

#### MORGAN & CLAYPOOL PUBLISHERS


**Chapter #6** 

## MODELING LANGUAGES AT A GLANCE

Teaching material for the book

Model-Driven Software Engineering in Practice
by Marco Brambilla, Jordi Cabot, Manuel Wimmer.

Morgan & Claypool, USA, 2012.




#### Contents

- DSL vs. GPL
- Example of GPL: UML
- DSL principles and dimensions
- OCL

#### Anatomy of a Modeling Language

- Abstract syntax: Describes the structure of the language and the way the different primitives can be combined together, independently of any particular representation or encoding.
- Concrete syntax: Describes specific representations of the modeling language, covering encoding and/or visual appearance.
- **Semantics:** Describing the meaning of the elements defined in the language and the meaning of the different ways of combining them.

#### Anatomy of a Modeling Language



#### DSL vs. GPL

#### First distinction is between

- General Purpose languages (GPL or GPML) and
- Domain Specific languages (DSL or DSML)
   (already discussed in Chapter 2)
- We take UML as an exemplary case of GPL

# UML – UNIFIED MODELING LANGUAGE





#### Overview of UML Diagrams


- There is no official UML diagram overview or diagram grouping.
- Although UML models and the repository underlying all diagrams are defined in UML, the definition of diagrams (i.e., special views of the repository) are relatively free.

#### Overview of UML Diagrams

- In UML a diagram is actually more than a collection of notational elements.
- For example, the package diagram describes the package symbol, the merge relationship, and so on.
- A class diagram describes a class, the association, and so on.
- Nevertheless, we can actually represent classes and packages together in one diagram.



#### Overview of the UML diagrams




#### **UML** Design practices


- Pattern-based design: A set of very well-known design patterns, defined by the so-called Gang of Four
- Using several integrated and orthogonal models together: UML comprises a suite of diagrams that share some symbols and allow cross-referencing
- Modeling at different levels of detail: UML allows eliding details in diagrams when needed. Choose the right quantity of information to include in diagrams
- Extensibility: UML provides a good set of extensibility features which allow to design customized modeling languages if needed




#### Class vs. instance in diagrams




#### Basic notation for diagrams



#### Example of a use case diagram



# UML STRUCTURE DIAGRAMS (OR STATIC DIAGRAMS)



#### Structure diagrams (1)

Emphasize the **static description** of the elements that must be present in the system being modeled:

- 1. The **conceptual items** of interest for the system
- Class diagram: Describes the structure of a system by showing the classes of the systems, their attributes, and the relationships among the classes
- Composite structure diagram: Describes the internal structure of a class and the collaborations
- Object diagram: A view of the structure of example instances of modeled concepts




#### Structure diagrams (2)

- 2. The **architectural organization and structure** of the system.
- Package diagram: Describes how a system is split up into logical groupings
- Component diagram: Describes how a software system is split up into components
- Object diagram: A view of the structure of example instances of modeled concepts


#### Class diagrams basic concepts

- The basis of UML is described in the Kernel package of the UML metamodel.
- Most class models have the superclass *Element* and has the ability to own other elements, shown by a composition relationship in the metamodel.
- That's the only ability an element has.

#### Class diagram example




#### Component Diagram example





### The basic concepts in the UML metamodel for class diagrams




#### Named elements

- Def. A named element is an element that can have a name and a defined visibility (public, private, protected, package):
  - +=public
  - -=private
  - #=protected
  - ~=package
- The name of the element and its visibility are optional.

#### Multiplicities

- A multiplicity element is the definition of an interval of positive integers to specify allowable cardinalities.
- A cardinality is a concrete number of elements in a set.
- A multiplicity element is often simply called multiplicity; the two terms are synonymous.

#### **Example Multipicity & Cardinality**



## UML BEHAVIOURAL OR DYNAMIC DIAGRAMS



#### UML Behavioural Diagrams (1)

- Use case diagram: Describes the functionality provided by a system in terms of actors external to the system and their goals in using the system
- Activity diagram: Describes the step-by-step workflows of activities to be performed in a system for reaching a specific goal
- State machine diagram (or statechart): Describes the states and state transitions of the system, of a subsystem, or of one specific object.


