# The Loop-Induced off-shell Higgs process



#### **Pedro Bittar**

1º de Julho, 2021 PGF5228 - Física de Anéis de Colisão (2021)



#### 1. Loop-induced processes - MadLoop

#### 2. Off-shell Higgs in the SM

#### **3. BSM off-shell Higgs**

# Loop induced processes and MadLoop

# **1. NLO calculations**

#### Hadron Collisions:

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\mu_F,\mu_R) = \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 d\Pi_n f_i(x_1,\mu_f) f_j(x_2,\mu_f) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}(\hat{s},\mu_F,\mu_R)$$

#### **Perturbative Expansion:**



# **1. NLO calculations**

Automated one-loop matrix element tools

#### MadLoop

- FeynArts, FormCalc, ...
- OpenLoops
- GoSam

#### **3-step program to automate NLO**

- 1. Renormalization
- 2. Integral reduction
- 3. Rational terms

#### **This seminar:** Limit to a particular case

#### LI process → No tree-level contributions

i.e.1 – Gluon Fusion dominates the inclusive Higgs production.



- **i.e.2** L.I.  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  is 60% of the full NNLO corrections to had. ZZ production.
- i.e.3 BSM models  $\rightarrow$  Loop suppressed production helps to evade experimental constraints

#### **Dealing with LI processes**

- Integrate out heavy loops  $\rightarrow$  Effective point-like vertices (i.e. heft)  $2 \rightarrow \text{Valid in only a limited kinematic range.}$
- Automated implementation of LI processes.

2, Done in 2015! (MG5@NLO). [1507.00020]

• Dedicated implementations (i.e.  $pp \rightarrow h$  in N3LO QCD + NLO EW).

**2** Used in state-of-the-art calculations.

#### LI versus general NLO

• Advantage: LI amplitudes are finite!

No UV divergences if the model is renormalizable

• **Disadvantage:** Speed

In usual NLO, bulk contributions come from **born** and **real** emissions.

 $\rightarrow$  Limited statistics to the evaluation of virtual contributions.



#### **Loop-integrals reduction**

$$I^{(t)} = \sum_{i} d_i \text{ box}_i + \sum_{i} c_i \text{ triangle}_i + \sum_{i} b_i \text{ bubble}_i + \sum_{i} a_i \text{ tadpole}_i + R$$

Scalar integral basis - available in many libraries i.e. OneLoop, QCDLoop,...

 $\rightarrow$  Loop amplitude computation reduces to determining d<sub>i</sub>, c<sub>i</sub>, b<sub>i</sub>, a<sub>i</sub>, R



Question: which one is better? (for LI)



d-dimensional Amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_d = \sum_{l_1=1}^L \lambda_{l_1} \int d^d \bar{\ell} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{h,l_1}(\ell)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_{l_1}} \bar{D}_{i,l_1}}$$

Split the numerator:

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(\overline{l}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{N}(l)}_{\text{4d part}} + \epsilon \widetilde{N}(\overline{l})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_d = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}_{R2}$$

→ Finite contribution

**Rational terms:** R2 counterterms in UFO (ask me later - Backup slides)

$$\mathcal{N}(\ell)_{l,h} = \sum_{r=0}^{r_{max}} C^{(r)}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r;h,l} \ \ell^{\mu_1} \dots \ell^{\mu_r}$$

#### **Reduction operation:**

$$\operatorname{Red}\left[\frac{\mathcal{N}_{l,h}(\ell)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n_{l}}\bar{D}_{i,l}}\right] = \begin{cases} \operatorname{OPP}\left[\frac{\sum_{r=0}^{r_{max}}C_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{r};h,l}^{(r)}\ \ell^{\mu_{1}}...\ell^{\mu_{r}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_{l}}\bar{D}_{i,l}}\right] \\ \sum_{r=0}^{r_{max}}C_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{r};h,l}^{(r)}\ \operatorname{TIR}\left[\frac{\ell^{\mu_{1}}...\ell^{\mu_{r}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_{l}}\bar{D}_{i,l}}\right] \end{cases}$$

