

The "Forgotten Years" of the Negro Revolution Author(s): Richard M. Dalfiume Source: *The Journal of American History*, Vol. 55, No. 1 (Jun., 1968), pp. 90-106 Published by: <u>Organization of American Historians</u> Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/1894253</u> Accessed: 12/06/2013 12:04

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Organization of American Historians is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Journal of American History*.

http://www.jstor.org

The "Forgotten Years" of the Negro Revolution

RICHARD M. DALFIUME

A RECENT president of the American Sociological Society addressed himself to a puzzling question about what we know as the Civil Rights Revolution: "Why did social scientists—and sociologists in particular—not foresee the explosion of collective action of Negro Americans toward full integration into American society?" He pointed out that "it is the vigor and urgency of the Negro demand that is new, not its direction or supporting ideas."¹ Without arguing the point further, the lack of knowledge can be attributed to two groups—the ahistorical social scientists, and the historians who, until recently, have neglected modern Negro history.

The search for a "watershed" in recent Negro history ends at the years that comprised World War II, 1939-1945. James Baldwin has written of this period: "The treatment accorded the Negro during the Second World War marks, for me, a turning point in the Negro's relation to America. To put it briefly, and somewhat too simply, a certain hope died, a certain respect for white Americans faded."² Writing during World War II, Gunnar Myrdal predicted that the war would act as a "stimulant" to Negro protest, and he felt that "There is bound to be a redefinition of the Negro's status in America as a result of this War."³ The Negro sociologist E. Franklin Frazier states that World War II marked the point where "The Negro was no longer willing to accept discrimination in employment and in housing without protest."⁴ Charles E. Silberman writes that the war was a "turning point" in American race relations, in which "the seeds of the

Mr. Dalfiume is assistant professor of history in the University of Wisconsin.

¹Everett C. Hughes, "Race Relations and the Sociological Imagination," American Sociological Review, XXVIII (Dec. 1963), 879.

² Quoted in J. Milton Yinger, A Minority Group in American Society (New York, 1965), 52. Many Negroes agreed with James Baldwin in recalling the bitterness they experienced. William Brink and Louis Harris, The Negro Revolution in America (New York, 1964), 50.

^a Gunnar Myrdal, An American Dilemma: The Negro Problem and Modern Democracy (New York, 1944), 756, 997.

⁴ E. Franklin Frazier, The Negro in the United States (rev. ed., New York, 1957), 682.

protest movements of the 1950s and 1960s were sown."⁵ While a few writers have indicated the importance of these years in the recent Negro protest movement, the majority have failed to do so. Overlooking what went before, most recent books on the subject claim that a Negro "revolution" or "revolt" occurred in 1954, 1955, 1960, or 1963.⁶ Because of the neglect of the war period, these years of transition in American race relations comprise the "forgotten years" of the Negro revolution.

To understand how the American Negro reacted to World War II, it is necessary to have some idea of the discrimination he faced. The defense build-up begun by the United States in 1940 was welcomed by Negroes who were disproportionately represented among the unemployed. Employment discrimination in the revived industries, however, was rampant. When Negroes sought jobs at aircraft factories where employers begged for workers, they were informed that "the Negro will be considered only as janitors and in other similar capacities. . . ."⁷ Government financed training programs to overcome the shortages of skilled workers discriminated against Negro trainees. When government agencies issued orders against such discrimination, they were ignored.⁸

Increasing defense preparations also meant an expansion of the armed forces. Here, as in industry, however, Negroes faced restrictions. Black Americans were assigned a minimal role and rigidly segregated. In the navy, Negroes could enlist only in the all-Negro messman's branch. The marine and the air corps excluded Negroes entirely. In the army, black Americans were prevented from enlisting, except for a few vacancies in the four regular army Negro units that had been created shortly after the Civil War; and the strength of these had been reduced drastically in the 1920s and 1930s.⁹

Although the most important bread-and-butter issue for Negroes in this period was employment discrimination, their position in the armed forces

⁵ Charles E. Silberman, Crisis in Black and White (New York, 1964), 60, 65.

⁶ See, for example, Lewis M. Killian and Charles Grigg, Racial Crisis in America (Englewood Cliffs, 1964); Louis E. Lomax, The Negro Revolt (New York, 1962); Leonard Broom and Norval D. Glenn, Transformation of the Negro American (New York, 1965); Brink and Harris, Negro Revolution in America.

⁷Quoted in Louis Coleridge Kesselman, The Social Politics of FEPC: A Study in Reform Pressure Movements (Chapel Hill, 1948), 7. ⁸Charles H. Thompson, "The American Negro and the National Defense," Journal of

⁸ Charles H. Thompson, "The American Negro and the National Defense," Journal of Negro Education, IX (Oct. 1940), 547-52; Frazier, Negro in the United States, 599-606; Robert C. Weaver, "Racial Employment Trends in National Defense," Phylon, II (4th Quarter, 1941), 337-58.

^a See Richard M. Dalfiume, "Desegregation of the United States Armed Forces, 1939-1953" (doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, 1966), 30-57; Ulysses Lee, United States Army in World War II: Special Studies: The Employment of Negro Troops (Washington, 1966), 32-87.

was an important symbol. If one could not participate fully in the defense of his country, he could not lay claim to the rights of a full-fledged citizen. The NAACP organ, the *Crisis*, expressed this idea in its demand for unrestricted participation in the armed forces: "this is no fight merely to wear a uniform. This is a struggle for status, a struggle to take democracy off of parchment and give it life."¹⁰ Herbert Garfinkel, a student of Negro protest during this period, points out that "in many respects, the discriminatory practices against Negroes which characterized the military programs . . . cut deeper into Negro feelings than did employment discrimination."¹¹

Added to the rebuffs from industry and the armed services were a hundred others. Negroes, anxious to contribute to the Red Cross blood program, were turned away. Despite the fact that white and Negro blood is the same biologically, it was deemed inadvisable "to collect and mix caucasian and Negro blood indiscriminately."¹² When Negro citizens called upon the governor of Tennessee to appoint some black members to the state's draft boards, he told them: "This is a white man's country. . . . The Negro had nothing to do with the settling of America."¹³ At a time when the United States claimed to be the last bulwark of democracy in a war-torn world, the legislature of Mississippi passed a law requiring different textbooks for Negro schools: all references to voting, elections, and democracy were to be excluded from the black student's books.¹⁴

