SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



Rapid Facial Mimicry In Geladas

Giada Mancini^{1,2}, Pier Francesco Ferrari¹ & Elisabetta Palagi^{2,3}

SUBJECT AREAS: ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR PSYCHOLOGY SOCIAL ANTHROPOLOGY EVOLUTIONARY THEORY

> Received 24 January 2013

> > Accepted 5 March 2013

Published 28 March 2013

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.F.F. (pierfrancesco. ferrari@unipr.it) or E.P. (betta.palagi@museo. unipi.it) ¹Dipartimento di Neuroscienze, Università di Parma, via Volturno 39, 43125, Parma, ²Museo di Storia Naturale, Università di Pisa, via Roma 79, 56011, Calci, Pisa, ³Istituto di Scienze e Tecnologie della Cognizione – CNR - via Ulisse Aldrovandi, 16/b - 00197, Roma.
Rapid facial mimicry (RFM) is an automatic response, in which individuals mimic others' expressions. RFM, only demonstrated in humans and apes, is grounded in the automatic perception-action coupling of the district of the second second

only demonstrated in humans and apes, is grounded in the automatic perception-action coupling of sensorimotor information occurring in the mirror neuron system. In humans, RFM seems to reflect the capacity of individuals to empathize with others. Here, we demonstrated that, during play, RFM is also present in a cercopithecoid species (*Theropithecus gelada*). Mother-infant play sessions were not only characterized by the highest levels of RFM, but also by the fastest responses. Our findings suggest that RFM in humans have homologous not only in apes, but also in cercopitecoids. Moreover, data point to similarities in the modality in which mother-infant synchronous behaviours are expressed among primates, suggesting a common evolutionary root in the basic elements of mother-infant affective exchanges.

acial mimicry is an involuntary, rapid, and automatic response, in which an individual mimics the facial expression of another individual. This phenomenon can be distinguished from other voluntarily and cognitive forms of imitation^{1,2} because of the rapidity of the response involving exclusively the face. Numerous studies document that people mimic emotional facial expressions of others within 1,000 ms³. Rapid facial mimicry (RFM) has been widely described in children^{4,5} and adult humans, *Homo sapiens*⁶, whose congruent reactions are elicited more frequently and rapidly in response to a dynamic facial expression compared to a static one⁷.

RFM has been proposed to be grounded in the automatic perception-action coupling of sensorimotor information that occurs in motor brain areas⁸. Neurophysiological evidence of this coupling is derived from the discovery of mirror neurons in the premotor and parietal cortices of monkeys^{9–11}. In fact, they fire when a monkey performs an action and when it observes a similar action performed by another individual⁹. Functional brain imaging studies in humans showed that the observation of facial emotions activates, similarly to monkeys, not only shared motor representations in premotor and parietal areas but also in insular and cingulate cortices, being these latter directly involved in processing visceromotor sensations. During the observation of a specific facial expression, the observer's covert motor activation results in the experience of a matching emotional state^{12–15}. In this perspective, human RFM has been theorized to be central in connecting emotionally two individuals. This theoretical account is also supported by behavioural studies showing that the frequency of RFM is higher among friends and kin than among unfamiliar individuals^{16–18}. Therefore, RFM could be advantageous to promote social connections and affiliative behaviours among individuals^{19,20}.

Considering the importance that RFM might play in social interactions, it has been proposed that this phenomenon is more widespread than previously reported and not confined to humans. In nonhuman primates RFM has been only investigated in the orang-utan, *Pongo pygmaeus*. In this study, orang-utans viewed a playful facial expression performed by a playmate and then produced a congruent expression within 1 sec. Such response appears to be homologous with RFM in hominoidea²¹.

