



Part 6: Defibrillation: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary **Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment** Recommendations Ian Jacobs, Kjetil Sunde, Charles D. Deakin, Mary Fran Hazinski, Richard E. Kerber, Rudolph W. Koster, Laurie J. Morrison, Jerry P. Nolan, Michael R. Sayre and Defibrillation Chapter Collaborators Circulation 2010;122;S325-S337 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.971010 Circulation is published by the American Heart Association. 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 72514 Copyright © 2010 American Heart Association. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/122/16_suppl_2/S325

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation is online at http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/

Permissions: Permissions & Rights Desk, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a division of Wolters Kluwer Health, 351 West Camden Street, Baltimore, MD 21202-2436. Phone: 410-528-4050. Fax: 410-528-8550. E-mail: journalpermissions@lww.com

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at http://www.lww.com/reprints

Part 6: Defibrillation

2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations

Ian Jacobs, Co-Chair*; Kjetil Sunde, Co-Chair*; Charles D. Deakin; Mary Fran Hazinski; Richard E. Kerber; Rudolph W. Koster; Laurie J. Morrison; Jerry P. Nolan; Michael R. Sayre; on behalf of Defibrillation Chapter Collaborators

Note From the Writing Group: Throughout this article, the reader will notice combinations of superscripted letters and numbers (eg, "CPR Before Defibrillation^{BLS-024A, BLS-024B}"). These callouts are hyperlinked to evidence-based worksheets, which were used in the development of this article. An appendix of worksheets, applicable to this article, is located at the end of the text. The worksheets are available in PDF format and are open access.

The 2010 Defibrillation Task Force considered many questions related to defibrillation. In general, the 2010 International Consensus on Science With Treatment Recommendations statement contains no major differences or dramatic changes from the 2005 International Consensus statement. The questions have been grouped into the following categories: (1) cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) before defibrillation, (2) electrodepatient interface, (3) waveforms, energy levels, and strategies (4) special circumstances, and (5) defibrillation-related topics.

Science and treatment recommendations dealing with the infant or child requiring defibrillation can be found in Part 10: Pediatric Basic and Advanced Life Support. The only treatment recommendations that differ for adult and pediatric patients are defibrillation dose and automated external defibrillator (AED) use.

There are several knowledge gaps created by the lack of high-quality, large clinical studies. These include the minimal acceptable first-shock success rate; the characteristics of the optimal biphasic waveform; the optimal energy levels for specific waveforms; and the best shock strategy (fixed versus escalating).

Integration of CPR and Defibrillation

Whether a period of CPR should be performed before defibrillation in ventricular fibrillation (VF), especially after a long response time, has recently been the subject of intense debate. The theoretical rationale for CPR before shock delivery is to improve coronary perfusion and thereby the chances of achieving sustained return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC).

CPR Before Defibrillation^{BLS-024A, BLS-024B}

In adults and children with cardiac arrest due to VF (out-ofhospital or in-hospital) does the use of CPR before defibrillation, as opposed to standard care (according to treatment algorithm), improve outcomes (eg, ROSC, survival)?

Consensus on Science

In 2 randomized controlled trials (LOE 1)^{1,2}, a period of $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 minutes of CPR by emergency medical services (EMS) personnel before defibrillation did not improve ROSC or survival to hospital discharge in patients with out-of-hospital VF or pulseless ventricular tachycardia (VT), regardless of EMS response interval. One before-and-after study (LOE 3)³ and another study (LOE 4)⁴ failed to demonstrate significant improvements in ROSC or survival to hospital discharge when a strategy of CPR before defibrillation (CPR first) was compared to a shock-first strategy. In the Hayakawa study, the CPR-first group showed a higher rate of favorable neurologic outcome 30 days and 1 year after cardiac arrest.³

One randomized controlled trial (LOE 1)⁵ and 1 clinical trial with historic controls (LOE 3)⁶ comparing CPR-first versus shock-first also found no overall difference in outcomes. However, in both studies, improvements in ROSC, survival to hospital discharge, neurologic outcome, and 1-year survival were observed in a subgroup of patients who received CPR first where the EMS response interval was >4 to 5 minutes.

Treatment Recommendation

There is inconsistent evidence to support or refute delay in defibrillation to provide a period of CPR (90 seconds to 3 minutes) for patients in non EMS witnessed VF/pulseless VT cardiac arrest.

Electrode-Patient Interface

Studies on defibrillation for cardiac arrest and on cardioversion for atrial fibrillation (AF) are both included here. While few studies compared differences in outcome, many studies compared secondary end points such as effect on transthoracic impedance (TTI). In ventricular arrhythmias, however, there is no direct evidence that TTI affects shock success.

Self-Adhesive Defibrillation Pads Compared With Paddles^{ALS-E-037A}

In adult cardiac arrest (out-of-hospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) does the use of self-adhesive defibrillation pads,

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: Jacobs I, Sunde K, Deakin CD, Hazinski MF, Kerber RE, Koster RW, Morrison LJ, Nolan JP, Sayre MR; on behalf of Defibrillation Chapter Collaborators. Part 6: defibrillation: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2010;122(suppl 2):S325–S337. *Co-chairs and equal first co-authors.

(*Circulation*. 2010;122[suppl 2]:S325–S337.)

© 2010 American Heart Association, Inc., European Resuscitation Council, and International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation.

Circulation is available at http://circ.ahajournals.org

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.971010

compared with paddles, improve outcomes (eg, successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival)?

Consensus on Science

Since 2005 there have been no new studies comparing self-adhesive defibrillation pads with paddles in cardiac arrest. Evidence from one small, good-quality controlled study (LOE 3)⁷ in 1987 showed that self-adhesive pads were associated with a significantly improved rate of ROSC and hospital admission compared with hand-held paddles. Several studies have shown the practical benefits of pads over paddles for routine monitoring and defibrillation.^{8–12}

One prospective study (LOE 3)¹³ found lower TTI when paddles applied at an optimal force of 8 kg were compared with pads. In a cohort study in patients with atrial fibrillation (LOE 2)¹⁴ the use of hand-held paddles placed in the anterior-posterior position increased the success rate of monophasic cardioversion compared with similarly placed self-adhesive electrodes for monophasic defibrillation. The overall cardioversion success rate for biphasic defibrillators was high (>95%) in all groups. In the majority of other studies, self-adhesive electrodes were associated with similarly high cardioversion success rates.

Treatment Recommendation

For both defibrillation and AF cardioversion, when using biphasic defibrillators, self-adhesive defibrillation pads are safe and effective and are an acceptable alternative to standard defibrillation paddles. In AF cardioversion using monophasic defibrillators, hand-held paddles are preferable.

Placement of Paddles/Pads^{ALS-E-030A}

In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of any specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position, compared with standard resuscitation (or other specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position), improve outcomes (eg, successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival)?

Consensus on Science

There are no studies in patients with VF/pulseless VT directly comparing the effects of various positions of paddle/pad placement on defibrillation success and ROSC. Most studies evaluate cardioversion (eg, AF) or secondary end points (eg, TTI). Eleven studies (LOE 5)^{15–25} found all 4 positions (anterior-apex, anterior-posterior, anterior-left infrascapular, anterior-right infrascapular) to be equally effective in defibrillation (for VF/pulseless VT) or elective AF cardioversion success. Four studies support the anterior-posterior position (LOE 5),^{26–30} 1 study supports the anterior-lateral position (LOE 5),³¹ and 1 study supports the anterior-apex position (LOE 5),³²

Five studies (LOE 5)^{16,21–24} found no effect of electrode position on TTI. One study showed that paddles/pads should be placed under the breast tissue (LOE 5)³³ and 2 studies showed that hirsute males should be shaved before the application of pads (LOE 5).^{34,35} Of the 36 studies reviewed, only 4 examined biphasic waveforms (LOE 5)^{18,25,29,36} that have gained widespread use.

Treatment Recommendation

It is reasonable to place paddles/pads on the exposed chest in an anterior-lateral position. Acceptable alternative positions are anterior-posterior (for paddles/pads) and apex-posterior (for pads). In large-breasted individuals it is reasonable to place the left electrode paddle/pad lateral to or underneath the left breast, avoiding breast tissue. Consideration should be given to the rapid removal of excessive chest hair before the application of paddles/pads but emphasis must be on minimizing delay in shock delivery.

Size of Paddles/Pads^{ALS-E-030A}

In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of any specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position, compared with standard resuscitation (or other specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position), improve outcomes (eg, successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival)?

Consensus on Science

No new clinical study on this topic has been published since 2005. One study demonstrated that TTI decreased and shock success increased with increasing pad size (from 8 to 12 cm) (LOE 3).³⁷ Ten other studies showed that larger paddle/pad sizes (8- to 12-cm diameter) lowered TTI and that maximum paddle/pad size was limited by the chest wall size and anatomy (LOE 3;³⁸ LOE 5^{23,36,39-45}). No data related to survival outcome was included in these studies.

Treatment Recommendation

There is insufficient evidence to recommend a specific electrode size for optimal external defibrillation in adults. However, it is reasonable to use a paddle/pad size >8 cm.

Composition of Conductive Material^{ALS-E-036}

In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of any specific composition of conductive material, compared with standard conductive material, improve TTI?

Consensus on Science

Fourteen studies showed that the composition of the conductive material (eg, saline, hypertonic sodium chloride [NaCl] solution, or silver-silver chloride) may alter TTI by more than 20% (LOE 2^{39,46,47}; LOE 3³⁷; LOE 4⁴⁸; LOE 5^{34,49–56}). Five studies (LOE 3^{57,58}; LOE 5^{59–61}) showed that TTI was not affected by electrode composition. The end point for all of these studies was TTI, and no studies involved outcomes following cardiac arrest.

