish this end, and the further ends of rapidly ending want and creating surpluses.”

Many critics, even those sympathetic to territorial nationalist organizations, are quick to dismiss the land question as impractical or even impossible. But if we treat the land issue literally in terms of controlling territory with national borders and moving people back and forth across those borders, then we miss key elements of the RNA’s vision and its implications for a broader black radical conception of freedom. First, land is wealth, pure and simple. Historically, it has been fundamental for economic independence and sustainability, not to mention a central source of heritable wealth in the United States. Indeed, even if we limited our scope to homeownership, the miracle of the postwar (white) middle class can be explained by rising property values. The return on their investment enabled suburban white homeowners to pass on wealth as well as educational opportunities to their children. Fewer African Americans owned property, in part because they started out with no capital, were paid less for the same work, tended to have higher rates of unemployment, and confronted a system of Jim Crow that denied them access to much of the housing market. And those who did own homes suffered from discriminatory policies and practices from lending institutions, real estate firms, and the Federal Housing Administration. As a result, substantially lower black home values not only reduces gross equity but makes it difficult for African Americans to use their residences as collateral for obtaining loans for other investments, such as college or business.

Second, and perhaps more importantly, land is space, territory on which people can begin to reconstruct their lives. The dream, after all, is to create a new society free of the overseer’s watchful eye. How can any group of people govern itself without land? How can the RNA establish communal villages on the Tanzan-

ian model without territory on which to do so? When MOVE, a black nationalist group in Philadelphia, tried to create an alternative society in the middle of the city, confrontations with neighbors and the police ultimately led to its violent destruction: 11 MOVE members died and 250 people were left homeless as a result of a military campaign against them. Besides, as I tried to suggest in chapter 1, proponents of a new state or repatriation to another place are really just looking for a new beginning, a place where they can be free and develop their own culture without interference. The impulse for territory, then, is not just a matter of land; it is a matter of finding free space. And this desire for free space cannot be suppressed or dismissed.

So, if new land is not available, is it possible to persuade the people of the “old land” to support the same things the movement wants? Can groups like the RNA win over the multiracial masses to their program and turn the United States into the kind of society they imagine for the Republic of New Africa? On the surface, the question may seem absurd, but when we examine the RNA’s broad aims its general commitment to the liberation of humanity is crystal clear. Despite its nationalist rubric, the aims of the “Anti-Depression Program” are deeply internationalist and humanist in that they call for the overthrow all forms of oppression around the globe and propose to make new subjects who are self-reliant, intelligent, self-possessed, and committed to social change. The RNA made it perfectly clear, in the “Anti-Depression Program” and in other statements and actions, that these larger goals cannot be accomplished by simply receiving land and money from the state:

Ours is a revolution against oppression—our own oppression and that of all people in the world. And it is a revolution for a better life, a better station for mankind, a surer harmony with the forces of life in the universe. We therefore see these as the aims of our revolution:

—to assure all people in the New Society maximum opportunity and equal access to that maximum;

- to promote industriousness, responsibility, scholarship, and service;
- to protect and promote the personal dignity and integrity of the individual and his natural rights;
- to encourage and reward the individual for hard work and initiative and insight and devotion to the Revolution. . . .

The RNA experienced more than its share of state repression during the late 1960s and 1970s; several of its members, including Imari Obadele, were jailed on charges ranging from assault to conspiracy and sedition. But the RNA survived, reconstituted itself as the New Afrikan Movement, and continued to press for reparations through N'COBRA. Imari Obadele, founder of N'COBRA, drafted a plan for reparations that went far beyond the RNA's "Anti-Depression Program." Presented to the U.S. Congress in 1987, the document was called "An Act to Stimulate Economic Growth in the United States and Compensate, in part, for the Grievous Wrongs of Slavery and the Unjust Enrichment which Accrued to the United States Therefrom." In this plan, Congress would be obliged to pay out not less than three billion dollars annually to African Americans. One-third of this sum was to be paid directly to families; another one-third would go to the duly elected government of the Republic of New Afrika. (Elections would be monitored by the UN or some comparable international body.) The remaining one-third would support a National Congress of Organizations composed of churches, black civic organizations, and community-based movements committed to ending "the scourge of drugs and crime in New Afrikan communities and [advancing] the social, economic, educational, or cultural progress and enrichment of New Afrikan people." Participating groups would have had to been in operation for a minimum of two years before the passage of legislation.

Knowing that the United States would not simply hand over the Southern states, Obadele proposed a plebiscite to determine the will of the black community for a separate state. Employing carefully worded, legalistic language, the plan required that at

least 10 percent of the black population older than sixteen years of age sign petitions before such a plebiscite could be held. The petition process would be overseen by judges appointed by the president of the United States, the UN, and the RNA. The sovereign status of each state in question, then, would be determined by a majority of voters. If the majority of voters elected to become part of the Republic of New Afrika, residents of these states could leave and maintain U.S. citizenship, stay and become citizens of the RNA, or enjoy dual citizenship irrespective of where they lived. What is not clear from the document, however, is whether or not white people can choose New Afrikan citizenship or residency in the South. Judging from the carefully worded and extremely democratic tone of the document, it seems quite possible that nonblack people fully committed to black liberation and a “New Afrikan” way of life could join the republic, though it is not encouraged.

On May 19, 1999 (Malcolm X’s birthday), N’COBRA did hold a plebiscite on reparations, though the purpose was to raise community awareness and mobilize African Americans to elect “economic development commissioners” (EDCs) who would serve as local organizers for the reparations campaign. Preparation for the plebiscite gave N’COBRA an opportunity to circulate its latest “main and immediate demands.” These included: twenty-five thousand dollars in cash for black families and individuals; the immediate release of all political prisoners as well as nonviolent black prisoners with cash reparations and, for those who needed it, “medical care/substance abuse treatment”; “10 billion dollars to create 10 schools” to retrain African (American) youth, the unemployed, and recently released prisoners; and a billion dollars to create an economic development fund that would be run by the EDCs. The “Act” also registered N’COBRA’s support of black farmers’ billion-dollar lawsuit against the federal government, and reiterated its commitment to self-determination and the right to form an independent black state.

In short, N’COBRA continues to uphold a radical concept of reparations as more than a paycheck and an apology. It regards the campaign as part of a many-pronged attack on race and class

oppression, an analysis of the root cause of inequality, and a means to mobilize African Americans to struggle for social change, self-transformation, and self-reliance. Indeed, self-reliance is a key phrase: N’COBRA and the New Afrikan Movement consistently advocate educational programs with the intention of reducing crime, drug addiction, and self-hatred, and promoting communal values, self-worth, and a commitment to community. Grassroots community involvement in the campaign not only builds support but also has the potential to transform participants through study groups, forums, and relationships forged in the context of a social movement—perhaps not unlike the black ex-slave pension movement at the beginning of the last century. Finally, like so many other reparations campaigns coming out of black radical movements, N’COBRA continues to view the struggle in global terms. Among other things, it maintains links to the Africa Reparations Movement (ARM). An outgrowth of the First Pan-African Conference on Reparations in Abuja, Nigeria, held in 1993, ARM focuses on issues relating to the continent, notably the cancellation of African nations’ debt, the return of stolen art objects, and recognition of the Atlantic slave trade as a crime against humanity.

A Case for Reparations . . . and Transformation

If we think of reparations as part of a broad strategy to radically transform society—redistributing wealth, creating a democratic and caring public culture, exposing the ways capitalism and slavery produced massive inequality—then the ongoing struggle for reparations holds enormous promise for revitalizing movements for social justice. Consider the context: For at least the last quarter century we have witnessed a general backlash against the black community. As I argued in *Yo’ Mama’s Disfunktional!* (1997), Republican and Democratic administrations dismantled most state protections for poor people of color, expanded the urban police state, virtually eliminated affirmative action and welfare as we knew it, and significantly weakened institutions and laws created to protect civil rights. All these cutbacks were justi-

fied by a discourse that blamed black behavior for contemporary urban poverty and turned what were once called “rights” (i.e., welfare) into “privileges.” The argument for reparations not only recasts these measures as rights but as payback. It shows how more than two centuries of U.S. policy facilitated accumulation among white property owners while further impoverishing African Americans. Thus federal assistance to black people in any form is not a gift but a down payment for centuries of unpaid labor, violence, and exploitation.

We need not go all the way back to slavery to make the case. We can point to more than a century of discrimination to explain the myriad ways U.S. policies have enriched upper- and middle-class whites at the expense of black people and other people of color (and we’ve already looked at housing policies). Let us take just one example: education. During Reconstruction, African Americans led the fight for free universal public education in the United States, not just for themselves but for everyone. After being barred from reading and writing while in bondage, newly freed people regarded education as one of the most basic rights and privileges of citizenship. Education was so important, in fact, that they were willing to pay for public schools or start their own. In South Carolina, for example, freed people contributed nearly thirteen thousand dollars to keep twenty-three schools running, schools that had been established by the Freedmen’s Bureau. Indeed, between 1866 and 1870, newly freed people contributed more than three-quarters of a million dollars in cash to sustain their own schools. Once African Americans won the franchise, they made it possible for universal compulsory education to be written into state constitutions throughout the South. They also elected black legislators who succeeded in establishing boards of education and requiring compulsory education with “no distinction to be made in favor of any class of persons.” In South Carolina in 1868, black and progressive white legislators made sure textbooks were provided free of charge, and within two years close to sixteen thousand black children and eleven thousand white children attended public schools.

As soon as the federal government withdrew its support for Reconstruction and the Southern planter class and New South industrialists imposed formal segregation, black students were relegated to inferior schools and denied full attendance. Rural schools for blacks, for example, often operated only a few weeks out of the year. And yet black wage earners continued to pay taxes to support public education. In the Jim Crow South it was not unusual for African Americans to contribute 40 percent of the school budget but attend schools that received 10 percent of the expenditures. One study conducted by researchers at Atlanta University in 1901 concluded that black taxpayers were actually subsidizing white schools. More recently, two years after the Supreme Court ordered desegregation of schools in 1954, the state of Virginia introduced publicly funded school vouchers to help white families send their children to private schools rather than endure integration. The vouchers were eventually deemed unconstitutional, but during that short period of time African American taxes were being used to help pay for white children's private-school tuition. In light of how our separate and unequal education has benefited whites and cost African Americans, claims that affirmative action is “reverse discrimination” or a “special privilege” ring hollow at best.

The reparations movement exposes the history of white privilege and helps us all understand how wealth and poverty are made under capitalism—particularly a capitalism shaped immeasurably by slavery and racism. It stresses the fact that labor—not CEOs, not scientists and technicians, not the magic of the so-called free market—creates wealth. The reparations movement provides an analysis of our situation that challenges victim-blaming explanations, explaining that exploitation and regressive policies create poverty, not bad behavior. It ought to compel us to pay attention to the centrality of racism in the U.S. political economy, because one of the consequences of racial differentials in income and economic opportunity is downward pressure on wages for *all* working people, irrespective of color. It should also make us look at gender, because men and women did not experience

exploitation in the same manner. We need to consider things like women's unpaid labor (see chapter 5), reproduction, sexual abuse, and ways to make restitution for these distinctive forms of exploitation. At the very least, the reparations movement ought to clarify issues like what constitutes a "family" if payments are to be made to such units, or how we might imagine remaking relationships between men and women, boys and girls, adults and children. If radical transformation of society is one of the goals of the reparations movement, then these questions cannot be ignored. Unfortunately, most arguments in support of reparations scarcely mention gender.

In the end, a successful reparations campaign has the potential to benefit the entire nation, not just the black community. Since most plans emphasize investments in institutions rather than individual payments, the result would bring a massive infusion of capital for infrastructure, housing, schools, and related institutions in communities with large black populations. Monies would also be made available to support civic organizations and help establish a strong civil society among people of African descent, which in turn would strengthen civil society as a whole. Presumably, social ills such as crime, drug use, and violence would be reduced considerably and thus alter the world's image of black people. Furthermore, the historically black ghetto communities to which substantial investments would be made also house other poor people of color: Latinos, Afro-Caribbeans, Native Americans, Asian Americans (namely Filipinos, Samoans, South Asians, Koreans, etc.). They, too, would benefit from improved schools, homes, public life, and a politically strengthened black community. Given the relationship of slavery and racism to the global economy, this outcome makes perfect sense. Many of these poor immigrant groups are themselves products of centuries of imperialism—slavery's handmaiden, if you will—or descendants of slaves, as in the case of many Caribbean and Latin American immigrants. Finally, it should be stressed that reparations for one group will not harm working-class whites. As Robert Westley argued in a recent *Boston College Law Review* article on reparations:

Racist exploitation has contributed to the persistence of poverty among Blacks and the unjust privilege of whites. Redressing these harms through Black reparations would help to alleviate part of the problem of persistent poverty. To the extent that poverty remains a problem among nonBlacks and Blacks alike, it is both just and consistent with the equality principle to demand adequate social welfare, equal educational opportunity and access to jobs. Other national goals, like space exploration or defense, may need to be downsized in order to fulfill the moral obligation of social justice.

Of course, we do not yet live in a society where social justice takes precedence over national defense. This is why the reparations campaign, despite its potential contribution to eliminating racism and remaking the world, can never be an end in itself. Movement leaders have known this all along. The hard work of changing our values and reorganizing social life requires political engagement, community involvement, education, debate and discussion, and dreaming. Money and resources are always important, but a new vision and new values cannot be bought. And without at least a rudimentary critique of the capitalist culture that consumes us, even reparations can have disastrous consequences. Imagine if reparations were treated as start-up capital for black entrepreneurs who merely want to mirror the dominant society. What would really change?

Again, we have to return to Detroit, this time to veteran radical Grace Lee Boggs. For decades she has been making this very point, insisting that we stop begging for inclusion in a corrupt system, take responsibility for transforming our culture, and remake ourselves as human beings. I hope that all of us who believe freedom is worth pursuing will heed her words and recognize the power we already possess:

What we need to do . . . is encourage groups of all kinds and all ages to participate in creating a vision of the future that will enlarge the humanity of all of us and then, in devising concrete programs on which they can work together, if only in a small

way, to move toward their vision. In this unique interim time between historical epochs, this is how we can elicit the hope that is essential to the building of a movement and unleash the energies that in the absence of hope are turned against other people or even against oneself. . . . When people come together voluntarily to create their own vision, they begin wishing it to come into being with such passion that they begin creating an active path leading to it from the present. The spirit and the way to make the spirit live coalesce. Instead of seeing ourselves only as victims, we begin to see ourselves as part of a continuing struggle of human beings, not only to survive but to evolve into more human human beings.

**“THIS BATTLEFIELD
CALLED LIFE”:
BLACK FEMINIST DREAMS**

*I say, come, sister, brother to the battlefield
Come into the rain forests
Come into the hood
Come into the barrio
Come into the schools
Come into the abortion clinics
Come into the prisons
Come and caress our spines*

*I say come, wrap your feet around justice
I say come, wrap your tongues around truth
I say come, wrap your hands with deeds and prayer
You brown ones
You yellow ones
You black ones
You gay ones
You white ones
You lesbian ones*

*Comecomecomecomecome to this battlefield
Called life, called life, called life. . . .*

*I'm gonna stay on the battlefield
I'm gonna stay on the battlefield
I'm gonna stay on the battlefield til I die. . . .*

Sonia Sanchez, “For Sweet Honey in the Rock”

I want the same thing that I did thirty years ago when I joined the Civil Rights movement and twenty years ago when I joined the women's movement, came out, and felt more alive than I ever dreamed possible: freedom.

Barbara Smith, *The Truth That Never Hurts*

What is the position of women in black radical visions of freedom? Prone . . . to disappear, that is. The dream of African redemption comes to us largely as a male dream of armies liberating the motherland from their imperialist adversaries. Women do have a place in a postredemption Africa, but rarely do they deviate from their traditional roles as nurturers and caretakers. The position of women has been debated in socialist and communist circles, but even there it is usually left as a question. And black women specifically? They have never been a primary subject of the American Left, always falling somewhere in the cracks between the Negro Question and the Woman Question. As we've seen, key interventions by the likes of Ida B. Wells or Claudia Jones attempted to disrupt color- and class-struggle-as-usual, but few leftists paid attention. Nearly half a century ago, black playwright and critic Lorraine Hansberry took the Communists to task for failing to recognize that the Woman Question stood alongside class, race, colonialism, and the struggle for peace as "the greatest social question existent." Third World-identified revolutionaries had much to say about class, culture, and internationalism, but very little to say about women. When women appeared in the radical imagination of the 1960s and 1970s, it was often as the iconic gun-slinging, baby-toting, Afro-coifed Amazon warrior. Even the radical architects of reparations completely collapsed black women within an undifferentiated mass called the black community.

Here lay the crux of the problem: The relative invisibility of black women in these radical freedom dreams is less a matter of deliberate exclusion than *conception*, or the way in which the interests and experiences of black people are treated. The black

community is too often conceived as an undifferentiated group with common interests. The men and even many of the women who lead these movements see the yoke of race and class oppression and accordingly create strategies to liberate the race, or black working people in particular. This ostensibly gender-neutral conception of the black community (nothing is really gender neutral), presumes that freedom for black people as a whole will result in freedom for black women. Oppressions of sex and gender went unacknowledged or were considered the secondary residue of racial capitalism that would eventually wither away. A long list of black women challenged these ideas—running the gamut from Sojourner Truth, who challenged white feminists and male abolitionists to acknowledge the oppression and potential of black women, to turn-of-the-century intellectual Anna Julia Cooper, whose writings offered a withering analysis of how race and gender worked to oppress white women and all communities of color. Indeed, these women flipped the script on the black freedom movement, arguing that freedom for black women would result in freedom for black people as a whole—better yet, all people. But it was not until the formation of an autonomous radical black feminist movement in the late 1960s and early 1970s that we find the most thorough, sustained interrogation of sex and gender as part of a general challenge to conceptions of black liberation.

Radical black feminists have never confined their vision to just the emancipation of black women or women in general, or all black people for that matter. Rather, they are the theorists and proponents of a radical humanism committed to liberating humanity and reconstructing social relations across the board. When bell hooks says “Feminism is for everybody,” she is echoing what has always been a basic assumption of black feminists. We are not talking about identity politics but a constantly developing, often contested, revolutionary conversation about how all of us might envision and remake the world. Of course, one might argue that we should be talking about feminism writ large, and that identifying something called “black feminism” is itself essentialist, if not divisive. But I am using *black* in order to be his-

torically precise, because the ideas and visions I discuss in this chapter grew primarily out of the black freedom movement and black women's experience, not interracial sisterhood solidarity. Radical black feminists not only struggled against race, class, and gender oppression, but also critically analyzed the racial ideologies underlying patriarchy and challenged mainstream feminist conceptions of woman as a universal category.

It would also be a mistake to read radical black feminism as a negative response to black male sexism within the movement. Instead, as Paula Giddings, Evelyn Brooks-Higginbotham, Deborah Gray White, Beverly Guy-Sheftall, Rosalyn Terborg-Penn, Elsa Barkely Brown, Patricia Hill-Collins, and countless historians of the movement attest, black feminism's core vision grows out of a very long history of black women attempting to solve the general problems of the race but doing so by analyzing and speaking from both "public" and "private" realms. To be more precise, their work exposes the false wall erected between public and private, especially given the importance of black women's labor in the maintenance of white households as well as the critical role of sexual violence and lynching in upholding race and gender hierarchies here and abroad.

In the end, perhaps we *are* talking about feminism writ large; or better yet, freedom writ large, for these women profoundly deepened the black radical imagination, producing a vision of liberation expansive enough for all.

Smashers of Myths . . . Destroyers of Illusion

Black women don't usually appear in histories of "second wave" radical feminism, except as frustrated critics of white women. But a few were there at the very beginning. Florynce "Flo" Kennedy and Pauli Murray, both attorneys with a long history of civil rights and feminist activism, were founding members of the National Organization for Women (NOW) in 1966. Murray, in fact, served on President Kennedy's Commission on the Status of Women. Flo Kennedy earned a reputation as independent and outspoken;

among other things, she formed the Feminist Party in support of black Congresswoman Shirley Chisholm’s presidential bid in 1972 and went on to become a founding member of the National Black Feminist Organization in 1973. Both Murray and Kennedy felt that NOW and other mainstream feminist organizations ignored black women and tended to see the experiences of middle-class white women as representative of the experiences of all women. Kennedy was drawn to the radical feminist movement, which began to take off around 1968–69. She participated in demonstrations with New York Radical Women partly because they engaged in civil disobedience and advocated a revolution in gender relations, not just reforms that would give women more access to the power structure.

Yet even the radical feminist vision of revolution paid little attention to race or the unique position of women of color. New York Radical Women’s “Principles,” distributed in 1968, made no mention of differences between women by race or class and presumed the existence of a universal women’s culture. On the other hand, one line in the “Principles” could potentially have opened the door for an analysis of how race, gender, and class worked together: “We define the best interests of women as the best interests of the poorest, most insulted, most despised, most abused woman on earth.” And who might that woman be? Most likely a black woman or a woman of color. It is an observation central to black feminist thought, going back at least to Anna Julia Cooper, whose book *A Voice from the South* (1893) made the case that the condition of black women could be a barometer for the condition of all women as well as for that of the black community. Cooper wrote, “Not till the universal title of humanity to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness is conceded to be inalienable to all; not till then is woman’s lesson taught and woman’s cause won—not the white woman’s nor the black woman’s, not the red woman’s but the cause of every man and every woman who has writhed silently under a mighty wrong.”

Shortly after its founding in 1968, New York Radical Women began to splinter into other organizations. One group calling it-

self Redstockings was launched in 1969 and produced its own “Manifesto” promoting the idea that women constituted an oppressed class by virtue of their exploitation as unpaid and underpaid labor, child bearers, and sex objects. Although the “Manifesto” acknowledged differences between women, it treated these differences as impediments to overcome rather than as demonstrations of unequal power relationships. It repeats New York Radical Women’s injunction that women’s best interests are that “of the poorest, most brutally exploited woman,” but also vows to “repudiate all economic, racial, educational or status privileges that divide us from other women. We are determined to recognize and eliminate any prejudices we may hold against other women.”

Thus radical feminist groups such as Redstockings, WITCH (Women’s International Terrorist Conspiracy from Hell), and The Feminists made antiracism an important part of their agendas, even if their analyses of race and the position of women of color was lacking. Socialist feminist groups during the same period, most notably the Women’s Liberation Union (WLU), paid more attention to racism, arguing that capitalism, racism, and patriarchy worked together to oppress women. WLU activists organized working-class women and directed their attention to basic needs of the poor, such as health care, child care, and labor organizing. And a few white feminists made significant sacrifices for the black freedom movement: Sylvia Baraldini, Marilyn Buck, and Susan Rosenberg, for example, were imprisoned for their role in assisting Assata Shakur escape from Clinton Correctional Facility in New Jersey. However, radical, socialist, and liberal feminist organizations did not attract substantial numbers of black women.

Historians explain the absence of black women in the radical feminist movement by citing black women’s distrust of white women and their commitment to autonomous black organizations. Black women also resented the way some white feminists drew analogies between white women’s plight and that of the black community. The argument that the sexism experienced by

middle-class white women was analogous to the racism experienced by black people struck many black women as absurd, particularly in light of the police and mob violence meted out to African Americans at the time. Moreover, the analogy rendered black women invisible. In 1967, a group of women within SDS issued a statement, “To Women on the Left,” warning women to “not make the same mistake the blacks did at first of allowing others (whites in their case, men in ours) to define our issues, methods, goals.” So blacks versus whites equaled women versus men; and in both cases black women’s interests were still being defined for them. Furthermore, when white women appealed to sisterhood, women of color not only cited the history of racism within the women’s rights movement but made the point that the labor of black domestics often made it possible for middle-class white women to organize. White women and women of color have often related to each other as employers and employees rather than as “sisters.”

However, it would be a mistake to accept the too common claim that black women activists rejected feminism out of hand. They simply did not separate the fight for women’s rights from issues affecting the entire black community, nor did they believe that men were necessarily the enemy. But they did confront and criticize sexism within the black freedom movement to which they were committed. Margaret Wright, an activist in the Los Angeles-based group Women Against Repression, was frequently told by male leaders in the Black Power movement that black women oppressed black men, that black women were domineering, that successful black women stripped black men of their manhood. “Black women aren’t oppressing them,” she announced in a 1970 interview. “We’re helping them get their liberation. It’s the white man who’s oppressing, not us. All we ever did was scrub floors so they could get their little selves together!” The very idea that black women kept black men down made her even more angry when she thought about the role most black women had to play in the civil rights and black liberation movements. “We run errands, lick stamps, mail letters and do the door-to-

door. But when it comes to the speaker's platform, it's all men up there blowing their souls, you dig." Indeed, black women who spoke publicly and led protests instead of running mimeograph machines were sometimes accused of doing "men's work" or undermining black manhood. Some women, like Gloria Richardson—leader of the Cambridge Non-Violent Action Movement who organized armed self-defense groups in her hometown of Cambridge, Maryland—were called "castrators" by their fellow male activists. Black women in the movement did not accept sexism without a fight, but an aggressive patriarchal culture became increasingly visible during the mid- to late 1960s.

In some respects, assertions that black women's activism "emasculated" black men were even more virulent in the mid- to late 1960s than in previous generations, prompted in part by the publication of Daniel Patrick Moynihan's widely circulated report *The Negro Family: The Case for National Action* (1965). Moynihan attributed the alleged "disorganization" and "pathology" of black families to the rise of a matriarchal culture originating on the slave plantation. The report blamed the persistence of black matriarchy, most evident in homes led by single mothers, for sexual promiscuity, crime, and poverty because it contributed to the demoralization of black men. The best way to eliminate this "crushing burden on the Negro male" is to remove young black men to "an utterly masculine world . . . away from women." (Moynihan conveniently suggested that a tour of duty in Vietnam might do the trick.) Although the report drew fire from many black activists, some black men agreed with the fundamental premise that assertive, strong black women undermined black men's authority.

The Moynihan report only fueled existing patriarchal impulses within male-led movements of the day. Black nationalists—like virtually all nationalists—tended to embrace patriarchal values, and some promoted the idea that women should contribute to the revolution by making babies and supporting their menfolk on the front lines. Undoubtedly, not all black nationalist men were hope-

lessly sexist. On the contrary, some openly challenged sexist statements, rejected talk of polygamy and mothering for the nation, and fought for real gender equality. Let us not forget that Robert L. Allen's *Black Awakening in Capitalist America*, which contained a blistering critique of sexism in black nationalist movements, was published in 1969. Besides, we need to understand the problem of patriarchy and male domination as a problem for the entire New Left movement in the 1960s. White New Left male leaders were often unwilling to share leadership, adopted many of the same patriarchal attitudes as their black nationalist comrades, and frequently scoffed at the idea of women's liberation. In a word, the masculinist posturing of both the New Left and Black Power movements, the failure of many white feminist groups to grapple with racism, and the growing presence of a Third World feminist critique set the context for radical black feminism.

Rather than mourn, radical black women organized. Between 1966 and 1970, black women formed several autonomous organizations, including the Black Women's Liberation Committee of SNCC and its offspring, the Third World Women's Alliance; the Harlem-based Black Women Enraged; and the Oakland-based Black Women Organizing for Action, among others. Some of the critical discussions and debates about black women's liberation took place inside organizations one might not consider “feminist,” such as the Black Panther Party and the National Welfare Rights Organization, both founded in 1966, as well the National Domestic Workers Union, formed in 1968. Representatives from local movements around the country came together to launch the National Black Feminist Organization (NBFO). Founded in 1973, the NBFO attracted some four hundred women to its founding convention, making it the largest independent black feminist group at that time.

They organized and they analyzed. In 1970, a brilliant young writer and English professor at New Jersey's Livingston College named Toni Cade (later Toni Cade Bambara) edited a landmark collection of essays called *The Black Woman*. It was a kind of

manifesto for black feminism, a critique of both the women's movement and male-led black politics, and a complex analysis of how gender, race, and class worked together to oppress everyone. Contributors ranged widely, from singer, composer, and activist Abbey Lincoln to a young novelist and editor by the name of Toni Morrison. The book critiqued the culture's degradation of black women and exposed how traditional ideas of masculinity not only undermined gender relations within black communities but also served as a fetter to the liberation of men and women. In other words, a politics wedded to the idea that men needed to rule women would not result in liberation for anyone. Frances Beal, a founding member of SNCC's Black Women's Liberation Committee and the Third World Women's Alliance, made the point eloquently in her contribution, "Double Jeopardy." She reminded readers that the liberation of black women was not mere identity politics but a struggle to eliminate all manifestations of oppression. Echoing earlier generations of black feminists, she insisted that "the exploitation of black people and women works to everyone's disadvantage. . . . The liberation of these two groups is a stepping-stone to the liberation of all oppressed people in this country and around the world." She did not call for the liberation of black women only, but for the liberation of humanity in its totality—a liberation that did not subordinate women's issues. "Unless women in any enslaved nation are completely liberated, the change cannot really be called a revolution." To achieve such a revolution meant fighting racism, capitalism, and imperialism and "changing the traditional routines that we have established as a result of living in a totally corrupting society. It means changing how you relate to your wife, your husband, your parents, and your coworkers."