Here, we investigated the presence of RFM in a cercopithecoid species, the gelada (*Theropithecus gelada*), by focussing on the facial expressions typically performed in the playful context. Geladas are a good model species because in captivity they engage in high levels of social play even as adults²². They show high levels of social affiliation spending much time in grooming sessions²³ and, finally, they frequently use playful facial displays to fine-tune their playful interactions²⁴: the play face (PF, the mouth is opened with only the lower teeth exposed) and the full play face (FPF, the lower and upper teeth and gums are exposed via the active retraction of the lips)²⁵. In addition to these important behavioural features, a recent finding of yawn contagion in geladas suggests that they are sensitive to the facial expressions of conspecifics with whom they are closely affiliated²⁶. Considering the features characterizing the species under study and the presence of the neural bases linked to the imitative phenomena in monkeys⁹, we expect that RFM is present in geladas (Prediction 1).

During RFM the subjects share not only the same facial expression but they also feel the same emotional experience underlining such facial expression (emotional contagion). This phenomenon represents one of the most basic forms of empathy^{2,19} which, in an evolutionary perspective, is probably rooted in the emotional contagion characterizing the strongest, and most basic, of the social bonds, the mother-infant one. Therefore, if RFM is favoured by inter-subject familiarity and/or has a genetic basis, we predict that mother-infant dyads are characterized by more frequent, accurate, and faster facial responses compared to unrelated dyads (Prediction 2).

Results

Prediction 1. The frequency of the three types of response significantly differed (*congruent, incongruent,* and *no-response;* for the definitions see Methods) both in adult (Friedman's $\chi^2 = 20.26$, n = 18, d.f. = 2, p = 0.0001) and in immature subjects (Friedman's $\chi^2 = 23.84$, n = 16, d.f. = 2, p = 0.0001) (Figure 1).

When the trigger stimulus was a lip-smacking (LS), the frequency of the three types of response significantly differed (*congruent, incongruent,* and *no-response;* for the definitions see Methods) both in adults (Friedman's $\chi^2 = 5.89$, n = 11, d.f. = 2, p = 0.052) and in immature subjects (Friedman's $\chi^2 = 21.72$, n = 16, d.f. = 2, p =0.0001) (Figure 2). Seven adult subjects were excluded from the analysis because they never received LS as stimulus during the playful context.

The frequency of congruent responses was higher than incongruent responses, when the trigger stimulus was a PF or a FPF (Wilcoxon's T = 0, *ties* = 4, n = 34, p = 0.0001). When the trigger stimulus was a LS the congruent responses did not significantly differ from the incongruent responses (Wilcoxon's T = 80.50, *ties* = 0, n =22, p = 0.134).

Congruent responses were faster than incongruent responses (Wilcoxon's T = 42.00, *ties* = 2, n = 23, p = 0.016).

Prediction 2. Immature individuals were equally likely to play with their mothers and other unrelated adults (Wilcoxon's T = 52.00, *ties* = 0, n = 16, p = 0.433), thus suggesting that playful motivation did not differ between mother-infant and unrelated dyads. Yet, immature individuals showed higher levels of RFM with their

mothers compared to the unrelated adults (Wilcoxon's T = 15.00, *ties* = 0, n = 13, p = 0.032; Figure 3). Three immature subjects were excluded from the RFM analysis because they did never have the opportunity to perceive a stimulus given by unrelated adults during their play sessions.

The frequency of RFM, which occurred between the two playmates, was faster between mothers and offsprings than between unrelated adults and immature individuals (Wilcoxon's T = 5.00, ties = 1, n = 13, p = 0.006; Figure 4).

Discussion

In the present study, we provide evidence that RFM occurs in a nonape species, the gelada (Prediction 1 supported). Specifically, both immature and adult subjects mimicked play faces (PF/PF; FPF/FPF) (Figure 1 and 5) but not lip-smacking (LS) (Figure 2 and 6). A clear RFM response was not found for LS. This is a signal that can have different meanings and functions depending on the context in which it is used, on the target animal to which it is directed, and on the species^{27,25}. Therefore, the low RFM frequency for LS could be due to the fact that, in the current study, it was recorded and analysed exclusively during playful activity. Additional data collected outside playful context could clarify this issue.

