

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# Postacute COVID-19 is Characterized by Gut Viral Antigen **Persistence in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases**

Andreas Zollner,<sup>1,\*</sup> Robert Koch,<sup>1,\*</sup> Almina Jukic,<sup>1</sup> Alexandra Pfister,<sup>1</sup> Moritz Meyer,<sup>1</sup> Annika Rössler,<sup>2</sup> Janine Kimpel,<sup>2</sup> Timon E. Adolph,<sup>1</sup> and Herbert Tilg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine I, Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Endocrinology & Metabolism, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; and <sup>2</sup>Department of Hygiene, Microbiology and Public Health, Institute of Virology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck Austria



BACKGROUND & AIMS: The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has affected populations, societies, and lives for more than 2 years. Long-term sequelae of COVID-19, collectively termed the postacute COVID-19 syndrome, are rapidly emerging across the globe. Here, we investigated whether severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) antigen persistence underlies the postacute COVID-19 syndrome. METHODS: We performed an endoscopy study with 46 patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) 219 days (range, 94-257) after a confirmed COVID-19 infection. SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence was assessed in the small and large intestine using quantitative polymerase chain reaction of 4 viral transcripts, immunofluorescence of viral nucleocapsid, and virus cultivation from biopsy tissue. Postacute COVID-19 was assessed using a standardized questionnaire, and a systemic SARS-CoV-2 immune response was evaluated using flow cytometry and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay at endoscopy. IBD activity was evaluated using clinical, biochemical, and endoscopic means. RESULTS: We report expression of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the gut mucosa  $\sim$ 7 months after mild acute COVID-19 in 32 of 46 patients with IBD. Viral nucleocapsid protein persisted in 24 of 46 patients in gut epithelium and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Expression of SARS-CoV-2 antigens was not detectable in stool and viral antigen persistence was unrelated to severity of acute COVID-19, immunosuppressive therapy, and gut inflammation. We were unable to culture

SARS-CoV-2 from gut tissue of patients with viral antigen persistence. Postacute sequelae of COVID-19 were reported from the majority of patients with viral antigen persistence, but not from patients without viral antigen persistence. **CONCLUSION:** Our results indicate that SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence in infected tissues serves as a basis for postacute COVID-19. The concept that viral antigen persistence instigates immune perturbation and postacute COVID-19 requires validation in controlled clinical trials.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Postacute COVID-19; Viral Antigen Persistence.

#### \*Authors share co-first authorship.

Abbreviations used in this paper: ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; ICFC, intracellular flow cytometry; IFN, interferon; Ig, immunoglobulin; IGRA, interferon gamma release assays; IL, interleukin; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; RdRP, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TNF. Q6 Q7 117

	118
© 2022 by the AGA Institute.	119
https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2022.04.037	120

Q2

#### 2 Zollner et al

131

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

C evere acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 121 J (SARS-CoV-2) is a single-stranded positive-sense 122 RNA virus causing respiratory, gastrointestinal, and central 123 nervous system infections in humans collectively referred 124 to as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).<sup>1</sup> Cellular SARS-125 CoV-2 infection is mediated by an interaction of membrane-126 bound angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) with the 127 viral spike and is facilitated by the host proteases TMPRSS2, 128 TMPRSS4, and CatB/L.<sup>2</sup> ACE2 is expressed in the brush 129 border of enterocytes in the gut,<sup>3</sup> a site of SARS-CoV-2-130 associated inflammation.<sup>4</sup> Studies with intestinal epithelial organoids confirmed that SARS-CoV-2 infects human 132 epithelium, triggering an interferon (IFN) signature.<sup>5</sup> 133 Consequently, SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in anal swabs, 134 a signal that remains positive long after nasopharyngeal 135 swabs are negative.<sup>6</sup> 136

The postacute COVID-19 syndrome is characterized by persistent or prolonged symptoms for more than 4 weeks after acute COVID-19. Considerable disagreement about definition and, thus, prevalence of postacute COVID-19 exists, ranging from 10%–87% of patients with COVID-19.<sup>7,8</sup> The syndrome was initially dismissed by many but is now recognized as a multi-organ disease, which reflects a significantly growing health-care challenge.<sup>9,10</sup> Postacute COVID-19 typically involves symptoms including severe fatigue, cognitive dysfunction, or pain.<sup>11</sup> Poor baseline health status and severe acute COVID-19 convey risk for the development of postacute COVID-19, however, also mild COVID-19 (eg, in nonhospitalized patients) may culminate in postacute BASIC AND sequelae.<sup>12</sup> Although postacute COVID-19 symptoms usually do not require hospitalization,<sup>13</sup> disease burden weighs heavily on affected individuals.<sup>12</sup> Although the pathophysiological mechanisms of acute COVID-19 are well defined (eg, viral toxicity, microvascular injury, immune dysregulation, and inflammation),<sup>1</sup> postacute COVID-19 sequelae are poorly understood. It appears plausible that viral immune perturbation and/or inflammatory tissue injury during the acute infection account for the postacute COVID-19 syndrome.<sup>9</sup> For example, neural accumulation of memory T cells in COVID-19 was observed in postacute COVID-19 neuropsychiatric sequelae (eg, malaise and depression) and reflects a hallmark of immune senescence during aging and tissue injury.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, it was shown that postacute COVID-19 was associated with persistently activated innate immune cells and hyperactivated T and B cells, along with increased proinflammatory cytokine expression,<sup>14</sup> although the cause of such prolonged immune perturbation is unknown.

Here, we took advantage of upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy (and related mucosal tissue availability) in patients with or without postacute COVID-19 symptoms who were evaluated in our inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) outpatient unit to explore whether gut antigen persistence<sup>15</sup> underlies long-term sequelae of COVID-19.

### Methods

### Study Participants and Endoscopy

In a cohort of 46 patients with IBD with polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, we phenotyped

#### Gastroenterology Vol. ∎, No. ∎

WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW

### BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Long-term sequelae of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), collectively termed the postacute COVID-19 syndrome, reflects a significantly growing health-care challenge. To date, the pathophysiology of this debilitating multi-organ disease is poorly understood. We investigated whether severe acute respiratory coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) syndrome antigen persistence underlies the postacute COVID-19 syndrome.

#### NEW FINDINGS

We report that SARS-CoV-2 antigens persist in the gut mucosa for months after acute COVID-19 in the majority of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) irrespective of immunosuppressive therapy or gut inflammation. Viral antigen persistence associates with postacute COVID-19 symptoms.

#### LIMITATIONS

The concept of viral antigen persistence as driver of perturbation immune and postacute COVID-19 syndrome should be corroborated in controlled clinical trials beyond IBD.

#### IMPACT

Collectively, our findings suggest that viral antigen persistence is a basis for postacute sequelae of COVID-19, a rapidly emerging disorder across the globe.

gut tissue retrieved using upper and lower endoscopy 94-257 days (average, 7.3 months) after infection. A significant proportion of the study participants became infected in the second wave in Austria between October 2020 and February 2021 (possibly reflecting infection with the wild-type alpha and beta variant).<sup>16</sup> A detailed characterization of the study population is **Q8** provided in Tables 1 and 2. All patients underwent endoscopy by clinical means according to IBD guidelines, and provided a negative SARS-CoV-2 PCR test at the day of endoscopic examination. We obtained biopsy specimen from the duodenum, terminal ileum, and colon (Figure 1A). Biopsy specimens were collected in formalin, RNAlater, or RPMI supplemented with Q9 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma, Missouri). Tissue samples were Q10 further processed using SARS-CoV-2 PCR, immunofluorescence, and viral culture to analyze SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence. All participants received a routine laboratory test and quantification of fecal calprotectin at endoscopy. Furthermore, we investigated the systemic humoral and cellular SARS-CoV-2 immune responses at time of endoscopy. Additionally, patients completed a questionnaire that recorded symptoms of the acute episode of COVID-19 and postacute COVID-19 symptoms, similar to COVID-19 vaccination status. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical University Innsbruck (EK-No. 1005/2019) and informed consent was obtained from all study subjects.

