**Métodos Qualitativos em Relações Internacionais**

**Professor responsável:** Feliciano de Sá Guimarães

**Objetivos**:

Este curso introduz os alunos de graduação e pós-graduação aos métodos qualitativos de análise empírica em Relações Internacionais. O curso tem como objetivo prover os instrumentos metodológicos mais adequados às escolhas de pesquisa dos alunos. Primeiro, discute-se algumas noções de filosofia da ciência. Segundo, discute-se algumas noções básicas de epistemologia e ontologia em pesquisa científica na área de Relações Internacionais. Terceiro, busca-se cobrir os debates em tópicos centrais em metodologia qualitativa, tais como estudo de casos, seleção de casos, mecanismos causais, *theory-building*, *theory-refinement*, *theory-testing*, *process-tracing*, *qualitative comparative analysis*, entrevistas, etnografia e análise de discurso.

**Metodologia de ensino e avaliação**:

O programa será desenvolvido em aulas expositivas e seminários. A avaliação consiste em um trabalho final que desenvolva um projeto de pesquisa qualitativo englobando as discussões metodológicas vistas em sala de aula. Este curso é recomendado para os alunos que desejam desenvolver projetos de pesquisa, monografias, artigos acadêmicos, dissertações e teses.

**Programa das aulas:**

1. **Noções básicas de filosofia da ciência**

Textos centrais:

Popper, Karl. 2005. (1935). The Logic of Scientific Research, Cap. 01, 02 e 03, pp. 03-56.

Kuhn, Thomas. 1996. (1962). The Structure of the Scientific Revolutions, Cap. 02 e 06, pp. 10-22 e 52-65.

Lakatos, Imre. 1970. Falsification and the Methodology of Scientific Research Programs, pp. 171-176.

1. **Epistemologia, ontologia e metodologia em Relações Internacionais**

Texto central:

Jackson, Patrick. 2011. The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics, Cap. 01 e 02, pp. 1-40.

Textos auxiliaries:

Hall, Peter. 2003. Aligning Ontology and Methodology in Comparative Politics. in Mahoney, James and Rueschemeyer, Dietrich. Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences, pp. 373-406.

Bernstein, Steven et al. 2000. God Gave Physics the Easy Problems: adapting social science to an unpredictable world. European Journal of International Relations, Vol. 06, No. 01, pp. 43-76.

Hansen, Lene. 2015. Ontologies, epistemologies, methodologies. in Shepherd, Laura. Gender Matters in Global Politics: a feminist introduction to International Relations. Routledge, pp. 14-23.

1. **Inferência causal e inferência descritiva em pesquisa qualitativa**

Textos centrais:

King, Gary King, Keohane, Robert Keohane and Verba, Sidney. 1994. Designing social inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research. Cap. 2 e Cap. 3, pp. 55-62 e 75-96.

Brady, Henry and Collier, David. 2004. Rethinking Social Inquiry: diverse tools, shared standards. Cap. 1 e Cap. 2, pp. 03-35.

Beach, Derek and Pedersen, Rasmus. 2013. Process-Tracing Methods: foundations and guidelines. Cap. 3 e 4, pp. 23-67.

Goertz, Gary and Mahoney, James. 2012. A Tale of Two Cultures: qualitative and quantitative research in the social sciences. Cap. 1 e 2, pp. 1-40; Cap. 8, pp. 100-114; Cap. 10 e 11, pp. 127-149.

1. **Process tracing**

Textos centrais:

Collier, David. 2011. Understanding Process Tracing. Political Science and Politics, Vol. 44, No. 04, pp. 823-830.

Beach, Derek and Pedersen, Rasmus. 2013. Process-Tracing Methods: foundations and guidelines. Cap. 01 e 02, pp. 01-22.

Textos auxiliaries:

George, Alexander and Bennet, Andrew. 2005. Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Cap. 10, pp. 205-232.

Bennet, Andrew and Checkel, Jeffrey. 2015. Process Tracing: from metaphor to analytic tool. Introduction, pp. 03-38.

