#### PDAs Accept Context-Free Languages



Context-Free Languages (Grammars) Languages Accepted by PDAs





#### Convert any context-free grammarGto a PDA M with: L(G) = L(M)





# Convert any PDA M to a context-free grammar G with: L(G) = L(M)

# Proof - step 1 Convert

#### Context-Free Grammars to PDAs

Take an arbitrary context-free grammarG

#### We will convert G to a PDA M such that:

## L(G) = L(M)





#### PDA simulates leftmost derivations



Grammar Leftmost Derivation



#### Grammar Leftmost Derivation

#### **PDA Computation**

- $\Rightarrow \cdots$
- $\Rightarrow xAy$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}\sigma_i\cdots\sigma_j\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{y}$

 $\succ \cdots$   $\succ (q_1, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n, Ay \$)$   $\succ (q_1, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j Bzy \$)$ 

Production applied  $A \rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j Bz$ 

**Transition applied**   $\varepsilon, A \rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j Bz$   $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rightarrow S$   $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rightarrow S$  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rightarrow S$ 

#### Grammar Leftmost Derivation

#### **PDA Computation**

 $\Rightarrow \cdots$ 

- $\Rightarrow xAy$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbf{X}\sigma_i\cdots\sigma_j\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}$

$$> (q_1, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n, Ay \$)$$
  
>  $(q_1, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j Bzy \$)$   
>  $(q_1, \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n, \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_j Bzy \$)$ 

Read  $\sigma_i$  from input and remove it from stack  $\neg q_0$   $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rightarrow S$   $q_1$   $\varepsilon, \$ \rightarrow \$$   $q_2$ 

#### Grammar

# Leftmost Derivation $\Rightarrow \cdots$

- $\Rightarrow xAy$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}\sigma_{i}\cdots\sigma_{j}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{y}$

All symbols  $\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j$ have been removed from top of stack

**PDA** Computation  $\succ \cdots$  $>(q_1, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n, Ay\$)$  $>(q_1, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_n, \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_i Bzy\$)$  $> (q_1, \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n, \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_i Bzy\$)$  $\succ \cdots$  $>(q_1, \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n, Bzy\$)$ Last Transition applied  $\sigma_i, \sigma_i \to \varepsilon$  $\varepsilon, \$ \rightarrow \$$  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \to S$ 

The process repeats with the next leftmost variable

- $\Rightarrow xAy$  $\Rightarrow x\sigma_{i}\cdots\sigma_{j}Bzy$
- $\Rightarrow \mathbf{X}\sigma_{i}\cdots\sigma_{j}\sigma_{j+1}\cdots\sigma_{k}C\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}$
- $\succ \cdots$   $\succ (q_1, \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_n, Bzy\$)$   $\succ (q_1, \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_n, \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_k Cpzy\$)$   $\succ \cdots$

$$\succ (q_1, \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_n, Cpzy\$)$$

Production applied  $B \rightarrow \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_k Cp$ 

And so on.....

#### Example:



#### Derivation: S



#### **Derivation**: $S \Rightarrow aSTb$



#### **Derivation**: $S \Rightarrow aSTb$



#### **Derivation**: $S \Rightarrow aSTb \Rightarrow abTb$



#### **Derivation**: $S \Rightarrow aSTb \Rightarrow abTb$



#### **Derivation**: $S \Rightarrow aSTb \Rightarrow abTb \Rightarrow abTab$











#### Grammar **PDA** Computation Leftmost Derivation $(q_0, abab, \$)$ S $\succ$ (*q*<sub>1</sub>, *abab*, *S*\$) $\Rightarrow aSTb$ $>(q_1, bab, STb\$)$ $>(q_1, bab, bTb\$)$ $\Rightarrow abTb$ $>(q_1, ab, Tb\$)$ $\Rightarrow$ *abTab* $>(q_1, ab, Tab\$)$ $\Rightarrow$ abab $\succ$ (*q*<sub>1</sub>, *ab*, *ab*\$) $>(q_1,b,b\$)$ $\succ(q_1, \varepsilon, \$)$ $\succ (q_2, \varepsilon, \$)$

#### In general, it can be shown that:

Grammar G generates string w \*  $S \Rightarrow W$  PDA M PDA Maccepts w  $(q_0, w, \$) \succ (q_2, \varepsilon, \$)$ 

#### Therefore L(G) = L(M)

# Proof - step 2 Convert

#### PDAs to Context-Free Grammars

#### Take an arbitrary PDA M

# We will convert M to a context-free grammar G such that:

# L(M) = L(G)

#### First modify PDA M so that:

- 1. The PDA has a single accept state
- 2. Use new initial stack symbol #
- 3. On acceptance the stack contains only stack symbol # (this symbol is not used in any transition)
- Each transition either pushes a symbol or pops a symbol but not both together

#### L. The PDA has a single accept state



2. Use new initial stack symbol # Top of stack





#### $M_1$ still thinks that Z is the initial stack

Costas Busch - LSU

3. On acceptance the stack contains only stack symbol # (this symbol is not used in any transition)



I. Each transition either pushes a symbol or pops a symbol but not both together





PD  $M_4$   $(q_i)$   $\sigma, \varepsilon \to \delta$   $\varepsilon, \delta \to \varepsilon$   $(q_j)$ A  $\delta$  is a symbol of the stack alphabet