### SARS-CoV-2 PCR From Intestinal Biopsies

To decipher SARS-CoV-2 RNA persistence in the gastrointestinal tract, we isolated RNA as described previously.<sup>17</sup> In brief, RNAlater (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany)-preserved biopsy

239

### **2022**

301

241	
242	
243	
244	
245	
246	
24/	
248 240	
250	
251	
252	
253	
254	
255	
256	
257	
258	
259	
260	
261	
262	
263	
264	
265	
266	
20/	
208	
209	
270	
271	
273	
274	
275	
276	
277	
278	
279	
280	
281	
282	
283	
284	
285	
286	
287	
288	

### Table 1. General Characteristics of the Study Population at Recruitment

	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA-negative	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA–positive	Overall	Ρ
n	14	32	46	
Sex (%) Female Male	8/14 (57.1) 6/14 (42.9)	12/32 (37.5) 20/32 (62.5)	20/46 (43.5) 26/46 (56.5)	.36
Disease (%) Crohn's disease Ulcerative colitis IBD unclassified	9/14 (64.3) 5/14 (35.7) 0/14 (0.0)	22/32 (68.8) 8/32 (25.0) 2/32 (6.2)	31/46 (67.4) 13/46 (28.3) 2/46 (4.3)	.52
Metrics Age (y) Age at IBD diagnosis (y) Duration of IBD (y)	45.28 (40.93, 54.48) 11.05 (6.84, 14.28) 35.51 (28.21, 43.57)	44.67 (25.45, 50.58) 8.60 (4.99, 20.84) 24.96 (17.80, 37.00)	44.67 (28.11, 51.95) 9.92 (5.30, 17.38) 28.60 (18.49, 40.60)	.21 ,75 .02
IBD severity (%) <sup>a</sup> Remission Mild Moderate Severe	7/14 (50.0) 4/14 (28.6) 3/14 (21.4) 0/14 (0.0)	20/32 (62.5) 11/32 (34.4) 1/32 (3.1) 0/32 (0.0)	27/46 (58.7) 15/46 (32.6) 4/46 (8.7) 0/46 (0.0)	.13
Crohn's disease: age at diagnosis (M <16 y (A1) 17-40 y (A2) >40 y (A3)	ontreal A) (%) <sup>b</sup> 0/9 (0.0) 7/9 (77.8) 2/9 (22.2)	6/22 (27.3) 14/22 (63.6) 2/22 (9.1)	6/31 (19.4) 21/31 (67.7) 4/31 (12.9)	.17
Crohn's disease: behaviour (Montreal Nonconstricting & nonpenetrating ( Stricturing (B2) Penetrating (B3)	B) (%) <sup>b</sup> B1) 6/9 (66.7) 1/9 (11.1) 2/9 (22.2)	13/22 (59.1) 7/22 (31.8) 2/22 (9.1)	19/31 (61.3) 8/31 (25.8) 4/31 (12.9)	.37
Crohn's disease: disease location (Mo lleum (L1) Colon (L2) lleum + colon (L3) Upper GI (L4)	ontreal L) (%) <sup>b</sup> 1/9 (11.1) 2/9 (22.2) 6/9 (66.7) 0/9 (0.0)	6/22 (30.0) 1/22 (5.0) 15/22 (68.2) 0/15 (0.0)	7/31 (22.6) 3/31 (9.7) 21/31 (67.7) 0/31 (0.0)	.25
Ulcerative colitis: age at diagnosis (M <16 y (A1) 17-40 y (A2) > 40 y (A3)	lontreal A) (%) <sup>6</sup> 0/5 (0.0) 3/5 (60.0) 2/5 (40.0)	1/8 (14.3) 5/8 (71.4) 1/8 (14.3)	1/13 (7.7) 8/13 (61.5) 3/13 (23.1)	.46
Ulcerative colitis: disease extent (Mor Proctitis (E1) Left-sided colitis (E2) Pancolitis (E3)	ntreal E) (%) <sup>5</sup> 0/5 (0.0) 2/5 (40.0) 3/5 (60.0)	0/8 (0.0) 6/8 (75.0) 2/8 (25.0)	0/13 (0.0) 8/13 (61.5) 5/13 (38.5)	.50
Risk factors and other diseases BMI Smoker (%) Abnormality in chest x-ray (%) Heart disease (%) Diabetes (%) Lung disease (%) Kidney disease (%)	26.20 (21.80, 31.80) 3/14 (21.4) 4/14 (33.3) 0/14 (0.0) 1/14 (7.1) 1/14 (7.1) 0/14 (0.0)	24.50 (22.25, 26.80) 8/32 (25.0) 7/32 (24.1) 2/32 (6.2) 2/32 (6.2) 1/32 (3.1) 0/32 (100.0)	24.95 (22.20, 28.10) 11/46 (23.9) 11/46 (26.8) 2/46 (4.3) 3/46 (6.5) 2/46 (4.3) 0/46 (100.0)	.31 .99 .83 .86 .99 .99 NA
Medication (%) Steroid use in last year Anti-TNF at endoscopy Ustekinumab at endoscopy Vedolizumab at endoscopy Azathioprine at endoscopy JAK-inhibitor at endoscopy 5-ASA at endoscopy Study medication at endoscopy	1/14 (7.1) 2/14 (14.3) 1/14 (7.1) 1/14 (7.1) 0/14 (0.0) 1/14 (7.1) 4/14 (28.6) 2/14 (14.3)	1/32 (3.1) 12/32 (37.5) 1/32 (3.1) 2/32 (6.2) 4/32 (12.5) 0/32 (0.0) 5/32 (15.6) 0/32 (0.0)	2/46 (4.3) 14/46 (30.4) 2/46 (4.3) 3/46 (6.5) 4/46 (8.7) 1/46 (2.2) 9/46 (19.6) 2/46 (4.3)	.99 .22 .99 .99 .41 .67 .53 .03

### 4 Zoliner et al

### Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■

### Table 1. Continued

371

372

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

basic and Translational at

396

397

398

399

400

401

420

	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA-negative	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA–positive	V-2 Overall	
Medication history (%)				
History of anti-TNF	6/14 (42.9)	5/32 (15.6)	11/46 (23.9)	.11
History of Vedolizumab	3/14 (21.4)	1/32 (3.1)	4/46 (8.7)	.15
History of Ustekinumab	2/14 (14.3)	0/32 (0.0)	2/46 (4.3)	.16
History of Azathioprine	6/14 (42.9)	9/32 (28.1)	15/46 (32.6)	.53
History of JAK-inhibitor	1/14 (7.1)	0/32 (0.0)	1/46 (2.2)	.67
,				

NOTE. Data are reported as number of subjects with percentages in parentheses or as median with interquartile range in as parentheses.

<sup>373</sup> 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; BMI, body mass index; JAK, Januskinase.

<sup>374</sup> <sup>a</sup>IBD disease activity was assessed according to the simple endoscopic score for Crohn's disease and the Mayo Endoscopic
<sup>375</sup> Score for ulcerative colitis.

<sup>b</sup>Assessment of disease extent, disease location, and disease behavior by using the Montreal classification.<sup>30</sup>

specimens were homogenized in lysing buffer using a Precellys 24 Homogenisator and a Precellys Tissue RNA kit (both Bertin, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). Lysates were applied on RNAeasy columns (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and RNA extraction was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA concentration was quantified at 260 nm right after isolation, using a nanodrop 1000 (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany). RNA was stored at -80°C. RNA was transcribed to complementary DNA using an M-MLV reverse transcriptase in combination with hexamer primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Complementary DNA sequences were amplified using PCR using gene-specific primers in combination with SYBR-green chemistry to detect RNA polymerase (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase [RdRP]), nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (Nucleocapsid), surface glycoprotein (Spike), and envelope protein RNA. Additionally,  $\beta$ -actin served as a control to validate isolation and transcription efficiency. SARS-CoV-2-specific primers were validated and extensively analyzed by Park et al<sup>18</sup> and are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.4

### Immunofluorescence

402 Intestinal biopsy specimens were collected in RPMI and 403 <sub>Q12</sub> immediately transferred in cryomolds, covered with OCT 404 embedding medium, and immersed in cold isopentane with 405 liquid nitrogen until snap-frozen. Cryomolds were stored at 406 -20°C until cryo-sectioning. For downstream immunofluores-407 cence  $4-\mu m$  cryostat sections were cut from the samples and 408 subsequently stained for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid 409 (#PA5114448, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), epithelial 410 Q13 CK18 (#LS-B11232, LifeSpan Biosciences, WA), CD8 (#14-411 0008-80, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), or LGR5 (#MA5-25644, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), ac-412 cording to a standardized immunofluorescence protocol (see 413 Supplementary Methods). Targets were visualized with 414 secondary fluorophore conjugated antibodies. Images were 415 acquired on a Zeiss Axioobserver Z1 microscope in combination 416 with a LSM700 confocal laser scanning system containing 4 la-417 sers with 405, 488, 555, and 654 nanometre wavelengths 418 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). 419

### Virus Cultivation

Biopsy specimens stored in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum at -80°C were homogenized using a Precellys 24 Homogenisator (Bertin, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). After homogenization the lysate was sterile filtrated and used to infect ACE-2 and TMPRSS2 overexpressing Vero cells<sup>19</sup> (Vero-TMPRSS2/ACE2). Three days after infection, wells were analyzed for cytopathic effect. Samples with absent cytopathic effect after 2 passages were regarded negative for infectious virus. Further details are available in the Supplementary Methods section.

### Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays

SARS-CoV-2 spike and nucleocapsid protein specific immunoglobulins (Ig) were quantified using an anti-SARS-CoV-2 QuantiVac enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (IgG) and an anti-SARS-CoV-2-NCP-ELISA (IgG) (both Euroimmun, Luebeck, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Anti-nucleocapsid Igs are categorically reported as negative, borderline, and positive, and antispike receptor binding domain antibody concentrations are reported as binding antibody units/mL.<sup>20</sup>

### Cellular SARS-CoV-2 Immunity: Interferon Gamma Release Assays and Flow Cytometry

The presence of SARS-CoV-2–specific T cells directed against the spike and nucleocapsid proteins were assessed using interferon gamma release assays (IGRA) and validated with intracellular flow cytometry (ICFC).<sup>21</sup> To specifically stimulate SARS-CoV-2–specific T cells, lithium heparin whole blood or isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells were coincubated with peptide pools (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) consisting of 15-mer peptides with 11 amino acids overlap covering the entire sequence of the spike glycoprotein (pepS) and the complete sequence of the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (pepN).

Cellular SARS-CoV-2 immunity was quantitatively analyzed using a whole blood spike IGRA and nucleocapsid IGRA,

474

475

476

477

478

479

### 

### Table 2.SARS-CoV-2-Specific Characteristics of the Study Population

	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA-negative	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA–positive	Overall	Ρ
n	14	32	46	
Metrics				
Days between COVID-19 and biopsy sampling	122.00 (72.75, 236.25)	229.50 (107.25, 263.00)	218.50 (94.50, 256.75)	.17
Lung capacity		0.04 ( 4.00 0.00)		07
FVG (z score) FEV(1 (z score)	-0.55 (-1.17, 0.55) 0.54 (-0.44, 0.92)	-0.64 (-1.08, -0.08) -0.64 (-1.38, -0.03)	-0.63 (-1.14, 0.32) -0.41 (-1.17, 0.57)	.97 04
	0.04 ( 0.44, 0.02)	0.04 ( 1.00, 0.00)	0.41 (1.11, 0.07)	.04
Asymptomatic	0/14 (0.0)	1/32 (3.1)	1/46 (2.2)	.30
Ambulatory: mild disease	12/14 (85.7)	30/32 (93.8)	42/46 (91.3)	
Hospitalized: moderate disease	1/14 (7.1)	1/32 (3.1)	2/46 (4.3)	
Hospitalized: severe disease	1/14 (7.1)	0/32 (0.0)	1/46 (2.2)	
Postacute COVID-19 symptoms (%) <sup>d</sup>				
Any postacute COVID symptom	0/14 (0.0)	21/32 (65.6)	21/46 (45.7)	.00
Fatigue	0/14 (0.0)	18/32 (56.3)	18/46 (39.1)	
l oss of smell	0/14 (0.0)	14/32 (43.6)	14/46 (30.4)	
Abdominal pain	0/14 (0.0)	10/32 (28.1)	10/46 (21.7)	
Headache	0/14 (0.0)	9/32 (28.1)	9/46 (19.6)	
Sleeping disorders	0/14 (0.0)	8/32 (25.0)	8/46 (17.4)	
Diarrhoea	0/14 (0.0)	7/32 (21.9)	7/46 (15.2)	
Persistent cough Shortpass of broath	0/14 (0.0)	7/32 (21.9) 6/32 (18 8)	7/46 (15.2) 6/46 (13.0)	
Depression	0/14 (0.0)	3/32 (9.4)	3/46 (6.5)	
Palpitations	0/14 (0.0)	2/32 (6.3)	2/46 (4.3)	
Muscle pain	0/14 (0.0)	2/32 (6.3)	2/46 (4.3)	
Chest pain	0/14 (0.0)	1/32 (3.1)	1/46 (2.2)	
Rash	0/14 (0.0)	0/32 (0.0)	0/46 (0.0)	
Recurrent tever	0/14 (0.0)	0/32 (0.0)	0/46 (0.0)	
SARS-CoV-2 vaccination status (%)	0/14/0000	10/00 (01 0)	10/10/11 0	~~
1 dose Vazzevria (ChAdOx1)	9/14 (64.3) 0/14 (0.0)	1/32 (31.2)	19/46 (41.3)	.08
1 dose Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine	0/14 (0.0)	1/32 (3.1)	1/46 (2.2)	
1 dose Comirnaty (BNT162b2)	0/14 (0.0)	5/32 (15.6)	5/46 (10.9)	
2 doses Vaxzevria (ChAdOx1)	0/14 (0.0)	1/32 (3.1)	1/46 (2.2)	
2 doses Spikevax (mRNA-1273)	1/14 (7.1)	6/32 (18.8)	7/46 (15.2)	
2 doses Comirnaty (BNT162b2)	2/14 (14.3) 2/14 (14.3)	0/32 (18.8) 2/32 (6.2)	8/46 (17.4) 4/46 (8.7)	
	14/14 (100)	2,02 (0.2)	40/40 (100 0)	00
Positive PCR in medical history (%)	14/14 (100)	32/32 (100.0)	40/40 (100.0)	.95
Anti-Nucleocapsid antibodies (%)	1/1/ (7 1)	3/32 (0 1)	1/16 (8 7)	.18
Borderline	1/14 (7.1)	10/32 (31.2)	11/46 (23.9)	
Positive	12/14 (85.7)	19/32 (59.4)	31/46 (67.4)	
Anti-RBD antibodies (%)				.99
Negative	0/10 (0.0)	0/32 (0.0)	0/46 (0.0)	
Borderline	0/10 (0.0)	0/32 (0.0)	0/46 (0.0)	
Positive	14/14 (100.0)	32/32 (100.0)	46/46 (100.0)	
Nucleocapsid-specific T cells (Nucleocapsid IGRA	A) (%)			.75
Negative	6/14 (42.9)	17/32 (53.1)	23/46 (50.0)	
rusiii/e	0/14 (07.1)	13/32 (40.9)	23/40 (50.0)	
Spike-specific T cells (Nucleocapsid IGRA) (%)		7/1 / /01 0		.99
Negative	3/14 (21.4)	//14 (21.9) 25/14 (78.1)	10/46 (21.7) 26/46 (78.2)	
F USILIVE	11/14 (70.0)	23/14 (70.1)	30/40 (70.3)	
Quantitative SARS-CoV-2 immunity	074 00 (40 00 0050 (0)			
	2/4 bU (43 bU 2053 10)	809.90 (203.65, 1862.60)	008.45 (1/1.43, 2060.15)	.32

#### 6 Zollner et al

#### Table 2. Continued

601

611

612

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

626

625 <sub>Q16</sub>

basic and Translational at

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

602 603		Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA-negative	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA–positive	Overall	Ρ
604 605 606	Spike-IGRA (pg/mL) Nucleocapsid-IGRA (pg/mL)	1329.05 (60.22, 2018.88) 45.79 (3.28, 170.75)	1922.99 (299.61, 3633.77) 23.65 (0.10, 618.01)	1707.19 (239.56, 3293.65) 40.38 (0.10, 455.96)	.31 .96
607 608 609 610	Nucleocapsid immunofluorescence signal (%) Negative Positive	14/14 (100.0) 0/14 (0.0)	8/32 (25) 24/32 (75.0)	22/46 (47.8) 24/46 (52.2)	.001

NOTE. Data are reported as number of subjects with percentages in parentheses or as median with interquartile range in parentheses.

