



Published in final edited form as:

Nat Microbiol. 2018 May ; 3(5): 548–555. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0125-7.

Sequential blood meals augment vector infectiousness by promoting *Leishmania* replication and triggering amplification of metacyclics via a novel retroleptomonad developmental stage

Tiago D. Serafim, Iliano V. Coutinho-Abreu, Fabiano Oliveira, Claudio Meneses, Shaden Kamhawi*, and Jesus G. Valenzuela*

Vector Molecular Biology Section, Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland 20852, USA

Abstract

Sand flies, similar to most vectors, take multiple blood meals during their lifetime¹⁻⁴. The effect of subsequent blood meals on pathogens developing in the vector, and their impact on disease transmission have never been examined. Here, we show that ingestion of a second uninfected blood meal by *Leishmania*-infected sand flies triggers dedifferentiation of metacyclic promastigotes, considered a terminally differentiated stage inside the vector⁵, to a leptomonad-like stage, the retroleptomonad promastigote. Reverse metacyclogenesis occurs after every subsequent blood meal where retroleptomonad promastigotes rapidly multiply and differentiate to metacyclic promastigotes enhancing sand fly infectiousness. Importantly, a subsequent blood meal amplifies the few *Leishmania* parasites acquired by feeding on infected hosts by 125 folds, and increases lesion frequency by 4 folds, in twice-fed compared to single-fed flies. These findings place readily available blood sources as a critical element in transmission and propagation of vector-borne pathogens.

Human leishmaniasis, a neglected disease afflicting an estimated one million people worldwide, is transmitted by phlebotomine sand flies⁶. Multiple blood meals (BM)s increase the capacity of vectors, including sand flies, to transmit disease by promoting contact with susceptible hosts^{2,3,7}. The effect of a second uninfected blood meal in *Leishmania* development inside the sand fly gut and its consequence in parasite transmission have not been studied.

Here, we show that ingestion of a second uninfected BM by *Leishmania*-infected sand flies triggers parasite dedifferentiation and amplification that greatly enhance disease transmission. Experimentally, *Leishmania* parasites develop transmissible infections,

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.G.V. jvalenzuela@niaid.nih.gov or S.K. skamhawi@niaid.nih.gov.

Author contributions:

T.D.S. and I.V.C.A. designed and performed the experiments. T.D.S. analyzed the data. I.V.C.A. analyzed qPCR data. C.M. performed sand fly insectary work. J.G.V., S.K., and F.O. were involved in the design, interpretation and supervision of this study. All authors wrote the manuscript.

characterized by terminally differentiated metacyclic promastigotes, 8-12 days post infection (PI)⁸⁻¹⁰. Sand flies were membrane-fed on blood containing 2×10^6 *Leishmania* parasites per ml, and half were provided a second uninfected BM by feeding on a healthy mouse 12 days PI (Fig. 1a). Eighteen days PI and 6 days post second BM, the midgut of twice engorged (E2) sand flies is dense and distended showing an infection enhancement compared to once engorged (E1) sand flies (Fig. 1a). For E1 sand flies, *L. infantum* followed the expected developmental cycle in *Lutzomyia longipalpis*, developing mature infections with a median of 9.6×10^4 parasites (Fig. 1b, blue symbols, Supplementary Table 1) and a median of 79% metacyclic promastigotes by day 12 (Fig. 1c, blue symbols, Supplementary Table 1). In contrast to E1 sand flies where the infection remained stable, 24 hours after E2 sand flies had a second BM a rapid and abrupt drop in the proportion of metacyclic promastigotes from 79% to 5.6% was observed despite an unchanged total number of parasites per midgut (Fig. 1c, orange symbols, Supplementary Table 1). Instead of highly motile metacyclic promastigotes (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Video 1a and b), “leptomonad-like” parasites with a large cell body, a shorter flagella and low motility were observed (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Video 1c and d). Since these forms resulted from dedifferentiation of metacyclic promastigotes, they were termed “retroptomonad promastigotes”. The multiplication of retroptomonad promastigotes in E2 sand flies significantly increased the number of parasites per midgut from a median of 1.08×10^5 on day 13 to a median of 5.19×10^5 on day 18 (Fig. 1b, orange symbols, Supplementary Table 1). This resulted in a 4.5-fold increase in the number of metacyclic promastigotes per midgut on day 18 PI in E2 sand flies (Fig. 1f). We compared parasite viability in E1 and E2 sand flies every 6 hours during the first 24 hours after E2 sand flies were provided the uninfected BM. Parasite viability was comparable in both groups and remained above 90% at all times (Fig. S1). The appearance of retroptomonads coincided with the disappearance of metacyclic promastigotes 24 hours after a subsequent BM (Fig. 1g, purple symbol). Conversely, the disappearance of retroptomonad promastigotes coincided with the appearance of metacyclic promastigotes at day 18 post infection (Fig. 1g, orange symbols). This phenomenon was not observed in E1 sand flies (Fig. 1h). The reverse metacyclogenesis phenomenon, the transformation of metacyclic promastigotes into a proliferative stage in response to sequential blood feeding, appears to be ubiquitous as it was also observed in *L. major*-infected *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Supplementary Figure 2a and c) and *L. donovani*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Supplementary Figure 2b and d).

