

# BMJ Open Additive influence of genetic predisposition and conventional risk factors in the incidence of coronary heart disease: a population-based study in Greece

Nikos Yiannakouris,<sup>1,2</sup> Michail Katsoulis,<sup>1</sup> Antonia Trichopoulou,<sup>1,3</sup> Jose M Ordovas,<sup>4,5,6</sup> Dimitrios Trichopoulos<sup>1,7,8</sup>

**To cite:** Yiannakouris N, Katsoulis M, Trichopoulou A, *et al*. Additive influence of genetic predisposition and conventional risk factors in the incidence of coronary heart disease: a population-based study in Greece. *BMJ Open* 2014;**4**:e004387. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004387

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004387>).

Received 3 November 2013  
Revised 23 December 2013  
Accepted 14 January 2014



CrossMark

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to**  
Dr Nikos Yiannakouris;  
[nyianak@hua.gr](mailto:nyianak@hua.gr)

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** An additive genetic risk score (GRS) for coronary heart disease (CHD) has previously been associated with incident CHD in the population-based Greek European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC) cohort. In this study, we explore GRS-‘environment’ joint actions on CHD for several conventional cardiovascular risk factors (ConvRFs), including smoking, hypertension, type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), body mass index (BMI), physical activity and adherence to the Mediterranean diet.

**Design:** A case–control study.

**Setting:** The general Greek population of the EPIC study.

**Participants and outcome measures:** 477 patients with medically confirmed incident CHD and 1271 controls participated in this study. We estimated the ORs for CHD by dividing participants at higher or lower GRS and, alternatively, at higher or lower ConvRF, and calculated the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI) as a measure of deviation from additivity.

**Results:** The joint presence of higher GRS and higher risk ConvRF was in all instances associated with an increased risk of CHD, compared with the joint presence of lower GRS and lower risk ConvRF. The OR (95% CI) was 1.7 (1.2 to 2.4) for smoking, 2.7 (1.9 to 3.8) for hypertension, 4.1 (2.8 to 6.1) for T2DM, 1.9 (1.4 to 2.5) for lower physical activity, 2.0 (1.3 to 3.2) for high BMI and 1.5 (1.1 to 2.1) for poor adherence to the Mediterranean diet. In all instances, RERI values were fairly small and not statistically significant, suggesting that the GRS and the ConvRFs do not have effects beyond additivity.

**Conclusions:** Genetic predisposition to CHD, operationalised through a multilocus GRS, and ConvRFs have essentially additive effects on CHD risk.

## INTRODUCTION

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a leading cause of death and disability worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Lifestyle and environmental factors, such as

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- Strengths of the study are the population-based prospective cohort design of the underlying study and the minimal concern for population stratification.
- Another strength is the use of a multilocus genetic risk score using SNPs with documented association with CHD.
- The main limitation of this study stems from the modest numbers of incident CHD cases, notwithstanding the fact that the underlying cohort was large and was followed for approximately 10 years.

cigarette smoking, physical inactivity, chronodisruption and unhealthy diets, play a significant role in its development and are largely responsible for increased risk of this disease.<sup>2–3</sup> In addition, compelling evidence from the literature suggest a genetic basis for CHD<sup>4</sup> so that genetic data may identify individuals who have an inherited predisposition to develop CHD.

During the past few years, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have successfully identified a large number of chromosomal loci and genetic variants that are robustly associated with CHD,<sup>5–11</sup> although their effects on risk are generally fairly small. To combine the relatively small effects of individual genes and to better capture the complex relationship between genetics and CHD, genotypes at multiple genetic variants have previously been combined into scores calculated according to the number of risk alleles carried.<sup>12–13</sup> To date, several studies have examined the utility of different genetic risk scores (GRSs) to identify participants at increased CHD risk.<sup>14–18</sup> Ripatti *et al*<sup>16</sup>

reported that a GRS based on a series of genetic variants from GWAS for myocardial infarction or CHD was associated with risk of CHD, and that the upper quintile of individuals of European ancestry who carried the most risk alleles had a roughly 1.7 times increased risk of CHD when compared with those in the lowest quintile of GRS. Using a similar approach, we have shown that a GRS based on nine documented genetic variants from GWAS is associated with incident CHD in the population-based Greek European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC) cohort.<sup>19</sup>