### PDA $M_4$ is the final modified PDA

# Note that the new initial stack symbol # is never used in any transition



#### **Grammar Construction**





#### Kind 1: for each state



#### Grammar



PDA

#### Kind 2: for every three states



#### Grammar

 $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{ra}$ 

#### PDA

#### Kind 3: for every pair of such transitions



 $(\mathbf{S}) \xrightarrow{b,t \to \varepsilon} (\mathbf{q})$ 

#### Grammar

 $A_{pq} \rightarrow a A_{rs} b$ 



#### Grammar

Start variable



#### Example:





#### Grammar

#### Kind 1: from single states



#### Kind 2: from triplets of states

$$\begin{array}{l} A_{q_{0}q_{0}} \rightarrow A_{q_{0}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{0}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{0}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{0}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{0}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{0}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{0}} \\ A_{q_{0}q_{1}} \rightarrow A_{q_{0}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{1}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{1}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{1}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{1}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{1}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{0}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{0}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{0}}A_{q_{0}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{1}}A_{q_{1}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{2}}A_{q_{2}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{3}}A_{q_{3}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{4}}A_{q_{4}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{6}}A_{q_{6}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \rightarrow A_{q_{5}q_{6}}A_{q_{6}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}}A_{q_{5}}A_{q_{5}} \mid A_{q_{5}q_{5}}A_{q_{5}}A_{$$

#### Kind 3: from pairs of transitions



 $\rightarrow aA_{q_2q_4}$  $\rightarrow A_{q_1q_4}$  $A_{q_2q_4}$  $q_0 q_5$  $A_{q_2q_2}$  $aA_{q_2q_2}b$  $q_2 q_4$  $q_2 q_4$  $|_{3}q_{3}$ ightarrow a /  $q_2 q_3$ 

Costas Busch - LSU

Suppose that a PDA M is converted to a context-free grammar G

#### We need to prove that L(G) = L(M)

#### or equivalently

 $L(G) \subseteq L(M) \qquad \qquad L(G) \supseteq L(M)$ 

## $L(G) \subseteq L(M)$

We need to show that if G has derivation:

$$A_{q_0q_f} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} W$$
 (string of terminals)

hen there is an accepting computation in  ${\cal M}$ 

$$(q_0, w, \#) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (q_f, \varepsilon, \#)$$

with input string W

#### Ve will actually show that if G has derivation

$$A_{pq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} W$$

Then there is a computation in M :

$$(p, w, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$



Lemma:

# If $A_{pq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} W(\text{string of terminals})$

then there is a computation from state p to state q on string Wwhich leaves the stack empty:



### **Proof Intuition:**

$$A_{pq} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$$

Type 2  
Case 1: 
$$A_{pq} \Rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$$

Type 3  
Case 2: 
$$A_{pq} \Rightarrow aA_{rs}b \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$$





Costas Busch - LSU

### Formal Proof:

We formally prove this claim by induction on the number of steps in derivation:

$$A_{pq} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$$
  
number of steps

# Induction Basis: $A_{pq} \Rightarrow W$ (one derivation step)

A Kind 1 production must have been used:

$$A_{pp} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$
  
Therefore,  $p = q$  and  $w = \mathcal{E}$ 

Λ

This computation of PDA trivially exists:  $(p, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{*} (p, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  Induction Hypothesis:

#### suppose it holds:

 $(p, w, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ 

#### Induction Step:

 $A_{pq} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$ k + 1 derivation steps

#### We have to show:

 $(p, w, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ 

A<sub>pq</sub>  $\Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$ k + 1 derivation steps

# Type 2 Case 1: $A_{pq} \Rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$

Type 3 Case 2:  $A_{pq} \Rightarrow aA_{rs}b \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow W$ 





#### At most k steps

# From induction hypothesis, in PDA: $(p, y, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (r, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$

At most *k* steps



# From induction hypothesis, in PDA: $(r, z, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$





# We can write W = ayb $A_{rs} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow y$ $A_{rs} k \text{ steps}$

 $\begin{array}{c} A_{rs} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow Y \\ At \mod k \text{ steps} \end{array}$ 



## From induction hypothesis, the PDA has computation: $(r, y, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (s, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$





 $(p,ayb,\varepsilon)\succ(r,yb,t)$ 

 $(s,b,t) \succ (q,\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$ 

# We know $(r, y, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (s, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \qquad (r, yb, t) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (s, b, t)$ $(p,ayb,\varepsilon) > (r,yb,t)$ We also know $(s,b,t) \succ (q,\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$

Therefore:

# $(p,ayb,\varepsilon) \succ (r,yb,t) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (s,b,t) \succ (q,\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$

#### $(p,ayb,\varepsilon) > (r,yb,t) > (s,b,t) > (q,\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$

since w =ayb

# $(p, w, \varepsilon) \stackrel{*}{\succ} (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$

#### **END OF PROOF**

## So far we have shown: $L(G) \subseteq L(M)$

# With a similar proof we can show $L(G) \supseteq L(M)$

## Therefore: L(G) = L(M)