The Negro's morale at the beginning of World War II is also partly explained by his experience in World War I. Black America had gone into that war with high morale, generated by the belief that the democratic slogans literally meant what they said. Most Negroes succumbed to the "close ranks" strategy announced by the crusading NAACP editor, W. E. B. Du Bois, who advocated subduing racial grievances in order to give full support to winning the war. But the image of a new democratic order was smashed by the race riots, lynchings, and continued rigid discrimination. The result was a mass trauma and a series of movements among Negroes in the 1920s which were characterized by a desire to withdraw from a white society which wanted little to do with them. When the war crisis of the 1940s came along, the bitter memories of World War I were recalled with

¹⁰ "For Manhood in National Defense," Crisis, 47 (Dec. 1940), 375.

¹¹ Herbert Garfinkel, When Negroes March: The March on Washington Movement in the Organizational Politics for FEPC (Glencoe, Ill., 1959), 20.

¹² General James C. Magee, Surgeon General, to Assistant Secretary of War John J McCloy, Sept. 3, 1941, ASW 291.2, Record Group 335 (National Archives); Pittsburgh *Courier*, Jan. 3, 1942.

¹³ Pittsburgh Courier, Nov. 2, 1940.

¹⁴ "Text Books in Mississippi," Opportunity, XVIII (April 1940), 99.

the result that there was a built-in cynicism among Negroes toward the democratic slogans of the new war.¹⁵

Nevertheless, Negroes were part of the general population being stimulated to come to the defense of democracy in the world. When they responded and attempted to do their share, they were turned away. The result was a widespread feeling of frustration and a general decline of the Negro's morale toward the war effort, as compared with the rest of American society. But paradoxically, the Negro's general morale was both low and high.

While the morale of the Negro, as an American, was low in regard to the war effort, the Negro, as a member of a minority group, had high morale in his heightened race consciousness and determination to fight for a better position in American society. The same slogans which caused the Negro to react cynically also served to emphasize the disparity between the creed and the practice of democracy as far as the Negro in America was concerned. Because of his position in society, the Negro reacted to the war both as an American and as a Negro. Discrimination against him had given rise to "a sickly, negative attitude toward national goals, but at the same time a vibrantly positive attitude toward racial aims and aspirations."¹⁶

When war broke out in Europe in 1939, many black Americans tended to adopt an isolationist attitude. Those taking this position viewed the war as a "white man's war." George Schuyler, the iconoclastic columnist, was a typical spokesman for this view: "So far as the colored peoples of the earth are concerned," Schuyler wrote, "it is a toss-up between the 'democracies' and the dictatorships. . . . [W] hat is there to choose between the rule of the British in Africa and the rule of the Germans in Austria?"¹⁷ Another Negro columnist claimed that it was a blessing to have war so that whites could "mow one another down" rather than "have them quietly murder hundreds of thousands of Africans, East Indians and Chinese. . . . "¹⁸ This

¹⁵ Kenneth B. Clark, "Morale of the Negro on the Home Front: World Wars I and II," Journal of Negro Education, XII (Summer 1943), 417-28; Walter White, "'It's Our Country, Too': The Negro Demands the Right to be Allowed to Fight for It," Saturday Evening Post, 213 (Dec. 14, 1940), 27, 61, 63, 66, 68; Metz T. P. Lochard, "Negroes and Defense," Nation, 152 (Jan. 4, 1941), 14-16.

Defense, 'Nation, 152 (Jac. 14, 1941), 14-16.
¹⁶ Cornelius L. Golightly, 'Negro Higher Education and Democratic Negro Morale,'' *Journal of Negro Education*, XI (July 1942), 324. See also Horace R. Cayton, 'Negro Morale,'' Opportunity, XIX (Dec. 1941), 371-75; Louis Wirth, ''Morale and Minority Groups,'' American Journal of Sociology, XLVII (Nov. 1941), 415-33; Kenneth B. Clark, ''Morale Among Negroes,'' Goodwin Watson, ed., Civilian Morale (Boston, 1942), 228-48; Arnold M. Rose, The Negro's Morale: Group Identification and Protest (Minneapolis, 1949), 5-7, 54-55, 122-24, 141-44.

¹⁷ Pittsburgh Courier, Sept. 9, 1939.

¹⁸ P. L. Prattis in *ibid.*, Sept. 2, 1939. Similar sentiments were expressed by Chicago *Defender* editorials, May 25, June 15, 1940.

kind of isolationism took the form of anti-colonialism, particularly against the British. There was some sympathy for France, however, because of its more liberal treatment of black citizens.¹⁹

Another spur to isolationist sentiment was the obvious hypocrisy of calling for the defense of democracy abroad while it was not a reality at home. The NAACP bitterly expressed this point:

THE CRISIS is sorry for brutality, blood, and death among the peoples of Europe, just as we were sorry for China and Ethiopia. But the hysterical cries of the preachers of democracy for Europe leave us cold. We want democracy in Alabama and Arkansas, in Mississippi and Michigan, in the District of Columbiain the Senate of the United States.²⁰

The editor of the Pittsburgh Courier proclaimed that Negroes had their "own war" at home "against oppression and exploitation from without and against disorganization and lack of confidence within"; and the Chicago Defender thought that "peace at home" should be the main concern of black Americans.²¹

Many Negroes agreed with columnist Schuyler that "Our war is not against Hitler in Europe, but against the Hitlers in America."22 The isolationist view of the war in Europe and the antagonism toward Great Britain led to an attitude that was rather neutral toward the Nazis and the Japanese, or, in some extreme cases, pro-Axis. Appealing to this latent feeling, isolationist periodicals tried to gain Negro support in their struggle against American entrance into the war.23 By 1940 there were also Negro cults such as the Ethiopian Pacific Movement, the World Wide Friends of Africa, the Brotherhood of Liberty for the Black People of America, and many others, which preached unity among the world's darker people, including Japanese. Many of these groups exploited the latent anti-semitism common among Negroes in the urban ghettos by claiming that the racial policies of Germany were correct.24

Reports reached the public that some black Americans were expressing a

¹⁹ Pittsburgh Courier, Sept. 9, 16, 1939.