Mahoney, James. 2010. “After KKV The New Methodology of Qualitative Research”, World Politics, Vol. 62, No. 01, pp. 120-47.

Brady, Henry; Collier, David; and Seawright, Jason. 2006. “Toward a Pluralistic Vision of Methodology”, Political Analysis, Vol. 14, No. 03, pp. 353-368.

1. **Estudo de caso e seleção de casos**

Textos centrais:

George, Alexander and Bennet, Andrew. 2005. Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Cap. 03, 5 e 6, pp. 67-124.

Seawright, Jason and Gerring, John. 2008. Case-Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. Political Research Quarterly Vol. 61, No. 2, pp. 294-308.

Textos auxiliares:

Goertz, Gary and Mahoney, James. 2012. A Tale of Two Cultures: qualitative and quantitative research in the social sciences. Cap. 07, pp. 87-99; Cap. 14 e 15, pp. 177-204.

Bennet, Andrew and Elman, Colin. 2007. Case Study Methods in the International Relations Subfield. Comparative Political Studies, Vol. 40, No. 02, pp. 170-195.

1. **Theory-development/Theory-refinement/Theory-building**

Textos centrais:

George, Alexander and Bennet, Andrew. 2005. Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Cap. 01, pp. 3-36.

Rueschemeyer, Dietrich. 2003. Can One or a Few Cases Yield Theoretical Gains? in Mahoney, James and Rueschemeyer, Dietrich. Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences, pp. 305-336.

Elman, Colin. 2005. Explanatory Typologies in Qualitative Studies of International Politics. International Organization, Vol. 59, pp. 293-326.

1. **Método comparado**

Textos centrais:

Lijphart, Arend. 1971. Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. American Political Science Review, No. 65, pp. 682-693.

George, Alexander and Andrew Bennett. 2005. Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences. Cap 03 e 04, pp. 67-88.

King, Gary, Keohane, Robert and Verba, Sidney. 1994. Designing Social Inquiry. Princeton: Princeton University Press, Cap. 06, pp. 208-228.

1. **Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)**

Textos centrais:

Ragin, Charles. 2008. Redesigning Social Inquiry: fuzzy sets and beyond. Cap. 01, 02 e 03, pp. 13-70.

Ragin, Charles. 2014. The Comparative Method: moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies. Cap. 06, pp. 85-106.

Seawright, Jason. 2005. Qualitative Comparative Analysis vis-à-vis Regression. Studies in Comparative International Development, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 3-26.

1. **Entrevistas:**

Textos centrais:

Leech, Beth. 2002. Asking Questions: Techniques for Semi-structured Interviews. Political Science and Politics, Vol. 35, No. 4, pp. 665-668.

Richards, David. 1996. Elite Interviewing: approaches and pitfalls. Politics, Vol. 16, No. 03, pp.199-204.

Mosley, Layna. Introduction: interviews in political science research. in Mosley, Layna (ed). 2013. Interview Research in Political Science. Cap. 01, pp. 1-30.

1. **Análise de discurso**

Textos centrais:

Herrera, Yoshiko and Braumoeller, Bear. 2004. Symposium: Discourse and content analysis. Qualitative Methods, Vol. 02, No. 01, pp. 15-19.

Milliken, Jennifer. 1999. The study of discourse in IR: a critique of research and methods, European Journal of International Relations. Vol. 05, No. 02, pp. 225-54.

1. **Análise de Conteúdo**
2. **Pesquisa etnográfica**

Textos centrais:

Gusterson, Hugh. 2005. Ethnoigrafic Research. in Klotz, Audie and Prakash, Deepa (eds.). Qualitative methods in International Relations: a pluralist guide, pp. 93-115.

Vrasti, Wanda. 2008. The Strange Case of Ethnography and International Relations. Millenium: Journal of International Studies. No. 37, pp. 279-201.

Textos auxiliaries:

Wedeen, Lisa. 2010. Ethnographic Work in Political Science. Annual Review of Political Science, No. 13, pp. 255-272.

Emerson, Robert; Fretz, Rachel and Shaw, Linda. 2011. Writing Ethnographic Fieldnotes. Cap 01. e 05, pp. sem paginação.