Despite the success of GWAS in identifying novel genetic contributors to CHD, the heritability of common disorders cannot be adequately explained by the genes that have been discovered; moreover, for the most part, we do not know how these recently discovered loci interact with the environment and what role such interactions play in the development of the disease.<sup>20 21</sup> Testing such interactions is thus a new frontier for large-scale GWAS of CHD,<sup>22</sup> and some initial findings support the important role of environmental exposures in influencing the magnitude of the genetic associations with cardiovascular disease<sup>23</sup> or other common diseases and traits.<sup>24 25</sup>

The aim of the current study was to explore potential GRS-‘environment’ interaction effects on CHD for several important conventional cardiovascular risk factors (ConvRFs), including smoking, hypertension, type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), body mass index (BMI), physical activity and adherence to the Mediterranean diet (MedDiet). We have used resources generated in the Greek-EPIC cohort in which medically documented incident cases of CHD<sup>26</sup> are recorded during an extended follow-up of this population-based cohort.

## METHODS

### Study population

The EPIC is a longitudinal study aimed at investigating the role of biological, nutritional, lifestyle and environmental factors in the aetiology of cancer and other chronic diseases. The study has been described in detail elsewhere.<sup>27 28</sup> The recruitment of Greek-EPIC participants was from 1994 to 1999. The active follow-up of study participants is repeated every 2–4 years. In each round, the focus of follow-up is on the update of information related to health status of the participants. For this analysis, exposure data at enrolment and follow-up data until the end of 2009 for outcomes are considered.

By December 2009, 788 participants were diagnosed with an incident, medically confirmed, CHD or stroke event and were considered eligible for a study also evaluating genetic predisposition.<sup>19</sup> For each case, an attempt was made to choose two control participants matched for sex, age ( $\pm 2$  years) and date of recruitment ( $\pm 6$  months). Both cases and controls were free of CHD and stroke at baseline; the final study sample consisted

of 788 cases (494 CHD, 320 stroke, 26 both diseases) and 1345 controls. For each study participant, a buffy coat sample was drawn from the Greek-EPIC bio-repository and genomic DNA was extracted. CHD events included myocardial infarction, angina and other ischaemic heart disease (cardiac arrest, presence of cardiac and vascular implants and grafts), with several cases following in more than one categories.<sup>26 28</sup> All procedures were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and all participants provided written informed consent.

### Selection of genetic variants, genotyping and GRS calculation

We constructed a multilocus GRS by using nine previously reported genetic variants associated with myocardial infarction or CHD from GWAS, with convincing replication evidence in populations with European ancestry,<sup>6 10 16 29 30</sup> as previously described.<sup>19</sup> The variants used were: rs11206510 at 1p32 near *PCSK9*, rs646776 at 1p13 near *CELSR2-PSRC1-SORT1*, rs17465637 at 1q41 in *MIA3*, rs6725887 at 2q33 in *WDR12*, rs9349379 at 6p24 in *PHACTR1*, rs1746048 at 10q11 near *CXCL12*, rs1122608 at 19p13 near *LDLR*, rs9982601 at 21q22 near *SLC5A3-MRPS6-KCNE2* and the lead variant (rs1333049) at locus 9p21 near *CDKN2A/2B* identified by the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium.<sup>7</sup>

Genotyping was performed blindly as to case–control status with the TaqMan allelic discrimination system on the ABI 7900HT platform using custom genotyping assays and probes designed by Applied Biosystems, Inc (Foster City, California, USA). Replicate quality control samples yielded 100% concordance and call rates exceeded 98%. All genotypes were analysed in the Nutrition and Genomics Laboratory, Jean Mayer US Department of Agriculture, Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

A GRS was computed for each individual as the sum of the number of risk alleles across all nine variants, after weighting each one by its estimated effect size in the discovery samples<sup>5 10</sup> as generally used<sup>16–18</sup> and previously described.<sup>19</sup> In this study, the minimum and maximum weighted GRS values were, respectively, 4.6 and 17.7 in control participants and 5.7 and 18.8 in CHD cases.

### Conventional risk factors for CHD

We evaluated GRS-‘environment’ interaction effects on CHD for several important conventional ConvRFs for which information was collected at enrolment. These factors were: smoking status, hypertension, T2DM, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, physical activity, energy intake and adherence to the MedDiet. Participants were characterised as current, former or never smokers and were considered as hypertensive if they met one of the following criteria: (1) their measured arterial blood pressure