613 RBD, receptor binding domain.

<sup>a</sup>COVID-19 severity was stratified according to the World Health Organization COVID-19 clinical progression scale<sup>31</sup> and defined as follows: Mild, ambulatory and oxygen saturation always >90%; Moderate, hospitalization and/or decrease in oxygen saturation <90%, but no oxygen supply necessary; Severe, hospitalized and oxygen supplementation or high-flow oxygen or intubation.

respectively. Spike and nucleocapsid specific IFN-γ values were analysed using ELISA. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells were expanded and analyzed using ICFC in a subset of patients (n = 014 015 5 without immunosuppressive therapy and n = 5 with anti-TNF therapy). As in the IGRA, T cells were stimulated with pepS or pepN and analyzed on a CytoFLEX S flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, CA) after combined surface (CD45, CD4, CD8, CD45RO, CD69) and intracellular cytokine staining (IFN-γ, TNFα, interleukin [IL]17A, granzyme B). In the IGRA and ICFC, mock- and PMA/Ionomycin-treated cells served as negative or positive control, respectively. Both IGRA and ICFC are further described in the Supplementary Methods section.

### Statistics

Statistical significance was assumed at P < .05 with an unpaired 2-tailed Student *t* test, a Mann-Whitney U-test, or analysis of variance and multiple comparisons were corrected using the Sidak multiple comparison test, where appropriate. Categorical variables were analyzed using the Fisher exact test. Analysis was conducted using Prism V9 (Graphpad, San Diego, CA) and R version 4.1.0 (R Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

### Results

### SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Persistence Frequently Occurs in the Gut Mucosa

646 Patients recruited in this study had acute COVID-19 647 (PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection) 219 days (range, 648 94-257) before endoscopy, which was performed to eval-649 uate disease activity of an established IBD (ie, we did not 650 select for patients with postacute COVID-19). At endoscopy, 651 patients were evaluated for postacute COVID-19 symptoms 652 (using a questionnaire) and viral antigen persistence in the 653 gut. We included 46 patients with characteristics, comor-654 bidities, risk factors, and medical history summarized in 655 Table 1, and COVID-19-related clinical and biochemical 656 characteristics shown in Table 2. Briefly, 91% (42/46) of 657 patients had experienced mild acute COVID-19, and 45% 658 (21/46) of patients reported at least 1 postacute COVID-19 659

symptom (Table 2). On the day of endoscopic examination, all patients provided a negative COVID-19 nasal or pharyngeal PCR test and did not display clinical signs of a respiratory infection. Endoscopy revealed that the majority of patients with IBD (59%) were in remission. We evaluated viral antigen persistence by analysing biopsy specimens from the small and large intestine with quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), immunofluorescence, and viral culture from gut tissue. Moreover, we evaluated a systemic SARS CoV-2-directed immune response and gut inflammation (indicated by fecal calprotectin; Figure 1A). Notably, 70% (32/46) of patients displayed a positive qPCR signal in at least 1 segment of the gut (ie, duodenum, ileum, or colon) (Figure 1B). We detected viral RNA in 31% of biopsy specimens, with expression of the RdRP in 13.6% of biopsy specimens, the surface glycoprotein (Spike) in 11.4% of biopsy specimens, the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (Nucleocapsid) in 10.6% of biopsy specimens, and the envelope protein in 6.1% of biopsy specimens (Figure 1*C*). Detection using qPCR was unrelated to the intestinal location, the time from COVID-19 diagnosis to endoscopy, and IBD activity (Figure 1B and Table 2). SARS-CoV-2 expression was not detectable in stool from patients in this cohort (Supplementary Figure 1).

To confirm long-term viral antigen persistence in the mucosa and to confine the cellular localization in the gut, we performed immunofluorescence of intestinal biopsy specimens targeting the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein. The specificity of the immunofluorescence signal was confirmed in ACE2 and TMPRSS2 overexpressing vero cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (positive control) and mucosal biopsy specimens collected in 2017, ie, before the pandemic (negative control; Supplementary Figure 2). In 52% (24/46) of patients from our cohort, we detected immunoreactivity against the viral nucleocapsid phosphoprotein in intestinal epithelial cells and immune cells in the lamina propria in the small and large intestine (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure 2 and 3). Notably, all patients with a positive nucleocapsid qPCR signal (n = 13) also displayed nucleocapsid immunoreactivity in at least 1 of 3 analyzed gut

715

716

717

718

719

720

ARTICLE IN PRESS



veb 4C/FPO

### Postacute COVID-19 and IBD 7

Α Blood: ★ Biopsy S1 RBD IgG ELISA Nucleocapsid IgG ELISA Spike & nucleocapsid IGRA Spike & nucleocapsid ICFC Stool: Faecal Calprotectin Biopsy Specimens: - SARS-CoV-2 qPCR SARS-CoV-2 immunofluorescence SARS-CoV-2 cultivation Questionnaire: Post-acute COVID-19 symptoms В n=10/41 n=15/45 n=13/46 biopsies with positive qPCR result n=19/46 lleum Duodenum Colon Biopsy sites positive All negative All patients n=14/46 One positive n=46 Two positive Three positive n=9/46 ę n=4/46 (%) С n=41/132 n=18/132 n=15/132 n=14/132 n=8/132 SARS-CoV-2 Spike SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid **qPCR** result SARS-CoV-2 Envelope SARS-CoV-2 RdRF % of positive qPCR results pos. One | Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence frequently occurs in the gut mucosa. (A) Study design. In a cohort of 46 patients with IBD with history of COVID-19, 219 days (range, 94-257) after the first positive PCR test endoscopy was performed and biopsy-derived tissue was phenotyped for SARS-CoV-2 mucosal antigen persistence and IBD activity. Patients were also evaluated for symptoms compatible with postacute COVID-19 sequelae using a questionnaire. The questionnaire was adapted according to the AWMF Post-Covid/long-Covid S1 guidelines<sup>32</sup>, and systemic SARS-CoV-2–directed immune responses were <sup>926</sup> analyzed. (B) SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in 32/46 patients using qPCR. Viral RNA was detected in 1 biopsy in 19 patients, in 2 biopsies in 9 patients, and in all 3 biopsies collected in 4 patients. Detection was unrelated to the intestinal location and viral RNA was detected in 15/46 duodenal, 10/46 ileal, and 13/46 colonic samples. (C) Biopsies were analysed for 4 viral transcripts using qPCR (ie, RNA polymerase [RdRP], nucleocapsid phosphoprotein [Nucleocapsid], surface glycoprotein [Spike], and envelope protein). The red bar (left) indicates the proportion of biopsies with at least 1 positive gPCR signal, the

segments (Figure 2B). In addition, 11 patients who were RdRP-, spike-, or envelope-positive in qPCR (but without a nucleocapsid qPCR signal) displayed nucleocapsid immunoreactivity (Figure 2B and Table 2), indicating a patchy

blue bars illustrate the proportions for the respective transcript.

expression pattern as previously reported.<sup>15</sup> Nucleocapsid immunoreactivity specifically localized to epithelial cells (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figures 2 and 3), and possibly stem cells (Supplementary Figure 4), and to a lesser extent 

#### 8 Zollner et al

TRANSLATIONAL AT

#### Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



977

978

979

980

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

**TRANSLATIONAL AT** 

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the epithelium and lamina propria (Figure 2*C*).