### **1. Brief overview of OPP method**

#### **OPP:** Decompose the numerator into a **basis of products of the denominators**

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{N_t - 1} D_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{N_t - 1} D_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{N_t - 1} D_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{N_t - 1} D_i$$

$$+ \tilde{P}(q) \prod_{i}^{N_t - 1} D_i.$$

$$C_{out}$$

$$+ \dots + b_{out}$$

# **1. Brief overview of OPP method**

**Algorithm:** Sample the numerator by choosing special values for the loop momenta

1. Choose  $l^{\pm}$  such as  $D_0(l^{\pm}) = \cdots = D_3(l^{\pm}) = 0$ 

 $\rightarrow$  All terms in the OPP cancel except:

$$N(l^{\pm}) = \left[ d_{0123} + \tilde{d}_{0123}(l^{\pm}) \right] \prod_{i \neq 0, 1, 2, 3}^{n-1} D_i(l^{\pm})$$

$$d_{0123} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{N(l^+)}{\prod_{i \neq 0, 1, 2, 3}^{n-1} D_i(l^+)} + \frac{N(l^-)}{\prod_{i \neq 0, 1, 2, 3}^{n-1} D_i(l^-)} \right]$$

- 2. Compute all box coefficients.
- 3. Triple cuts to determine triangle coefficients. Use box coefficients from step 2.
- 4. Proceed to Bubbles, Tadpole and R1.

### **1. Brief overview of TIR method**

#### TIR Example:

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1,p_2}^{(1)\mu} = \int d^d \bar{q} \, \frac{\bar{q}^{\,\mu}}{\bar{q}^{\,2}(\bar{q}\,+\,p_1)^2(\bar{q}\,+\,p_2)^2}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1,p_2}^{(1)\mu} = C_1 p_1^{\mu} + C_2 p_2^{\mu}$$

• Contract with external momentum:  $[2q \cdot p_1] = \int d^d \bar{q} \frac{2q \cdot p_1}{\bar{q}^2(\bar{q} + p_1)^2(\bar{q} + p_2)^2}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} [2q \cdot p_1] \\ [2q \cdot p_2] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2p_1 \cdot p_1 & 2p_1 \cdot p_2 \\ 2p_1 \cdot p_2 & 2p_2 \cdot p_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Complete squares of [2q.p<sub>i</sub>] integrals: *i.e.*  $[2q \cdot p_1] = \mathcal{I}_{p_2}^{(0)} \mathcal{I}_{p_2-p_1}^{(0)} p_1^2 \mathcal{I}_{p_1,p_2}^{(0)}$
- Invert the system of equations to obtain  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

#### Which to use?

- TIR has a factorial growth in terms of the recursion depth of the algorithm, proportional to both the rank and # of external legs.
- Output of the OPP reduction depends on both the loop and helicity, while TIR depends only on the loop considered.

# OPP recursions = L x H # TIR recursions = L

#### **TIR is usually better for LI processes.**



	ł	Run-t loop-	ime perforr induced ma	nance of atrix elemer	nts	
	200	- I -	1	Ι	I OF	PENLOOPS -
	100				M/	
OLA2	50	-			G	SAM
REC	20	-				-
tio to	5					
Rat	2					
	1					
	0.5					
	4	<i>gg → γγ</i> .6 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> s	$gg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma g$ 9.1 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> s	<i>gg → γγgg</i> 2.6 × 10 <sup>0</sup> s	$gg \rightarrow HH$ 1.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> s	$gg \rightarrow HHH$ 1.4 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> s