Powell, Jr., "Is This a 'White Man's War'?" Common Sense, XI (April 1942), 111-13.

²⁴ Roi Ottley, "A White Folk's War?" Common Ground, II (Spring 1942), 28-31, and 'New World A-Coming' (Boston, 1943), 322-42; Lunnabelle Wedlock, The Reaction of Negro Publications and Organizations to German Anti-Semitism (Washington, 1942), 116-93; Alfred M. Lee, "Subversive Individuals of Minority Status," Annals, CCXXIII (Sept. 1942), 167-68.

vicarious pleasure over successes by the "yellow" Japanese and by Germany. In a quarrel with her employer in North Carolina, a Negro woman retorted: "I hope Hitler does come, because if he does he will get you first!" A Negro truck driver in Philadelphia was held on charges of treason after he was accused of telling a Negro soldier that he should not be in uniform and that "This is a white man's government and war and it's no damned good." After Pearl Harbor, a Negro share cropper told his landlord: "By the way, Captain, I hear the Japs done declared war on you white folks." Another Negro declared that he was going to get his eyes slanted so that the next time a white man shoved him around he could fight back.²⁵

It is impossible to determine the extent of this kind of pro-Axis sentiment among Negroes, but it was widespread enough for the Negro press to make rather frequent mention of it.26 In 1942 and 1943 the federal government did arrest the members of several pro-Japanese Negro cults in Chicago, New York, Newark, New Jersey, and East St. Louis, Illinois. Although the numbers involved were small, the evidence indicated that Japanese agents had been at work among these groups and had capitalized on Negro grievances.27

By the time of the Pearl Harbor attack, certain fundamental changes were taking place among American Negroes. Nowhere is this more evident than in a comparison of Negroes' reactions to World Wars I and II. The dominant opinion among them toward World War I was expressed by Du Bois. In World War II, most Negroes looked upon the earlier stand as a great mistake. The dominant attitude during World War II was that the Negro must fight for democracy on two fronts-at home as well as abroad. This opinion had first appeared in reaction to the discriminatory treatment of Negro soldiers;²⁸ but with the attack on Pearl Harbor, this idea, stated in many different ways, became the slogan of black America.29

²⁵ St. Clair Drake and Horace R. Cayton, Black Metropolis (New York, 1945), 744-45; Ottley, "New World A-Coming', 306-10; Horace R. Cayton, "Fighting for White Folks?" Nation, 155 (Sept. 26, 1942), 267-70.

²⁶ "The Negro and Nazism," Opportunity, XVIII (July 1940), 194-95; Horace R. Cayton in Pittsburgh Courier, Dec. 20, 1941; J. A. Rodgers in *ibid.*, Dec. 27, 1941; Chandler Owen in Norfolk Journal and Guide, Dec. 13, 1941; report in Baltimore Afro-American, Nov. 21, 1942.

²⁷ New York *Times*, Sept. 15, 22, 1942, Jan. 14, 28, 1943. ²⁸ "Conference Resolutions," *Crisis*, 47 (Sept. 1940), 296; "Where the Negro Stands," Opportunity, XIX (April 1941), 98; Lester M. Jones, "The Editorial Policy of Negro Newspapers of 1917-18 as Compared with That of 1941-42," Journal of Negro History, XXIX (Jan. 1944), 24-31.

29 Baltimore Afro-American, Dec. 20, 1941, Feb. 7, 1942; Norfolk Journal and Guide, March 21, 1942; "Now Is the Time Not to Be Silent," Crisis. 49 (Jan. 1942), 7; "The Fate of Democracy," Opportunity, XX (Jan. 1942), 2. Two Negro newspapers adopted this theme for their war slogans. The Pittsburgh Courier, Feb. 14, 1942, initiated a "DouAmerican Negroes took advantage of the war to tie their racial demands to the ideology for which the war was being fought. Before Pearl Harbor, the Negro press frequently pointed out the similarity of American treatment of Negroes and Nazi Germany's treatment of minorities. In 1940, the Chicago *Defender* featured a mock invasion of the United States by Germany in which the Nazis were victorious because a fifth column of southern senators and other racists aided them.³⁰ Later the *Crisis* printed an editorial which compared the white supremacy doctrine in America to the Nazi plan for Negroes, a comparison which indicated a marked similarity.³¹ Even the periodical of the conservative Urban League made such comparisons.³²

Many Negroes adopted a paradoxical stand on the meaning of the war. At the same time that it was labeled a "white man's war," Negroes often stated that they were bound to benefit from it. For example, Schuyler could argue that the war was not for democracy, but "Peace means . . . a continuation of the status quo . . . which must be ended if the Negro is to get free." And accordingly, the longer the war the better: "Perhaps in the shuffle we who have been on the bottom of the deck for so long will find ourselves at the top."³³

Cynicism and hope existed side by side in the Negro mind. Cynicism was often the attitude expressed after some outrageous example of discrimination. After Pearl Harbor, however, a mixture of hope and certainty—great changes favorable to the Negro would result from the war and things would never be the same again—became the dominant attitude. Hope was evident in the growing realization that the war provided the Negro with an excellent opportunity to prick the conscience of white America. "What an opportunity the crisis has been . . . for one to persuade, embarrass, compel and shame our government and our nation . . . into a more enlightened

ble V" campaign—"victory over our enemies at home and victory over our enemies on the battlefields abroad." When a Negro was brutally lynched in Sikeston, Missouri, a few weeks after Pearl Harbor, the Chicago *Defender*, March 14, 1942, adopted as its war slogan: "Remember Pearl Harbor and Sikeston too." See also Ralph N. Davis, "The Negro Newspapers and the War," *Sociology and Social Research*, XXVII (May-June 1943), 373-80.