Treatment Recommendation

The composition of the conductive material of defibrillation electrodes influences TTI. In terms of cardiac arrest outcomes, there is insufficient evidence to recommend a specific composition of the defibrillation electrode conductive material.

Waveforms, Energy Levels, and Strategies^{ALS-E-033B}

All new defibrillators currently deliver shocks using biphasic waveforms. Although it has not been demonstrated conclusively in randomized clinical studies that biphasic defibrillators save more lives than monophasic defibrillators, biphasic defibrillators achieve higher first-shock success rates. Shock success is usually defined as termination of VF 5 seconds after the shock.

In adult cardiac arrest due to VF or pulseless VT (OHCA, IHCA), does the use of any specific defibrillation strategy, compared with standard management (or other specific defibrillation strategy), improve outcomes (eg, termination of VF 5 seconds after the shock)?

Biphasic Compared With Monophasic Defibrillation Waveform Consensus on Science

In 3 randomized trials (LOE 1)^{62–64} and 4 other human studies (LOE 3)^{65–68} biphasic waveforms had higher shock-success rates compared with monophasic defibrillation. One randomized study comparing transthoracic incremental monophasic with biphasic defibrillation for out-of-hospital pulseless VT/VF cardiac arrest failed to demonstrate any significant differences in any outcome (LOE 1).⁶⁹ A single-cohort study (LOE 3)⁷⁰ using the 2000 International Guidelines⁷¹ demonstrated better hospital discharge and neurological survival with biphasic than with monophasic waveforms. However, there were confounding factors in that the intervals between the first and second shocks (of 3-stacked shocks) were shorter with the biphasic defibrillators.

There is no clinical evidence for superiority of any specific biphasic waveform over another.

Treatment Recommendation

Biphasic waveforms are more effective in terminating VF when compared with monophasic waveforms. There is insufficient evidence to recommend any specific biphasic waveform. In the absence of biphasic defibrillators, monophasic defibrillators are acceptable.

Multiphasic Compared With Biphasic Defibrillation Waveform Consensus on Science

There are no human studies to support the use of multiphasic waveforms over biphasic waveforms for defibrillation. Animal data suggests that multiphasic waveforms may defibrillate at lower energies and induce less postshock myocardial dysfunction.^{72,73} These results are limited because in all studies duration of VF was very short (approximately 30 seconds) and results have not been validated in human studies.

Treatment Recommendation

Currently, multiphasic defibrillators are not commercially available.

Waveforms, Energy Levels, and Myocardial Damage

Several different biphasic waveforms are used in commercially available defibrillators, but no human studies have directly compared these waveforms or compared them at different energy levels related to defibrillation success or survival.

For the different biphasic waveforms, studies of different size and quality have been performed and are presented separately. For all waveforms, insufficient evidence exists to make clear recommendations.

Consensus on Science

Biphasic Truncated Exponential (BTE) Waveform. Evidence from 1 well-conducted randomized trial (LOE 1)⁷⁴ and 1 other human study (LOE 2)⁷⁵ employing BTE waveforms suggested that higher energy levels are associated with higher shock-success rates. In the randomized trial, the first-shock success rate was similar with 150 J and 200 J.⁷⁴

Pulsed Biphasic Waveform. In one study using pulsed biphasic waveforms at 130 J the first-shock success rate was 90% (LOE 4).⁷⁶

Rectilinear Biphasic Waveform. When defibrillation success was defined as ROSC (this differs from the definition in other studies), one study using a rectilinear biphasic waveform showed that an organized rhythm was restored by the first shock (120 J) in 23% of cases (LOE 1).⁶² Success rate for the termination of VF at 5 seconds was not published for this waveform.

Monophasic Waveform (Damped Sinusoid or Truncated Exponential). Evidence from 3 studies of monophasic defibrillation suggested equivalent outcomes with lower and higher starting energies (LOE 1⁷⁷; LOE 2^{78,79}).

Myocardial Damage Associated With Higher–Energy Level Shocks. Several animal studies have suggested the potential for myocardial damage with higher-energy shocks using BTE or monophasic waveforms (LOE 5).^{36,80–81} In a recent prolonged cardiac arrest pig study, however, biphasic 360 J shocks did not appear to cause more cardiac damage than biphasic 150 J shocks (LOE 5).⁸² Human studies involving BTE waveforms^{74,83} with energy levels up to 360 J have not shown harm as indicated by biomarker levels, ECG findings, and ejection fractions.

Treatment Recommendation

It is reasonable to start at a selected energy level of 150 J to 200 J for a BTE waveform for defibrillation of pulseless VT/VF cardiac arrest. There is insufficient evidence to determine the initial energy levels for any other biphasic waveform. Although evidence is limited, because of the lower total shock success for monophasic defibrillation, initial and subsequent shocks using this waveform should be at 360 J.

One-Shock Compared With 3-Stacked Shock Protocols Consensus on Science

One study showed no survival benefit from a protocol that included a single-shock protocol compared to a 3-shock protocol (LOE 1).⁸⁴ Evidence from 3 pre-post design studies suggested significant survival benefit with a single-shock defibrillation protocol compared with 3-stacked shock protocols (LOE 3).^{85–87} However, these studies included confounders related to pre-post design and the multiple interventions that were included as part of the defibrillation protocol. Another pre-post study, with fewer confounding factors, showed a significantly lower hands-off ratio (ie, percentage of total CPR time when no compressions were provided) with the 1-shock protocol but no statistical difference in survival (LOE 3).⁸⁸

One observational study of fixed-dose biphasic defibrillation suggested higher defibrillation success with 3 shocks (LOE 4).⁸⁹ The same study also suggested that chest compressions immediately following a shock did not result in recurrence of VF. In contrast another study showed earlier recurrence of VF when chest compressions were resumed immediately after the shock compared with delayed resumption of compressions (LOE 1).⁹⁰ There was no significant difference in total incidence of recurrent VF or outcome. A single study demonstrated that early termination of recurrent VF was associated with increased ROSC, but quality of CPR was poor and few patients achieved ROSC (LOE 4).⁹¹ Another study showed decreased survival when defibrillation for recurrent VF was, for a variety of reasons, delayed (LOE 4).⁹²

Treatment Recommendation

When defibrillation is required, a single shock should be provided with immediate resumption of chest compressions after the shock. Chest compressions should not be delayed for rhythm reanalysis or pulse check immediately after a shock. CPR should not be interrupted until rhythm reanalysis is undertaken.

Fixed Versus Escalating Defibrillation Energy Protocol^{ALS-E-032B}

In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of an escalating defibrillation energy protocol, compared with a fixed-energy protocol, improve outcomes (eg, ROSC)?

Consensus on Science

One randomized trial (LOE 1)⁷⁴ of 150-J fixed versus 200-J to 300-J to 360-J shocks and 1 LOE 2 study⁷⁵ of 150-J fixed versus 100-J to 150-J to 200-J shocks supported the use of an escalating-energy biphasic defibrillation protocol compared with a fixed-dose defibrillation protocol. In one study (escalating 200-J to 200-J to 360-J shocks), the success rate of defibrillation for recurrent VF declined with the number of recurrences (LOE 4).⁹³ However, these studies were not designed to demonstrate an improvement in the rate of ROSC or survival to hospital discharge. One study of fixed-dose biphasic defibrillation suggested that defibrillation success improved with 3 shocks (LOE 4).⁸⁹ All of these studies were done with the 3-shock protocol (before the change in Guidelines 2005).

Treatment Recommendation

For second and subsequent biphasic shocks the same initial energy level is acceptable. It is reasonable to increase the energy level when possible.

Shock Using Manual Versus Semi-Automatic Mode^{ALS-E-034B}

In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of an AED or a multifunctional defibrillator in automatic mode, compared with standard resuscitation (using manual defibrillation), improve outcomes (eg, successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival)?

Consensus on Science

Modern defibrillators can be operated in both manual and semiautomatic (AED-similar) modes. However, few studies compare these two options. One randomized controlled study showed no significant difference in survival-to-hospitaldischarge rate but significant reduction in time to first shock in the AED group versus the manual group (1.1 versus 2.0 minutes) (LOE 1).94 One good concurrent controlled OHCA study in 36 rural communities showed no improvements in ROSC, survival, or neurologic outcome but significantly shorter times to first shock and higher VF conversion rates when paramedics used AEDs in semiautomatic mode compared with manual mode (LOE 2).95 One retrospective study demonstrated no improvement in survival to hospital discharge for adult IHCA when comparing AED with manual defibrillators (LOE 4).96 In patients with initial asystole or pulseless electric activity (PEA), AEDs were associated with a significantly lower survival (15%) compared with manual defibrillators (23%, P=0.04).⁹⁶

In a study of 3 different EMS systems and 1 in-hospital center, manual mode of defibrillation was associated with a lower total hands-off ratio (ie, percentage of total CPR time when no compressions were provided) than AED mode (LOE 3).⁹⁷ However, more shocks were delivered inappropriately by rescuers using manual defibrillators (26% manual versus 6% AEDs). A randomized manikin study simulating cardiac arrest showed a lower hands-off ratio, mainly due to a shorter preshock pause, when trained paramedics used the defibrillator in manual mode compared with semiautomatic mode (LOE 5).⁹⁸ More inappropriate shocks (12% versus 0) were delivered in manual mode. All episodes of VF were detected and shocked appropriately.

A shorter preshock pause and lower total hands-off ratio increased vital organ perfusion and the probability of ROSC (LOE 5). $^{99-101}$

Treatment Recommendation

No significant survival differences have been demonstrated between defibrillation in semiautomatic and manual modes during out-of-hospital or in-hospital resuscitation; however, the semiautomatic mode is preferred because it is easier to use and may deliver fewer inappropriate shocks.