Black feminist writings, in both *The Black Woman* and elsewhere (i.e., Angela Davis's pioneering 1971 essay "Reflections on the Black Woman's Role in the Community of Slaves") extended the discussion of revolution from public institutions and the workplace to the home, the family, even the body. The exploitation of women's labor within families, sexual assault, and birth

control were among the more highly debated topics. For example, whereas many “second wave” feminists understood motherhood as inherently oppressive because it doomed (white middle-class) women to a lonely life as suburban housewives, black women were forced by economic circumstance into low-wage labor and never had the luxury of spending a lot of time with their families. Most black working women wanted more choices, more time, and more resources rather than an outright rejection of motherhood itself. Besides, black women had had a very different experience with birth control. While white women demanded greater access to contraceptives and abortion as a road to sexual freedom, black women were fighting forced sterilization and family planning policies that sought to limit black births. After World War I, the birth control movement, led by none other than the militant women’s rights activist Margaret Sanger, formed an alliance with the eugenicist movement. Together they advocated limiting fertility among the “unfit,” which included poor black people. Sanger viewed birth control as “the very pivot of civilization” and “the most constructive and necessary of the means to racial health.” Sanger, along with Dr. Clarence Gamble (the mastermind behind the massive sterilization of women in Puerto Rico in the 1950s), launched the notorious Negro Project in 1938 to promote birth control among Southern African Americans. Birth control centers were established in black communities all over the South during the 1930s; the number of black women sterilized involuntarily rose exponentially and continued to rise through the 1970s. As Dorothy Roberts writes in *Killing the Black Body*, “It was a common belief in the South that Black women were routinely sterilized without their informed consent and for no valid medical reason. Teaching hospitals performed unnecessary hysterectomies on poor Black women as practice for their medical residents. This sort of abuse was so widespread in the South that these operations came to be known as ‘Mississippi appendectomies.’”

Given the historical links between the early birth control movement and eugenics, Fran Beal was not off track when she

described family planning policies under racism as a potential road to “outright surgical genocide.” Indeed, black feminists criticized the National Abortion Rights League’s support for abortion on demand and immediate access to voluntary sterilization. The Committee to End Sterilization Abuse, an organization made up primarily of women of color, wanted guidelines that would prevent the practice of obtaining consent for sterilization during labor or immediately after childbirth, or for an abortion under the threat of losing welfare benefits. They argued that abortion or sterilization on demand did not acknowledge the class and race biases in reproductive policy, the life circumstances that compelled poor women to abort, or the long history of forced sterilization imposed on women of color.

Battling forced sterilization and racist reproductive policies was not the same as rejecting birth control. In fact, black feminists found themselves fighting on another front, this time against black male leaders who proclaimed birth control “genocide.” Some black nationalist organizations denounced contraception as a white plot to eliminate the black community, going so far as to shut down local birth control clinics. At one point, members of the Nation of Islam invaded birth control clinics and published articles in *Muhammad Speaks* accompanied by depictions of bottles of birth control pills marked with skull and crossbones, or graves of unborn black infants. A radical group of black welfare mothers from Mount Vernon, New York, led by Pat Robinson, responded to these kinds of attacks, issuing a powerful statement in 1968 accusing nationalists of ignoring the condition of poor black people. A radical social worker and former volunteer worker for Planned Parenthood, Robinson had had firsthand experience with the issue of birth control and poor black women. Their statement rejected claims that contraception was a form of genocide, arguing instead that “birth control is freedom to fight genocide of black women and children.” Unless wealth was more evenly distributed, they observed, poor women having more babies for the “race” only exacerbated their poverty. They closed with a prophetic critique of class differences within the movement: “But we don’t think you are going to understand us be-

cause you are a bunch of little middle class people and we are poor black women. The middle class never understands the poor because they always need to use them as you want to use poor black women’s children to gain power for yourself. You’ll run the black community with your kind of black power—You on top!” Indeed, for Pat Robinson and her comrades, notably Patricia Haden and Donna Middleton, a revolutionary black movement without an understanding of class struggle was worthless, and a class movement that did not consider gender and sexuality was equally worthless. In 1973 they, along with many anonymous black people, published a remarkable little book titled *Lessons from the Damned*, which attempted to provide a thorough analysis of the forces arrayed against the black poor. In a section titled “The Revolt of Poor Black Women,” they spoke eloquently of how their own families contributed to the exploitation of black women and youth. Not everything can be blamed on the Man: “Inside families and inside us we have found the seeds of fascism that the traditional left does not want to see. Fascism was no big, frightening issue for us. It was our daily life. The fascism of our parents, and our brothers and sisters, forced them to beat the hell out of us, put us out, deny us food and clothing. Finally, they cooperated with the white system’s fascism and had us put away in institutions.” Just as Grace Lee Boggs and Jimmy Boggs had long insisted that no revolution could succeed until oppressed people took responsibility for their behavior and struggled to transform themselves, Robinson, Haden, and Middleton called on black women and men in the movement to dig deep “into our class and racial experience” to understand why women and youth feel the need “to subordinate themselves to men and adults.” “We must learn,” they write, “why we have loved our chains and not wanted to throw them off. Only we, the politically conscious oppressed, can find out how we were molded, brainwashed, and literally produced like any manufactured product to plastically cooperate in our own oppression. This is *our* historical responsibility.”

Haden, Middleton, and Robinson were unequivocal in their support for revolution, but they insisted that revolution must take place on three levels: overthrowing capitalism, eliminating male

supremacy, and transforming the self. Like many of their male comrades in the black freedom movement, they praised those Third World revolutionaries who were “putting out the United States Army and capitalist investors as they did in China and Cuba.” At the same time, they were suspicious of all forms of black cultural nationalism, which they dismissed as just another “hustle.” Revolution, they argued, was supposed to usher a brand new beginning; it was driven by the power of a freed imagination, not the dead weight of the past. As they wrote in “A Historical and Critical Essay for Black Women” (circa 1969): “All revolutionaries, regardless of sex, are the smashers of myths and the destroyers of illusion. They have always died and lived again to build new myths. They dare to dream of a utopia, a new kind of synthesis and equilibrium.”

Not all black feminists shared the same commitment to radical critique. In fact, the left wing of the NBFO abandoned the movement after a year because it failed to address the needs of the poor and spoke exclusively to heterosexual women. Women active in the black lesbian community had worked very hard to build an inclusive movement that addressed the needs of all—irrespective of class or sexual orientation. So in 1974 a group of radical black feminists in Boston broke with the NBFO and formed the Combahee River Collective. (The Combahee was the name of the river in South Carolina where black abolitionist Harriet Tubman led a military campaign during the Civil War—the only such campaign planned by a woman. It resulted in the emancipation of more than 750 slaves.) The women who formed the collective came from different movements in the Boston area, including the Committee to End Sterilization Abuse and the campaign to free Ella Ellison—a black woman inmate who, like Joan Little in North Carolina, was convicted of murder for killing a prison guard in self-defense. Nearly all the women had worked together to bring attention to a series of unsolved murders of black women in Boston.

In 1977, three collective members—Barbara Smith, Beverly Smith, and Demita Frazier—issued “A Black Feminist State-

ment.” Because they found themselves fighting many oppressions at once—racism, sexism, capitalism, and homophobia—they regarded radical black feminism as fundamental to any truly revolutionary ideology. They understood the racial and sexual dimensions of domination, arguing that the history of white men raping black women was “a weapon of political repression.” At the same time, they rejected the idea that all men were oppressors by virtue of biology and broke with lesbian separatists who advocated a politics based on sexuality. In their view, such an analysis “completely denies any but the sexual sources of women’s oppression, negating the facts of class and race.” And while they did not see black men as enemies and called for broad solidarity to fight racism, they did acknowledge patriarchy within black communities as an evil that needed eradication. Black people as a whole, they argued, could not be truly free as long as black women were subordinate to black men.

As socialists, the collective did not believe that a nonracist, non-sexist society could be created under capitalism, but at the same time they believed that socialism was not enough to dismantle the structures of racial, gender, and sexual domination. The core of their vision was manifest in their political practice. Combahee members immediately saw connections between class, race, and gender issues by working in support of “Third World women” workers, challenging health care facilities for inadequate or unequal care, and organizing around welfare or day care issues. Although a broad vision of freedom informed the group’s work, its political positions remained flexible and subject to change. They knew that the very process of struggle, in the context of a democratic organization, would invariably produce new tactics, new strategies, and new analyses. “We believe in collective process and a nonhierarchical distribution of power within our own group and in our vision of a revolutionary society. We are committed to a continual examination of our politics as they develop through criticism and self-criticism as an essential aspect of our practice.” Finally, as black feminist Ann Julia Cooper had suggested some eighty-five years earlier, the collective insisted that

black and Third World women's position at the bottom of the race/class/gender hierarchy put them in a unique position to see the scope of oppression and dream a new society. "We might use our position at the bottom," they asserted, "to make a clear leap into revolutionary action. If black women were free, it would mean that everyone else would have to be free since our freedom would necessitate the destruction of all systems of oppression."

New Knowledge, New Dreams

The Combahee River Collective's "Statement" remains one of the most important documents of the black radical movement in the twentieth century. It isn't just a brilliant text drafted by very smart black women; it is a product of a collective social movement. The black radical imagination, as I have tried to suggest throughout this book, is a collective imagination engaged in an actual movement for liberation. It is fundamentally a product of struggle, of victories and losses, crises and openings, and endless conversations circulating in a shared environment. Julia Sudbury's recent book, *Other Kinds of Dreams: Black Women's Organisations and the Politics of Transformation*, gives us a brilliant example of how activists produce new knowledge and open new vistas for inquiry. She looks at black, Asian, and Arab women's organizations in England and reveals how, through their work, study, and discussion, they came to see how racism is gendered, sexism is racialized, and class differences are reproduced by capitalism and patriarchy. Through personal narratives, local interventions, and research on the impact of specific policies negatively affecting their respective communities, these activists developed new modes of analyses and formulated new, imaginative, transformative strategies. For example, Black Women for Wages for Housework challenged existing academic and policy-oriented knowledge regarding who made up the working class by arguing that children, women, and black men represented "the most comprehensive working class struggle." They saw recognition and reparations for women's unpaid labor, then, as the pri-

mary site of any global challenge to capitalism and imperialism. “Counting black and Third World people’s contribution to every economy—starting by counting women’s unwaged work—is a way of refusing racism, claiming the wealth back from military budgets, and establishing our entitlement to benefits, wages, services, housing, healthcare, an end to military-industrial pollution—not as charity but as rights and reparations owed many times.” Imagine what such a formulation could mean for the reparations movement.

Sudbury further demonstrates how seemingly local struggles extended into the international arena because many of the women in her study were immigrants with deep ties to their homelands. Working across cultural and ethnic lines introduced various women activists to many different kinds of struggles as well as more expansive solidarities. Groups like Akina Mama wa Afrika have applied their analysis of structural adjustment programs to West African women in prisons in England, while Southall Black Sisters have raised their voices against the confinement of women associated with the rise of Islamic fundamentalism on a global scale. They also published and circulated their ideas in various independent forums that fell outside, and yet profoundly shaped, formal academic institutions and circuits of knowledge. During the 1970s and 1980s, for example, these activists founded the Black/Brown Women’s “Liberation Newsletter,” *Outwrite*, *Mukti* (an Asian feminist magazine), *Zami* (a black feminist bimonthly), and “We Are Here” (a short-lived black feminist newsletter). They also established publishing cooperatives and grassroots intellectual centers, such as Black Womentalk and the Afro-Caribbean Educational Project Women’s Centre.

Sudbury offers an important cautionary note about where we seek out the voices of radical black women. In England during the 1970s and 1980s, for example, rastafari women were among the most militant and vocal black activists. This may seem counterintuitive given the common assumptions circulating about the subordination of women in the rastafari culture. However, these

women were at the forefront of a new, more secularized rastafari movement that proved more enabling for women. And there are many reasons why rastafari might be attractive to women who may share the dreams of black radical feminists. Rastas, after all, promoted a vision of community that shunned materialism and artificial drugs and foods and strove for an equal and just society in which people lived in harmony with nature. And the fact that rastafari encouraged female-only spaces enabled black women to hold political discussions among themselves, allowing them to focus their attention on issues that might affect women differently or exclusively. Finally, as Sudbury points out, rastafari women also challenged what had become the dominant radical feminist paradigm, particularly around sexuality. Whereas many radical feminists fought the veiling of women and women's bodies, and encouraged free expression of sexuality, many rastafari women regarded the traditional covering of the head and body as a means to resist the sexual commodification and degradation of African women's bodies. Of course, veiling can be deeply constricting and reinforce women's subordination, but the rastafari women's explanation for embracing the practice also points to our need to have a more sophisticated understanding of how expressions of women's sexuality take place in a racist context. Once again, movements in struggle produce new knowledge and new questions.

Although we tend to associate contemporary black feminist thought with academia, some of the most radical thinkers are products of social movements. Today Angela Davis is a distinguished professor at the University of California at Santa Cruz, as well as an international voice for prisoners' rights, an active supporter of social justice in all realms of life, and a leading radical black feminist theorist. Three decades earlier, Davis was the nation's most celebrated political prisoner, having served eighteen months in prison (from 1970 to 1972) for being implicated in a failed prison break from a courthouse in California, for which she was acquitted. A child of the civil rights movement, Davis grew up in a Birmingham, Alabama, neighborhood where black-owned homes were firebombed so frequently that it was nick-

named “Dynamite Hill.” She proved to be a brilliant student, completing a Ph.D. in philosophy while an active member of SNCC and later the Black Panther Party in southern California. She not only encountered sexist attitudes on the part of several male leaders, but also realized that SNCC and other Black Power organizations did not have an adequate critique of capitalism. She found such a critique in Marxism.

In 1968, she joined the CPUSA, a decision that eventually led to her dismissal from a teaching post at the University of California at Los Angeles a year later. (Although Davis won the suit, the Board of Regents eventually drove Davis out by censuring her political activism and monitoring her classes.) Davis never ceased her political work, taking up a wide range of issues from police brutality and prisoners’ rights to women’s liberation and the politics of reproduction. As a result, she produced two seminal volumes of essays that remain key texts in the development of Marxist feminism, *Women, Race, and Class* (1981) and *Women, Culture, and Politics* (1989). Much of this work examines the intersection of race, gender, and class, and the challenges to building a class-conscious, antiracist feminist movement over the past century. She also looks at the intersection of forces oppressing women, including various forms of sexual violence. Furthermore, Davis’s own prison experience and her continued work on behalf of prisoners’ rights has compelled her to embark upon a massive study of the prison-industrial complex on a global scale. Her writings on prisons have long been key texts in the world abolitionist movement. She examines the relationship between the formation of prisons and the demand for labor under capitalism and situates these developments squarely within the history of modern slavery. One of the strongest aspects of her work is her investigation into the way punishment has been racialized historically. The critical question for Davis centers on how black people have been criminalized and how this ideology has determined the denial of basic citizenship rights to black people. Since most leading theorists of prisons focus on issues such as reform, punishment, discipline, and labor under capitalism, discussions of the production of imprisoned bodies often play down or margin-

alize race. Davis not only makes race and gender central to her inquiry, but also looks at the prisons and the making of prisoners transnationally—from the prisons in socialist Cuba and the virtual dungeons of Brazil to the so-called liberal practices of the Netherlands.

The radical black feminist movement, not unlike other feminists, also redefined the source of theory. It expanded the definition of who constitutes a theorist, the voice of authority speaking for black women, to include poets, blues singers, storytellers, painters, mothers, preachers, and teachers. Black women artists are often embraced from all parts of the diaspora—Maryse Condé, Buchi Emecheta, Toni Morrison, Ntozake Shange, Sonia Sanchez, Alice Walker, Gloria Naylor, Paule Marshall, Toni Cade Bambara, Jayne Cortez, June Jordan, Betye Saar, Faith Ringgold, Adrian Piper, Camille Billops, Howardena Pindell, Sweet Honey in the Rock, Abbey Lincoln, Bessie Smith, Ma Rainey—the list can go on for pages. Angela Davis, Michelle Gibbs, and Hazel Carby are just a few black radical feminists who have claimed black women blues singers for feminist thought. Davis's *Blues Legacies and Black Feminism* demonstrates how black women blues singers created a poetics of sexual freedom and power, a politics of protest veiled beneath songs of love and loss, as well as a politics of class critical of alienated wage labor and poverty. Black blues women sang sad and lonely songs, but they also imagined a world free of low-wage, backbreaking labor and full of pleasurable leisure.

Finally, radical black feminism offers one of the most comprehensive visions of freedom I can think of, one that recognizes the deep interconnectedness of struggles around race, gender, sexuality, culture, class, and spirituality. Among other things, radical black feminists have sought to create a healthier environment for poor and working-class women and to reduce women's dependence on a capitalist and patriarchal health care system. Black radical feminists have also played critical roles in making lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgendered, and transsexual movements more visible to black communities and in teaching us how sexual iden-

tities get defined (and policed). Their opposition to compulsory heterosexuality also offers emancipatory possibilities for us all. Poets June Jordan and Cheryl Clarke have made eloquent pleas for bisexuality and lesbianism, respectively, as radical challenges to heterosexual domination. For Jordan, sexual freedom is the foundation of all other struggles for freedom:

If you can finally go to the bathroom wherever you find one, if you can finally order a cup of coffee and drink it wherever the coffee is available, but you cannot follow your heart—you cannot respect the response of your own honest body in the world—then how much of what kind of freedom does any one of us possess?

Or conversely, if your heart and your honest body can be controlled by the state, or controlled by community taboo, are you not then, and in that case, no more than a slave ruled by outside force?

Cheryl Clarke makes a bold argument both against homophobia and for women’s autonomy, self-love, and independence from men. She does not argue that all women ought to become lesbian but rather that they reject “coerced heterosexuality as it manifests itself in the family, the state, and on Madison Avenue. The lesbian-feminist struggles for liberation of all people from patriarchal domination through heterosexism and for the transformation of all sociopolitical structures, systems, and relationships that have been degraded and corrupted under centuries of male domination.” Furthermore, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgendered, and transsexual movements contribute to the freedom of all by challenging all claims to what is “normal.” Sexuality may be one of the few conceptual spaces we have to construct a politics of desire and to open our imagination to new ways of living and seeing.

Today’s mic-hogging, fast-talking, contentious young (and old) lefties continue to hawk little books and pamphlets on revolution, always with choice words or documents from Marx, Mao, even Malcolm. But I’ve never seen a broadside with “A Black Feminist

Statement” or even the writings of Angela Davis or June Jordan or Barbara Omolade or Flo Kennedy or Audre Lorde or bell hooks or Michelle Wallace, at least not from the groups who call themselves leftist. These women’s collective wisdom has provided the richest insights into American radicalism’s most fundamental questions: How can we build a multiracial movement? Who are the working class and what do they desire? How do we resolve the Negro Question and the Woman Question? What is freedom?

Barbara Smith, one of the founding members of the Combahee River Collective, is among the radical voices that have addressed these questions. Since the heyday of the civil rights movement, she has been telling white people that fighting racism is necessary for their own survival and liberation, not some act of philanthropy to help the downtrodden Negroes of the ghetto. She has been telling black activists that fighting homophobia is their issue because the policing of sexuality, no matter to whom it is directed, affects everyone. And she has been sharply critical of lesbian and gay movements for the narrowness of their political agendas. She knows what it will take to win freedom. “As a socialist and an alert Black woman, it is clear to me that it is not possible to achieve justice, especially economic justice, and equality under capitalism because capitalism was never designed for that to be the case. . . . The assaults from the present system necessitate that most activists work for reforms, but those of us who are radicals understand that it is possible to do so at the very same time that we work for fundamental change—a revolution.”

Now there’s the *real* question: Can we all get along long enough to make a revolution? Perhaps, but history tells us that it will mean taking leadership from some very radical women of color, and if that’s the case I’m not holding my breath. What the old-guard male militants really need to do is give up the mic for a moment, listen to the victims of democracy sing their dreams of a new world, and take notes on how to fight for the freedom of all.

KEEPIN' IT (SUR)REAL: DREAMS OF THE MARVELOUS

It's always night or we wouldn't need light.

Thelonious Monk, quoted in *Time* magazine, 1964

Monk's statement should be taken as more than a clever observation about physics. As one of the greatest "jazz" pianists and composers of the twentieth century, Monk often began work after the sun disappeared over the horizon; thus he understood the power and ubiquity of night. "The night time is the right time," a time to reveal and fulfill desire, a time to dream, the world of the unknown, the hallucinatory. It was nineteenth-century poet Isidore Ducasse — known to his readers as Comte de Lautréamont — who wrote in his *Poésies* (1870): "It is only by admitting the night physically that one is able to admit it morally." For black folk, however, the night represents pleasure *and* danger, beauty *and* ugliness. Besides its blackness, with all its mystery and elegance, richness and brilliance, the night is associated with hooded Klansmen and burning crosses, the long night of slavery, the oppression of dark skin. Yes, "it's always night," which is why we absolutely *need* light: the light of social movements ("I've got the light of freedom"), the light of hope ("facing a rising sun/of a new

day begun”), the light of spirit (“this little light of mine/I’m gonna let it shine”).

Monk meets Lautréamont on the night train to freedom. It is one of many chance encounters that reveal a deep affinity between black life and culture and surrealism. Neither man would have identified himself as a surrealist, although Lautréamont, along with another nineteenth-century French poet named Arthur Rimbaud, are considered the spiritual fathers of surrealism before the movement was declared after World War I. And yet they embody the basic principles of surrealism, a living, mutable, creative vision of a world where love, play, human dignity, an end to poverty and want, and imagination are the pillars of freedom.

What is surrealism? Its definition is as rich and evasive as the night itself. Here is one answer from the Chicago Surrealist Group (1976):

Surrealism is the exaltation of freedom, revolt, imagination and love. . . . [It] is above all a revolutionary movement. Its basic aim is to lessen and eventually to completely resolve the contradiction between everyday life and our wildest dreams. By definition subversive, surrealist thought and action are intended not only to discredit and destroy the forces of repression, but also to emancipate desire and supply it with new poetic weapons. . . . Beginning with the abolition of imaginative slavery, it advances to the creation of a free society in which everyone will be a poet—a society in which everyone will be able to develop his or her potentialities fully and freely.

By plunging into the depths of the unconscious and lessening “the contradiction between everyday life and our wildest dreams,” we can enter or realize the domain of the Marvelous. Surrealism is no mere artistic movement like cubism or impressionism, and it is not primarily concerned with art. Surrealism is about making a new life. As Franklin Rosemont explains in *André Breton—What Is Surrealism? Selected Writings*:

Surrealism, a unitary project of total revolution, is above all a method of knowledge and a way of life; it is lived far more than it

is written, or written about, or drawn. Surrealism is the most exhilarating adventure of the mind, an unparalleled means of pursuing the fervent quest for freedom and true life beyond the veil of ideological appearances. Only the social revolution—the leap, in the celebrated expression of Marx and Engels, ‘from the realm of necessity to the realm of freedom’—will enable the true life of poetry and mad love to cast aside, definitively, the fetters of degradation and dishonour and to flourish with unrestrained splendour.

Some of these principles, one might argue, were present in Afrodiasporic culture before surrealism was ever named. In this chapter I explore how black revolt shaped the development of surrealism as a self-conscious political movement, as well as the impact surrealism has had on modern political and cultural movements throughout the African diaspora. Surrealism, I contend, offers a vision of freedom far deeper and more expansive than any of the movements discussed thus far. It is a movement that invites dreaming, urges us to improvise and invent, and recognizes the imagination as our most powerful weapon.

“Surrealism and Us”

Surrealism may have originated in the West, but it is rooted in a conspiracy against Western civilization. Surrealists frequently looked outside Europe for ideas and inspiration, turning most notably to the “primitives” under the heel of European colonialism. Indeed, what later became known as the Third World turned out to be the source of the surrealists’ politicization during the mid-1920s. The Paris Surrealist Group and the extreme Left of the French Communist Party were drawn together in 1925 by their support of Abd-el-Krim, leader of the Rif uprising against French colonialism in Morocco. In tracts like “Revolution Now and Forever!” the surrealists actively called for the overthrow of French colonial rule. That same year, in an “Open Letter” to writer and French ambassador to Japan, Paul Claudel, the Paris group announced, “We profoundly hope that revolutions, wars, colonial

insurrections, will annihilate this Western civilization whose vermin you defend even in the Orient.” Seven years later, the Paris group produced its most militant statement on the colonial question to date. Titled “Murderous Humanitarianism” (1932) and drafted mainly by René Crevel and signed by (among others) André Breton, Paul Eluard, Benjamin Peret, Yves Tanguy, and the Martinican surrealists Pierre Yoyotte and J. M. Monnerot, it was first published in Nancy Cunard’s massive anthology, *Negro* (1934). The document is a relentless attack on colonialism, capitalism, the clergy, the black bourgeoisie, and hypocritical liberals. Arguing that the very humanism upon which the modern West was built also justified slavery, colonialism, and genocide, the writers called for action: “We surrealists pronounced ourselves in favor of changing the imperialist war, in its chronic and colonial form, into a civil war. Thus we placed our energies at the disposal of the revolution, of the proletariat and its struggles, and defined our attitude towards the colonial problem, and hence towards the color question.”

In other words, the revolts of the colonial world and its struggles for cultural autonomy animated surrealists as much as reading Freud or Marx. And they discovered in the cultures of Africa, Oceania, and Native America a road into the Marvelous and confirmation of their most fundamental ideas. For example, the surrealist practice of “pure psychic automatism”—sometimes described as automatic writing—was much more than a modern “technical” invention, for it quickly led to the recognition that entire cultures had methods of thought and communication that transcended the conscious. Surrealist automatism, which dates back to 1919, was neither “stream of consciousness writing” nor an experimental writing strategy but a state of mind—a plunge below the surface of consciousness. Related more to shamanism and trance states than to “modernity” as it was understood in the West, automatism was a struggle against the slavery of rationalism, a means to allow the imagination to run free.

Early on, surrealists discovered this kind of imaginative freedom in African-American music. The attraction to black music

must have seemed natural, for as the Chicago Surrealist Group reflected in a special surrealist supplement to *Living Blues* magazine in 1976, “The surrealists could hardly have failed to recognize aspects of their combat in blues (and in jazz), for freedom, revolt, imagination and love are the very hallmarks of all that is greatest in the great tradition of Black music.” Natural, yes, but not immediate. Some surrealists—Jacques Baron, René Crevel, Robert Desnos, Michel Leiris—appreciated jazz from the start, but others—including André Breton, author of the 1924 *Surrealist Manifesto*—initially could not see how music might be a medium for the kind of freedom they found in the literary and plastic arts. Even the great painter Giorgio de Chirico proclaimed, “No Music.” The winds shifted in 1929 when Belgian surrealist Paul Nougé published an essay titled *Music Is Dangerous*, which offered a critical defense of music as one of many artistic forces “capable of bewitching spirit.” And a few years later, in an essay titled “Hot Jazz” in Nancy Cunard’s *Negro* anthology, Robert Goffin, a nonsurrealist critic, directly related surrealism to jazz. Although Goffin reinforced the then common perception of black music as a spontaneous, emotion-driven folk form, which was thus devoid of the kind of creative intellectual work one associates with “Western” arts, he nevertheless placed black musicians squarely within the pantheon of surrealism’s founders. “What Breton and [Louis] Aragon did for poetry in 1920,” noted Goffin, “Chirico and Ernst for painting in 1930, had been instinctively accomplished as early as 1910 by humble Negro musicians, unaided by the control of that critical intelligence that was to prove such an asset to the later initiators.”

Meanwhile, from the early 1930s on, black surrealists such as Etienne Léro and René Menil praised jazz and black vernacular musics as key elements of their revolutionary project; Egyptian surrealist Georges Henein published a substantial lecture on jazz in 1935. During and after World War II, black music gained enthusiastic support from such important surrealist figures as the Chilean Roberto Matta, the Romanian Victor Brauner, the Sicilian-American Philip Lamantia, and many others. By 1945 André

Breton—having heard live jazz in Harlem during his years in New York as a refugee from Nazi-overrun France—had thoroughly revised his earlier views on music.

It is no surprise that Thelonious Monk turned out to be one of the surrealists' major heroes. Monk's music appealed especially to the surrealists' struggle for complete freedom and the overthrow of bourgeois concepts of beauty and art. He made music that destroyed many Western ideas about music making, turning conventional rules of composition, harmony, and rhythm on their heads. He stripped romantic ballads of their romanticism and took his listeners on wild harmonic rides filled with surprising dissonances. His admirers included the important surrealist theorist Gérard Legrand—who wrote the first surrealist book on jazz, *Puissances du Jazz* (1953), Romanian poet Gellu Naum, and poet-critic Georges Goldfayn, who suggested that painters and poets had much to learn from Monk by listening to how he interpreted a song. Victor Brauner did a striking symbolic "picto-portrait" of Monk in 1948 (years before Monk became well known in the United States). Claude Tarnaud wrote a poem for Monk in which he imagined him in a combo with Rimbaud, Brauner, and de Chirico.

Although black music has long served as a model for black poetry and prose, Ted Joans—who studied trumpet, sang bebop, and earned a B.A. in fine arts from Indiana University before moving to New York's Greenwich Village in 1951—has always understood modern jazz, from bebop to the avant-garde, as essentially surrealist. He often describes the way Charlie Parker or Cecil Taylor alters or improvises on melodic lines, or scat singing, as "surrealizing" a song. Joans's own poetry not only celebrates and interrogates the music, life, and meaning of jazz brilliantly, but also takes on the characteristics of jazz performance—another way of "surrealizing a song." One can find many examples of this in collections of his poetry, especially *Black Pow-Wow*, *Funky Jazz Poems*, *Afrodisia*, and his recent volume of selected works, *Teducation*. Just listen to "The Sax Bit," Joans's homage to that obscure European instrument that black people made famous:

This bent metal serpent / holy horn with lids like beer
mug / with phallic tail why did they invent you
before Coleman Hawkins was born ?

This curved shiney tune gut / hanging lynched like / J
shaped initial of jazz / wordless without a reed when
Coleman Hawkins first fondled it / kissed it with Black
sound did Congo blood sucking Belges frown ?

This tenor / alto / bass / baritone / soprano / moan / cry &
shout-a-phone ! sex-oh-phone / tell-it-like-damn-
sho-is-a-phone ! What tremors ran through Adolphe
Saxe the day Bean grabbed his ax ?

This golden mine of a million marvelous sounds / black
notes with myriad shadows / or empty crooked tube of
technical white poor-formance / calculated keys that
never unlock soul doors / white man made machine saved
from zero by Coleman Hawkins !