Reverse metacyclogenesis also occurs *in vitro*. Addition of inactivated plasma (to inactivate the complement cascade) triggered dedifferentiation of metacyclics into proliferative promastigotes (Fig. 1i). As previously reported¹¹, fresh normal plasma killed metacyclics promastigotes after a few hours in culture (Fig. 1i). Medium supplemented with either red blood cells, disrupted red blood cells or medium alone had no effect on metacyclics (Fig. 1i). These data suggest that a component from plasma triggers reverse metacyclogenesis. We hypothesize that metacyclic promastigotes sense a nutrient from blood causing them to dedifferentiate and proliferate. As the blood is digested and excreted, the retroptomonads differentiate again into metacyclic promastigotes. To visualize this phenomenon, we imaged metacyclic promastigotes every minute for 18 hours after the addition of inactivated serum. We captured the transformation of metacyclic promastigotes into retroptomonad

promastigotes (Supplementary Video 2 a,b,c). During this transition, the flagellum of a metacyclic promastigote shortens significantly, its body increases more than twice in length and the emergent promastigote starts to divide (Supplementary Video 2 a,b,c). We named this parasite stage retroleptomonad due of its similarity to a leptomonad-like promastigote but more importantly due to its origin, the metacyclic promastigote and its temporal placement in the life cycle within the midgut. Transcriptomics, proteomics, and glycomics analysis will determine whether this stage can be also classified as a leptomonad or has distinct molecular and biochemical characteristics. Future studies are also needed to identify the molecule (s) in blood that trigger reverse metacyclogenesis and how it is sensed by the metacyclic parasite¹².

Sand flies take a BM every 5-6 days throughout their life span^{1,13} and full parasite development to metacyclic promastigotes inside the sand fly gut occurs later, 9-12 days PI¹⁴. We tested whether a subsequent BM taken six days after infection with *Leishmania* would have consequences for the developing early infection. For both *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table 2) and *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 2), E2 sand flies that were provided a second BM six days post infection showed a significant increase in the median parasite burden per midgut compared to E1 sand flies. A significantly lower percent of metacyclics was observed for E2 compared to E1 sand flies on day 9 for both *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 2c) and *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* (Fig. 2d), indicative of a transient delay in the appearance of metacyclics in E2 sand flies. This delay resulted in a significant increase in both parasite number and percent of metacyclics three days later (Fig. 2c, d, Supplementary Table 2). Similar to mature infections, this amplification resulted in a significant enhancement of the number of metacyclics per midgut from a median of 8.4×10^4 in E1 to 4.08×10^5 in E2 *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 2e), and a median of 1.5×10^3 in E1 to 9.75×10^4 in E2 *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* (Fig. 2f), on day 12 PI. Thus, a subsequent BM by a *Leishmania*-infected sand flies results in parasite amplification regardless of the stage of infection. Of relevance, this phenomenon was also observed when the subsequent BM was provided by feeding on a chicken (Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 2), a favored peridomestic blood source for sand flies¹⁵ that supports *Leishmania* development in infected sand flies¹⁶. This implicates chickens, that are refractory to *Leishmania* infection, in parasite amplification in the sand fly and, together with other readily available animal blood sources¹⁷, in disease propagation in nature.

Another significant finding related to parasite transmission was observed in infected sand flies after a second uninfected BM. The haptomonad stage of an E2 sand fly enlarges to form an extensive spherical structure, termed the haptomonad parasite sphere (HPS), that occludes the stomodeal valve (Fig. 2g, h, Supplementary Video 3). Haptomonads adhere to the lining of the stomodeal valve and have been associated to chitinase-mediated destruction of its structure, thereby facilitating transmission of metacyclics⁸. E2 sand flies developed a significantly larger (HPS) with a median diameter of 163 μ m compared to 72 μ m for E1 sand flies (Fig. 2i). Fig. 2j shows part of the HPS *in situ* as it protrudes from the stomodeal valve of a sand fly at 12 days PI. Of note, the HPS encompasses a massive physical structure at the stomodeal valve. Formation of this large spherical structure after a second blood meal implicates it as a key component, in addition to the promastigote secretory gel¹⁸, in the

blockage of parasites at the anterior part of the sand fly midgut, thereby promoting regurgitation and enhancing parasite transmission during feeding. These findings support the previously hypothesized importance of haptomonad promastigotes in parasite transmission⁵, and suggest they may be more relevant to its success than previously considered⁵.