Trained personnel may deliver defibrillation in manual mode. Use of the manual mode enables chest compressions to be continued during charging, thereby minimizing the preshock pause. When using the defibrillator in manual mode, frequent team training and ECG recognition skills are essential.

The defibrillation mode that results in the best outcome will be influenced by the system of care and by provider skills, training, and ECG recognition.

Cardioversion Strategy in Atrial Fibrillation^{ALS-E-038}

In adult patients in a shockable nonarrest rhythm requiring cardioversion (in- or out-of-hospital) does any specific cardioversion strategy, compared with standard management (or other specific cardioversion strategy), improve outcomes (eg, termination of rhythm)?

Consensus on Science

Twenty-two studies have compared specific cardioversion strategies (eg, monophasic versus biphasic defibrillators and different energy levels) administered by cardiologists in the hospital setting to patients with atrial fibrillation (both acute and chronic) (LOE 1^{14,17,26,27,31,102–115}; LOE 2^{116,117}). Most of these studies documented that biphasic shocks were more effective than monophasic shocks for cardioversion.

Studies with varying strategies (fixed and escalating) and energy levels all resulted in high cardioversion rates for a variety of biphasic waveforms, with no clear evidence of superiority. For monophasic defibrillation, higher initial energy levels (360 J) were associated with higher cardioversion rates and less total energy used than energy levels escalating from lower to higher. Body weight may affect cardioversion success, and one study suggested that initial shock should be 200 J for patients <90 kg and 360 J for patients >90 kg (LOE 1).¹¹⁸ In general, increased total energy use was associated with more dermal injury and postprocedural pain (LOE 1).^{103,112,119}

Treatment Recommendation

Biphasic defibrillators are preferred for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. There is no evidence to recommend a specific waveform, energy level, or strategy (fixed versus escalating) when using biphasic defibrillators. For monophasic defibrillators, a high initial energy (360 J) seems preferable.

Special Circumstances

Some special circumstances, such as whether pacing is ever indicated during cardiac arrest or how to respond in cardiac arrest if the patient has a pacemaker or an internal defibrillator, are presented and discussed in this section.

Pacing (eg, Transcutaneous [TC], Transvenous [TV], Needle, and Fist)^{ALS-E-031}

In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of pacing (eg, TC, TV, and needle), compared with standard resuscitation (or no pacing), improve outcomes (eg, ROSC, survival)?

Consensus on Science

Four studies addressed the efficacy of pacing in cardiac arrest (LOE 2^{120–122}; LOE 3¹²³). These studies found no benefit from routine pacing in cardiac arrest patients. Use of pacing (eg, TC, TV, and needle) in cardiac arrest (in- or out-of-hospital) did not improve ROSC or survival. There was no apparent benefit related to the time at which pacing was initiated (early or delayed in established asystole), location of arrest (out-of-hospital or in-hospital), or primary cardiac rhythm (asystole or PEA). Five case series (LOE 4),^{124–128} a review with 2 additional case reports,¹²⁹ and a moderate-sized case series (LOE 4)¹³⁰ support percussion pacing in p-wave asystolic cardiac arrest/complete heart block or hemodynamically unstable patients with brady-cardia. In these reports, sinus rhythm with a pulse was restored using different pacing techniques.

Treatment Recommendation

Electric pacing is not effective as routine treatment in patients with asystolic cardiac arrest. Percussion pacing is not recommended in cardiac arrest in general. However, fist pacing may be considered in hemodynamically unstable bradyarrhythmias until an electric pacemaker (TC or TV) is available. The use of epicardial wires to pace the myocardium following cardiac surgery is effective and is discussed elsewhere.

Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) or Pacemaker^{ALS-E-039B}

In adult patients with an ICD or pacemaker who are in a shockable rhythm requiring defibrillation/cardioversion (inor out-of-hospital) does any unique or modified defibrillation/ cardioversion strategy, compared with standard management, improve outcomes (eg, termination of rhythm, ROSC)?

Consensus on Science

Two case series reported pacemaker or ICD malfunction after external defibrillation when the pads were placed in close proximity to the device generator (LOE 4).^{131,132} One small study on atrial cardioversion demonstrated that positioning the pads on the chest at least 8 cm from the device generator did not produce significant damage to pacing sensing and capturing (LOE 4).¹³¹

One case report suggested that pacemaker spikes generated by devices programmed to unipolar pacing may confuse AED software and emergency personnel and may prevent the detection of VF (LOE 4).¹³³

Treatment Recommendation

In patients with an ICD or a permanent pacemaker, the placement of paddles/pads should not delay defibrillation. When treating an adult with a permanent pacemaker or an ICD, the defibrillator paddle/pad should be placed on the chest wall ideally at least 8 cm from the generator position.

The anterior-posterior and anterior-lateral paddle/pad placements on the chest are acceptable in patients with a permanent pacemaker or ICD.

Defibrillation-Related Topics

Predicting Success of Defibrillation and Outcome (VF Waveform Analysis)^{ALS-D&P-015B}

VF waveform analysis has been shown to correlate with myocardial perfusion/coronary perfusion pressure. In theory waveform analysis could be a tool for predicting outcome of defibrillation and therefore indicate the optimal time for shock delivery. In adult cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) does the use of a technique for prediction of the likelihood of success of defibrillation (analysis of VF, etc), compared with standard resuscitation (without such prediction), improve outcomes (eg, termination of rhythm, ROSC)?

Consensus on Science

Retrospective analysis of the VF waveform in multiple clinical (LOE 1^{134,135}; LOE 4^{136–154}; LOE 5^{155,156}) and animal studies (LOE 5)^{147,157–170} and theoretical models suggested that it is possible to predict the success of defibrillation from the fibrillation waveform with varying reliability. One animal study was neutral (LOE 5).¹⁷¹ No human studies have specifically evaluated whether treatment altered by predicting success of defibrillation can improve successful defibrillation, ROSC, or survival from cardiac arrest. Multiple waveform parameters have been examined without consensus on the most important parameters to predict outcome.

Treatment Recommendation

There is insufficient evidence to support routine use of VF waveform analysis to guide defibrillation management in adult cardiac arrest in- or out-of-hospital.

Defibrillation in the Immediate Vicinity of Supplementary Oxygen^{ALS-E-035A, ALS-E-035B}

In adults and children in cardiac arrest (OHCA, IHCA) requiring defibrillation, does the presence of supplementary oxygen in the immediate vicinity, compared with no supplementary oxygen, increase the risk of fire with defibrillation attempts?

Consensus on Science

Four case reports involving adults (LOE 4)^{172–175} and 1 case report involving a neonate (LOE 4)¹⁷⁶ described fires caused by sparks generated during defibrillation attempts when paddles were used in the vicinity of high-flow (>10 L/min) oxygen. There are no case reports of fires caused by sparking when shocks were delivered using adhesive pads. In 2 manikin studies the oxygen concentration in the zone of defibrillation was not increased when ventilation devices (bag-valve device, selfinflating bag, and Hamilton Viola ventilator) were left attached to a tracheal tube or when the oxygen source was vented at least 1 meter behind the patient's mouth (LOE 5).^{177,178} One study described higher oxygen concentrations and longer washout periods when oxygen was administered in confined spaces without adequate ventilation (LOE 5).¹⁷⁹

Treatment Recommendation

Rescuers should take precautions to minimize sparking (by paying attention to pad/paddle placement, contact, etc) during attempted defibrillation. Rescuers should try to ensure that defibrillation is not attempted in an oxygen-enriched atmosphere (eg, when high-flow oxygen is directed across the chest).

Acknowledgments

We thank the following individuals (the Defibrillation Chapter Collaborators) for their collaborations on the worksheets contained in this section: Mark Angelos; Michael Baubin; M. Fernanda Bellolio; Paul A. Berlin; Richard N. Bradley; Steven M. Bradley; Saul Drajer; Trygve Eftestøl; Chokoh Genka; Erik P. Hess; Ian Jacobs; Richard Kerber; Rudolph W. Koster; Toshihiko Mayumi; Saman Nazarian; Jerry Nolan; Mark Peele; Claudia Ranniger; Giuseppe Ristagno; Comilla Sasson; and Shijie Sun.