³⁰ Chicago Defender, Sept. 25, 1940.

³¹ "Nazi Plan for Negroes Copies Southern U. S. A.," Crisis, 48 (March 1941), 71.

³² "American Nazism," Opportunity, XIX (Feb. 1941), 35. See also editorials in Pittsburgh Couvier, March 15, April 19, 26, 1941, May 30, 1942; Chicago Defender, Sept. 7, 1940; Norfolk Journal and Guide, April 19, 1941; Baltimore Afro-American, Feb. 17, 1940, Sept. 6, 1941.

³³ Pittsburgh Courier, Oct. 5, 1940; George S. Schuyler, "A Long War Will Aid the Negro," Crisis, 50 (Nov. 1943), 328-29, 344. See also J. A. Rodgers in Pittsburgh Courier, June 28, 1941; Horace R. Cayton in *ibid.*, March 22, 1941; Baltimore Afro-American, Sept. 12, 16, 1939; Guion Griffis Johnson, "The Impact of War Upon the Negro," Journal of Negro Education, X (July 1941), 596-611. attitude toward a tenth of its people!" the Pittsburgh *Courier* proclaimed.³⁴ Certainty that a better life would result from the war was based on the belief that revolutionary forces had been released throughout the world. It was no longer a "white man's world," and the "myth of white invincibility" had been shattered for good.³⁵

There was a growing protest against the racial status quo by black Americans; this was evidenced by the reevaluation of segregation in all sections of the country. In the North there was self-criticism of past acceptance of certain forms of segregation.³⁶ Southern Negroes became bolder in openly questioning the sacredness of segregation. In October 1942, a group of southern Negro leaders met in Durham, North Carolina, and issued a statement on race relations. In addition to endorsing the idea that the Negro should fight for democracy at home as well as abroad, these leaders called for complete equality for the Negro in American life. While recognizing the "strength and age" of the South's racial customs, the Durham meeting was "fundamentally opposed to the principle and practice of compulsory segregation in our American society." In addition, there were reports of deep discontent among southern Negro college students and evidence that political activity among the blacks of the South, particularly on the local level, was increasing.³⁷

The American Negro, stimulated by the democratic ideology of the war, was reexamining his position in American society. "It cannot be doubted that the spirit of American Negroes in all classes is different today from what it was a generation ago," Myrdal observed.³⁸ Part of this new spirit was an increased militancy, a readiness to protest loud and strong against

³⁴ Pittsburgh *Courier*, Jan. 10, Aug. 8, 1942. Charles S. Johnson, "The Negro and the Present Crisis," *Journal of Negro Education*, X (July 1941), 585-95. Opinion surveys indicated that most Negro soldiers expressed support for this kind of opportunism. Samuel A. Stouffer and others, *The American Soldier* (2 vols., Princeton, 1949), I, 516-17. ³⁵ Baltimore Afro-American, June 12, Oct. 31, 1942; Walter White in Pittsburgh *Courier*, May 23, 1942. The impact of world affairs on the American Negro is detailed in

Harold R. Isaacs, *The New World of Negro Americans* (New York, 1963). ³⁰ See editorials in Pittsburgh *Courier*, Dec. 28, 1940; Feb. 1, June 28, 1941; May 30,

1942; Baltimore Afro-American, May 23, 1942.

³⁷ Charles S. Johnson, *To Stem This Tide* (Boston, 1943), 131-39; Malcolm S. MacLean, president of Hampton Institute, to Marvin H. McIntyre, Nov. 20, 1942, OF 93, Roosevelt Papers (Franklin D. Roosevelt Library, Hyde Park); George B. Tindall, "The Significance of Howard W. Odum to Southern History: A Preliminary Estimate," *Journal of Southern History*, XXIV (Aug. 1958), 302. Anthropologist Hortense Powdermaker, *After Freedom: A Cultural Study of the Deep South* (New York, 1939), 331-33, 353, supports the observations of a tendency to rebel among the younger Negroes of the South. See also Ralph J. Bunche, "The Negro in the Political Life of the United States," *Journal of Negro Education*, X (July 1941), 567-84; Myrdal, *American Dilemma*, 499; Henry Lee Moon, *Balance of Power: The Negro Vote* (Garden City, 1948), 178-79.

³⁸ Myrdal, American Dilemma, 744.

grievances. The crisis gave Negroes more reason and opportunity to protest. Representative of all of the trends of black thought and action—the cynicism, the hope, the heightened race consciousness, the militancy—was the March on Washington Movement (MOWM).

The general idea of exerting mass pressure upon the government to end defense discrimination did not originate with A. Philip Randolph's call for a march on Washington, D.C., in early 1941.³⁹ Agitation for mass pressure had grown since the failure of a group of Negro leaders to gain any major concessions from President Franklin D. Roosevelt in September 1940.⁴⁰ Various organizations, such as the NAACP, the Committee for Participation of Negroes in the National Defense, and the Allied Committees on National Defense, held mass protest meetings around the country in late 1940 and early 1941.⁴¹ The weeks passed and these efforts did not seem to have any appreciable impact on the government; Walter White, Randolph, and other Negro leaders could not even secure an appointment to see the President. "Bitterness grew at an alarming pace throughout the country," White recalled.⁴²

It remained, however, for Randolph to consolidate this protest. In January 1941, he wrote an article for the Negro press which pointed out the failure of committees and individuals to achieve action against defense discrimination. "Only power can effect the enforcement and adoption of a given policy," Randolph noted; and "Power is the active principle of only the organized masses, the masses united for a definite purpose." To focus the weight of the black masses, he suggested that 10,000 Negroes march on Washington, D.C., with the slogan: "We loyal Negro-American citizens demand the right to work and fight for our country."⁴³

This march appeal led to the formation of one of the most significant though today almost forgotten—Negro protest movements. The MOWM pioneered what has become the common denominator of today's Negro revolt—''the spontaneous involvement of large masses of Negroes in a political protest.''⁴⁴ Furthermore, as August Meier and Elliott Rudwick have recently pointed out, the MOWM clearly foreshadowed ''the goals, tactics, and strategy of the mid-twentieth-century civil rights movement.'' Whites were excluded purposely to make it an all-Negro movement; its main

³⁹ Garfinkel, When Negroes March, fails to emphasize this point.