Helicity sum	Monte-Carlo	Exact			Helicity sum	Monte-Carlo	E	xact	
Loop Reduction	CutTools	CutTools	TIR		Loop Reduction	CutTools	CutTools	TIR	
Survey					Refine				
$pp \rightarrow hj$	13m (125k)	32m (260k)	9m (260k)		$pp \to hj$	1h43m (385k)	23m (431k)	6m (431k)	
pp  ightarrow hjj	2d4h~(1.2M)	16d10h (5.4M)	$9d13h (5.4M)^*$		pp  ightarrow hjj	7d17h~(2.18M)	75d1h (20.6M)	$51d19h (20.6M)^*$	
gg  ightarrow zz	1h06m (34k)	12h50m (255k)	1h44m (255k)		gg  ightarrow zz	7h20m (407k)	4d13h (4.55M)	23h07m (5.78M)	
gg  ightarrow zhg	11h13m (110k)	1d8h (516k)	$1d4h (516k)^*$		gg  ightarrow zhg	23h03m (277k)	2d22h (1.13M)	3d14h (1.4M)*	

#### Event Generation: MadLoop in Madgraph

- Madgraph is great at constructing tree-level matrix elements.
- MadLoop: generate loop diagrams from tree-level ones.



- Madgraph generate all L-cutted diagrams.
- Sew them together to reconstruct loop topology.

# **Examples and Madloop options**

ΓŦ	▼ pedro@Matilda: ~ Q ≡ _ □	×
****	***************************************	**
*		*
*	WELCOMEto	*
*	MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO	*
*		*
*		*
*	* *	*
*	* * * *	*
*	* * * * 5 * * * *	*
*	* * * *	*
*	* *	*
*		*
*	VERSION 2.9.3 2021-03-25	*
*		*
*	The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO Development Team - Find us at	*
*	https://server06.fynu.ucl.ac.be/projects/madgraph	*
*	and	*
*	http://amcatnlo.web.cern.ch/amcatnlo/	*
*		*
*	Type 'help' for in-line help.	*
*	Type 'tutorial' to learn how MG5 works	*
*	Type 'tutorial aMCatNLO' to learn how aMC@NLO works	*
*	Type 'tutorial MadLoop' to learn how MadLoop works	*
*		*
****	***************************************	**





**Expectation:** (on-shell production) x BR should reproduce the total cross-section with good accuracy.

**Expectation:** (on-shell production) x BR should reproduce the total cross-section with good accuracy.

**Reason:** We expect the **Narrow Width approximation** (NWA) to be good for the Higgs.

$$\frac{\Gamma_h}{m_h} \sim 10^{-4}$$

$$m_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$$
  $\Gamma_h = 4.03 \text{ MeV}$ 

$$D_h^2(q_h^2) = \frac{1}{(q_h^2 - m_h^2)^2 + \Gamma_h^2 m_h^2} \xrightarrow{\text{NWA}} \frac{\pi}{m_h \Gamma_h} \delta(q_h^2 - m_h^2) + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_h/m_h)$$

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \int_{q_{min}^2}^{q_{max}^2} \frac{dq^2}{2\pi} \left( \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_p(q_h^2)|^2 D_h^2(q_h^2) \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_d(q_h^2)|^2 \right)$$

$$\sigma^{\mathbf{NWA}} = \frac{1}{2s} \left( \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_p(q_h^2)|^2 \right) \frac{1}{2m_h \Gamma_h} \left( \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_d(q_h^2)|^2 \right)$$

#### 10<sup>-4</sup> error... **Or is it?...**

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \int_{q_{min}^2}^{q_{max}^2} \frac{dq^2}{2\pi} \left( \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_p(q_h^2)|^2 D_h^2(q_h^2) \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_d(q_h^2)|^2 \right)$$

$$\sigma^{\mathbf{NWA}} = \frac{1}{2s} \left( \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_p(q_h^2)|^2 \right) \frac{1}{2m_h \Gamma_h} \left( \int d\phi_p |\mathcal{M}_d(q_h^2)|^2 \right)$$