Disclosures

CoSTR Part 6: Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
lan Jacobs	Univ of Western Australia; Emergency Med. Teaching and Research-Professor; AHA-Evidence Eval. Expert	 †a) National Health and Medical Research Council b) The Department of Health—Western Australia c) The National Heart Foundation of Australia 	†Funds to the Discipline of Emergency Medicine—University of Western Australia from the Ambulance Service—Western Australia and Laerdal (Australia) to maintain the Cardiac Arrest Registry for Western Australia. Our role is to independently maintain, analyze and report outcomes of cardiac arrest in Western Australia. I oversee the operation of the registry and reporting of outcomes. These funds are not used to provide any direct or indirect salary or other financial support	None	None	None	None
Kjetil Sunde	Oslo University Hospital Ulleval	None	None	None	None	None	None
Charles D. Deakin	Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust—Doctor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mary Fran Hazinski	Vanderbilt University School of Nursing—Professor, American Heart Association ECC Programs—Consultant, Senior Science Editor; "I receive significant compensation from the AHA for my work as a Senior Science Editor. Compensation is to ensure that I have the time to edit the Consensus on Science with Treatment Recommendations and the AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC	None	None	None	None	None	None
Richard E. Kerber	University of Iowa Hospital: Hospital—teaching— Physician	None	None	*Occasional lectures at Cardiology Grand Rounds at other institutions	*General Electric stock	*One-time consultant visit to Philips Defibrillator Division	*Occasional exper witness in malpractice litigation. These do not at present involve cardiac drugs or devices *DSMB member for "CIRC" clinica trial sponsored by Zoll medical
Rudolph W. Koster	Academic Medical Center: Academic hospital—clinical staff cardiologist	†Medtronic Physio-Control 2005–2009: salary support (€80.000,-annually) for the maintenance of infrastructure of data collection and analysis of OOH cardiac arrest in the area of North-Holland. Money was donated to the hospital. I had no personal financial benefit from this support. Electrodes used by first responders during an embedded randomized trial (conducted under the umbrella of the infrastructural support) were replaced free of charge	†Medtronic Physio-Control 2005–2009: salary support (€80.000,-annually) for the maintenance of infrastructure of data collection and analysis of 00H cardiac arrest in the area of North-Holland. Money was donated to the hospital. I had no personal financial benefit from this support. Electrodes used by first responders during an embedded randomized trial (conducted under the umbrella of the infrastructural support) were replaced free of charge	None	None	None	(Continued

CoSTR Part 6: Writing Group Disclosures, Continued

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Laurie J. Morrison	St. Michaels Hospital; Clinician	*Laerdal Foundation Centre Grant-infrastructure support no salary support	None	None	None	None	None
Jerry P. Nolan	Royal United Hospital NHS Trust—Consultant in Anesthesia and Critical care Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Michael R. Sayre	The Ohio State University —Associate Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest.

†Significant.

CoSTR Part 6: Worksheet Collaborator Disclosures

Worksheet Collaborator	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/ Advisory Board	Other
Mark Angelos	The Ohio State University—Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Michael Baubin	General Hospital Innsbruck—senior anesthetist	†€99 000 from the Austrian National Bank for 2 years for the project "Satisfaction in the Emergency Medicine" for the institution for personal costs of other involved people. *Personally—I receive no money from these grants	None	*Last year for an invited lecture on "Quality Management in Emergency Medicine"	None	None	None
M. Fernanda Bellolio	Mayo Clinic—Senior Research Fellow	None	None	None	None	None	None
Paul A. Berlin	Gig Harbor Fire & Medic One—Medical Division Chief	None	None	*Honoraria received for teaching at Physio-Control learning center at EMS Today Conference 2009 on topics not directly related to Physio-Control products	None	None	None
Richard N. Bradley	The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston—Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine; Texas Air National Guard—Residency Trained Flight Surgeon	None	None	None	None	None	*Volunteer member of the American Red Cross Council on First Aid, Safety and Preparedness, serve as the CPR sub-council chairman; also a volunteer board member of the Greater Houston Chapter of the Am.Red Cross
Steven M. Bradley	University of Washington—Cardiology Fellow	None	None	None	None	None	None
Saul Drajer	Clinica de la Esperanza: A 130 bed gen. hospital located in Buenos Aires, Argentina and affiliated to the Maimonides Univ. School of Medicine, with CPR teaching capabilities—Gen. Director	None	None	None	None	None	None
							(Continued)

CoSTR Part 6: Worksheet Collaborator Disclosures, Continued

			Other			Consultant/	
Worksheet			Research	Speakers'	Ownership	Advisory	
Collaborator	Employment	Research Grant	Support	Bureau/Honoraria	Interest	Board	Other
Trygve Eftestøl	Univ of Stavanger Acad. Institute, Prof. I Tech	†I have been collaborator in a project receiving grant corresponding to the funding of one PostDoc position for 14,5 months from the Laerdal Foundation. I am collaborator in a project project receiving grant corresponding to the funding of one PostDoc position for 30 months from the Norwegian Air Abulance Foundation	None	None	None	None	None
Chokoh Genka	Saiseika Kawaguchi General Hospital—Chief of Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None
Erik P. Hess	Mayo Clinic: Department of Emergency Medicine—Senior Associate Consultant	None	None	None	None	None	None
Toshihiko Mayumi	Nagoya University Hospital: Doctor—Assistant professor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Saman Nazarian	Johns Hopkins University—Assistant Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mark Peele	Department of Defense: Clinical cardiac eletrophysiologist— Cardiologist/Electrphyiologist	None	None	None	None	None	None
Claudia Ranniger	George Washington University Medical Faculty Associates-staff emergency physician; George Washington University: Direct teaching activities in the GWU Medical Center Simulation Center—Medical Director, Simulation Center	 †PI for AHA-sponsored grant to investigate 1) training modalities for ACLS; 2) ACLS skills retention; 3) ACLS checklist utilization. Total grant amount \$300 000 to GWU. Grant concluded June 2009 	None	None	None	None	None
Giuseppe Ristagno	Weil Institute of Critical Care Medicine—Assistant Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Comilla Sasson	University of Michigan—Clinical Lecturer Department of Emergency Medicine	†Robert Wood Johnson Clinical Scholars Program—3 year research fellowship	None	None	None	None	None
Shijie Sun	Weil Institute of Critical Care Medicine—Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of worksheet collaborators that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all worksheet collaborators are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest.

+Significant.

Appendix

CoSTR Part 6: Worksheet Appendix

Task Force	WS ID	PICO Title	Short Title	Authors	URL
ALS	ALS-D&P-015B	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of a technique for prediction of the likelihood of success of defibrillation (analysis of VF, etc) (I) compared with standard resuscitation (without such prediction) (C), improve outcomes (eg. successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival) (O).	Waveform analysis for predicting successful defibrillation	Mark Angelos, Trygve Eftestøl	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-D-P-015B.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-030A	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of any specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position (I) compared with standard resuscitation or other specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position) (C), improve outcomes (eg. successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival) (O).	Paddle size and placement for defibrillation	Michael Baubin, Comilla Sasson	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-030A.pdf

CoSTR Part 6: Worksheet Appendix, Continued

Task Force	WS ID	PICO Title	Short Title	Authors	URL
ALS	ALS-E-030A	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of any specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position (I) compared with standard resuscitation or other specific paddle/pad size/orientation and position) (C), improve outcomes (eg. successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival) (0).	Paddle size and placement for defibrillation	Michael Baubin, Comilla Sasson	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-030A.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-031	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of pacing (eg. TV, TC, needle) (I) compared with standard resuscitation (or no pacing) (C), improve outcomes (eg. ROSC, survival) (0).	Pacing for cardiac arrest	M. Fernanda Bellolio, Paul A. Berlin, Erik P. Hess	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-031.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-032B	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of an escalating defibrillation energy protocol (I) when compared with a fixed energy protocol (C) increase outcome (eg. return of spontaneous circulation) (0)?	Escalating vs fixed defibrillation energy	Steven M. Bradley	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-032B.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-033B	In adult cardiac arrest due to VF or pulseless VT (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of any specific defibrillation strategy (I) compared with standard management (or other defibrillation strategy) (C), improve outcomes (eg. termination of rhythm, ROSC, survival) (O)?	Defibrillation strategies for VF or VT	Steven M. Bradley	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-033B.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-034B	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of an AED or a multifunctional defibrillator in automatic mode (I) compared with standard resuscitation (using manual defibrillation) (C), improve outcomes (eg. successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival) (O)?	AED vs manual defibrillator	Giuseppe Ristagno	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-034B.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-035A	In adult and pediatric patients in cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P) requiring defibrillation, does the presence of supplementary oxygen in the immediate vicinity (I) compared with no supplementary oxygen (C), increase the risk of fire with defibrillation attempts (O).	Risk of fire with oxygen and defibrillation	Jerry Nolan	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-035A.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-035B	In adult and pediatric patients in cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P) requiring defibrillation, does the presence of supplementary oxygen in the immediate vicinity (I) compared with no supplementary oxygen (C), increase the risk of fire with defibrillation attempts (0).	Risk of fire with oxygen and defibrillation	Claudia Ranniger	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-035B.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-036	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of any specific composition of conductive material (I) compared with standard conductive material (C), improve transthoracic impedance (O).	Conductive materials for defibrillation	Saul Drajer, Richard Kerber	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-036.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-037A	In adult cardiac arrest (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of self-adhesive defibrillation pads (I) compared with paddles (C), improve outcomes (eg. successful defibrillation, ROSC, survival) (0)?	Adhesive pads vs paddles for defibrillation	Chokoh Genka, Toshihiko Mayumi	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-037A.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-038	In adult patients in a shockable non-arrest rhythm requiring cardioversion (prehospital or in-hospital) (P), does the any specific cardioversion strategy (I) compared with standard management (or other cardioversion strategy) (C), improve outcomes (eg. termination of rhythm) (D).	Cardioversion strategies	Richard N. Bradley, Shijie Sun	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-038.pdf
ALS	ALS-E-039B	In adult patients with an ICD or pacemaker and who are in a shockable rhythm requiring defibrillation/cardioversion (prehospital or in-hospital) (P), does the any unique or modified cardioversion/defibrillation strategy (I) compared with standard management (C), improve outcomes (eg. termination of rhythm, ROSC) (O).	Cardioversion strategies with ICD or pacemakers	Saman Nazarian, Mark Peele	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/ALS-E-039B.pdf
BLS	BLS-024A	In adult and pediatric patients with cardiac arrest due to VF (prehospital or in-hospital) (P), does the use of CPR before defibrillation (I) as opposed to standard care (according to treatment algorithm) (C), improve outcome (O) (eg. ROSC, survival)?	CPR prior to defibrillation	lan Jacobs	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/BLS-024A.pdf
BLS	BLS-024B	In adult and pediatric patients with cardiac arrest due to VF (prehospital or in-hospital) (P), does the use of CPR before defibriliation (I) as opposed to standard care (according to treatment algorithm) (C), improve outcome (O) (eg. ROSC, survival)?	CPR prior to defibrillation	Rudolph W. Koster	http://circ.ahajournals.org/site/C2010/BLS-024B.pdf

References

- Baker PW, Conway J, Cotton C, Ashby DT, Smyth J, Woodman RJ, Grantham H. Defibrillation or cardiopulmonary resuscitation first for patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests found by paramedics to be in ventricular fibrillation? A randomised control trial. *Resuscitation*. 2008; 79:424–431.
- Jacobs IG, Finn JC, Oxer HF, Jelinek GA. CPR before defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized trial. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2005;17:39–45.
- Hayakawa M, Gando S, Okamoto H, Asai Y, Uegaki S, Makise H. Shortening of cardiopulmonary resuscitation time before the defibril-

lation worsens the outcome in out-of-hospital VF patients. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2009;27:470–474.