### UML Behavioural Diagrams (2): Interaction diagrams

A subset of behavior diagrams, emphasize the **flow of control and data** among the elements of the system.


- Sequence diagram: Shows how objects communicate with each other in terms of a temporal sequence of messages
- Communication or collaboration diagram: Shows the interactions between objects or classes in terms of links and messages that flow through the links
- Interaction overview diagram: Provides an overview in which the nodes represent interaction diagrams
- **Timing diagrams**: A specific type of interaction diagram where the focus is on timing constraints




#### Activity diagram example




#### State Diagram example



#### Sequence diagram example



#### Collaboration diagram example





#### **UML EXTENSIBILITY**



#### Extensibility: Stereotype definition

- Stereotypes are formal extensions of existing model elements within the UML metamodel, that is, metamodel extensions.
- The modeling element is directly influenced by the semantics defined by the extension.
- Rather than introducing a new model element to the metamodel, stereotypes add semantics to an existing model element.


#### Multiple stereotyping

- Several stereotypes can be used to classify one single modeling element.
- Even the visual representation of an element can be influenced by allocating stereotypes.
- Moreover, stereotypes can be added to attributes, operations and relationships.
- Further, stereotypes can have attributes to store additional information.

#### **Stereotypes Notation**

- A stereotype is placed before or above the element name and enclosed in guillemets (<<,>>).
- Important: not every ocurrence of this notation means that you are looking at a stereotype. Keywords predefined in UML are also enclosed in guillemets.

#### UML Extensibility: profile example



## DOMAIN-SPECIFIC LANGUAGES



#### Principles for Domain Specific Languages

- The language must provide good abstractions to the developer, must be intuitive, and make life easier, not harder
- The language must not depend on one-man expertise for its adoption and usage. Its definition must be shared and agreed upon
- The language must evolve and must be kept updated based on the user and context needs, otherwise it is doomed to die.
- The language must come together with supporting tools and methods
- The language should be open for extensions and closed for modifications (open-close principle)

## Classification of DSLs (1): FOCUS. Horizontal vs. Vertical

- Vertical DSLs aim at a specific industry or field.
- Examples: configuration languages for home automation systems, modeling languages for biological experiments, analysis languages for financial applications.
- Horizontal DSLs have a broader applicability and their technical and cover concepts that apply across a large set of fields. They may refer to a specific technology but not to a specific industry.
- Examples: SQL, Flex, WebML.



# Classification of DSLs (2): STYLE. Declarative vs. Imperative

- Declarative DSLs: specification paradigm that expresses the logic of a computation without describing its control flow.
- The language defines what the program should accomplish, rather than describing how to accomplish it.
- Examples Web service choreography, SQL.
- Imperative DSLs: define an executable algorithm that states the steps and control flow that needs to be followed.
- Examples: service orchestrations (start-to-end flows), BPMN process diagrams, programming languages like Java or C/C++.



# Classification of DSLs (3): NOTATION. Graphical vs. Textual

- Graphical DSLs: the outcomes of the development are visual models and the development primitives are graphical items such as blocks, arrows and edges, containers, symbols, and so on.
- **Textual DSLs** comprise several categories, including XML-based notations, structured text notations, textual configuration files, and so on.

## Classification of DSLs (4): INTERNALITY. Internal vs. External


- External DSLs have their own custom syntax, with a full parser and self-standing, independent models/programs.
- Internal DSLs consist in using a host language and give it the feel of a particular domain or objective, either by embedding pieces of the DSL in the host language or by providing abstractions, structures, or functions upon it.

# Classification of DSLs (5): EXECUTABILITY. Model Interpretation vs. Code Generation

- Model interpretation: reading and executing the DSL script at runtime one statement at a time, exactly as programming languages interpreters do.
- Code-generation: applying a complete model-to-text (M2T) transformation at deployment time, thus producing an executable application, as compilers do for programming languages.
- See Chapter 2 for model executability details.