#### 10<sup>-4</sup> error... **Or is it?...**

#### Assumptions of the NWA approximation

**1.** Total width is much smaller than the resonance mass.**Ok2.** There are no relevant thresholds.**False!3.** There is no significant interference with non-resonant processes.**False!** 

#### **Assumption 2: Thresholds.**

Exact propagator:

$$D_h(q^2) = \frac{i}{q^2 - m_0^2 + \Sigma(q^2)} = \frac{iZ}{q^2 - m_h^2 + iIm\tilde{\Sigma}(q^2)}$$

Use the optical theorem.

$$\Gamma_{X^* \to 1+2+\dots} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}} \sum_{a} |\mathcal{M}|^2 d\Pi_n$$
$$= \frac{iIm\tilde{\Sigma}(s)}{\sqrt{s}}$$

$$D_h(q^2) = \frac{iZ}{q^2 - m_h^2 + i\sqrt{s}\Gamma(s)}$$



# **Question:** What is the behaviour of the distribution at the high energy regime?



- How small compared to the peak?
- Does it really decreases with  $M_{vv}$ ?
- Is there a plateau?
- How does that affects the total cross-section?

#### <u>Signal</u>



MG5_aMC>generate g g > l+ l- l+ l- [QCD]
No Born diagrams found. Now switching to the loop-induced Please cite ref. 'arXiv:1507.00020' when using results fro
3 processes with 20 diagrams generated in 3.430 s Total: 3 processes with 20 diagrams

**Modify loop\_SM UFO**  $\rightarrow$  set Zqq coupling to zero to remove irreducible bg (Box diagrams)



~15% net-effect in the total cross-section of  $M_{_{77}}$  >2 $M_{_7}$  region.

**Assumption 3:** Interference with non-resonant processes.



Box must be included: Irreducible background with important interference.

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Unitarizing nature of the Higgs}} & h^* \rightarrow \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{L}} \\ m_{ZZ} \gg m_t, m_h, m_Z \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{triangle}}^{++00} = + \frac{m_t^2}{2m_Z^2} \log^2 \frac{m_{ZZ}^2}{m_t^2} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{box}}^{++00} = - \frac{m_t^2}{2m_Z^2} \log^2 \frac{m_{ZZ}^2}{m_t^2} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Destructive interference} \end{array}$ 



#### PGF5228 - Física de Anéis de Colisão (2021)

28

#### **qq**→4l Background: reducible





#### **State-of-the-art simulations**





**Large K-factors:** +67.3% in  $m_{41}$ >200 GeV region

# **Part 3** Off-Shell Higgs Beyond the Standard Model

So far, all the LHC Higgs measurements are in agreement with the SM  $2 \rightarrow$  Focus on on-shell Higgs production.

BSM effects should be of order  $v^2/\Lambda^2$  for the on-shell Higgs.

The off-shell Higgs allow us to probe higher scales (p $\gg$ v) with an enhanced p<sup>2</sup>/ $\Lambda^2$  sensitivity over the usual v<sup>2</sup>/ $\Lambda^2$ .

- Higgs couplings at higher scales.
- Additional decays and the Higgs width.
- EFT anomalous contributions.
- Momentum-dependent effects.

#### **EFT anomalous couplings**



#### **Other possibilities:**

- Anomalous hVV couplings
- Anomalous Zqq couplings



#### **Momentum-dependent effects: Form Factors**

Explore compositeness  $\rightarrow$  Non-local momentum dependent Higgs couplings.

Example: Sakurai's Vector Meson Dominance.



#### **Momentum-dependent effects: Form Factors**



#### **Momentum-dependent effects: Form Factors**



#### **Momentum-dependent effects in the EFT**

Off-shell sensitivity enhancement is lost in SMEFT since the reduction of the basis eliminates the derivative operators via EoM.