This saxophone salvation / modern gri gri hanging from
jazzmen's necks placed there by Coleman Hawkins
a full body & soul sorcerer whose spirit dwells eternally
in every saxophone NOW and all those sound-a-phones
to be

The surrealists' discovery of the Marvelous in black music extends well beyond jazz. Jazz's very heart, body, and soul—the blues—was the subject of one of the most important surrealist texts to come out of the United States. Paul Garon's *Blues and the Poetic Spirit* (first published in 1975; revised and expanded in 1996) argues, among other things, that the blues *is* poetry or, more specifically, the poetic expression of the black working class. Insisting that blues artists are much greater poets than T. S. Eliot or Ezra Pound, or any number of European poets for that matter, Garon regards the blues as “true poetry”—for what is poetry but the revolt of the spirit? Unlike dozens of other scholars of the blues, Garon is less interested in what the blues may tell us about social reality than in comprehending desire. “Fantasy alone,” he writes, “enables us to envision the real possibilities of human exist-

tence, no longer tied securely to the historical effluvia passed off as everyday life; fantasy remains our most pre-emptive critical faculty, for it alone tells us what can be. Here lies the revolutionary nature of the blues: through its fidelity to fantasy and desire, the blues generates an irreducible and, so to speak, habit-forming demand for freedom and what Rimbaud called ‘true life.’”

Desire and sexuality, and their relationship to revolt, have always been central themes in the music, but were too often avoided by well-meaning defenders of the race concerned about reinforcing stereotypes of Black promiscuity. Surrealists, on the other hand, had a long-standing interest in sexual liberty and the power of the erotic, which must have rendered the blues all the more attractive.

Organized surrealism came late to the English-speaking world, and largely because of the language barrier, awareness of the power of the blues came late to surrealism. One can only imagine how the movement’s own sexual revolt might have benefited had it embraced the poetry of black women’s blues in the 1920s and 1930s. During this period, leading surrealist women in Europe and the United States, such as Toyen, Valentine Penrose, Leonora Carrington, Meret Oppenheim, Claude Cahun, and Mary Low proposed a more revolutionary sexuality and resisted the subjugation of women to men’s desires. In searching for models, they might have drawn on women’s blues, for as Angela Davis and Hazel Carby have argued, artists such as Bessie Smith, Ma Rainey, Alberta Hunter, Memphis Minnie, Lucille Bogan, and Ida Cox created a poetics of sexual freedom and power, a poetics to articulate desire, as well as pain, loss, alienation, and dislocation. The music captures the magical, transformative quality of the erotic—something even the best scholarship on the blues rarely addresses because prevailing critical frameworks seem unable to move beyond social realism.

Besides embracing the erotic and working through new visions of love, black musician-poets have relentlessly critiqued alienated wage labor. Their utopias are always free of “work”—meaning low-wage, unfulfilling, backbreaking labor—and full of pleasurable leisure. This is not to suggest that people do not want to

“work,” but that the work they are forced to do is not fulfilling, creative, or enjoyable. Blues poets, drawing on the everyday language of the black working class, often invoke the word *work* to mean nonwaged activity: musical performance, dancing, sex, etc. But one also finds in the blues a strong desire for freedom from toil. Ma Rainey sings:

I've got those misery blues.
 I've got to go to work now,
 Get another start,
 Work is the thing that's breaking my heart
 So I've got those mean ol' misery blues.

Part of what made the blues so attractive to surrealists was its humor. “Misery” does not define the blues, contrary to popular belief. The blues is often characterized by blues artists themselves as “happy music,” stories replete with punch lines and double-entendres. Charlie Campbell’s “Goin’ Away Blues” tells his listeners, “I don’t want a woman who wears a number nine/I wake up in the morning, I can’t tell her shoes from mine.” Even songs of poverty, loss, and tragedy are filled with absurdities meant to elicit laughter—what André Breton ironically called “black humor.” In the wild and wacky world of Black humor—and here the double-entendre is quite deliberate—the blues is just the tip of the iceberg. The absurdity of racism and the fragile and strange world of white supremacy produced a deep wellspring of jokes, hilarious folktales, and humorous word games (e.g., “the dozens”) that cut to the heart of our past and present slavery. Consider the yarn about a Southern white dentist charging a black patient two thousand dollars to pull a tooth while his white patients paid only fifty dollars. Why? Because in the South a Negro doesn’t dare open his mouth to a white man, which meant that the dentist had to pull the tooth through the anus!

Freedom Dreams from the Jungle

As European surrealists found renewal in the cultures and revolts of the “colored” and colonial world, several young intellectuals

from that world discovered surrealism. The first known group to embrace surrealism was Martinican students sojourning in Paris. In 1932 Etienne Léro, René Menil, J. M. Monnerot, Pierre Yoyotte, his sister Simone Yoyotte, and a few others published one issue of a journal they called *Légitime Défense* (Self-Defense). In it they declared their commitment to surrealism and communist revolution, critiqued the French-speaking black bourgeoisie, celebrated several black American writers like Langston Hughes and Claude McKay, and published poetry and automatic writing by several members of the group. Although the journal was promptly suppressed by the colonial authorities, it had its impact. Like other journals of the Black Renaissance (e.g., *La Revue du Monde Noir*, *Fire!*, *African Times and Orient Review*, and, a bit later, *L'Étudiant Noir*), the editors of *Légitime Défense* denounced racism (paying special attention to the Scottsboro case) and affirmed their African past as well as the cultures of the diaspora. Members of the group also made major critical contributions to surrealism's theoretical development: J. M. Monnerot produced a stinging critique of the "civilized mentality" for *Le Surréalisme au service de la révolution* (1933), and Pierre Yoyotte wrote a penetrating essay on the significance of surrealism in the struggle against fascism, published in a special surrealist issue of the Belgian journal *Documents* (1934).

Aimé Césaire was part of a different intellectual circle centered around a journal called *L'Étudiant Noir*, whose editors included Leopold Senghor from Senegal and Leon Gotran Damas, a childhood friend of Césaire's from French Guiana. All three men were outstanding poets, and together they would help found the "Negritude" movement celebrating the African cultural heritage in the Francophone world. In *L'Étudiant Noir's* March 1935 issue, Césaire published a passionate tract against assimilation in which he first coined the term *Negritude*. It is more than ironic that at the moment Césaire's piece appeared, he was hard at work absorbing as much French and European humanities as possible in preparation for his entrance exams for L'École Normale Supérieure. The exams took their toll, for sure, though the psychic

and emotional costs of having to imbibe the very culture Césaire publicly rejected must have exacerbated an already exhausting regimen. After completing his exams during the summer of 1935, he took a short vacation to Yugoslavia with a fellow student. While visiting the Adriatic coast, Césaire was overcome with memories of home after seeing a small island from a distance. Moved, he stayed up half the night working on a long poem about Martinique of his youth, the land, the people, the majesty of the place. The next morning when he inquired about the little island, he was told it was called Martinska. A magical chance encounter, to say the least. The words he penned that moonlit night were the beginnings of what would subsequently become his most famous poem of all: “Cahier d’un retour au pays Natal” (Notebook of a Return to My Native Land). The next summer he did return to Martinique, but was greeted by an even greater sense of alienation. He returned to France to complete his thesis on African-American writers of the Harlem Renaissance and their representations of the South, and then on July 10, 1937, married Suzanne Roussy, a fellow Martinican student with whom he had worked on *L’Étudiant Noir*.

The couple returned to Martinique in 1939 and began teaching in Fort-de-France. Joining forces with René Menil, Lucie Thesée, Aristide Maugée, Georges Gratiant, and others, they launched the journal *Tropiques*. The appearance of *Tropiques* coincided with the fall of France to the fascist Vichy regime, which put the colonies of Martinique, Guadeloupe, and Guiana under Vichy rule. The effect was startling; any illusions Césaire and his comrades might have harbored about color-blind French brotherhood were shattered when thousands of French sailors arrived on the island. Their racism was blatant and direct. As literary critic A. James Arnold observed, “The insensitivity of this military regime also made it difficult for Martinicans to ignore the fact that they were a colony like any other, a conclusion that the official policy of assimilation had masked somewhat. These conditions contributed to radicalizing Césaire and his friends, preparing them for a more anticolonialist posture at the end of the war.”

The official policy of the regime to censor *Tropiques* and interdict the publication when it was deemed subversive also hastened the group's radicalization. In a notorious letter dated May 10, 1943, Martinique's chief of information services, Lieutenant de Vaisseau Bayle, justified interdicting *Tropiques* for being "a revolutionary review that is racial and sectarian." Bayle accused the editors of poisoning the spirit of society, sowing hatred, and ruining the morale of the country. Two days later, the editors penned a brilliant polemical response:

To Lieutenant de Vaisseau Bayle:

Sir,

We have received your indictment of *Tropiques*.

"Racists," "sectarians," "revolutionaries," "ingrates and traitors to the country," "poisoners of souls," none of these epithets really repulses us.

"Poisoners of Souls," like Racine, . . .

"Ingrates and traitors to our good Country," like Zola, . . .

"Revolutionaries," like the Hugo of "Chatiments."

"Sectarians," passionately, like Rimbaud and Lautréamont.

Racists, yes. Of the racism of Toussaint-Louverture, of Claude McKay and Langston Hughes against that of Drumont and Hitler.

As to the rest of it, don't expect for us to plead our case, nor vain recriminations, nor discussion.

We do not speak the same language.

Signed: Aimé Césaire, Suzanne Césaire, Georges Gratiant, Aristide Maugée, René Menil, Lucie Thesée.

But for *Tropiques* to survive, they had to camouflage their boldness, passing the publication off as a journal of West Indian folklore. Yet, despite the repressions and the ruses, *Tropiques* survived the war as one of the most important and radical surrealist publications in the world, lasting from 1941 to 1945. The essays and poems it published by the Césaires, René Menil, and others reveal

the evolution of a sophisticated anticolonial stance as well as a vision of a postcolonial future. Theirs was a conception of freedom that drew on modernism and a deep appreciation for precolonial African modes of thought and practice; it drew on surrealism as the strategy of revolution of the mind and Marxism as revolution of the productive forces. It was an effort to carve out a position independent of all these forces, a kind of wedding of Negritude, Marxism, and surrealism; this group's collective efforts would have a profound impact on international surrealism, in general, and on André Breton, in particular. *Tropiques* also published Breton, as well as texts by Pierre Mabilie, Benjamin Peret, Victor Brauner, Jorge Cáceres, and other surrealists.

The influence of surrealism on Aimé Césaire has been called into question many times, by critics as different as Jean-Paul Sartre (1948) and Jahnheinz Jahn (1958). The question of his surrealism, however, is generally posed only in terms of André Breton's influence on Césaire. In this view, surrealism is treated as "European thought" and, like Marxism, is considered alien to non-European cultural traditions. But such a "diffusionist" interpretation seems too simplistic, too one-sided, overlooking the possibility that the Césaires (Aimé and Suzanne) were creative innovators of surrealism—that they actually introduced fresh surrealist ideas to Breton and his colleagues. I don't think it is too much to argue that the Césaires not only embraced surrealism—independently of the Paris Group, I might add—but also expanded it, enlarged its perspectives, and contributed enormously to theorizing the "domain of the Marvelous." Aimé Césaire, after all, has never denied his surrealist leanings. As he explains: "Surrealism provided me with what I had been confusedly searching for. I have accepted it joyfully because in it I have found more of a confirmation than a revelation." Surrealism, he explained, helped him to summon up powerful unconscious forces. "This, for me, was a call to Africa. I said to myself: it's true that superficially we are French, we bear the marks of French customs; we have been branded by Cartesian philosophy, by French rhetoric; but if we break with all that, if we plumb the depths, then what we

will find is fundamentally black.” And in another interview with Jacqueline Leiner, he was even more enthusiastic about Breton’s role: “Breton brought us boldness, he helped us take a strong stand. He abridged our hesitations and research. I realized that the majority of the problems I encountered had already been resolved by Breton and surrealism. I would say that my meeting with Breton was confirmation of what I had arrived at on my own. This saved us time, let us go quicker, farther. The encounter was extraordinary.”

We know far less about Suzanne Césaire, though judging from her all-too-brief writings, it is not too much to proclaim her as one of surrealism’s most original theorists. Unlike critics who boxed surrealism into narrow avant-garde aesthetic tendencies alongside futurism or cubism, Suzanne Césaire linked it to broader movements such as romanticism, socialism, and Negritude. Surrealism, she argued, was not an ideology but a state of mind, a “permanent readiness for the Marvelous.” In a 1941 issue of *Tropiques*, she imagined new possibilities in terms that were foreign to Marxists; she called on readers to embrace “the domain of the strange, the marvelous and the fantastic, a domain scorned by people of certain inclinations. Here is the freed image, dazzling and beautiful, with a beauty that could not be more unexpected and overwhelming. Here are the poet, the painter and the artist, presiding over the metamorphoses and the inversions of the world under the sign of hallucination and madness.” And yet when she speaks of the domain of the Marvelous, she also has her sights on the chains of colonial domination, never forgetting the crushing reality of everyday life in Martinique and the rest of the world. In “Surrealism and Us: 1943,” she writes with boldness and clarity:

Thus, far from contradicting, diluting, or diverting our revolutionary attitude toward life, surrealism strengthens it. It nourishes an impatient strength within us, endlessly reinforcing the massive army of refusals.

And I am also thinking of tomorrow.

Millions of black hands will hoist their terror across the furi-

ous skies of world war. Freed from a long benumbing slumber, the most disinherited of all peoples will rise up from plains of ashes.

Our surrealism will supply this rising people with a punch from its very depths. Our surrealism will enable us to finally transcend the sordid antinomies of the present: whites/Blacks, Europeans/Africans, civilized/savages—at last rediscovering the magic power of the mahoulis, drawn directly from living sources. Colonial idiocy will be purified in the welder's blue flame. We shall recover our value as metal, our cutting edge of steel, our unprecedented communions.

One painter who succeeded in creating the kind of art Suzanne Césaire demanded, of producing what Breton called “convulsive beauty,” was the Cuban-born Wifredo Lam. The eighth child born to an eighty-four-year-old Chinese father (Lam Yam) and “mulatto” mother of Indian, African, and Spanish descent, Lam grew up in an environment that, in his view, prepared him for surrealism by exposing him to African culture. His godmother, Mantonica Wilson, practiced Santeria and was consulted far and wide for remedies for physical and spiritual afflictions. And as a young man, Lam knew revolt firsthand: While studying art in Madrid, he participated in the defense of republican Spain during the civil war. After leaving Spain for France in 1938, he befriended Pablo Picasso, whose interest in African art turned out to be a considerable factor in Lam's work as well as his politics. “What made me feel such empathy with [Picasso's] painting more than anything else,” Lam remembered, “was the presence of African art and the African spirit I discovered in it. When I was a little boy, I had seen African figures in Mantonica Wilson's house. And in Pablo's work I seemed to find a sort of continuity.” Picasso also brought Lam to the Surrealist Group in Paris, introducing him to such critical figures as André Breton, Michel Leiris, Benjamin Péret, Joan Miró, Dora Maar, Tristan Tzara, and Paul Eluard, among others.

Bringing together his interest in African figures, his memory of

his Cuban homeland, and surrealism, Lam's work became less naturalistic and more totemlike, less androcentric and more magical in its fusions of human and animal forms. In his efforts to depict the spirit world of Santería, his figures became mythic, larger than life, even majestic in character. Lam's politics, like those of the *Tropiques* group, were rooted in a combination of surrealism, Negritude, Marxism, and an intense love of his native land. He detested the "picturesque" imagery of Cuba "for the tourists" as well as social realism, and instead sought to represent "the Negro spirit, the beauty of the plastic art of the blacks. In this way I could act as a Trojan horse that would spew forth hallucinating figures with the power to surprise, to disturb the dreams of the exploiters. I knew I was running the risk of not being understood either by the man in the street or by the others. But a true picture has the power to set the imagination to work, even if it takes time."

Lam beautifully executes this vision in what may be his most famous painting, "The Jungle." Completed in 1943, it depicts four monsterlike creatures with enormous feet and masks rising in the jungle surrounded by spirits. Critic Alain Jouffroy has called it "the first revolutionary statement in a plastic art of a Third World that is already conscious of the need for all cultures to make common cause, the prophetic announcement of that awakening on a world scale." Lam himself thought of it as a representation of revolt but from the depth of the unconscious. "My idea was to represent the spirit of the Negroes in the situation in which they were then. I have used poetry to show the reality of acceptance and protest."

A Poetics of Anticolonialism

Another powerful "revolutionary statement . . . of a Third World that is already conscious of the need for all cultures to make common cause" found voice in Aimé Césaire's *Discourse on Colonialism* (1950). Césaire's first nonfiction book, *Discourse* was very much a product of its time and a reflection of the changing

political landscape. By the end of the war, Césaire became more directly involved in politics, joining the Communist Party and successfully running for mayor of Fort-de-France and deputy to the French National Assembly on the Communist ticket. His main concern, however, was not proletarian revolution but the colonial question. In 1946, he succeeded in getting the National Assembly to pass a law changing the status of Martinique, Guadeloupe, Guiana, and Réunion from colonies to “departments” within the French Republic. He believed that the assimilation of the old colonies into the republic would guarantee equal rights, but it turned out not to be the case. In the end, French officials were sent to the colonies in greater numbers, often displacing some of the local black Martinican bureaucrats. It was a painful lesson for Césaire, perhaps the main catalyst for *Discourse on Colonialism*.

A fusion of ideas drawn from surrealism, communism, Negritude, and national liberation movements, as well as Césaire’s imagination, *Discourse* might best be described as a declaration of war. I would almost call it a “Third World manifesto” but hesitate because it is primarily a polemic against the old order bereft of the kind of propositions and proposals that accompany manifestos. Yet *Discourse* speaks in revolutionary cadences, capturing the spirit of its age just as Marx and Engels did 102 years earlier in their little manifesto. It appeared just as the old empires were on the verge of collapse, thanks in part to a world war against fascism that left Europe in a material, spiritual, and philosophical shambles. It was the age of decolonization and revolt in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. Five years earlier (1945) black people from around the globe gathered in Manchester, England, for the Fifth Pan-African Congress to discuss the freedom and future of Africa. Five years later (1955), representatives from the nonaligned nations gathered in Bandung, Indonesia, to discuss the freedom and future of the Third World. Mao’s revolution in China was a year old, while the Mau Mau in Kenya were just gearing up for an uprising against their colonial masters. The French encountered insurrections in Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco, Cameroon, and Mada-

gascar, and suffered a humiliating defeat by the Viet Minh at Dien Bien Phu. Revolt was in the air. India, the Philippines, Guyana, Egypt, Guatemala, South Africa, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, Harlem, you name it: Revolt! *Discourse on Colonialism* was indisputably one of the key texts in this tidal wave of anti-colonial literature produced during the postwar period—works that include W. E. B. Du Bois's *Color and Democracy* (1945) and *The World and Africa* (1947), Frantz Fanon's *Black Skin, White Masks* (1952); George Padmore's *Pan-Africanism or Communism? The Coming Struggle for Africa* (1956); Albert Memmi's *The Colonizer and the Colonized* (1957); Richard Wright's *White Man Listen!* (1957); Jean-Paul Sartre's essay "Black Orpheus" (1948); and journals such as *Présence Africaine* and *African Revolution*. As with much of the radical literature produced during this epoch, *Discourse* placed the colonial question front and center. Although, remaining somewhat true to his Communist affiliation, Césaire never quite dethroned the modern proletariat from its exalted status as a revolutionary force, he made the European working class practically invisible. This was a book about colonialism, its impact on the colonized, on culture, on history, on the very concept of civilization itself, and most importantly, on the colonizer. In the finest Hegelian fashion, Césaire demonstrates how colonialism worked to "decivilize" the colonizer: Torture, violence, race hatred, and immorality constituted a dead weight on the so-called civilized, pulling the master class deeper and deeper into the abyss of barbarism. The instruments of colonial power relied on barbaric, brutal violence and intimidation, and the end result was the degradation of Europe itself. Hence Césaire could only scream: "Europe is indefensible."

Europe was also dependent. Anticipating Fanon's famous proposition in *The Wretched of the Earth* that "Europe is literally the creation of the Third World," Césaire revealed over and over again the colonizers' sense of superiority and their sense of mission as the world's civilizers, a mission that depended on turning the Other into barbarians. The Africans, the Indians, the Asians could not possess civilization or a culture equal to that of the im-

perialists, or the latter had no purpose, no justification for the exploitation and domination of the rest of the world. The colonial encounter, in other words, required a reinvention of the colonized, the deliberate destruction of their past, what Césaire called “thingification.” *Discourse*, then, had a double-edged meaning: It was Césaire’s discourse on the material and spiritual havoc created by colonialism, and it was a critique of colonial discourse. Anticipating the explosion of work we now call “post-colonial studies,” Césaire revealed how the circulation of colonial ideology—an ideology of racial and cultural hierarchy—was as essential to colonial rule as police and *corvée* labor. Moreover, as a product of the post-World War II period, *Discourse* went one step further by drawing a direct link between the logic of colonialism and the rise of fascism. Echoing a number of black radicals, including W. E. B. Du Bois, George Padmore, and C. L. R. James (see chapter 2), Césaire provocatively pointed out that Europeans tolerated “Nazism before it was inflicted on them, that they absolved it, shut their eyes to it, legitimized it, because, until then, it had been applied only to non-European peoples; that they have cultivated that Nazism, that they are responsible for it, and that before engulfing the whole of Western, Christian civilization in its reddened waters, it oozes, seeps, and trickles from every crack.” So the real crime of fascism was the application of colonial procedures to white people “which until then had been reserved for the Arabs of Algeria, the coolies of India, and the blacks of Africa.”

The very idea that there was a superior race lay at the heart of the matter, and this is why elements of *Discourse* also drew on Negritude’s impulse to recover the history of Africa’s accomplishments. Taking his cue from Leo Frobenius’s injunction that the “idea of the barbaric Negro is a European invention,” Césaire set out to prove that the colonial mission to “civilize” the primitive was just a smoke screen. If anything, colonialism resulted in the massive destruction of whole societies—societies that not only functioned at a high level of sophistication and complexity, but that could offer the West valuable lessons about how we might

live together and remake the modern world. Indeed, Césaire's insistence that precolonial African and Asian cultures "were not only ante-capitalist . . . but also *anti-capitalist*" anticipated romantic claims advanced by African nationalist leaders such as Julius Nyerere, Kenneth Kaunda, and Senghor himself that modern Africa could establish socialism on the basis of precolonial village life.

Discourse was not the first place Césaire made the case for the barbaric West following the path of the civilized African. In his introduction to a book by liberal French scholar Victor Schoelcher, *Esclavage et colonisation* (1948), he wrote:

The men they took away knew how to build houses, govern empires, erect cities, cultivate fields, mine for metals, weave cotton, forge steel.

Their religion had its own beauty, based on mystical connections with the founder of the city. Their customs were pleasing, built on unity, kindness, respect for age.

No coercion, only mutual assistance, the joy of living, a free acceptance of discipline.

Order—Earnestness—Poetry and Freedom.

Reading this passage and the book itself deeply affected one of Césaire's brightest students, Frantz Fanon. It was a revelation for him to discover cities in Africa and "accounts of learned blacks." "All of that," he noted in *Black Skin, White Masks* (1952), "exhumed from the past, spread with its insides out, made it possible for me to find a valid historical place. The white man was wrong, I was not a primitive, not even a half-man, I belonged to a race that had already been working in gold and silver two thousand years ago."

Negritude turned out to be a miraculous weapon in the struggle to overthrow the "barbaric Negro." And yet despite Césaire's construction of precolonial Africa as an aggregation of warm, communal societies, he never called for a return. Unlike his old friend Senghor, Césaire's Negritude was future oriented and modern. His position in *Discourse* was unequivocal: "For us the

problem is not to make a utopian and sterile attempt to repeat the past, but to go beyond. It is not a dead society that we want to revive. We leave that to those who go in for exoticism. . . . It is a new society that we must create, with the help of our brother slaves, a society rich with all the productive power of modern times, warm with all the fraternity of olden days.”

Then comes the shocking next line:

“For some examples showing that this is possible, we can look to the Soviet Union.”

Now, given everything he had written thus far, everything that he had lived, why would he hold up Stalinism circa the 1950s as an exemplar of the new society? Certainly, his praise for the U.S.S.R. was a logical manifestation of his Communist Party membership. But why would a great poet and major voice of surrealism and Negritude join the Communist Party in the 1940s? Actually, once we consider the context of the postwar world, his decision is not shocking at all. First, remember that Communist parties worldwide, especially in Europe, were at their height immediately after the war, and Joseph Stalin spent the war years as an ally of liberal democracy. Second, several leading writers and artists committed to radical social change, particularly in the Caribbean and Latin America, became Communists—including Césaire’s friends, Jacques Romain, Nicolas Guillén, and René Depestre.

Thus given Césaire’s role as Communist leader, we should not be surprised by *Discourse’s* nod to the Soviet Union, or even the final closing lines of the text where he named proletarian revolution as our savior. What is jarring, however, is how incongruous these statements were in relation to the rest of the text. After demonstrating how Europe was a dying civilization, one on the verge of self-destruction in which the chickens of colonial violence and tyranny had come home to roost, and the white working class looked on in silent complicity, he proposed proletarian revolution as the final solution! Yet throughout the book he anticipated Fanon, implying that there was nothing worth saving in Europe, that the European working class had too often joined

forces with the European bourgeoisie in support of racism, imperialism, and colonialism, and that the uprisings of the colonized might point the way forward. Ultimately, *Discourse* was a challenge to, or revision of, Marxism that drew on surrealism and the antirationalist ideas of his early poetry and explorations in Negritude. It was fairly unmaterialist and quite surrealist in the way it cried out for new spiritual values to emerge out of the study of what colonialism sought to destroy.

Césaire's position vis-à-vis Marxism became even clearer less than one year after the third edition of *Discourse* appeared. In October 1956, Césaire penned his famous letter to Maurice Thorez, secretary general of the French Communist Party (CP), tendering his resignation from the party. Besides its stinging rebuke of Stalinism, the heart of the letter dealt with the colonial question — not just the Party's policies toward the colonies but the colonial relationship between the metropolitan CP and the Martinican party. Arguing that people of color needed to exercise self-determination, he warned against treating the “colonial question . . . as a subsidiary part of some more important global matter.” Racism, in other words, could not be subordinate to the class struggle. His letter was an even bolder, more direct assertion of Third World unity than *Discourse*. Although he still identified himself as a Marxist and was still open to alliances, he cautioned that there “are no allies by divine rights.” If following the Communist Party “pillages our most vivifying friendships, wastes the bond that weds us to other West Indian islands, the tie that makes us Africa's child, then I say communism has served us ill in having us swap a living brotherhood for what looks to have the features of the coldest of all chill abstractions.” More important, Césaire's investment in a Third World revolt that would pave the way for a new society certainly anticipated Fanon. He had practically given up on Europe and the old humanism and its claims of universality, opting instead to redefine the “universal” in a way that did not privilege Europe. Césaire explains, “I'm not going to entomb myself in some strait particularism. But I don't intend either to become lost in a fleshless universalism. . . . I have a differ-

ent idea of a universal. It is of a universal rich with all that is particular, rich with all the particulars there are, the deepening of each particular, the coexistence of them all.”

What Césaire articulates in *Discourse*, and more explicitly in his letter to Thorez, distills the spirit that swept through African intellectual circles in the age of decolonization. This pervasive spirit was what Negritude was all about then; it was never a simple matter of racial essentialism. Critic, scholar, and filmmaker Manthia Diawara beautifully captured the atmosphere of the era and, implicitly, what these radical critiques of the colonial order such as *Discourse on Colonialism* meant to a new generation:

The idea that Negritude was bigger even than Africa, that we were part of an international moment which held the promise of universal emancipation, that our destiny coincided with the universal freedom of workers and colonized people worldwide—all this gave us a bigger and more important identity than the ones previously available to us through kinship, ethnicity, and race. . . . The awareness of our new historical mission freed us from what we regarded in those days as the archaic identities of our fathers and their religious entrapments; it freed us from race and banished our fear of the whiteness of French identity. To be labeled the saviors of humanity, when only recently we had been colonized and despised by the world, gave us a feeling of righteousness, which bred contempt for capitalism, racialism of all origins, and tribalism.

In light of recent events—genocide in East Africa, the collapse of democracy throughout the continent, the isolation of Cuba, the overthrow of progressive movements throughout the so-called Third World—some might argue that the moment of truth has already passed, that Césaire and Fanon’s predictions proved false. We face an era in which fools are calling for a renewal of colonialism, in which descriptions of violence and instability draw on the very colonial language of “barbarism” and “backwardness” that Césaire critiqued in *Discourse*. But this is all a mystification; the fact is, while colonialism in its formal sense

might have been dismantled, the colonial state was not. Many of the problems of democracy are products of the old colonial state, whose primary difference with the current states is the absence of black faces. It has to do with the rise of a new ruling class—the class Fanon warned us about—that is content with mimicking the colonial masters, whether they are the old-school British or French officers, the new jack American corporate rulers, or the Stalinists whose sympathy for the “backward” countries often mirrored the very colonial discourse Césaire exposed. Corruption runs rampant; violence and intimidation are employed to keep order and “motivate” workers; profits for big capital take precedence over the problems of poverty, health, and safety for the poor.

We are hardly in a postcolonial moment. The official apparatus might have been removed, but the political, economic, and cultural links established by colonial domination still remain, with some alterations. *Discourse* was less concerned with the specifics of political economy than with a way of thinking. Its lesson was that colonial domination required a whole way of thinking, a discourse in which everything that was advanced, good, and civilized was defined and measured in European terms. *Discourse* called on the world to move forward as rapidly as possible, and yet called for the overthrow of a master class’s ideology of progress, one built on violence, destruction, and genocide. Both Fanon and Césaire warned the “colored” world not to follow in Europe’s footsteps, and not to go back to the ancient way, but to carve out a new direction altogether. What we’ve been witnessing, however (and here I must include Césaire’s own beloved Martinique), hardly reflects the imagination and vision captured in these brief pages: the same old political parties, the same armies, the same methods of labor exploitation, the same education, the same tactics of incarceration, exiling, and killing artists and intellectuals who dare to imagine a radically different way of living, who dare to invent the Marvelous before our very eyes.