⁴⁰ Walter White, A Man Called White (New York, 1948), 186-87; "White House Blesses Jim Crow," Crisis, 47 (Nov. 1940), 350-51, 357; Dalfiume, "Desegregation of the United States Armed Forces, 1939-1953," 46-51.

⁴¹ Pittsburgh Courier, Dec. 7, 14, 21, 1940; Jan. 4, 25, Feb. 8, 1941.

⁴² White, A Man Called White, 189-90.

⁴³ Pittsburgh Courier, Jan. 25, 1941.

⁴⁴ Garfinkel, When Negroes March, 8.

The "Forgotten Years"

weapon was direct action on the part of the black masses. Furthermore, the MOWM took as its major concern the economic problems of urban slumdwellers.⁴⁵

Randolph's tactic of mass pressure through a demonstration of black power struck a response among the Negro masses. The number to march on Washington on July 1, 1941, was increased to 50,000, and only Roosevelt's agreement to issue an executive order establishing a President's Committee on Fair Employment Practices led to a cancellation of the march. Negroes then, and scholars later, generally interpreted this as a great victory. But the magnitude of the victory is diminished when one examines the original MOWM demands: an executive order forbidding government contracts to be awarded to a firm which practiced discrimination in hiring, an executive order abolishing discrimination in government defense training courses, an executive order requiring the United States Employment Service to supply workers without regard to race, an executive order abolishing segregation in the armed forces, an executive order abolishing discrimination and segregation on account of race in all departments of the federal government, and a request from the President to Congress to pass a law forbidding benefits of the National Labor Relations Act to unions denying Negroes membership. Regardless of the extent of the success of the MOWM, however, it represented something different in black protest. Unlike the older Negro movements, the MOWM had captured the imagination of the masses.⁴⁶

Although overlooked by most recent writers on civil rights, a mass militancy became characterisitic of the American Negro in World War II. This was symbolized by the MOWM and was the reason for its wide appeal. Furthermore, older Negro organizations found themselves pushed into militant stands. For example, the NAACP underwent a tremendous growth in its membership and became representative of the Negro masses for the first time in its history. From 355 branches and a membership of 50,556 in

⁴³ August Meier and Elliott M. Rudwick, From Plantation to Ghetto: An Interpretative History of American Negroes (New York, 1966), 222.

⁴⁵ "Proposals of the Negro March-On-Washington Committee to President Roosevelt for Urgent Consideration," June 21, 1941, OF 391, Roosevelt Papers. The standard versions of a Negro "victory" are Garfinkel, *When Negroes March*; Kesselman, *The Social Politics of FEPC*; and Louis Ruchames, *Race, Jobs, & Politics: The Story of FEPC* (New York, 1953). For a different interpretation, see Dalfiume, "Desegregation of the United States Armed Forces, 1939-1953," 172-77. The Negro press generally recognized that the MOWM represented something new. The Pittsburgh *Courier*, July 5, 1941, claimed: "We begin to feel at last that the day when we shall gain full rights . . . of American citizenship is now not far distant." The Chicago *Defender*, June 28, July 12, 1941, felt that the white man will be convinced that "the American black man has decided henceforth and forever to abandon the timid role of Uncle-Tomism in his struggle. . .." The tactics of the MOWM had "demonstrated to the doubting Thomases among us that only mass action can pry open the iron doors that have been erected against America's black minority."

1940, the NAACP grew to 1,073 branches with a membership of slightly less than 450,000 in 1946.⁴⁷ The editors of the Pittsburgh *Courier* recognized that a new spirit was present in black America. In the past, Negroes

made the mistake of relying entirely upon the gratitude and sense of fair play of the American people. Now we are disillusioned. We have neither faith in promises, nor a high opinion of the integrity of the American people, where race is involved. Experience has taught us that we must rely primarily upon our own efforts. . . . That is why we protest, agitate, and demand that all forms of color prejudice be blotted out. . . .⁴⁸

By the time of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, many in America, both inside and outside of the government, were worried over the state of Negro morale. There was fear that the Negro would be disloyal.⁴⁹ The depth of white ignorance about the causes for the Negro's cynicism and low morale is obvious from the fact that the black press was blamed for the widespread discontent. The double victory attitude constantly displayed in Negro newspapers throughout the war, and supported by most black Americans, was considered as verging on disloyalty by most whites. White America, ignorant of the American Negroes' reaction to World War I, thought that black citizens should subdue their grievances for the duration.

During World War II, there was pressure upon the White House and the justice department from within the federal government to indict some

Race Relations folder, Rosenwald Fund Papers (Fisk University).
⁴⁸ Pittsburgh *Courier*, Sept. 12, 1942. See also Roscoe E. Lewis, "The Role of Pressure Groups in Maintaining Morale Among Negroes," *Journal of Negro Education*, XII (Summer 1943), 464-73; Earl Brown, "American Negroes and the War," *Harper's Magazine*, 184 (April 1942), 545-52; Roi Ottley, "Negro Morale," *New Republic*, 105 (Nov. 10, 1941), 613-15; Thomas Sancton, "Something's Happened to the Negro," *New Republic*, 108 (Feb. 8, 1943), 175-79; Stanley High, "How the Negro Fights for Freedom," *Reader's Digest*, 41 (July 1942), 113-18; H. C. Brearley, "The Negro's New Belligerency," *Phylon*, V (4th Quarter 1944), 339-45.

Phylon, V (4th Quarter 1944), 339-45. ⁴⁹ Memorandum to Assistant Secretary of War McCloy from G-2, June 27, 1942, ASW 291.2, Record Group 335.