Why do play faces elicit mimicry responses? Primate play faces are considered homologous to human's laughter²⁸ which, being the external manifestation of joy and happiness, is found across many different human cultures²⁹. Different from LS, primate PF and FPF are strongly linked to an unambiguous positive emotion arising from play, an emotionally positive and self-rewarding behaviour³⁰. The primate play face can, through RFM, evoke in the perceiver the same positive emotional state^{31,32}. Indeed, this ability to instantly recognize and generate the same emotional states of others is adaptive, as it allows an individual to foresee the playmate's intentions³³ and fine-tune its own motor sequences accordingly²⁵. Such ability is a prerequisite to avoid any misunderstanding, manage a playful interaction successfully, and promote social affiliation³⁴.

In humans, mimicking others' facial expressions facilitates the recognition of the emotional state underlying such facial expressions^{28,18}. For example, Stel and van Knippenberg³⁵ showed that



Figure 1 | Rapid facial mimicry in adult and immature individuals (PF and FPF as stimuli) - RFM events per number of trigger stimuli, when the observer was an adult (on the left) and an immature individual (on the right). Thick horizontal lines indicate medians; height of the boxes corresponds to inter-quartile range; thin horizontal lines indicate range of observed values.





Figure 2 | Rapid facial mimicry in adult and immature individuals (LS as stimulus) - RFM events per number of trigger stimuli, when the observer was an adult (on the left) and an immature individual (on the right). Thick horizontal lines indicate medians; height of the boxes corresponds to interquartile range; thin horizontal lines indicate range of observed values.

blocking mimicry influenced the speed of facial expression recognition in women, but not their skill to categorize facial expressions as positive (i.e. happiness, joy) or negative (i.e. sadness, anger). Moreover, humans scoring high levels of RFM tended to have also high levels of empathy²⁸. Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that RFM is important in the recognition process when it requires fine distinctions of similar facial expressions conveying subtle differences in meaning²⁵, such as the processing of different smile types in humans²⁸.

In terms of proximate mechanisms responsible for RFM, it has been previously hypothesized that activating shared motor representation could explain this phenomenon, at least in part. Individuals can understand the meaning of an action performed by another individual through a direct activation of a corresponding motor representation^{10,36-38,9}. Normally, during action observation the motor output (i.e., the cortico-spinal tract, the muscles, etc.) is suppressed because some of the components of the motor network are not active. However, neural matching mechanisms, in conjunction with other motor areas, can produce an overt activation of the observed behaviours³⁹⁻⁴¹. This mechanism has been described, by means of different electrophysiological techniques, in some macaque species and also humans^{2,8,36}, and it has been shown to be involved, since very early in development, in imitative phenomena^{8,42}. It is likely that also in the gelada, a homolog mechanism is present and might contribute to several behavioural and psychological processes, including RFM. In humans, such mirroring activity may have implications for the capacity of individuals to empathize with others^{18,14,43}. While the correlation between the activity of mirror system and empathy is supported by several fMRI (functional magnetic resonance imaging) investigations, more recently it has been also shown that behavioural synchrony and matching activate neural circuits involved in reward and positive affect^{21,28}. In fact, research using infrared spectroscopy demonstrated that in both mothers and infants



Figure 3 | Rapid facial mimicry: infant-mother dyads vs infant-unrelated adult dyads - Frequency of the congruent responses (RFM event per number of PF and FPF perceived) exchanged between infants and their mothers and between infants and other unrelated group-members. Thick horizontal lines indicate medians; height of the boxes corresponds to interquartile range; thin horizontal lines indicate range of observed values.