In the end, *Discourse* was never intended to be a road map or a blueprint for revolution. It is poetry and therefore revolt. It is an

act of insurrection, drawn from Césaire's own miraculous weapons, molded and shaped by his work with *Tropiques* and its challenge to the Vichy regime, by his imbibing of European culture and his sense of alienation from both France and his native land. It is a rising, a blow to the master who appears as owner and ruler, teacher and comrade. It is revolutionary graffiti painted in bold strokes across the great texts of Western civilization; it is a hand grenade tossed with deadly accuracy, clearing the field so that we might write a new history with what's left standing. *Discourse* is hardly a dead document about a dead order. If anything, it is a call for us to plumb the depths of the imagination for a different way forward. Just as Césaire drew on Comte de Lautréamont's *Chants de Maldoror* to illuminate the cannibalistic nature of capitalism *and* the power of poetic knowledge, *Discourse* offers new insights into the consequences of colonialism and a model for dreaming a way out of our postcolonial predicament. Although we still need to overthrow all vestiges of the old colonial order, destroying the old is just half the battle.

The Noise of Our Living: In the Wilderness of North America

Surrealism's influence in the black world extends well beyond the formally colonized people. In North America, it is reflected in the work of black artists as diverse as Frank London Brown, Bob Kaufman, Jayne Cortez, Ted Joans, Will Alexander, and Richard Wright.

Richard Wright?

Only a handful of critics have acknowledged Wright's surrealist influences, and yet it is hard to comprehend some of his most radical political impulses without surrealism. As early as 1940, Kenneth Burke characterized *Native Son* as a "surrealistic" novel, and more recently, Eugene E. Miller's insightful study, *Voice of a Native Son: The Poetics of Richard Wright* (1990), argued that Wright's fascination with surrealism was more than a passing flirtation. According to Miller, the manuscript version of

Wright's *American Hunger* reveals that he knew about Dadaism (a short-lived forebear of surrealism founded in 1916, emphasizing humor, irreverence, and a critique of bourgeois conceptions of "art"). Also, Wright's poem "Transcontinental" (1935) was modeled on surrealist Louis Aragon's celebrated pro-Communist poem "Red Front." Moreover, in an essay titled "Personalism" written some time between 1935 and 1937, Wright advocated the use of "any and all techniques, including those of Dada and Surrealism, in order to express a writer's deepest subjective feelings."

Wright's most sustained discussion of surrealism appears in his unpublished "Memories of My Grandmother." Surrealism, he suggests, helped him understand the character and strengths of African-American folk culture and clarify "the mystery" of the way his grandmother—like blues singers—used language and composition. The blues juxtaposed elements that had no rational connection, and it possessed no narrative sequence. Wright found the blues structure analogous to the surrealists' use of the "exquisite corpse," the chance encounter, the juxtaposing of seemingly unrelated things in order to reveal the Marvelous.

Wright indirectly acknowledged the influence of surrealism on his most famous novel, *Native Son*, in his classic essay "How Bigger Was Born." In Wright's novel, Bigger Thomas is the protagonist whose degradation under racism and capitalism compels him to murder and rape, but just as he is about to face his own execution he recognizes the redeeming power of working-class collective action through the Communist Party. Wright did not try to pass off *Native Son* as social realism or proletarian realism, and he did not characterize it as mere social commentary. Rather, it is a psychological journey that attempts to communicate what's incommunicable; it is about alienation and yearning for something, but Wright isn't sure exactly what it is. In trying to make sense of Bigger, Wright succumbed to the power of imagination:

While writing, a new and thrilling relationship would spring up under the drive of emotion, coalescing and telescoping alien facts into a known and felt truth. That was the deep fun of the job: to feel within my body that I was pushing out to new areas

of feeling, strange landmarks of emotion, tramping upon foreign soil, compounding new relationships of perceptions, making new and—until that very split second of time!—unheard-of and unfelt effects with words. It had a buoying and tonic impact upon me; my senses would strain and seek for more and more of such relationships; my temperature would rise as I worked. That is writing as I feel it, a kind of significant living.

For Wright, black people did not have to go out and find surrealism, for their lives were already surreal. He suggested that it was exactly the forced exclusion of black people that produced a different way of looking at the world and of feeling it—an idea made evident in his 1941 text *Twelve Million Black Voices*. Wright used Farm Security Administration photographs to drive his narrative. The text captured the surrealist character of black life and turned to poetry as a means to elucidate alienation and its impact on the psyche. “The noise of our living,” he writes, “boxed in stone and steel, is so loud that even a pistol shot is smothered.” In the foreword, Wright announced that he was not interested in celebrating the black middle class, the success stories who were “like single fishes that leap and flash for a split second above the surface of the sea.” He really wanted to write a “history” that attempted, as Baudelaire put it, to “plunge to the bottom of the abyss, Hell or Heaven . . . to the bottom of the Unknown in order to find the new!” His world was the world of the 90 percent, the

tragic school that swims below in the depths, against the current, silently and heavily, struggling against the waves of vicissitudes that spell a common fate. It is not, however, to celebrate or exalt the plight of the humble folk who swim in the depths that I select the conditions of their lives as examples of normality, but rather to seize upon that which is qualitative and abiding in Negro experience, to place within full and constant view the collective humanity whose triumphs and defeats are shared by the majority, whose gains in security mark an advance in the level of consciousness attained by the broad masses in their costly and tortuous upstream journey.

I don't think it is an exaggeration to declare *Twelve Million Black Voices* a surrealist text. Employing dream imagery, Wright conveyed to his readers the long nightmare that is black life in America and held out the possibility of a new dream, one rooted in African-American folk values which he attributes to the absurd and impoverished life black people have had to endure. Unlike the "lords of the land," slavery's descendants never had the option of creating a culture based on property ownership, accumulation, and exploitation. Instead, black families were held together "by love, sympathy, pity, and the goading knowledge that we must work together to make a crop." "That is why we black folk laugh and sing when we are alone together," Wright mused. "Our scale of values differs from that of the world from which we have been excluded; our shame is not its shame, and our love is not its love." The implications of black folk culture as the basis for a new politics was made explicit in Wright's most surreal passage, rendered ironically as a sermon. It reads like a piece of automatism, spoken from the unconscious, free of traditional form or punctuation. In this breathtaking tale of good versus evil, Satan versus God, Jesus "*dies upon a cross to show Man the way back up the broad highway to peace and thus Man begins to live for a time under a new dispensation of Love and not Law. . .*" The congregation's response was as important as the sermon itself: The people "sway in our seats until we have lost all notion of time and have begun to float on a tide of passion. The preacher begins to punctuate his words with sharp rhythms, and we are lifted far beyond the boundaries of our daily lives, upward and outward, until drunk with our enchanted vision, our senses lifted to the burning skies, we do not know who we are, what we are, or where we are. . . ." But the hope of a new dispensation keeps their souls nourished until tomorrow.

Wright's engagement with surrealism seemed to parallel that of many other black intellectuals. They have found in surrealism confirmation of what they already know—for them it is more an act of recognition than a revolutionary discovery. As we have al-

ready seen, Aimé Césaire insisted that surrealism brought him back to African culture. Ted Joans wrote Breton that he “chose” surrealism because he recognized its fundamental ideas and camaraderie in jazz. Wifredo Lam said he was drawn to surrealism because he already knew the power of the unconscious, having grown up in the Africanized spirit world of Santería. The contemporary Senegalese artist Cheikh Tidiane Sylla is even more explicit about how surrealism reveals what is already familiar in African culture. “In the ecologically balanced tribal cultures of Africa,” he wrote in *Arsenal/Surrealist Subversion* (1989), “the surrealist spirit is deeply embedded in social tradition. The ‘mysticism’ prevalent in all Black African philosophy presupposes a highly charged psychic world in which every individual agrees to forget himself or herself in order to concentrate on the least known instances of the mind’s movement—a thoroughly emancipatory experience.” He further asserted that in Africa the practice of poetry was always a way of life, whereas in the West surrealism was the product of a long philosophical and political struggle “to recover what the traditional African has never lost.”

In other words, all these black artists with whom the surrealists identify suggest that a thorough understanding and an acceptance of the Marvelous existed in the lives of blacks and non-Western peoples—before Breton, before Rimbaud, before Lautréamont—in music, dance, speech, the plastic arts, and above all philosophy. We are, after all, talking about cultures that valued imagination, improvisation, and verbal agility, from storytelling, preaching, and singing to toasting and the dozens. Indeed, when I first read Lautréamont’s injunction that “Poetry must be made by all,” I was reminded of Olaudah Equiano, a former slave who wrote in his *Interesting Narrative* (1792) that the world from which he was stolen was “almost a nation of dancers, musicians, and poets [where] every great event . . . is celebrated in public dances . . . accompanied with songs and music.” For all of the problems and exploitations and oppressions one finds in precolonial Africa, there remained a deep longing for that world, for it was remem-

bered and experienced as a world that kept us whole. Richard Wright's *Twelve Million Black Voices* located the heart of African "civilization" in the imagination: "We smelted iron, danced, made music, and recited folk poems; we sculptured, worked in glass, spun cotton and wool, wove baskets and cloth. . . ." In her poem "I Wonder Who," Jayne Cortez goes one step further than Wright, juxtaposing Africa's creativity and self-reliance against the corruption of neocolonial schemes of "modernization":

We have been calling across fields
 & falsetto snapping & moaning
 in deep Shona deep Edo deep Mandingo
 before the erection
 of artificial systems

& we have been building granaries
 pounding grain
 going from dry stream
 to dry stream
 since the beginning of
 the illumination of stars

We have been pulling tons of wood
 up the road
 in the rain
 in malaria land
 for thousands of years

& we have been ploughing across deserts
 linking events
 & circulating information
 since the division of night & day
 day & night. . . .

The second half of the poem asks, Who will get rid of Africa's oppressors, the "Presidents Ministers and Chiefs," "all the non-serious scholars & serious expert invaders of indigenous cultures," the white settler regimes, the mercenaries sent by the forces of

imperialism to foil revolutionary movements? The beauty and peace Africa might one day enjoy, again, is musically illustrated in her recording of "I Wonder Who," which is backed by Salieu Suso's and Sarjo Kuyateh's magnificent *kora* playing.

Cortez, after all, is first and foremost an activist. In 1963, SNCC leader James Forman persuaded her to go to Mississippi, where she attended mass meetings and met with grassroots organizers, including Fannie Lou Hamer. She returned to Los Angeles that year and founded Friends of SNCC, a gathering of movement supporters that attracted a broad array of figures from the performing and visual arts. Friends of SNCC succeeded in drawing celebrities and raising money, but Cortez wanted to focus her energies on grassroots organizing. In 1964 she created Studio Watts with Jim Woods, a community theater in the heart of South Central Los Angeles's black community that performed highly politicized street theater and poetry readings before the Watts rebellion of 1965. Studio Watts grew rapidly, attracting committed artists. Cortez and others broke with Jim Woods in 1967 and formed the Watts Repertory Theatre Company, becoming one of the most dynamic community arts projects in the nation. Although she relocated to New York soon after the WRTC was founded, she returned in 1968 and 1970 to direct Jean Genet's moving play *The Blacks*.

As with Césaire, Richard Wright, Wifredo Lam, and others, it was surrealism that discovered Cortez rather than the other way around. Surrealism was less a revelation than a recognition of what already existed in the black tradition. For Cortez surrealism is merely a tool to help create a strong revolutionary movement and a powerful, independent poetry.

Jayne Cortez dreams anti-imperialist dreams. It is not enough to imagine what kind of world we would like; we have to do the work to make it happen. Today, in an era when many young people believe that surrealism is merely an aesthetic or a hip style, Cortez exemplifies the revolutionary commitment that has always been at the heart of the black radical imagination. We hear in her performances with her band Firespitters (which plays all

manner of Afrodiasporic music) a vibrant poetic imagery drawn from the deep well of the blues. This is certainly evident in collections such as *Pissstained Stairs and the Monkey Man's Wares* (1969), *Celebrations and Solitudes* (1975), *Coagulations* (1984), *Everywhere Drums* (1990), *Poetic Magnetic* (1991), and *Somewhere in Advance of Nowhere* (1996). She wages poetic war against imperialism, racism, sexism, fascism, consumerism, and environmental injustice, while schooling those who don't know to some of our great artists—from Nicolas Guillén to Babs Gonzalez. She creates magnetic images of convulsive beauty, to be sure, but they are fighting words. Poems such as "Stockpiling" and "War Devoted to War" reveals the connection between war and capitalism, whereas "Rape" and "If the Drum Is a Woman" are antiwar "songs" about the vicious and violent assault on women's bodies. But Cortez is a true radical feminist; she refuses to write women as victims. One of the greatest lyrical paeans to the resistance of women to all forms of domination is Cortez's "Sacred Trees." Using trees as a metaphor for all women, she laments their abuse and exploitation while celebrating their strength, longevity, and rebellion. Women here are the forest; they grow, resist, and lay the foundation for new dreams, a new life:

. . . everytime I think about us women
 I think about the trees
 I think about
 the subversive trees laden in blood
 but not bleeding
 the rebellious trees encrusted
 but not cracking
 the abused trees wounded
 but still standing
 I think about the proud trees
 the trees with beehive tits buzzing
 the transparent trees
 the trees with quinine breath hovering

the trees swaying & rubbing their
 stretched marked bellies
 in the rain
 the crossroad trees coming from
 the tree womb
 of tree seeds
 Trees. . . .

“There It Is” is a warning to the liberals and fence-sitters who don’t believe that we are fighting for our lives:

The enemies polishing their penises between
 oil wells at the pentagon
 the bulldozers leaping into demolition dances
 the old folks dying of starvation
 the informers wearing out shoes looking for crumbs
 the lifeblood of the earth almost dead in
 the greedy mouth of imperialism
 And my friend
 they don’t care
 if you’re an individualist
 a leftist a rightist
 a shithead or a snake. . . .

To call this “protest poetry” misses the point. It is a complete revolt, a clarion call for a new way of life. Cortez not only lifts the proverbial rug covering the mess created by our global systems of domination, but she also opens our imaginations to new possibilities in some unlikely places: “A promenading surface of erotic strokes/Rebellions carried on the felt tip of an evening sunset” (from “In a Stream of Ink”). And in the tradition of the great blues poets from whom she descends, Jayne Cortez understands and embraces the transformative, magical quality of the erotic. Listen to “Say It”:

Say it
 and peel off that grey iguana skin mask

Say it
 and clean out your cockpit of intoxicated spiders
 Tear the sexual leaves of grief from your heart
 Pluck the feathers of nostalgia from your nipples
 Push the slowmoving masochistic mudslide
 of contralto voices
 from your afternoon skull of anxiety
 Say it
 and let the tooth chips fall from
 your hole of rebellious itches. . . .

Ted Joans has the distinction of being acknowledged by André Breton as the only African-American surrealist he ever met. He is the author of more than thirty books of poetry, prose, and collage, including *Black Pow-Wow*, *Beat Funky Jazz Poems*, *Afrodisia*, *Jazz Is Our Religion*, *Sure, Really, I Is*, *Double Trouble*, *Wow*, and *Ted-ucation*. Perhaps his best known statement is a poem titled “The Truth.” He warns us not to fear the poets, for they speak the truth; they are our seers, clairvoyants, visionaries. And yet, to the enemies of freedom, poets, like Joans and Cortez, are dangerous. Joans knows this all too well, which is why he calls one series of poems “hand grenades,” poems meant to “explode on the enemy and the unhip.” Although his subjects range from love to poverty, Africa to the blues, race to rhinos, all his writing, like his life, is a relentless revolt. Back in 1968, Joans dispatched his nearly forgotten “Black Flower” statement, a black surrealist manifesto in support of a black freedom movement for dignity and spiritual unity. He envisaged a movement of black people in the United States bringing down American imperialism from within using the weapon of poetic imagery, “black flowers” sprouting all over the land.

While some of his poems blow up like a bomb, others spring to life like a fake snake in a can. His imagery is rich with humor, joy, and sensuality, characteristics Joans finds fundamental to surrealism’s liberatory ethic. He makes us laugh with the “Flying Rats of Paris” or the darkly humorous “Deadnik.” Or he might douse

us with the fragrance of freedom, as he does with his radically erotic “Successesful”:

Our noble implements
 That liberate love
 That marvelous Joy
 Propelled in sudanic sun
 Wide open is desire
 Ushered by
 Red filter tip toes
 Under brown roasted surface eyes
 our own thighcology.

When Joans speaks of “Our noble implements,” he is not just thinking of genitalia; he is referring to the imagination. Fantasy, imagination, dreaming—these are the characteristics that distinguish surrealism from the kinds of social critiques at the core of leftist politics. In fact, it is quite possible that black dissatisfaction with socialist realism had to do precisely with the suppression of key elements of black culture that surrealism embraces: the unconscious, the spirit, desire, humor, magic, and love. At the same time, ironically, the fact that relatively few black radicals actually took part in the international surrealist movement may well be because of its very familiarity; its revolutionary core was recognized as having always existed in African and black diasporic life. Thus in 1948 Ralph Ellison wrote of Harlem as “a world so fluid and shifting that often within the mind the real and the unreal merge, and the marvelous beckons from behind the same sordid reality that denies its existence.”

Revolution of the Mind

The idea of a revolution of the mind has always been central to surrealism as well as to black conceptions of liberation. By revolution of the mind, I mean not merely a refusal of victim status. I am talking about an unleashing of the mind’s most creative capacities, catalyzed by participation in struggles for change. As the

black radical thinker Cedric Robinson pointed out nearly two decades ago in his *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*, the focus of black revolt “was always on the structures of the mind. Its epistemology granted supremacy to metaphysics not the material.” Likewise, as the surrealist writer and blues scholar Paul Garon put it: “Human freedom depends not only on the destruction and restructuring of the economic system, but on the restructuring of the mind. New modes of poetic action, new networks of analogy, new possibilities of expression all help formulate the nature of the supersession of reality, the transformation of everyday life as it encumbers us today, the unfolding and eventual triumph of the marvelous.”

Juxtaposing surrealism and black conceptions of liberation is no mere academic exercise; it is an injunction, a proposition, perhaps even a declaration of war. I am suggesting that the black freedom movement take a long, hard look at our own surreality as well as surrealist thought and practice in order to build new movements, new possibilities, new conceptions of liberation. Surrealism can help us break the constraints of social realism and take us to places where Marxism, anarchism, and other “isms” in the name of revolution have rarely dared to venture. From the 1920s on, surrealism has recognized the decadence of Western civilization, and has never ceased to sharpen its critique of the West’s institutions and value systems, but it has always refused to fall into the trap of cynicism or technotopias or fatalism and false prophets. After all, surrealists have consistently opposed capitalism and white supremacy, have promoted internationalism, and have been strongly influenced by Marx and Freud in their efforts to bridge the gap between dream and action. In other respects, surrealism is night to Marxism’s day: It breaks the chains of social realism and rationality, turning to poetry as a revolutionary mode of thought and practice. In many ways surrealism has real affinities with aspects of Afrodiasporic vernacular culture, including an embrace of magic, spirituality, and the ecstatic—elements Marxism has never been able to deal with effectively.

At the same time, surrealism is not some lost, esoteric body of

thought longing for academic recognition. It is a living practice and will continue to live as long as we dream. Nor is surrealism some atavistic romanticization of the past. Above all, surrealism considers love and poetry and the imagination powerful social and revolutionary forces, not replacements for organized protest, for marches and sit-ins, for strikes and slowdowns, for matches and spray paint. Surrealism recognizes that any revolution must begin with thought, with how we imagine a New World, with how we reconstruct our social and individual relationships, with unleashing our desire and building a new future on the basis of love and creativity rather than rationality (which is like *rationalization*, the same word they use for improving capitalist production and limiting people's needs).

By superseding existing reality, we must break with the current injunction to "keep it real." Of course, in contemporary hip-hop lingo the power of "keepin' it real" means many things: It is a challenge to commercialism, a recognition of the ghetto as a site of creativity, a call for solidarity with oppressed classes. But if we believe in revolution, the critical moral of this essay is that we need to move beyond the real and make it surreal. And in the "wonderful world" according to Ted Joans, this is what being hip is all about. Go ahead Ted, take us out with a final chorus of "Let's Play Something":

LET'S PLAY THAT WE ALL HIP, very hip and wise/ thus we
are hipsters and hipstresses/ we use machinery and do not allow
the machinery to use or mis-use us/ as hipsters we are spiritually
involved with life/ and we dig good food/ good sex/ and the finest
of arts and we travel all over this wonderful world/ for the entire
earth is ours/ we love those whom wished to be loved/ we kiss
but do not kill/ we work at jobs that give us thrills/ we abuse all
money/ and we pick up on all knowledge that we can use/ we ex-
perience all great kicks/ we avoid conformity/ disaffiliated with
any organized goof/ thus digging freedom/ freedom/ freedom
now/ freedom for all/ and create a new life that is an eternal sur-
real ball/ marvelous for yellow ones/ black ones/ living/ sharing/

caring and healthy creating/ a new hip world where nobody is hungry where nobody is oppressed and where there is hope

YEAH LADIES AND GENTLEMEN BOYS AND GIRLS
LET'S PLAY THAT YES LET'S PLAY THAT FOR REAL
LET'S PLAY THAT WE ARE ALL HIPSTERS AND REALLY
BEGIN TO LIVE!!

“WHEN HISTORY WAKES”: A NEW BEGINNING

... When History wakes, image becomes deed, the poem is achieved: poetry goes into action.

Octavio Paz, “Toward the Poem”

When I first conceived of this book, I imagined ending on a dream of my own. In fact, on September 11, 2001, the epilogue was already written and my dream was poised for action. It was a long dream to be sure, a fantastic, futuristic tale of a group of “Maroon poets” who transform a local struggle over police brutality into a full-fledged revolution rooted in love, creativity, and cooperation over the course of seven hundred years. In my dream, it took thirty generations of poets, surviving and creating in the “liberated zones” of North America’s ghettos, to build a cooperative world without wages or money.

After September 11, however, my original epilogue/dream felt uncomfortably apocalyptic. The immediate question of “where do we go from here” invaded my daydreams and dominated my nocturnal adventures, along with the constant stench of burning metal, concrete, and Lord knows what else enveloping our neighborhood, and the horrendous image of bombs raining down on

terrified Afghans. Where are we heading? How do we begin to dream ourselves out of this dark place of death and destruction and war, from this suffocating place where anyone who is not down with the war plan could be labeled a traitor? It's very hard to imagine a visionary social movement when officials can openly advocate the racial profiling of "Arab-looking" people with hardly a voice of dissent, or when laws are passed that ease wiretapping of private citizens and allow officials to detain immigrants without charge.

So in the spirit of all the freedom dreamers who make up this book, I would like to close with a few brief reflections on where we might go from here. Strangely, I've been thinking particularly about ways to rebuild the smoldering, desolate landfill that once hosted these two powerful symbols of global capital and consumer culture. What shall we build on the ashes of a nightmare?

We don't need another hall of finance, wealth, and exclusivity; no more symbols of class, power, and privilege. We don't need another gargantuan modern-day mill where some working people slave over mops and vacuum cleaners in the wee hours of the morning, and others over computers and fax machines way past sundown. Yes, jobs are valuable and necessary in a world where everything—even food, shelter, and clothing—is a commodity. But now is the time to think like poets, to envision and make visible a new society, a peaceful, cooperative, loving world without poverty and oppression, limited only by our imaginations.

First, let's declare the land international territory to be governed by a joint council made up of representatives from the United Nations and First Nations (i.e., Native Americans with roots in the region). The space should no longer be considered to lie within the jurisdiction of New York City, New York State, or the United States. The land belongs to the world and thus should not be privatized.

Second, the international governing council should pull together a group of artists from all over the world, women and men, young and old, representing all continents, who will collectively transform the space into a giant park that extends from the exist-

ing Hudson River and Battery parks along the waterfront. Although the word *freedom* in the United States is fraught with patriotic, jingoistic baggage, I would nevertheless call it “Freedom Space” and position signs throughout the park with the word *freedom* in every known language. This would not be just any park. Imagine a space filled with odd, beautiful play structures intended to force people to engage each other. (I can’t help thinking of the dynamic young artist Ellen Gallagher, who recently constructed a beautiful jungle gym as an installation for her 2001 traveling show “Preserve.” As she explained to me recently, the jungle gym was originally designed in post-Nazi Germany as a way to enable free, unstructured, democratic play.)

I can envision a section composed of large round picnic tables, a great lawn for playing and dreaming, sandboxes for kids of all ages, works of art that we can engage organically rather than as distant objects . . . works of art that might spur us to talk to each other. An amphitheater and/or band shell will occupy opposite corners of the space. Music, theater, comedy, all manner of performance across genres, nationalities, and languages will take place every day when weather permits. All performances are free. Indeed, everything should be free, including food and drink. And how about a row of nice, airy bungalows with beds and showers for the homeless? We can pay for all this by requiring all nations affiliated with the UN to contribute annually at least one percent of their military budgets to maintaining and developing Freedom Space. Whatever surplus is left over should be earmarked either to promote global peace or pay reparations to war victims. (An even more radical idea might be to create a sliding scale whereby each nation would have to pay according to the damage its armed forces have inflicted on the rest of the world during the past century! But such a formula would prove much too difficult to calculate.)

I won’t propose much more since the design and realization of such a space ought to be the product of a collective imagination shaped and reshaped by the very process of turning rubble and memory into the seeds of a new society. Of course, I take it for

granted that creating a space such as this—whether it is on a small plot of lower Manhattan, or the larger plot we call the United States, or the huge rock we call earth—will never happen without a struggle. Struggle is par for the course when our dreams go into action. But unless we have the space to imagine and a vision of what it means fully to realize our humanity, all the protests and demonstrations in the world won't bring about our liberation.

SOURCES

"When History Sleeps": A Beginning

- Baker, Lee D. *From Savage to Negro: Anthropology and the Construction of Race, 1896–1954*. Los Angeles and Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998.
- Baraka, Amiri [Jones, LeRoi]. *Black Music*. New York: Quill, 1967.
- Boggs, Grace Lee. *Living for Change*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1998.
- Bush, Rod. *We Are Not What We Seem: Black Nationalism and Class Struggle in the American Century*. New York: New York University Press, 1999.
- Carby, Hazel. *Race Men*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1998.
- . *Reconstructing Black Womanhood*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1987.
- Césaire, Aimé. "Poetry and Knowledge." In *Refusal of the Shadow: Surrealism and the Caribbean*, edited and translated by Michael Richardson and Krzysztof Fijalkowski, 134–46. London: Verso, 1996.
- Chau-Jua, Sundiata Keita. "The Black Radical Congress and the Reconstruction of the Black Freedom Movement." *Black Scholar* 28, nos. 3/4 (1998): 3–22.
- Chicago Surrealist Group. "Surrealism and Blues." *Living Blues*, no. 25 (January/February, 1976), 19.
- Davis, Angela Y. *Blues Legacies and Black Feminism: Gertrude "Ma" Rainey, Bessie Smith, and Billie Holiday*. New York: Pantheon, 1998.
- Du Bois, W. E. B. *Black Reconstruction in America: An Essay toward a History of the Part Which Black Folk Played in the Attempt to Reconstruct Democracy, 1860–1880*. New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1935.
- Giroux, Henry A. *Beyond the Corporate University: Culture and Pedagogy in the New Millennium*. Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield, 2001.

- Giroux, Henry A. *Disturbing Pleasures: Learning Popular Culture*. New York: Routledge, 1994.
- . *Fugitive Cultures: Race, Violence, and Youth*. New York: Routledge, 1996.
- . *Impure Acts: The Practical Politics of Cultural Studies*. New York: Routledge, 2000.
- . *Stealing Innocence: Youth, Corporate Power, and the Politics of Culture*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 2000.
- Hall, James C. *Mercy, Mercy Me: African-American Culture and the American Sixties*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001.
- Harding, Vincent. *There Is a River: The Struggle for Black Freedom in America*. New York: Random House, 1983.
- Hersch, Charles. *Democratic Artworks: Politics and the Arts from Trilling to Dylan*. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York Press, 1998.
- James, Joy. *Transcending the Talented Tenth: Black Leaders and American Intellectuals*. New York: Routledge, 1997.
- Kelley, Robin D. G. "‘But a Local Phase of a World Problem’: Black History’s Global Vision, 1883–1950." *Journal of American History* 86, no. 3 (December 1999): 1045–77.
- . *Hammer and Hoe: Alabama Communists during the Great Depression*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1990.
- . *Race Rebels: Culture, Politics, and the Black Working Class*. New York: Free Press, 1994.
- Kgositsile, Keorapetse. *When the Clouds Clear*. Cape Town, South Africa: Congress of South African Writers, 1990.
- Lincoln, Abbey. "Africa." *People in Me*. Philips LP 5100, 1973.
- Linebaugh, Peter and Marcus Rediker. *The Many-Headed Hydra: Sailors, Slaves, Commoners, and the Hidden History of the Revolutionary Atlantic*. Boston: Beacon Press, 2000.
- Lipsitz, George. *A Life in the Struggle: Ivory Perry and the Culture of Opposition*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1988.
- . *Dangerous Crossroads: Popular Music, Postmodernism, and the Poetics of Place*. New York: Verso, 1994.
- Paz, Octavio. *Eagle or Sun?*, translated by Eliot Weinberger. New York: New Directions Books, 1976.
- Robinson, Cedric. *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*. 1983. Reprint, Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 2000.
- Rosemont, Penelope. *Surrealist Experiences: 1001 Dawns, 221 Midnights*. Chicago: Black Swan Press, 2000.
- Surrealist Group in Madrid. "Beyond Anti-Racism: The Role of Poetic Thought in the Eradication of White Supremacy." *Surrealism: Revolution against Whiteness*, special issue of *Race Traitor* 9 (summer 1998): 42–45.
- Thompson, E. P. *Making History: Writings on History and Culture*. New York: New Press, 1994.
- Ward, Brian. *Just My Soul Responding: Rhythm and Blues, Black Consciousness, and Race Relations*. Los Angeles and Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998.

Woodard, Komozi. *A Nation within a Nation*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1998.