#### DSL example (1): BPMN process model

 Graphical, external, imperative, horizontal DSL for specifying business processes



## DSL example (2): WebML hypertext model

 Declarative, graphical, horizontal DSL for modeling Web navigation Uis. Supporting tool WebRatio applies a full code generation approach for executing the models.




See also: www.webml.org



### DSL Example (3): IFML by OMG

- Interaction Flow Modeling Language
- Defines content and navigation of user interfaces



See also: <a href="http://www.ifml.org">http://www.ifml.org</a>

and IFML book: <a href="http://amzn.to/1mcgYuo">http://amzn.to/1mcgYuo</a>



### DSL example (4): VHDL specs

- Textual, external, declarative, vertical DSL for specifying the behaviour of electronic components.
- Example: definition of a Multiplexer in VHDL


- Multiplexer (MUX): electronic component that selects one of several analog or digital input signals and forwards the selected input into a single output line.
- See more at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multiplexer



### DSL Example (4): VHDL Cont.d

Alternative representation of the multiplexer, according to different notations (which could be seen as DSLs themselves):

Electronic block diagram, truth table, output boolean expression



| EΝ΄ | 51 | 50 | Ø  |
|-----|----|----|----|
| 0   | 0  | 0  | DO |
| 0   | 0  | 1  | D1 |
| 0   | 1  | 0  | D2 |
| 0   | 1  | 1  | D3 |
| 1   | ×  | ×  | 1  |

Q = S1' S0' D0 + S1' S0 D1 + S1 S0' D2 + S1 S0 D3



## OCL – OBJECT CONSTRAINT LANGUAGE



#### **OCL** Topics

- Introduction
- OCL Core Language
- OCL Standard Library
- Tool Support
- Examples


#### Motivation

- Graphical modeling languages are generally not able to describe all facets of a problem description
  - MOF, UML, ER, ...
- Special constraints are often (if at all) added to the diagrams in natural language
  - Often ambiguous
  - Cannot be validated automatically
  - No automatic code generation
- Constraint definition also crucial in the definition of new modeling languages (DSLs).



#### **Motivation**

#### Example 1









Additional question: How do I get all Employees younger than 30 years old?



#### **Motivation**

- Formal specification languages are the solution
  - Mostly based on set theory or predicate logic
  - Requires good mathematical understanding
  - Mostly used in the academic area, but hardly used in the industry
  - Hard to learn and hard to apply
  - Problems when to be used in big systems
- Object Constraint Language (OCL): Combination of modeling language and formal specification language
  - Formal, precise, unique
  - Intuitive syntax is key to large group of users
  - No programming language (no algorithms, no technological APIs, ...)
  - Tool support: parser, constraint checker, code generation,...



- Constraints in UML-models
  - Invariants for classes, interfaces, stereotypes, ...
  - Pre- and postconditions for operations
  - Guards for messages and state transition
  - Specification of messages and signals
  - Calculation of derived attributes and association ends
- Constraints in meta models
  - Invariants for Meta model classes
  - Rules for the definition of well-formedness of meta model
- Query language for models
  - In analogy to SQL for DBMS, XPath and XQuery for XML
  - Used in transformation languages



#### OCL field of application

```
    Invariants context C inv: I
    Pre-/Postconditions context C::op(): T
```

pre: P post: Q

Query operations context C::op() : T body: e

Initial values context C::p : T init: e

Derived attributes context C::p : T derive: e

• Attribute/operation definition context C def: p : T = e

#### Caution: Side effects are not allowed!

- Operation C::getAtt: String body: att allowed in OCL
- Operation C::setAtt(arg) : T body: att = arg not allowed in OCL



Field of application of OCL in model driven engineering

#### Constraint language

Language definition (meta models) – well-formedness of meta models

Invariants

Formal definition of software systems (models)

Invariants


Pre-/Post-conditions

#### Query language

Model transformations
Code generation

Queries









- Constraints are defined on the modeling level
  - Basis: Classes and their properties
- Information of the object graph are queried
  - Represents system status, also called snapshot
- Anaology to XML query languages
  - XPath/XQuery query XML-documents
  - Scripts are based on XML-schema information