- Bradley SM, Gabriel EE, Aufderheide TP, Barnes R, Christenson J, Davis DP, Stiell IG, Nichol G. Survival increases with CPR by emergency medical services before defibrillation of out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia: observations from the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:155–162.
- Wik L, Hansen TB, Fylling F, Steen T, Vaagenes P, Auestad BH, Steen PA. Delaying defibrillation to give basic cardiopulmonary resuscitation to patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1389–1395.

- Cobb LA, Fahrenbruch CE, Walsh TR, Copass MK, Olsufka M, Breskin M, Hallstrom AP. Influence of cardiopulmonary resuscitation prior to defibrillation in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *JAMA*. 1999;281:1182–1188.
- Stults KR, Brown DD, Cooley F, Kerber RE. Self-adhesive monitor/ defibrillation pads improve prehospital defibrillation success. Ann Emerg Med. 1987;16:872–877.
- Bojar RM, Payne DD, Rastegar H, Diehl JT, Cleveland RJ. Use of self-adhesive external defibrillator pads for complex cardiac surgical procedures. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1988;46:587–588.
- Brown J, Rogers J, Soar J. Cardiac arrest during surgery and ventilation in the prone position: a case report and systematic review. *Resuscitation*. 2001;50:233–238.
- Wilson RF, Sirna S, White CW, Kerber RE. Defibrillation of high-risk patients during coronary angiography using self-adhesive, preapplied electrode pads. *Am J Cardiol.* 1987;60:380–382.
- Bradbury N, Hyde D, Nolan J. Reliability of ECG monitoring with a gel pad/paddle combination after defibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2000;44: 203–206.
- Perkins GD, Davies RP, Soar J, Thickett DR. The impact of manual defibrillation technique on no-flow time during simulated cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:109–114.
- 13. Deakin CD. Paddle size in defibrillation. Br J Anaesth. 1998;81: 657-658.
- Kirchhof P, Monnig G, Wasmer K, Heinecke A, Breithardt G, Eckardt L, Bocker D. A trial of self-adhesive patch electrodes and hand-held paddle electrodes for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation (MOBIPAPA). *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26:1292–1297.
- Kerber RE, Martins JB, Ferguson DW, Jensen SR, Parke JD, Kieso R, Melton J. Experimental evaluation and initial clinical application of new self-adhesive defibrillation electrodes. *Int J Cardiol.* 1985;8:57–66.
- Garcia LA, Kerber RE. Transthoracic defibrillation: does electrode adhesive pad position alter transthoracic impedance? *Resuscitation*. 1998;37:139–143.
- Boodhoo L, Mitchell AR, Bordoli G, Lloyd G, Patel N, Sulke N. DC cardioversion of persistent atrial fibrillation: a comparison of two protocols. *Int J Cardiol.* 2007;114:16–21.
- Brazdzionyte J, Babarskiene RM, Stanaitiene G. Anterior-posterior versus anterior-lateral electrode position for biphasic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2006;42:994–998.
- Chen CJ, Guo GB. External cardioversion in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation: a reappraisal of the effects of electrode pad position and transthoracic impedance on cardioversion success. *Jpn Heart J*. 2003;44:921–932.
- Dodd TE, Deakin CD, Petley GW, Clewlow F. External defibrillation in the left lateral position: a comparison of manual paddles with selfadhesive pads. *Resuscitation*. 2004;63:283–286.
- Kerber RE, Jensen SR, Grayzel J, Kennedy J, Hoyt R. Elective cardioversion: influence of paddle-electrode location and size on success rates and energy requirements. *N Engl J Med.* 1981;305:658–662.
- Mathew TP, Moore A, McIntyre M, Harbinson MT, Campbell NP, Adgey AA, Dalzell GW. Randomised comparison of electrode positions for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Heart.* 1999;81:576–579.
- Camacho MA, Lehr JL, Eisenberg SR. A three-dimensional finite element model of human transthoracic defibrillation: paddle placement and size. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 1995;42:572–578.
- Lateef F, Lim SH, Anantharaman V, Lim CS. Changes in chest electrode impedance. Am J Emerg Med. 2000;18:381–384.
- Stanaitiene G, Babarskiene RM. Impact of electrical shock waveform and paddle positions on efficacy of direct current cardioversion for atrial fibrillation [in Lithuanian]. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2008;44:665–672.
- Botto GL, Politi A, Bonini W, Broffoni T, Bonatti R. External cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: role of paddle position on technical efficacy and energy requirements. *Heart*. 1999;82:726–730.
- Kirchhof P, Eckardt L, Loh P, Weber K, Fischer RJ, Seidl KH, Bocker D, Breithardt G, Haverkamp W, Borggrefe M. Anterior-posterior versus anterior-lateral electrode positions for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2002;360:1275–1279.
- Krasteva V, Matveev M, Mudrov N, Prokopova R. Transthoracic impedance study with large self-adhesive electrodes in two conventional positions for defibrillation. *Physiol Meas.* 2006;27:1009–1022.
- Karlsson G, Zhang Y, Davies LR, Coddington W, Kerber RE. Does electrode polarity alter the energy requirements for transthoracic biphasic waveform defibrillation? Experimental studies. *Resuscitation*. 2001;51:77–81.

- Panescu D, Webster JG, Tompkins WJ, Stratbucker RA. Optimization of cardiac defibrillation by three-dimensional finite element modeling of the human thorax. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 1995;42:185–192.
- Alp NJ, Rahman S, Bell JA, Shahi M. Randomised comparison of antero-lateral versus antero-posterior paddle positions for DC cardioversion of persistent atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2000;75:211–216.
- Caterine MR, Yoerger DM, Spencer KT, Miller SG, Kerber RE. Effect of electrode position and gel-application technique on predicted transcardiac current during transthoracic defibrillation. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1997;29:588–595.
- Pagan-Carlo LA, Spencer KT, Robertson CE, Dengler A, Birkett C, Kerber RE. Transthoracic defibrillation: importance of avoiding electrode placement directly on the female breast. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996;27:449–452.
- Bissing JW, Kerber RE. Effect of shaving the chest of hirsute subjects on transthoracic impedance to self-adhesive defibrillation electrode pads. Am J Cardiol. 2000;86:587–589.
- Sado DM, Deakin CD, Petley GW, Clewlow F. Comparison of the effects of removal of chest hair with not doing so before external defibrillation on transthoracic impedance. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;93:98–100.
- 36. Killingsworth CR, Melnick SB, Chapman FW, Walker RG, Smith WM, Ideker RE, Walcott GP. Defibrillation threshold and cardiac responses using an external biphasic defibrillator with pediatric and adult adhesive patches in pediatric-sized piglets. *Resuscitation*. 2002;55:177–185.
- Dalzell GW, Cunningham SR, Anderson J, Adgey AA. Electrode pad size, transthoracic impedance and success of external ventricular defibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* 1989;64:741–744.
- Kerber RE, Grayzel J, Hoyt R, Marcus M, Kennedy J. Transthoracic resistance in human defibrillation: influence of body weight, chest size, serial shocks, paddle size and paddle contact pressure. *Circulation*. 1981;63:676–682.
- Connell PN, Ewy GA, Dahl CF, Ewy MD. Transthoracic impedance to defibrillator discharge: effect of electrode size and electrode-chest wall interface. J Electrocardiol. 1973;6:313–317.
- Dahl CF, Ewy GA, Warner ED, Thomas ED. Myocardial necrosis from direct current countershock: effect of paddle electrode size and time interval between discharges. *Circulation*. 1974;50:956–961.
- Hoyt R, Grayzel J, Kerber RE. Determinants of intracardiac current in defibrillation: experimental studies in dogs. *Circulation*. 1981;64: 818–823.
- Thomas ED, Ewy GA, Dahl CF, Ewy MD. Effectiveness of direct current defibrillation: role of paddle electrode size. *Am Heart J.* 1977; 93:463–467.
- Atkins DL, Kerber RE. Pediatric defibrillation: current flow is improved by using "adult" electrode paddles. *Pediatrics*. 1994;94:90–93.
- Atkins DL, Sirna S, Kieso R, Charbonnier F, Kerber RE. Pediatric defibrillation: importance of paddle size in determining transthoracic impedance. *Pediatrics*. 1988;82:914–918.
- Samson RA, Atkins DL, Kerber RE. Optimal size of self-adhesive preapplied electrode pads in pediatric defibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* 1995;75:544–545.
- Sirna SJ, Ferguson DW, Charbonnier F, Kerber RE. Factors affecting transthoracic impedance during electrical cardioversion. *Am J Cardiol.* 1988;62:1048–1052.
- Razumov KV, Vostrikov VA, Kholin PV. Optimisation of electroimpulse therapy of life threatening arrhythmia in patients with ischemic heart disease [in Russian]. *Anesteziol Reanimatol.* Nov-Dec 2003:45–47.
- Drury NE, Petley GW, Clewlow F, Deakin CD. Evidence-based guidelines for the use of defibrillation pads. *Resuscitation*. 2001;510: 283–286.
- Das DP, Webster JG. Defibrillation recovery curves for different electrode materials. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 1980;27:230–233.
- Deakin CD, Petley GW, Drury NE, Clewlow F. How often should defibrillation pads be changed? The effect of evaporative drying. *Resuscitation*. 2001;48:157–162.
- Lloyd MS, Heeke B, Walter PF, Langberg JJ. Hands-on defibrillation: an analysis of electrical current flow through rescuers in direct contact with patients during biphasic external defibrillation. *Circulation*. 2008; 117:2510–2514.
- 52. Ewy GA, Horan WJ, Ewy MD. Disposable defibrillator electrodes. *Heart Lung.* 1977;6:127–130.
- Ewy GA, Taren D. Impedance to transhoracic direct current discharge: a model for testing interface material. *Med Instrum.* 1978;12:47–48.
- Deakin CD, McLaren RM, Petley GW, Clewlow F, Dalrymple-Hay MJ. A comparison of transthoracic impedance using standard defibrillation

paddles and self-adhesive defibrillation pads. *Resuscitation*. 1998;39: 43-46.