was 140 mm Hg or higher systolic, or 90 mm Hg or higher diastolic and (2) self-reported intake of an anti-hypertensive treatment. T2DM was identified through self-reported T2DM-specific medication use or self-reported medical diagnosis of T2DM. Weight, height, waist and hip circumference were measured using standard procedures, and BMI was calculated in kg/m<sup>2</sup>. With respect to physical activity, we used a metabolic equivalent index (MET-value) that expresses the amount of energy per kilogram of body weight expended during an average day.<sup>31</sup> Dietary information of the participants was measured at baseline using a validated interviewer-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ).<sup>32</sup> The frequency of consumption of about 200 foods and recipes that are common in Greece was reflected at the FFQ. The daily energy intake was assessed by recording participants' energy intake (in kcal). Adherence to the MedDiet was assessed with a MedDiet score that incorporates the salient characteristics of this diet, that is, high intake of plant foods and olive oil, low intake of meat and dairy products and moderate intake of alcohol. This score, with values from 0 to 9 (higher scores indicate greater adherence to the MedDiet), is associated with death from CHD, with lower values predicting higher incidence of death from CHD.<sup>28 33</sup>

### Statistical analysis

For this study, we have used all incident CHD cases and all available control participants and we have proceeded through unconditional logistic regression.

Mean values of quantitative characteristics, as well as percentages for qualitative ones, by sex and case-control status, were calculated for descriptive purposes. We evaluated whether CHD incidence is related to the aforementioned ConvRFs using logistic regression, adjusting for age, sex and GRS. We evaluated ORs for CHD, as estimates of the incidence rate ratios, in relation to age, sex and higher or lower risk with respect to GRS (above or equal to vs below the sex-specific median score in controls) and, alternatively, on the basis of smoking status (current vs never/former smoker), hypertension (yes vs no), T2DM (yes vs no), physical activity (below vs above or equal to the sex-specific median), energy intake (below vs above or equal to the sex-specific median), MedDiet score (below vs above or equal to the median score of 4.0), BMI (above or equal vs below 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or waist-to-hip ratio (above or equal to vs below the sex-specific median).

In order to access the nature of the joint effects of GRS and ConvRFs, we calculated the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), as defined by Rothman.<sup>34</sup> RERI is an estimate of excess or deficit risk that is attributable to the interaction between two exposures, in this case GRS and each one of the ConvRFs; it measures deviation from additivity of effects independently of the risk scale of the outcome. From the ORs of the logistic regression, we computed the RERIs between GRS and ConvRFs as follows<sup>35</sup>; let X+ and Y+ denote the presence

of the risk factors X (GRS in our analysis) and Y (conventional factor) and X- and Y- denote the absence of these risk factors. Then, by considering that the OR estimates the relative risk (RR) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RERI}(X,Y) &= (\text{RR}_{X+Y+} - \text{RR}_{X-Y-}) \\ &\quad - (\text{RR}_{X+Y-} - \text{RR}_{X-Y-}) \\ &\quad - (\text{RR}_{X-Y+} - \text{RR}_{X-Y-}) \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\text{RERI}(X,Y) = (\text{OR}_{X+Y+} - 1) - (\text{OR}_{X+Y-} - 1) - (\text{OR}_{X-Y+} - 1)$

The necessary variance estimators of RERI for the construction of 95% CIs were derived using the standard  $\delta$  method.<sup>35</sup> All statistical analyses were conducted using the Stata Statistical Software, release V.11 (StataCorp 2009, StataCorp LP).

### RESULTS

Of the 1839 study participants with genotype data (494 patients with incident CHD only and 1345 controls), 91 participants had missing data for one or more of the ConvRFs; thus, our analyses were restricted to 477 CHD cases and 1271 controls with complete datasets. The characteristics of the study participants at enrolment according to sex and case-control status are given in [table 1](#).

The association of ConvRFs with CHD incidence in this prospective cohort study is illustrated in [table 2](#). As expected, smoking, hypertension, T2DM and an increased BMI and waist-to-hip ratio were all associated with a substantial increase in the risk of CHD, whereas higher levels of physical activity and energy expenditure (as reflected in an increased energy intake)<sup>36</sup> were associated with a decrease in risk. Greater adherence to the MedDiet was also associated with an 11% decreased risk of CHD, although this association was not statistically significant.