#### **Missing off-shell effects in SMEFT**



- Automatization of NLO is broadly available and very useful.
- Choosing between OPP v.s. TIR in MC simulations can save some time.
- Sizable contributions in off-shell Higgs region  $\rightarrow$  Inadequacy of the NWA.
- BSM physics in high energy tails of the off-shell Higgs distributions.

### **References - Part 1**

- Hirschi, Valentin, and Olivier Mattelaer. "Automated event generation for loopinduced processes." Journal of high energy physics 2015, no. 10 (2015): 1-34.
- Degrande, Celine, Valentin Hirschi, and Olivier Mattelaer. "Automated computation of one-loop amplitudes." Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science 68 (2018): 291-312.
- Hirschi, Valentin, Rikkert Frederix, Stefano Frixione, Maria Vittoria Garzelli, Fabio Maltoni, and Roberto Pittau. "Automation of one-loop QCD computations." Journal of High Energy Physics 2011, no. 5 (2011): 1-65.
- Ossola, Giovanni, Costas G. Papadopoulos, and Roberto Pittau. "On the Rational Terms of the one-loop amplitudes." Journal of High Energy Physics 2008, no. 05 (2008): 004.
- KIAS School on MadGraph for LHC Physics 23-29 October 2011
- <u>https://cp3.irmp.ucl.ac.be/projects/madgraph/wiki/</u> <u>MadLoopStandaloneLibrary</u>

### **References - Part 2**

- Kauer, Nikolas, and Giampiero Passarino. "Inadequacy of zero-width approximation for a light Higgs boson signal." Journal of High Energy Physics 2012.8 (2012): 1-27.
- Caola, Fabrizio, and Kirill Melnikov. "Constraining the Higgs boson width with Z Z production at the LHC." Physical Review D 88.5 (2013): 054024.
- CMS collaboration. "Search for a new scalar resonance decaying to a pair of Z bosons in proton-proton collisions at \$\sqrt {s} = \$13 TeV." arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.01939 (2018).
- Aad, Georges, et al. "Measurements of four-lepton production in pp collisions at s= 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector." Physics Letters B 753 (2016): 552-572.
- Grazzini, Massimiliano, et al. "Four lepton production in gluon fusion: off-shell Higgs effects in NLO QCD." Physics Letters B (2021): 136465.
- Aaboud, Morad, et al. "Measurement of the four-lepton invariant mass spectrum in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector." Journal of high energy physics 2019.4 (2019): 1-50.
- ATLAS Collaboration. "Measurements of differential cross-sections in four-lepton events in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector." arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.01918 (2021).
- https://www.hepdata.net/record/ins1849535

### **References - Part 3**

- Gonçalves, D., Han, T., & Mukhopadhyay, S. (2018). Higgs couplings at high scales. Physical Review D, 98(1), 015023.
- Gonçalves, Dorival, et al. "Off-shell Higgs couplings in H\*→ ZZ→ ℓℓνν." Physics Letters B 817 (2021): 136329.
- da Silva Almeida, Eduardo, Oscar JP Éboli, and M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia. "Impact of fermionic operators on the Higgs width measurement." arXiv e-prints (2020): arXiv-2007.
- Englert, Christoph, Yotam Soreq, and Michael Spannowsky. "Off-shell Higgs coupling measurements in BSM scenarios." Journal of High Energy Physics 2015.5 (2015): 1-22.
- Azatov, Aleksandr, et al. "**Taming the off-shell Higgs boson.**" Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 120.3 (2015): 354-368.

Form Factor tutorial: https://cp3.irmp.ucl.ac.be/projects/madgraph/wiki/FormFactors



### **Rational terms**

 $R=R_1+R_2$ 

R<sub>1</sub>: Originates from the  $\epsilon$  part of the integral denominator. 2. Computed using the reduction methods.