The number of parasites acquired by a sand fly feeding on an infected host remains unknown. Importantly, the effect of a second blood meal on parasites acquired from infected animals has not been previously studied. To assess the effect of a subsequent BM on sand flies that fed on a *Leishmania*-infected host, we first established that *Lu. longipalpis* sand flies ingest a median of 52 parasites after feeding on a sick *L. infantum*-infected hamster, while *P. papatasi* takes in a median of 80 parasites after feeding on a *L. major* footpad lesion (Fig. 3 a, b). This establishes that the number of parasites naturally acquired by sand flies is smaller than that provided through experimental infections. Under this natural setting, E1 sand flies that picked-up *Leishmania* parasites from infected animals and did not take a second BM developed poor infections in *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Table 3) and *P. papatasi* (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Table 3), and produced a median of 4.1% metacyclics for *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 3e, Supplementary Table 3) and zero for *P. papatasi* (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Table 3) by day 12 PI. In contrast, the number of parasites in sand flies that had a subsequent uninfected BM (E2) increased 69-fold for *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Table 3) and 125-fold for *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Table 3) by day 12 PI. Additionally, E2 *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 3e, Supplementary Table 3) and *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Table 3) developed a median of 68.3% and 86% metacyclics, respectively, on day 12 PI, a significantly higher percent of metacyclics compared to E1 sand flies. This translates to an increase in the number of metacyclics per midgut from a median of zero in E1 sand flies to a median of 5.85×10^4 and 6×10^4 for *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* (Fig. 3g) and *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* (Fig. 3h) E2 sand flies, respectively. These data indicate that a second BM amplifies the small number of parasites acquired by feeding on infected hosts facilitating their establishment in the sand fly. This led us to hypothesize that infected sand flies that take a second BM would be more efficient at transmitting parasites to a mammalian host. The transmission success after the bite of a single *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* E1 sand fly, that fed once on footpad lesions, was compared to an E2 sand fly provided a second uninfected BM at day 6 PI. *Leishmania* transmission by an E2 sand fly bite was 4-fold higher than an E1 sand fly, assessed by the frequency of cutaneous leishmaniasis lesions in mice at week 4 post-challenge with a single infected sand fly (Fig. 3i). Interestingly, we did not observe an increase in pathology, strongly suggesting that infected flies that took a second blood meal will produce “more cases” of disease and not necessarily “more severe” disease. Lesions resulting from E1 sand flies are likely caused by infrequent high-dose transmitters¹⁹. In contrast, the higher frequency of lesions after bites of E2 sand flies suggests that a second blood meal likely produces high-dose transmitters in the majority of E2 sand flies, a hypothesis that remains to be verified in future studies. The enhanced frequency of transmission in E2 sand flies may have epidemiological implications for leishmaniasis. They reveal that a second blood meal is vital for vector competence of infected sand flies, and should be accounted for when considering their vectorial capacity. Additionally, our findings have consequences for

xenodiagnosis, a technique used to determine if an animal or a person is infectious to the vector. To date, these studies lack sensitivity, and most investigators assess ‘pick-up’ of parasites rather than the epidemiologically relevant status of mature infections. The relatively small number of parasites acquired by sand flies after feeding on infected reservoirs will most likely survive and expand in the gut of the insect only if a second blood meal is taken. We propose that a second uninfected blood meal should be implemented for xenodiagnosis to better reflect the true infectiousness of a target species.

The life cycle of *Leishmania* parasites in the midgut of a sand fly was thought to conclude with terminally differentiated infective metacyclic promastigotes^{8,18,20} (Fig. 4a). It was accepted that the complex developmental cycle of *Leishmania* parasites in the sand fly includes two multiplicative forms, procyclic and leptomonad promastigotes^{8,18,20,21} (Fig. 4a). Here, we provide direct experimental evidence that metacyclic promastigotes are more plastic than previously thought and can respond to environmental cues, dedifferentiating *in vivo* into a leptomonad-like replicative stage, the retroleptomonad, upon the ingestion of additional uninfected BMs (Fig. 4b). These retroleptomonads multiply before redifferentiating into metacyclics, amplifying the number of infectious parasites in the sand fly prior to the next transmission event (Fig. 4b).

Classically, the life cycle of *Leishmania* parasites within the sand fly midgut has been based on observations done with experimental infections that require administration of millions of parasites/ml, typically in a single artificial BM (Fig. 4c, Experimental conditions). In this work, we show that for sand flies that pick-up <100 *Leishmania* amastigotes from infected animals, a subsequent blood meal is a major determinant of sand fly infectiousness. Sand flies that do not take a subsequent BM upon laying their eggs, a rare and unlikely scenario, will produce poor infections (Fig. 4c, Scenario A). Comparatively, sand flies that take multiple blood meals, the most likely scenario in nature, driven by an evolutionary need to lay as many batches of eggs as possible throughout their lifespan, establish a healthy infection and augment their infectivity by continuously amplifying the infection by expanding their metacyclic promastigote population (Fig. 4c, Scenario B). These laboratory observations are relevant to field conditions since previous studies have established that sand flies take multiple blood meals every 5-6 days throughout their life span^{1,13}, including while they are infected²², and that certain species take multiple blood meals before they lay their eggs¹. Our findings reveal a fundamental role for multiple blood meals in establishing *Leishmania* infection, and in perpetually enhancing the infectiousness of sand fly vectors. As most vectors of disease take blood meals after becoming infected, their pathogens may have evolved similar mechanisms to promote their survival and transmission redefining the role of uninfected blood meals in the epidemiology of vector-borne diseases.