We then examined the impact on CHD risk of the joint presence of genetic predisposition and ConvRFs by modelling the data through unconditional logistic regression, adjusting for age and sex. Specifically, we estimated ORs for CHD incidence depending on participants having a higher or lower GRS and simultaneously as being at higher or lower risk on the basis of a conventional risk factor. [Table 3](#) gives the distribution of CHD cases and controls by GRS and each ConvRF (lower vs higher risk for CHD) in men and women. As shown in [table 4](#), in all instances the joint presence of higher GRS and higher-risk ConvRF is associated with a substantial increase in the risk of CHD, compared with the joint presence of lower GRS and lower-risk ConvRF. In addition, participants with higher GRS values (high-risk genetic predisposition) and simultaneously at higher risk because of a ConvRF are characterised by an OR for CHD that is higher than the OR among individuals with high-risk genetic predisposition who belong to the lower risk category of the respective ConvRF (smoking status, OR 1.70 vs 1.49; hypertension, OR 2.72 vs 1.21; T2DM,

**Table 1** Characteristics of conventional cardiovascular risk factors and genetic risk score for incident CHD cases and controls in the Greek-EPIC cohort

	Cases (n=477)		Controls (n=1271)	
	Men (n=331)	Women (n=146)	Men (n=784)	Women (n=487)
Age (years)	60.1 (11.4)	66.2 (6.9)	60.6 (10.9)	65.6 (7.3)
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.7 (3.8)	31.1 (5.5)	28.0 (3.9)	29.8 (4.9)
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.97 (0.06)	0.87 (0.07)	0.96 (0.07)	0.85 (0.09)
Physical activity (MET-h/day)	33.8 (5.6)	33.6 (3.7)	34.7 (6.0)	34.5 (4.5)
Energy intake (kJ)	9250.8 (3000.8)	6733.7 (2021.7)	9370.9 (2700.4)	7028.7 (2330.5)
MedDiet score *	4.4 (1.7)	4.1 (1.6)	4.4 (1.7)	4.2 (1.6)
Hypertensive, n (%)†	224 (67.7)	131 (89.7)	452 (57.7)	318 (65.3)
Type 2 diabetes, n (%)‡	68 (20.5)	51 (34.9)	66 (8.4)	58 (11.9)
Current smokers, n (%)	138 (41.7)	13 (8.9)	269 (34.3)	34 (7.0)
Weighted GRS§	12.6 (2.0)	12.9 (2.1)	12.3 (2.1)	12.3 (2.1)

Data are expressed as mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.

\*The range of the MedDiet score is from 0 to 9, with higher values indicating greater adherence to the MedDiet.<sup>33</sup>

†Defined as a systolic blood pressure of 140 mm Hg or higher or a diastolic blood pressure of 90 mm Hg or higher, or self-reported receipt of an antihypertensive treatment.

‡ Identified through self-reported T2DM-specific medication use or self-reported medical diagnosis of T2DM.

§The minimum and maximum weighted GRS values were 4.6 and 18.8.

CHD, coronary heart disease; EPIC, European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition; GRS, genetic risk score; MedDiet, Mediterranean diet; MET, metabolic equivalent.

OR 4.13 vs 1.34; physical activity, OR 1.86 vs 1.25; energy intake, OR 1.75 vs 1.43; MedDiet score, OR 1.51 vs 1.24; BMI, OR 2.01 vs 1.47; waist-to-hip ratio, OR 1.88 vs 1.25).

Relative excess risks due to interaction (RERIs) between the GRS and each one of the ConvRFs are presented in the last column of [table 4](#). There is some evidence for superadditivity with respect to hypertension, and, on the contrary, some evidence for subadditivity with respect to smoking. Nevertheless, in all instances, RERI values are fairly small and the 95% CIs cover the null values of RERI, suggesting that the GRS and the conventional risk factors do not have effects beyond additivity.

## DISCUSSION

In a sizable case-control study nested in the population-based Greek-EPIC cohort, we have found that genetic predisposition to CHD, operationalised through a

multilocus GRS (the sum of high-risk alleles in 9 genetic variants) and ConvRFs have essentially additive influence on CHD risk. In other words, people at high risk for CHD because of genetic susceptibility tend to have additively increased RR when also exposed to any of the investigated conventional risk factors. This is highlighted by the fact that, while among people with low genetic risk, only five of the eight investigated ConvRFs were documentable as 'statistically significant', all eight were documentable as such among people at high genetic risk.