1. Dreams of the New Land

- Anderson, Benedict. *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origins and Spread of Nationalism*. London and New York: Verso, 1991.
- Arrested Development. *Three Years, Five Months, and Two Days in the Life of*. . . Chrysalis compact disk F4 21929, 1992.
- Bair, Barbara. *Freedom Is Never a Final Act: Women Emerge from the Garvey Movement*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, forthcoming.
- Barnes, Sandra T., ed. *Africa's Ogun: Old World and New*. Bloomington, Ind.: Indiana University Press, 1989.
- Barrett, Leonard. *Soul-Force: African Heritage in Afro-American Religion*. Garden City, N.Y.: Anchor Press, 1974.
- Berry, Mary Frances and John Blassingame. *Long Memory: The Black Experience in America*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- Bittle, William E. and Gilbert Geis. *The Longest Way Home: Chief Alfred C. Sam's Back-to-Africa Movement*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1964.
- Blackett, R. J. M. *Beating against the Barriers: Biographical Essays in Nineteenth-Century Afro-American History*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1986.
- Blyden, Edward Wilmot. *Black Spokesman: Selected Published Writings of Edward Wilmot Blyden*. New York: Humanities Press, 1971.
- . *Christianity, Islam, and the Negro Race*. Edinburgh: University Press, 1967.
- Brundage, W. Fitzhugh, ed. *Under Sentence of Death: Lynching in the South*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1997.
- Brundage, W. Fitzhugh. *Lynching in the New South: Georgia and Virginia, 1880–1930*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1993.
- Campbell, Horace. *Rasta and Resistance: From Marcus Garvey to Walter Rodney*. Trenton, N.J.: Africa World Press, 1987.
- Clarke, John Henrik, ed. *Marcus Garvey and the Vision of Africa*. New York: Vintage, 1974.
- Clifford, James. "Diasporas." In *Routes: Travel and Translation in the Late Twentieth Century*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1997.
- Corbett, John. *Extended Play: Sounding off from John Cage to Dr. Funkenstein*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1994.
- Cortez, Jayne. *Coagulations: New and Selected Poems*. New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 1984.
- . *Somewhere in Advance of Nowhere*. New York and London: High Risk Books, 1996.
- Del tha Funkee Homosapien. *I Wish My Brother George Was Here*. Elektra audiocassette 9 61133-4, 1991.

- Delany, Martin Robison. *The Condition, Elevation, Emigration, and Destiny of the Colored People of the United States, Politically Considered*. 1852. Reprint, New York: Arno Press, 1968.
- Drachler, Jacob, ed. *Black Homeland/Black Diaspora: Cross-currents of the African Relationship*. Port Washington, N.Y.: Kennikat Press, 1975.
- Drake, St. Clair. *Black Folk Here and There: An Essay in History and Anthropology*, vols. 1 and 2. Los Angeles: Center for Afro-American Studies, University of California, 1987, 1990.
- Egerton, Douglas R. "Its Origin Is Not a Little Curious': A New Look at the American Colonization Society." *Journal of the Early Republic* 5 (winter 1985): 463–80.
- Eure, Joseph D. and James G. Spady, eds. *Nation Conscious Rap*. New York: P. C. International, 1991.
- Franklin, V. P. *Black Self-Determination: A Cultural History of African-American Resistance*. 2d ed. Brooklyn, N.Y.: Lawrence Hill Books, 1992.
- Gaines, Kevin. *Uplifting the Race: Black Leadership, Politics, and Culture in the Twentieth Century*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1996.
- Gilroy, Paul. *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and Double Consciousness*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1993.
- Griffith, Cyril W. *The African Dream: Martin R. Delany and the Emergence of Pan-African Thought*. University Park, Pa.: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1975.
- Hager, Steve. *Hip Hop: The Illustrated History of Break Dancing, Rap Music, and Graffiti*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1984.
- Hair, William Ivy. *Carnival of Fury: Robert Charles and the New Orleans Race Riot of 1900*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1976.
- Hall, Gwendolyn Midlo. *Africans in Colonial Louisiana: The Development of Afro-Creole Culture in the Eighteenth Century*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1992.
- Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora," in *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*. London: Lawrence & Wishart, 1990.
- Hanchard, Michael. "Identity, Meaning, and the African-American." *Social Text* 8, no. 24 (1990): 31–42.
- Harding, Vincent. *There Is a River: The Black Struggle for Freedom in America*. New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1983.
- Harris, Joseph E., ed. *Global Dimensions of the African Diaspora*. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, 1982.
- Harris, Sheldon, ed. *Paul Cuffe: Black America and the African Return*. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1972.
- Herskovits, Melville J. *The Myth of the Negro Past*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1941.
- Hill, Robert A. "Chief Alfred Sam and the African Movement." In *Pan-African Biography*. Los Angeles: University of California and Crossroads Press, 1987.
- Hill, Robert and Barbara Bair, eds. *Marcus Garvey: Life and Lessons*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1987.

- Hinks, Peter. *"To Awaken My Afflicted Brethren": David Walker and the Problem of Antebellum Slave Resistance*. University Park, Pa.: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1997.
- James, Winston. *Holding Aloft the Banner of Ethiopia: Caribbean Radicalism in Early Twentieth-Century America*. London and New York: Verso, 1998.
- Jayne Cortez and the Firespitters. *Cheerful and Optimistic*. Bola Press compact disk BP9401, 1994.
- Kelley, Robin D. G. and Tiffany Patterson. "Unfinished Migrations: Reflections on the African Diaspora and the Making of the Modern World." *African Studies Review* 43, no. 1 (April 2000): 11–45.
- Knight, Franklin. *The African Dimension in Latin American Societies*. New York: Macmillan Press, 1974.
- Lemelle, Sidney J. and Robin D. G. Kelley, eds. *Imagining Home: Class, Culture, and Nationalism in the African Diaspora*. London and New York: Verso, 1994.
- Linebaugh, Peter and Marcus Rediker. *The Many-Headed Hydra: Sailors, Slaves, Commoners, and the Hidden History of the Revolutionary Atlantic*. Boston: Beacon Press, 2000.
- Litwack, Leon F. *Trouble in Mind: Black Southerners in the Age of Jim Crow*. New York: Knopf, 1998.
- Lock, Graham. *Blutopia: Visions of the Future and Revisions of the Past in the Work of Sun Ra, Duke Ellington, and Anthony Braxton*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1999.
- Lynch, Hollis R. *Edward Wilmot Blyden: Pan-Negro Patriot, 1832–1912*. London: Oxford University Press, 1964.
- Magubane, Bernard M. *The Ties that Bind: African-American Consciousness of Africa*. Trenton, N.J.: Africa World Press, 1987.
- Martin, Tony. *Race First: The Ideological and Organizational Struggles of Marcus Garvey and the Universal Negro Improvement Association*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1976.
- Miller, Floyd. *The Search for a Black Nationality: Black Emigration and Colonization, 1787–1863*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1975.
- Mintz, Sidney and Richard Price. *The Birth of African-American Culture: An Anthropological Perspective*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1992.
- Moses, Wilson J. *Afrotopia: The Roots of African-American Popular History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- . *Alexander Crummell: A Study of Civilization and Discontent*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1989.
- . *The Golden Age of Black Nationalism, 1850–1925*. 1978. Reprint, New York: Oxford University Press, 1988.
- Moses, Wilson J., ed. *Classical Black Nationalism: From the American Revolution to Marcus Garvey*. New York: New York University Press, 1996.
- Mudimbe, V. Y. *The Invention of Africa: Gnosis, Philosophy, and the Order of Knowledge*. Bloomington, Ind.: Indiana University Press, 1988.
- Mugge, Robert. *Sun Ra: A Joyful Noise*. Mug Shot Productions, 1980. Film.

- Painter, Nell Irvin. *Exodusters: Black Migration to Kansas after Reconstruction*. New York: Knopf, 1976.
- Plummer, Brenda Gayle. *Rising Wind: Black Americans and U.S. Foreign Affairs, 1935–1960*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1996.
- Poor Righteous Teachers. *Holy Intellect*. Profile audiocassette PCT–11289, 1990.
- Raboteau, Albert. *A Fire in the Bones: Reflections on African-American Religious History*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1995.
- Raper, Arthur Franklin. *The Tragedy of Lynching*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1933.
- Redkey, Edwin S. *Black Exodus: Black Nationalist and Back-to-Africa Movements, 1890–1910*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1969.
- Robinson, Cedric J. “W. E. B. Du Bois and Black Sovereignty.” In *Imagining Home: Class, Culture, and Nationalism in the African Diaspora*, edited by Sidney J. Lemelle and Robin D. G. Kelley. London: Verso, 1994.
- . *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*. 1983. Reprint, Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 2000.
- . *Black Movements in America*. New York and London: Routledge, 1997.
- Rose, Tricia. *Black Noise: Rap Music and Black Culture in Contemporary America*. Hanover, N.H.: University Press of New England, 1994.
- Shapiro, Herbert. *White Violence and Black Response: From Reconstruction to Montgomery*. Amherst, Mass.: University of Massachusetts Press, 1988.
- Skinner, Elliot P. *African Americans and U.S. Policy toward Africa, 1850–1924: In Defense of Black Nationality*. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, 1992.
- Sterling, Dorothy. *The Making of an Afro-American: Martin Robison Delany—African Explorer, Civil War Major, and Father of Black Nationalism*. 1971. Reprint, New York: Da Capo, 1996.
- Stovall, Tyler. *Paris Noir: African Americans in the City of Light*. Boston and New York: Houghton Mifflin, 1996.
- Stuckey, Sterling. *Slave Culture: Nationalist Theory and the Foundations of Black America*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1987.
- Sundiata, Ibrahim K. *Black Scandal, America and the Liberian Labor Crisis, 1929–1936*. Philadelphia: Institute for the Study of Human Issues, 1980.
- Szwed, John. *Space Is the Place: The Lives and Times of Sun Ra*. New York: Pantheon, 1997.
- Tallie, Mariahadessa Ekere. “Barefoot Stroll.” In *Listen Up! Spoken Word Poetry*, edited by Zoë Anglesey. New York: Ballantine, 1999.
- Taylor, Ula. *The Veiled Garvey*. Forthcoming.
- Thomas, Lamont. *Paul Cuffe: Black Entrepreneur and Pan-Africanist*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1988.
- Thompson, Robert Farris. *Flash of the Spirit: African and Afro-American Art and Philosophy*. New York: Vintage, 1983.
- Thompson, Vincent. *The Making of the African Diaspora in the Americas, 1441–1900*. New York: Longman, 1987.

- Thornton, John. *Africa and Africans in the Making of the Atlantic World*. New York and Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Thorpe, Earl E. *Black Historians: A Critique*. New York: William Morrow and Co., 1971.
- Tolnay, Stewart E. *A Festival of Violence: An Analysis of Southern Lynchings, 1882–1930*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1995.
- Toop, David. *Rap Attack 2*. Boston: Consortium Press, 1992.
- Vincent, Ted [Theodore]. *Keep Cool: The Black Activists Who Built the Jazz Age*. London and East Haven, Conn.: Pluto Press, 1995.
- Vincent, Theodore. *Black Power and the Garvey Movement*. Berkeley: Ramparts Press, 1971.
- Weinstein, Norman C. *A Night in Tunisia: Imaginings of Africa in Jazz*. New York: Limelight Editions, 1993.
- Weisbord, Robert. *Ebony Kinship: Africa, Africans, and the Afro-American*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1973.
- Wells-Barnett, Ida B. *On Lynchings: Southern Horrors, a Red Record, Mob Rule in New Orleans, 1862–1931*. New York, Arno Press, 1969.
- White, E. Frances. "Africa on My Mind: Gender, Counter Discourse, and African-American Nationalism." *Journal of Women's History* 2, no. 1 (spring 1990): 73–97.
- White, Walter. *Rope and Faggot: A Biography of Judge Lynch*. New York: Knopf, 1929.
- Woodson, Carter G. "Fifty Years of Negro Citizenship as Qualified by the United States Supreme Court." *Journal of Negro History* 6, no. 1 (January 1921): 1–53.
- Wright, Richard. *Twelve Million Black Voices*. 1941. Reprint, New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 1988.
- X-Clan. *To the East, Blackwards*. 4th B'Way audiocassette 444 019–4, 1989.
- . *Xodus: The New Testament*. Polydor audiocassette 314 513225 4, 1992.

2. "The Negro Question": Red Dreams of Black Liberation

- African Blood Brotherhood. "Program of the African Blood Brotherhood," *Communist Review* (London) (April 1922): 449–54.
- Allen, James S. and Philip Foner, eds. *American Communism and Black Americans: A Documentary History, 1919–1929*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1987.
- Allen, Robert. *Reluctant Reformers: Racism and Social Reform Movements in the United States*. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, 1983.
- Anderson, Jervis A. *Phillip Randolph: A Biographical Portrait*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1973.
- Ashbaugh, Carolyn. *Lucy Parsons, American Revolutionary*. Chicago: Charles Kerr, 1976.
- Billings [Otto Huiswoud]. "Report on the Negro Question." *International Press Correspondence* 3, no. 2 (1923): 14–16.

- Briggs, Cyril. "Negro Revolutionary Hero—Toussaint L'Ouverture." *Communist* 8, no. 5 (May 1929): 250–54.
- Buhle, Mari Jo. *Women and American Socialism, 1870–1920*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1981.
- Buhle, Paul. *C. L. R. James: The Artist as Revolutionary*. London and New York: Verso, 1988.
- . *From the Knights of Labor to the New World Order: Essays on Labor and Culture*. New York: Garland, 1997.
- . *Marxism in the United States: Remapping the History of the American Left*. London: Verso, 1987.
- Buhle, Paul and Harvey Kaye, eds. *The American Radical*. New York: Routledge, 1994.
- Buhle, Paul and Robin D. G. Kelley. "Allies of a Different Sort: Jews and Blacks in the American Left." In *Struggle in the Promisedland: The History of Black/Jewish Relations in the U.S.*, edited by Jack Salzman and Cornel West. New York: Oxford University Press, 1997.
- Bunche, Ralph J. "French and British Imperialism in West Africa." *Journal of Negro History* 21, no. 1 (January 1936): 31–46.
- Bush, Rod. *We Are Not What We Seem: Black Nationalism and Class Struggle in the American Century*. New York: New York University Press, 1998.
- Buzz Johnson, ed. "I Think of My Mother": *Notes on the Life and Times of Claudia Jones*. London: Karia Press, 1985.
- Commission on the National and Colonial Questions. "Theses on the National and Colonial Question Adopted by the Second Congress of the Comintern Congress." In *The Communist International, 1919–1943, Documents*, vol. 1, edited by Jane Degras. London: Oxford University Press, 1956.
- Dawson, Michael C. *Black Visions: The Roots of Contemporary African-American Political Ideologies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2001.
- Du Bois, W. E. B. "The African Roots of War." *Atlantic Monthly* 115 (May 1915): 707–14.
- . "The Color Line Belts the World." In *W. E. B. Du Bois: A Reader*, edited by David Levering Lewis. New York: Henry Holt, 1995.
- . *The Autobiography of W. E. B. Du Bois*. Edited by Herbert Aptheker. New York: International Publishers, 1968.
- . *The World and Africa*. New York: International Publishers, 1947.
- Duberman, Martin Bauml. *Paul Robeson*. New York: Knopf, 1989.
- Dudziak, Mary L. *Cold War Civil Rights*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000.
- Esedebe, P. Olisanwuch. *Pan-Africanism: The Idea and Movement, 1776–1963*. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, 1982.
- Foner, Philip. *American Socialism and Black Americans: From the Age of Jackson to World War II*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1977.
- Ford, James. *The Negro and the Democratic Front*. New York: International Publishers, 1938.
- Franklin, V. P. *Living Our Stories, Telling Our Truths: Autobiography and the*

- Making of the African American Intellectual Tradition*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Gaines, Kevin. *Uplifting the Race: Black Leadership, Politics, and Culture in the Twentieth Century*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1996.
- Geiss, Immanuel. *The Pan-African Movement*. London: Methuen and Co., 1974.
- Gosse, Van. *Where the Boys Are: Cuba, Cold War America, and the Making of a New Left*. London: Verso, 1993.
- Haithcox, John. *Communism and Nationalism in India: M. N. Roy and Comintern Policy, 1920–1939*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1971.
- Harding, Vincent. “Beyond Chaos: Black History and the Search for the New Land.” In *Amistad 1*, edited by John A. Williams and Charles F. Harris. New York: Random House, 1970.
- Harrison, Hubert Henry. *When Africa Awakes: The “Inside Story” of the Stirrings and Strivings of the New Negro in the Western World*. 1920. Reprint, Baltimore: Black Classic Press, 1997.
- Haywood, Harry. *Black Bolshevik: Autobiography of an Afro-American Communist*. Chicago: Liberator Press, 1978.
- Hill, Rebecca. “Fosterites and Feminists, or 1950s Ultra-Leftists and the Invention of AmeriKKKa.” *New Left Review* 1, no. 228 (March/April 1998): 67–90.
- Hill, Robert. “Racial and Radical: Cyril V. Briggs, the *Crusader* Magazine, and the African Blood Brotherhood, 1918–1922,” Introduction to *The Crusader—Facsimile Editions*, edited by Robert Hill. New York: Garland Press, 1987.
- Home, Gerald. *Black and Red: W. E. B. Du Bois and the Afro-American Response to the Cold War, 1944–1963*. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York Press, 1996.
- . *Communist Front? The Civil Rights Congress, 1946–1956*. London and Toronto: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, 1988.
- Jackson, Esther Cooper and Constance Pohl, eds. *Freedomways Readers: Prophets in Their Own Country*. Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press, 2000.
- James, C. L. R. “The Revolutionary Answer to the Negro Problem in the U.S.A.” In *The C. L. R. James Reader*, edited by Anna Grimshaw. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1992.
- James, Winston. *Holding Aloft the Banner of Ethiopia: Caribbean Radicalism in Early Twentieth-Century America*. London: Verso, 1998.
- Johnson, Oakley C. “Marxism and the Negro Freedom Struggle (1876–1917).” *Journal of Human Relations* 13, no. 1 (1965): 25–27.
- Kanet, Roger E. “The Comintern and the ‘Negro Question’: Communist Policy in the United States and Africa, 1921–1941.” *Survey* 19, no. 4 (autumn 1973): 86–122.
- Kelley, Robin D. G. “‘Afric’s Sons With Banner Red’: African-American Communists and the Politics of Culture, 1919–1934.” In *Class, Culture, and Nationalism in the African Diaspora*, edited by Sidney J. Lemelle and Robin D. G. Kelley. London: Verso, 1995.

- . *Hammer and Hoe: Alabama Communists during the Great Depression*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1990.
- . *Race Rebels: Culture, Politics, and the Black Working Class*. New York: Free Press, 1994.
- Kornweibel, Theodore. *No Crystal Stair: Black Life and the Messenger, 1917–1928*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1975.
- Layton, Azza Salama. *International Politics and Civil Rights Policies in the United States, 1941–1960*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- Lenin, V. I. “New Data on the Laws Governing the Development of Capitalism in Agriculture.” In *Collected Works*, vol. 22. Moscow: Foreign Language Publishing House, 1963–70.
- . “On Statistics and Sociology.” In *Collected Works*, vol. 23. Moscow: Foreign Language Publishing House, 1963–70.
- . “Russians and Negroes.” In *Collected Works*, vol. 18. Moscow: Foreign Language Publishing House, 1963–70.
- . “The Report of the Commission on the National and Colonial Questions, July 26, 1920.” In *Lenin on the National and Colonial Questions: Three Articles*, 30–37. Peking: Foreign Languages Press, 1967.
- Levine, Bruce Carlan. “Free Soil, Free Labor, and Freimaenner: German Chicago in the Civil War Era.” In *German Workers in Industrial Chicago, 1850–1910: A Comparative Perspective*, edited by Hartmut Keil and John B. Jentz, 163–82. DeKalb, Ill.: Northern Illinois University Press, 1983.
- Lewis, David Levering. *W. E. B. Du Bois: Biography of a Race, 1863–1919*. New York: Henry Holt, 1993.
- Lewis, Gilbert. “Revolutionary Negro Tradition.” *Negro Worker* (March 15, 1930): 8.
- Lynch, Hollis R. *Black American Radicals and the Liberation of Africa: The Council on African Affairs, 1937–1955*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Center for Research in Africana Studies, 1978.
- Magubane, Bernard M. *The Ties that Bind: African-American Consciousness of Africa*. Trenton, N.J.: Africa World Press, 1987.
- Maxwell, William J. *New Negro, Old Left: African-American Writing and Communism between the Wars*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1999.
- McKay, Claude. *A Long Way from Home*. New York: Lee Furman, 1937.
- . *The Negroes in America*. Translated by Robert J. Winter. Edited by Alan L. McLeod. 1923. Reprint, Port Washington, N.Y.: Kennikat, 1979.
- Miller, Sally M. “The Socialist Party and the Negro, 1901–1920.” *Journal of Negro History* 56 (July 1971): 220–39.
- Moore, Lawrence. “Flawed Fraternity: American Socialist Response and the Negro, 1901–1920.” *Journal of Negro History* 33, no. 1 (1969): 1–14.
- Naison, Mark. *Communists in Harlem during the Depression*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1983.
- . “Marxism and Black Radicalism in America: Notes on a Long (and Continuing) Journey.” *Radical America* 5, no. 3 (1971): 4–10.

- Patterson, William L., ed. *We Charge Genocide: The Historic Petition to the United Nations for Relief from a Crime of the United States Government against the Negro People*. New York: Civil Rights Congress, 1951.
- Perry, Jeffery, ed. *A Hubert Harrison Reader*. Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 2001.
- Plummer, Brenda Gayle. *Rising Wind: Black Americans and U.S. Foreign Affairs, 1935–1960*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1996.
- Preece, Harold. "Folk Music of the South." *New South* 1, no. 2 (March 1938): 13–16.
- Rampersad, Arnold. *The Life of Langston Hughes*. Vols. 1 and 2. New York: Oxford University Press, 1986.
- Robeson, Paul. *Here I Stand*. 1958. Reprint, Boston: Beacon Press, 1988.
- Robinson, Cedric J. "The African Diaspora and the Italo-Ethiopian Crisis." *Race and Class* 27, no. 2 (autumn 1985): 51–65.
- . "Fascism and the Intersection of Capitalism, Racialism, and Historical Consciousness." *Humanities in Society* 3, no. 6 (autumn 1983): 325–49.
- . *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*. 1983. Reprint, Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 2000.
- Samuels, David. "Five Afro-Caribbean Voices in American Culture, 1917–1929: Hubert H. Harrison, Wilfred A. Domingo, Richard B. Moore, Cyril Briggs, and Claude McKay." Ph.D. diss., University of Iowa, 1977.
- Sherwood, Marika. *Claudia Jones: A Life in Exile*. London: Lawrence & Wishart, 1999.
- Singer, Barry. *Black and Blue: The Life and Lyrics of Andy Razaf*. New York and Toronto: Schirmer Books and Maxwell Macmillan Canada, 1992.
- Solomon, Mark. *The Cry Was Unity: Communists and African Americans, 1917–1936*. Jackson, Miss.: University Press of Mississippi, 1998.
- Stuckey, Sterling. *Slave Culture: Nationalist Theory and the Foundations of Black America*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1987.
- Taylor, Theman. "Cyril Briggs and the African Blood Brotherhood: Effects of Communism on Black Nationalism, 1919–1935." Ph.D. diss., University of California, Santa Barbara, 1981.
- The Editors of *Freedomways*. *Paul Robeson: The Great Forerunner*. New York: International Publishers, 1998.
- "Theses on the Negro Question." *Bulletin of the Fourth Congress of the Communist International* no. 27 (December 7, 1922): 8–10.
- Von Eschen, Penny M. *Race against Empire: Black Americans and Anticolonialism, 1937–1957*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 1996.
- Woodard, Komozi. *A Nation within a Nation: Amiri Baraka (LeRoi Jones) and Black Power Politics*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1998.
- Workers (Communist) Party of America. *Fourth National Convention of the Workers (Communist) Party of America*. Chicago: Literature Department, Workers Party, 1925.

- . *Program and Constitution: Workers Party of America*. New York: Literature Department, Workers Party, 1921.
- . *The Second Year of the Workers Party of America: Theses, Programs, Resolutions*. Chicago: Literature Department, Workers Party, 1924.

3. “Roaring from the East”: Third World Dreaming

- Allen, Ernest, Jr. Interview with author. June 8, 1997.
- Allen, Robert. *Black Awakening in Capitalist America*. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday & Co., 1969.
- Baldwin, James. *Nobody Knows My Name: More Notes of a Native Son*. New York: Dial Press, 1961.
- Baraka, Amiri [LeRoi Jones]. “Cuba Libre.” In *Home: Social Essays*. 1966. Reprint, Hopewell, N.J.: The Ecco Press, 1998, 11–62.
- . *The Autobiography of LeRoi Jones/Amiri Baraka*. New York: Freundlich Books, 1984.
- . “Revolutionary Party, Revolutionary Ideology.” Speech delivered at Congress of Afrikan People Midwestern Conference. March 31, 1974.
- Barksdale, Marcellus C. “Robert Williams and the Indigenous Civil Rights Movement in Monroe, North Carolina, 1961.” *Journal of Negro History* 69 (spring 1984): 73–89.
- Berry, Mary Frances and John Blassingame. *Long Memory: The Black Experience in America*. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- Boggs, Grace Lee. *Living for Change*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1998.
- Brock, Lisa and Digna Castaneda Fuertes, eds. *Between Race and Empire: African-Americans and Cubans before the Cuban Revolution*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1998.
- Brown, Elaine. *A Taste of Power: A Black Woman’s Story*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1992.
- Brundage, W. Fitzhugh, ed. *Under Sentence of Death: Lynching in the South*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1997.
- Burran, James A. “Urban Racial Violence in the South During World War II: A Comparative Overview.” In *From the Old South to the New: Essays on the Transitional South*, edited by Walter J. Fraser Jr. and Winfred B. Moore Jr., 167–77. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Publishers, 1981.
- Bush, Rod. *We Are Not What We Seem: Black Nationalism and Class Struggle in the American Century*. New York: New York University Press, 1999.
- Capeci, Dominic J. *The Harlem Riot of 1943*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1977.
- Capeci, Dominic J., Jr. *Race Relations in Wartime Detroit: The Sojourner Truth Housing Controversy of 1942*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1984.
- Communist League. “Dialectics of the Development of the Communist League.” Los Angeles: Communist League, 1972. Mimeographed.

- Cone, James. *Martin and Malcolm and America: A Dream or a Nightmare?* Maryknoll, N.Y.: Orbis Books, 1991.
- Cooper, John C. *The Police and the Ghetto*. Port Washington, N.Y.: Kennikat Press, 1980.
- Cruse, Harold. *Rebellion or Revolution?* New York: Morrow, 1968.
- Davis, Mike. *City of Quartz: Excavating the Future in Los Angeles*. New York and London: Verso, 1990.
- DeCaro, Louis. *On the Side of My People: A Religious Life of Malcolm X*. New York: New York University Press, 1996.
- Fields, A. Belden. *Trotskyism and Maoism: Theory and Practice in France and the United States*. New York: Praeger, 1988.
- Foner, Philip S., ed. *The Black Panthers Speak, The Manifesto of the Party: The First Documentary Record of the Panthers' Program*. Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1970.
- Freeman, Don. "Nationalist Student Conference." *Liberator* 4, no. 7 (July 1964): 18.
- . "The Cleveland Story." *Liberator* 3, no. 6 (June 1963): 7, 18.
- Gambino, Ferruccio. "The Transgression of a Laborer: Malcolm X in the Wilderness of America." *Radical History Review* 55 (winter 1993): 7–31.
- Gibbs, Michelle. Interview with author. April 1997.
- Ginzburg, Ralph, ed. *One Hundred Years of Lynching*. Baltimore: Black Classics Press, 1996.
- Gosse, Van. *Where the Boys Are: Cuba, Cold War America, and the Making of a New Left*. London: Verso, 1993.
- Greenberg, Cheryl. "Or Does It Explode?" *Black Harlem in the Great Depression*. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- Harlem Branch of the Progressive Labor Party. *The Plot against Black America*. New York, PLP, 1965.
- Haynes, Robert V. *A Night of Violence: The Houston Riot of 1917*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1976.
- Heath, G. Louis, ed. *Off the Pigs: The History and Literature of the Black Panther Party*. Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press, 1976.
- Horne, Gerald. *Communist Front? The Civil Rights Congress, 1946–1956*. London and Toronto: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, 1988.
- . *The Fire This Time: The Watts Uprising and the 1960s*. Charlottesville, Va.: University Press of Virginia, 1995.
- James, Joy. *Resisting State Violence: Radicalism, Gender, and Race in U.S. Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1996.
- Jones, Charles, ed. *The Black Panther Party Reconsidered*. Baltimore: Black Classic Press, 1998.
- Kobler, A. L. "Figures (and Perhaps Some Facts) on Police Killing of Civilians in the United States, 1965–1969." *Journal of Social Issues* 31 (1975): 163–91.
- Lemelle, Sidney. Interview with author. May 1997.
- Lenin, V.I. "The Report of the Commission on the National and Colonial Ques-

- tions, July 26, 1920." In *Lenin on the National and Colonial Questions: Three Articles*, 30–37. Peking: Foreign Languages Press, 1967.
- Litwack, Leon F. *Trouble in Mind: Black Southerners in the Age of Jim Crow*. New York: Knopf, 1998.
- Malcolm X, with Alex Haley. *The Autobiography of Malcolm X*. New York: Grove Press, 1964.
- Mao Tse-tung. *Mao Tse-tung on Art and Literature*. Peking: Foreign Languages Press, 1960.
- . *Quotations from Chairman Mao Tse-tung*. Peking: Foreign Languages Press, 1966.
- . *Statement by Comrade Mao Tse-tung, Chairman of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China, in Support of the Afro-American Struggle against Violent Repression*. Peking: Foreign Languages Press, April 16, 1968.
- Marable, Manning. *How Capitalism Underdeveloped Black America*. Boston: South End Press, 1983.
- . *Race, Reform, and Rebellion: The Second Reconstruction in Black America, 1945–1990*. 2d ed. Jackson, Miss.: University Press of Mississippi, 1991.
- Matthews, Tracye. "No One Ever Asks What a Man's Role in the Revolution Is': Gender and Sexual Politics in the Black Panther Party, 1966–1971." Ph.D. diss., University of Michigan, 1998.
- Monteiro, Tony. Interview with author. Philadelphia. October 24, 1998.
- Moore, Audley. Interview with Mark Naison and Ruth Prago. Oral History of the American Left. Tamiment Library, New York University. May 3, 1974.
- Newton, Huey P. *Revolutionary Suicide*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1973.
- O'Brien, Gail Williams. *The Color of Law: Race, Violence, and Justice in the Post-World War II South*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1999.
- O'Reilly, Kenneth. *Racial Matters: The FBI's Secret File on Black America, 1960–1972*. New York: The Free Press, 1989.
- Peery, Nelson. *The Negro National Colonial Question*. Chicago: Workers Press, 1975.
- Plummer, Brenda Gayle. *Rising Wind: Black Americans and U.S. Foreign Affairs, 1935–1960*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1996.
- Prashad, Vijay. *Everybody Was Kung Fu Fighting*. Boston: Beacon Press, 2001.
- . *The Karma of Brown Folk*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2000.
- Radical Education Project. *An Introduction to the Black Panther Party*. Ann Arbor, Mich.: Radical Education Project, 1969.
- Revolutionary Action Movement. *The World Black Revolution*. 1966. Pamphlet.
- Rudwick, Elliot. *Race Riot at East St. Louis*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1964.
- Sackett, R. "Plotting a War on Whitey: Extremist Set for Violence." *Life* 60 (June 10, 1966): 100–100B.