Methods

Ethics statement

All animal experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Animal Care and Use Committee under animal protocol LMVR4E. The NIAID DIR Animal Care and Use Program complies with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and with the NIH Office of Animal Care

and Use and Animal Research Advisory Committee guidelines. Detailed NIH Animal Research Guidelines can be accessed at <https://oma1.od.nih.gov/manualchapters/intramural/3040-2/>.

Animals

Six to eight weeks old female BALB/c mice and four weeks old White Leghorn chickens were obtained from Charles River laboratories. Three to six weeks old male Golden Syrian hamsters (Hsd Han TM- AURA strains) were purchased from Harlan Laboratories. Animals were housed under pathogen-free conditions at the NIAID Twinbrook animal facility, Rockville, MD. *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Phlebotomus papatasi* sand flies were mass reared at the Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research insectary according to the protocols described by Lawyer et al, 2016²³. Adult females were maintained on a 30% sucrose diet (commercial sugar) and were starved for 12 hours before feeding. The number of flies dissected per time point was similar for all conditions and was determined empirically based on previous studies. For parasite transmission to mice, animals were randomly assigned to different experimental groups, and were randomly selected at each time point. From historical data, 66% of mice develop lesions after sand fly transmission with *Phlebotomus papatasi* infected with *Leishmania major* when 10 infected sand flies were used as the infectious challenge. Here, we used a single fly transmission comparing E1 and E2 thus reducing the likelihood of lesions development in E1 to an estimated 7%. Taking into considerations that E2 sand flies have ~125 times more parasites in their gut we theorized that E2 transmission would occur at least 3 times more often (21%). With these numbers in mind, we calculate that to find a statistically significance difference of $P < 0.05$ with a power of 95% probability comparing E1 to E2 flies, we will need at least $n = 16$ per group on each experiment on lesion size prevalence. Samples from the different experimental groups were processed and assayed simultaneously.

Parasites

Parasite strains used in this study: *Leishmania infantum* (MCAN/BR/09/52) isolated from a dog spleen in Natal, Brazil⁹; *Leishmania major* (WR 2885) isolated from a soldier deployed to Iraq²⁴; and *Leishmania donovani* (MHOM/SD/62/1S) maintained by serial passages in Golden Syrian hamsters as described before⁹. *L. major* was grown at 26°C in Schneider's insect medium (Lonza Biowhittaker, 04-351Q) supplemented with 20% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco, 16140071) for purification of metacyclic promastigotes by PNA (Vector Laboratories, L-1070) agglutination²⁵. Purified metacyclics were used to infect mouse footpads and for differentiation experiments *in vitro*. For *in vitro* dedifferentiation experiments, *L. major* PNA double-purified metacyclics were seeded in Grace's insect medium (Lonza BioWhittaker, 04-457F). Experiments were performed with pure medium or with medium supplemented with one of the following: 20% fresh rabbit plasma, 20% inactivated rabbit plasma, PBS-washed red blood cells (RBC) or RBC disrupted by 3 freeze/thaw cycles. *L. major* PNA double-purified metacyclics were also used to record the dedifferentiation event. Metacyclics promastigotes were suspended in PBS and transferred to poly-L-lysine (Sigma – P8920) coated bottom glass base dish (Thermo Scientific – 150682). Dishes were coated for 5 min with sterile poly-L-lysine, excess solution was removed and let dry for 1h. Dishes glass area were washed 25 times with 1 ml of PBS. After dry, metacyclic

promastigotes suspension was added to dish and let settle for 10 min. Dish was extensively washed with medium (Schneider's + 20% FBS) to remove unattached and poorly attached parasites. Three mL of medium was added and attached metacyclic promastigotes were imaged every minute for 18h.

Animal infection

For *L. infantum*, thirty to fifty 12 day-infected *Lu. longipalpis* were allowed to feed on an anesthetized hamster for one hour. Six to eight months later, symptomatic animals⁹ were exposed to sand flies or used to harvest *Leishmania* amastigotes. For *L. major*, metacyclic promastigotes were harvested from stationary phase cultures and purified using PNA agglutination as previously described²⁶. Metacyclics (1×10^5) were injected into a BALB/c mouse footpad. After four to six weeks, swollen non-ulcerated footpads (<5 mm thickness) were offered to sand flies or were used to harvest amastigotes.