Evaluation of joint effects in a multiplicative scale through interaction terms in logistic regression and other models that rely on similar principles are very valuable on account of their flexibility and provision of insights on causal pathways. Additive models (and deviations from additivity), however, as evaluated in this paper, convey straightforward answers to questions of preventive and clinical importance by pointing to individual change of risk in relation to values of conventional risk factors and

**Table 2** ORs for CHD incidence by conventional risk factors in the Greek-EPIC cohort\*

	OR (95% CI)	p Value
Smoking status (current vs never/former smokers)	1.39 (1.08 to 1.80)	0.012
Hypertension (yes vs no)	2.16 (1.68 to 2.78)	<0.001
Type 2 diabetes mellitus (yes vs no)	3.36 (2.52 to 4.47)	<0.001
Physical activity (≥sex-specific median vs <sex-specific median)	0.70 (0.56 to 0.87)	0.002
Energy intake (≥sex-specific median vs <sex-specific median)	0.75 (0.60 to 0.93)	0.011
MedDiet score (≥sex-specific median vs <sex-specific median)	0.89 (0.71 to 1.11)	0.299
Body mass index (≥25 vs <25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.45 (1.08 to 1.96)	0.015
Waist-to-hip ratio (≥sex-specific median vs <sex-specific median)	1.46 (1.17 to 1.81)	0.001

\*Association tested with unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age, sex and genetic risk score; median values according to the overall sample (cases and controls combined).

CHD, coronary heart disease; EPIC, European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition; MedDiet, Mediterranean diet.



**Table 3** Distribution of CHD cases and controls by genetic risk score and conventional cardiovascular risk factors (lower/higher risk for CHD), in men and women

	Men (n=1115)		Women (n=663)	
	Cases (n=331) Lower/higher risk	Controls (n=784) Lower/higher risk	Cases (n=146) Lower/higher risk	Controls (n=487) Lower/higher risk
GRS (lower risk: <sex-specific median of controls; higher risk: ≥sex-specific median of controls)	150/181 (45/55)	400/384 (51/49)	60/86 (41/59)	243/244 (50/50)
Smoking status (lower risk: never/former smokers; higher risk: current smokers)	193/138 (58/42)	515/269 (66/34)	133/13 (91/9)	453/34 (93/7)
Hypertension (lower risk: no; higher risk: yes)	107/224 (32/68)	332/452 (42/58)	15/131 (10/90)	169/318 (35/65)
Type 2 diabetes mellitus (lower risk: no; higher risk: yes)	263/68 (79/21)	718/66 (92/8)	95/51 (65/35)	429/58 (88/12)
Physical activity (lower risk: ≥sex-specific median; higher risk: <sex-specific median)	148/183 (45/55)	410/374 (52/48)	64/82 (44/56)	254/233 (52/48)
Energy intake (lower risk: ≥sex-specific median; higher risk: <sex-specific median)	153/178 (46/54)	405/379 (52/48)	63/83 (43/57)	254/233 (52/48)
MedDiet score (lower risk: ≥4; higher risk: <4)	224/107 (68/32)	545/239 (69/31)	93/53 (64/36)	328/159 (67/33)
Body mass index (lower risk: <25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; higher risk: ≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	51/280 (15/85)	160/624 (20/80)	14/132 (10/90)	70/417 (14/86)
Waist-to-hip ratio (lower risk: <sex-specific median; higher risk: ≥sex-specific median)	147/184 (44/56)	410/374 (52/48)	60/86 (41/59)	255/232 (52/48)

Data are numbers (% in parenthesis). Median values for GRS are based on controls only,<sup>19</sup> whereas for conventional risk factors median values are based on cases and controls combined. CHD, coronary heart disease; GRS, genetic risk score; MedDiet, Mediterranean diet.

specified genetic risk background.<sup>34 37</sup> The results of the present study indicate that persons at high genetic risk for CHD increase this risk when they move into a high-risk category of a conventional cardiovascular risk factor no more than persons at low genetic risk, although they end up with a higher overall risk on account of the joint presence of high-risk genetic predisposition and ConvRF. Our results are not incompatible with those of previous investigations focusing on joint effects of genetic predisposition, assessed in variable ways and selected ConvRFs for CHD.<sup>38</sup> In this respect, Tavani *et al*<sup>39</sup> have previously examined the joint effect of a family history of heart disease, taken as a proxy for genetically determined predisposition to the disease, and selected adult life risk factors on the risk of the disease and have shown that a substantial increase in heart disease is evident when a family history and the environmental risk factors are present.

In the present investigation, we found no evidence of superadditive or subadditive effect of the GRS in conjunction with several ConvRFs. This does not preclude that such interactions does not exist between ConvRFs that were not studied in the present investigation and genetic variants not included in the GRS, over and beyond issues related to statistical power.<sup>21 40 41</sup> It does appear, however, that the joint effects of genetic and non-genetic risk factors tend, generally, to be additive.