962 These observations led us to explore whether viral an-963 tigen persistence in the gut mucosa could be explained by 964 SARS-CoV-2 replication. We homogenized biopsy-derived 965 mucosal tissue from each of the 46 patients and cocul-966 tured the lysate with ACE2 and TMPRSS2 overexpressing 967 vero cells. Although this model system showed strong 968 replication of SARS-CoV-2 with lysates from nasal swabs of 969 patients with symptomatic acute COVID-19, intestinal bi-970 opsy lysates from our cohort did not show evidence of 971 replication (Supplementary Figure 5). Collectively, these 972 data demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 viral antigen persis-973 tence frequently occurs in the gut mucosa even months 974 after acute COVID-19, but was not detectable in stool and 975 appeared unrelated to viral replication. 976

### SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Persistence Links to Postacute Sequelae of COVID-19

In a next step, we hypothesized that gut mucosal SARS-981 CoV-2 antigen persistence links to postacute sequelae of 982 COVID-19. We stratified patients into 2 groups based on a 983 positive SARS-CoV-2 qPCR result along the gut. Patients 984 with a positive qPCR result were compared with patients 985 who displayed no evidence for viral antigen persistence 986 987 based on qPCR. Time from and disease characteristics of acute COVID-19 and IBD were comparable between both 988 groups as shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary 989 Table 2. Notably, only patients who displayed viral RNA 990 991 expression in the gut reported symptoms compatible with postacute COVID-19 sequelae (Figure 3A). Patients without 992 evidence for viral antigen persistence in our cohort (n = 14)993 994 did not display postacute COVID-19 symptoms (Figure 3A). We confirmed this observation by stratifying patients 995 according to nucleocapsid immunoreactivity assessed 996 using immunofluorescence (rather than qPCR positivity) 997 (Figure 3B). Viral antigen persistence occurred in patients 998 with and without immunosuppressive therapy (ie, azathio-999 prine, anti-TNF therapy, or vedolizumab; Figure 3C) and 1000 was unrelated to gut inflammation indicated using fecal 1001 calprotectin (Figure 3D and Supplementary Table 2). 1002

Finally, we sought to define potential mechanisms of 1003 viral antigen persistence in the intestine.<sup>15</sup> We analyzed 1004 SARS-CoV-2-associated humoral and cellular immune 1005 1006 responses with ELISAs, IGRA, and by surface and ICFC of peripheral blood cells (using peptide pools mapping the 1007 1008 spike and the nucleocapsid proteins). Blood-derived immune cells from patients with gut antigen persistence 1009 1010 exhibited a comparable IFN- $\gamma$  release on SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid exposure as patients without antigen persis-1011 tence (Figure 3E). We rather noted that patients with gut 1012 viral antigen persistence more frequently lacked evidence of 1013 antinucleocapsid IgG antibodies (Figure 3F) and that anti-1014 1015 TNF immunosuppressive therapy was associated with impaired inflammatory T-cell responses on nucleocapsid 1016 peptide stimulation (Figure 3G and Supplementary 1017 Figure 6). 1018

1019

1020

### Discussion

SARS-CoV-2 infection causes acute COVID-19, ranging from asymptomatic to severe cases, partly depending on immunocompetency of the host.<sup>22</sup> We rationed that viral antigen persistence may underlie postacute sequelae of Q20 COVID-19. Our findings indicate that viral antigens, but not infectious virions, persist in the gut mucosa long beyond mild acute COVID-19 in patients with IBD. More specifically, antigen persistence occurs in 52%-70% of patients after  $\sim$  7 months in our IBD cohort (dependent on the definition, ie, a positive nucleocapsid immunofluorescence in any gut segment, or viral RNA expression of at least 1 of 4 viral transcripts in any gut segment determined using qPCR). Viral antigen persistence of the nucleocapsid was detectable in epithelial cells and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the gut. Viral antigen persistence was observed in patients with and without immunosuppressive therapy and was unrelated to severity of acute COVID-19 or gut inflammation in IBD at time of endoscopy. We argue that viral antigen persistence reflects incomplete clearance of SARS-CoV-2 rather than subclinical (latent or persistent) infection because we were unable to replicate virus from biopsy-derived tissue. In line with this, we usually detected only some (but not all) viral transcripts in biopsy specimens from the same patient. Our experimental data rather suggest that immunosuppressive therapy with or without genetic predisposition (affecting the immune system) may promote incomplete viral clearance.<sup>23</sup> Indeed, we find that some patients exhibit a lack of humoral nucleocapsid IgG antibodies, which is pronounced in those with gut antigen persistence. In line with this notion, a previous report demonstrated immune dysregulation, eg, a decrease of nucleocapsid-specific IFN- $\gamma$ -producing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in patients with postacute COVID-19.<sup>24</sup>

To our knowledge, our study is the largest COVID-19related gastrointestinal endoscopy study today and the first report that links viral antigen persistence with postacute COVID-19. In our report, only patients with gut antigen persistence (determined using qPCR) reported postacute COVID-19 symptoms. In contrast, none of the patients without evidence for antigen persistence in the gut reported symptoms of postacute COVID-19. This observation strongly argues for a role of viral antigen persistence in postacute COVID-19 and it appears plausible that SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence, possibly in infected tissues beyond the gut, could impact host immune responses underlying the postacute COVID-19 syndrome.<sup>1</sup> This notion is supported by an influenza mouse model, demonstrating that ineffective viral clearance modulates adaptive immune responses and the formation of memory T cells in draining lymph nodes of the lung.<sup>25</sup> Along these lines, a recent report demonstrated that patients who survived COVID-19 pneumonia displayed longterm pulmonary CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell alterations (although pulmonary antigen persistence was not explored).<sup>26</sup> Our findings also appear notable in light of the observation that T-cell activation is prolonged for 6 months in COVID-19 when compared with other acute viral infections.<sup>27-29</sup> In addition, a recent study revealed highly activated innate immune cells and persistent activation of T cells in individuals suffering

#### Zollner et al

from postacute COVID-19, although the origin of this

cohort and direct proof that SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence

affects host immune responses. Moreover, we restricted our

Limitations of our study are the lack of a replication

hyperactivated state remains obscure.<sup>14</sup>

#### Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■

studies to patients with IBD because it was initially

conceived that this population may be particularly vulner-

able to COVID-19 infection (with or without an impact on

IBD activity). Our findings could be applicable to patients

without IBD because viral antigen persistence has been



FLA 5.6.0 DTD ■ YGAST65033 proof ■ 26 May 2022 ■ 10:29 am ■ ce

1215

1216

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

AT

**NSLATIONAL** 

TRA

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300 1301

1320

reported in the gut 2 months after COVID-19 in patients 1201 without IBD or immunosuppression.<sup>15</sup> Whether the re-1202 ported link between gut viral antigen persistence and 1203 postacute COVID-19 is applicable to patients without IBD 1204 warrants controlled clinical trials. Our findings are also 1205 consistent with a growing body of evidence showing that 1206 COVID-19 does not affect gut inflammation in IBD.<sup>30</sup> 1207

1208<sup>021</sup> Collectively, we provide evidence for SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence in the gut as a basis for immune perturba-1209 tion in postacute COVID-19. Whether viral antigen 1210 persistence (in and beyond the gut) underlies the patho-1211 physiology of postacute COVID-19 warrants further clinical 1212 trials to tackle this rapidly emerging disorder across the 1213 globe.<sup>12</sup> 1214

# Supplementary Material

1217 Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying 1218 this article, visit the online version of *Gastroenterology* 1219 at www.gastrojournal.org, and at https://doi.org/10.1053/ 1220 j.gastro.2022.04.037. 1221

### References

- 1. Gupta A, Madhavan MV, Sehgal K, et al. Extrapulmonary manifestations of COVID-19. Nat Med 2020;26: 1017-1032.
- 2. Jackson CB. Farzan M. Chen B. et al. Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into cells. Nat Rev Molec Cell Biol 2021.
- 3. Penninger JM, Grant MB, Sung JJY. The role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 in modulating gut microbiota, intestinal inflammation, and coronavirus infection. Gastroenterology 2021;160:39-46.
  - 4. Effenberger M, Grabherr F, Mayr L, et al. Faecal calprotectin indicates intestinal inflammation in COVID-19. Gut 2020;69:1543.
  - 5. Lamers Mart M, Beumer J, van der Vaart J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 productively infects human gut enterocytes. Science 2020;369:50-54.