Sand fly infections

Sand flies were infected either artificially, by mixing animal blood with tissue-harvested amastigotes in a custom-made glass feeder (Chemglass Life Sciences, CG183570) capped with chick skin, or naturally on a *Leishmania*-infected animal. In the former, sand flies were allowed to feed on heparinized blood containing 2×10^6 *Leishmania* amastigotes per mL. The feeding apparatus was kept at 37°C with circulating heated water. *Lu. longipalpis* was artificially infected using naïve dog blood seeded with *L. infantum* amastigotes harvested from infected hamster spleens as described elsewhere²⁷. *P. papatasi* was artificially infected with *L. major* amastigotes harvested from infected BALB/c mice footpads²⁶. Flies were allowed to feed for 3 hours in the dark. For natural infections, *Lu. longipalpis* and *P. papatasi* were fed on symptomatic hamsters exposed seven months earlier to *L. infantum*-infected sand flies or on *L. major* footpad lesions of BALB/c mice, respectively. Sand flies were allowed to feed on an anesthetized hamster placed in a custom made plexiglas cage (L14cm × W14cm × H14cm), whereas the infected footpad of an anesthetized mouse was inserted through a hole made in a mesh covering a cardboard pint containing the sand flies. Feeding was carried out for one hour in the dark. After artificial and natural sand fly infections, blood fed females were sorted for further experimentation.

Sand fly subsequent blood meals

After the sand flies had taken an infected blood meal, either by feeding on a glass feeder or an infected animal, they were kept on a 30% sucrose diet for either 6 or 12 days. The sand flies were then allowed to blood engorge on either an anesthetized naïve mouse or a restrained young chicken for one hour.

Midgut parasite load assessment by direct counting

The midguts of infected sand flies were dissected in PBS on microscope slides using tweezers and fine needles. Dissected midguts were then transferred to 1.7mL microtubes (Denville Scientific, C2172) filled with 30µL of PBS and ground with disposable pellet mixers and a cordless motor (Kimble, 7495400000). Dilutions were made as necessary, and 10 µL of each sample was loaded onto Neubauer improved chambers (Incyto, DNC-NO1).

Leishmania parasites were counted following the manufacture's recommendations. To provide accurate counts of the rapidly swimming metacyclic promastigotes, they were slowed down by the addition of formalin to PBS at a final concentration of 0.005%. Parasites were counted under a phase contrast Axiostar plus microscope (Zeiss) at 400X magnification.

Midgut parasite load assessment by Quantitative PCR

Immediately after feeding on infected animals, the whole body of fully fed females was lysed individually for DNA purification using QIAamp DNA Micro kits (Qiagen, 56304), following the manufacture's recommendations (tissue protocol – plus grind with disposable pellet mixers at the lysis buffer). Twenty nanograms of sample DNA was used as template in a Taqman-based quantitative PCR (qPCR) to amplify a fragment of the *Leishmania* kinetoplast minicircle DNA as described elsewhere²⁸. In order to obtain a standard curve and assess the parasite concentration at a given cycle threshold (CT), cultured *Leishmania* parasites were serially diluted from 10^6 to 10^1 and individually mixed with one uninfected female sand fly for DNA extraction. qPCR was carried out for all the standards, and the CT values were plotted against parasite concentrations (log₁₀ scale). Standard curves were performed separately for *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* and *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi*. Water only as well as DNA from uninfected sand fly females were used as negative controls. As the amplification of *Lu. longipalpis* uninfected sand fly DNA displayed CT values similar to the 10^1 *L. infantum*-spiked DNA equivalent, such a dilution was excluded from the standard curve. Primer-probe amplification efficiencies were calculated using the equation: $E = 10^{(-1/\text{slope})}$, where the slope was obtained from the linear regression analysis.

Transmission of *Leishmania* parasites via sand fly bites

A single *P. papatasi* female was placed into a cylindrical custom made plastic vial covered with a fine mesh as previous described²⁹. A small hole was made in the mesh to insert the ear of an anesthetized mouse inside the vial. The sand flies were kept in direct contact with the mouse ear for 3 hours in the dark at 26°C and 75% room humidity. Afterwards, the sand flies were checked for blood under a stereoscope. Lesions developing on mice ears were measured weekly for 4 weeks using a Vernier caliper (Mitutoyo, 500-195).

Haptomonad sphere dissection and measurement

The midguts of sand flies at late stage infections (12 days after the 1st blood meal for once or twice engorged sand flies) were dissected as described above. The haptomonads parasite spheres (HPS) were obtained by pulling the crop and the midgut apart, which sometimes resulted in the removal of intact HPS from the cardiac valve. Whenever the HPS stayed behind connected to the cardia, direct dissection of the cardiac valve with fine needles was performed. As the HPS was isolated, tissue debris were removed from the surroundings, and a coverslip was placed onto the HPS for posterior measurements. Pictures of HPS were obtained, and voxel sizes were determined by image acquisition using a stage micrometer calibration slide (AmScope, MR400). The HPS' diameters were measured using the Image J software³⁰.