- Meyer PF, Gadsby PD, Van Sickle D, Schoenlein WE, Foster KS, Graber GP. Impedance-gradient electrode reduces skin irritation induced by transthoracic defibrillation. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* 2005;43:225–229.
- Aylward PE, Kieso R, Hite P, Charbonnier F, Kerber RE. Defibrillator electrode-chest wall coupling agents: influence on transthoracic impedance and shock success. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1985;6:682–686.
- Kerber RE, Martins JB, Kelly KJ, Ferguson DW, Kouba C, Jensen SR, Newman B, Parke JD, Kieso R, Melton J. Self-adhesive preapplied electrode pads for defibrillation and cardioversion. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1984;3:815–820.
- Andersen C, Larsen B. A comparative study of contact media for defibrillation [in Danish]. Ugeskr Laeger. 1989;151:1987–1988.
- Atkins DL, Jorgenson DB. Attenuated pediatric electrode pads for automated external defibrillator use in children. *Resuscitation*. 2005;66: 31–37.
- 60. Berg RA, Chapman FW, Berg MD, Hilwig RW, Banville I, Walker RG, Nova RC, Sherrill D, Kern KB. Attenuated adult biphasic shocks compared with weight-based monophasic shocks in a swine model of prolonged pediatric ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2004;61:189–197.
- 61. Krasteva VT, Papazov SP. Estimation of current density distribution under electrodes for external defibrillation. *Biomed Eng Online*. 2002;1:7.
- 62. Morrison LJ, Dorian P, Long J, Vermeulen M, Schwartz B, Sawadsky B, Frank J, Cameron B, Burgess R, Shield J, Bagley P, Mausz V, Brewer JE, Lerman BB. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest rectilinear biphasic to monophasic damped sine defibrillation waveforms with advanced life support intervention trial (ORBIT). *Resuscitation*. 2005;66:149–157.
- 63. Schneider T, Martens PR, Paschen H, Kuisma M, Wolcke B, Gliner BE, Russell JK, Weaver WD, Bossaert L, Chamberlain D; Optimized Response to Cardiac Arrest (ORCA) Investigators. Multicenter, randomized, controlled trial of 150-J biphasic shocks compared with 200to 360-J monophasic shocks in the resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims. *Circulation*. 2000;102:1780–1787.
- 64. van Alem AP, Chapman FW, Lank P, Hart AA, Koster RW. A prospective, randomised and blinded comparison of first shock success of monophasic and biphasic waveforms in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2003;58:17–24.
- Carpenter J, Rea TD, Murray JA, Kudenchuk PJ, Eisenberg MS. Defibrillation waveform and post-shock rhythm in out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2003;59:189–196.
- Freeman K, Hendey GW, Shalit M, Stroh G. Biphasic defibrillation does not improve outcomes compared to monophasic defibrillation in out-ofhospital cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2008;12:152–156.
- 67. Gliner BE, White RD. Electrocardiographic evaluation of defibrillation shocks delivered to out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation*. 1999;41:133–144.
- Hess EP, Atkinson EJ, White RD. Increased prevalence of sustained return of spontaneous circulation following transition to biphasic waveform defibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2008;77:39–45.
- 69. Kudenchuk PJ, Cobb LA, Copass MK, Olsufka M, Maynard C, Nichol G. Transthoracic incremental monophasic versus biphasic defibrillation by emergency responders (TIMBER): a randomized comparison of monophasic with biphasic waveform ascending energy defibrillation for the resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2006;114:2010–2018.
- Kajino K, Iwami T, Berg RA, Hiraide A, Hayashi Y, Yukioka H, Tanaka H, Shimazu T, Sugimoto H. Comparison of neurological outcomes following witnessed out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation defibrillated with either biphasic or monophasic automated external defibrillators. *Emerg Med J.* 2009;26:492–496.
- American Heart Association in collaboration with International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. Guidelines 2000 for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care: international consensus on science, part 3: adult basic life support. *Circulation*. 2000; 102(suppl 1):I-22–I-59.
- Pagan-Carlo LA, Allan JJ, Spencer KT, Birkett CL, Myers R, Kerber RE. Encircling overlapping multipulse shock waveforms for transthoracic defibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32:2065–2071.
- 73. Zhang Y, Ramabadran RS, Boddicker KA, Bawaney I, Davies LR, Zimmerman MB, Wuthrich S, Jones JL, Kerber RE. Triphasic waveforms are superior to biphasic waveforms for transthoracic defibrillation: experimental studies. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;42:568–575.

- 74. Stiell IG, Walker RG, Nesbitt LP, Chapman FW, Cousineau D, Christenson J, Bradford P, Sookram S, Berringer R, Lank P, Wells GA. BIPHASIC Trial: a randomized comparison of fixed lower versus escalating higher energy levels for defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation.* 2007;115:1511–1517.
- Walsh SJ, McClelland AJ, Owens CG, Allen J, Anderson JM, Turner C, Adgey AA. Efficacy of distinct energy delivery protocols comparing two biphasic defibrillators for cardiac arrest. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;94:378–380.
- Didon JP, Fontaine G, White RD, Jekova I, Schmid JJ, Cansell A. Clinical experience with a low-energy pulsed biphasic waveform in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;76:350–353.
- Weaver WD, Cobb LA, Copass MK, Hallstrom AP. Ventricular defibrillation: a comparative trial using 175-J and 320-J shocks. N Engl J Med. 1982;307:1101–1106.
- Gascho JA, Crampton RS, Cherwek ML, Sipes JN, Hunter FP, O'Brien WM. Determinants of ventricular defibrillation in adults. *Circulation*. 1979;60:231–240.
- Kerber RE, Jensen SR, Gascho JA, Grayzel J, Hoyt R, Kennedy J. Determinants of defibrillation: prospective analysis of 183 patients. *Am J Cardiol.* 1983;52:739–745.
- Tang W, Weil MH, Sun S, Jorgenson D, Morgan C, Klouche K, Snyder D. The effects of biphasic waveform design on post-resuscitation myocardial function. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:1228–1235.
- Xie J, Weil MH, Sun S, Tang W, Sato Y, Jin X, Bisera J. High-energy defibrillation increases the severity of postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction. *Circulation*. 1997;96:683–688.
- Walcott GP, Melnick SB, Killingsworth CR, Ideker RE. Comparison of low-energy versus high-energy biphasic defibrillation shocks following prolonged ventricular fibrillation. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2010;14:62–70.
- Higgins SL, Herre JM, Epstein AE, Greer GS, Friedman PL, Gleva ML, Porterfield JG, Chapman FW, Finkel ES, Schmitt PW, Nova RC, Greene HL; Physio-Control Biphasic Investigators. A comparison of biphasic and monophasic shocks for external defibrillation. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2000;4:305–313.
- 84. Jost D, Degrange H, Verret C, Hersan O, Banville IL, Chapman FW, Lank P, Petit JL, Fuilla C, Migliani R, Carpentier JP. DEFI 2005: a randomized controlled trial of the effect of automated external defibrillator cardiopulmonary resuscitation protocol on outcome from outof-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2010;121:1614–1622.
- Bobrow BJ, Clark LL, Ewy GA, Chikani V, Sanders AB, Berg RA, Richman PB, Kern KB. Minimally interrupted cardiac resuscitation by emergency medical services for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2008;299:1158–1165.
- Rea TD, Helbock M, Perry S, Garcia M, Cloyd D, Becker L, Eisenberg M. Increasing use of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-ofhospital ventricular fibrillation arrest: survival implications of guideline changes. *Circulation*. 2006;114:2760–2765.
- Steinmetz J, Barnung S, Nielsen SL, Risom M, Rasmussen LS. Improved survival after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using new guidelines. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2008;52:908–913.
- Olasveengen TM, Vik E, Kuzovlev A, Sunde K. Effect of implementation of new resuscitation guidelines on quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:407–411.
- Hess EP, Russell JK, Liu PY, White RD. A high peak current 150-J fixed-energy defibrillation protocol treats recurrent ventricular fibrillation (VF) as effectively as initial VF. *Resuscitation*. 2008;79:28–33.
- Berdowski J, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Chest compressions cause recurrence of ventricular fibrillation after the first successful conversion by defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2010; 3:72–78.
- Eilevstjonn J, Kramer-Johansen J, Sunde K. Shock outcome is related to prior rhythm and duration of ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2007;75:60–67.
- Berdowski J, Schulten RJ, Tijssen JG, van Alem AP, Koster RW. Delaying a shock after takeover from the automated external defibrillator by paramedics is associated with decreased survival. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81: 287–292.
- Koster RW, Walker RG, Chapman FW. Recurrent ventricular fibrillation during advanced life support care of patients with prehospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:252–257.
- Cummins RO, Eisenberg MS, Litwin PE, Graves JR, Hearne TR, Hallstrom AP. Automatic external defibrillators used by emergency medical technicians: a controlled clinical trial. *JAMA*. 1987;257: 1605–1610.