- Salaam, Kalamu ya. "Robert Williams: Crusader for International Solidarity." *The Black Collegian* 8, no. 3 (January/February 1978): 53–60.
- Sales, Williams, Jr. *From Civil Rights to Black Liberation: Malcolm X and the Organization of Afro-American Unity*. Boston: South End Press, 1994.
- Schermerhorn, Tim. Interview with Betsy Esch. May 1998.
- Shapiro, Herbert. *White Violence and Black Response: From Reconstruction to Montgomery*. Amherst, Mass.: University of Massachusetts Press, 1988.
- Shivji, Issa. *Class Struggles in Tanzania*. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1976.
- Simmons, Michael. Interview with author. Philadelphia. October 24, 1998.
- Snellings, Rolland [Askia Muhammad Toure]. "Afro American Youth and the Bandung World." *Liberator* 5, no. 2 (February 1965): 4–7.
- Spellman, A. B. "The Legacy of Malcolm X." *Liberator* 5, no. 6 (June 1965): 13.
- Stanford, Max. "Revolutionary Nationalism and the Afroamerican Student." *Liberator* 5, no. 1 (January 1965): 13–14.
- Stanford, Maxwell C. "Revolutionary Action Movement: A Case Study of an Urban Revolutionary Movement in Western Capitalist Society." M.A. thesis, Atlanta University, 1986.
- The Crusader* 2, no. 21 (December 31, 1960); *The Crusader* 2, no. 6 (August 20, 1960); *The Crusader* 5, no. 4 (May/June 1964); *The Crusader* 8, no. 4 (May 1967); *The Crusader* 9, no. 1 (July 1967); *The Crusader* 9, no. 2 (September/October 1967).
- The League of Revolutionary Struggle. "The Revolutionary Communist League (MLM) and the League of Revolutionary Struggle (M-L) Unite!" *Forward: Journal of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought* 3 (January 1980).
- Tolnay, Stewart E. *A Festival of Violence: An Analysis of Southern Lynchings, 1882–1930*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1995.
- Tullis, Tracy. "A Vietnam at Home: Policing the Ghetto in the Era of Counterinsurgency." Ph.D. diss., New York University, 1998.
- Tyson, Timothy B. *Radio Free Dixie: Robert Williams and the Roots of Black Power*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1999.
- . "Robert Williams, 'Black Power,' and the Roots of the African American Freedom Struggle." *Journal of American History* 85, no. 2 (September 1998): 540–70.
- U.S. National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders. *Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders*. New York: Bantam Books, 1968.
- Umoja, Akinyele. "Eye for an Eye: The Role of Armed Resistance in the Mississippi Freedom Movement, 1955–1980." Ph.D. diss., Emory University, 1996.
- Van Deburg, William L. *New Day in Babylon: The Black Power Movement and American Culture, 1965–1975*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- Von Eschen, Penny M. *Race against Empire: Black Americans and Anticolonialism, 1937–1957*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 1996.
- Ward Churchill and Jim Vander Wall. *Agents of Repression: The FBI's Secret Wars against the Black Panther Party and the American Indian Movement*. Boston: South End Press, 1988.
- Warden, Donald. "The California Revolt." *Liberator* 3, no. 3 (March 1963): 15.

- Watkins, Williams. Interview with author. August 1998.
- Williams, Robert F. *Listen, Brother*. New York: World View Publishers, 1968.
- . *Negroes with Guns*. New York: Marzani and Munsell, 1962.
- . “Robert Williams: Interview.” *Black Scholar* 1, no. 7 (May 1970): 5–14.
- Wood, Joe, ed. *Malcolm X: In Our Own Image*. New York: St. Martin’s Press, 1992.
- Woodard, Komozi. *A Nation within a Nation: Amiri Baraka (LeRoi Jones) and Black Power Politics*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1998.
- Zangrando, Robert L. *The NAACP Crusade against Lynching, 1909–1950*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1980.

4. “A Day of Reckoning”: Dreams of Reparations

- Allen, Robert L. “Past Due: The African American Quest for Reparations.” *Black Scholar* 28, no. 2 (1998): 2–17.
- Anderson, James D. *The Education of Blacks in the South, 1860–1935*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1988.
- “Audley (Queen Mother) Moore, interview by Cheryl Townsend-Gilkes, June 6 and 8, 1978.” In *The Black Women Oral History Project*, vol. 8, edited by Ruth Edmonds Hill. Westport and London: Meckler, 1991.
- Banner-Haley, Charles T. *The Fruits of Integration: Black Middle-Class Ideology and Culture, 1960–1990*. Jackson, Miss.: University Press of Mississippi, 1994.
- Bell, Derek. *Faces at the Bottom of the Well: The Permanence of Racism*. New York: Basic Books, 1992.
- Berlin, Ira, Barbara Fields, Leslie Rowland, and Joseph Reidy. *Slaves No More: Three Essays on Emancipation and the Civil War*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Berry, Mary Frances and John Blassingame. *Long Memory: The Black Experience in America*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- Bitker, Boris. *The Case for Black Reparations*. New York: Random House, 1973.
- Boggs, Grace Lee. *Living for Change: An Autobiography*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1998.
- Bracey, John H., August Meier, and Elliot Rudwick, eds. *Black Nationalism in America*. New York: Bobbs-Merrill, 1970.
- Brown, Oscar, Jr. “Forty Acres and a Mule.” *Mr. Oscar Brown Jr. Goes to Washington*. Verve compact disk SRF-67540, 1964.
- Browne, Robert S. “The Economic Basis for Reparations to Black America.” *Review of Black Political Economy* 2, no. 2 (1972): 67–80.
- Bush, Rod. *We Are Not What We Seem: Black Nationalism and Class Struggle in the American Century*. New York: New York University Press, 1999.
- Carmichael, Stokely and Charles V. Hamilton. *Black Power: The Politics of Liberation in America*. New York: Vintage Books, 1967.

- Carnoy, Martin. *Faded Dreams: The Politics and Economics of Race in America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- Cell, John. *The Highest Stage of White Supremacy*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- Cha-Jua, Sundiata Keita. "Slavery, Racist Violence, American Apartheid: The Case for Reparations." *Sage Public Administration Abstracts* 28, no. 3 (2001): 301-445.
- Child, L. Maria. *The Freedmen's Book*. 1865. Reprint, New York: Arno Press, 1968.
- Clegg, Claude Andrew, III. *An Original Man: The Life and Times of Elijah Muhammad*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1997.
- Cose, Ellis. "The Solidarity of Self-Interest." *Newsweek* (August 27, 2001): 25.
- D'Souza, Dinesh. *The End of Racism: Principles for a Multiracial Society*. New York: The Free Press, 1995.
- . *The Virtue of Prosperity: Finding Values in an Age of Techno-Affluence*. New York: Free Press, 2000.
- Darity, William, Jr. "Forty Acres and a Mule: Placing a Price Tag on Oppression." In *The Wealth of Races: The Present Value of Benefits from Past Injustice*, edited by Richard F. America. New York: Greenwood Press, 1990.
- Du Bois, W. E. B. *Black Reconstruction in America: An Essay toward a History of the Part Which Black Folk Played in the Attempt to Reconstruct Democracy, 1860-1880*. New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1935.
- Essien-Udom, E. U. "The Nationalist Movements of Harlem." In *Harlem USA*, edited by John Henrik Clarke, 62-71. Brooklyn, N.Y.: A&B Books Publishers, 1993.
- Fitzgerald, Michael W. *The Union League Movement in the Deep South: Politics and Agricultural Change during Reconstruction*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1989.
- Foner, Eric. *Nothing but Freedom*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1983.
- . *Reconstruction: America's Unfinished Revolution, 1863-1877*. New York: Harper & Row, 1988.
- Forman, James. *The Making of Black Revolutionaries*. 1972. Reprint, Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1997.
- Franklin, V. P. *Black Self-Determination: A Cultural History of African American Resistance*. Brooklyn, N.Y.: Lawrence Hill, 1992.
- Fullinwider, Robert K. "The Case for Reparations." *Philosophy and Public Policy* 20, nos. 2/3 (summer 2000): 1-5.
- Georgakas, Dan and Marvin Surkin. *Detroit, I Do Mind Dying: A Study in Urban Revolution*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1975.
- Geschwender, James A. *Class, Race, and Worker Insurgency: The League of Revolutionary Black Workers*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- Gill, Gerald. *Meanness Mania: The Changed Mood*. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, 1980.

- Height, Dorothy. "Self-Help—A Black Tradition." *The Nation* (July 24/31, 1989): 24–31.
- Higgs, Robert. *Competition and Coercion: Blacks in the American Economy, 1865–1914*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- Hill, Walter B., Jr. "The Ex-Slave Pension Movement: Some Historical and Genealogical Notes." *Negro History Bulletin* 59, no. 4 (1996).
- Holt, Tom. *Black over White: Negro Political Leadership in Reconstruction South Carolina*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1977.
- Inikori, J. E. *Forced Migration: The Impact of the Export Slave Trade on African Societies*. New York: Africana Publishing Co., 1982.
- . *The Atlantic Slave Trade: Effects on Economies, Societies, and Peoples in Africa, the Americas, and Europe*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1992.
- Jaynes, Gerald. *Branches without Roots: The Genesis of the Black Working Class, 1862–1882*. New York: Oxford University, 1986.
- Jones, Charles, ed. *The Black Panther Party Reconsidered*. Baltimore: Black Classic Press, 1998.
- Jones, Elaine R. "Race and the Supreme Court's 1994–95 Term." In *The Affirmative Action Debate*, edited by George E. Curry, 146–56. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1996.
- Kelley, Robin D. G. "An Independent Black Radical Movement Can Connect with the Rest of the World." *Ahora Now!* 6 (1998): 18–19.
- . "'But a Local Phase of a World Problem': Black History's Global Vision, 1883–1950." *Journal of American History* 86, no. 3 (December 1999): 1045–77.
- . *Yo' Mama's Disfunktional! Fighting the Culture Wars in Urban America*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1997.
- Laremont, Ricardo Rene. "Jewish and Japanese American Reparations: Political Lessons for the Africana Community." *Journal of Asian American Studies* 4, no. 3 (2001): 235–50.
- Leggett, John C. *Class, Race, and Labor: Working-Class Consciousness in Detroit*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1968.
- Lipsitz, George. *The Possessive Investment in Whiteness: How White People Profit from Identity Politics*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1998.
- Litwack, Leon. *Been in the Storm So Long: The Aftermath of Slavery*. New York: Knopf, 1979.
- . *Trouble in Mind: Black Southerners in the Age of Jim Crow*. New York: Knopf, 1998.
- Loury, Glen C. "Performing without a Net." In *The Affirmative Action Debate*, edited by George E. Curry, 49–64. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1996.
- Lumumba, Chokwe, Imari Abubakari Obadele, and Nkechi Taifa. *Reparations Yes!* Baton Rouge, La.: House of Songhay, 1993.
- Magdol, Edward. *A Right to Land: Essays on the Freedman's Community*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press, 1977.

- Magee, Rhonda V. "The Master's Tools, From the Bottom Up: Responses to African-American Reparations Theory in Mainstream and Outsider Remedies Discourse." *Virginia Law Review* 79 (May 1993): 863–916.
- Mandle, Jay. *The Roots of Black Poverty: The Southern Plantation Economy after the Civil War*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1978.
- Marable, Manning. "An Idea Whose Time Has Come." *Newsweek* (August 27, 2001): 22.
- . *How Capitalism Underdeveloped Black America*. Boston: South End Press, 1983.
- . *Race, Reform, and Rebellion: The Second Reconstruction in Black America, 1945–1990*. 2d ed. Jackson, Miss.: University Press of Mississippi, 1991.
- Matsuda, Mari J. "Looking to the Bottom: Critical Legal Studies and Reparations." *Harvard Civil Rights—Civil Liberties Law Review* 22 (spring 1987): 323–99.
- Monteiro, Anthony. "Review Essay: Race, Class and Civilization: On Clarence J. Munford's Race and Reparations." *Black Scholar* 29, no. 1 (spring 1999): 46–59.
- Munford, Clarence J. *Race and Reparations: A Black Perspective for the Twenty-first Century*. Trenton, N.J.: Africa World Press, 1996.
- Muwakkil, Salim. "The Big Payback. Renewing the Call for Reparations." *In These Times* 24, no. 12 (May 15, 2000): 18.
- Nieman, Donald G. *Promises to Keep: African Americans and the Constitutional Order, 1776 to the Present*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- Obadele, Imari. *Foundations of the Black Nation*. Detroit: House of Songhay, 1975.
- Obadele, Johnita Scott. "Reparations: Linking Our Past, Present, and Future." www.ncobra.com.
- Oliver, Melvin and Thomas Shapiro. *Black Wealth/White Wealth: A New Perspective on Racial Inequality*. New York: Routledge, 1995.
- Oubre, Claude. *Forty Acres and a Mule: The Freedman's Bureau and Black Land Ownership*. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana State University Press, 1978.
- "People's Plebiscite (Election) for Reparations Set for 19 May 1999." www.ncobra.com.
- Quandango, Jill. *The Color of Welfare: How Racism Undermined the War on Poverty*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1994.
- Roberts, Dorothy. "The Value of Black Mothers' Work." *Radical America* 26, no. 1 (August 1996): 9–15.
- Robinson, Randall. *The Debt: What America Owes Blacks*. New York: Dutton, 1999.
- Rodney, Walter. *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, 1980.
- Schrag, Peter. "Backing off Bakke: The New Assault on Affirmative Action." *The Nation* 262, no. 16 (April 22, 1996): 11–14.
- Shepard, Paul. "Powerful Group to Sue for Slave Reparations." *Philadelphia Inquirer*, November 5, 2000.

- Smith, Vern E. "Debating the Wages of Slavery." *Newsweek* (August 27, 2001): 20–24.
- Steele, Shelby. "Or a Childish Illusion of Justice?" *Newsweek* (August 27, 2001): 23.
- Steinberg, Stephen. *Turning Back: The Retreat from Racial Justice in American Thought and Policy*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1995.
- Swinton, David. "Racial Inequality and Reparations." In *The Wealth of Races: The Present Value of Benefits from Past Injustice*, edited by Richard F. America. New York: Greenwood Press, 1990.
- Van Deburg, William L. *New Day in Babylon: The Black Power Movement and American Culture, 1965–1975*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- Van Deburg, William L., ed. *Modern Black Nationalism: From Marcus Garvey to Louis Farrakhan*. New York: New York University Press, 1997.
- Verdun, Vincene. "If the Shoe Fits, Wear It: An Analysis of Reparations to African Americans." *Tulane Law Review* 67 (February 1993): 597–668.
- Westley, Robert. "Many Billions Gone: Is It Time to Reconsider the Case for Black Reparations?" *Boston College Law Review* 40 (1998): 429–76.
- Williams, Eric. *Capitalism and Slavery*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 1944.
- Yamamoto, Eric K. "What's Next? Japanese American Redress and African American Reparations." *Amerasia Journal* 25, no. 2 (1999): 1–17.

5. "This Battlefield Called Life": Black Feminist Dreams

- Amott, Teresa L. and Julie A. Matthaei. *Race, Gender, and Work: A Multicultural History of Women in the United States*. Boston: South End Press, 1991.
- Anderson-Bricker, Kristin. "From Beloved Community to Triple Jeopardy: Ideological Change and the Evolution of Feminism among Black and White Women in the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee, 1960–1975." Master's thesis, Syracuse University, 1992.
- Avery, Byllye Y. "Breathing Life into Ourselves: The Evolution of the National Black Women's Health Project." In *Dear Sisters: Dispatches from the Women's Liberation Movement*, edited by Rosalyn Baxandall and Linda Gordon. New York: Basic Books, 2000.
- Baxandall, Rosalyn and Linda Gordon, eds. *Dear Sisters: Dispatches from the Women's Liberation Movement*. New York: Basic Books, 2000.
- Beale, Frances. "Double Jeopardy." In *The Black Woman: An Anthology*, edited by Toni Cade. New York: Signet, 1970. Reprinted in Beverly Guy-Sheftall, ed., *Words of Fire: An Anthology of African-American Feminist Thought*. New York: The New Press, 1995.
- Bobo, Jacqueline, ed. *Black Feminist Cultural Criticism*. Malden, Mass.: Blackwell, 2001.
- Breines, Wini. "Sixties Stories' Silences: White Feminism, Black Feminism." *National Women's Studies Association Journal* 8, no. 3 (fall 1996): 101–121.
- Brown, Elaine. *A Taste of Power: A Black Woman's Story*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1992.

- Cade, Toni. "The Pill: Genocide or Liberation." In *The Black Woman: An Anthology*. New York: Signet, 1970.
- Caraway, Nancy. *Segregated Sisterhood: Racism and the Politics of American Feminism*. Knoxville, Tenn.: University of Tennessee Press, 1991.
- Carby, Hazel. *Cultures of Babylon*. London: Verso, 2000.
- . *Race Men*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2000.
- . *Reconstructing Womanhood: The Emergence of the Afro-American Woman Novelist*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1987.
- Caton, Simone M. "Birth Control and the Black Community in the 1960s: Genocide or Power Politics?" *Journal of Social History* 31 (1998): 545–69.
- Clark Hine, Darlene, ed. *Black Women in America: An Historical Encyclopedia*. Vols. 1 and 2. Brooklyn, N.Y.: Carlson Publishing, Inc., 1993.
- Clarke, Cheryl. "Lesbianism: An Act of Resistance." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- Collier-Thomas, Bettye and V. P. Franklin, eds. *Sisters in the Struggle: African American Women in the Civil Rights–Black Power Movement*. New York: New York University Press, 2001.
- Collins, Patricia Hill. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. 2d ed. New York: Routledge, 1999.
- . *Fighting Words: Black Women and the Search for Justice*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1998.
- Combahee River Collective. "A Black Feminist Statement." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- Crawford, Vicki L. "Race, Class, Gender, and Culture: Black Women's Activism in the Mississippi Civil Rights Movement." *Journal of Mississippi History* 56 (spring 1996): 1–21.
- Darity, William A. and C. B. Turner. "Family Planning, Race Consciousness, and the Fear of Race Genocide." *American Journal of Public Health* 62, no. 1 (1972): 1454–59.
- Davis, Angela Y. *Blues Legacies and Black Feminism: Gertrude "Ma" Rainey, Bessie Smith, and Billie Holiday*. New York: Pantheon, 1998.
- . *Angela Davis: An Autobiography*. New York: Random House, 1974.
- . *Women, Culture, and Politics*. New York: Random House, 1989.
- . *Women, Race, and Class*. New York: Random House, 1981.
- Davis, Beverly. "To Seize the Moment: A Retrospective on the National Black Feminist Organization." *Sage: A Scholarly Journal on Black Women's Studies* 5 (fall 1988): 43–47.
- Dawson, Michael C. *Black Visions: The Roots of Contemporary African-American Political Ideologies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2001.
- De Hart, Jane Sherron. "Second Wave Feminism(s) and the South: The Difference that Differences Make." In *Women of the American South: A Multicultural Reader*, edited by Christie Anne Farnham. New York: New York University Press, 1997.
- Dill, Bonnie Thornton. "Race, Class, and Gender: Prospects for an All-Inclusive Sisterhood." *Feminist Studies* 9 (1983): 131–50.
- DuCille, Ann. *Skin Trade*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1996.

- Duggan, Lisa. "Queering the State." *Social Text* 39 (summer 1994): 1–14.
- Echols, Alice. *Daring to Be Bad: Radical Feminism in America, 1968–1975*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1988.
- Evans, Sara M. *Born for Liberty: A History of Women in America*. New York: Free Press, 1989.
- . *Personal Politics: The Roots of Women's Liberation in the Civil Rights Movement and the New Left*. New York: Knopf, 1979.
- Firestone, Shulamith. *The Dialectic of Sex: The Case for Feminist Revolution*. New York: Bantam, 1970.
- Foeman, Anita K. "Gloria Richardson: Breaking the Mold." *Journal of Black Studies* 26 (1996): 604–15.
- Franklin, V. P. *Living Our Stories, Telling Our Truths: Autobiography and the Making of the African American Intellectual Tradition*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Freeman, Jo. *The Politics of Women's Liberation*. New York: David McKay, 1975.
- Friedan, Betty. *The Feminine Mystique*. 1963. Reprint, New York: Norton, 1997.
- Fuss, Diana. *Essentially Speaking: Feminism, Nature, and Difference*. New York: Routledge, 1989.
- Giddings, Paula. *When and Where I Enter: The Impact of Black Women on Race and Sex in America*. New York: William Morrow, 1984.
- Gordon, Vivian Verdell. *Black Women, Feminism, and Black Liberation: Which Way?* Chicago: Third World Press, 1987.
- Guy-Sheftall, Beverly, ed. *Words of Fire: An Anthology of African-American Feminist Thought*. New York: The New Press, 1995.
- Haden, Patricia, Donna Middleton, and Patricia Robinson. "A Historical and Critical Essay for Black Women." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- Hammonds, Evelyn. "Black (W)holes and the Geometry of Black Female Sexuality (More Gender Trouble: Feminism Meets Queer Theory)." *Differences: A Journal of Feminist Cultural Studies* 6 (1994): 126–46.
- Hansberry, Lorraine. "Simone de Beauvoir and *The Second Sex*: An American Commentary." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- Harley, Sharon. "'Chronicle of a Death Foretold': Gloria Richardson, the Cambridge Movement, and the Radical Black Activist Tradition," in Collier-Thomas and Franklin, *Sisters in the Struggle*.
- Harper, Philip Brian. *Are We Not Men? Masculine Anxiety and the Problem of African-American Identity*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Harris, Duchess. "From the Kennedy Commission to the Combahee River Collective: Black Feminist Organizing, 1960–1980." In Collier-Thomas and Franklin, *Sisters in the Struggle*.
- Heacock, Maureen C. "Sounding a Challenge: African American Women's Poetry and the Black Arts Movement." Ph.D. diss., University of Minnesota, 1995.
- Higginbotham, Evelyn Brooks. "African-American Women's History and the Metalanguage of Race." *Signs* 17 (1992): 251–74.
- Holland, Sharon P. *Raising the Dead: Readings of Death and (Black) Subjectivity*. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2000.

- Holland, Sharon P. "Which Me Will Survive? Audre Lorde and the Development of Black Feminist Ideology." *Critical Matrix* 1 (1988): 2–30.
- hooks, bell. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. Boston: South End Press, 1989.
- . *Ain't I a Woman? Black Women and Feminism*. 2d ed. Boston: South End Press, 1984.
- . *Talking Back: Thinking Feminist, Thinking Black*. Boston: South End Press, 1989.
- Hudson-Weems, Clenora. *Africana Womanism: Reclaiming Ourselves*. Troy, Mich.: Bedford Publishers, 1995.
- Hull, Gloria T., Patricia Bell Scott, and Barbara Smith, eds. *All the Women Are White, All the Blacks Are Men, But Some of Us Are Brave: Black Women's Studies*. Old Westbury, N.Y.: Feminist Press, 1981.
- Hunter, Andrea G. and Sherrill L. Sellers. "Feminist Attitudes among African American Women and Men." *Gender and Society* 12 (1998): 81–100.
- James, Joy. "Radicalizing Feminism." In *The Black Feminist Reader*, edited by Joy James and T. Denean Sharpley-Whiting. Oxford: Blackwell, 2000.
- . *Resisting State Violence: Radicalism, Gender, and Race in U.S. Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1996.
- . *Shadowboxing: Representations of Black Feminist Politics*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999.
- James, Joy, ed. *The Angela Davis Reader*. Malden, Mass. and Oxford: Blackwell, 1998.
- James, Stanlie M. and Abena P. A. Busia, eds. *Theorizing Black Feminisms: The Visionary Pragmatism of Black Women*. New York: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1994.
- Jones, Charles, ed. *The Black Panther Party Reconsidered*. Baltimore: Black Classic Press, 1998.
- Jones, Jacqueline. *Labor of Love, Labor of Sorrow: Black Women, Work, and the Family from Slavery to the Present*. New York: Vintage Books, 1986.
- La Rue, Linda. "The Black Movement and Women's Liberation." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- LeBlanc-Ernest, Angela. "'The Most Qualified Person to Handle the Job': Black Panther Party Women, 1966–1982." In *The Black Panther Party Reconsidered*, edited by Charles Jones, 305–34. Baltimore: Black Classics Press, 1998.
- Lorde, Audre. "Age, Race, Class, and Sex: Women Redefining Difference." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- Lorde, Audre. "Learning from the Sixties." In *The Woman That I Am: The Literature and Culture of Contemporary Women of Color*, edited by D. Soyini Madison, 454–62. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1994.
- Malone-Hawkins, Sally. "Re-constructing Feminism: The Black Woman's Perspective." Ph.D. diss., State University of New York at Binghamton, 1993.
- Matthews, Tracye. "'No One Ever Asks What a Man's Role in the Revolution Is': Gender and Sexual Politics in the Black Panther Party, 1966–1971." Ph.D. diss., University of Michigan, 1998.

- Mirza, Heidi Safia, ed. *Black British Feminism: A Reader*. London and New York: Routledge, 1997.
- Munt, Sally, ed. *New Lesbian Criticism*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1992.
- Murray, Pauli. *Song in a Weary Throat*. New York: Harper & Row, 1987.
- Nnaemeka, Obioma, ed. *Sisterhood, Feminisms, and Power: From Africa to the Diaspora*. Trenton, N.J.: Africa World Press, 1998.
- Omolade, Barbara. "Hearts of Darkness." In *Powers of Desire: The Politics of Sexuality*, edited by Ann Snitow, Christine Stansell, and Sharon Thompson. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1983.
- . *The Rising Song of African American Women*. New York: Routledge, 1994.
- Ransby, Barbara. "Ella Baker and the Black Radical Tradition." Ph.D. diss., University of Michigan, 1996.
- Redstockings. "Manifesto." In Baxandall and Gordon, *Dear Sisters*.
- Redstockings, ed. *Feminist Revolution*. New York: Random House, 1975.
- Roberts, Dorothy. *Killing the Black Body: Race, Reproduction, and the Meaning of Liberty*. New York: Random House, 1997.
- Robinson, Patricia and Black Sisters. "Poor Black Women." In Baxandall and Gordon, *Dear Sisters*.
- Robnett, Belinda K. *How Long? How Long? African-American Women in the Struggle for Civil Rights*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1997.
- Rodrique, Jessie M. "The Black Community and the Birth-Control Movement." In *Unequal Sisters: A Multicultural Reader in U.S. Women's History*, edited by Ellen Carol Du Bois and Vicki L. Ruiz. New York: Routledge, 1990.
- Rosen, Ruth. *The World Split Open: How the Modern Women's Movement Changed America*. New York: Viking, 2000.
- SDS Women. "To the Women of the Left." In Baxandall and Gordon. *Dear Sisters*.
- Shakur, Assata. *Assata: An Autobiography*. Chicago: Lawrence Hill, 1987.
- Slook, Christine Lisa. "A Black Feminist Revision of African American Women's Reproductive History." Master's thesis, University of Iowa, 1996.
- Smith, Barbara. "Racism and Women's Studies." In *All the Women Are White, All the Blacks Are Men, But Some of Us Are Brave*, edited by Gloria T. Hull, Patrica Bell Scott, and Barbara Smith. New York: The Feminist Press, 1981.
- . *The Truth that Never Hurts: Writings on Race, Gender, and Freedom*. New York: Routledge, 1999.
- Smith, Barbara, ed. *Home Girls: A Black Feminist Anthology*. New York: Kitchen Table—Women of Color Press, 1983.
- Springer, Kimberly. "'Our Politics Was Black Women': Black Feminist Organizations, 1968–1980." Ph.D. diss., Emory University, 1999.
- . *Still Lifting, Still Climbing: Contemporary Black Women's Activism*. New York: New York University Press, 1999.
- Sudbury, Julia. "Other Kinds of Dreams": *Black Women's Organisations and the Politics of Transformation*. London and New York: Routledge, 1998.