Strengths of the present nested case-control investigation are the population based prospective cohort design of the underlying study, the minimal concern for population stratification and the use of single-nucleotide polymorphisms with documented association with CHD. In this investigation, the effect estimates for the ConvRFs used (smoking, hypertension, etc), as well as the genetic factors which were components of the GRS were comparable with those reported in the literature that argues for the validity of the database used.<sup>10 16</sup> Nevertheless, the use of single baseline measurements of ConvRFs can lead to underestimation of associations with CHD risk (through regression dilution bias).<sup>42</sup> For example, the association between smoking and cardiovascular disease is intrinsically underestimated in cohort studies, since a proportion of smokers stop after data collection, and the RR falls rapidly after stopping. Correcting for within-person variation in lifestyle factors over time may result in more informative estimates of CHD risk associated with these factors, particularly for the risks associated with continued smoking and the benefits of regular physical activity,<sup>43</sup> and, therefore, future studies should take these influences into account. The main limitation of this study stems from the modest numbers of incident CHD cases, not withstanding the fact that the underlying cohort was large and was followed for approximately 10 years. In addition, due to lack of available data on certain conventional risk factors of CHD, such as blood cholesterol levels, we were not able to examine in this study their joint relations with the GRS used.

**Table 4** ORs for CHD occurrence by genetic risk score and, alternatively, the indicated conventional cardiovascular risk factors in the Greek-EPIC cohort (CHD cases: n=477; controls: n=1271)\*

	1st (reference) GRS: lower risk ConvRF: lower risk N	2nd GRS: lower risk ConvRF: higher risk		3rd GRS: higher risk ConvRF: lower risk		4th GRS: higher risk ConvRF: higher risk		Relative Excess Risk due to Interaction (RERI)	
		OR (95% CI)	N	OR (95% CI)	N	OR (95% CI)	N	Estimate (95% CI)	p Value
Smoking status (lower risk: never/ former smokers higher risk: current smokers)	630	<i>1.75</i> (1.22 to 2.49)	223	<i>1.49</i> (1.15 to 1.92)	664	<i>1.70</i> (1.19 to 2.41)	231	-0.54 (-1.31 to 0.24)	0.18
Hypertension (lower risk: no; higher risk: yes)	318	<i>2.07</i> (1.45 to 2.94)	535	1.21 (0.81 to 1.80)	305	<i>2.72</i> (1.92 to 3.83)	590	0.44 (-0.27 to 1.16)	0.22
Type 2 diabetes mellitus (lower risk: no; higher risk: yes)	740	<i>3.72</i> (2.45 to 5.63)	113	<i>1.34</i> (1.05 to 1.71)	765	<i>4.13</i> (2.79 to 6.12)	130	0.07 (-1.94 to 2.07)	0.95
Physical activity (lower risk: ≥sex-specific median; higher risk: <sex-specific median)	425	1.36 (0.99 to 1.88)	428	1.25 (0.92 to 1.71)	451	<i>1.86</i> (1.36 to 2.54)	444	0.25 (-0.32 to 0.81)	0.39
Energy intake (lower risk: ≥sex-specific median; higher risk: <sex-specific median)	439	<i>1.47</i> (1.07 to 2.03)	414	<i>1.43</i> (1.05 to 1.94)	436	<i>1.75</i> (1.29 to 2.39)	459	-0.14 (-0.76 to 0.47)	0.65
MedDiet score (lower risk: ≥4.0; higher risk: <4.0)	574	1.03 (0.73 to 1.43)	279	1.24 (0.95 to 1.60)	616	<i>1.51</i> (1.10 to 2.08)	279	0.25 (-0.29 to 0.79)	0.36
Body mass index (lower risk: <25 kg/ m <sup>2</sup> ; higher risk: ≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	143	1.56 (0.99 to 2.46)	710	1.47 (0.84 to 2.56)	152	<i>2.01</i> (1.28 to 3.15)	743	-0.02 (-0.82 to 0.78)	0.96
Waist-to-hip ratio (lower risk: <sex-specific median; higher risk: ≥sex-specific median)	433	<i>1.40</i> (1.02 to 1.93)	420	1.25 (0.92 to 1.71)	439	<i>1.88</i> (1.39 to 2.55)	456	0.23 (-0.35 to 0.80)	0.44

\*Association tested with unconditional logistic regression adjusted for age and sex. Statistically significant results ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) are in italic fonts.