 $R_2$ : Originates from the  $\epsilon$  part of the integral denominator.

$$R_2 \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{c}^{N_c} \mathcal{C}^{(c)} \sum_{t}^{N_t} \int d^d \bar{q} \, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{(c,t)}(\bar{q})}{\bar{D}_0^{(t)} \cdots \bar{D}_{N_t}^{(t)}}$$

R2 Counterterms must be included in UFO model.

#### **2** Feynrules level.

Open ▼
<pre>1 # This file was automatically created by FeynRules \$Revision: 535 \$ 2 # Mathematica version: 7.0 for Mac OS X x86 (64-bit) (November 11, 2008) 3 # Date: Fri 18 Mar 2011 18:40:51 4 5 fromfuture import absolute_import 6 from .object_library import all_couplings, Coupling 7 from .function_library import complexconjugate, re, im, csc,</pre>
<pre>sec, acsc, asec 8 9 ###################################</pre>
Python ▼ Tab Width: 8 ▼ Ln 22, Col 71 ▼ INS

### **Bounding the Higgs width**

Constraints set by considering the relationship between the on-shell (105<m4l<140GeV) and off-shell (m4l> 220GeV) regions.

$$\sigma_{vv \to H \to 4\ell}^{\text{on-shell}} \propto \mu_{vvH} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{vv \to H \to 4\ell}^{\text{off-shell}} \propto \mu_{vvH} \Gamma_{H}$$

$$2 \Rightarrow \sigma_{i \to H \to f}^{\text{on-shell}} \propto \frac{g_{i}^{2}(m_{H})g_{f}^{2}(m_{H})}{\Gamma_{H}} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{On-shell degeneracy} \\ g_{i,f}(m_{H}) \to \xi g_{i,f}(m_{H}) \\ \Gamma_{H} \to \xi^{4}\Gamma_{H} \end{array}$$

$$2 \Rightarrow \sigma_{i \to H^{*} \to f}^{\text{off-shell}} \propto g_{i}^{2}(\sqrt{\hat{s}})g_{f}^{2}(\sqrt{\hat{s}})$$

$$\mu_{\text{off-shell}}/\mu_{\text{on-shell}} = \Gamma_H/\Gamma_H^{SM}$$

#### Full Off-shell Higgs v.s. heft



### MadAnalysis + MatPlotLib

Open	•		ma5_script           ~/Peter/Doutorado/Off-shell_Higgs/ppTOzz_Nevents500000		Save ≡	-	a 😣
1 set ma	ain	n.cu	urrentdir = /media/pedro/HEP_software/MG5/MG5_aMC_v2_9_1_2/HEPTools/madanalysis5/madanalysis5/bin				
2 3 import	t ~	-/Pe	eter/Doutorado/Off-shell_Higgs/ppT0zz_Nevents500000/ggT0zz_box_allQuarks_14TeV/Events/run_02/unweighted	_events.l	ne.gz as box		
5 5 import	t ~	-/Pe	eter/Doutorado/Off-shell_Higgs/ppT0zz_Nevents500000/ggT0zz_signal+box_allQuarks_14TeV/Events/run_02/unw	eighted_ev	vents.lhe.gz	as si	gnal_box
o 7 import	t ~	-/Pe	eter/Doutorado/Off-shell_Higgs/ppTOzz_Nevents500000/ggTOzz_signal_withb_14TeV/Events/run_02/unweighted_	events.lhe	e.gz as signa	ι	
8 9 plot 0	tM	1(z	z) 25 150 3000 [logX logY]				
2 set 3 4 set 5 set	ma si si	ain. igna igna	.graphic_render = root al.title = "Signal" al.backcolor = none				
6 set 7 set 8	si si	igna igna	al.linewidth = 3 al.linecolor = black				
9 set 0 set 1 set 2 set	ba ba ba	ox.t ox.b ox.l ox.l	title = "Box" backcolor = none linewidth = 3 linecolor = grey				
4 set 5 set 6 set 7 set	si si si	igna igna igna igna	al_box.title = "Signal+Box" al_box.backcolor = none al_box.linewidth = 3 al_box.linecolor = blue				
9 0 set 1 set 2 subr	ma ma mit	ain. ain. t ~/	.lumi = 1 .stacking_method = superimpose /Peter/Doutorado/Off-shell_Higgs/ppTOzz_Nevents500000/Distributions_ma5				