- The Damned (possibly Patricia Haden, Donna Middleton, and Patricia Robinson, et. al.). *Lessons from the Damned: Class Struggle in the Black Community*. Ojai, Calif.: Times Change Press, 1973.
- Third World Women's Alliance. "Statement." In Baxandall and Gordon, *Dear Sisters*.
- Treadwell, Mary. "An African American Woman Speaks out for Abortion Rights." In Baxandall and Gordon, *Dear Sisters*.
- Wallace, Michele. *Black Macho and the Myth of the Superwoman*. 1978. New edition. London: Verso, 1990.
- Warner, Michael, ed. *Fear of a Queer Planet: Queer Politics and Social Theory*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1993.
- Weathers, Mary Ann. "An Argument for Black Women's Liberation as a Revolutionary Force." In Guy-Sheftall, *Words of Fire*.
- Weber, Shirley N. "Black Power in the 1960s: A Study of Its Impact on Women's Liberation." *Journal of Black Studies* 11 (1981): 483–98.
- White, Deborah Gray. *Too Heavy a Load: Black Women in Defense of Themselves, 1894–1994*. New York: W. W. Norton, 1998.
- White, E. Frances. *Dark Continent of Our Bodies: Black Feminism and the Politics of Respectability*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2001.
- . "Listening to the Voices of Black Feminism." *Radical America* 18 (1984): 7–25.
- Wilkerson, Margaret B. "Lorraine Vivian Hansberry (1930–1965)." In *Black Women in America: An Historical Encyclopedia*, edited by Darlene Clark Hine, 524–29. Brooklyn, N.Y.: Carlson Publishers, 1993.
- Williams, Maxine. *Black Women's Liberation*. New York: Pathfinder Press, 1970.
- Winslow, Barbara. *Women's Liberation: Black Women, Working Women, Revolutionary Feminism*. Highland Park, Mich.: Sun Distribution International, n.d. (circa 1970s).
- "Women Unite! Free the Panthers!" In Baxandall and Gordon, *Dear Sisters*.
- Wright, Doris. "Angry Notes from a Black Feminist." In Baxandall and Gordon, *Dear Sisters*.

6. Keepin' It (Sur)real: Dreams of the Marvelous

- Arnold, A. James. *Modernism and Negritude: The Poetry and Poetics of Aimé Césaire*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1981.
- Benayoun, Robert. *Le Rire des Surréalistes*. Paris: La Bougie du Sapeur, 1988.
- Camacho, Jorge. "Monk Atmosphere." *Le Cerceau* 5 (Summer 1995): 6.
- Carby, Hazel. *Cultures of Babylon*. London: Verso, 2000.
- Césaire, Aimé. *Discourse on Colonialism*. Translated by Joan Pinkham. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1972.
- . Introduction to *Esclavage et colonisation*, by Victor Schoelcher. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1948.
- . *Letter to Maurice Thorez*. Paris: Présence Africaine, 1957, 6, 7, 14–15.

- Chicago Surrealist Group. "Surrealism and Blues." *Living Blues*, no. 25 (January/February 1976), 19.
- Conde, Maryse. "*Cahier d'un Retour au Pays Natal*": Césaire, *Analyse Critique*. Paris: Hatier, 1978.
- Cortez, Jayne. *Coagulations: New and Selected Poems*. New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 1984.
- . *Somewhere in Advance of Nowhere*. New York: High Risk Books, 1996.
- . *Taking the Blues Back Home*. New York: Harmolodic/Verve CD 314 531 918-2, 1996.
- Cunard, Nancy, ed. *Negro: An Anthology*. 1934. Reprint, New York: Continuum, 1996.
- Davis, Angela Y. *Blues Legacies and Black Feminism: Gertrude "Ma" Rainey, Bessie Smith, and Billie Holiday*. New York: Pantheon, 1998.
- Diawara, Manthia. *In Search of Africa*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1998.
- do Cruzeiro-Seixas, Artur. "My Escape to Africa." *Race Traitor* 9 (summer 1998): 95.
- Edwards, Brent Hayes. "Black Globality: The International Shape of Black Intellectual Culture." Ph.D. diss., Columbia University, 1997.
- . "Ethnics of Surrealism." *Transition* 78 (1999): 132–34.
- Fanon, Frantz. *Black Skin, White Masks*. Translated by Charles Lam Markmann. New York: Grove Press, 1967.
- . *The Wretched of the Earth*. New York: Grove Press, 1967.
- Fouchet, Max-Pol. *Wifredo Lam*. 2d ed. Barcelona: Ediciones Polígrafa, 1989.
- Frutkin, Susan. *Aimé Césaire: Black between Worlds*. Miami: Center for Advanced International Studies, 1973.
- Garon, Paul. *Blues and the Poetic Spirit*. 1975. Reprint, San Francisco: City Lights, 1996.
- "Jazz et Surrealisme: Une Possible Alliance." *Dies and Das (This and That): A Magazine of Contemporary Surrealist Interest* 1 (1984): 1–3.
- Joans, Ted. *A Black Manifesto in Jazz Poetry and Prose*. London: Calder and Boyars, 1971.
- . *All of Ted Joans and No More; Poems and Collages*. New York: Excelsior-Press Publishers, 1961.
- . "Black Flower." *L'Archibras* 3 (March 1968): 10–11.
- . *Black Pow-Wow: Jazz Poems*. 1st ed. New York: Hill and Wang, 1969.
- . *Double Trouble: Poems*. Paris: Revue Noire, Editions Bleu Outremer, 1992.
- . Interview with author. Dec. 15, 1995.
- . "Je Me Vois (I See Myself)." *Contemporary Authors Autobiography Series*, vol. 25. Detroit: Gale Research, 1996.
- . "Ted Joans Parle. . . ." *La Breche: Action Surrealiste* (October 5, 1963): 66.
- . *Teducation: Selected Poems 1949–1999*. Minneapolis, Minn.: Coffee House Press, 1999.
- Kesteloot, Lilyan and B. Kotchy. *Aimé Césaire, L'Homme et L'Oeuvre*. Paris: Présence Africaine, 1973.

- LeGrand, Gerard. *Puissance du Jazz*. Paris: Arcanes, 1953.
- Leiner, Jacqueline. "Entretien avec A. C." In *Tropiques*, vol. 1, edited by Aimé Césaire. Paris: Editions Jean-Michel Place, 1978. Facsimile reproduction.
- "Lettre du Lieutenant de Vaisseau Bayle, chef du service d'information au directeur de la revue *Tropiques*, Fort-de-France, May 10, 1943" and "Reponse de *Tropiques* à M. le Lieutenant de Vaisseau Bayle, Fort-de-France, May 12, 1943," signed Aimé Césaire, Suzanne Césaire, Georges Gratiant, Aristide Maugée, René Ménil, and Lucie Thesée. *Tropiques*, vol. 1, ed. by Aimé Césaire. Paris: Editions Jean-Michel Place, 1978. Documents-Annexes, xxxvi–xxxviii. Facsimile reproduction.
- Miller, Eugene E. *Voice of a Native Son: The Poetics of Richard Wright*. Jackson, Miss.: University Press of Mississippi, 1990.
- Moore-Gilbert, Bart. *Postcolonial Theory: Contexts, Practices, Politics*. London: Verso, 1997.
- Nadeau, Maurice. *The History of Surrealism*. Translated by Richard Howard. 1944. Reprint, Cambridge: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1989.
- Naum, Gellu. *L'Autre Cote: Poemes*. Translated from Rumanian to French by Annie Benteoiu and Andree Fleury. Bucharest: Cartea Romaneasca, 1991.
- Ngal, M. a. M. *Aimé Césaire: Un Homme a la Recherché d'une Patrie*. Dakar: Nouvelles Éditions Africaines, 1983.
- Nougé, Paul. *Music Is Dangerous*. Danville, Calif.: Soundings Magazine, 1973.
- Pallister, Jane L. *Aimé Césaire*. New York: Twayne Publishers, 1991.
- Richardson, Michael, ed. *Refusal of the Shadow: Surrealism and the Caribbean*. Translated by Michael Richardson and Krzysztof Fijalkowski. London: Verso, 1996.
- Robinson, Cedric J. *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press, 2000.
- Rosemont, Franklin. "Black Music and the Surrealist Revolution." *Arsenal/Surrealist Subversion* 3. Chicago: Black Swan Press, 1976, 17–27.
- . "Suzanne Césaire: In the Light of Surrealism." Unpublished paper in author's possession.
- . *The Forecast Is Hot! Tracts and Other Collective Declarations in the United States, 1966–1976*. Chicago: Black Swan Press, 1997.
- . "The New Argonautica." In *City Lights Anthology*, edited by Lawrence Ferlinghetti. San Francisco: Black Swan Press, 1974.
- Rosemont, Franklin, ed. *André Breton: What Is Surrealism? Selected Writings*. New York: Pathfinder, 1978, 21–22.
- Rosemont, Penelope, ed. *Surrealist Women: An International Anthology*. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1998.
- Sawin, Martica. *Surrealism in Exile and the Beginning of the New York School*. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1997.
- Schoelcher, Victor. *Esclavage et colonisation*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1948.
- Senghor, L. S. "The Lessons of Leo Frobenius." In *Leo Frobenius: An Anthology*, edited by E. Haberland. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag, 1973.

- Shapiro, Norman, ed. *Negritude: Black Poetry from Africa and the Caribbean*. New York: October House, 1970, 224.
- Spady, James. "Black Music: Surrealism." In *Popular Culture in America*, edited by Paul Buhle. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1987.
- Stovall, Tyler. *Paris Noir: African Americans in the City of Light*. Boston and New York: Houghton Mifflin, 1996.
- Surrealist Group of France. "Murderous Humanitarianism." Reprinted in *Race Traitor—Special Issue—Surrealism: Revolution against Whiteness* 9 (summer 1998): 67–69.
- Sylla, Cheikh Tidiane. "Surrealism and Black African Art." *Arsenal: Surrealist Subversion* 4. Chicago: Black Swan Press, 1989, 128–29.
- Tarnaud, Claude. "Brin de Conduite." In *Jacques Lacombes: 30 Ans d'Activité*. Paris: Musée d'Ixelles, 1983.
- . *La Forme Reflechie: Carnet de Voyage et Commentaires*. Paris: Le Soleil Noir, 1954.
- Tashjian, Dickran. *A Boatload of Madmen: Surrealism and the American Avant-Garde, 1920–1950*. New York: Thames and Hudson, 1995.
- Wright, Richard. *Native Son, and How Bigger Was Born*. New York: Harper-Perennial, 1993.
- . *Twelve Million Black Voices*. 1941. Reprint, New York: Thunder's Mouth Press, 1988.
- Young, Robert. *White Mythologies: Writing History and the West*. London: Routledge, 1990.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

If I thanked everyone who deserved to be thanked, the additional pages would double the size (and the price) of this book. I only hope that those I have not mentioned will understand and accept my gratitude in some other form.

First and foremost, I must thank the good people at Dartmouth College for inviting me to deliver the Martin Luther King Jr. Lecture in January 2000, notably Professors Judith Byfield and Deborah King; Ozzie Harris, director of Dartmouth's Office of Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action; and a brilliant recent graduate named Shauna Brown. The theme that year was "Legacies of Activism/Legacies of Hate," and I decided to concentrate on the former. My lecture, which I titled "Politics and Knowledge: On the Poetry of Social Movements," planted the seeds for this book. The organizing committee for the event and the audience it attracted pushed me to think well beyond the limits of an hour-long speech. The other invited speakers raised the stakes; poet Joy Harjo, veteran radical Bill Epton (who recently joined the ancestors), and New Hampshire state representative Jackie Weatherpoon all brought powerful, compelling ideas that profoundly shaped my own thinking. I'm especially grateful to Ozzie Harris and his staff, particularly Connie Bellavance, for facilitating my visit and making my family and me feel welcome.

Thanks to the generosity of Harle Montgomery and the Montgomery Endowment, I returned to Dartmouth that summer and spent two fantastic months teaching an incredible group of sophomores and living in the luxurious Montgomery House on the edge of campus. It was there that *Freedom Dreams* began to take shape as a book. By the time I left Dartmouth half of the manuscript was complete. My family and I are so grateful to Barbara Gerstner, director of the endowment, and her assistant, Lou Anne Cain, for making our stay so wonderful and productive. Several faculty members, staff, and administrators went well beyond the call of duty to facilitate our visit: Besides those already mentioned, I express my heartfelt thanks to Alex Bontemps, Donna and Bruce Nelson, Mary Kelley, Annelise Orleck, Leo Spitzer and Marianne Hirsch, and Dean Jamshed J. Bharucha, for their warmth, hospitality, and stimulating conversation.

Cedric Robinson, my mentor and author of the magnificent book *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*, was the other source of inspiration for this book. Eighteen years ago he propelled me on an intellectual and political journey that would lead to an examination of the thoughts and dreams of black radicals. The movement itself proved to be a third source, particularly its recent resurgence in the form of the Black Radical Congress and various allied organizations. I am indebted to the entire movement for its collective knowledge and wisdom, though I must personally thank the following activist/intellectuals whose ideas have directly influenced this book: Amiri Baraka, Amina Baraka, Barbara Ransby, Lisa Brock, Tracye Matthews, Manning Marable, Leith Mullings, Abdul Alkalimat, Bill Fletcher, Fran Beale, Jean Carey Bond and Max Bond, Sam Anderson, Joel Washington, Cathy Cohen, Sundiata Cha-Jua, Clarence Lang, Reverend Osagyefo Uhuru Sekou, Fanon Che Wilkins and Assata Zerai, Horace Campbell, Gerald Horne, Komozi Woodard, Clarence Lusane, Eric Mann, Liann Hurst Mann and the staff of the Labor/Community Strategy Center, Ernest Allen, Harold Cruse, Vicki Garvin, Michael Goldfield, Sid and Salima Lemelle, Josh Lyons, David Roediger, Tim

Schermerhorn, Akinyele Umoja, Alan Wald, Billy Watkins, and Bob Wing.

George Lipsitz's imprint is all over *Freedom Dreams*, for he is a master at uncovering the desires and hopes of an aggrieved people. Tricia Rose always asks the hard questions, and in doing so makes us all better scholars. Farah Jasmine Griffin, Tera Hunter, and Saidiya Hartman, in their scholarship and by example, reminded me of the power of human dignity and self-possession. Ted Joans and Laura Corsiglia reminded me of the force of love. Franklin and Penelope Rosemont reminded me of the Marvelous. And Jayne Cortez and Mel Edwards reminded me that the Marvelous lies in our capacity to struggle. Indeed, without artists around me, there would be no *Freedom Dreams*. I'm especially indebted to Tracie Morris, Keorapetse Kgotsitsile, Sonia Sanchez, Sekou Sundiata, Geoffrey Jacques, Arno Boehler, Susanne Granzer, and my sister Meilan Carter for reminding me of the power unleashed by the imagination. Stanley Crouch, an extraordinary teacher, made me look harder at the mess we're in, yet like Robert O'Meally and Jacqui Malone, reminded me that we can (must!) swing our way out of our constrictions. The great pianist, composer, and scholar Randy Weston showed me the freedom in African culture; the love and encouragement he and his daughter Pam have given me over the last few years have left a deep mark on this book and on works to come. Henry Giroux not only infused my work with a sense of hope but invited me to Penn State to present the essential ideas from this book. He and Susan Giroux took me into their home, fed me, engaged me, and ultimately made this a better book. Harvey Kaye and his family did much the same thing in Green Bay, Wisconsin. Harvey and his students engaged my ideas with astounding energy and enthusiasm. Likewise, Marcus Rediker was kind enough to invite me to give the E. P. Thompson Lecture at the University of Pittsburgh, where I shared the basic thesis of *Freedom Dreams*. My conversations and arguments afterward sharpened my analysis. I'm also especially grateful to the late Michael Jimenez, Forrest Hylton, George Reid Andrews, Wendy Goldman, and other

members of the Working-Class History Seminar. Peter Linebaugh, co-author with Marcus Rediker of *The Many-Headed Hydra*, remains a consistent source of brilliance and inspiration. That book, in many ways, was a model for *Freedom Dreams*.

Deb Chasman, my editor at Beacon Press, kept telling me how much she loved this project from its inception. Her encouragement, along with that of Tisha Hooks (my “alter” editor) rescued this manuscript from the trash heap more than once. And Joy Sobeck made my prose immeasurably more readable. Delverlon Hall provided administrative assistance, friendship, advice, and unconditional love. She is a beautiful spirit with an equally beautiful son, Devin, who will one day save us all. My graduate students contributed to this project in myriad ways, though none more than Betsy Esch. She worked as my research assistant and co-authored an article with me on Maoism and black liberation, sections of which appear in chapter 3. My friend Judith Macfarlane provided brilliant translations of key French documents gratis; her unyielding support over the years has been implacable.

Several friends and colleagues read parts of the manuscript or just provided insights that proved crucial to this book. Given space limitations, all I can do is list their names: Angela Davis, Gina Dent, Vincent Harding, Eddie Glaude, Genna Rae McNeil, Wini Breines, Paul Buhle, MariJo Buhle, Rod and Melanie Bush, Hazel Carby, Michael Denning, Janaki Bakhle, Nick Dirks, Geoff Eley, Regina Morantz, Nell Irvin Painter, George Sanchez, Kevin Gaines, Penny von Eschen, Kyra Gaunt, Gerald Gill, Guy Ramsey, Herman Gray, Michael Honey, Wahneema Lubiano, Tiffany R. L. Patterson, Cornel West, Shane White, Cynthia Young, Anthony Foy, Peniel Joseph, Beth Coleman, Howard Goldkrand, William Loren Katz, Andrew Ross, Nikhil Singh, Veronique Helenon, Kim Butler, Sherrie Russell-Brown, Renee Green, James Spady, Marilyn Young, the staff at the Tamiment Library at New York University, especially Andrew Lee, Jane Latour, and the late Debra Bernhardt.

And then there are those folks who defy category; close friends who may or may not have read early drafts or talked with me

about this book, but whose love and support has fueled a radical vision of community and sustained my family and me during the last few years. They include Michael Eric Dyson and Marcia Dyson, Denise Greene, Emir Lewis, Ayodele Greene-Lewis, Elenni Knight Davis, Michaela Angela Davis, Graham Haynes, Ezra Knight, Lem Martinez-Carroll and Judith Killen, Elsa Barkley Brown, Earl Lewis, Susan Whitlock, Jayne London, Julius Scott, Arthur Jafa, Greg Tate, Jill Nelson, Stanley Nelson, Rene Moreno, Emily Colas, Meg Williams, Kamili Anderson, Dalila Anderson, and Glenda Johnson. Maxine Gordon, who holds the unusual position of being friend, family, student, teacher, and spiritual advisor, deserves a special thanks for keeping my work and life directed. And once again, I must thank my teachers who got me here: Thelma Reyna, Jack Stuart, Leo Rifkin (rest in peace), and Jane Andrias, her husband, Richard, and their two amazing daughters, Eve and Kate.

Much gratitude to the Thelonious Monk family (Mrs. Nellie Monk, T. S., Gail, Peter Grain, Marcellus Green, everyone) for their unflinching support of my effort to write the book about the great pianist and composer, and for their patience while I take this slight detour to meditate on freedom, an idea Mr. Monk spent a lot of time thinking about. I promise, Thelonious is next!

I send infinite love to my family: my grandmothers, Carmen Chambers and Aileen Kelley; my siblings, Makani Themba-Nixon and her husband Ron Nixon, Meilan Carter, Chris Kelley, Idris Morehouse, Benjamin Kelley, Shannon Patrick Kelley, Craig Berrysmith, and all their children; my mother-in-law Annette Rohan and all of the aunts—Evelyn, Nanette (rest in peace), Dorothe, Dolores, Marie, Betsy, and Sheila; my wife's siblings Claudine, Claudius Jr., Irie; my father Donald Kelley and my late grandfather the Reverend Rafe David Kelley (rest in peace); all my cousins, but especially Kay Rodriguez who had a lot to do with this book. And, of course, I'm deeply indebted to my mother, Ananda Sattwa, who taught me everything I know about freedom and dreaming. Her importance should be evident in "When History Sleeps."

I owe Diedra and Elleza for constantly schooling me in the ways of the wise, for listening to the rantings of a scholar gone mad, and for not committing me when I began to babble on about dreams and poetic knowledge and our responsibility to make a new world. Every day they show me what love is and what a beautiful life looks and feels like. Diedra made her mark on *Freedom Dreams* in another way. She graciously allowed me to use her stunning painting *Sassa* for the cover. “Sassa,” which is Ashanti for “psychic energy” or “soul,” captures the book’s essential message: that our spirit and imagination, those intangible resources within ourselves, constitute the most powerful creative force at our disposal. Every painting Diedra makes is a testament to what is possible, evidence of the energies of love.

Finally, I dedicated this book to three people: my sister Makani Themba-Nixon, Lisa Y. Sullivan, and Joe Wood Jr. Makani has been my role model all my life; she is a committed activist who continues to dream; she somehow retains her sense of grounding without becoming cynical. My sister worked closely with Lisa Sullivan, who shared her activism as well as her willingness to dream out loud. Lisa’s entire life was driven by a dream of freedom, one that could be realized only by the struggles of ordinary people. She left us way too early, as did my friend Joe Wood Jr. Although Joe tended toward skepticism, always quick to expose and confront the problems and limitations of humanity, he taught me that change begins with how we feel, how we think, how we reconstruct our social and individual relationships. So he, too, was a dreamer of sorts. By dedicating this book to Lisa and Joe’s legacies and to my sister, who continues to fight, I hope I might inspire a few more dreamers to “do” and a few more skeptics to dream.

INDEX

- Abd-el-Krim, 159
abolitionism, 26
abortion, 146
Abu-Jamal, Mumia, 8
Abyssinia, 20
affirmative action, 129, 131
Africa
 anticolonial movements in, 3, 14,
 58, 63–64, 104–105, 173
 China's foreign policy towards, 67,
 105
 reparations for, 122, 129
 See also African culture; emigra-
 tionist movement; Third World
 liberation movements
African-American Party of National
 Liberation, 78, 91, 119
African Blood Brotherhood (ABB),
 45–46, 54
African culture
 emigrationist defense of tradi-
 tional, 22–23
 “Negritude” celebration of, 166,
 169, 175–177, 179
 RAM's emphasis on, 88–90
 Robeson's focus on, 52–53
 and surrealism, 171–172, 184–187
African Fundamentalism, 24
African Liberation Day, 104, 105
African Liberation Support Commit-
 tee (ALSC), 104–105
African Methodist Episcopal (AME)
 Church, 21
African Nationalist (Alajo) Indepen-
 dence-Partition Party of North
 America, 118
African Origins of Civilization, The
 (Diop), 15
African Revolution, 174
Africa Reparations Movement
 (ARM), 129
Afrika Bambaataa, 32
Afro-American Association, 74–75
Afro-American Institute, 74
Afro-American Student Conference
 on Black Nationalism, 86
Afro-Asian People's Solidarity Organi-
 zation, 66–67
Afro-Caribbean Educational Project
 Women's Centre, 151
Afrocentrists, 15
Afropinion, 74

- Afrotopia* (Moses), 15
 Akina Mama wa Afrika, 151
 Alaska Claims Settlement, 113
 Alexander, Will, 181
 Alkalimat, Abdul, 105
 All-African People's Revolutionary Party (AAPRP), 104, 109
 Allen, Doug, 76
 Allen, Ernest, 75, 77
 Allen, Robert L., 143
 American Colonization Society (ACS), 18, 21
 American Communist Party (CPUSA), 47, 77, 100. *See also* Communist movement
American Hunger (Wright), 182
 American Negro Labor Congress (ANLC), 49
 American Society of African Culture, 89
 Anderson, Jourdon, 110–112
André Breton — What Is Surrealism? Selected Writings (Rosemont), 158–159
 Angelou, Maya, 65
 Angolan civil war, 105
 anticolonialism. *See* Third World liberation movements
 “Anti-Depression Program of the Republic of New Africa,” 124, 126–127
 Aragon, Louis, 4, 161, 182
 armed activism, 61
 in civil rights movement, 69–70, 108
 Panthers' focus on, 95–96
 RAM's promotion of, 76, 78–81, 107–108
 Robert Williams' advocacy of, 70, 78–79
 Arnold, A. James, 167
 Arrested Development, 32, 33–34
 Ashenheim, Lewis, 24
 Ashwood, Amy, 24
 August Twenty-ninth Movement, 106
Autobiography of Malcolm X, 85
 Bair, Barbara, 25, 26
 Baker, General, 75
Bakke decision, 106
 Bandung, Indonesia, meeting of non-aligned nations in, 63, 66, 173
 Bandung humanism, 81–82
 Baraka, Amiri, 7, 12, 64, 102–107
 Baraldini, Sylvia, 140
 Baron, Jacques, 161
 Beal, Frances, 144, 145–146
 Bebel, August, 41
 Benjamin, Playthell, 76
 Berry, Abner, 77
 birth control movement, 145–146
 Bishop, Maurice, 3
 Bitker, Boris, 123
Black America, 76, 80, 81
 Black Arts Movement, 107
Black Awakening in Capitalist America (Allen), 143
 “black belt” nationalism, 49–50, 100, 101–102, 106, 124
 Black/Brown Women's “Liberation Newsletter,” 151
 black culture
 communist movement's promotion of, 50–51
 Robeson's focus on, 52–53, 55–56
 surrealist view of, 182, 184–185
 Black Economic Development Conference (BEDC), 120–123, 125
 “Black Feminist Statement, A” (Combahee River Collective), 148–150
 Black Liberation Army, 108
 Black Liberation Party, 91
 “Black Manifesto,” 120–123
Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition (Robinson), 192
 “Black Orpheus” (Sartre), 174
 Black Panther Party (BPP), 69, 108
 and black feminism, 96–98, 143
 focus on guerilla warfare, 95–96
 formation of, 85, 93
 internationalism of, 93, 98–99

- Marxist ideology of, 93–96
 reparations demands of, 120
 and right to self-determination, 95,
 98–99
 Black Radical Congress, 8, 114
Black Reconstruction in America (Du
 Bois), 15, 83
Black Skin, White Masks (Fanon), 69,
 174, 176
 Black Star Line, 29, 68
 Black Star Publications, 123
 Black Vanguard Party, 98
 Blackwatch, 33
Black Woman, The (Cade), 143–144
 Black Women Enraged, 143
 Black Women for Wages for House-
 work, 150
 Black Women Organizing for Action,
 143
 Black Women's Liberation Commit-
 tee of SNCC, 143, 144
 Black Womentalk, 151
 Black Workers Congress (BWC), 104,
 109, 123
 Black Zionism, 24
 "Blueprint for Negro Writing"
 (Wright), 50
 blues
 and black feminism, 154
 and sexual freedom, 164
 and surrealism, 163–165, 182
Blues and the Poetic Spirit (Garon),
 163
Blues Legacies and Black Feminism
 (Davis), 154
 Blyden, Edward Wilmot, 22–23, 26
 Boas, Franz, 9
 Bogan, Lucille, 164
 Boggs, Grace Lee, 7, 78, 84, 133–134,
 147
 Boggs, James, 78, 84, 147
 Brauner, Victor, 161, 162, 169
 Breton, André, 4, 160, 161–162, 165,
 169, 170, 171, 190
 Briggs, Cyril, 45, 46, 50
 Brother Crook, 85
 Brown, Elaine, 68, 97
 Brown, Frank London, 181
 Brown, H. Rap, 102
Brown v. Board of Education, 60–61
 Bryant, Nate, 74
 Buck, Marilyn, 140
 Bunche, Ralph, 56
 Burke, Kenneth, 181

 Cabral, Amilcar, 104
 Cáceres, Jorge, 169
 Cade, Toni, 143–144
 Cahun, Claude, 164
 California Communist League, 100
*Call of Providence to the Descendants
 of Africa in America, The* (Blyden),
 22
 Cambridge Non-Violent Action
 Movement, 70, 142
 Campbell, Charlie, 165
 Campbell, Grace, 45
Capitalism and Slavery (Williams), 15
 "Captain Sky," 31
 Carby, Hazel, 164
 Carmichael, Stokely, 61, 102, 104
 Carrington, Leonora, 164
 Carter, Bunchy, 85
 Cary, Mary Ann Shadd, 18
Case for Black Reparations, The
 (Bitker), 123
 Castro, Fidel, 63, 71
 Césaire, Aimé, 5, 56
 Discourse on Colonialism, 172–181
 involvement with communism,
 173, 177–179
 on poetic knowledge, 9–10
 surrealism of, 166–170, 185, 187
 Césaire, Suzanne, 5, 170–171
 Challenge, 73
 Chang, Carmen, 106
 Chicago Surrealist Group, 5, 158, 161
 China, 173
 Cultural Revolution in, 89, 91–92

- foreign policy toward Africa, 67, 105
- impact on black radicals, 63–64, 65, 68, 71, 82, 94
- Mao's revolutionary ethics, 87
- Panthers' identification with, 94, 96, 98–99
- protest of King's assassination, 92
- support of black liberation movements, 66–69
- See also* Mao Tse-tung
- Chinese Americans, support of black nation thesis, 106
- Chisholm, Shirley, 139
- Christian Church, demand for reparations from, 121, 122
- citizenship claims
- and *Dred Scott* decision, 40
 - and emigration sentiment, 17–19
- Civil Liberties Act (1988), 113
- Civil Rights Act (1964), 61
- Civil Rights Congress, 58–59, 75
- civil rights movement, 59, 60–61
- armed activism in, 69–70, 108
 - casualties of, 79
 - emergence of Black Power from, 61–63
- Clarke, Cheryl, 155
- Claudell, Paul, 159
- Clay, Henry, 18
- Cleaver, Eldridge, 94–95
- Cleaver, Kathleen, 97
- Clinton, George, 31, 32
- Cockrel, Ken, 120
- COINTELPRO (Counterintelligence Program), 91, 93, 107
- Collins, Addie Mae, 79
- colonialism, 39–40
- Césaire's discourse on, 172–181
 - current state of, 180–181
 - and fascism, 175
 - racist ideology of, 56–57
 - See also* Third World liberation movements
- Colonizer and the Colonized, The* (Memmi), 174
- Color and Democracy* (Du Bois), 174
- Colored Socialist Club, 43
- Combahee River Collective, 148–150, 156
- Comintern, 45. *See also* Communist movement
- Committee for a Unified Newark (CFUN), 102
- Committee to End Sterilization Abuse, 146, 148
- Communist Labor Party (CLP), 100, 103
- Communist League, 100, 103
- Communist movement
- American party's opposition to black nationalism, 46, 48–49, 50–51
 - anticommunist attack on, 57–58
 - birth of, 44
 - and black “self determination,” 49–50, 53, 54
 - Césaire's involvement with, 173, 177–179
 - Moscow's support of black freedom, 44–49
 - New Negro radicals in, 45–48
 - view of black culture, 50–51
 - See also* Marxism
- Communist Workers' Party (CWP), 37
- Community Alert Patrol, 85
- Confiscation Act (1861), 115
- Conga-Brazzaville strikes, 3
- Congress of African Peoples (CAP), 103, 105–106
- Congress of Industrial Organizations (CIO), 65
- Congress on Racial Equality (CORE), 61, 72, 74
- Connell, W. J., 117
- Conyer, John, 113
- Cooper, Anna Julia, 9, 137, 139, 149
- Cortez, Jayne, xii, 4, 181, 186–190