- 6. Wu Y, Guo C, Tang L, et al. Prolonged presence of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in faecal samples. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;5:434-435.
- 7. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F, et al. Persistent symptoms in patients after acute COVID-19. JAMA 2020; 324:603-605.
- 8. Greenhalgh T, Knight M, A'Court C, et al. Management of post-acute covid-19 in primary care. BMJ 2020; 370:m3026.
- 9. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med 2021;27:601-615.
- 10. Datta SD, Talwar A, Lee JT. A proposed framework and timeline of the spectrum of disease due to SARS-CoV-2 infection: illness beyond acute infection and public health implications. JAMA 2020;324:2251-2252.
- 11. AI-Alv Z. Xie Y. Bowe B. High-dimensional characterization of post-acute sequelae of COVID-19. Nature 2021;594:259-264.
- 12. Xie Y, Bowe B, Al-Aly Z. Burdens of post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 by severity of acute infection, demographics and health status. Nat Commun 2021; 12:6571.
- 13. Lund LC, Hallas J, Nielsen H, et al. Post-acute effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection in individuals not requiring hospital admission: a Danish population-based cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2021;21:1373-1382.
- 14. Phetsouphanh C, Darley DR, Wilson DB, et al. Immunological dysfunction persists for 8 months following initial mild-to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Immunol 2022
- 15. Gaebler C, Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, et al. Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Nature 2021; 591:639-644.
- 16. Ritchie H, Mathieu E, Rodés-Guirao L, Appel Cameron, et al. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). 2020. Available at: https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus. Accessed on XXX.
- 17. Zollner A, Schmiderer A, Reider SJ, et al. Faecal biomarkers in inflammatory bowel diseases: calprotectin versus lipocalin-2-a comparative study. J Crohns Colitis 2021;15:43-54.

1242 1302 Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 antigen persistence links to postacute seguelae of COVID-19. (A) Patients were stratified based on viral 1243 1303 oPCR positivity in any out segment. Patients without evident mucosal viral RNA by PCR (negative [neg.]) were compared with 1244 1304 patients with detectable viral RNA (positive [pos.]). Proportion of patients who reported symptoms compatible with postacute 1245 1305 COVID-19 syndrome is shown in red. Note that 0/14 patients without detectable mucosal viral RNA (based on qPCR in any gut 1246 1306 segment) reported symptoms, whereas postacute COVID-19 symptoms were reported from 21/32 patients with detectable 1247 1307 RNA (with specific symptoms listed). Statistical significance was calculated using the Fisher exact test. (B) Patients were 1248 1308 stratified according to nucleocapsid immunoreactivity as assessed using immunofluorescence and data is depicted equivalent 1249 1309 to (A). (C) Proportion of patients without IBD medication, with 5-aminosalycilates, and with immunosuppressive therapy (ie, azathioprine, anti-TNF therapy, or vedolizumab) in both groups (defined using qPCR positivity). (D) Quantification of fecal 1250 1310 calprotectin concentrations in both groups (defined using qPCR positivity) using ELISA. (E) Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 1251 1311 nucleocapsid specific T-cell responses defined using IGRA (according to qPCR positivity). (F) Proportion of patients with 1252 1312 positive, borderline, and negative nucleocapsid IgG in both groups (defined using qPCR positivity). Borderline and negative 1253 1313 results were pooled, and statistical significance was calculated using the Fisher exact test. (G) Relative quantification of 1254 1314 indicated cytokines defined using ICFC of T cells from patients with or without anti-TNF therapy after exposure to peptides of 1255 1315 the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (n = 5). Boxplots represent median percentages of cytokine-positive CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> cells. 1256 Differences between groups were calculated using the Fisher exact test (A and B), 2-tailed Student t tests (D, E, and F), and 2-1316 way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Sidak multiple comparison post-hoc test (G). Boxplots represent values as median 1257 1317 (bold horizontal line), interquartile range (IQR) (box), and 1.5 x IQR (whiskers). Bars represent the mean and whiskers the 1258 1318 standard deviation. 1259 1319

#### 12 Zoliner et al

2020;9.

19:141-154.

36:109518.

23:23-32.

19:2312-2314.e3.

18. Park M, Won J, Choi BY, et al. Optimization of primer

real-time PCR. Exp Molec Med 2020;52:963-977.

19. Riepler L, Rössler A, Falch A, et al. Comparison of four

20. Kristiansen PA, Page M, Bernasconi V, et al. WHO In-

ulin. Lancet (London, England) 2021;397:1347-1348.

21. Zollner A, Watschinger C, Rössler A, et al. B and T cell

22. Hu B, Guo H, Zhou P, et al. Characteristics of SARS-

23. McGonagle D, Kearney MF, O'Regan A, et al. Thera-

24. Peluso MJ, Deitchman AN, Torres L, et al. Long-term

25. Kim TS, Hufford MM, Sun J, et al. Antigen persistence

26. Cheon IS, Li C, Son YM, et al. Immune signatures un-

27. Mathew D, Giles Josephine R, Baxter Amy E, et al.

28. Roukens AHE, Pothast CR, König M, et al. Prolonged

29. Ryan FJ, Hope CM, Masavuli MG, et al. Long-term

after SARS-CoV-2 infection. BMC Med 2022;20:26.

30. Lukin DJ, Funez-dePagnier G, Lima S, et al. No durable

31. Satsangi J, Silverberg MS, Vermeire S, et al. The Mon-

EBioMedicine 2021;70:103539.

COVID-19. Lancet Rheumatol 2021.

Med 2010;207:1161-1172.

Immunol 2021;6:eabk1741.

Science 2020;369:eabc8511.

sets and detection protocols for SARS-CoV-2

of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) using PCR and

SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assays. Vaccines (Basel)

ternational Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglob-

response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in health care

professionals with and without previous COVID-19.

CoV-2 and COVID-19. Nat Rev Microbiol 2021;

peutic implications of ongoing alveolar viral replication in

SARS-CoV-2-specific immune and inflammatory re-

sponses in individuals recovering from COVID-19 with

and without post-acute symptoms. Cell Rep 2021;

and the control of local T cell memory by migrant res-

piratory dendritic cells after acute virus infection. J Exp

derlying post-acute COVID-19 lung sequelae. Sci

Deep immune profiling of COVID-19 patients reveals

distinct immunotypes with therapeutic implications.

activation of nasal immune cell populations and devel-

opment of tissue-resident SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ T

cell responses following COVID-19. Nat Immunol 2022;

perturbation of the peripheral immune system months

impact of COVID-19 on intestinal disease activity in

subjects with IBD. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;

treal classification of inflammatory bowel disease:

#### Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■

1381 1382 1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

Q25

1321

1322

1323

1324

- 1330
- 1331 1332
- 1333
- 1334
- 1335 1336
- 1337

1338 1339

- 1340
- 1341 1342
- 1343 1344

1345

1346

- BASIC AND TRANSLATIONAL AT
- 1356 1357
- 1358
- 1359 1360

1361

1362 1363

- 1364
- 1365 1366
- 1367
- 1368 1369

1370 1371

- 1372 1373
- 1374
- 1375
- 1376
- 1377 1378
- 1379
- 1380

controversies, consensus, and implications. Gut 2006; 55:749-753.

- 32. WHO Working Group on the Clinical Characterisation and Management of COVID-19 infection, a minimal common outcome measure set for COVID-19 clinical research. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20:e192-e197.
- 33. Koczulla AR, Ankermann T, Behrends U, et al. [S1 Post-COVID/Long-COVID]. Guideline Pneumologie Q22 Q23 2021;75:869-900.

\*Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

Received January 18, 2022. Accepted April 20, 2022.

#### Correspondence

Address correspondence to: Timon E. Adolph, MD, PhD, or Herbert Tilg, MD, Department of Internal Medicine I, Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Endocrinology & Metabolism, Medical University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. e-mail: timon-erik.adolph@i-med.ac.at or herbert.tilg@imed.ac.at.