- 95. Stults KR, Brown DD, Kerber RE. Efficacy of an automated external defibrillator in the management of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: validation of the diagnostic algorithm and initial clinical experience in a rural environment. *Circulation*. 1986;73:701–709.
- Forcina MS, Farhat AY, O'Neil WW, Haines DE. Cardiac arrest survival after implementation of automated external defibrillator technology in the in-hospital setting. *Crit Care Med.* 2009;37:1229–1236.
- Kramer-Johansen J, Edelson DP, Abella BS, Becker LB, Wik L, Steen PA. Pauses in chest compression and inappropriate shocks: a comparison of manual and semi-automatic defibrillation attempts. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73: 212–220.
- Pytte M, Pedersen TE, Ottem J, Rokvam AS, Sunde K. Comparison of hands-off time during CPR with manual and semi-automatic defibrillation in a manikin model. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:131–136.
- Yu T, Weil MH, Tang W, Sun S, Klouche K, Povoas H, Bisera J. Adverse outcomes of interrupted precordial compression during automated defibrillation. *Circulation*. 2002;106:368–372.
- Eftestol T, Sunde K, Steen PA. Effects of interrupting precordial compressions on the calculated probability of defibrillation success during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2002;105:2270–2273.
- 101. Edelson DP, Abella BS, Kramer-Johansen J, Wik L, Myklebust H, Barry AM, Merchant RM, Hoek TL, Steen PA, Becker LB. Effects of compression depth and pre-shock pauses predict defibrillation failure during cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:137–145.
- Deakin CD, Ambler JJ. Post-shock myocardial stunning: a prospective randomised double-blind comparison of monophasic and biphasic waveforms. *Resuscitation*. 2006;68:329–333.
- 103. Page RL, Kerber RE, Russell JK, Trouton T, Waktare J, Gallik D, Olgin JE, Ricard P, Dalzell GW, Reddy R, Lazzara R, Lee K, Carlson M, Halperin B, Bardy GH. Biphasic versus monophasic shock waveform for conversion of atrial fibrillation: the results of an international randomized, double-blind multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002;39: 1956–1963.
- Alatawi F, Gurevitz O, White R. Prospective, randomized comparison of two biphasic waveforms for the efficacy and safety of transthoracic biphasic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm.* 2005;2: 382–387.
- 105. Ambler JJ, Deakin CD. A randomized controlled trial of efficacy and ST change following use of the Welch-Allyn MRL PIC biphasic waveform versus damped sine monophasic waveform for external DC cardioversion. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:146–151.
- 106. Boos C, Thomas MD, Jones A, Clarke E, Wilbourne G, More RS. Higher energy monophasic DC cardioversion for persistent atrial fibrillation: is it time to start at 360 joules? *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*. 2003;8:121–126.
- 107. Glover BM, Walsh SJ, McCann CJ, Moore MJ, Manoharan G, Dalzell GW, McAllister A, McClements B, McEneaney DJ, Trouton TG, Mathew TP, Adgey AA. Biphasic energy selection for transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: the BEST AF Trial. *Heart.* 2008;94:884–887.
- Joglar JA, Hamdan MH, Ramaswamy K, Zagrodzky JD, Sheehan CJ, Nelson LL, Andrews TC, Page RL. Initial energy for elective external cardioversion of persistent atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2000;86: 348–350.
- Kawabata VS, Vianna CB, Moretti MA, Gonzalez MM, Ferreira JF, Timerman S, Cesar LA. Monophasic versus biphasic waveform shocks for atrial fibrillation cardioversion in patients with concomitant amiodarone therapy. *Europace*. 2007;9:143–146.
- Khaykin Y, Newman D, Kowalewski M, Korley V, Dorian P. Biphasic versus monophasic cardioversion in shock-resistant atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2003;14:868–872.
- Kmee J. Comparison the effectiveness of damped sine wave monophasic and rectilinear biphasic shocks in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. *Kardiologia*. 2006;15:265–278.
- 112. Koster RW, Dorian P, Chapman FW, Schmitt PW, O'Grady SG, Walker RG. A randomized trial comparing monophasic and biphasic waveform shocks for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Am Heart J.* 2004;147:e20.
- 113. Marinsek M, Larkin GL, Zohar P, Bervar M, Pekolj-Bicanic M, Mocnik FS, Noc M, Podbregar M. Efficacy and impact of monophasic versus biphasic countershocks for transthoracic cardioversion of persistent atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2003;92:988–991.
- 114. Mittal S, Ayati S, Stein KM, Schwartzman D, Cavlovich D, Tchou PJ, Markowitz SM, Slotwiner DJ, Scheiner MA, Lerman BB. Transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: comparison of rectilinear biphasic versus damped sine wave monophasic shocks. *Circulation*. 2000;101:1282–1287.

- 115. Mortensen K, Risius T, Schwemer TF, Aydin MA, Koster R, Klemm HU, Lutomsky B, Meinertz T, Ventura R, Willems S. Biphasic versus monophasic shock for external cardioversion of atrial flutter: a prospective, randomized trial. *Cardiology*. 2008;111:57–62.
- Pinski SL, Sgarbossa EB, Ching E, Trohman RG. A comparison of 50-J versus 100-J shocks for direct-current cardioversion of atrial flutter. *Am Heart J.* 1999;137:439–442.
- 117. Ermis C, Zhu AX, Sinha S, Iskos D, Sakaguchi S, Lurie KG, Benditt DG. Efficacy of biphasic waveform cardioversion for atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter compared with conventional monophasic waveforms. *Am J Cardiol.* 2002;90:891–892.
- 118. Rashba EJ, Gold MR, Crawford FA, Leman RB, Peters RW, Shorofsky SR. Efficacy of transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation using a biphasic, truncated exponential shock waveform at variable initial shock energies. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;94:1572–1574.
- 119. Ambler JJ, Deakin CD. A randomised controlled trial of the effect of biphasic or monophasic waveform on the incidence and severity of cutaneous burns following external direct current cardioversion. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:293–300.
- Barthell E, Troiano P, Olson D, Stueven HA, Hendley G. Prehospital external cardiac pacing: a prospective, controlled clinical trial. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1988;17:1221–1226.
- 121. Cummins RO, Graves JR, Larsen MP, Hallstrom AP, Hearne TR, Ciliberti J, Nicola RM, Horan S. Out-of-hospital transcutaneous pacing by emergency medical technicians in patients with asystolic cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. 1993;328:1377–1382.
- Hedges JR, Syverud SA, Dalsey WC, Feero S, Easter R, Shultz B. Prehospital trial of emergency transcutaneous cardiac pacing. *Circulation*. 1987;76:1337–1343.
- White JD, Brown CG. Immediate transthoracic pacing for cardiac asystole in an emergency department setting. *Am J Emerg Med.* 1985; 3:125–128.
- Chan L, Reid C, Taylor B. Effect of three emergency pacing modalities on cardiac output in cardiac arrest due to ventricular asystole. *Resuscitation*. 2002;52:117–119.
- Dowdle JR. Ventricular standstill and cardiac percussion. *Resuscitation*. 1996;32:31–32.
- Eich C, Bleckmann A, Paul T. Percussion pacing in a three-year-old girl with complete heart block during cardiac catheterization. *Br J Anaesth.* 2005;95:465–467.
- 127. Iseri LT, Allen BJ, Baron K, Brodsky MA. Fist pacing, a forgotten procedure in bradyasystolic cardiac arrest. Am Heart J. 1987;113: 1545–1550.
- Tucker KJ, Shaburihvili TS, Gedevanishvili AT. Manual external (fist) pacing during high-degree atrioventricular block: A lifesaving intervention. *Am J Emerg Med.* 1995;13:53–54.
- 129. Eich C, Bleckmann A, Schwarz SK. Percussion pacing: an almost forgotten procedure for haemodynamically unstable bradycardias? A report of three case studies and review of the literature. *Br J Anaesth.* 2007;98:429–433.
- Zeh E, Rahner E. The manual extrathoracal stimulation of the heart: technique and effect of the precordial thump (author's transl) [in German]. Z Kardiol. 1978;67:299–304.
- 131. Manegold JC, Israel CW, Ehrlich JR, Duray G, Pajitnev D, Wegener FT, Hohnloser SH. External cardioversion of atrial fibrillation in patients with implanted pacemaker or cardioverter-defibrillator systems: a randomized comparison of monophasic and biphasic shock energy application. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;28:1731–1738.
- Alferness CA. Pacemaker damage due to external countershock in patients with implanted cardiac pacemakers. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1982;5:457–458.
- Monsieurs KG, Conraads VM, Goethals MP, Snoeck JP, Bossaert LL. Semi-automatic external defibrillation and implanted cardiac pacemakers: understanding the interactions during resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 1995;30: 127–131.
- Weaver WD, Cobb LA, Dennis D, Ray R, Hallstrom AP, Copass MK. Amplitude of ventricular fibrillation waveform and outcome after cardiac arrest. *Ann Intern Med.* 1985;102:53–55.
- 135. Yang Z, Lu W, Harrison RG, Eftestol T, Steen PA. A probabilistic neural network as the predictive classifier of out-of-hospital defibrillation outcomes. *Resuscitation*. 2005;64:31–36.
- Box MS, Watson JN, Addison PS, Clegg GR, Robertson CE. Shock outcome prediction before and after CPR: a comparative study of manual and automated active compression-decompression CPR. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:265–274.