Microscopy, stereomicroscopic imaging and video recording

Whole midgut images were taken using an iPhone 6s camera connected to the Stemi 508 stereomicroscope (Zeiss) ocular by a microscope mount (iDu Optics, iDu Professional iPhone 6/6S microscope adapter with built-in 30mm 10X WF lens) and voxel sizes were determined by image acquisition using a stage micrometer calibration slide (Omax, A36CLAM1). Phase contrast micrographs were taken using the AxioCam mRm camera coupled to Axiovert 200 microscope (Zeiss). Midguts videos were recorded using a DFC345 FX camera coupled to a DMI6000 B microscope (Leica). Raw files were opened using Image J software and exported at 10 frames per second (Supplementary video 1a,b,c) and 25 frames per second (Supplementary video 2a,b,c). For Supplementary videos 2, images were cropped to focus on single parasite and compiled until 18h (Using Image J software). Video files were loaded to Movavi video editor software (Movavi video suite – v16.5) to add arrow on initial frames.

Scanning electron microscopy

Parasite samples were fixed in 25 μ L of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer and allowed to settle on silicon chips for twenty minutes. After a brief buffer wash, samples were post-fixed with 1.0% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Specimens were dehydrated with a graded ethanol series, critical point dried under CO₂ in a Bal-Tec model cpd 030 Drier (Balzers), mounted on aluminum studs, and sputter coated with 50 Å of iridium in a model IBSe ion beam sputter coater (South Bay Technologies) and viewed at 5 kV in a Hitachi SU-8000 field emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi). Scanning Electron Micrographs were converted to RGB color in Adobe Photoshop CS6 from Grayscale. The embedded SEM image details were masked using the Fill-Content Aware function. The midgut was selected and a Levels adjustment layer was added to adjust for improved contrast. The midgut mask was modified with a Hue/Saturation layer (Hue: 28, Saturation: 13, Lightness: -34). The background was selected as a mask and the contrast was modified with the Levels adjustment layer. The background mask was colored a deep ombre (#130f05) with a Color Fill adjustment layer set at an opacity of 81% and fill of 100% using an Overlay transfer mode. The parasites were selected as a mask and adjusted for contrast using the Levels adjustment mode. The parasite mask was then colored cyan (#0eb7f6) with a Color Fill adjustment layer using an opacity of 97% and fill of 99%. The transfer mode was set to Multiply. The highlights within the parasites were selected and colored yellow (#c5c722) using a Color Fill adjustment layer with an opacity and fill of 77% for each and an Overlay transfer mode. A new layer was added and using the gradient tool, shadowing was added to the top edge of the image.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry analysis was used to determine viability of gut-residing sand fly promastigotes during the first 24 hours after the 2nd blood meal using propidium iodide incorporation¹². At day 12 post infection, once or twice engorged sand fly midguts were dissected in PBS and the thoracic portion isolated. The dissected portion of ten midguts was transferred to 0.5 mL of PBS in a pyrex 9 depression glass spot plate well (Corning, 7220-85), opened longitudinally or “unzipped” to flush the contents out into the supernatant.

After 10 min, the contents of the thoracic midguts were collected and the concentration adjusted to 2×10^6 parasites/ml in PBS. At the moment of acquisition, 5 μ l/ml of a propidium iodide staining solution (BD pharmingen, 556463) was added to the samples. Data were collected in MacsQuant flow cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec). Data analysis was performed using FlowJo v.10 software (Tree Star Inc). At least twenty thousand events were collected for each sample.

Statistical analysis

Data were first analyzed by the D'Agostino & Pearson normality test. Due to the non-normal distribution for most of the parasite counts, statistical comparisons were performed with the Mann Whitney-U test. To calculate the differences between groups for the proportions of metacyclics, we used "N-1" Chi-squared test. Lesion appearance curves were analyzed by the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Graphs depict the individual sample values and their median; or the median +/- interquartile range. Graphs and analyses were made using GraphPad Prism 7.0c software. For comparison of proportions between two samples, we used the Medicalc free web calculator comparison of proportions (https://www.medcalc.org/calc/comparison_of_proportions.php).

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Elizabeth Fischer and Stacy Ricklefs from the Research Technology Branch (RTB), NIAID, for electron microscopy support; Ryan Kissinger from RTB, NIAID, for illustration support; Alec Perkins and Waldione de Castro from VMBS, NIAID, for technical support; Venansa Vernyuy, Timothy R. Wilson and Brian G. Bonilla from LMVR, NIAID for sand fly insectary support; Drs. Ranadhir Dey and Hira Nakhasi from CBER, FDA, for help with qPCR; Dr. Aline M. A. Souza for help with statistical analysis and Drs. Carolina Barillas-Mury and Jose M.C. Ribeiro from LMVR, NIAID, for critical reading of the manuscript. This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

References

1. Guzman H, Walters LL, Tesh RB. Histologic detection of multiple blood meals in *Phlebotomus duboscqi* (Diptera: Psychodidae). *J Med Entomol.* 1994; 31:890–897. [PubMed: 7815403]
2. Norris LC, Fornadel CM, Hung WC, Pineda FJ, Norris DE. Frequency of multiple blood meals taken in a single gonotrophic cycle by *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes in Macha, Zambia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2010; 83:33–37. DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0296 [PubMed: 20595474]
3. Kramer LD, Ebel GD. Dynamics of flavivirus infection in mosquitoes. *Adv Virus Res.* 2003; 60:187–232. [PubMed: 14689695]
4. Abbasi I, Cunio R, Warburg A. Identification of blood meals imbibed by phlebotomine sand flies using cytochrome b PCR and reverse line blotting. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2009; 9:79–86. DOI: 10.1089/vbz.2008.0064 [PubMed: 18973440]
5. Bates PA. Transmission of *Leishmania* metacyclic promastigotes by phlebotomine sand flies. *Int J Parasitol.* 2007; 37:1097–1106. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.04.003 [PubMed: 17517415]