Figure 4 | Time latency of congruent response: infant-mother dyads vs infant-unrelated adult dyads - Time latency (10 msec) of the congruent responses exchanged between infants and their mothers and between infants and other unrelated group-members. Thick horizontal lines indicate medians; height of the boxes corresponds to inter-quartile range; thin horizontal lines indicate range of observed values.

there is an increase in activation of the orbitofrontal cortex in response to the smile of one's own infant or mother, respectively⁴⁴. Despite the hypothetical link between the phenomenon of RFM and the interpersonal emotional connection, no study has ever empirically tested the emotional connection hypothesis in a nonhuman primate species. In line with this, RFM has never been investigated in mother-infant interactions, in which the emotional engagement is extremely high and thus, for this reason, it could represent an optimal social model to verify this hypothesis. Our findings, although far from definitely demonstrating the actual linkage between RFM and emotional connection, suggest that RFM differs both quantitatively (frequency; Figure 3) and qualitatively (time latency; Figure 4) according to the genetic and emotional closeness between playmates: the mother-infant dyads showed the highest level of RFM and the fastest responses (Prediction 2 supported).



Figure 5 | An example of congruent response in RFM - RFM during a play session between an adult (left) and an immature individual (right). The immature mimics the adult's full play face (FPF). (Photo by P.F. Ferrari).



Figure 6 | An example of incongruent response - Infant's incongruent response (right) to the facial expression of an unrelated female (left). Infant is performing a play face (PF) and adult female lip smacking (LS). (Photo by P.F. Ferrari).

The temporal coordination of face-to-face interaction that occurs between mothers and infants has been extensively documented in humans¹⁶. Such moments of affective matching are important for the neuro-physiological maturation and for the functional attachment relationship of the infant with the caregiver⁴⁵. In non-human primates, RFM could reflect one of the core elements of the motherinfant relationship and might represent an important indicator of the quality of such relationship.

Methods

Subjects and housing. The colony of geladas housed at the NaturZoo (Rheine, Germany) was composed of two one-male units (OMUs) including 2 adult males, 18 adult females, and 18 immature subjects (1–6 months, black infants; 7 months–2 years, infants; 3–4 years, juveniles). Kin relations were known.

Individual identification was based on sex, age, and on distinctive external features (scars, size, patterns of fur patches, fur colour, and facial traits). The two OMUs were housed in two enclosures, both with an indoor (rooms of about 36 m²) and outdoor facility (islands of 2,700 m² surrounded by a boundary ditch). The outside enclosures were located in an open, naturally hilly area equipped with trees, branches, ropes, and dens. The animals were fed with grass, vegetables, and pellets, which were scattered on the ground two times a day (9:30 a.m., 2:30 p.m.). Water was available *ad libitum*. No stereotypic or aberrant behaviours have ever been observed in this group. The research complied with current laws of Germany, Italy, and the European Community. The local committee of the NaturZoo has approved this study. The study was purely observational (with no manipulation whatsoever) and subjects were observed in their natural social setting. Thus, the ethical committee of the University of Pisa and of the University of Parma waived the need for a permit.

Data collection procedure. The 1,121 dyadic play bouts involving 18 adults and 16 immature subjects were video-recorded during a 4-month (June–September, 2009) and a 2-month period (July–August, 2010). Video-analysis was conducted using Kinovea v. 0.7.10 software.

A play session began when one partner invited another individual, or directed any playful pattern toward it. A session ended when playmates ceased their activities, one of them moved away, or when a third individual interfered, thus interrupting the interaction. If another play session began after a delay ≥ 10 s, that session was counted as new²⁵.

We defined RFM as the visible response of facial musculature by an observer to match the facial gestures in another individual's facial expression. This congruent response must be rapid: within 1 sec from the emission of the facial stimulus. To examine the presence of RFM we collected data on the playful expressions: the play face (PF) and the full play face (FPF)²⁵. Since during play geladas frequently lip smacked (LS, lips are protruded and then smacked together repeatedly, sometimes alternated with tongue protrusions) toward conspecifics, we measured LS as a control. Like PF and FPF, LS involves motor muscles of the orofacial area and it is a facial display used to signal benign intentions. Different from PF and FPF, LS is not a context-specific signal as it occurs in a variety of different contexts^{46,47}.