⁴⁷ Frazier, *The Negro in the United States*, 537; Charles Radford Lawrence, "Negro Organizations in Crisis: Depression, New Deal, World War II" (doctoral dissertation, Columbia University, 1953), 103; Myrdal, *American Dilemma*, 851-52. Such close observers of American race relations as Will Alexander, Edwin Embree, and Charles S. Johnson recognized the changing character of Negro protest. They believed that "the characteristic movements among Negroes are now for the first time becoming proletarian, as contrasted to upper class or intellectual influence that was typical of previous movements. The present proletarian direction grows out of the increasing general feelings of protest against discrimination, especially in the armed forces and in our war activities generally. The present movements are led in part by such established leaders as A. Philip Randolph, Walter White, etc. There is likelihood (and danger) that the movement may be seized upon by some much more picturesque figure who may be less responsible and less interested in actual improvement of conditions. One of the most likely of the potential leaders is A. Clayton Powell, Jr." Memorandum of Conferences of Alexander, Johnson, and Embree on the Rosenwald Fund's Program in Race Relations, June 27, 1942, Race Relations folder, Rosenwald Fund Papers (Fisk University).

Negro editors for sedition and interference with the war effort. President Roosevelt refused to sanction this, however. There was also an attempt to deny newsprint to the more militant Negro newspapers, but the President put an end to this when the matter was brought to his attention.⁵⁰ The restriction of Negro newspapers from military installations became so widespread that the war department had to call a halt to this practice in 1943.⁵¹ These critics failed to realize that, although serving to unify black opinion, the Negro press simply reflected the Negro mind.

One of the most widely publicized attacks on the Negro press was made by the southern white liberal, Virginius Dabney, editor of the Richmond Times Dispatch. He charged that "extremist" Negro newspapers and Negro leaders were "demanding an overnight revolution in race relations," and as a consequence they were "stirring up interracial hate." Dabney concluded his indictment by warning that "it is a foregone conclusion that if an attempt is made forcibly to abolish segregation throughout the South, violence and bloodshed will result."52 The Negro press reacted vigorously to such charges. Admitting that there were "all-or-nothing" Negro leaders, the Norfolk Journal and Guide claimed they were created by the "northingat-all" attitude of whites.53 The Chicago Defender and Baltimore Afro-American took the position that they were only pointing out the shortcomings of American democracy, and this was certainly not disloyal.⁵⁴ The NAACP and the Urban League claimed that it was patriotic for Negroes to protest against undemocratic practices, and those who sought to stifle this protest were the unpatriotic ones.55

⁵¹ Baltimore Afro-American, Sept. 30, 1941; Pittsburgh Courier, March 8, 1941, Nov. 13, 1943. Assistant Secretary of War McCloy, who was also head of the war department's Advisory Committee on Negro Troop Policies, held a critical view of the Negro press that was common in the army. McCloy to Herbert Elliston, editor of the Washington Post, Aug. 5, 1943, ASW 292.2, Record Group 335.

Aug. 5, 1943, ASW 292.2, Record Group 335. ¹² Virginius Dahney, "Nearer and Nearer the Precipice," Atlantic Monthly, 171 (Jan. 1943), 94-100; Virginius Dahney, "Press and Morale," Saturday Review of Literature, XXV (July 4, 1942), 5-6, 24-25.

⁵³ Norfolk *Journal and Guide*, Aug. 15, 1942. See also *Journal and Guide* editorials of Oct. 17, April 25, 1942; and March 6, 1943, for a defense of Negro militancy.

⁵⁴ Chicago Defender, Dec. 20, 1941; Baltimore Afro-American, Jan. 9, 1943.

⁵⁵ Pittsburgh *Courier*, May 8, June 19, 1943. A few conservative Negroes joined whites in criticizing the growing militancy. James E. Shepard, Negro president of North Carolina College for Negroes, asked the administration to do something to undercut the growing support of the militants among young Negroes: "Those who seek to stir them up about rights and not duties are their enemies." Shepard to Secretary of the Navy Frank Knox, Sept. 28, 1940, OF 93, Roosevelt Papers. Frederick D. Patterson, president of Tuskegee Institute, made it clear in his newspaper column and in talks with administration officials that he believed in all-out support for the war effort by Negroes regardless of segregation

⁵⁰ White, *A Man Called White*, 207-08; R. Keith Kane to Ulric Bell, May 14, 1942, OFF 992.11, Record Group 208; Memorandum to Robert A. Lovett from McCloy, March 6, 1942, ASW 291.2, Record Group 335.

The Negro masses simply did not support a strategy of moderating their grievances for the duration of the war. After attending an Office of Facts and Figures conference for Negro leaders in March 1942, Roy Wilkins of the NAACP wrote:

... it is a plain fact that no Negro leader with a constituency can face his members today and ask full support for the war in the light of the atmosphere the government has created. Some Negro educators who are responsible only to their boards or trustees might do so, but the heads of no organized groups would dare do so.⁵⁶

By 1942, the federal government began investigating Negro morale in order to find out what could be done to improve it. This project was undertaken by the Office of Facts and Figures and its successor, the Office of War Information.⁵⁷ Surveys by these agencies indicated that the great amount of national publicity given the defense program only served to increase the Negro's awareness that he was not participating fully in that program. Black Americans found it increasingly difficult to reconcile their treatment with the announced war aims. Urban Negroes were the most resentful over defense discrimination, particularly against the treatment accorded black members of the armed forces. Never before had Negroes been so united behind a cause: the war had served to focus their attention on their unequal status in American society. Black Americans were almost unanimous in wanting a show of good intention from the federal government that changes would be made in the racial status quo.⁵⁸

and discrimination. "Stimson Diary," Jan. 29, 1943 (Yale University Library), and columns by Patterson in the Pittsburgh *Courier*, Jan. 16, July 3, 1943. Such conservatives were bitterly attacked in the Negro press. The black leader who urged his people to relax their determination to win full participation in American life was a "misleader and a false prophet," the Norfolk *Journal and Guide*, May 2, 1942, proclaimed. Such people "endangered" the interests of Negroes by "compromising with the forces that promote and uphold segregation and discrimination," wrote the editor of the Chicago *Defender*, April 5, 1941. The *Crisis* charged that those Negroes who sought to perpetuate segregation as "realism" provided a rationale for those whites who sought to perpetuate segregation. "Government Blesses Separatism," *Crisis*, 50 (April 1943), 105.

