

MATRIX ANALYSIS - SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Groups, Rings and Fields

OCT/17/2021

LECO4

Algebraic structures : (S, \circ)

- A nonempty set S
- A binary operation $a \circ b$ to be constructed
a and b are selected from S : $\circ : S \times S \rightarrow S$
- the operation \circ is closed in S
if $a, b \in S$ then $a \circ b \in S$ this can also be represented by
Nothing else here yet (no associativity, no commutativity, etc)
this comes in more sophisticated structures

Examples

AS1) $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$: $S = \mathbb{Z} = \{-\dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $a \circ b \triangleq a + b$
 $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s + t = s + t = 12 \in \mathbb{Z}$

the sum $+$ ($\circ = +$) of any two integers is always an integer. Then this is an algebraic structure (A.S.)

AS2) (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) : $S = \mathbb{R}$, $a \circ b \triangleq a \cdot b$ (regular multiplication)
 $\sqrt{2}, \pi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sqrt{2} \cdot \pi = \sqrt{2} \cdot \pi = \sqrt{2}\pi \in \mathbb{R}$

the multiplication of any two real numbers returns always another real number. This is an AS

counter examples

AS3) $(\mathbb{N}, -)$: $S = \mathbb{N}$, $a \circ b \triangleq a - b$

$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ $7, 2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $7 - 2 = 5 \in \mathbb{N}$ $a < b$
 $3, 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $3 - 1 = 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever we pick ~~a < b~~
 $2, 6 \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 - 6 = -4 \notin \mathbb{N}$ $a \circ b \notin S$: closure fails!
Not an AS.

When testing, it is enough to find just one case (with two numbers a and b) which fails to show it is not an AS. To show it is an AS we need proof in terms of $a, b \in S$, then explore the construction of \circ (i.e., how it is defined) to show $a \circ b \in S$ for any $a, b \in S$

AS4) (\mathbb{Z}, \div) : $S = \mathbb{Z}$, $a \circ b = a \div b$ (regular division)

$4, 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $4 \div 2 = \frac{4}{2} = 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$121, 11 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $121 \div 11 = 11 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$-9, 3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-9 \div 3 = -3 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$5, 3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $5 \div 3 = \frac{5}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ (it is not an integer
it is a rational number
which is not in \mathbb{Z})
it is not an AS

3

it is common to use previously defined AS, add more properties to it, and then form a new AS that is more sophisticated. By doing so, the new AS inherits all properties of the existing AS.

\rightarrow recall that $a, b \in S \Rightarrow ab \in S$
(closedness)

Groups: (S, \circ) + 3 extra properties

- $a \circ b$ is associative: $(ab) \circ c = a(b \circ c)$

this property means that we can start combining any two elements, generate an intermediary result ~~that~~ which is then combined again to form the final result

$$(a \circ b) \circ c \circ d = e \circ c \circ d = e \circ (c \circ d) = eof // \text{ or}$$

$$a \circ (b \circ c) \circ d = a \circ g \circ d = a \circ (g \circ d) = a \circ h, \text{ etc}$$

any order will provide the same result whenever the op \circ is associative. If the results are diff., then the op \circ is not associative

- there is a neutral element $0_S \in S$ such that for any $a \in S$

$$0_S \circ a = a \circ 0_S = a \quad 0_S \text{ is unique!}$$

~~This~~ group can be represented by the notation⁴ (S, \circ, O_S) , which shows that this new structure is closed in S via \circ and has a neutral element O_S . However, associativity and the existence of inverse ~~of~~ elements \bar{a} 's for all a is not captured in this notation. Then we can use a better notation:

(G, \circ, O_G) : the set can still be S , the op is the same \circ and we also have the neutral elem. $O_G = O_S$. However we can now define that whenever we use this notation we are saying that we talk about an AS (S, \circ) that has a neutral O_S , ~~and~~ an associative op \circ , ~~and a~~ ~~neutral~~ and that has inverse elements \bar{a} for all $a \in S$. that is, (G, \circ, O_G) assures that this is a group and has all the required properties for a group.

examples

G1) $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$ is a group over the integers \mathbb{Z} with $a \circ b \triangleq a+b$, with neutral el 0?

It is because the ordinary sum ($\circ = +$) of any two integers returns another integer (closedness). The neutral element $0_G = 0$ works via $\circ = +$ over all $a \in G = \mathbb{Z}$, since any integer summed with zero is again ~~the same~~ integer.

$$a+0=0+a=a$$

What is the inverse \bar{a} for any given a ? We can use the defined op $\circ \triangleq +$ and the set $G = \mathbb{Z}$ to check/calculated if \bar{a} exists, and/or what it is. We know $a \circ b = a+b = b \circ a$, that is, this op. is commutative but we don't ~~need~~ that ~~for~~ to define a group. If it is comm., it's just better to work with this group. Let's use the definition of Neutrality

$$\bar{a} \circ a = 0_G \Rightarrow \bar{a} + a = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boxed{\bar{a} = -a} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

that is, in this case the inverse \bar{a} is just minus the original element a .