#### **CRediT Authorship Contributions**

1399 Andreas Zollner, MD (Conceptualization: Equal; Data curation: Equal; Formal 1400 analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Visualization: Equal; Writing - original draft: Equal; Writing - review & editing: Equal). 1401 Robert Koch, MD (Conceptualization: Equal; Data curation: Equal; Formal 1402 analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Project administration: Equal; Validation: Equal; Writing - review & editing: Equal). 1403 Almina Jukic, MD, MSc (Conceptualization: Supporting; Data curation: 1404 Supporting; Formal analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting: Methodology: Supporting; Validation: Supporting; Visualization: Supporting; 1405 Writing - review & editing: Supporting). Alexandra Pfister, BSc (Data curation: 1406 Supporting; Formal analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; 1407 Methodology: Supporting; Validation: Supporting; Visualization: Supporting; Writing - review & editing: Supporting). Moritz Meyer, MD (Data curation: 1408 Supporting; Formal analysis: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Writing -1409 review & editing: Supporting). Annika Rössler, MSc (Formal analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Writing -1410 review & editing: Supporting). Janine Kimpel, PhD, MD (Formal analysis: 1411 Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Writing editing: Supporting). 1412 review & Timon Erik Adolph, PhD, (Conceptualization: Equal; Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; 1413 Funding acquisition: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Project 1414 administration: Equal; Validation: Equal; Visualization: Equal; Writing original draft: Equal; Writing - review & editing: Equal). Herbert Tilg, MD 1415 (Conceptualization: Equal; Data curation: Supporting; Formal analysis: Equal; 1416 Funding acquisition: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Project administration: Equal; Resources: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Validation: 1417 Supporting; Visualization: Supporting; Writing - original draft: Equal; Writing -1418 review & editing: Equal).

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors disclose no conflicts.

#### Funding

This article received support from the European Research Council (#101039320) and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF P33070) (to T.E.A) and financial support from the Excellence Initiative (Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies [COMET]) of the Austrian Research Promotion Agency FFG: Research Center of Excellence in Vascular Ageing Tyrol, Q3 VASCage (K-Project number 843536) funded by BMVIT, BMWFW, Wirtschaftsagentur Wien, and Standortagentur Tirol (to H.T.).

#### Data Transparency Statement

Data will be made available after publication.

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

- 1437 1438
- 1439

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1441 1443

# 1442

# Immunofluorescence

Supplementary Methods

1444 Intestinal biopsy specimens were collected in RPMI and 1445 immediately transferred in cryomolds, covered with OCT 1446 embedding medium, and immersed in cold isopentane with 1447 liquid nitrogen until snap-frozen. Cryomolds were stored at 1448 -20°C until cryosectioning. For downstream immunofluo-1449 rescence 4- $\mu$ m cryostat sections were cut from the samples 1450 and subsequently stained for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and 1451 epithelial CK18, according to a standardized immunofluo-1452 rescence protocol. In brief endogenous peroxidase was 1453<sub>031</sub> blocked using Dako peroxidase block (Agilent, CA). Slides 1454 were incubated with Image-iT FX signal Enhancer (#I36933, 1455 Thermo Scientific, MA) and subsequently stained using 1456 antinucleocapsid (#PA5114448, Thermo Scientific, MA), 1457 anti-CD8 (#14-0008-80, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, 1458 MA), anti-LGR5 (#MA5-25644, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1459 Waltham, MA), and anti-CK18 (#LS-B11232, LifeSpan Bio-1460 sciences, WA) antibodies. Targets were visualized with 1461 secondary fluorophore conjugated antibodies. Nuclei were 1462 counterstained using ProLong Diamond antifade reagent 1463 with DAPI (Invitrogen, CA); 200- $\mu$ m  $\times$  200- $\mu$ m images were 1464 acquired on a Zeiss Axioobserver Z1 in combination with a 1465 LSM700 confocal laser scanning system containing 4 lasers 1466 with 405, 488, 555, and 654 nanometre wavelengths (Zeiss, 1467 Oberkochen, Germany). 1468

### Virus cultivation

Biopsy specimens stored in RPMI supplemented with 1471 10% FCS at -80°C were homogenized using a Precellys 24 1472 Homogenisator (Bertin, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). 1473

The isolation protocol as described as follows has been 1474 established using nasal swabs from symptomatic COVID-19 1475 patients (manuscript in preparation). 1476

After homogenization, the lysate was sterile filtrated 1477 through spin-x columns (Corning Inc, NY) and used to infect 1478 ACE-2 and TMPRSS2 overexpressing Vero cells<sup>18</sup> (Vero-1479 TMPRSS2/ACE2). The inoculum was added to the cells for 1480 1 h at 37°C, after which cells were washed once and main-1481 tained in high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium 1482 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) supplemented with 2% FCS, 1483 2% L-Glutamin, and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. Three days 1484 after infection, wells were analyzed for cytopathic effect 1485 (CPE). For wells with clear CPE, virus was harvested and 1486 frozen in aliquots at -80°C. For wells with absent or only 1487 partial CPE, a second passage was performed. Fresh 1488 confluent Vero-TMPRSS2/ACE2 cells were infected for 1 h 1489 with supernatant from the first passage. Subsequently, cells 1490 were washed and cultured for 3 days in complete medium 1491 with 2% FCS. Samples with absent CPE after 2 passages 1492 were regarded as negative for infectious virus. All work with 1493 live SARS-CoV-2 was performed in biosafety laboratory level 1494 3 facility. 1495

1496

1469

1470

- 1497
- 1498

1499

1500

### **IGRA**

The presence of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells directed against the spike (S) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins were assessed using IGRA and validated with ICFC.<sup>19</sup> To specifically stimulate SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells, lithium heparin whole blood or isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), were coincubated with peptide pools (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) consisting of 15-mer peptides with 11 amino acids overlap covering the entire sequence of the spike glycoprotein (pepS) and the complete sequence of the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (pepN).

For the IGRA  $600-\mu L$  lithium heparin aliquots whole blood were coincubated with and without SARS-CoV-2 specific peptides. Four sample preparations were used to determine IFN- $\gamma$ . One sample was mock treated to determine the steady state IFN- $\gamma$  expression; in the second and third sample IFN- $\gamma$  expressions were analyzed on stimulation with spike or nucleocapsid peptides (PepTivator SARS-CoV-2 ProtS, S1, S+ & N, Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). An additional sample stimulated with PMA/Ionomycin Q32 served as a positive control. After 24 h on 37°C, the samples were spun down at 2000g for 15 min and the supernatant was stored at -80°C. Concentrations of IFN- $\gamma$  were measured using a human IFN- $\gamma$  ELISA kit (BD OptEIA Set Human IFNg, BD Biosciences Pharmingen, NJ) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Negative control samples were diluted 1:5. SARS-CoV-2 peptide (pepS and pepN) coincubated samples were diluted 1:5, 1:10, and 1:15. PMA-stimulated samples were diluted 1:80 using dilution buffer. Spike and nucleocapsid reactivity were calculated by subtracting the untreated response from that of the stimulation

#### **ICFC**

To decipher subsets of the T-cell compartment, SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells were expanded and analyzed using ICFC. First lithium heparin whole blood was collected and PBMCs were isolated using a Lymphoprep density gradient medium (Stemcell, Vancouver, Canada) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, 4 mL lithium heparin blood were diluted with 3 mL PBS, PBMCs were isolated by Q33 layering the 7 mL on 4 mL Lymphoprep and subsequent density-gradient centrifugation for 30 min at 850g. PBMCs were collected from the interphase, washed twice, cryopreserved in heat-inactivated FCS supplemented with 10% dimethylsulfoxid (Sigma, MO), and stored in liquid nitrogen until further use.

To expand SARS-CoV-2–reactive T cells,  $2 \times 10^{5}$  PBMCs in 200  $\mu$ L of RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FCS were pulsed with 0.6  $\mu$ g/mL spike (pepS, pepS1, and pepS+) or nucleocapsid (pepN) peptide pools in the presence of 10 U/mL interleukin-2 (IL2). Cells were cultured with IL2 only served as a negative control. After 60 h, cells were restimulated with or without 1  $\mu$ g/mL spike or nucleocapsid peptide pools. Cells stimulated with 4  $\mu$ g/mL PHA served as positive controls. After combined surface Q34

- 1555 1556
- 1557
- 1558
- 1559
  - 1560

#### 12.e2 Zollner et al

### Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■

1561(CD45, CD4, CD8, CD45RO, CD69) and intracellular cytokine1562staining (IFN- $\gamma$ , TNF $\alpha$ , IL-17A, granzymeB) specific T-cell1563responses were acquired on a CytoFLEX Flow Cytometer1564(Beckman Coulter, CA) and analyzed with Flowjo v10.61565(Becton Dickinson, NJ). As in IGRA spike and nucleocapsid

reactivity was calculated by subtracting the untreated Q35 response from that of stimulation. A representative gating strategy is shown in Supplementary Figure 5. Antibodies and the respective suppliers are depicted in Supplementary Table 3.