#### Examples




### Design of OCL

- A context has to be assigned to each OCL-statement
  - Starting address which model element is the OCL-statement defined for
  - Specifies which model elements can be reached using path expressions
- The context is specified by the keyword context followed by the name of the model element (mostly class names)
- The keyword self specifies the current instance, which will be evaluated by the invariant (context instance).
  - self can be omitted if the context instance is unique



### Design of OCL

- OCL can be specified in two different ways
  - As a comment **directly** in the class diagram (context described by connection)
  - Separate document file



«enumeration»
State
• on
• off

### Types

- OCL is a typed language
  - Each object, attribute, and result of an operation or navigation is assigned to a range of values (type)

#### Predefined types

- Basic types
  - Simple types: Integer, Real, Boolean, String
  - OCL-specific types: AnyType, TupleType, InvalidType, ...
- Set-valued, parameterized Types
  - Abstract supertyp: Collection(T)
  - Set(T) no duplicates
  - Bag(T) duplicates allowed
  - Sequence(T) Bag with ordered elements, association ends {ordered}
  - OrderedSet(T) Set with ordered elements, association ends {ordered, unique}

#### Userdefined Types

- Instances of Class in MOF and indirect instances of Classifier in UML are types
- EnumerationType user defined set of values for defining constants





#### Basic types

- true, false : Boolean
- 17, 0, 1, 2 : *Integer*
- -17.89, 0.01, 3.14 : *Real*
- "Hello World": String

#### Set-valued, parameterized types


- Set{ Set{1}, Set{2, 3} } : Set(Set(Integer))
- Bag{ 1, 2.0, 2, 3.0, 3.0, 3 } : Bag(Real)
- Tuple{ x = 5, y = false } : Tuple{x: Integer, y : Boolean}

#### Userdefined types

- Passenger : Class, Flight : Class, Provider : Interface
- Status::started enum Status {started, landed}







### Expressions

- Each OCL expression is an indirect instance of OCLExpression
  - Calculated in certain environment cf. context
  - Each OCL expression has a typed return value
  - OCL Constraint is an OCL expression with return value Boolean

#### Simple OCL expressions

LiteralExp, IfExp, LetExp, VariableExp, LoopExp


#### OCL expressions for querying model information

- FeatureCallExp abstract superclass
- AttributeCallExp querying attributes
- AssociationEndCallExp querying association ends
  - Using role names; if no role names are specified, lowercase class names have to be used (if unique)
- AssociationClassCallExp querying association class (only in UML)
- OperationCallExp Call of query operations
  - Calculate a value, but do **not** change the system state!



### **Expressions**

Examples for LiteralExp, IfExp, VariableExp, AttributeCallExp




- Abstract syntax of OCL is described as meta model
- Mapping from abstract syntax to concrete syntax
  - If Expression then Expression else Expression endif



#### Expressions

OCL meta model (extract)




**LiteralExp**: CollectionLiteralExp, PrimitiveLiteralExp, TupleLiteralExp, EnumLiteralExp



### Query of model information

- Context instance
  - context Person
- AttributeCallExp
  - self.age : int





- OperationCallExp
  - Operations must not have side effects
  - Allowed: self.getAge() : int
  - Not allowed: self.setAge()
- AssociationEndCallExp
  - Navigate to the opposite association end using role names self.employer – Return value is of type Company
  - Navigation often results into a set of objects Example context Company self.employees – Return value is of type Set (Person)



#### Query of model information

Example








#### Query of model information

OCL meta model (extract)




### OCL Library: Operations for OclAny

- OclAny Supertype of all other types in OCL
  - Operations are inherited by all other types.
- Operations of OclAny (extract)
  - Receiving object is denoted by obj

| Operation                             | Explanation of result   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| =(obj2:OclAny):Boolean                | True, if obj2 and obj reference the same object   |
| ocllsTypeOf(type:OclType):Boolean     | True, if type is the type of obj  |
| oclIsKindOf(type:OclType):<br>Boolean | True, if <i>type</i> is a direct or indirect supertype or the type of <i>obj</i>  |
| oclAsType(type:Ocltype):<br>Type      | The result is <i>obj</i> of type <i>type</i> , or <i>undefined</i> , if the current type of <i>obj</i> is not <i>type</i> or a direct or indirect subtype of it (casting) |