To test for the presence of RFM, we measured the facial displays of one individual (the observer, hereafter) to see whether the observer's expressions varied as a function of the facial displays of the play partner (the trigger, hereafter) within a 1-s time window. The triggers were the first playmates who emitted a facial stimulus (PF, FPF, or LS). In order to reliably assess that the facial expression performed by the observer was actually elicited by the facial expression performed by the trigger, we considered only those interactions in which the observer looked at the face of the trigger and did not show any facial expression in the 1 s prior to the trigger's stimulus. Chewing behaviours and biting transitional facial expressions were excluded from the analysis to reduce ambiguities during the analysis.

Each play session could involve more than one triggered event. In this case, we considered as a new event the subsequent triggered event that occurred after the two playmates had interrupted the visual contact for at least 2 sec. This made possible to collect more than one triggered event for each observed individual. Due to the subject variability in terms of play frequency and facial expressions performed, the analysis was carried out at an individual level for a more conservative statistical approach, which is usual when dealing with data collected under natural conditions.

After the trigger emitted a specific play signal (stimulus: PF or FPF), we categorized the observer's responses into three possible categories: *congruent*, *incongruent*, and *no-response*. The *congruent-response* occurred when the observer mirrored the same facial display of the trigger (stimulus PF/response PF; stimulus FPF/response FPF). When the observer responded with a LS, the response was labelled as *incongruent*. When the observer did not show any facial reaction (neutral face) we categorized the absence of response as *no-response*. The same analysis was conducted considering LS as the stimulus. Observers who never displayed PF, FPF, or LS in response to a stimulus were excluded from the analysis.

The latencies were measured frame-by-frame starting from the onset of the trigger stimulus and ending with the onset of the observer's facial response with 10 msec accuracy.

Statistical analysis. Due to non-normal data distribution, we employed nonparametric statistics⁴⁸. To compare the frequency and the latency of the observer's response we applied the Friedman test when k > 2 and the Wilcoxon's test when k = 2. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare the frequency of responses for immature subjects and adults. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software. Exact tests were applied to all the analyses⁴⁹.

- Dimberg, U., Thunberg, M. & Grunedal, S. Facial reactions to emotional stimuli: automatically controlled emotional responses. *Cognition Emotion* 16, 449–471 (2002).
- Iacoboni, M. Imitation, empathy, and mirror neurons. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 60, 653–670 (2009).
- Dimberg, U., Thunberg, M. & Elmehed, K. Unconscious facial reactions to emotional facial expressions. *Psychol. Sci.* 11, 86–89 (2000).
- Beall, P. M., Moody, E. J., McIntosh, D. N., Hepburn, S. L. & Reed, C. L. Rapid facial reactions to emotional facial expressions in typically developing children and children with autism spectrum disorder. *J. Exp. Child Psychol.* 101, 206–223 (2008).
- Jones, S. S. The development of imitation in infancy. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 364, 2325–2335 (2009).
- Dimberg, U. & Thunberg, M. Rapid facial reactions to emotional facial expressions. *Scand. J. Psychol.* 39, 39–45 (2009).
- Sato, W. & Yoshikawa, S. Spontaneous facial mimicry in response to dynamic facial expressions. *Cognition* 104, 1–18 (2007).
- Ferrari, P. F., Bonini, L. & Fogassi, L. From monkey mirror neurons to primate behaviours: possible 'direct' and 'indirect' pathways. *Philos. Trans R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 364, 2311–2323 (2009).
- Ferrari, P. F., Gallese, V., Rizzolatti, G. & Fogassi, L. Mirror neurons responding to the observation of ingestive and communicative mouth actions in the monkey ventral premotor cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 17, 1703–1714 (2003).
- Gallese, V., Fadiga, L., Fogassi, L. & Rizzolatti, G. Action recognition in the premotor cortex. *Brain* 119, 593–609 (1996).
- di Pellegrino, G., Fadiga, L., Fogassi, L., Gallese, V. & Rizzolatti, G. Understanding motor events: a neurophysiological study. *Exp. Brain Res.* 91, 176–180 (1992).
- Carr, L., Iacoboni, M., Dubeau, M. C., Mazziotta, J. C. & Lenzi, G. L. Neural mechanisms of empathy in humans: a relay from neural systems for imitation to limbic areas. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 100, 5497–5502 (2003).
- Singer, T. *et al.* Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. *Science* 303, 1157–67 (2004).
- Pfeifer, J. H., Iacoboni, M., Mazziotta, J. C. & Dapretto, M. Mirroring others' emotions relates to empathy and interpersonal competence in children. *NeuroImage* 39, 2076–2085 (2008).