6. WHO vector-borne diseases. 2014. p. 1-30.<http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/206531?mode=full>
7. Das S, Muleba M, Stevenson JC, Pringle JC, Norris DE. Beyond the entomological inoculation rate: characterizing multiple blood feeding behavior and Plasmodium falciparum multiplicity of infection in Anopheles mosquitoes in northern Zambia. *Parasit Vectors*. 2017; 10:45. [PubMed: 28122597]
8. Dostalova A, Volf P. Leishmania development in sand flies: parasite-vector interactions overview. *Parasit Vectors*. 2012; 5:276. [PubMed: 23206339]
9. Aslan H, et al. A new model of progressive visceral leishmaniasis in hamsters by natural transmission via bites of vector sand flies. *J Infect Dis*. 2013; 207:1328–1338. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jis932 [PubMed: 23288926]
10. Collin N, et al. Sand fly salivary proteins induce strong cellular immunity in a natural reservoir of visceral leishmaniasis with adverse consequences for Leishmania. *PLoS Pathog*. 2009; 5:e1000441. [PubMed: 19461875]
11. Howard MK, Sayers G, Miles MA. Leishmania donovani metacyclic promastigotes: transformation in vitro, lectin agglutination, complement resistance, and infectivity. *Exp Parasitol*. 1987; 64:147–156. [PubMed: 3653336]
12. Serafim TD, et al. Leishmania metacyclogenesis is promoted in the absence of purines. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2012; 6:e1833. [PubMed: 23050028]
13. Ready PD. Biology of phlebotomine sand flies as vectors of disease agents. *Annu Rev Entomol*. 2013; 58:227–250. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153557 [PubMed: 23317043]
14. Kamhawi S. Phlebotomine sand flies and Leishmania parasites: friends or foes? *Trends Parasitol*. 2006; 22:439–445. DOI: 10.1016/j.pt.2006.06.012 [PubMed: 16843727]
15. Alexander B, de Carvalho RL, McCallum H, Pereira MH. Role of the domestic chicken (Gallus gallus) in the epidemiology of urban visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2002; 8:1480–1485. DOI: 10.3201/eid0812.010485 [PubMed: 12498667]
16. Sant'anna MR, et al. Chicken blood provides a suitable meal for the sand fly Lutzomyia longipalpis and does not inhibit Leishmania development in the gut. *Parasit Vectors*. 2010; 3:3. [PubMed: 20205803]
17. Guimaraes ESAS, et al. Leishmania infection and blood food sources of phlebotomines in an area of Brazil endemic for visceral and tegumentary leishmaniasis. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12:e0179052. [PubMed: 28837565]
18. Rogers ME. The role of leishmania proteophosphoglycans in sand fly transmission and infection of the mammalian host. *Front Microbiol*. 2012; 3:223. [PubMed: 22754550]
19. Kimblin N, et al. Quantification of the infectious dose of Leishmania major transmitted to the skin by single sand flies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:10125–10130. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0802331105 [PubMed: 18626016]
20. Bates PA. Leishmania sand fly interaction: progress and challenges. *Curr Opin Microbiol*. 2008; 11:340–344. DOI: 10.1016/j.mib.2008.06.003 [PubMed: 18625337]
21. Gossage SM, Rogers ME, Bates PA. Two separate growth phases during the development of Leishmania in sand flies: implications for understanding the life cycle. *Int J Parasitol*. 2003; 33:1027–1034. [PubMed: 13129524]
22. Killick-Kendrick R, Rioux JA. Mark-release-recapture of sand flies fed on leishmanial dogs: the natural life-cycle of Leishmania infantum in Phlebotomus ariasi. *Parassitologia*. 2002; 44:67–71. [PubMed: 12404811]
23. Lawyer PMC, Rowland T, Rowton E. Care and Maintenance of Phlebotomine Sand Flies. *Vector Biology Research Resources (BEI Resources)*. 2016
24. Oliveira F, et al. A sand fly salivary protein vaccine shows efficacy against vector-transmitted cutaneous leishmaniasis in nonhuman primates. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015; 7:290ra290.
25. Sacks DL, Melby PC. Animal models for the analysis of immune responses to leishmaniasis. *Curr Protoc Immunol*. 2015; 108:19 12 11–24. DOI: 10.1002/0471142735.im1902s108 [PubMed: 25640990]
26. Sacks DL, Perkins PV. Identification of an infective stage of Leishmania promastigotes. *Science*. 1984; 223:1417–1419. [PubMed: 6701528]