#### Operations for OclAny


Predefined environment for model types





### Operations for OclAny

#### ocllsKindOf vs. ocllsTypeOf



#### context Person

self.oclIsKindOf(Person): true

self.ocllsTypeOf(Person): true

self.ocllsKindOf(Student): false

self.ocllsTypeOf(Student): false

#### context Student

self.ocllsKindOf(Person): true

self.ocllsTypeOf(Person) : false

self.ocllsKindOf(Student): true

self.ocllsTypeOf(Student): true

self.ocllsKindOf(Professor) : false

self.ociisTypeOf(Professor): false



### Operations for simple types

- Predefined simple types
  - Integer {Z}
  - Real {R}
  - Boolean {true, false}
  - String {ASCII, Unicode}
- Each simple type has predefined operations

| Simple type | Predefined operations          |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Integer     | *, +, -, /, abs(),             |
| Real        | *, +, -, /, floor(),           |
| Boolean     | and, or, xor, not, implies     |
| String      | concat(), size(), substring(), |

# Operations for simple types

#### Syntax

- v.operation(para1, para2, ...)
  - Example: "bla".concat("bla")
- Operations without brackets (Infix notation)
  - Example: 1 + 2, true and false

| Signature                          | Operation  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Integer X Integer → Integer        | {+, -, *}  |
| t1 <b>X</b> t2 → Boolean           | {<,>,≤,≥}, <i>t1, t</i> 2 typeOf {Integer or Real} |
| Boolean <b>X</b> Boolean → Boolean | {and, or, xor, implies}                            |

### Operations for simple types

Boolean operations - semantic

- OCL is based on a three-valued (trivalent) logic
  - Expressions are mapped to the three values {true, false, undefined}
- Semantic of the operations
  - $\mathcal{M}(I, exp) = I(exp)$ , if exp not further resolvable
  - $\mathcal{M}(I, \text{ not } exp) = \neg \mathcal{M}(I, exp)$
  - $\mathcal{M}(I,(exp1 \text{ and } exp2)) = \mathcal{M}(I, exp1) \wedge \mathcal{M}(I, exp2)$
  - $\mathcal{M}(\mathsf{I},(\mathsf{exp1}\ \mathsf{or}\ \mathsf{exp2})) = \mathcal{M}(\mathsf{I},\ \mathsf{exp1}) \vee \mathcal{M}(\mathsf{I},\ \mathsf{exp2})$
  - $\mathcal{M}(I,(exp1 \text{ implies } exp2)) = \mathcal{M}(I,exp1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(I,exp2)$
- Truth table: true(1), false (0), undefined (?)

| _ ¬    | L | $\wedge$ | 0 | 1 | ? |   | 0 | 1 | ? | $\rightarrow$ | 0 | 1 | ? |
|--------|---|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|---|---|
|        | 1 | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ? | 0             | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1<br>? | 0 | 1        | 0 | 1 | ? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1             | 0 | 1 | ? |
| ?      | ? | ?        | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | 1 | ? | ?             | ? | 1 | ? |

**Undefined**: Return value if an expression fails

- Access on the first element of an empty set
- 2. Error during Type Casting
- 3. ...