- Council on African Affairs, 58
 Cox, Ida, 164
 Cox, Oliver, 9, 56
 Crevel, René, 160, 161
 Crummell, Alexander, 22, 26
Crusader, 45, 71, 72
 Cruse, Harold, 62, 63–64, 72, 73, 77, 81, 89
 Cuba, impact on black radicals, 63–64, 70–71, 75, 94
 Cuffe, Paul, 18
 cultural nationalism, 52–53, 93, 102–103
 cultural revolution, 107
 China's, 89, 91–92
 RAM's call for, 88–90
 Cunard, Nancy, 160, 161
- Dadaism, 182
 Damas, Leon Gotran, 166
Dangerous Crossroads (Lipsitz), 10
 Daniels, Stan, 76
 Davis, Angela, 15, 152–154, 156, 164
 Davis, Henrietta Vinton, 28
 Deacons for Defense and Justice, 70
 de Basco, Bertha, 45
 de Beauvoir, Simone, 9
 de Chirico, Giorgio, 161
 Delany, Martin, 18
 De La Soul, 32, 33
 DeLeon, Daniel, 41
 Del tha Funkee Homosapien, 34–35
 Depestre, René, 177
 Desnos, Robert, 161
 Diawara, Manthia, 179
 Dickerson, Rev. Isaiah H., 117–118
 Digable Planets, 32, 33
 Diop, Cheikh Anta, 15
Discourse on Colonialism (Césaire), 172–181
 Domingo, W. A., 45, 47
 Douglass, H. Ford, 18
Dred Scott decision, 18, 40
Drum, 89
 D'Souza, Dinesh, 112
- Du Bois, W. E. B., 9, 15, 26, 55, 83, 84, 174, 175
 anticommunist attack on, 57
 in China, 65, 67, 68
 on fascism, 56–57
 and socialist movement, 43, 44
 support of anticolonial movements, 58, 65
 Durem, Ramon, 65–66
- Ebony*, 89
 education system
 black studies programs, 14–15
 discrimination in, 130–131
 reparations for, 121, 122
 Ellison, Ella, 148
 Ellison, Ralph, 38, 51, 191
 Eluard, Paul, 160, 171
 emigrationist movement, 15–17
 AME, 21
 and American citizenship struggles, 17–19
 celebrating traditional cultures, 22–23
 as civilizing mission, 21–22
 Ethiopianism, 19–20
 Garveyism, 23–29
 in music culture, 29–35
 reparation claims of, 113
 space travel contemplations, 30–32
 Engels, Friedrich, 39, 40
 Equiano, Olaudah, 185
Esclavage et colonisation (Schoelcher), 176
 Ethiopia, 16
 Ethiopianism, 19–20
Ethiopian Manifesto: Issued in Defense of the Blackman's Rights in the Scale of Universal Freedom (Young), 19
 Evans, Arthur, 74
 Exodus, 16–17. *See also* emigrationist movement
Exodus! Religion, Race, and Nation

- in Early Nineteenth-Century Black America* (Glaude), 16
 Ex-Slave Mutual Relief, Bounty & Pension Association, 117–118

 Fair Play for Cuba Committee, 70
 Fanon, Frantz, 69, 95, 96, 108, 174, 176, 177, 178, 180
 fascism
 black feminists on, 147
 black intellectuals on, 55–57
 and logic of colonialism, 175
 Feelings, Tom, 65
 feminist movement, black
 Angela Davis's contributions, 152–154
 Combahee River Collective's statement, 148–150
 gender vs. race issues in, 55, 137, 139, 140–141
 international scope of, 149, 151–152
 and invisibility of black women, 136–137, 140–141
 Jayne Cortez's contributions to, 187–190
 and mainstream feminist movement, 138–139
 motherhood and birth control issues, 145–146
 organizations and radical critiques of, 143–148
 and radical feminist movement, 139–141
 radical humanism of, 137–138, 144, 154–156
 as redefining source of theory, 154
 and sexism in black freedom movement, 96–98, 141–143, 146
 See also gender inequality
 Feminist Party, 139
 Feminists, The, 140
 Firespitters, 187–188
 Five-Percent Nation, 32
 Forman, James, 120–123, 125, 187
 Forty-ninth State Movement, 16, 118

 Fourteenth Amendment, 17, 19
 Frazier, Demita, 148
 Freedmen's Bureau Bill, 115–116
 Freeman, Donald, 7, 73, 74, 77
 Freeman, Kenn, 76
 FRELIMO (The Front for the Liberation of Mozambique), 104
 French, Lynn, 97
 French colonialism, 39, 159, 167, 173, 177–178
 French Communist Party, 159, 178
 Friends of SNCC, 187
 Frobenius, Leo, 175
 Fugitive Slave Law (1850), 18

 Gamble, Dr. Clarence, 145
 gang members, role in revolutionary nationalism, 85
 Garnet, Henry Highland, 22, 26
 Garon, Paul, 163, 192
 Garvey, Amy Jacques, 28
 Garvey, Marcus, 16, 23–29
 Garvey movement, 23–29, 45, 47, 84, 173
 and Communist party, 48–49
 gender roles in, 25–29
 and reparations, 118
 Garvin, Vicki, 62, 64–65, 92
 gender inequality
 in black freedom movement, 86, 136–137
 early socialist positions on, 41–42
 Mao's writings on, 96–97
 relationship with racism, 42, 55
 reparations for, 131–132
 women's unpaid labor, 150–151
 See also feminist movement, black
 Ghana, 64, 65
 ghettos, transforming, 124
 Gibbs, Michelle, 98
 Gibson, Kenneth, 103
 Giroux, Henry, 7
 Glaude, Eddie, 16–17
 Glover, Henry, 74
 Goffin, Robert, 161

- Goldfayn, Georges, 162
 Goode, Eslanda, 52
 Graham, Shirley, 58
 Gratiant, Georges, 167
 Greenlee, Sam, 79, 85
 Grenada, 3
 Guadeloupe, 173, 177
 guerilla warfare
 Panther's focus on, 95–96
 RAM's position on, 80–81
 See also armed activism
 Guevara, Che, 69, 72
 Guiana, 173, 177
 Guillén, Nicolas, 177
 Guyana, 3

 Haden, Patricia, 147
 Hamer, Fannie Lou, 61
 Hamitic League of the World, 45
 Hamlin, Mike, 120
 Hammer and Steel, 100
 Hansberry, Lorraine, 58, 136
 Harlem Suitcase Theatre, 51
 Harrington, Ollie, 65
 Harrison, Hubert, 43–44, 47
 Haywood, Harry, 49, 76, 100–101
 Henein, Georges, 161
Here I Stand (Robeson), 53
 Hill, Robert, 24
 Hill, Walter B., 117
 hip-hop culture, exodus themes in,
 32–35
 “Hipping the Hip” (Durem), 66
 Holly, James T., 18
 Holocaust, 56, 57
 reparations for, 113, 118, 120
 hooks, bell, 137, 156
 House, Callie D., 117–118
 House Un-American Activities Com-
 mittee, 57–58
 housing policies, 125
 “How Bigger Was Born” (Wright),
 182–183
 Huberman, Leo, 92
 Huggins, Erica, 97
 Huggins, John, 85
 Hughes, Langston, 49, 51, 166
 Huiswoud, Otto, 47
 humor, black, 165
 Hunter, Alberta, 164
 Hunton, Alphaeus, 58

 “Imagination” (Sun Ra), 31
 internationalism, black
 Guevara's commitment to, 72
 of Panthers, 93, 98–99
 RAM's emphasis on, 81–84, 109
 Robert Williams's promotion of,
 70–73
 and Third World liberation move-
 ments, 62–69
 See also Third World liberation
 movements
 International Workers Order, 51
 International Workingmen's Associa-
 tion, 39
 Interreligious Foundation for Com-
 munity Organization (IFCO),
 120–121, 122
 “I Wonder Who” (Cortez), 186
 I Wor Kuen, 106

 Jackson, George, 94, 96
 Jahn, Jahnheinz, 169
 James, C. L. R., 49, 54, 56, 78, 175
 James, George E. M., 15
 James, Winston, 47
 Japanese Americans, reparations for,
 113
 jazz
 and communist movement, 51
 and surrealism, 161–163
Jet, 89
 Jewish movement, Garvey's identifi-
 cation with, 24
 Joans, Ted, 4, 13, 162–163, 181, 185,
 190–191, 194
 Johnson, Andrew, 115
 Johnson, Charles, 75
 Johnson, Ethel, 76

- Johnson, Lyndon, 92
 Johnson, Nelson, 105
 Jones, Claudia, 55, 57, 136
 Jordan, June, 155, 156
 Jouffroy, Alain, 172
 Judah, Abraham, 24
- Kansas Exodus, 16
 Karenga, Ron, 75, 93, 102
 Kaufman, Bob, 181
 Kaunda, Kenneth, 176
 Kennedy, Florence "Flo," 138–139, 156
 Kenya, 63, 173
 Key, Francis Scott, 18
 Kgositsile, Keorapetse, 11
 Killens, John Oliver, 67
Killing the Black Body (Roberts), 145
 King, Martin Luther, Jr., x, xi, 55, 61, 92
 Korea, 94
- Lacy, Jim, 75
 Lacy, Leslie, 75
 LaGuma, James, 49
 Lam, Wifredo, 5, 171–172, 185, 187
 Lamantia, Philip, 161
 land ownership, importance of, 121, 125–126. *See also* reparations movement
 Latinos, support of black nation thesis, 106
 Lautréamont, Comte de, 157, 158, 181, 185
 League of Revolutionary Black Workers, 75, 120
 League of Revolutionary Struggle (LRS), 106
 League of Struggle for Negro Rights, 49
Légitime Défense, 166
 Legrand, Gérard, 162
 Leiner, Jacqueline, 170
 Leiris, Michel, 161, 171
 Lemelle, Sid, 96
- Lenin, V. I., 44, 46
 Léro, Etienne, 161, 166
 lesbians, black, 148–150, 154–155
Lessons from the Damned, 147
 "Let's Play Something" (Joans), 194
L'Étudiant Noir, 166
Liberator, 50
 Liberia, emigration to, 16, 18, 21, 22
 Lincoln, Abbey, 29–30, 89, 144
 Lincoln, Abraham, 18
 Lipsitz, George, 10
 Loguen, Jermain, 18
 Lorde, Audre, 9, 156
 Low, Mary, 164
- Maar, Dora, 171
 Mabille, Pierre, 169
 McCarthy, Joe, 53
 Machel, Samora, 104
 McKay, Claude, 47–48, 54, 166
 McNair, Denise, 79
 Malcolm X, 61, 62, 63, 75, 86, 94
 Mallory, Mae, 74
 Mao Tse-tung, 69, 81–82, 91–92
 on American racism, 67
 position on black nationalism, 92–93, 99
 revolutionary ethics of, 87
 on role of women, 96–97
 See also China
 Maroon societies, 17
 Marshall, Wanda, 73, 86
 Martinique, anticolonial movement
 in, 166–171, 173, 177–179, 180
 Marx, Karl, 4, 39, 40
 Marxism
 and Black Panther Party, 93–96
 and black nationalism, 49–50, 99–107
 RAM's adherence to, 77–78
 See also Communist movement;
 socialist movement
 Marxist-Leninist Workers Association, 100

- Mason Bill, 117
 Matta, Roberto, 161
 Maugée, Aristide, 167
 Mau Mau rebellion, 63, 173
 Maxwell, William, 47
 Mayfield, Julian, 65
 media, "Black Manifesto" on, 121–122
 Memmi, Albert, 174
 Memphis Minnie, 164
 Menelik II, 20
 Menil, René, 161, 166, 167, 168
Messenger, 45
 Middleton, Donna, 147
 Miller, Eugene E., 181
 Miró, Joan, 171
 Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party, 61
 Mitchell, Michelle, 26
 Monk, Thelonious, 157, 158, 162
 Monnerot, J. M., 160, 166
 Monteiro, Tony, 77
Monthly Review, 92
 Moore, Audrey (Queen Mother), 77–78, 119–120
 Moore, Isaac, 76
 Morocco, 159
 Morris, William, 4
 Morrison, Toni, 144
 Moses, Wilson, 15
 MOVE, 126
 Moynihan, Daniel Patrick, 142
 Mozambique, 104
 Muhammad, Elijah, 30
Mukti, 151
 Murray, Pauli, 138–139
 music, black
 blues, 154, 163–165, 182
 Exodus themes in, 29–35
 importance of, 11–12
 jazz, 51, 161–163
 surrealist's attraction to, 160–165
Music Is Dangerous (Nougé), 161
 National Abortion Rights League, 146
 National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), 43, 59, 70, 84
 National Black Feminist Organization (NBFO), 139, 143
 National Black Labor Strike Fund, 121
 National Black Political Assembly, 103
 National Coalition of Blacks for Reparations in America (N'COBRA), 114, 127–129
 National Congress of Organizations, 127
 National Domestic Workers Union, 143
 nationalism, black
 American communist opposition to, 46, 48–49, 50–51
 of Baraka and Revolutionary Communist League, 102–107
 Comintern's self-determination policy, 49–50
 male domination of, 86, 141–143, 146
 Mao's position on, 92–93, 99
 Newton's abandonment of, 98–99
 1960s resurgence of, 61–63
 Panther support of, 95
 Peery's Negro nation, 101–102
 RAM's revolutionary, 73–84, 108–109
 reparations advocacy of, 118, 124–129
 Republic of New Africa's territorial, 124–129
 Robeson's support of, 53, 54–56
 Stalin's position on, 99–100
 See also emigrationist movement
 National Negro Labor Council, 65
 National Organization of Women (NOW), 138
 National Welfare Rights Organization, 121, 143
 Nation of Islam, 30, 37, 74, 120, 146
Native Son (Wright), 181, 182

- Naum, Gellu, 162
 Nazi genocide, 56, 57
 “Negritude” movement, 166, 171–172, 175–177, 179
Negro (Cunard), 160, 161
Negroes in America, The (McKay), 47
Negroes with Guns (Williams), 71
Negro Family: The Case for National Action, The (Moynihan), 142
 Negro Nation, 101–102
Negro National Colonial Question, The (Peery), 101
 Negro Project (1938), 145
Negro World, 45
 New Afrikan Movement, 127–129
 New Negro movement, 45–48
 Newton, Huey, 75, 93, 102
 impact of anticolonial movements on, 68, 69
 Marxist ideology of, 94, 95–96
 position on nationalism, 98–99
 New York Radical Women, 139–140
 Niagara Movement, 43
 Nixon, Richard, 98
 Njere, Akua, 97
 Nougé, Paul, 161
 Nyerere, Julius, 176
- Obadele, Gaidi, 124
 Obadele, Imari, 102, 124–125, 127
 October League, 100
 Odetta, 89
 Omolade, Barbara, 156
 Oppenheim, Meret, 164
 Organization for Afro-Asian Solidarity, 72
Other Kinds of Dreams: Black Women’s Organisations and the Politics of Transformation (Sudbury), 150
Outwrite, 151
 Owen, Chandler, 45
- Padmore, George, 38, 56, 174, 175
Pan-Africanism or Communism? The Coming Struggle for Africa (Padmore), 174
 Pan-African People’s Organization, 104, 109
 Pan-African socialism, 81
 Paris Surrealist Group, 159–160, 171
 Parker, Charlie, 162
 Parsons, Lucy, 41–42
 Patterson, Louise Thompson, 51, 58
 Patterson, William L., 50, 58
 Peace Information Center, 57
 Peery, Nelson, 100, 101–102, 103
 Penrose, Valentine, 164
 pension campaign, for ex-slaves, 116–118
 Peret, Benjamin, 160, 169, 171
 Perry, Dr. Albert, 70
 Perry, Lee Scratch, 31–32
 Picasso, Pablo, 171
 PM Dawn, 32, 33
Poésies (de Lautréamont), 157
 poetic knowledge, 9–11
 poetry, and surrealism, 162–163, 185–191
 Poor Righteous Teachers, 32
 poverty programs, 112, 119–120, 129–130
 Powell, Adam Clayton, Jr., 64
Présence Africaine, 174
 “Primitives” (Robeson), 55
 Progressive Labor Movement (PL), 75, 100
 Provisional Organizing Committee (POC), 100
Puissances du Jazz (Legrand), 162
- racial violence
 brief history of, 79–80
 post-Reconstruction, 19
 See also urban uprisings
- racism
 and American Left, 36–39
 as class issue, 40–41, 71, 178–179
 of early women’s movement, 41
 and fascism, 56–57

- and gender inequality, 55
 Marx's position on, 40
 surrealists' denouncement of, 166
 in U.S. political economy, 129–131
- radical feminism, 5–6, 139–141. *See also* feminist movement, black
- Radio Free Dixie*, 71
- Rainey, Ma, 164, 165
- Randolph, A. Philip, 45
- rape symbolism
 black-man-as-rapist, 42, 48
 in Garveyite literature, 27
- Rastafarianism, 16, 24, 32, 151–152
- Redding, Grover Cleveland, 20
- Redstockings, 98, 140
- reformism
 Panthers' shift toward, 98
 radicals' criticism of, 61–63, 84–86
- Reparations Committee of Descendants of U.S. Slaves, Inc., 119
- reparations movement, 110–114
 “Black Manifesto,” 120–123
 costs of segregation, 123–124
 economic and juridical cases, 114, 123–124
 ex-slave pension campaign, 116–118
 historical and current context for, 129–134
 N'COBRA's plans, 127–129
 post–Civil War attempts, 113, 115–116
 post–World War II, 118–120
 potential societal benefits of, 132–134
 Republic of New Africa, 124–129
 for slave owners, 112, 116
- Republic of New Africa (RNA), 16, 102, 108, 124–127
- Réunion, 173, 177
- Review of Black Political Economy*, 123
- Revolutionary Action Movement (RAM), 62, 119
 in California, 74–76
 call for “re-Africanization,” 88–90
 demise of, 90–91, 107
 forming of in Cleveland, 72–74
 influence and contributions of, 108–109
 internationalism of, 81–84, 109
 Marxist ideology of, 77–78
 masculinist orientation of, 86
 in Philadelphia, 76–77
 revolutionary ethics of, 86–88
 and revolutionary nationalism, 73, 76
 on role of students in revolution, 84–86
 twelve-point program of, 81
 warfare ideology of, 78–81, 107–108
- Revolutionary Communist League (RCL), 102, 105–107
- “Revolutionary Nationalism and the Afro-American” (Cruse), 73
- “Revolutionary Nationalism and the Afro-American Student” (Stanford), 84–85
- Revolutionary Workers League (RWL), 105
- Richardson, Gloria, 70, 142
- Ricks, Willie, 61
- Rimbaud, Arthur, 158
- Ritter, William, 24
- Roach, Max, 74
- Roberts, Dorothy, 145
- Robertson, Carole, 79
- Robeson, Paul, 51–58
 anticommunist attack on, 57–58
 on fascism, 55–57
 focus on black culture, 52–53, 55–56
- Robinson, Cedric, 17, 56, 75, 192
- Robinson, Jackie, 58
- Robinson, Pat, 146–147
- Rogers, J. A., 15
- Romain, Jacques, 177
- Rosemont, Franklin, 4, 158–159
- Rosenberg, Susan, 140
- Rosewood, Florida, settlement, 113–114

- Roy, M. N., 46, 83
 Russwurm, John, 26
- “Sacred Trees” (Cortez), 188–189
 Sadaukai, Owusu, 104, 105
 Sanger, Margaret, 145
 Sartre, Jean-Paul, 169, 174
 “Sax Bit, The” (Joans), 162–163
 “Say It” (Cortez), 189–190
 Schoelcher, Victor, 176
 Scott, William, 20
 Seale, Bobby, 76, 93
 segregation, reparations for costs of, 123–124
 self-determination, communist policy of, 49–50, 53, 54. *See also* “black belt” nationalism; nationalism, black
 self-transformation
 black feminists on, 147–148
 RAM’s ideology of, 88–90
 Senghor, Leopold, 166, 176
Sepia, 89
 sexual freedom
 black feminists support of, 154–155
 surrealist’s interest in, 164
 sexuality, role in racial economy, 42, 48
 Shakur, Assata, 97, 140
 Sherman, General William, 115
 Sierra Leone, 16
 Simmons, Charles, 75
 Simmons, Michael, 76–77
 Simone, Nina, 89
 Smith, Barbara, 148, 156
 Smith, Bessie, 164
 Smith, Beverly, 148
 Smith Act, 57
 Snellings, Rolland. *See* Toure, Askia Muhammad
 socialist feminist groups, 140
 Socialist Labor Party, 40
 socialist movement, 39–44
 black leaders’ criticisms of, 43–44
 and gender inequality, 41–42
 solution for racism, 40–41
 See also Communist movement; Marxism
 Socialist Party of America, 41, 43
 Socialist Workers Party, 70
 social movements
 importance of, 9
 recovering the poetry of, 9–12
Soulbook: The Revolutionary Journal of the Black World, 76
Souls of Black Folk (Du Bois), 15
 Soul Students Advisory Council, 76
 Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), 61
 Southern Claims Commission, 116
 Southern freedom movement. *See* civil rights movement
 Southern Land Bank, 121
 space travel, contemplations of, 30–32
 Spengler, Oswald, 24
 Spirit House, 102
 spirituality, in black culture, 55–56
Spook Who Sat by the Door, The (Greenlee), 79, 85
 Stalin, Joseph, 50, 57, 99, 177
 Stanford, Max, 73, 75, 76, 77, 84–85, 88
 Star Order of Ethiopia, 20
 sterilization, forced, 145–146
 Stevens, Thadeus, 115
 Stewart, Maria, 20, 26
Stolen Legacy (James), 15
 Stuckey, Sterling, 52
 Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), 61, 72, 143, 187
 students, black, role in revolutionary nationalism, 84–86
 Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), 72
 Studio Watts, 187
 “Successful” (Joans), 191
 Sudbury, Julia, 150–152
 Sung, Kim Il, 94

- “Sunny Meadowz” (Del tha Funkee Homosapien), 34–35
 Sun Ra, 31
 surrealist movement, 4–5
 and African-American music, 160–165
 and African culture, 166, 184–187
 automatism of, 160
 defined, 157–159
 impact of colonial revolts on, 159–160
 influence on black intellectuals, 182–191
 interest in sexual freedom, 164
 Martinican, 166–171
 as revolution of mind, 191–195
 Wilfredo Lam’s art, 171–172
 Sweezy, Paul, 92
 Sylla, Cheikh Tidiane, 185

 Tallie, Mariahadessa Ekere, 35
 Tanguy, Yves, 160
 Tanzania, 67, 124
 Tarnaud, Claude, 162
 Taylor, Cecil, 162
 Taylor, Ula, 26
 “Tennessee” (Arrested Development), 33–34
 “There It Is” (Cortez), 189
 Thesée, Lucie, 167
 “Theses on the National and Colonial Questions” (Lenin), 46
 Third World liberation movements, 3
 in Africa, 3, 14, 58, 63–64, 104–105, 173
 black radicals’ support of, 58
 and Césaire’s *Discourse on Colonialism*, 173–181
 Chinese Revolution, 66–69 (*see also* China)
 Cuban revolution, 63, 71, 94
 current status of, 179–181
 impact on black freedom movement, 62–70
 impact on surrealist movement, 159–160
 in Martinique, 166–171, 173
 post-WWII, 173–174
 See also internationalism, black
 Third World Women’s Alliance, 143, 144
 Thirteenth Amendment, 112
 Thompson, Edward P., 4
 Thompson, Louise, 51
 Thorez, Maurice, 178
 Till, Emmett, 60
 Toure, Askia Muhammad, 11, 82, 84, 109
Toward a Revolutionary Position on the Negro Question (Haywood), 100–101
 Toyen, 164
 Tripp, Luke, 75
Tropiques, 167, 168, 169, 170
 Trotsky, Leon, 54
 Truth, Sojourner, 28, 113, 137
 Tubman, Harriet, 28
 Turner, Bishop Henry McNeil, 16, 21, 22, 113
 Turner, Nat, 25
Twelve Million Black Voices (Wright), 183–184, 186
 Tzara, Tristan, 171

 Uhuru, 75
 United Black Appeal, 122
 Universal African Legion, 24, 25
 Universal Association of Ethiopian Women, 119
 Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA), 23–29, 49
 Urban League, 84
 urban uprisings, 61
 and Black Panther Party, 93
 Mao’s support of, 92–93
 RAM’s preparations for, 78–81
 Robert Williams’s predictions of, 72, 78–79
 scope of, 78

- "USA: The Potential of a Minority Revolution" (Williams), 78
 US Organization, 75, 93, 102
- Vaughan, William R., 116–117
Voice from Bleeding Africa, A (Blyden), 22
Voice from the South, A (Cooper), 139
Voice of a Native Son: The Poetics of Richard Wright (Miller), 181
 Voting Rights Act (1965), 61
- Wahab, Hanif, 74
 Walker, David, 25, 26, 55
 Walker, Margaret, 38
 Wallace, Michelle, 156
 Walls, Rufus, 96–97
 Ward, Samuel Ringgold, 18
 Warden, Donald, 74, 77
 warfare. *See* armed activism
 Watkins, William, 103
 Watson, John, 120
 "We Are Here," 151
 Webster, Daniel, 18
 Wells-Barnett, Ida B., 26, 42, 48, 136
 Wesle, Cynthia, 79
 Westley, Robert, 132–133
White Man Listen! (Wright), 174
 Williams, Eric, 15
 Williams, Robert, 62, 74, 92
 advocacy of armed activism, 70, 78–79
 on cultural revolution, 89–90
 internationalist ideology of, 70–73
 on moral ethics, 88
 and RAM, 72–73, 75–76, 77
 Wilson, Woodrow, 43, 45
 WITCH (Women's International Terrorist Conspiracy from Hell), 140
 Women Against Repression, 141
Women, Culture, and Politics (Davis), 153
Women, Race, and Class (Davis), 15, 153
- Women's Liberation Union (WLU), 140
 women's movement, racist character of early, 41. *See also* feminist movement, black; gender inequality; radical feminism
Women under Socialism (Bebel), 41
 Woods, Jim, 187
 Workers World Party, 70
 Workingmen's Party, 41
 Works Progress Administration, 51
World and Africa, The (Du Bois), 56, 174
 "World Black Revolution, The" (RAM), 82–83
 World Conference against Racism, 112
 world revolution. *See* internationalism, black; Third World liberation movements
World's Greatest Men and Women of African Descent (Rogers), 15
 World War I
 impact on socialist movement, 43–44
 influence on Garvey movement, 24–25
Wretched of the Earth, The (Fanon), 69, 174
 Wright, Margaret, 141
 Wright, Richard, 38, 50, 54, 174, 181–184, 186, 187
- X-Clan, 32–33
- Yo' Mama's Disfunktional!* (Kelley), 129
 Young, Robert Alexander, 19, 25
 Young Socialist Alliance, 75
 youth culture, RAM's critique of, 88
 Youth Organization for Black Unity (YOBUS), 104, 109
 Yoyotte, Pierre, 160, 166
 Yoyotte, Simone, 166
- Zulu Nation, 32

C R E D I T S

“Toward the Poem” by Octavio Paz, translated by Eliot Weinberger, from *Eagle or Sun?*, copyright 1976 by Octavio Paz and Eliot Weinberger. Reprinted by permission of New Directions Publishing Corp.

“Tennessee” by Arrested Development. Copyright 1992 by Hal Leonard Corporation. Used by permission. All rights reserved.

“I Wonder Who,” “Sacred Trees,” and “Say It” from *Somewhere in Advance of Nowhere* by Jayne Cortez, copyright 1996 by Jayne Cortez and reprinted by her permission. All rights reserved.

“There It Is” from *Coagulations: New and Selected Poems*, copyright 1984 by Jayne Cortez and reprinted by her permission. All rights reserved.

“Forty Acres and a Mule” by Oscar Brown Jr. Copyright 1964 Edward B. Marks Music Co. Copyright renewed. Used by permission. All rights reserved.

“Sunny Meadowz” by Del the Funkee Homosapien. Copyright 1991 by Bridgeport Music Inc. All Rights reserved. Used by permission.

“Barefoot Stroll” by Mariahdessa Ekere Tallie. Copyright 1997 Mariahdessa Ekere Tallie and reprinted by permission of Writers House Inc. and author. All rights reserved.

“Sweet Honey in the Rock” from *Shake Loose My Skin: New and Selected Poems* by Sonia Sanchez. Copyright 1999 by Sonia Sanchez. Reprinted by permission of Beacon Press, Boston.

“Africa,” “The Sax Bit,” “Let’s Play Something” from *Teducation: Selected Poems* by Ted Joans, copyright 1999 by Ted Joans and reprinted by his permission. All rights reserved.

“Successful” from *Wow: Poems by Ted Joans*, copyright 1970, 1999 by Ted Joans and reprinted by his permission. All rights reserved.

Parts of chapter 3 appeared in Robin D. G. Kelley and Betsy Esch, “Black Like Mao: Red China and Black Liberation,” *Souls* 1, no. 4 (Fall 1999), 6-41. Copyright 1999 by Robin D. G. Kelley.