CHD, coronary heart disease; ConvRF, conventional cardiovascular risk factor; EPIC, European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition; GRS, genetic risk score; MedDiet, Mediterranean diet.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence that genetic and conventional cardiovascular risk factors tend to have additive consequences on CHD, an issue that may be of preventive importance when genetic predisposition could not be assessed through an ad hoc genetic risk score but simply through a positive family history.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Harokopio University of Athens, Athens, Greece

<sup>3</sup>Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, University of Athens Medical School, Athens, Greece

<sup>4</sup>Nutrition and Genomics Laboratory, Jean Mayer—US Department of Agriculture, Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging (HNRCA) at Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Population Genetics, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares (CNIC), Madrid, Spain

<sup>6</sup>Instituto Madrileño de Estudios Avanzados (IMDEA) Alimentación, Madrid, Spain

<sup>7</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>8</sup>Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece

**Contributors** NY, AT, JMO and DT participated in study concept and design. NY, AT and DT participated in acquisition of data. NY, MK, AT, JMO and DT participated in analysis and interpretation of the data. NY, MK, AT, JMO and DT participated in drafting and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. MK, NY and DT participated in statistical analysis. AT and JMO obtained funding. NY, AT and JMO provided administrative, technical and material support. AT and NY participated in study supervision.

**Funding** This study was supported by the Hellenic Health Foundation and the Stavros Niarchos Foundation; and by contracts 53-K06-5-10 and 58-1950-9-001 from the US Department of Agriculture Research.

**Competing interests** None.

**Patient consent** Obtained.

**Ethics approval** All procedures were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the International Agency for Research on Cancer and the Medical School of the University of Athens.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data sharing statement** No additional data are available.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

#### REFERENCES

- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, *et al*. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2095–128.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, *et al*. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet* 2004;364:937–52.
- Hu FB. Diet and lifestyle influences on risk of coronary heart disease. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2009;11:257–63.
- Vaidya D, Yanek LR, Moy TF, *et al*. Incidence of coronary artery disease in siblings of patients with premature coronary artery disease: 10 years of follow-up. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;100:1410–15.
- WTCCC. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature* 2007;447:661–78.
- Schunkert H, König IR, Kathiresan S, *et al*. Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:333–8.
- Samani NJ, Erdmann J, Hall AS, *et al*. Genomewide association analysis of coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:443–53.
- Samani NJ, Deloukas P, Erdmann J, *et al*. Large scale association analysis of novel genetic loci for coronary artery disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2009;29:774–80.
- McPherson R, Pertsemidis A, Kavaslar N, *et al*. A common allele on chromosome 9 associated with coronary heart disease. *Science* 2007;316:1488–91.
- Kathiresan S, Voight BF, Purcell S, *et al*. Genome-wide association of early-onset myocardial infarction with single nucleotide polymorphisms and copy number variants. *Nat Genet* 2009;41:334–41.
- Helgadottir A, Thorleifsson G, Manolescu A, *et al*. A common variant on chromosome 9p21 affects the risk of myocardial infarction. *Science* 2007;316:1491–3.
- Yiannakouris N, Trichopoulou A, Benetou V, *et al*. A direct assessment of genetic contribution to the incidence of coronary infarct in the general population Greek EPIC cohort. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2006;21:859–67.
- Humphries SE, Drenos F, Ken-Dror G, *et al*. Coronary heart disease risk prediction in the era of genome-wide association studies: current status and what the future holds. *Circulation* 2010;121:2235–48.
- Kathiresan S, Melander O, Anefski D, *et al*. Polymorphisms associated with cholesterol and risk of cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1240–9.
- Paynter NP, Chasman DI, Pare G, *et al*. Association between a literature-based genetic risk score and cardiovascular events in women. *JAMA* 2010;303:631–7.
- Ripatti S, Tikkanen E, Orho-Melander M, *et al*. A multilocus genetic risk score for coronary heart disease: case-control and prospective cohort analyses. *Lancet* 2010;376:1393–400.
- Davies RW, Dandona S, Stewart AF, *et al*. Improved prediction of cardiovascular disease based on a panel of single nucleotide polymorphisms identified through genome-wide association studies. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2010;3:468–74.
- Thanassoulis G, Peloso GM, Pencina MJ, *et al*. A genetic risk score is associated with incident cardiovascular disease and coronary artery calcium: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2012;5:113–21.
- Yiannakouris N, Katsoulis M, Dilis V, *et al*. Genetic predisposition to coronary heart disease and stroke using an additive genetic risk score: a population-based study in Greece. *Atherosclerosis* 2012;222:175–9.
- Manolio TA. Cohort studies and the genetics of complex disease. *Nat Genet* 2009;41:5–6.
- Ordovas JM, Tai ES. Why study gene-environment interactions? *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2008;19:158–67.
- Lanktree MB, Hegele RA. Gene-gene and gene-environment interactions: new insights into the prevention, detection and management of coronary artery disease. *Genome Med* 2009;1:28.
- Do R, Xie C, Zhang X, *et al*. The effect of chromosome 9p21 variants on cardiovascular disease may be modified by dietary intake: evidence from a case/control and a prospective study. *PLoS Med* 2011;8:e1001106.
- Hamza TH, Chen H, Hill-Burns EM, *et al*. Genome-wide gene-environment study identifies glutamate receptor gene GRIN2A as a Parkinson's disease modifier gene via interaction with coffee. *PLoS Genet* 2011;7:e1002237.
- Surakka I, Isaacs A, Karssen LC, *et al*. A genome-wide screen for interactions reveals a new locus on 4p15 modifying the effect of waist-to-hip ratio on total cholesterol. *PLoS Genet* 2011;7:e1002333.
- Misirli G, Bamia C, Dilis V, *et al*. Validation of self-reported incident cardiovascular disease events in the Greek EPIC cohort study. *Italian J Public Health* 2012;9:e7538.
- Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, *et al*. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:1113–24.
- Dilis V, Katsoulis M, Lagiou P, *et al*. Mediterranean diet and CHD: the Greek European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition cohort. *Br J Nutr* 2012;108:699–709.
- Schunkert H, Gotz A, Braund P, *et al*. Repeated replication and a prospective meta-analysis of the association between chromosome 9p21.3 and coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2008;117:1675–84.
- Preuss M, König IR, Thompson JR, *et al*. A Genome-wide association meta-analysis involving more than 22 000 cases and 60 000 controls. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2010;3:475–83.



