

Quantum Theory of Many-Body systems in Condensed Matter (4302112) 2020

Prof. Luis Gregório Dias
luisdias@if.usp.br

Today's class: *Many-particle quantum systems in 1st quantization.*

- N-particle quantum systems (“1st quantization”)
- *Examples: Two particles in an harmonic oscillator*
- *Assignment: Helium atom.*

N-particle systems ("First quantization")

- Example of an N-particle Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{2m_i} + U(\vec{r}_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j(i \neq j)=1}^N V(\vec{r}_i, \vec{r}_j)$$

Single-particle operators

Two-particle operators
(e.g., interactions)

- Schrödinger's equation (Dirac's notation):

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial |\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

"ket"

$$(|\Psi(t)\rangle)^\dagger = \langle \Psi(t) | \quad \text{"bra"}$$

$$\langle \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N | \Psi(t) \rangle = \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N, t)$$

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle = ?$$

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle = \int d^3\vec{r}_1 d^3\vec{r}_2 \dots d^3\vec{r}_N \Phi^*(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$$

N-particle systems: Basis set

- Basis out of single-particle states:

$$\{|\Phi_\alpha\rangle\} = \{|\varphi_{\alpha_1}\rangle\} \otimes \{|\varphi_{\alpha_2}\rangle\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{|\varphi_{\alpha_N}\rangle\}$$

orthonormal basis (complete set) of the N -particle Hilbert's space

$$\langle\Phi_\alpha|\Phi_\beta\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\alpha} |\Phi_\alpha\rangle\langle\Phi_\alpha| = \mathbb{1}$$

- Any N -particle state can be written in this basis:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}(t) |\Phi_{\alpha}\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad C_{\alpha}(t) = \langle\Phi_{\alpha}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

- We can also use the full spectrum from a N -particle operator as a basis (much harder though!). Example:

$$\{|\Psi_n\rangle\} : \hat{H}|\Psi_n\rangle = E_n|\Psi_n\rangle$$

Systems of identical particles

- System of N *distinguishable* particles: you can differentiate the particles by performing measurements.

Examples: “classical” particles, quantum particles with different mass (electrons and muons) or charge (electrons and protons).

- In a system of N quantum *identical* particles, they become *indistinguishable* if they have the same (observable) quantum numbers (mass, charge, spin, etc.).
- In this case, one cannot perform measurements to tell which particle is which.

Examples: system of N electrons with the same spin (spin-polarized or “spinless”).

Systems of identical particles

- Thus, the “exchange” of two identical particles *cannot* be experimentally detected: it should have the *same probability density* (experimental fact):

$$|\Psi(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, \vec{r}_N)|^2 = |\Psi(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots, \vec{r}_N)|^2$$

$$\Psi(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) = e^{i\theta} \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$$

States are the *same* up to a global phase

- In 3D, it is an *experimental fact* that a second exchange of the same particles brings the state back to the initial one. Thus:

$$e^{2i\theta} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \theta = 0 & \text{(Bosons)} & \Psi(\dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots) = +\Psi(\dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots) \\ \theta = \pi & \text{(Fermions)} & \Psi(\dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots) = -\Psi(\dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, \vec{r}_{\mathbf{k}}, \dots) \end{cases}$$

An example with N=2

- Two (**indistinguishable**) particles in a harmonic oscillator:

$$\hat{H} = \left(\frac{\hat{p}_1^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega\hat{x}_1^2 \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{p}_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega\hat{x}_2^2 \right) = \hat{H}^{(1)} + \hat{H}^{(2)}$$

- Spectrum (complete set): basis for single-particle states.

$$\hat{H}^{(1)} |n_1\rangle_1 = E_{n_1} |n_1\rangle_1 \quad \hat{H}^{(2)} |n_2\rangle_2 = E_{n_2} |n_2\rangle_2$$

- Single-particle and two-particle basis states:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle_1 \\ |1\rangle_1 \\ |2\rangle_1 \\ \vdots \end{array} & \otimes & \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle_2 \\ |1\rangle_2 \\ |2\rangle_2 \\ \vdots \end{array} \\
 & \Rightarrow & \begin{array}{c} |\Phi_0\rangle = |0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_1\rangle = |0\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_2\rangle = |1\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_3\rangle = |1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_4\rangle = |0\rangle_1 \otimes |2\rangle_2 \\ \vdots \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

An example with N=2

- Position representation: $\langle x|n\rangle = \varphi_n(x)$

- Two particle states:

$$|\Phi_\alpha\rangle = |n_1\rangle_1 \otimes |n_2\rangle_2 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 x_2 | \Phi_\alpha \rangle &= \langle x_1 | n_1 \rangle_1 \langle x_2 | n_2 \rangle_2 \\ &= \varphi_{n_1}(x_1) \varphi_{n_2}(x_2) \\ &= \Phi_\alpha(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

- Is this basis appropriate for indistinguishable particles?