(Q2) $(M_2(\mathbb{R}), +, O_{2 \times 2})$, $M_2(\mathbb{R}) =$ set of 2×2 matrices with real entries⁶
 $+ =$ ordinary matrix addition (entry wise sum)

$O_{2 \times 2} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the neutral element.

take two els from $M_2(\cdot)$: $A, B \in M_2(\cdot)$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$A \circ B = A+B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+e & b+f \\ c+g & d+h \end{bmatrix} \in M_2$$

Matrix sum is associative: $(A+B)+C = A+(B+C)$.

the neutral element $O_{2 \times 2}$ works for any matrix:

$$A + O_{2 \times 2} = O_{2 \times 2} + A = A.$$

Where is the inverse element for A ? We

have $A \circ A^{-1} = O_2$ ~~but~~

$$A \circ A^{-1} = A + A^{-1} = O_{2 \times 2} \Leftrightarrow A^{-1} = O_{2 \times 2} - A = -A$$

the inverse for ~~A~~ A is minus A , i.e., $-A$.

We also have that the sum of any $A, B \in M_2$ is again a new matrix $A+B$ that is in M_2 .

this is a group!

63) $(M_2(\mathbb{R}), \text{Matrix product}, I_2)$; $A \circ B \triangleq AB$

7

is it a closed structure?

$$I_2 \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \triangleq O_G$$

* A, B are 2×2 matrices of real numbers
the product ~~of~~ AB is always defined (matrices have compatible dimensions) and return a new matrix $C = AB$ that is again a 2×2 matrix with real entries, i.e., $C = AB \in M_2$

Is it associative? Yes, because the matrix product is associative

$$ABC = (AB)C = A(BC) \text{ or } (A \circ B) \circ C = A \circ (B \circ C).$$

Neutral I_2 works? Yes! $AI_2 = I_2 A = A \in M_2$

And the inverse \bar{A}^{-1} ? Note that we talk here about the inverse element $\bar{A}^{-1} \in M_2$ that ~~is~~ is not necessarily the matrix inverse. In example G2 we had $\bar{A}^{-1} = -A$. Here, by coincidence, the inverse element is related to a Matrix inverse because we selected the matrix product for an operation.

We want

to find ~~an~~ an element B such that $A \circ B = O_G$
or $AB = I_2$. ~~Or~~ We want $A^{-1} A B = A^{-1} I_2$
 $B = A^{-1}$

In this case the inverse of any element, $A \in M_2$ 8
is the matrix inverse itself, i.e., $\tilde{A}^{-1} = \tilde{A}$

However, take $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

↑
inverse elem.
↑
Matrix inverse

$\nexists A^{-1}$ for matrix A above. There are infinite elements $A \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ that do not have ~~any~~ an inverse element under $\circ = \begin{matrix} \text{matrix} \\ \text{product} \end{matrix}$ in $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.

then it is not a group!

64) $(\bar{M}_2(\mathbb{R}), \text{matrix product}, I_2)$, where $\bar{M}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \text{set of } 2 \times 2 \text{ invertible matrices with real entries}$

By restricting the set $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ to contain only invertible matrices, we fix the problem of inverse elements in example 63! Now $\bar{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $A \circ B = AB$ with $O_2 = I_2$ is a group!

Commutative Groups^(C6) are those in which
 $a \circ b = b \circ a$

and are of special interest for us. They
are also known as Abelian groups.

Example

CG1) ~~Examples G1, G2~~ are comm.

CG2) Example G4 is Not Commutative because
matrix product is not commutative.

CG3) $(\bar{M}_2^D(\mathbb{R}), \text{matrix product}, I_2)$, where \bar{M}_2^D is the set
of invertible diagonal

The matrix product of diagonal matrices does
commute (check it with an example!):

$D_1 D_2 = D_2 D_1 \in \bar{M}_2^D(\mathbb{R})$ and the product
of diag. matrices is again diagonal!

Then CG3 is a commutative group!

Ring is an Abelian group with a second operation \star having the following properties $(\mathbb{R}, +, \star, 0_R, 1_R)$

- \star is associative: $(a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c)$
for all $a, b, c \in G$

- \star is distributive over \circ

$$a \star (b \circ c) = (a \star b) \circ (a \star c)$$

because op \star
does not need
to be commut.
i.e., $a \star b \neq b \star a$

and $(b \circ c) \star a = (b \star a) \circ (c \star a)$

(- \star has a unique neutral element 1_G .)
~~this is not required for a ring. When it holds, we~~
examples call it a unit ring

R1) $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a ring, since ordinary addition and multiplication over the integers have neutral elements 0 and 1 and produce

numbers that are again integers.

