## Chemical Shift

- magnetic induction of the pi electrons in an aromatic ring (Fig. 13.11)

Induced circulation of pi electrons in the aromatic ring


Induced local magnetic field of the circulating pi electrons reinforces the applied field and provides part of the field necessary to bring aromatic hydrogens into resonance

Applied field

Anisotropy of Aromatic compounds: in plane and above


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\text {ring }} \rightarrow 8.14-8.64 \mathrm{ppm} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{Me}} \rightarrow-4.25 \mathrm{ppm}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta_{\text {OUTSIDE }} \rightarrow \mathbf{9 . 2 8} \mathbf{~ p p m}$
$\delta_{\text {INSIDE }} \rightarrow-2.99 \mathrm{ppm}$


## Anisotropy: Aromatic



Figure 4.11 Correlation between the relative chemical shifts of the proton resonances in naphthalene and anthracene and the distance $R_{i}$ of the proton from the centre of a specific benzene ring

## Electronic effects

## Deshielded







6.28 ppm

## Electronic effects: conjugation with carbonyl



## Electronic effects: conjugation with carbonyl



## Electronic effects: conjugation with heteroatom


5.81 ppm


## Electronic effects: no conjugation with heteroatom



## Electronic effects: conjugation with heteroatom



Electronic effects: conjugation with carbonyl




## Electronic effects: conjugation with heteroatom



Electronic effects: conjugation with heteroatom



## Aromatic: inductive effect and resonance effect



Calculating Shifts for aromatic compounds

## Chemical Shift Calculation for Aromatic compounds

$\delta=7.27+\Sigma S(\delta)$

| Substituent | $S(\delta)$ (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ortho | Meta | Para |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 0.95 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| CHO | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| COCl | 0.83 | 0.16 | 0.3 |
| COOH | 0.8 | 0.14 | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | 0.74 | 0.07 | 0.20 |
| $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.3 |
| CN | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | -0.0 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -0.17 | -0.09 | -0.18 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -0.15 | -0.06 | -0.18 |
| $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | -0.14 | -0.09 | -0.18 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 0.01 | -0.10 | -0.24 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -0.0 | -0.0 | $-0.0$ |
| F | -0.30 | -0.02 | -0.22 |
| Cl | 0.02 | -0.06 | -0.04 |
| Br | 0.22 | -0.13 | -0.03 |
| 1 | 0.40 | -0.26 | -0.03 |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | -0.43 | -0.09 | -0.37 |
| $\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}$ | -0.21 | -0.02 | - |
| OH | -0.50 | -0.14 | -0.4 |
| $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ | -0.26 | -0.05 |  |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -0.75 | -0.24 | -0.63 |
| $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | -0.03 | -0.0 | - |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | -0.60 | -0.10 | -0.62 |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=7.27+0.22+0.95=8.44 \\
& \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{b}\right)=7.27+0.22+0.33=7.82 \\
& \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=7.27-0.13+0.17=7.31 \\
& \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{d}\right)=7.27-0.03+0.95=8.19
\end{aligned}
$$

## NMR

## - Common Aromatic Patterns

R - Alkyl Group
Produces a Singlet because all remaining ring protons have identical chemical shifts.
X - Electron Donating Group Produces more electron density around the OIP protons than the Meta protons, producing separate signals.
X - Electron Withdrawing Group Decreases electron density around the OIP protons more than the Meta protons, producing separate signals.
$X=Y$ - Withdrawing groups such as Nitro, Carbonyl, or other Double Bonds influenced by anisotropy produce a more pronounced withdrawing effect on the Ortho protons than the M/P protons.
E.


## NMR

- "Activating" and "Deactivating" groups and the impact of the changing electron density in the Benzene ring on Chemical Shift of ortho, meta, para protons


## P-Chloroaniline ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{CIN}$ )




Figure 4.19 Concentration dependence of the proton resonance frequency of the hydroxyl protons of salicylaldehyde and ethanol: (a) neat; (b) $5 \%$ by volume in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$

meta bromo nitro benzene


Calculated shifts $\delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}=8.44 \quad \delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}=7.82 \quad \delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=7.31 \quad \delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}=8.19$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$

$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$

## Aromatic substitution pattern: ortho

## $\mathbf{A A}^{\prime} \mathbf{X X}$,

Typical spectra for ortho (symmetrical)


## NMR

- "Activating" and "Deactivating" groups and the impact of the changing electron density in the Benzene ring on Chemical Shift of ortho, meta, para protons



Figure $5.4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of 1-amino-3,6-dimethyl-2-nitrobenzene at 60 MHz


Figure 5.5 Dependence of the AB system on the ratio $J / v_{0} \delta$; spectra illustrated are for values of $J / v_{0} \delta$ of (a) $1: 3$, (b) 1:1, (c) 5:3, and (d) $5: 1$.


Figure 2.14 Signal splitting due to spin-spin coupling in the 100 MHz proton magnet resonance spectrum of 2,4-dinitrophenol. One finds $J_{b c}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{\mathrm{ab}}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$. $J_{\mathrm{ac}}$ is m observed (rule 4)

## AMX



${ }^{3} J_{A M}=3.5$ (ortho)
${ }^{4} J_{M X}=2.5$ (meta)
${ }^{5} J_{A X}=0.8$ (para)

Fig. 2.7. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum of 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (6) [aromatic shift range, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (a) 100 MHz (b) 200 MHz ]

AFMX

$$
\delta \text { EEO }
$$

$$
\mathrm{J}
$$




Fig. 28. ${ }^{I} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-bromopyridine (8) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C5 H4 N Br } \\
& \mathrm{I}=5-4 / 2-1 / 2+1 / 2+1 \\
& \mathrm{I}=4 \text { (aromatic ring) } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
88 \\
68 \\
07 \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Aromatic substituent pattern



$$
\underset{\mathrm{J}=7.7,1.5}{\mathrm{dt}}
$$

ddd
$\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{8 . 1}, \mathbf{2 . 2}, 1.1$

## Aromatic substituent pattern