- Caruana, F., Jezzini, A., Sbriscia-Fioretti, B., Rizzolatti, G. & Gallese, V. Emotional and social behaviors elicited by electrical stimulation of the insula in the macaque monkey. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 1–5 (2011).
- Feldman, R. Parent-infant synchrony and the construction of shared timing; physiological precursors, developmental outcomes, and risk conditions. J. Child Psychol. Psyc. 49, 329–354 (2007).
- 17. McIntosh, D. N. Spontaneous facial mimicry, liking and emotional contagion. *Pol. Psychol. Bull.* **37**, 31–42 (2006).
- Norscia, I. & Palagi, E. Yawn contagion and empathy in *Homo sapiens*. *PLoS ONE* 6 (12), e28472 (2011).
- 19. de Waal, F. B. M. & Ferrari, P. F. Towards a bottom-up perspective on human and animal cognition. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 14, 201–207 (2010).
- Paukner, A., Suomi, S. J., Visalberghi, E. & Ferrari, P. F. Capuchin monkeys display affiliation toward humans who imitate them. *Science* 325, 880–883 (2009).
- 21. Davila-Ross, M., Menzler, S. & Zimmermann, E. Rapid facial mimicry in orangutan play. *Biol. Lett.* **4**, 27–30 (2008).
- 22. Mancini, G. & Palagi, E. Play and social dynamics in a captive herd of gelada baboons (*Theropithecus gelada*). *Behav. Proc.* **82**, 286–292 (2009).
- Leone, A. & Palagi, E. Reconciling conflicts in a one-male society: the case of geladas (*Theropithecus gelada*). *Primates* 51, 203–212 (2010).
- Dunbar, R. I. M. & Dunbar, E. P. Social Dynamics of Gelada Baboons (Basel, Germany, Karger, 1975).
- Palagi, E. & Mancini, G. Playing with the face: playful facial "chattering" and signal modulation in a monkey species (*Theropithecus gelada*). J. Comp. Psychol. 125, 11–21 (2011).
- Palagi, E., Leone, A., Mancini, G. & Ferrari, P. F. Contagious yawning in gelada baboons as a possible expression of empathy. *Proc. Nalt. Acad. Sci. USA* 106, 19262–19267 (2009).
- 27. Maestripieri, D. Gestural communication in macaques: usage and meaning of nonvocal signals. *Evolution of Communication* 1, 193–222 (1997).
- Niedenthal, P. M., Mermillod, M., Maringer, M. & Hess, U. The simulation of smiles (SIMS) model: embodied simulation and the meaning of facial expression. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 33, 417–433; discussion 433–480 (2010).
- Sauter, D. A., Eisner, F., Ekman, P. & Scott, S. K. Cross-cultural recognition of basic emotions through nonverbal emotional vocalizations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 107, 2408–12 (2010).
- van Hooff, J. A. R. A. M. & Preuschoft, S. Laughter and smiling: the intertwining of nature and culture. In *Animal Social Complexity* (eds. de Waal, F. B. M. & Tyack, P. L.) 260–287 (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2003).
- Decety, J. & Meyer, M. From emotion resonance to empathic understanding: a social developmental neuroscience account. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 20, 1053–1080 (2008).
- 32. Mehu, M., Grammer, K. & Dunbar, R. I. M. Smiles when sharing. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* 28, 415–422 (2007).
- Palagi, E. Sharing the motivation to play: the use of signals in adult bonobos. *Anim. Behav.* 75, 887–896 (2008).
- Pellis, S. M. & Pellis, V. C. The Playful Brain: Venturing to the Limits of Neuroscience (Oneworld Publications, Oxford UK, 2009).
- Stel, M. & van Knippenberg, A. The role of facial mimicry in the recognition of affect. *Psychol. Sci.* 19, 984–85 (2008).
- Fogassi, L. & Ferrari, P. F. Mirror systems. WIREs Cognitive Science 2, 22–38 (2010).
- 37. Preston, S. D. & de Waal, F. B. M. Empathy: its ultimate and proximate bases. *Behav. Brain Sci.* **25**, 1–71 (2002).
- Rizzolatti, G. & Craighero, L. The mirror-neuron system. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 27, 169–192 (2004).
- Kraskov, A., Dancause, N., Quallo, M. M., Shepherd, S. & Lemon, R. N. Corticospinal neurons in macaque ventral premotor cortex with mirror properties: a potential mechanism for action suppression? *Neuron* 64, 922–930 (2009).
- Ferrari, P. F., Paukner, A., Ionica, C. & Suomi, S. J. Reciprocal face-to-face communication between rhesus macaque mother and their newborn infants. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 1–5 (2009).
- Rizzolatti, G., Fogassi, L. & Gallese, V. Neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the understanding and imitation of action. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 661–70 (2001).
- Ferrari, P. F. et al. Distinct electroencephalographic amplitude suppression to facial gestures as evidence for a mirror mechanism in newborn monkeys. J. Cog. Neurosci. 24,1165–1172 (2012).
- Zaki, J., Weber, J., Bolger, N. & Ochsner, K. The neural bases of empathic accuracy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 11382–11387 (2009).
- Minagawa-Kawai, Y. *et al.* Prefrontal activation associated with social attachment: facial-emotion recognition in mothers and infants. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 284–292 (2009).
- Feldman, R. The relational basis of adolescent adjustment: trajectories of motherchild interactive behaviors from infancy to adolescence shape adolescents' adaptation. *Attach. Hum. Dev.* 12, 173–92 (2010).
- Ferrari, P. F. et al. Neonatal imitation in rhesus macaques. PLoS Biol. 4, e302 (2006).