⁵⁶ Memorandum to White from Roy Wilkins, March 24, 1942, Stephen J. Spingarn Papers (Harry S. Truman Library, Independence).
 ⁵⁷ Memorandum to Archibald MacLeish from Kane, Feb. 14, 1942; Bell to Embree,

⁵⁷ Memorandum to Archibald MacLeish from Kane, Feb. 14, 1942; Bell to Embree, Feb. 23, 1942, OFF 002.11, Record Group 208. Some government agencies displayed timidity when it came to a subject as controversial as the race question. Jonathan Daniels, Assistant Director in Charge of Civilian Mobilization, Office of Civilian Defense, urged the creation of a Division of American Unity within the OCD, but his superiors decided Negro morale was "too hot a potato." Memoranda to James Landis, April 1, 7, 1942; Daniels to Howard W. Odum, Aug. 24, 1942, Jonathan Daniels Papers (University of North Carolina).

⁵⁸ "Reports from the Special Services Division Submitted April 23, 1942: Negro Organizations and the War Effort"; Cornelius Golightly, "Negro Morale in Boston," Special Services Division Report No. 7, May 19, 1942; Special Services Division Report No. 5, May 15, 1942: "Negro Conference at Lincoln University"; Special Services Division Mem-

The government's inclination to take steps to improve Negro morale, and the Negro's desire for change, were frustrated by the general attitude of white Americans. In 1942, after two years of militant agitation by Negroes, six out of ten white Americans felt that black Americans were satisfied with things the way they were and that Negroes were receiving all of the opportunities they deserved. More than half of all whites interviewed in the Northeast and West believed that there should be separate schools, separate restaurants, and separate neighborhoods for the races, A majority of whites in all parts of the country believed that the Negro would not be treated any better after the war than in 1942 and that the Negro's lesser role in society was due to his own shortcomings rather than anything the whites had done.⁵⁹ The white opposition to racial change may have provided the rationale for governmental inactivity. Furthermore, the white obstinance must have added to the bitterness of black Americans.

Although few people recognized it, the war was working a revolution in American race relations. Sociologist Robert E. Park felt that the racial structure of society was "cracking," and the equilibrium reached after the Civil War seemed "to be under attack at a time and under conditions when it is particularly difficult to defend it."60 Sociologist Howard W. Odum wrote from the South that there was "an unmeasurable and unbridgeable distance between the white South and the reasonable expectation of the Negro."61 White southerners opposed to change in the racial mores sensed changes occurring among "their" Negroes. "Outsiders" from the North, Mrs. Franklin Roosevelt, and the Roosevelt Administration were all accused of attempting to undermine segregation under the pretense of wartime necessity.62

Racial tensions were common in all sections of the country during the war.63 There were riots in 1943. Tensions were high because Negro Ameri-

orandum, "Report on Recent Factors Increasing Negro-White Tension," Nov. 2, 1942. All are in OFF and OWI files in Record Group 44.

⁵⁰ "Intelligence Report: White Attitudes Toward Negroes," OWI, Bureau of Intelligence, Aug. 5, 1942; same title dated July 28, 1942, Record Group 44. Hazel Gaudet Erskine, "The Polls: Race Relations," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, XXVI (Spring 1962), 137-48. ⁶⁰ Robert E. Park, "Racial Ideologies," William Fielding Ogburn, ed., *American Society* In Wartime (Chicago, 1943), 174.

⁶¹ Howard W. Odum, Race and Rumors of Race: Challenge to American Crisis (Chapel Hill, 1943), 7; for a similar view, see Johnson, To Stem This Tide, 67-68, 73, 89-107, 113, 117.

⁶² John Temple Graves, "The Southern Negro and the War Crisis," Virginia Quarterly Review, 18 (Autumn 1942), 500-17; Clark Foreman, "Race Tension in the South," New Republic, 107 (Sept. 21, 1942), 340-42.

⁶³ Alfred McClung Lee and Norman Daymond Humphrey, Race Riot (New York, 1943); Carey McWilliams, "Race Tensions: Second Phase," Common Ground, IV (Autumn 1943), 7-12.

cans were challenging the status quo. When fourteen prominent Negroes, conservatives and liberals, southerners and northerners, were asked in 1944 what they thought the black American wanted, their responses were almost unanimous. Twelve of the fourteen said they thought that Negroes wanted full political equality, economic equality, equality of opportunity, and full social equality with the abolition of legal segregation.⁶⁴ The war had stimulated the race consciousness and the desire for change among Negroes.

Most American Negroes and their leaders wanted the government to institute a revolutionary change in its race policy. Whereas the policy had been acquiescence in segregation since the end of Reconstruction, the government was now asked to set the example for the rest of the nation by supporting integration. This was the demand voiced by the great majority of the Negro leaders called together in March 1942 by the Office of Facts and Figures.⁶⁵ Crisis magazine summarized the feelings of many black Americans: Negroes have "waited thus far in vain for some sharp and dramatic notice that this war is not to maintain the status quo here."66

The White House, and it was not alone, failed to respond to the revolutionary changes occurring among the nation's largest minority. When the Fraternal Council of Negro Churches called upon President Roosevelt to end discrimination in the defense industries and armed forces, the position taken was that "it would be very bad to give encouragement beyond the point where actual results can be accomplished."67 Roosevelt did bestir himself over particularly outrageous incidents. When Roland Hayes, a noted Negro singer, was beaten and jailed in a Georgia town, the President dashed off a note to his attorney general: "Will you have someone go down and check up . . . and see if any law was violated. I suggest you send a northerner."68

Roosevelt was not enthusiastic about major steps in the race relations field proposed by interested individuals within and without the government.⁶⁹ In February 1942 Edwin R. Embree of the Julius Rosenwald

⁶⁴ Rayford W. Logan, ed., What the Negro Wants (Chapel Hill, 1944).

⁶⁵ Memorandum to White from Wilkins, March 23, 1942, Spingarn Papers; Pittsburgh Courier, March 28, 1942; Norfolk Journal and Guide, March 28, 1942.