## Operations for simple types

Boolean operations - semantic

- Simple example for an undefined OCL expression
  - **1/0**
- Query if undefined— OCLAny.oclIsUndefined()
  - (1 / 0).ocllsUndefined() : true
- Examples for the evaluation of Boolean operations
  - (1/0 = 0.0) **and** false : false
  - (1/0 = 0.0) **or** true : true
  - false **implies** (1.0 = 0.0) : true
  - (1/0 = 0.0) implies true : true

# Operations for collections

- Collection is an abstract supertype for all set types
  - Specification of the mutual operations
  - Set, Bag, Sequence, OrderedSet inherit these operations
- Caution: Operations with a return value of a set-valued type create a new collection (no side effects)
- Syntax: v -> op(...) Example: {1, 2, 3} -> size()
- Operations of collections (extract)
  - Receiving object is denoted by coll

| Operation                    | Explanation of result  |
|------------------------------|--|
| size():Integer               | Number of elements in <i>coll</i>  |
| includes(obj:OclAny):Boolean | True, if <i>obj</i> exists in <i>coll</i>                                      |
| isEmpty:Boolean              | True, if coll contains no elements   |
| sum:T                        | Sum of all elements in <i>coll</i> Elements have to be of type Integer or Real |

# Operations for collections

Model operations vs. OCL operations



#### **OCL-Constraint**

#### Semantic

context Container

inv: self.content -> first().isEmpty()

Operation is Empty() always has to return true

context Container


inv: self.content -> isEmpty()

Container instances must not contain bottles



# Operationen for Set/Bag

- Set and Bag define additional operations
  - Generally based on theory of set concepts



- Operations of Set (extract)
  - Receiving object is denoted by set

| Operation                                | Explanation of result   |
|--|---|
| union(set2:Set(T)):Set(T)                | Union of set and set2   |
| intersection(set2:Set(T)):Set(T)         | Intersection of set and set2  |
| difference(set2:Set(T)):Set()            | Difference set; elements of set, which do not consist in set2   |
| symmetricDifference(set2:Set(T)): Set(T) | Set of all elements, which are either in set or in set2, but do not exist in both sets at the same time |


- Operations of Bag (extract)
  - Receiving object is denoted by bag

| Operation                         | Explanation of result                |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| union(bag2:Bag(T)):Bag(T)         | Union of <i>bag</i> and <i>bag</i> 2 |
| intersection(bag2:Bag(T)): Bag(T) | Intersection of bag and bag2         |



# Operations for OrderedSet/Sequence

- OrderedSet and Sequences define additional operations
  - Allow access or modification through an Index



- Operations of OrderedSet (extract)
  - Receiving object is denoted by orderedSet

| Operation  | Explanation of result   |
|--|---|
| first:T  | First element of orderedSet   |
| last:T   | Last element of orderedSet  |
| at(i:Integer):T  | Element on index i of orderedSet  |
| subOrderedSet(lower:Integer,<br>upper:Integer):OrderedSet(T) | Subset of <i>orderedSet</i> , all elements of <i>orderedSet</i> including the element on position <i>lower</i> and the element on position <i>upper</i> |
| insertAt(index:Integer,object:T)<br>:OrderedSet(T)           | Result is a copy of the <i>orderedSet</i> , including the element <i>object</i> at the position <i>index</i>  |

- Operations of Sequence
  - Analogous to the operations of OrderedSet



- OCL defines operations for Collections using Iterators
  - Expression Package: LoopExp
  - Projection of new Collections out of existing ones
  - Compact declarative specification instead of imperative algorithms

#### Predefined Operations

- select(exp) : Collection
- reject(exp) : Collection
- collect(exp) : Collection
- forAll(exp) : Boolean
- exists(exp) : Boolean
- isUnique(exp) : Boolean
- iterate(...) Iterate over all elements of a Collection
  - Generic operation
  - Predefined operations are defined with iterate(...)



Select-/Reject-Operation

- Select and Reject return subsets of collections
  - Iterate over the complete collection and collect elements
- Select
  - Result: Subset of collection, including elements where booleanExpr is true

```
collection -> select( v : Type | booleanExp(v) )
collection -> select( v | booleanExp(v) )
collection -> select( booleanExp )
```

- Reject
  - Result: Subset of collection, including elements where booleanExpr is false
  - Just Syntactic Sugar, because each reject-Operation can be defined as a select-Operation with a negated expression

```
collection-> reject(v : Type | booleanExp(v))
```

=

collection-> select(v : Type | **not** (booleanExp(v))