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}: a \circ b \stackrel{\Delta}{=} a + b \quad (\text{group op})$$

this is a ring with unity, or a unit ring

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}: a \star b \stackrel{\Delta}{=} a \cdot b \quad (\text{ring op})$$

$$0_R \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0: a + 0 = 0 + a = a \quad (\text{neutral for group op})$$

$$1_R \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1: a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a \quad (\text{neutral for ring op})$$

$$a: \bar{a} \circ a = a \circ \bar{a} = 0_R: \bar{a} \circ a = \bar{a} + a = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{a} = -a \quad (\text{Group inverse})$$

$$\bar{a}: \bar{a} \star a = a \star \bar{a} = 1_R: \bar{a} \star a = \bar{a} \cdot a = 1 \Rightarrow \bar{a} = \frac{1}{a} \quad (\text{ring inverse})$$

Note that the notation \bar{a} coincides with the natural arithmetic inverse notation!

$R_2 \left(M_2(\mathbb{R}), +, \text{Matrix prod}, O_{2 \times 2}, I_2 \right)$

~~We have seen in example G₂~~

Recall from example G₂ that the triple $M_2(\mathbb{R}), +, O_{2 \times 2}$ forms a group. Let's test for the ring operation now: $A * B \stackrel{\Delta}{=} AB$

- Matrix product is associative: $(AB)C = A(BC)$

- Is the matrix product distributive over matrix addition? $A(B+C) = AB + AC \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{yes, it is!} \\ \text{from the left} \end{array} \right\}$
 $(B+C)A = BA + CA \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{and from the} \\ \text{right.} \end{array} \right\}$

- Is I_2 a neutral element for $* = \text{matrix product}$?

$AI_2 = I_2A = A \quad * \quad A \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{Yes! It is a unit ring}$

then R_2 is a ~~group~~ ring!

Remark: If we select M_2 , matrix, I_2 from within ring R_2 , does it form another group?

No! Because there is no inverse for $* = \text{matrix product}$.

In fact, in the ring construction $(R, o, *, O_R, 1_R)$ ^{product} (R, o, O_R) forms a group from the ring definition.

$(R, *, 1_R)$ DOES NOT form a group because there is no inverse defined for the ring operation $*$.

R_3) $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, \star, 0_R, 1_R)$, with $a \circ b \triangleq a+b-1$

What are the neutral elements for \circ and \star ? $a \star b \triangleq ab+ab$

$$a \circ 0_R = 0_R \circ a = a : \underbrace{a}_{\text{a}} \circ \underbrace{0_R}_{\text{b}} = a + 0_R - 1 = a$$

$$0_R = \cancel{a} - a + 1 \therefore \boxed{0_R = 1}$$

It is a unit ring

$$a \star 1_R = 1_R \star a = a : \underbrace{a}_{\text{a}} \star \underbrace{1_R}_{\text{b}} = a \cdot 1_R + a + 1_R = a$$

$$a \cdot 1_R + 1_R = 0 \Leftrightarrow (a+1) \cdot 1_R = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boxed{1_R = 0}$$

~~or 1_R = 1~~

$$\text{if } a+1 \neq 0 \text{ then } 1_R = \frac{0}{a+1} = 0$$

$$\text{If } a+1 = 0 \text{ then } 0 \cdot 1_R = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = 0. \quad \begin{matrix} a+1=0 \\ a=-1 \end{matrix}$$

$$-1 \star 1_R = -1 \star 0 = -1 \cdot 0 + (-1) + 0 = -1 \text{ OK!}$$

Are \circ and \star associative?

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = d \circ c = \cancel{d} + c - 1 = (a+b-1) + c - 1 \\ = a+b+c-2 //$$

$\stackrel{?}{=} d$

$$d = a \circ b = a+b-1$$

$$a \circ (b \circ c) = a \circ e = a + e - 1 = a + (b+c-1) - 1 = a+b+c-2 //$$

$\stackrel{?}{=} e$

$$e = b \circ c = b+c-1 \quad \text{therefore } (a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \quad \checkmark$$

\circ is associative

$$(a \star b) \star c = d \star c = dc + d + c = (ab+a+b)c + a + c \\ = abc + ac + bc + (ab+a+b)c \\ = abc + ab + bc + ac + a + b + c //$$

$\stackrel{?}{=} d$

$$a \star (b \star c) = a \star e = ae + a + e = a(bc+bc+c) + a + (bc+bc+c) //$$

$$e = b \star c = bc + b + c = abc + ab + ac + a + bc + c$$

$$\text{thus, } \star \text{ is associative too!} \quad \therefore \cancel{\text{R}_3} \text{ is a ring!} //$$

Field is a unit commutative ring with ¹³
an inverse for the ring operation for $F \setminus \{0_F\}$
We may change notation to reflect this
fact: $(F, \circ, \star, 0_F, 1_F) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} F$ (in this course)

Examples ^{Inverse exists for all $a \in F \setminus \{0_F\}$.}
^{It can be shown that $0_F \star 1_F = 0_F$}

F1) $F = \mathbb{Q}, \circ = +, \star = \cdot, 0_F = 0, 1_F = 1$ is a field

F2) $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot; 0, 1)$ is a field

F3) $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is a field

Remark: Within a field, $(F, \circ, 0_F)$ forms an
abelian group and $(F, \star, 1_F)$ also forms an
abelian group.