⁶¹ "U. S. A. Needs Sharp Break With the Past," *Crisis*, 49 (May 1942), 151. ⁶⁷ "A Statement to the President of the United States Concerning the Present World Crisis by Negro Church Leaders Called by the Executive Committee of the Fraternal Council of Negro Churches of America," Feb. 17, 1942; McIntyre to MacLean, Chairman of the President's Committee on Fair Employment Practice, Feb. 19, 1942, OF 93, Roosevelt Papers.

⁶⁸ Memorandum to the Attorney General from the President, Aug. 26, 1942, OF 93, ibid.

⁶⁰ Franklin Roosevelt's conservative and "leave well enough alone" attitude toward Negro rights is discussed in Arthur M. Schlesinger, Jr., The Age of Roosevelt: The Politics Fund, acutely aware of the growing crisis in American race relations, urged Roosevelt to create a commission of experts on race relations to advise him on what steps the government should take to improve matters. FDR's answer to this proposal indicates that he felt race relations was one of the reform areas that had to be sacrificed for the present in order to prosecute the war. He thought such a commission was "premature" and that "we must start winning the war . . . before we do much general planning for the future." The President believed that "there is a danger of such long-range planning becoming projects of wide influence in escape from the realities of war. I am not convinced that we can be realists about the war and planners for the future at this critical time."⁷⁰

After the race riots of 1943, numerous proposals for a national committee on race relations were put forward; but FDR refused to change his position. Instead, the President simply appointed Jonathan Daniels to gather information from all government departments on current race tensions and what they were doing to combat them.⁷¹ This suggestion for what would

of Upbeaval (Boston, 1960), 431; Frank Freidel, F. D. R. and the South (Baton Rouge, 1965), 73, 81, 97; Mary McLeod Bethune, "My Secret Talks with F. D. R.," Ebony, IV (April 1949), 42-51. Perhaps Roosevelt's conservative attitude is responsible for his privately expressed dislike of the NAACP. In 1943 Arthur B. Spingarn, president of the NAACP, asked him to write a letter praising the twenty-five years of service by White to that organization. On one version of the proposed letter there is an attached note which reads: "Miss Tully brought this in. Says the President doesn't think too much of this organization—not to be to[o] fullsome—tone it down a bit." Roosevelt to Spingarn, Oct. 1, 1943, PPF 1226, Roosevelt Papers.

⁷⁰ Roosevelt to Embree, March 16, 1942, in answer to Embree to Roosevelt, Feb. 3, 1942, OF 93, Roosevelt Papers. In his covering letter to the President's secretary, Embree emphasized that his proposed commission should address itself to the problem of race around the world as well as at home: "A serious weakness both in America and among the united nations is the low morale of the 'colored peoples' to whom this war is being pictured as simply another struggle of the white man for domination of the world. This condition is becoming acute among the Negro group at home and among important allies abroad, especially the Chinese and the residents of Malaya, the East Indies, and the Philippines." Embree to McIntyre, Feb. 3, 1942, Commission on Race and Color folder, Rosenwald Fund Papers.

¹¹ In June 1943, Embree and John Collier, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, developed an idea for a committee established by the President "to assume special responsibility in implementing the Bill of Rights of the Constitution, particularly in defending racial minorities at a time of crisis." Memorandum to Johnson and Alexander from Embree, June 16, 1943, Race Relations folder, Rosenwald Fund Papers. See also John Collier and Saul K. Padover, "An Institute for Ethnic Democracy," *Common Ground*, IV (Autumn 1943), 3-7, for a more elaborate proposal.

Émbree probably passed along his idea to Odum of the University of North Carolina so that he could discuss it with a fellow North Carolinian in the White House, Daniels, administrative assistant to the President. Odum and Daniels had a conference in August 1943 from which emerged a recommendation for a "President's Committee on Race and Minority Groups." Odum to Daniels, Aug. 23, 1943; Memorandum to Daniels from Odum, Aug. 30, 1943, Howard W. Odum Papers (University of North Carolina).

Although Daniels apparently gave Odum the impression that he was interested in a

eventually become a President's Committee on Civil Rights would have to wait until a President recognized that a revolution in race relations was occurring and that action by the government could no longer be put off. In the interim, many would share the shallow reasoning of Secretary of War Stimson that the cause of racial tension was "the deliberate effort . . . on the part of certain radical leaders of the colored race to use the war for obtaining . . . race equality and interracial marriages. . . . "72

The hypocrisy and paradox involved in fighting a world war for the four freedoms and against aggression by an enemy preaching a master race ideology, while at the same time upholding racial segregation and white supremacy, were too obvious. The war crisis provided American Negroes with a unique opportunity to point out, for all to see, the difference between the American creed and practice. The democratic ideology and rhetoric with which the war was fought stimulated a sense of hope and certainty in black Americans that the old race structure was destroyed forever. In part, this confidence was also the result of the mass militancy and race consciousness that developed in these years. When the expected white acquiescence in a new racial order did not occur, the ground was prepared for the civil rights revolution of the 1950s and 1960s; the seeds were indeed sown in the World War II years.

national committee, this was not the case. "It has been suggested that a committee of prominent men be named to study this situation," he wrote the President. "I am sure the naming of such a committee would not now halt the procession of angry outbreaks which are occurring. I doubt that any report could be made which would be so effective as a statement now from you would be. I am very much afraid, indeed, that any committee report would only serve as a new ground for controversy." Memorandum to the President from Daniels, Aug. 2, 1943, Daniels Papers. Roosevelt apparently agreed with Daniels, and Odum was informed that "My boss does not think well of the idea that we discussed." Daniels to Odum, Sept. 1, 1943, Odum Papers.

Daniels' appointment as White House coordinator of information on race relations was actually suggested by him to the President in June 1943. Memorandum to the President from Daniels, June 29, 1943, Daniels Papers. By July 1943, Roosevelt had approved of the new role for his administrative assistant, and Daniels was hard at work gathering information. Daniels to Secretary of War Stimson, July 28, 1943, ASW 291.2, Record Group 335.

⁷² "Stimson Diary," June 24, 1943.