**Supplementary Figure 1.** Stool SARS-CoV-2 PCR. RNA was isolated from stool of patients before the pandemic (neg. ctrl; n = 4), from the study cohort (n = 46), and stool from COVID-19 subjects (pos. ctrl; n = 4). The red bars indicate percentages of samples with positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR. The blue bars show CT values for the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (R), the spike (S), the nucleocapsid (N), and the envelope (E).

### Postacute COVID-19 and IBD 12.e3





**Supplementary Figure 3.** Additional SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid immunofluorescence from intestinal tissue. As in Supplementary Figure 1, representative confocal microscopy images of viral nucleocapsid (*red*) in the duodenum, ileum, and colon mucosa from 5 patients with IBD ~219 days after acute COVID-19. Cytokeratin 18 (*blue*) visualizes the cytoskeleton and DAPI (*vellow*) depict the nucleus. Scale bar (*bottom left*) indicates 50  $\mu$ m.

#### Postacute COVID-19 and IBD 12.e5



Supplementary Figure 4. Nucleocapsid/Lgr5 immunofluorescence. Representative confocal microscopy image of costainings of viral nucleocapsid (red) and Lgr5 (green) in the mucosa from a patient with IBD  $\sim$ 219 days after acute COVID-19. Cytokeratin 18 (blue) visualizes the cytoskeleton and DAPI (grey) depicts the nucleus. Scale bar indicates 100 µm.

#### 12.e6 Zollner et al

#### Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■





**Supplementary Figure 5.** SARS-CoV-2 cultivation. Lysates of negative controls (n = 4), the study cohort (n = 46), and positive controls (n = 4) were used to infect ACE-2 and TMPRSS2 overexpressing Vero cells (Vero-TMPRSS2/ACE2) and cytopathic effect was analyzed. The percentages of samples showing a cytopathic effect are indicated in *red*.



### 12.e8 Zollner et al

Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



### **2022**

# 2401

### Supplementary Table 1.SARS-CoV-2 and Human qPCR Primer Pairs

rarget gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
SARS-CoV-2 genes		
Surface glycoprotein	GCTGGTGCTGCAGCTTATTA	AGGGTCAAGTGCACAGTCTA
Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	CAATGCTGCAATCGTGCTAC	GTTGCGACTACGTGATGAGG
RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	AGAATAGAGCTCGCACCGTA	CTCCTCTAGTGGCGGCTATT
Envelope protein	TTCGGAAGAGACAGGTACGTTA	AGCAGTACGCACACAATCG
Human gene		
$\beta$ -actin	CACCATTGGCAATGAGCGGTTC	AGGTCTTTGCGGATGTCCACG

	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA–negative	Mucosal SARS-CoV-2 RNA-positive	Overall	Р
N	14	32	46	
General laboratory parameters				
Urea ( <i>mg/dL</i> )	24.05 (21.58, 25.53)	23.05 (18.00, 27.52)	23.90 (19.27, 27.40)	.62
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.81 (0.68, 0.91)	0.90 (0.75, 1.01)	0.86 (0.73, 0.97)	.09
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.74 (0.60, 1.08)	0.92 (0.55, 1.14)	0.86 (0.56, 1.13)	.75
Sodium (mmol/L)	138.00 (137.00, 140.75)	139.00 (138.00, 140.00)	139.00 (138.00, 140.00)	.40
Potassium (mmol/L)	3.70 (3.52, 4.02)	4.00 (3.70, 4.23)	3.90 (3.62, 4.20)	.06
Chloride (mmol/L)	102.00 (101.25, 104.75)	103.00 (102.00, 105.00)	103.00 (102.00, 105.00)	.49
ASAT (U/L)	21.50 (17.25, 32.25)	24.00 (19.75, 34.25)	22.50 (18.25, 34.75)	.63
ALAT $(U/L)$	21.00 (13.25, 29.50)	23.50 (17.00, 41.00)	23.00 (17.00, 38.75)	.31
Gamma GT (U/L)	16.50 (15.00, 28.75)	22.00 (15.75, 31.00)	20.00 (15.00, 31.25)	.39
AP ( <i>U/L</i> )	81.00 (65.75, 91.00)	78.50 (63.75, 92.00)	78.50 (64.25, 91.75)	.83
LDH ( <i>U/L</i> )	178.50 (164.75, 191.00)	190.00 (164.25, 201.50)	184.00 (164.25, 198.75)	.53
CRP (U/L)	0.11 (0.06, 0.25)	0.10 (0.06, 0.21)	0.10 (0.06, 0.24)	.76
Leukocytes (g/L)	6.00 (5.10, 6.27)	6.65 (5.22, 8.85)	6.25 (5.08, 8.45)	.17
Erythrocytes (g/L)	4.69 (4.35, 4.94)	4.92 (4.49, 5.31)	4.86 (4.46, 5.18)	.17
Hemoglobin (g/L)	136.00 (125.50, 145.50)	146.50 (138.75, 158.50)	144.50 (134.25, 153.00)	.02
Hematocrit (%)	0.40 (0.38, 0.44)	0.44 (0.41, 0.46)	0.44 (0.40, 0.46)	.02
MCH (pg)	28.80 (27.70, 31.40)	30.60 (29.25, 31.20)	30.25 (28.90, 31.20)	.18
MCV (fL)	87.80 (82.55, 92.72)	89.40 (87.53, 92.70)	88.95 (85.95, 92.70)	.36
MCHC (g/L)	331.00 (326.75, 339.75)	337.00 (328.00, 343.00)	336.50 (328.00, 343.00)	.27
RDW (%)	12.95 (12.75, 13.60)	12.80 (12.47, 13.20)	12.90 (12.50, 13.20)	.24
Thrombocytes (g/L)	242.00 (215.00, 297.25)	267.00 (223.75, 305.25)	262.50 (218.25, 302.75)	.50
MPV (fL)	10.90 (10.27, 11.60)	10.65 (9.97, 11.10)	10.75 (10.00, 11.20)	.25
Iron (µmol/L)	24.25 (18.52, 33.72)	25.85 (19.62, 32.75)	25.35 (19.28, 33.05)	.80
Ferritin (µg/g)	73.00 (46.50, 172.50)	124.00 (73.75, 175.75)	118.50 (60.25, 174.75)	.38
Transferrin (mg/dL)	269.50 (248.00, 295.50)	259.00 (236.75, 287.75)	261.50 (238.50, 289.25)	.50
Transferrin saturation (%)	36.00 (28.75, 48.75)	37.00 (28.00, 53.00)	36.00 (28.00, 53.00)	.96
Folate (µg/L)	8.85 (6.20, 13.30)	8.00 (6.90, 10.00)	8.00 (6.80, 10.30)	.57
Vitamin B12	327.00 (308.75, 396.00)	293.00 (234.75, 353.75)	307.00 (237.75, 367.25)	.15
Fecal calprotectin (µg/g)	51.45 (32.12, 60.48)	31.60 (16.00, 85.30)	36.95 (16.00, 69.88)	.40
NOTE. Data are reported as	median with interguartile ran	ge in parentheses.		
ALAT, ; AP, ; ASAT, ; CRP,	; LDH, ; MCH, ; MĊHC, ; MC	;Ҟ, ; MPV, ; RDW, .		

### 12.e10 Zollner et al

### 2521 Supplementary Table 3.Antibodies for ICFC

Reactivity	Fluorochrome	Supplier	RRID
CD4	Pe	Biolegend	AB_1937247
CD8	PerCP	Biolegend	AB_1575072
CD45	BV785	Biolegend	AB_2563128
CD45RO	PeDazzle	Biolegend	AB_2566542
CD69	BV605	Biolegend	AB_2562306I
IFN-γ	BV421	Biolegend	AB_2561398
TNFα	APC	Biolegend	AB_315264
IL-17A	AF700	Biolegend	AB_2280255
GranzymeB	FITC	Biolegend	AB_2114575
Live/Dead	V510	Tonbo Biosciences	Not available

FLA 5.6.0 DTD <b>■</b>	YGAST65033	proof <b>a</b> 26	6 May 2022 🔳	10:29 am ∎ ce