- 47. van Hooff, J. A. R. A. M. The facial displays of the catarrhine monkeys and apes. In *Primate Ethology* (ed. Morris, D.) 7–68 (Aldine de Gruyter, Chicago, 1967).
- 48. Siegel, S. & Castellan, N. J. J. Non Parametric Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1988).
- 49. Mundry, R. & Fisher, J. Use of statistical programs for nonparametric tests of small samples often leads to incorrect P values: examples from animal behaviour. *Anim. Behav.* **56**, 256–259 (1998).

Acknowledgements

We thank Johann Achim for permission to work at Rheine; Marialuisa Angiero, Alessia Leone, Michele Mignini, and Michela Pusceddu for helping with video-recording; and Elizabeth Simpson for linguistic and critical revision of an early version of the manuscript. Elisabetta Palagi wishes to thank all the participants to the NIMBioS Working Group on "Play, Evolution, and Sociality" (http://www.nimbios.org/workinggroups/WG_play) for

their stimulating inputs. This work was supported by Italian MIUR and by a NIH P01HD064653 grant to PFF.

Author contributions

E.P., G.M. and P.F.F. designed the study, collected the data, performed the analyses, and wrote the manuscript. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareALike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

How to cite this article: Mancini, G., Ferrari, P.F. & Palagi, E. Rapid Facial Mimicry In Geladas. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1527; DOI:10.1038/srep01527 (2013).