Select-/Reject-Operation

Semantic of the Select-Operation

```
OCL
context Company inv:
 self.employee -> select(e : Employee | e.age>50) ->
notEmpty()
                                                              Java
              List persons<Person> = new List();
              for ( Iterator<Person> iter = comp.getEmployee();
              iter.hasNext() ){
                 Person p = iter.next();
                 if (p.age > 50)
                    persons.add(p);
```

Collect-Operation

- Collect-Operation returns a new collection from an existing one. It collects the Properties of the objects and not the objects itself.
  - Result of collect always Bag<T>.T defines the type of the property to be collected

```
collection -> collect( v : Type | exp(v) )
collection -> collect( v | exp(v) )
collection -> collect( exp )
```

- Example
  - self.employees -> collect(age) Return type: Bag(Integer)
- Short notation for collect
  - self.employees.age



Collect-Operation

Semantic of the Collect-Operator

```
context Company inv:
self.employee -> collect(birthdate) -> size() > 3

List birthdate<Integer> = new List();
for ( Iterator<Person> iter = comp.getEmployee();
iter.hasNext() ){
birthdate.add(iter.next().getBirthdate()); }
```

Use of asSet() to eliminate duplicates

```
context Company inv:
self.employee -> collect(birthdate) -> asSet()
(without duplicates)
```



ForAll-/Exists-Operation

ForAll checks, if all elements of a collection evaluate to true

```
collection -> forAll( v : Type | booleanExp(v) )
collection -> forAll( v | booleanExp(v) )
collection -> forAll( booleanExp )
```

- Example: self.employees -> forAll(age > 18)
- Nesting of forAll-Calls (Cartesian Product)

```
context Company inv:
self.employee->forAll (e1 | self.employee -> forAll (e2 |
e1 <> e2 implies e1.svnr <> e2.svnr))
```

Alternative: Use of multiple iterators

```
context Company inv:
self.employee -> forAll (e1, e2 | e1 <> e2 implies e1.svnr <> e2.svnr))
```

- Exists checks, if at least one element evaluates to true
  - Beispiel: employees -> exists(e: Employee | e.isManager = true)



Iterate-Operation

- Iterate is the generic form of all iterator-based operations
- Syntax

- Variable elem is a typed *Iterator*
- Variable acc is a typed Accumulator
- Gets assigned initial value initExp
- exp(elem, acc) is a function to calculate acc
- Example

```
collection -> collect(x:T|x.property)
```

-- semantically equivalent to:

```
collection -> iterate(x:T; acc:T2 = Bag{} | acc -> including(x.property))
```



Iterate-Operator

Semantic of the *Iterate-Operator* 

```
OCL
collection -> iterate(x : T; acc : T2 = value | acc -> u(acc, x)
                                                         Java
              iterate (coll : T, acc : T2 = value) {
                     acc=value;
                     for( Iterator<T> iter =
              coll.getElements(); iter.hasNext(); ){
                           T elem = iter.next();
                           acc = u(elem, acc);
```

- Example
  - Set{1, 2, 3} -> iterate(i:Integer, a:Integer=0 | a+i)
  - Result: 6



# **Tool Support**

#### Wishlist

- Syntactic analysis: Editor support
- Validation of logical consistency (Unambiguous)
- Dynamic validation of invariants
- Dynamic validation of Pre-/Post-conditions
- Code generation and test automation

#### Today

- UML tools provide OCL editors
- MDA tools provide code generation of OCL expressions
- Meta modeling platforms provide the opportunity to define OCL Constraints for meta models.
  - The editor should dynamically check constraints or restrict modeling, respectively.