31. Trichopoulou A, Gnardellis C, Lagiou A, *et al*. Body mass index in relation to energy intake and expenditure among adults in Greece. *Epidemiology* 2000;11:333–6.
32. Gnardellis C, Trichopoulou A, Katsouyanni K, *et al*. Reproducibility and validity of an extensive semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire among Greek school teachers. *Epidemiology* 1995;6:74–7.
33. Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, *et al*. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2599–608.
34. Rothman KJ. *Modern epidemiology*. Boston, Toronto: Little Brown and Co, 1986.
35. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Confidence interval estimation of interaction. *Epidemiology* 1992;3:452–6.
36. Morris JN, Marr JW, Clayton DG. Diet and heart: a postscript. *BMJ* 1977;2:1307–14.
37. de Mutsert R, de Jager DJ, Jager KJ, *et al*. Interaction on an additive scale. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2011;119:c154–7.
38. Lee YC, Lai CQ, Ordovas JM, *et al*. A Database of gene-environment interactions pertaining to blood lipid traits, cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. *J Data Mining Genomics Proteomics* 2011;2:pii:106.
39. Tavani A, Augustin L, Bosetti C, *et al*. Influence of selected lifestyle factors on risk of acute myocardial infarction in subjects with familial predisposition for the disease. *Prev Med* 2004;38:468–72.
40. Talmud PJ. Gene-environment interaction and its impact on coronary heart disease risk. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2007;17:148–52.
41. Carty CL, Heagerty P, Heckbert SR, *et al*. Interaction between fibrinogen and IL-6 genetic variants and associations with cardiovascular disease risk in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Ann Hum Genet* 2010;74:1–10.
42. Emberson JR, Whincup PH, Morris RW, *et al*. Extent of regression dilution for established and novel coronary risk factors: results from the British Regional Heart Study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2004;11:125–34.
43. Emberson JR, Whincup PH, Morris RW, *et al*. Lifestyle and cardiovascular disease in middle-aged British men: the effect of adjusting for within-person variation. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:1774–82.



**BMJ Open**

## Additive influence of genetic predisposition and conventional risk factors in the incidence of coronary heart disease: a population-based study in Greece

Nikos Yiannakouris, Michail Katsoulis, Antonia Trichopoulou, et al.

*BMJ Open* 2014 4:

doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004387

---

Updated information and services can be found at:

<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/4/2/e004387.full.html>

---

*These include:*

**References**

This article cites 42 articles, 10 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/4/2/e004387.full.html#ref-list-1>

**Open Access**

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

**Email alerting service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

**Topic Collections**

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Cardiovascular medicine](#) (306 articles)

[Epidemiology](#) (789 articles)

[Genetics and genomics](#) (50 articles)

---

**Notes**

---

To request permissions go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:

<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>