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} |\Phi_0\rangle & = & |0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_1\rangle & = & |0\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_2\rangle & = & |1\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_3\rangle & = & |1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \\ |\Phi_4\rangle & = & |0\rangle_1 \otimes |2\rangle_2 \\ & \vdots & \end{array} \right.$$

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(x_1, x_2) &= ? \pm \Phi_2(x_2, x_1) \\ \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2) &\neq \pm \varphi_1(x_2)\varphi_0(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

No!

This basis is not appropriate for indistinguishable particles.

Symmetrizing/Antisymmetrizing Bosons and Fermions

Original basis

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2) \\ \Phi_2(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2) \\ \Phi_3(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2) \\ \Phi_4(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2) \\ \Phi_5(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_2(x_2) \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$



Symmetrized Basis (Bosons)

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1^S(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2) \\ \Phi_2^S(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2) + \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2)) \\ \Phi_3^S(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2) \\ \Phi_4^S(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_2(x_2) + \varphi_2(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2)) \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$



Antisymmetrized Basis (Fermions)

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1^A(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_1(x_2) - \varphi_1(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2)) \\ \Phi_2^A(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varphi_0(x_1)\varphi_2(x_2) - \varphi_2(x_1)\varphi_0(x_2)) \\ &\vdots \\ \Phi_{\alpha}^{S,A}(x_1, x_2) &= \pm \Phi_{\alpha}^{S,A}(x_2, x_1)\end{aligned}$$

Now, particle exchange is
well-defined!

How about spin, etc.?

Sometimes, there are other “good quantum numbers” labeling the single-particle states. How to account for this?

There are a few of ways to deal with it:

1) Count each single-particle state with a given “set” of quantum numbers as “one single particle state”

Example: $|\varphi_{n\ell m\sigma}\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle$ $n=0,1,2\dots, \ell=0,1,\dots,n-1; m=-\ell,\dots,+\ell, \sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow$

$$|\varphi_{000\uparrow}\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_0\rangle, |\varphi_{000\downarrow}\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_1\rangle, |\varphi_{100\uparrow}\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_2\rangle \dots$$

2) You can also build many-particle states directly from sum of angular momenta (“multiplets”). This is trickier but useful.

$$|\varphi_{\ell_1 m_1 \sigma_1}^{(1)}\rangle \otimes |\varphi_{\ell_2 m_2 \sigma_2}^{(2)}\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi_{JM_J}\rangle$$

3) ...

Assignment: Helium atom

Consider the Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(1)} + \hat{H}^{(2)} + \hat{V}_{1,2}$$

$$\langle \vec{r} | \phi_n^{(i)} \rangle \rightarrow \text{Hydrogen atom eigenstates (single particle).}$$

$$\hat{V}_{1,2} = \frac{(e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0)}{|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|} \rightarrow \text{Electron-electron repulsion (two-body)}$$

$$\hat{H}^{(i)} |\phi_n^{(i)}\rangle = E_n^{(i)} |\phi_n^{(i)}\rangle$$

Table 3.2 Hydrogenic radial wavefunctions

n	l	Orbital	$R_{nl}(r)$
1	0	1s	$(Z/a)^{3/2} 2e^{-\rho/2}$
2	0	2s	$(Z/a)^{3/2} (1/8)^{1/2} (2 - \rho)e^{-\rho/2}$
	1	2p	$(Z/a)^{3/2} (1/24)^{1/2} \rho e^{-\rho/2}$

$\rho = (2Z/na)r$ with $a = 4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2/\mu e^2$. For an infinitely heavy nucleus, $\mu = m_e$ and $a = a_0$, the Bohr radius.

1) Consider first the *non-interacting* case ($V_{1,2}=0$). Write the two-particle ground-state $\langle \vec{r}_1 \vec{r}_2 | \Phi_0^A \rangle$. What is its energy (in eV)?

2) Write the matrix element $\langle \Phi_0^A | \hat{V}_{1,2} | \Phi_0^A \rangle$ (no need to solve the integral).

This is the first correction to the ground-state energy for the He atom!

Hint: the table gives the expressions for the radial wavefunctions for the Hydrogen.