- Brown CG, Dzwonczyk R, Martin DR. Physiologic measurement of the ventricular fibrillation ECG signal: estimating the duration of ventricular fibrillation. Ann Emerg Med. 1993;22:70–74.
- Callaway CW, Sherman LD, Mosesso VN Jr, Dietrich TJ, Holt E, Clarkson MC. Scaling exponent predicts defibrillation success for outof-hospital ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2001;103: 1656–1661.
- Eftestol T, Sunde K, Aase SO, Husoy JH, Steen PA. Predicting outcome of defibrillation by spectral characterization and nonparametric classification of ventricular fibrillation in patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2000;102:1523–1529.
- Eftestol T, Wik L, Sunde K, Steen PA. Effects of cardiopulmonary resuscitation on predictors of ventricular fibrillation defibrillation success during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2004;110:10–15.
- 141. Eftestol T, Losert H, Kramer-Johansen J, Wik L, Sterz F, Steen PA. Independent evaluation of a defibrillation outcome predictor for out-ofhospital cardiac arrested patients. *Resuscitation*. 2005;67:55–61.
- 142. Gundersen K, Kvaloy JT, Kramer-Johansen J, Eftestol T. Identifying approaches to improve the accuracy of shock outcome prediction for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;76:279–284.
- 143. Gundersen K, Kvaloy JT, Kramer-Johansen J, Olasveengen TM, Eilevstjonn J, Eftestol T. Using within-patient correlation to improve the accuracy of shock outcome prediction for cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:46–51.
- 144. Gundersen K, Kvaloy JT, Kramer-Johansen J, Steen PA, Eftestol T. Development of the probability of return of spontaneous circulation in intervals without chest compressions during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: an observational study. *BMC Med.* 2009;7:6.
- 145. Gundersen K, Nysaether J, Kvaloy JT, Kramer-Johansen J, Eftestol T. Chest compression quality variables influencing the temporal development of ROSC-predictors calculated from the ECG during VF. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:177–182.
- 146. Jekova I, Mougeolle F, Valance A. Defibrillation shock success estimation by a set of six parameters derived from the electrocardiogram. *Physiol Meas.* 2004;25:1179–1188.
- 147. Li Y, Ristagno G, Bisera J, Tang W, Deng Q, Weil MH. Electrocardiogram waveforms for monitoring effectiveness of chest compression during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med.* 2008;36: 211–215.
- 148. Neurauter A, Eftestol T, Kramer-Johansen J, Abella BS, Sunde K, Wenzel V, Lindner KH, Eilevstjonn J, Myklebust H, Steen PA, Strohmenger HU. Prediction of countershock success using single features from multiple ventricular fibrillation frequency bands and feature combinations using neural networks. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:253–263.
- Olasveengen TM, Eftestol T, Gundersen K, Wik L, Sunde K. Acute ischemic heart disease alters ventricular fibrillation waveform characteristics in out-of hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80: 412–417.
- 150. Ristagno G, Gullo A, Berlot G, Lucangelo U, Geheb E, Bisera J. Prediction of successful defibrillation in human victims of out-ofhospital cardiac arrest: a retrospective electrocardiographic analysis. *Anaesth Intensive Care*. 2008;36:46–50.
- 151. Russell ME, Friedman MI, Mascioli SR, Stolz LE. Off-label use: an industry perspective on expanding use beyond approved indications. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2006;19:432–438.
- Snyder DE, White RD, Jorgenson DB. Outcome prediction for guidance of initial resuscitation protocol: Shock first or CPR first. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:45–51.
- 153. Watson JN, Uchaipichat N, Addison PS, Clegg GR, Robertson CE, Eftestol T, Steen PA. Improved prediction of defibrillation success for out-of-hospital VF cardiac arrest using wavelet transform methods. *Resuscitation*. 2004;63:269–275.
- Watson JN, Addison PS, Clegg GR, Steen PA, Robertson CE. Practical issues in the evaluation of methods for the prediction of shock outcome success in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation*. 2006; 68:51–59.
- 155. Jagric T, Marhl M, Stajer D, Kocjancic ST, Podbregar M, Perc M. Irregularity test for very short electrocardiogram (ECG) signals as a method for predicting a successful defibrillation in patients with ventricular fibrillation. *Transl Res.* 2007;149:145–151.
- Strohmenger HU, Lindner KH, Brown CG. Analysis of the ventricular fibrillation ECG signal amplitude and frequency parameters as predictors of countershock success in humans. *Chest.* 1997;111:584–589.

- 157. Menegazzi JJ, Wang HE, Lightfoot CB, Fertig KC, Chengelis NL, Sherman LD, Callaway CW. Immediate defibrillation versus interventions first in a swine model of prolonged ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2003;59:261–270.
- Amann A, Rheinberger K, Achleitner U, Krismer AC, Lingnau W, Lindner KH, Wenzel V. The prediction of defibrillation outcome using a new combination of mean frequency and amplitude in porcine models of cardiac arrest. *Anesth Analg.* 2002;95:716–722.
- Brown CG, Dzwonczyk R, Werman HA, Hamlin RL. Estimating the duration of ventricular fibrillation. Ann Emerg Med. 1989;18: 1181–1185.
- 160. Fang X, Tang W, Sun S, Wang J, Huang L, Weil MH. The characteristics of postcountershock pulseless electrical activity may indicate the outcome of CPR. *Resuscitation*. 2006;69:303–309.
- 161. Hamprecht FA, Achleitner U, Krismer AC, Lindner KH, Wenzel V, Strohmenger HU, Thiel W, van Gunsteren WF, Amann A. Fibrillation power, an alternative method of ECG spectral analysis for prediction of countershock success in a porcine model of ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2001;50:287–296.
- Indik JH, Donnerstein RL, Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Berg MD, Kern KB. Ventricular fibrillation frequency characteristics are altered in acute myocardial infarction. *Crit Care Med.* 2007;35:1133–1138.
- 163. Kolarova J, Ayoub IM, Yi Z, Gazmuri RJ. Optimal timing for electrical defibrillation after prolonged untreated ventricular fibrillation. *Crit Care Med.* 2003;31:2022–2028.
- Lightfoot CB, Nremt P, Callaway CW, Hsieh M, Fertig KC, Sherman LD, Menegazzi JJ. Dynamic nature of electrocardiographic waveform predicts rescue shock outcome in porcine ventricular fibrillation. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003;42:230–241.
- Marn-Pernat A, Weil MH, Tang W, Pernat A, Bisera J. Optimizing timing of ventricular defibrillation. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:2360–2365.
- 166. Povoas HP, Bisera J. Electrocardiographic waveform analysis for predicting the success of defibrillation. *Crit Care Med.* 2000;28(suppl): N210–N211.
- Sherman LD, Flagg A, Callaway CW, Menegazzi JJ, Hsieh M. Angular velocity: a new method to improve prediction of ventricular fibrillation duration. *Resuscitation*. 2004;60:79–90.
- Sherman LD. The frequency ratio: an improved method to estimate ventricular fibrillation duration based on Fourier analysis of the waveform. *Resuscitation*. 2006;69:479–486.
- 169. Sherman LD, Rea TD, Waters JD, Menegazzi JJ, Callaway CW. Logarithm of the absolute correlations of the ECG waveform estimates duration of ventricular fibrillation and predicts successful defibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:346–354.
- Young C, Bisera J, Gehman S, Snyder D, Tang W, Weil MH. Amplitude spectrum area: measuring the probability of successful defibrillation as applied to human data. *Crit Care Med.* 2004;32(suppl):S356–S358.
- 171. Holzer M, Behringer W, Sterz F, Kofler J, Oschatz E, Schuster E, Laggner AN. Ventricular fibrillation median frequency may not be useful for monitoring during cardiac arrest treated with endothelin-1 or epinephrine. *Anesth Analg.* 2004;99:1787–1793.
- 172. Miller PH. Potential fire hazard in defibrillation. JAMA. 1972;221:192.
- ECRI. Defibrillation in oxygen-enriched environments [hazard]. *Health Devices*. 1987;16:113–114.
- 174. Hummel RS 3rd, Ornato JP, Weinberg SM, Clarke AM. Sparkgenerating properties of electrode gels used during defibrillation: a potential fire hazard. *JAMA*. 1988;260:3021–3024.
- Lefever J, Smith A. Risk of fire when using defibrillation in an oxygen enriched atmosphere. *Medical Devices Agency Safety Notices*. 1995; 3:1–3.
- 176. Theodorou AA, Gutierrez JA, Berg RA. Fire attributable to a defibrillation attempt in a neonate. *Pediatrics*. 2003;112(part 1):677–679.
- Robertshaw H, McAnulty G. Ambient oxygen concentrations during simulated cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Anaesthesia*. 1998;53: 634–637.
- 178. Cantello E, Davy TE, Koenig KL. The question of removing a ventilation bag before defibrillation. *J Accid Emerg Med.* 1998;15:286.
- Deakin CD, Paul V, Fall E, Petley GW, Thompson F. Ambient oxygen concentrations resulting from use of the Lund University Cardiopulmonary Assist System (LUCAS) device during simulated cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74:303–309.

KEY WORDS: arrhythmia ■ cardiac arrest ■ cardiopulmonary resuscitation ■ defibrillation ■ emergency department