### MadAnalysis + MatPlotLib



### MadAnalysis + MatPlotLib

sele	ction_	with_int.py — ~/Peter/Doutorado/Off-shell_Higgs/ppTOzz_Nevents500000/Distributions_ma5_25bins/Outpu – 💷 😣
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>S</u> election Find <u>P</u> ackages <u>H</u> elp
		selection_0.py selection_with_int.py
1	def	selection_0():
2		
3		# Library import
4		import numpy
2		import matplotils puplat as plt
7		import matplotlib, pytot as prices
8		import matricelly grapped as grapped
9		# Library version
10		matplotlib version = matplotlib. version
11		numpy_version = numpyversion
12		
13		# Histo binning
		xBinning =
•		[161.825742626,174.58380651,188.347694261,203.196703305,219.216382743,236.499026222,255.144203659,275.
		259334892,296.960308559,320.372149754,345.629740338,372.878596026,402.275704743,433.99043107,468.20549
		2005,505.118009636,544.940640822,587.902832395,634.252082963,684.255428919,788.200952872,796.399449374
		,839.186213481,920.922982497,1000.0,1000.0]
17		# Creating data sequence: middle of each bin
18		xData =
•		numpy.array([150.0,168.083771195,181.335207314,195.631363919,211.054604981,227.693787905,245.644775461
•		,265.010988075,285.903999663,308.444180435,332.761390385,358.995727443,387.298334621,417.832270786,450
		.773450088,486.311655445,524.651631935,566.014266387,610.637859968,658.779501101,710.716546618,766.748
		219688,827.197333723,892.412152189,962.768395045])
		# Creating weights for histo: y1_M_0
		y1_M_0_weights =
		numpy.array([0.0,0.0,80.505/3951/,23.543998121,224.321998195,192.58893845,15/.448//8/33,123.48049900
		0,94,070032300,72,0410144204200,35,420030701,35,31139002,72,059439701,22,377750103,17,05003,17,050070027,12.4
		834.1.30272478952.0.9714763921821)
22		
		# Creating weights for histo: y1 M 1
		y1 M 1 weights =
•		numpy.array([0.0,0.0,78.2236955773,223.820387345,216.415787764,186.219369471,150.735491477,118.3370733
•		09,92.1015547926,68.5296361254,50.9243371207,37.5213778786,27.4877384458,20.5660588372,15.1539351432,1
•		1.5697333458,8.2349115344,6.25312164645,4.457039748,2.93156583425,2.12239988,1.50955691465,1.130177936
•		1,0.7481459577,0.52794697015])
25		
26		# Creating weights for histo: y1_M_2
- 27		91 m 2 weights =
•		Trumpy.artay([0.0,0.0,404.4/940/802,130/.13082929,1493.49802903,1274.12842476,1035.05482948,888.2/02108
~/Pot		torado/Off-chall Higgs/ppTO77_Nevents500000/Distributions_mas_25hips/Output/Histor/MadApalveisSigh_LE_UTE-9_Puthon_Officient

#### Modify weights, add K-factor ...

# Creating a new Stack
BR = 0.034
Kfactor=1.76 #arxiv 1311.3589
y1_M_0_weights = Kfactor*BR**2*pbtofb*y1_M_0_weights
y1_M_1_weights = Kfactor*BR**2*pbtofb*y1_M_1_weights
y1_M_2_weights = BR** <mark>2</mark> *pbtofb*y1_M_2_weights
y1_M_3_weights = Kfactor*BR**2*pbtofb*y1_M_3_weights
interf = -y1_M_1_weights+y1_M_3_weights+y1_M_0_weights