27. Gomes R, et al. Immunity to a salivary protein of a sand fly vector protects against the fatal outcome of visceral leishmaniasis in a hamster model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:7845–7850. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0712153105 [PubMed: 18509051]
28. Selvapandiyan A, et al. Intracellular replication-deficient *Leishmania donovani* induces long lasting protective immunity against visceral leishmaniasis. *J Immunol*. 2009; 183:1813–1820. DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.0900276 [PubMed: 19592661]
29. Kamhawi S, Belkaid Y, Modi G, Rowton E, Sacks D. Protection against cutaneous leishmaniasis resulting from bites of uninfected sand flies. *Science*. 2000; 290:1351–1354. [PubMed: 11082061]
30. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods*. 2012; 9:671–675. [PubMed: 22930834]




Fig. 1. *Leishmania* metacyclics differentiate into replicative retroleptomonads after a subsequent blood meal enhancing sand fly infectiousness
(a to h) *Leishmania infantum*-infected *Lutzomyia longipalpis* sand flies. (a) Midgut images. Bars, 150 μ m. **(b)** Midgut parasite number. **(c)** Percent metacyclics. **(d and e)** Metacyclic **(d)** and retroleptomonad **(e)** EM images. Bars, 5 μ m. **(f)** Number of metacyclics. **(g and h)** Proportion of metacyclics to retroleptomonads in E2 **(g)** or E1 **(h)** sand flies. E1, sand flies engorged on an infected blood meal (BM). E2, infected sand flies engorged on a subsequent uninfected BM. Red arrow, subsequent BM. **(i)** Metacyclics cultured with blood components. Bar, Median (shown \pm interquartile range for **g** to **i**). **(b** to **c** and **f** to **h**) Cumulative data shown from four independent experiments; n for each condition is specified in Supplementary Table 1; **(a)** and **(d and e)** images are representative of four or two

independent experiments, respectively. * $P < 0.05$, **** $P < 0.0001$ determined by Mann-Whitney's U-test for parasite number and by N1-Chi-squared test for percent metacyclics.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 2. A subsequent uninfected blood meal enhances early *Leishmania* infection in the sand fly (a to f) Parasite number (a and b), and percent (c and d) and number (e and f) of metacyclics in *Leishmania infantum*-infected *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (a, c and r) or *L. major*-infected *Phlebotomus papatasi* (b, d and f) sand flies. (g to j), Haptomonads parasite sphere (HPS) in *Lu. longipalpis*. (g and h) HPS image in E1 (g) or E2 (h) sand flies. Bar, 50 μm . (i) HPS diameter. (j) *In situ* SEM of HPS. Blue, Haptomonads. Bar, 25 μm . E1, sand flies engorged on an infected blood meal (BM). E2, infected sand flies engorged on a subsequent uninfected BM. Red arrow, subsequent BM. Bar, Median. Cumulative data shown from four (a, c and e) or two (b, d and f) independent experiments; (a to f) n for each condition is specified in Supplementary Table 2. (g and h) images are representative of two independent

experiments. $P < 0.05$, *** P 0.001, **** P 0.0001 determined by Mann-Whitney's U-test for parasite number and by N1-Chi-squared test for percent metacyclics.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 3. A subsequent uninfected blood meal rescues parasites in sand flies fed on *Leishmania*-infected animals
(a to i) *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Phlebotomus papatasi* were infected by feeding on a sick *Leishmania infantum*-infected hamster and a *L. major*-footpad lesion, respectively. **(a and b)** Number of parasites acquired by a single *Lu. longipalpis* **(a)** or *P. papatasi* **(b)**. Q_2 = median. **(c to h)** Parasite number **(c and d)** and percent **(e and f)** and number **(g and h)** of metacyclics in *L. infantum*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* **(c, e and g)** or *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* **(d, f and h)** sand flies. **(i)** Developing lesions in mice ears exposed to a single *L. major*-infected *P. papatasi* sand fly. E1, sand flies engorged on an infected blood meal (BM). E2, infected sand flies engorged on a subsequent uninfected BM. Red arrow, subsequent BM. Bar, Median. Cumulative data shown from three independent experiments; **(a to h)** n for each condition is specified in Supplementary Table 3; **(i)** n = 48 for E1 and E2. ** P 0.01 and **** P < 0.0001

determined by Mann-Whitney's U-test for parasite number, by N1-Chi-squared test for percent metacyclics and by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test for percent ears with lesions.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 4. Revising natural transmission of *Leishmania* by vector sand flies
 (a) Classical midgut developmental cycle of *Leishmania* parasites. (b) Subsequent blood meals promote *Leishmania* establishment by triggering metacyclic dedifferentiation into multiplicative retroleptomonads amplifying their numbers. (c) Illustrating experimental versus natural *Leishmania* transmission by sand flies. Infection is either initiated under artificial experimental conditions or either naturally by a sand fly taking only one infected blood meal (Scenario A, unlikely) or following it by successive blood meals (Scenario B, likely). Circular arrows depict a multiplicative stage. Blue circles represent the HPS formation and development in each scenario.