### **OCL Tools**

- Some OCL-parsers, which check the syntax of OCL-constraints and apply them to the models, are for free.
  - IBM Parser
- Dresden OCL Toolkit 2.0
  - Generation of Java code out of OCL-constraints
  - Possible integration with ArgoUML
- USE: UML-based Specification Environment
  - http://sourceforge.net/projects/useocl
- OCL frameworks are originated in the areas of EMF and the UML2 project of Eclipse
  - Octopus
  - Frauenhofer Toolkit
  - OSLO
  - EMFT OCL-Framework/Query-Framework




### **OCL** Tools

#### EMFT OCL-Framework

- Based on EMF
- OCL-API Enables the use of OCL in Java programs
- Interactive OCL Console –
   Enables the definition and evaluation of OCL-constraints


### EMFT Query-Framework


- Goal: SQL-like query of model information
- select exp from exp where oclExp






# Example 1: Navigation (1)







# Example 1: Navigation (2)



# Example 2: Invariant (1)



**Constraint**: A child is at least 15 years younger than his parents.




context Person


inv: self.children->forAll(k : Person | k.age
 < self.age-15)</pre>



# Example 2: Invariant (2)




# Example 2: Invariant (2) cont.




# Example 2: Invariant (2) cont.

**Constraint**: The registration number of a student has to be unique.



```
context Student
inv uniqueRegnr :
  Student.allInstances() -> forAll(e1, e1 | e1 <> e2 implies
        e1.oclAsType(Student).regnr <>
        e2.oclAsType(Student).regnr))
```


# Example 3: Inherited attribute



A Person obtains family allowance, if he/she is younger than 18 years, or if he/she is studying and younger than 27 years old.

```
context Person::familyallowance
derive: self.age < 18 or
    (self.age < 27 and self.studies -> size() > 0)
```

# Example 4: Definitions



context Person


def: relative: Set(Person) = children-> union(relative)

inv: self.relative -> excludes(self)

Assumption: Fixed-point semantic, otherwise if then else required




### Example 5: equivalent OCL-formulations (1)



Constrain: A person is not its own child

- (self.children->select(k | k = self))->size() = 0
  - The Number of children for each person "self", where the children are the person "self", have to be 0.
- (self.children->select(k | k = self)) ->isEmpty()
  The set of children for each person "self, where the children are the person "self", has to be empty.

### Example 5: equivalent OCL-formulations (2)




Constrain: A person is not its own child

not self.children->includes(self)

It is not possible, that the set of children of each person "self" contains the person "self".

self.children->excludes(self)
The set of children of each person "self" cannot contain
"self".


### Example 5: equivalent OCL-formulations (3)



Constrain: A person is not its own child

- Set{self}->intersection(self.children)->isEmpty()
  The intersection between the one element set, which only includes one person "self" and the set of the children of "self" has to be empty.
- (self.children->reject(k | k <> self)) ->isEmpty()
  The set of children for each person "self", for whome does not apply, that they
  are not equal to the person "self", has to be empty.

### Example 5: equivalent OCL-formulations (4)



Constrain: A person is not its own child

- self.children->forAll(k | k <> self)
  - Each child of the person "self" is not the person "self".
- not self.children->exists(k | k = self)
  There is no child for each person "self", which is the person "self"

### **Outlook**

ATLAS Transformation Language (ATL)

- Query-part (from) What has to be transformed?
  - When selecting the relevant model elements
    - additionally to the type indication, constraints on attributes and association ends are required,
    - which are specified in OCL.
- Generation-part(to) What has to be created?
  - When creating the target structure
    - additionally to the type information, derived information is required,
    - which are calculated in OCL.

### References on OCL

#### Literature

- Object Constraint Language Specification, Version 2.0
  - http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/ocl.htm
- Jos Warmer, Anneke Kleppe: The Object Constraint Language -Second Edition, Addison Wesley (2003)
- Martin Hitz et al: UML@Work, d.punkt, 2. Auflage (2003)

#### Tools

- OSLO http://oslo-project.berlios.de
- Octopus http://octopus.sourceforge.net
- Dresden OCL Toolkit http://dresden-ocl.sourceforge.net
- EMF OCL http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/mdt/?project=ocl
- USE http://sourceforge.net/projects/useocl





### MORGAN & CLAYPOOL PUBLISHERS

# MODEL-DRIVEN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING IN PRACTICE

Marco Brambilla, Jordi Cabot, Manuel Wimmer. Morgan & Claypool, USA, 2012.

www.mdse-book.com

www.morganclaypool.com

or buy it at: www.amazon.com

